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Development of correct topographical connections between peripheral receptors and central somatosensory stations requires activity-dependent synapse refinement, in which the NMDA type of glutamate receptors plays a key role. Here we compared functional roles of GluN2B (GluRe2 or NR2B) and GluN2D (GluRe4 or NR2D), two major regulatory subunits of neonatal NMDA receptors, in development of whisker-related patterning at trigeminal relay stations. Compared with control littermates, both the appearance of whisker-related patterning and the termination of the critical period, as assessed by unilateral infraorbital nerve transection, were delayed by nearly a day in the somatosensory cortex of GluN2B−/− mice but advanced by nearly a day in GluN2D−/− mice. Similar temporal shifts were found at subcortical relay stations in the thalamus and brainstem of GluN2B−/− and GluN2D−/− mice. In comparison, the magnitude of lesion-induced critical period plasticity in the somatosensory cortex, as assessed following row-C whisker removal, was normal in both mutants. Thus, GluN2B and GluN2D play counteractive roles in temporal development and maturation of somatosensory maps without affecting the magnitude of critical period plasticity. To understand the opposing action, we then examined neuronal and synaptic expressions of the two subunits along the trigeminal pathway. At each trigeminal station, GluN2B was predominant at asymmetrical synapses of non-GABAergic neurons, whereas GluN2D was selective to asymmetrical synapses of GABAergic neurons. Together, our findings suggest that GluN2B expressed at glutamatergic synapses on glutamatergic projection neurons facilitates refinement of ascending pathway synapses directly, whereas GluN2D expressed at glutamatergic synapses on GABAergic interneurons delays it indirectly.
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Introduction

The precise pattern of neural connectivity depends on both activity-dependent and activity-independent mechanisms (Goodman and Shatz, 1993). The rodent trigeminal system is an excellent model to study molecular mechanisms underlying activity-dependent map formation and plasticity (Erzurumlu and Gaspar, 2012). Whisker-related sensory maps are precisely represented at multiple trigeminal relay stations in rodent brains. Whisker-related central patterning is referred to as barrelettes in the brainstem trigeminal complex, barreloids in the thalamus, and barrels in the cortex (Woolsey and Van der Loos, 1970). During the critical or sensitive period, lesions to whiskers alter the topographical maps, whereas the same lesions after the critical period have little influence on these maps (Van der Loos and Woolsey, 1973; Woolsey et al., 1979; Belford and Killackey, 1980; Durham and Woolsey, 1984; Chiaia et al., 1992). The NMDA type of glutamate receptors plays a key role in activity-dependent refinement of the whisker-related central patterning (Schlaggar et al., 1993; Li et al., 1994; Iwahaso et al., 2000).

Postsynaptic Ca2+ influx through NMDA receptors is involved in bidirectional synaptic plasticity known as LTP and LTD (Lisman, 1989; Bliss and Collingridge, 1993; Malenka and Nicoll, 1993; Bear, 1996). In neonates, NMDA receptor–dependent LTP and LTD are readily induced at thalamocortical synapses and are thought to underlie this synapse refinement (Crair and Malenka, 1995; Feldman et al., 1998). Canonical NMDA receptors consist of the obligatory subunit GluN1 (GluRζ1 or NR1) and any of the
Table 1. List of primary antibodies used in the present study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Sequence (NCBI #)</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GABA</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>*1</td>
<td>Sigma (A0310)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD65/67</td>
<td>268-593 (A28072)</td>
<td>Rb/Go</td>
<td>IB/PT</td>
<td>(Yamada et al., 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLT1</td>
<td>550-525 (NM_001077514)</td>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>IB/KO</td>
<td>(Yamada et al., 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN2B</td>
<td>1379-1458 (D10651)</td>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>IB/KO</td>
<td>(Takasaki et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN2D</td>
<td>1221-1300 (D12822)</td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>IB/Current study</td>
<td>(Watanabe et al., 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD-95</td>
<td>1-64 (D05621)</td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>IB</td>
<td>(Fukaya and Watanabe, 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>1-1100 (NM_013645)</td>
<td>Rb/Go</td>
<td>IB</td>
<td>(Nakamura et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GluN2T</td>
<td>559-582 aa (BC038375)</td>
<td>GP/Go</td>
<td>IB</td>
<td>(Miyazaki et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Miura et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Go*, Goat polyclonal antibody; GP, guinea pig polyclonal antibody; IB, immunoblot with brain homogenates; KO, lack of signals in knockout mouse brains; Ms, mouse monoclonal antibody; PT, preabsorption test.

1, the specificity of monoclonal mouse GABA antibody was confirmed by intense labeling in GAD (+) GABAergic neuronal elements.

four regulatory GluN2 subunits (GluR6 or NR2) (Seeburg, 1993; Nakano and Masu, 1994; Mori and Mishina, 1995). GluN2B (GluR2 or NR2B) and GluN2D (GluR4 or NR2D) are the two regulatory subunits expressed in fetal and neonatal brains (Watanabe et al., 1992; Monyer et al., 1994). Whereas GluN2B/−/− mice display neonatal lethality, impaired suckling response, and defective whisker-related central patterning, GluN2D/−/− mice grow normally and establish the patterning (Ikeda et al., 1995; Kutsuwada et al., 1996; Mori et al., 1998). Furthermore, GluN2B- and GluN2D-containing receptors confer distinct functional properties in terms of single-channel conductance, glutamate affinity, Mg2+ sensitivity, and deactivation kinetics (Stern et al., 1992; Monyer et al., 1994; Kutsuwada et al., 1996; Momiyama et al., 1996; Vicini et al., 1998; Wylie et al., 1998; Misra et al., 2000a, b). These distinct properties have been thought to contribute to distinct regulation of postsynaptic Ca2+ dynamics, affect the induction of synaptic plasticity and refinement, and lead to contrasting phenotypes of gene-manipulated mice (Lisman, 1989; Artola and Singer, 1993; Hansel et al., 1997). However, it remains unknown whether their functional roles of GluN2B and GluN2D in synaptic refinement in the somatosensory system are synergistic or distinct.

Here we compared the role of GluN2B and GluN2D in whisker-related central patterning and disclosed their opposing action on somatosensory development and maturation. Intriguingly, GluN2B is mainly expressed in glutamatergic neurons constituting the ascending somatosensory pathway and facilitates the formation and maturation of whisker-related patterning, whereas GluN2D is selective to GABAergic neurons constituting local inhibitory circuits and delays the patterning.

Materials and Methods

Animals. We obtained mouse pups from heterozygous breeding pairs defective in GluN2B or GluN2D, which were backcrossed to C57BL mice for >10 generations (Ikeda et al., 1995; Kutsuwada et al., 1996). Mice were treated according to the guideline for the care and use of laboratory animals of Hokkaido University School of Medicine. Breeding pairs were mated on the same day of the week, and pups born at the 19th day of gestation were used for experiments. The day after overnight mating was counted as embryonic day 0 (E0), and the day of birth within 24 h was designated as postnatal day 0 (P0).

Genotyping. The genotypes of GluN2B mice were determined by PCR using tail genomic DNA (Takeuchi et al., 2001), whereas genotyping of GluN2D mice was performed using primers E4P1 (5′-ATGAGATTG GATGCTGGAGCGGCTGTGGC-3′), E4P2 (5′-CTGGAGAAGGCCCAGTAGGAAAATCCATGCGG-3′), and NeoP1 (Kiyama et al., 1998). The nested PCR products derived from wild-type and mutated GluN2D alleles were 231 and 379 bp long, respectively. Statistical differences in the body weight of mutant and control pups were evaluated by Student’s t-test.

Fixation. Mice were fixed by transcardial perfusion with 4% PFA in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (PB), pH 7.2, for light microscopy and 4% PFA/0.1% glutaraldehyde in PB for postembedding immunogold microscopy. Flattened horizontal sections through cortical barrels and corneal branch stems were prepared using a microlicer (40 μm) or a cryostat (30 μm), respectively.

Cytochrome oxidase histochemistry. Whisker-related patterning was examined by cytochrome oxidase (CO) histochemistry. Sections were incubated for 12 h at 37°C in a solution containing cytochrome c (3 mg, Sigma), 3,3′ diaminobenzidine (5 mg, Sigma), and sucrose (450 mg) in 10 ml of 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2 (Wong-Riley, 1979). CO-stained patterning was photographed using an AX70 bright-field light microscope (Olympus) equipped with a digital camera DP70 (Olympus).

Nissl staining. Nissl staining was performed with NeuroTrace 500/525 green fluorescent Nissl stain (Invitrogen) for confocal laser scanning microscopy or with cresyl violet for bright-field microscopy.

Infraborital nerve transaction (ION). To determine the stage of lesion-induced critical period plasticity termination, transaction of the right ION was transected under hypothermia-induced anesthesia by making a vertical slit just behind the mystacial pad, cutting the right ION with a pair of irisectomy scissors, and electrocauterizing the cut edge to prevent nerve regeneration. After 8 d for cortical examination or 5 d for subcortical examination, mice were anesthetized by pentobarbital (100 mg/kg of body weight) and killed for CO histochemistry.

Developmental appearance and critical period plasticity termination. Developmental appearance and critical period plasticity termination in cortical barrels were assessed by measuring the gray density along two crossing lines through C1, C2, and C3 barrels and through B2, C2, and D2 barrels using an Image Gauge V4.0 software (FUJIFILM), as reported previously (Takasaki et al., 2008). By defining the gray density in barrel septa as a baseline, the relative density was summed for each barrel. Developmental appearance and critical period plasticity termination were judged only when the summed relative density exceeded 20,000 arbitrary units in all measured barrels. In subcortical somatosensory stations, developmental appearance and critical period termination were judged by microscopic presence of segregated whisker-related patterning.

To compare genotypic differences, we estimated the age when barrels appeared in 50% of mice (DA50) and the age when the critical period was terminated in 50% of mice (CPT50). To obtain the optimal DA50 and CPT50 values and their 95% confidence intervals, probit regression analysis was used (Finney, 1971); the fraction of mice that had formed barrels or completed the critical period was converted into probits at each postnatal age. Probit-fitted linear regressions were used for calculation. Differences between control and mutant DA50 or CPT50 values were considered statistically significant if there was no overlap between their confidence intervals. Fisher’s exact probability test was used for statistical evaluation.

Lesion-induced critical period plasticity. To assess the magnitude of lesion-induced critical period plasticity in cortical barrels, hair follicles of right row-C whiskers were catarzeured at P2, and barrels were examined by CO histochemistry at P15. The absence of whisker regrowth was checked by stereoscopic and histological observations of whisker pads. The area of a pair of barrels, including their intervening septum, was measured for A2 and A3, B2 and B3, C2 and C3, D2 and D3, or E2 and E3, using IPLab software (Scananalytics). From the scores, map plasticity index was calculated by (B2 + B3 + D2 + D3)/2(C2 + C3), and the age when the critical period was terminated in 50% of mice (DA50) and the age when the critical period was terminated in 50% of mice (CPT50). To obtain the optimal DA50 and CPT50 values and their 95% confidence intervals, probit regression analysis was used (Finney, 1971); the fraction of mice that had formed barrels or completed the critical period was converted into probits at each postnatal age. Probit-fitted linear regressions were used for calculation. Differences between control and mutant DA50 or CPT50 values were considered statistically significant if there was no overlap between their confidence intervals. Fisher’s exact probability test was used for statistical evaluation.
ber NM_008171), mouse GluN2D cDNA (151–4086; NM_008172), or mouse GAD67 cDNA (1036–2015; NM_008077).

Fresh frozen sections were treated successively after fixation with 4% PFA–PB, pH 7.2, for 10 min, PBS, pH 7.2, for 10 min, acetylation with 0.25% acetic anhydride in 0.1 M triethanolamine-HCl, pH 8.0, for 10 min, and prehybridization for 1 h in a buffer containing 50% formamide, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.02% Ficoll, 0.02% polyvinylpyrrolidone, 0.02% BSA, 0.6 M NaCl, 200 μg/ml of tRNA, 1 mM EDTA, and 10% dextran sulfate. Isotopic in situ hybridization was performed at 42°C for 12 h in prehybridization buffer supplemented with oligonucleotide (10,000 dpm/ml), followed by washing in 0.1× SSC containing 0.1% SDS at 55°C for 40 min twice and exposure to x-ray film BioMax (Kodak). FISH with DIG- and fluorescein-labeled cRNA probes was as described previously (Yamasaki et al., 2010). In brief, sections were hybridized with a mixture of DIG- or fluorescein-labeled cRNA probes and followed by stringent posthybridization wash. DIG and fluorescein were detected by the two-step method as follows: the first detection with peroxidase-conjugated anti-fluorescein antibody (Roche Diagnostics; 1:500, 1 h) and the FITC-Tyramide Signal Amplification (TSA) plus amplification kit (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences), and the second detection with peroxidase-conjugated anti-DIG antibody (Roche Diagnostics, 1:500, 1 h) and the Cy3-TSA plus amplification kit (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences). Residual activities of peroxidase introduced in the first detection were inactivated by incubation of sections with 1.0% H2O2 for 30 min.

Immunofluorescence. In the present study, we used primary antibodies against GABA, 65/67 kDa GAD, plasmalemmal glutamate transporter-1 (GLT1), GluN2B, GluN2D, parvalbumin (PV), postsynaptic density protein-95 (PSD-95), and Type 2 vesicular glutamate transporter (VGluT2). Information on the antigen, host species, source, and specificity is summarized in Table 1. Of these primary antibodies, we produced affinity-purified guinea pig antibody against mouse GluN2D; the specificity was confirmed by characteristic immunohistochemical labeling in GluN2D+/−/− mice, but not GluN2D−−/−, brains (see Fig. 7E).

All immunohistochemical incubations were performed at room temperature, and 10% normal donkey serum was used for blocking. In immunofluorescence for NMDA receptor subunits, 4-μm-thick paraffin sections were pretreated to expose antigens with 1 mg/ml of pepsin (DAKO) in 0.2 N HCl at 37°C for 3–5 min for developing brains and for 10 min for adult brains, as reported previously (Watanabe et al., 1998). The TSA kit (TSA-Cy3 and TSA-Fluorescin system, PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences) was used to visualize GluN2B and GluN2D immunolabeling. In double TSA labeling, incubation with guinea pig GluN2D antibody (1 μg/ml) was performed first, followed by successive incubation with biotinylated second-
Table 2. Percentage of mice in which whisker-related patterning were judged to appear at respective somatosensory stations (developmental appearance)∗

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>GluN2B+/+</th>
<th>GluN2B+/-</th>
<th>GluN2D+/+</th>
<th>GluN2D+/-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0(0/8)</td>
<td>0(0/10)</td>
<td>0(0/9)</td>
<td>0(0/9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0(0/10)</td>
<td>0(0/10)</td>
<td>0(0/10)</td>
<td>0(0/10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>0(0/12)</td>
<td>0(0/13)</td>
<td>0(0/11)</td>
<td>56(4/11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>50(6/12)</td>
<td>0(0/16)</td>
<td>54(7/13)</td>
<td>92(12/13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>100(16/16)</td>
<td>40(8/20)</td>
<td>100(11/11)</td>
<td>100(15/15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>100(13/13)</td>
<td>100(14/14)</td>
<td>100(13/13)</td>
<td>100(12/12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7</td>
<td>100(15/15)</td>
<td>100(15/15)</td>
<td>100(14/14)</td>
<td>100(14/14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Thalamus |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|  |  |  |  |
| E18       | 0(0/3) | 0(0/2) | 0(0/2) | 0(0/2) |
| P0        | 0(0/5) | 0(0/4) | 0(0/4) | 50(4/8) |
| P1        | 100(8/8)| 50(2/4) | 100(6/6)| 100(4/4)|
| P2        | 100(7/7)| 50(2/4) | 100(5/5)| 100(4/4)|
| P3        | 100(3/3)| 100(4/4)| 100(3/3)| 100(4/4)|
| P4        | 100(3/3)| 100(4/4)| 100(3/3)| 100(4/4)|
| P5        | 100(3/3)| 100(4/4)| 100(3/3)| 100(4/4)|

| Brainstem (subnucleus interpolaris) |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|  |  |  |  |
| E18                                 | 0(0/8) | 0(0/9) | 0(0/9) | 100(9/9) |
| P0                                 | 100(8/8)| 100(7/7)| 100(9/9)| 100(9/9) |
| P1                                 | 100(6/6)| 100(7/7)| 100(9/9)| 100(9/9) |

∗Values in parentheses are % (number of mice judged to have segregated patterning out of the total mice examined).

Figure 2. Opposing temporal shift in developmental appearance of thalamic barreloids and brainstem barrelettes between GluN2B+/- and GluN2D+/- mice. A–D, Cytochrome oxidase-stained thalamic VB. Note the appearance of segregated barreloids in control (A), but not GluN2B+/- (B), mice at P3. Also note the appearance of segregated barreloids in GluN2D+/- (D), but not control (C), mice at P2. E, F, Bar graphs representing 1 day delay of barrelette appearance in GluN2B+/+ mice (E) and 1 day advance in GluN2D+/- mice (F). G, H, Cytochrome oxidase-stained subnucleus interpolaris (SpVi) in GluN2B+/- (G) and GluN2D+/- (H) mice at E18 (left), P0 (middle), and P1 (right). Note the first appearance of segregated barrelettes in GluN2B+/- mice at P1, and in GluN2D+/- mice at E18. I, J, Bar graphs representing 1 day delay of barrelette appearance in GluN2B+/- mice (I) and 1 day advance in GluN2D+/- mice (J). The number of mice examined at each stage is listed in Table 2 (bottom and middle). Scale bars, 200 μm.
for GluN2B+/+ mice; Fig. 1C,G,K,N for GluN2D+/+ mice; Table 2, top). In comparison, the appearance of barrels was delayed in GluN2B+/− mice (Fig. 1B,F,J,M) and advanced in GluN2D−/− mice (Fig. 1D,H,L,N). The postnatal day at which barrels appeared in 50% of mice was calculated to be P5.1 (99% confidence interval, P4.7–P5.5) and P4.0 (P3.5–P4.4) for GluN2B+/− and control mice, respectively, and P2.9 (P2.4–P3.5) and P3.9 (P3.5–P4.4) for GluN2D−/− and control mice, respectively, showing significant genotypic differences (p < 0.01 for each, Fisher’s exact probability test). Temporal shift in developmental appearance of cortical barrels was replicated by VGluT2 immunofluorescence for thalamocortical afferent patterning (Fig. 1O2–R2), and by Nissl staining (Fig. 1S–V) for cortical neuronal arrangement.

We also examined the appearance of barreloids in the ventrobasal thalamic nucleus (VB; Fig. 2A–D) and barrelettes in the subnucleus interparietal of the spinal tract trigeminal nucleus (SpVi; Fig. 2G,H); this subnucleus was selected instead of the principal sensory trigeminal nucleus because of better clarity in the patterning and judgment. Compared with control mice, the appearance of barreloids and barrelettes was also delayed by nearly a day in GluN2B+/− mice (Fig. 2E,I) and advanced by nearly a day in GluN2D−/− mice (Fig. 2F,J) (Table 2, middle and bottom). Therefore, the ablation of GluN2B or GluN2D subunit oppositely shifts the developmental appearance at each trigeminal relay station.

**Termination of lesion-induced critical period plasticity**

The termination stage of critical period plasticity was assessed by CO histochemistry 8 d (S1) or 5 d (VB and SpVi) after transection of the right ION. In control mice, ION transection at P1 and P2 substantially lowered overall CO intensity and blurred patterning in the contralateral hemisphere (Fig. 3A,C). Segregated barrels were maintained in 13%–17% of mice lesioned at P3 and reached 100% at P5–P7 (Fig. 3A,E,I,M,Q for GluN2B+/− mice; Fig. 3C,G,K,O,R for GluN2D+/− mice; Table 3, top). By contrast, critical period plasticity termination was delayed in GluN2B+/− mice (Fig. 3B,F,J,N,Q) and advanced in GluN2D−/− mice (Fig. 3D,H,L,P,R). The postnatal day at which the critical period plasticity terminated in 50% of mice was P4.8 (99% confidence interval, P4.4–P5.4) and P3.6 (P3.3–P4.0) for GluN2B+/− and control mice, respec-

**Figure 3.** Termination of lesion-induced critical period plasticity in cortical barrels is delayed in GluN2B+/− mice and advanced in GluN2D−/− mice. The right infraorbital nerve was transected at P1 (A–D), P3 (E–H), P5 (I–L), or P7 (M–P), and cytochrome oxidase histochemistry was applied 8 d later. A–P, The contralateral barrel cortices. The ipsilateral cortices are shown in insets (A–D). Q, R, Bar graphs representing 1 day delay of critical period plasticity (CPP) termination in GluN2B+/− mice (Q) and 1 day advance in GluN2D−/− mice (R). The number of mice examined is listed in Table 3 (top). S–V, Opposing temporal shift in CPP termination between GluN2B+/− and GluN2D−/− mice is reproduced by VGluT2 and Nissl staining. The right ION was transected in GluN2B+/− and control mice at P5 (S,T) or GluN2D−/− and control mice at P3 (U,V), and flattened contralateral cortices were subjected to VGluT2 and Nissl staining 8 d later. Critical period plasticity is terminated at P5 in control mice (S), but not in GluN2B+/− mice (T). By contrast, it is terminated as early as P3 in GluN2D−/− mice (V), but not in control (U) mice. Scale bars, 100 μm.
A table is shown, labeled as Table 3, Percentage of mice in which the critical period was complete at respective somatosensory stations (critical period plasticity termination). The table includes data for different ages and genotypes, with values indicating the proportion of mice showing complete critical period plasticity. The table is structured with columns for different age groups (P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, and P7) and rows for the genotypes GluN2B+/+, GluN2B−/−, GluN2D+/+, and GluN2D−/−. The values are presented in a percentage format, showing the percentage of mice that had reached complete critical period plasticity at each age for each genotype.

The text continues with a discussion of the temporal profiles of somatosensory development and maturation in mice lacking GluN2B or GluN2D. It is noted that lesions induced in the neonatal S1 cortex magnify lesion-induced plasticity in the neonatal S1. The magnitude of lesion-induced critical period plasticity was found to be genotype-dependent, with significant temporal shifts in critical period plasticity termi-

natively, and P2.7 (P2.1–P3.2) and P3.6 (P3.1–P4.1) for GluN2D−/− and control mice, respectively, showing significant genotypic differences (p < 0.01 for each, Fisher's exact probability test). Similar temporal shift of critical period plasticity termination was confirmed by VGluT2 immunofluorescence for thalamocortical patterning (Fig. 3S−V) and Nissl staining (Fig. 3S−V) for neuronal arrangement in the cortex.

The termination of lesion-induced critical period plasticity in barreloids and barrelettes was also delayed by nearly a day in GluN2B+/− mice (Fig. 4A,B,E for VB; Fig. 4I,J,M for SpVi), and advanced by nearly a day in GluN2D−/− mice (Fig. 4C,D,F for VB; Fig. 4K,L,N for SpVi; Table 3, middle and bottom).

Magnetic field measurements of lesion-induced critical period plasticity

The magnitude of lesion-induced critical period plasticity was examined at the S1 by electrocautery of right row-C whisker follicles at P2 and CO histochemistry at P15. In all genotypes, lesioned row-C barrels were robustly shrunken and fused, whereas adjacent row-B and row-D barrels were expanded reciprocally (Fig. 5A,C,E,G), compared with barrels in the intact side (Fig. 5B,D,F,H). The changes were quantitatively evaluated by calculating the map plasticity index (B2 + B3 + D2 + D3)/2 (C2 + C3). In the contralateral hemisphere, the index was 1.89 ± 0.32 (n = 7) and 2.12 ± 0.56 (n = 8) in GluN2B+/− and control mice, respectively, and 2.11 ± 0.42 (n = 7) and 2.36 ± 0.32 (n = 7) in GluN2D−/− and control mice, respectively, showing no significant differences (p > 0.05 for each, Mann–Whitney U test). These data are consistent with previous findings that NMDA receptors are neutral for lesion-induced critical period plasticity (Iwasko et al., 2000; Lu et al., 2001). Because glutamate transporter GLT1 is markedly upregulated in barrel hollows and magnifies lesion-induced plasticity in the neonatal S1 (Tasaki et al., 2008), we compared the expression at neonatal stages. No genotypic differences were discerned for expression patterns and intensities for GLT1 between GluN2B+/− and control mice and between GluN2D−/− and control mice (data not shown).

Together, temporal profiles of somatosensory development and maturation are shifted in opposite directions in GluN2B+/− and GluN2D−/− mice throughout the somatosensory axis without affecting the magnitude of lesion-induced critical period plasticity.

Body growth and histochromatographic differentiation

No significant differences were found in the mean body weight between GluN2B+/− and control mice and between GluN2D−/− and control mice at P0, P4, and P12 ( p > 0.05 for each, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc, n = 12 for each) (Table 4, top). Moreover, the body weight increased normally after ION lesion given at P3 and P5 ( p > 0.05 for each, n = 12 for each) (Table 4, bottom). Furthermore, no apparent differences were discerned in the histochromatography of each trigeminal relay station at P5 by Nissl staining with cresyl violet (data not shown). Thus, the shifted temporal development in GluN2B+/− and GluN2D−/− mice is unlikely to result from altered body growth or neuroanatomical differentiation.

Expression in the somatosensory pathway

GluN2B and GluN2D expression at adult trigeminal relay stations

To understand their opposing action, we then examined cellular expression of GluN2B and GluN2D in adult mouse brains by FISH using antisense riboprobes (Fig. 6). Consistent with previous studies by isotopic in situ hybridization (Watanabe et al., 1993; Monyer et al., 1994), GluN2B mRNA was highly expressed throughout the cortex and thalamus (Fig. 6A), whereas GluN2D mRNA was sparsely expressed in these regions (Fig. 6B). To determine their neurochemical phenotypes, we examined expression of GAD67 mRNA as a marker for GABAergic neurons (Fig. 6C). Double-labeling FISH revealed that, in the S1, GluN2B mRNA was expressed intensely in GAD67 mRNA−/− neurons and weakly in GAD67 mRNA+ neurons (Fig. 6D), whereas GluN2D mRNA was selective to GAD67 mRNA+ neurons (Fig. 6E). In the thalamus, GAD67 mRNA was exclusively expressed in the reticular thalamic nucleus (RTN) and, accordingly, the thalamic VB was composed of GAD67 mRNA−/− neurons only (Fig. 6C), consistent with a previous study (Liu et al., 1995). GluN2B mRNA was high in VB neurons lacking GAD67 mRNA expression and weak in RTN neurons expressing GAD67 mRNA (Fig. 6F), whereas GluN2D mRNA was selective to RTN neurons expressing GAD67 mRNA (Fig. 6G). This pattern of neuronal expression was also found in the principal sensory nucleus of the trigeminal nucleus (Pr; Fig. 6H–L) and SpVi (Fig. 6M–Q). No significant labeling was found with use of sense riboprobes (data not shown). Thus, it is common to each somatosensory station that non-GABAergic (GAD67 mRNA−) neurons expressed GluN2B mRNA exclusively, whereas GABAergic (GAD67 mRNA+) neurons expressed GluN2D mRNA at high levels and GluN2B mRNA at low levels.

We next examined the distribution of GluN2B and GluN2D immunoreactivities by immunofluorescence using parasagittal paraffin sections (Fig. 7). Pepsin pretreatment of paraffin sections for 10 min, which was optimal for adult specimens, un-masked antigenicity of GluN2B and GluN2D, yielding intense immunolabeling in the forebrain or brainstem, respectively (Fig. 7A,D). The specificity was verified by diminished or blank immunolabeling in GluN2B+/− and GluN2D−/− mouse brains, re-
pectively (Fig. 7B,E), and similar expression patterns to their mRNAs (Fig. 7C,F). In the S1, GluN2B(+) and GluN2D(+) puncta were detected across all layers, including the layer IV where thalamocortical projections labeled by VGluT2 were clustered into barrels (Fig. 7G, arrows). At a higher magnification, these punctate labelings for both subunits are consistently overlapped with PSD-95 immunoreactivity, suggesting postsynaptic localization (Fig. 7H,I, arrows). Double immunofluorescence revealed that bright GluN2B(+) puncta lacked detectable signals for GluN2D, whereas bright GluN2D(+) puncta showed weak signals for GluN2B (Fig. 7J). The VB was crowded with bright GluN2B(+) puncta lacking GluN2D(+) immunoreactivity, whereas bright GluN2D(+) puncta in the RTN had weak immunoreactivity for GluN2B (Fig. 7K). A similar trend was also observed in the Pr (Fig. 7L).

Further postembedding immunogold analyses revealed that GluN2B and GluN2D were specifically localized to asymmetrical synapses in each adult trigeminal relay station (S1, PrV and SpVi in Fig. 8; VB, data not shown). Because GABA is contained in dendrites as well as axon terminals of GABAergic neurons (Bolam et al., 1983), we examined synaptic localization GluN2B and GluN2D on GABA(+) (GABAergic) and GABA(−) (glutamatergic) neurons by double-labeling postembedding immunogold (Fig. 8). Both subunits were preferentially detected on the postsynaptic membrane of asymmetrical synapses in the S1 (Fig. 8A,B). The density of GluN2B labeling per 1 μm of the postsynaptic density was three times higher at synapses on GABA(−) dendrites (mostly on dendritic spines) than synapses on GABA(+) dendrites (mostly on dendritic shafts) (Fig. 8F, left; p < 0.001, U test). By contrast, the density of GluN2D labeling was five times higher at synapses on GABA(+) dendrites than synapses on GABA(−) dendrites, and the density of the latter was almost comparable with the background labeling as determined from the corresponding synapses in GluN2D(−/−) mice (Fig. 8G, left; p < 0.001, U test). Segregated synaptic distribution was also observed in the Pr and SpVi: preferential synaptic labeling for GluN2B on GABA(−) dendrites (Fig. 8C,D) and for GluN2D on GABA(+) dendrites (Fig. 8E) was seen in both trigeminal nuclei (Fig. 8F,G, middle and right). Therefore, GluN2B is predominantly expressed at asymmetrical synapses on glutamatergic neurons, whereas GluN2D is selective to asymmetrical synapses on GABAergic neurons at each trigeminal station.

GluN2B and GluN2D expression at neonatal trigeminal relay stations
We further examined neonatal mouse brains at P1 and P5, when whisker-related patterning is differentiating and GluN2B and GluN2D mRNAs are already expressed in the brainstem trigem-
metrical synapses on glutamatergic neurons and selective GluN2D expression at asymmetrical synapses on GABAergic neurons are preserved from the neonatal period.

### Whisker-related patterning by PV interneurons

Cortical GABAergic interneurons, particularly PV-positive interneurons, are important in the critical period regulation (Hensch, 2005). Considering the exclusive GluN2D expression in GABAergic interneurons, we lastly investigated whether whisker-related patterning by PV(+) interneurons (Fig. 10), which is known to transiently appear at ~P10 (del Rio et al., 1994), was affected in GluN2D−/− mice.

In control mice at P11, PV immunoreactivity started to increase in the S1 (Fig. 10A) and exhibited whisker-related patterning in the layer IV (Fig. 10B, C). Whisker-related patterning by PV-positive interneurons was matched with that by VGlut2(+) thalamocortical terminals (Fig. 10G). PV(+) neuronal elements formed baskets surrounding barrel hollows (Fig. 10I) and expressed GluN2D (Fig. 10K, arrows). In GluN2D−/− mice at P11, whisker-related patterning by PV expression was also evident in the S1 (Fig. 10D–F), showing similar basket formation in the barrel field (Fig. 10H, J). In adulthood, whisker-related patterning by PV-positive interneurons was no longer observed in both strains of mice (Fig. 10E, M). Thus, these results suggest normal cytological differentiation and refinement of PV-positive interneurons in GluN2D−/− mice.

### Discussion

To test whether GluN2B and GluN2D work synergistically or antagonistically in synaptic circuit development, we compared whisker-related patterning in GluN2B+/− and GluN2D−/− mice with that in their control littermates. Here we demonstrate that temporal profiles of somatosensory development and maturation were shifted in opposite directions in these mutants. Moreover, we examined expression of GluN2B and GluN2D at trigeminal relay stations and clarified their distinct and almost segregated expression.

### Positive and negative modulation of somatosensory development and maturation

Developmental appearance and critical period termination were both delayed by nearly a day at each trigeminal relay station in GluN2B−/− mice. The delayed appearance can be taken as a mild phenotype of GluN2B−/− mice, in which barrelettes do not appear even after the survival of the newborn is prolonged for 2 more days by artificial feeding (Kutsuwada et al., 1996). Such a gene dosage effect in GluN2B+/− mice is also manifested as ex-
aggerated nociceptive responses to acute noxious stimuli (Wainai et al., 2001), enhanced acoustic startle response (Takeuchi et al., 2001), and impaired eyblink conditioning (Takehara et al., 2004). The delayed termination of the critical period further suggests that GluN2B facilitates the maturation into a lesion-insensitive state, rather than prolonging the lesion-sensitive immature state. Conversely, an advance of 1 d was observed at each station in GluN2D/H11002/H11002 mice. Because we analyzed only

Figure 6. FISH showing distinct neuronal expression of GluN2B and GluN2D mRNAs at trigeminal relay stations in adult mice. A–C, Overall labeling patterns for mRNAs of GluN2B (A), GluN2D (B), and GAD67 (C) in coronal forebrain sections through the S1, VB, and RTN. D–Q, Double-labeling in situ hybridization for GAD67 (green; D–G, I, K, L, N, P, Q) and GluN2B (red; D, F, H, I, M, N) or GluN2D (red; E, G, J, K, O, P) mRNAs in the S1 (D, E), VB and RTN (F, G), trigeminal principal sensory nucleus (Pr; H–L), and subnucleus interpolaris (SpVi; M–Q). Note preferential labeling for GluN2B mRNA in GAD67 mRNA(−) neurons and for GluN2D mRNA in GAD67 mRNA(+) neurons (arrows). Hi, Hippocampus; Mo, trigeminal motor nucleus. Scale bars: A–C (in C), H, I, L, M, O, Q (in Q), 1 mm; D–G, I, K, N, P, 10 μm.
normal-term delivered pups, it seems unlikely that these temporal shifts are caused by defects associated with preterm or postterm delivery (Toda et al., 2013). Thus, GluN2B positively modulates somatosensory development and maturation, whereas GluN2D counteracts by negative modulation. To our knowledge, the present study has provided the first experimental evidence that GluN2D regulates neural development, with its opposing action to GluN2B. Considering the presence of half the amount of GluN2B protein in GluN2B/H11001/H11002 mice compared with control mice (Kutsuwada et al., 1992; Watanabe et al., 1998), the magnitude of positive modulation by GluN2B exceeds that of negative modulation by GluN2D. Therefore, GluN2B and GluN2D constitute a “push-pull” system on temporal development of the somatosensory system, with higher potency of GluN2B.

Pathway-dependent organization of NMDA receptor subunits
Distinct neuronal and synaptic expression of GluN2B and GluN2D is another important finding in the present study. At trigeminal stations in the cortex and brainstem, glutamatergic (GAD67 mRNA(−)) neurons expressed GluN2B mRNA exclusively, whereas GABAergic (GAD67 mRNA(+)) neurons expressed GluN2D and GluN2B mRNA at high or low levels, respectively. In the thalamus, these two neuronal populations reside separately in the VB and RTN. Considering that the VB and...
RTN receive glutamatergic projections from ascending afferents, and the RTN sends inhibitory projections to the VB (Jones, 2007), it is common to all trigeminal relay stations that GluN2B mRNA is highly expressed in glutamatergic projection neurons, and GluN2D mRNA is selectively expressed in GABAergic neurons projecting to local or adjacent glutamatergic projection neurons. This was confirmed and further evidenced by postembedding immunogold microscopy that GluN2B protein was predominantly localized at asymmetrical synapses on glutamatergic neurons, and GluN2D protein was selective at asymmetrical synapses on GABAergic neurons. This pathway-dependent organization of NMDA receptor subunits suggests that glutamatergic projection neurons use GluN2B-containing receptors to mediate somatosensory information along the ascending pathway, whereas GABAergic interneurons use GluN2D-containing receptors, presumably, to modulate the excitability and information processing of glutamatergic projection neurons.

Functional considerations
In addition to the opposing action on somatosensory map development, a number of contrasting properties have been reported between GluN2B- and GluN2D-containing NMDA receptors. GluN2B/GluN1 channels require a strong depolarization to overcome Mg\(^2+\) blockade and have high conductances, whereas GluN2D/GluN1 channels need only modest depolarization to overcome Mg\(^2+\) blockade and show low conductances (Monyer et al., 1994; Momiyama et al., 1996; Misra et al., 2000a, b). The differences in channel conductance and the duration of channel opening might contribute to the distinct regulation of postsynaptic Ca\(^2+\) influx in the induction of LTP and LTD (Lisman, 1989; Artola and Singer, 1993; Hansel et al., 1997). GluN2B-containing receptors provide a greater Ca\(^2+\) influx per unit of current (Sobczak et al., 2005) and promote the induction of LTP as exemplified by enhanced hippocampal LTP in GluN2B-overexpressing transgenic mice (Tang et al., 1999). By contrast, GluN2D-containing channels permit modest but prolonged Ca\(^2+\) entry (Monyer et al., 1994; Momiyama et al., 1996; Misra et al., 2000a, b), and contribute to LTD induction in the hippocampus (Hrabétova et al., 2000). These contrasting properties and pathway-dependent organization of GluN2B and GluN2D raise the intriguing possibility to account for the opposite modulation of somatosensory development by GluN2B- and GluN2D-containing receptors.

Figure 8. Postembedding immunogold microscopy showing segregated synaptic expression of GluN2B and GluN2D at three relay stations of the adult trigeminal pathway. A–E, Double-labeling postembedding immunogold for GABA (10 nm) and GluN2B (10 nm, A, C, D) or GluN2D (10 nm, B, E) in the S1 (A, B) and Pr (C–E). GluN2B is preferentially expressed at asymmetrical synapses on GABAergic (−) dendritic shaft and spines, and GluN2D is at GABAergic (+) dendrites. F, G, Summary bar graphs representing preferential expression of GluN2B (F) and GluN2D (G) at synapses on GABAergic (−) and GABAergic (+) postsynaptic compartments in the S1, Pr, and SpVi. The background level of GluN2D labeling was measured at synapses on GABA-negative (excitatory) terminal; T ex, GABA-negative (excitatory) terminal; T in, GABA-positive (inhibitory) terminal. Scale bars, 200 nm.

GluN2B-containing receptors expressed along the ascending pathway synapses might confer them with malleable properties and thereby promote activity-dependent synapse refinement directly. GluN2D-containing receptors, on the other hand, are unable to modulate this synapse refinement directly because of the lack of GluN2D expression at the ascending pathway synapses. Normal
Figure 9. Segregated synaptic expression of GluN2B and GluN2D is preserved at neonatal trigeminal relay stations. A–D, GluN2B (A, C) and GluN2D (B, D) immunolabeling in the brain of wild-type mice at P1 (A, B) and P5 (C, D). E, F, GluN2B immunofluorescence (red) and nuclear counterstaining with TOTO-3 (green) in the S1. Note that barrel hollows (arrows) are filled with intense GluN2B(+) puncta. G–I, GluN2D immunofluorescence (red) with nuclear counterstaining with TOTO-3 (green, G, I) or with GAD65/67 immunofluorescence (green, J) in the S1. Note accumulation of GluN2D in perikarya of GAD65/67(+) neurons (J, arrowheads). J–M, Double-labeling postembedding immunogold for GluN2B (J, K) and GluN2D (L, M) in the S1 (J, L) and Pr (K, M). N, O, Summary bar graphs representing preferential expression of GluN2B (N) and GluN2D (O) at synapses on GABA(-) and GABA(+) postsynaptic compartments, respectively, in the S1 and Pr. **p < 0.01 (U test). ***p < 0.001 (U test). Scale bars: A–D, 1 mm; E, G, H, I, 100 µm; F, J, 50 µm; J–M, 200 nm.
whisker-related patterning of PV-positive interneurons in GluN2D−/− mice further suggests that advanced somatosensory development in GluN2D−/− mice is unlikely due to altered cytological differentiation and refinement of, at least partly, PV-positive interneurons. Thus, we assume that GluN2D-containing receptors might delay somatosensory development by modulating the activity and function of local interneurons, which construct feedforward and feedback inhibition to regulate the excitability, integration, and plasticity of the ascending projection neurons (Hensch et al., 1998; Klausberger et al., 2002; Freund, 2003; Markram et al., 2004; Katagiri et al., 2007).

In conclusion, GluN2B and GluN2D are organized in a pathway-dependent manner along the trigeminal pathway of the somatosensory system and play opposing roles in temporal

Figure 10. Normal cytodifferentiation of PV-positive interneurons in the S1 of GluN2D−/− mice. A–F, PV immunofluorescence in GluN2D+/+ (A–C) and GluN2D−/− (D–F) mice at P11. PV expression started to increase in the somatosensory cortex (S1) and retrosplenial cortex (RS) (A, D), and whisker-related patterning by PV expression is evident (B, C, E, F) in both mice. G–J, Double immunofluorescence for VGluT2 (red) and PV (green) shows that whisker-related patterning by PV-positive interneurons matches with that by thalamocortical afferents (G, H). In both mice, cell bodies and dendrites of PV-positive interneurons similarly form baskets surrounding barrel hollows, in which VGluT2(+) thalamocortical terminals are densely distributed (I, J). K, Double immunofluorescence for GluN2D (red) and PV (green) in GluN2D+/+ mice at P11. At this stage, PV is upregulated in some interneurons, including those expressing GluN2D (arrows). L, M, Whisker-related patterning by PV-positive interneurons is no longer seen in adult GluN2D+/+ (L) and GluN2D−/− mice (M). Scale bars: A, D, 1 mm; B, C, E, F (in C, F), 200 μm; G, H, L, M, 100 μm; I–K, 20 μm.
development and maturation of whisker-related central patterning.
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