



Title	Management of inland open water fisheries resources of Bangladesh : Issues and options
Author(s)	Hossain, Monir; Islam, Aminul; Ridgway, Stephen; Matsuishi, Takashi
Citation	Fisheries Research, 77(3), 275-284 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2005.11.010
Issue Date	2006-03
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/5810
Type	article (author version)
File Information	FR77-3.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

1 **Management of inland open water fisheries resources of Bangladesh: issues and options**

2 *Md. Monir Hossain^{ab*}, Md. Aminul Islam^b, Stephen Ridgway^c, Takashi Matsuishi^a*

3
4 ^a *Laboratory of Marine Bioresources Ecology*
5 *Graduate School of Fisheries Sciences*
6 *Hokkaido University*
7 *3-1-1 Minato-cho Hakodate*
8 *Hokkaido, 041-8611*
9 *Japan*
10 *Tel: +81 (0) 138 405505*
11 *Fax: +81 (0) 138 435015*
12 *Email : monir@fish.hokudai.ac.jp*

13
14 ^b *Department of Fisheries, Bangladesh*
15 *Matshya Bahban*
16 *Park Avenue, Ramna, Dhaka*
17 *Bangladesh*

18
19 ^c *Formerly of Hull International Fisheries Institute*
20 *University of Hull*
21 *Cottingham Road*
22 *Hull, East Yorkshire*
23 *HU6 7RX, UK*

24 **Running title:** Fisheries management in Bangladesh

25 * Corresponding author: Hossain. M.M. (*Email address: monir@fish.hokudai.ac.jp*)

1 **Abstract=200**

2 Despite the possession of a highly productive inland water area of about 45 thousand square
3 kilometers, the continuing decrease in fish catch increasingly threatens the livelihoods of more than 12
4 million fishers in Bangladesh. The government's open access and leasing policies have been identified
5 as the principal causes of catch decline. Conflicting demands on the inland aquatic area also accelerate
6 drastically the destruction of aquatic resources. Barriers to the access of fishers in the management of
7 inland fisheries resources and over-exploitation by the relatively wealthier non-fisher population are
8 reducing the options for sustaining the livelihoods of fishery dependent communities. The government
9 has pursued a range of initiatives to arrest this continued decline but none has appeared to be
10 appropriate. In this study we investigated the present fisheries management crisis of Bangladesh and
11 justified the opportunities for existing fisheries management to evolve towards community based
12 fisheries management (CBFM) to support the sustainable use of fisheries resources in future.

13
14 **Author Keywords:** Bangladesh; Community based fisheries management; Inland fisheries; Fisheries
15 policy; Resources degradation

1 **1. Introduction**

2 The challenges for sustaining multiple uses of aquatic resources are evident globally. Until
3 recently, traditional management of fisheries resources has commanded a low level of compliance
4 with management measures (Alam and Thomson, 2001; Nielsen et al., 2004) and resulted in over-
5 exploitation leading to resource degradation. Such management has often ignored the socio-economic
6 aspects of small-scale fisheries (World Bank, 1991), generating increasing calls for a tangible change
7 in the process. The most significant issues arising from attempts to change existing fisheries
8 management will be the need for resource protection and equity in the allocation of access to the
9 resource, both between and within the various user groups. However, many developing countries face
10 major constraints in capacity and the ability to identify and achieve implementation of long-term
11 sustainable policies (Rahman, 1992; Williams, 1996).

12 The country of concern here is Bangladesh, a South Asian country located between latitude
13 $20^{\circ}34'$ and $26^{\circ}39'$ north and longitude $80^{\circ}41'$ and $92^{\circ}41'$ east. It is bordered by India to the west,
14 north and the northeast, Myanmar to the southeast and the Bay of Bengal to the south (Fig. 1). It is a
15 small riverine developing country which covers an area of 144 thousand square kilometers with a
16 population of 140 million.

17 Its mostly flat terrain is crisscrossed by rivers which together with oxbow lakes (known as
18 Beels and Haors in Bangla), floodplains, marshes and deltas constitute the inland water bodies and
19 associated wetlands that are home to a wide variety of aquatic plants and animals (Craig et al., 2004;
20 Hoggarth et al., 1999) providing thousands of communities with a wide range of benefits including
21 rice production, irrigation facilities, communication facilities, water for rural household use, drinking
22 water, etc. The major river systems, along with their hundreds of tributaries, carry huge volumes of
23 nutrient-rich runoff from their catchments areas which is further enriched by the tropical climate and
24 the nutrients from soil and vegetation during its passage through the numerous flood plains,
25 particularly in the monsoon, when much of the country remains under water (Hossain, 2001; Ali,
26 1997).

1 In addition to varieties of aquatic organisms, a total of 260 indigenous freshwater bony fish
2 species suitable for human consumption, belonging to 145 genera and 55 families (Rahman, 1992),
3 constitutes a very rich aquatic bio-diversity (Fig. 2). Within these, Hilsha (*Tenualosa ilisha*) is perhaps
4 the most important single species and highly demanded in the local market. The annual catch of
5 Hilsha is over 0.20 million metric tonnes (Mmt) and accounts for 20% of the country's total fisheries
6 production (FAO, 1995a). Besides the large number of fresh water fish species, there are 24 species of
7 shrimps belonging to five families having a very high commercial value and playing an important role
8 in the economy. Fish from inland waters accounts for an average of 83% of the total fish protein and
9 most of the inland water fish species are only used for domestic consumption.

10 More than 70% of the population of the country lives in flood plain and coastal areas where
11 the fish and aquatic resources are perceived as exploitable natural capital assets but needing no
12 husbandry. The unrestricted access which fishing communities have to the rivers and floodplains
13 which are the ideal natural breeding grounds for many commercial and non-commercial fish species,
14 has significantly contributed to over-fishing and severe resource degradation. However, the denial of
15 access to certain public fishing grounds which have been leased to private leaseholders has also
16 contributed to making life more difficult for fishing communities.

17 Fish habitat destruction by the construction of roads and embankments, together with
18 drainage, flood control and natural siltation, along with weak implementation of outdated policy
19 measures by the government, have been commonly cited as causes for the deterioration of the
20 country's fishery resources. Increased use of pesticides and fertilizers for producing high yielding
21 varieties of food crops and rising industrial pollution are also contributing to the deterioration of the
22 aquatic environment (Ali, 1997). The situation has further been complicated by upstream damming in
23 the major river systems that significantly reduces the water level and raises much of the river beds,
24 thus dangerously modifying many of the aquatic habitats of the country. The conflicting demand for
25 agricultural production, particularly rice, encourages attempts to dry out the floodplains, reducing
26 open water areas and destroying their fisheries resources (Fig. 3). Furthermore, population growth,
27 rapid urbanisation and industrialisation are also imposing rapidly growing pressures on aquatic

1 resources (Alam and Thomson, 2001). Finally, the effects of global climate change, although as yet
2 difficult to predict accurately, are likely to place even further pressures on aquatic habitats. The cost
3 of responding to these pressures has significant implications for the economic development of the
4 country.

5 Despite the possession of huge aquatic resources, the country increasingly suffers from a high
6 level of resource degradation which has combined with a failure to promote and implement
7 appropriate policy measures to make the population of fisheries-dependent riverine communities very
8 vulnerable. By making use of secondary data sources, mainly reports of the Department of Fisheries,
9 research reports, case studies, NGO reports, scientific journal articles and other published materials
10 including internet resources, this paper aims to review the present fisheries management crisis of the
11 country and identify the scope of opportunities to evolve existing fisheries management strategies to
12 support future sustainable resource use.

13 **2. Brief history of national fisheries regulations**

14 Since Bangladesh's independence in 1971, a range of initiatives have been taken in
15 attempting to find an appropriate fisheries management strategy to halt the further decline of fisheries
16 resources. The government has pursued a largely centralized approach in managing the country's
17 inland fisheries resources. The National Fisheries Policy (NFP) is a major improvement, however, but
18 is viewed as failing to fully recognize the policy issues, including institutional issues, associated with
19 the fisheries sector (Craig et al., 2004). Moreover, the implementation of the NFP, which aimed to
20 place the control of water bodies in the hands of *bonafide* fishers, has been largely sabotaged by
21 powerful local interests (Toufique, 1997).

22 Despite rigorous government initiatives, the results suggest only a very limited success which
23 has not prevented the fisheries resources of the country moving towards further over-exploitation.
24 Until recently, the defining characteristic of fisheries management policies has been little - if any -
25 significant improvement in achieving their targets (Table 1). The impression is given that the existing

1 rules and regulations governing access to and exploitation of fisheries, together with the poverty of
2 many small-scale fishermen, makes the task of sustainable management of the fisheries resources very
3 difficult.

4 At the macro level, there appears to be an under-appreciation by the Government of
5 Bangladesh (GoB) and the international community of the importance of fisheries resources to rural
6 livelihoods and national economic growth. Hence, as has been seen over the last 30 years, fisheries are
7 still marginalized in public development policy and small-scale fisheries are scarcely mentioned in
8 national development plans. Budget allocations to the fisheries sector have declined over time in spite
9 of its increasing importance to the rural economy and nutrition (Alam and Thomson, 2001). This may
10 be mainly due to a lack of knowledge and communication concerning the role played by small-scale
11 fisheries particularly in the case of food security, poverty alleviation and employment generation in
12 the rural society of the country. Finally, it may also be a result of power structure or psychological
13 factors linked to the perception which policy-makers, civil society in general and some aid donors
14 have about small-scale fisheries.

15 Therefore, the country will have to urgently redefine its fisheries legislation and overhaul the
16 rules and processes if it is to deal with the changed crisis existing in the fisheries resources of the
17 country.

18 **3. Privatization and its consequences**

19 Until now, privatization of public fishing grounds through the so called ‘leasing system’ is
20 believed to have increased catches to some extent and provided immediate returns to the national
21 exchequer in Bangladesh. At a more specific level, such leasing increases restrictions on the rights of
22 access of the rural poor to resources on which their livelihoods have traditionally been deeply
23 dependent, making them more vulnerable to extreme poverty. Moreover, it has been identified by a
24 number of studies that the efficiency gains are quite insignificant relative to the social loss and
25 suffering associated with restricted fishing rights as a result of leasing the fishing grounds (Hossain et

1 al., 1999; Rahman et al., 1998).

2 More importantly, in free societies, the goals of revenue collection have been protection of
3 the rights of the individual and promotion of the well-being of society as a whole. For that reason,
4 poverty alleviation and equity of access have been considered as the central objectives of the fifth five
5 year national development plan of the country (DOF, 2003). Hence, if restricting access is required in
6 a given environment for increasing revenue and catch of the country, then it is very important to make
7 sure that the small-scale fishers and poor people are not excluded from the process as they obtain
8 almost all of their livelihoods and animal protein from capture fisheries.

9 The political and economic processes of the country are seriously threatening the livelihoods
10 of the small-scale fishers and landless families and this threat has been further exacerbated by the ever
11 increasing demands for aquatic resources in the country (Hoggarth et al., 1999). Apparently, at a more
12 general level, poverty, income inequality and lack of access to inland fisheries resources are the
13 important determinants of the conditions of the rural poor calling for immediate welfare support from
14 the state.

15 The degradation of property rights resulting from privatization and leasing together with the
16 social climate within which livelihoods based on fishing are pursued, strongly suggest that unless
17 revised management strategies, particularly aimed at the immediate elimination of the leasing system
18 are introduced and pursued, poverty and inequity in the rural areas of Bangladesh are likely to increase
19 over time.

20 **4. Institutional capacity and constraints**

21 In principle, the Department of Fisheries (DOF) within the Ministry of Fisheries and
22 Livestock (MOFL) is solely responsible for the management of Bangladesh's fisheries resources,
23 guided by centrally determined national targets. In reality, the inland fisheries domain of the country is
24 typically managed at three administrative levels: (a) leasing of water bodies covering an area of 20

1 acres or more for exclusive commercial exploitation by a district administration with a delegated
2 power from the Ministry of Land (MOL); (b) management of water bodies covering an areas of 10 to
3 20 acres by the Ministry of Youths and Sports through the registered organisation of trained youth;
4 and (c) leasing of areas covering less than 10 acres or less by the administration of the Thana, the
5 terminal administrative unit of the country. Aside from this administrative pattern, there are also
6 reservoirs and road-side water bodies which are administered by departments such as the Bangladesh
7 Water Development Board, the Railway Department and the Roads & Highways Department. It is
8 evident from this situation that DOF's role as having sole responsibility for administering and
9 managing the aquatic resources of the country has apparently been exercised only to a very limited
10 extent. For DOF to be able to take any development initiatives, particularly in the open water areas of
11 the country, the first requirement is for it to take control of water bodies from the MOL and others but
12 the lengthy bureaucratic process involved in doing so frequently causes unacceptable delays which
13 may so critically hamper a project's implementation that failure often results.

14 The effective capacity of the DOF to enforce the laws and to regulate what goes on in these
15 widely scattered, often isolated fishing grounds, is distinctly limited. During the rainy season, when
16 more than half of the country remains under water, the programme of surveillance over the activities
17 of 13 million or more fishers by a very small number of technical officers and supporting DOF staff
18 becomes very difficult (DOF, 2003). Particularly, the manpower and budget allocated for the purpose
19 of enforcement of the Hilsha fishery, provide DOF with only a weak and selective enforcement
20 capacity that results in an inability to control widespread illegal and destructive fishing practices.

21 In terms of technical capability, there is no evidence that any technical management models,
22 e.g. surplus production or yield-per-recruit, have ever been applied by DOF to identify optimal harvest
23 strategies. This probably reflects a combination of paucity of resources and institutional capacity of
24 DOF to conduct such assessments for describing the dynamic multi-species fisheries of the country.

25 During the last three decades, different types of projects have been implemented by DOF

1 including some access management oriented projects such as the Third Fisheries Project (Ali and
2 Islam, 1998; Ahmad et al., 1998) and the Oxbow Lakes Project (Hasan and Middendorp, 1998). Most
3 of these projects have been designed and implemented with a very limited consultation especially at
4 the community level and a general absence of a coherent strategic framework for a review of the
5 sector. Some few of those projects have targeted reforming the fisheries sector and designing an
6 appropriate inter-sectoral coordination structure; however, the economic modelling of such projects
7 has indicated a negative impact on the poor.

8 Thus, the growing number of existing and planned national pilot projects is not a guarantee of
9 sustainable and systemic improvements of the resources unless an agile and accomplished
10 implementing agency, including proper policy measures, is put in place to respond to the immediate
11 needs and tasks.

12 **5. National resources status and targets**

13 Compared to its total cultivable area, Bangladesh has a fairly large inland open water area
14 (Fig. 4) for which, however, there is a general absence of reliable resource status information. There is
15 no evidence that the comparison of the real status of resources and the exploitation rate has been made
16 within the socio-economic context of the country, which is very important for policy makers to be able
17 to assess the performance of existing activities. In practice, there have been many changes that have
18 already taken place or are in progress affecting fisheries resources overall, including increase of
19 fishing effort and increase of total demand for fish within the country. In the early sixties, the inland
20 fisheries of Bangladesh contributed about 90% of the total fish production of the country; now
21 however, they account for around 36% only (DOF, 2003). During this period, population has
22 increased twofold (Fig. 5) and per capita fish consumption has fallen - from 11kg in 1970 to 7.5kg by
23 the late 1990s. Moreover, the present output of the capture fishery which takes place over 90% of the
24 total inland area of the country contributes less than that of the culture fishery which takes place in
25 only 10% of the total area (Fig. 6).

1 A national target was set to raise per capita daily fish consumption of low-income people in
2 rural areas to the level of 25.6–34.4 g by the terminal year 2002 from the existing level of 12.10 g
3 (DOF, 2002). To achieve this, based on an estimated total population, the required production of fish
4 should be raised to 2.30 Mmt; since the reported catch amounts only 1.40 Mmt (DOF, 2002), the
5 projected gap between demand and supply shows that achievement of this target is unlikely to happen
6 in the near future (Fig. 7). A reported steady monotonic increase in landings from some inland aquatic
7 areas may reflect more the result of a desperate effort to catch the last fish from a particular water
8 body rather than being a significant response to the increasing demand of an expanding population
9 (Fig. 8).

10 Fishing is perhaps only second in importance to rice production as a livelihood strategy and
11 subsistence fishing is carried out by almost everyone in the rural areas of the country with access to a
12 water body (Hoggarth et al., 1999; Hossain, 2001). Besides the non-professional fishers, the
13 continuous activities of over two million professional fishers, usually from the poorest section of
14 society, offers no chance of any immediate effort reduction in the country but rather guarantees the
15 continuation of a very high level of overexploitation and increased scarcity of many target fish species.
16 In confirmation, out of a total 260 inland fish species, 54 face different categories of threats, of which
17 12 are critically endangered, 28 are endangered, and 14 are vulnerable (FAO, 2002). Moreover, at
18 least 35% of the wetland-dependent mammal, amphibian, and reptile species are also either extinct,
19 threatened, or commercially threatened (NERP, 1995). More importantly, this resource degradation
20 has resulted in a chronic decline in the fisheries sector's contribution to total export earnings (Fig. 9),
21 a fact which is especially alarming given the largely agro-based economy of the country. The fact is
22 that government has been found to be critically struggling in solving the present crisis affecting the
23 most important fisheries of the country and the compliance with rules and regulations by fishers has
24 generally been low.

25 Ultimately, the country will need to ensure some form of more active representation by user
26 groups in the processes of surveillance, protection and enhancement of its aquatic resources if it is to

1 fully achieve the stated national targets to which it aspires.

2 **6. Choosing an option: Community Based Fisheries Management (CBFM) is consistent to the**
3 **reality**

4 The Constitution of Bangladesh unequivocally undertakes that the state shall endeavour to
5 ensure equality of opportunity to all citizens and shall adopt effective measures to remove social and
6 economic inequality to ensure equitable distribution of resources. From both the economic and social
7 standpoints, it is obvious that the inland water resources are important assets that should be managed
8 effectively with the highest priority. Under the prevailing socio-economic conditions, it is also
9 unlikely that government initiatives alone will guarantee adequate management for the protection of
10 the fisheries resources and better nutrition for the majority of the people.

11 Central to addressing the government's difficulties, Community Based Fisheries
12 Management (CBFM), as one of many possible forms of co-management, is being increasingly
13 proposed as a suitable fisheries resource management option for the country, which offers the
14 prospect of relief from some of the more negative aspects of a centralized management system
15 (Berkes 1991; Pomeroy and Williams 1994). As a remedy to problems created by other
16 management arrangements, the focus of CBFM is more on the direct involvement of resource
17 stakeholders in the planning and control of resource use, offering the potential for improving
18 resource sustainability. Furthermore, recent endorsements by International bodies regarding the need
19 for greater support to small-scale fisheries to move towards participatory management provide
20 legitimate grounds on which to convince the country over the introduction and implementation of the
21 CBFM approach, given the scale of employment and income provided by such fisheries and their role
22 in food security. The potential to increase the present fish catch of the country is great if its vast open
23 water areas were to be managed with a participatory approach under the leadership of DOF (Fig. 10).
24 Furthermore, the preliminary findings from the experiences of past and present pilot projects also
25 advocate that fostering the management of open water areas through community participation will be

1 a more promising route to achieving national and international policy objectives (Table 2).

2 Faced with the complex nature of its fisheries and the level of social involvement, along with
3 a limited national capacity, the government of Bangladesh should consider the implementation of
4 CBFM approaches as a feasible means of achieving its objectives in this sector.

5 **7. Conclusion**

6 Recognizing the existing constraints in the inland fisheries of Bangladesh, it is evident that review of
7 the fisheries sector policy must be seen within the context of the development of an appropriate
8 regime for the management of fisheries resources of the country. There is a positive potential for co-
9 management in the country, but this will only be successful under the right conditions. In this regard,
10 it is essential to develop a dynamic partnership between neighbouring communities and interest
11 groups on the one hand and the government on the other to support a greater participatory approach,
12 using the capacities and interest of the former complemented by the ability of the latter to offer
13 enabling legislation and administrative. Hence, co-management and its implementation are in its early
14 stages in the country, but may be the key to alternative fisheries resource management in future.

15 **Acknowledgements**

16 We are especially grateful to the staff of the Department of Fisheries, Bangladesh, in particular FRSS,
17 for providing necessary data. Special thanks go to the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science
18 and Technology, Japan, for financial assistance in conducting this research. The views expressed
19 herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the view of DOF.

1 **References:**

- 2 Ahmad, I., Bland, S.J.R., Price, C.R., Kershaw, R., 1998. Open water stocking in Bangladesh:
3 experiences from the Third Fisheries Project. In: Petr, T. (Ed.), *Inland Fishery Enhancements*. FAO
4 Fish. Tech. Pap. 374, pp. 337–350.
- 5 Ahmed, M., Capistrano, A.D., Hossain, M., 1997. Experience of partnership models for the co-
6 management of Bangladesh fisheries. *Fish. Manage. Ecol.* 4, 233–248.
- 7 Alam, M.F., Thomson, K.J., 2001. Current constraints and future possibilities for Bangladesh
8 fisheries. *Food Policy* 26, 297–313.
- 9 Ali, L., Islam, M.Z., 1998. An assessment of the economic benefits from stocking seasonal floodplains
10 in Bangladesh. In: Petr, T. (Ed.), *Inland Fishery Enhancements*. FAO Fish. Tech. Pap. 374, pp. 289–
11 308.
- 12 Ali, M.Y., 1997. *Fish, Water and People*. University Press Ltd, Dhaka.
- 13 Berkes, F. 1991. Co-management: the evolution in theory and practice of the joint administration
14 of living resources. *Alternatives* 18(2): 12-18.
- 15 BBS, 2003. *Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh: 2001*. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. The
16 Government of People’s Republic of Bangladesh. Dhaka.
- 17 Craig, J.F., Halls, A.S., Barr, J.J.F., Bean, C.W., 2004. The Bangladesh floodplain fisheries. *Fish. Res.*
18 66, 271–286.
- 19 DOF, 2002. *Fishery statistical year book of Bangladesh: 2001-2002*. Department of Fisheries,
20 Government of the People’s Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- 21 DOF, 2003. *Brief on Department of Fisheries Bangladesh*. Department of Fisheries, Ministry of
22 Fisheries and Livestock, Government of the People’s Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- 23 FAO, 1995a. *Fisheries statistics*. FAO, Rome. [online] URL: <http://apps.fao.org/>.
- 24 FAO, 1995b. *Code of conduct on responsible fisheries*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the
25 United Nations, Rome. [online] URL: <http://www.fao.org/fi/agreem/codecond/codecon.asp>

1 FAO, 1999. Management Guidelines for Asian Floodplain River Fisheries. Part 1: A spatial,
2 hierarchical and integrated strategy for adaptive co-management. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper
3 384/1.

4 FAO, 2002. Cold Water Fisheries in the Trans-Himalayan Countries. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper
5 431.

6 FAO, 2005. FAO Country profile and Mapping information system. FAO, Rome. URL:
7 <http://www.fao.org/countryprofiles/>

8 Hasan, M.R., Middendorp, H.A.J., 1998. Optimising stocking density of carp fingerlings through
9 modelling of the carp yield in relation to average water transparency in enhanced fisheries in semi-
10 closed water bodies in western Bangladesh. In: Petr, T. (Ed.), Inland Fishery Enhancements. FAO
11 Fish. Tech. Pap. 374, 159–168.

12 Hoggarth, D.D., 1999. Flood-plain river fishing in Asia - helping fishing communities through
13 management partnerships -Paths to Prosperity: Science and Technology in the Commonwealth
14 1999/2000'. Kensington Publications Ltd, London, UK.

15 Hoggarth, D.D., Cowan, V.J., Halls, A.S., Aeron-Thomas, M., McGregor, A.J.M., Welcomme, R.L.,
16 Garaway, C.A., Payne, A.I., 1999. Management Guidelines for Asian Floodplain River Fisheries.
17 Part 2: Summary of DFID Research. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 384/2, pp.177.

18 Hossain, M.M., Kabir, M.S., Thompson, P.M., Islam, M.N., Kadir, M.M., 1999. Overview of the
19 community based fisheries management project. In: Middendorp, H.A.J., Thompson, P.M.,
20 Pomeroy, R.S. (Eds.), Sustainable Inland Fisheries Management in Bangladesh. ICLARM Conf.
21 Proc. 58. Manila.

22 Hossain, S.M., 2001. Biological aspects of the coastal and marine environment of Bangladesh. Ocean
23 Coast. Manage. 44, 261–282.

24 Middendorp, H.A.J., Thompson, P.M., 1999. Pomeroy, R. S., (Ed.). Sustainable Inland Fisheries
25 Management in Bangladesh. ICLARM Conf. Proc. 58, Manila.

26 NFP, 1998. National Fisheries Policy 1998. Department of Fisheries, Ministry of Fisheries and
27 Livestock, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka.

1 NERP, 1995. Northeast Regional Water Management Project; Wetland Resources Specialist Study,
2 Northeast Regional Water Management Plan, Bangladesh Flood Action Plan 6 (IEE NERP FAP 6).
3 Flood Plan Coordination Organisation. Water Development Board, Government of the People's
4 Republic of Bangladesh, Bangladesh

5 Nielsen, J.R., Degnbol, P., Viswanathan, K.K., Ahmed, M., Hara, M., Abdullah, R.M.N., 2004.
6 Fisheries co-management—an institutional innovation? Lessons from South East Asia and Southern
7 Africa. *Mar. Policy* 28,151–160.

8 Planning Commission, 1998. Fifth Five Year Plan, 1997–2002. Planning Commission, Government of
9 the People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

10 Pomeroy, R. S. and M. L. Williams. 1994. Fisheries Co-management and Small-scale Fisheries: A
11 Policy Brief. Manila: International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management.

12 Pomeroy, R.S., 1996. Devolution and Fisheries Co-Management. Fisheries Co-management Project
13 Research Report 3. ICLARM, Manila, The Philippines.

14 Rahman, A.K.A., 1992. Wetlands and Fisheries. In: Nishat, A., Hussain, Z., Roy, M.K., Karim, A.,
15 (Eds.), *Freshwater wetlands in Bangladesh: issues and approaches for management*. IUCN, The
16 World Conservation Union, Dhaka, pp. 47–62.

17 Rahman, M.M., Islam, A., Halder, S., Capistrano, A.D., 1998. Benefits of community Managed
18 Wetland Habitat Restoration: Experimental results from Bangladesh. Presented at "Crossing
19 Boundaries", the seventh annual conference of the International Association for the Study of
20 Common Property, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. IASCP 1998 Conference Proceedings.

21 Sultana, P., Thompson, P.M., 2000. Community Fishery Management Implications for Food Security
22 and Livelihoods. Corvallis, Oregon, USA, IIFET 2000 Conference Proceedings.

23 Thompson, P.M., Islam, M.N., Kadir, M.M., 1998. Impact of Government-NGO Initiatives in
24 Community Based Fisheries Management in Bangladesh. Paper presented at "Crossing Boundaries",
25 the seventh annual conference of the International Association for the Study of Common Property,
26 June 10-14, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. IASCP 1998 Conference Proceedings.

1 Toufique, K.A., 1997. Some observations on power and property rights in inland fisheries of
2 Bangladesh. *World Dev.* 25, 1–11.

3 Toufique, K.A., 2000. Property Rights, Livelihoods, and Poverty around Some Fishing Grounds in
4 Rural Bangladesh. Presented at "Constituting the Commons: Crafting Sustainable Commons in the
5 New Millenium", the Eighth Conference of the International Association for the Study of Common
6 Property, Bloomington, Indiana, USA, May 31-June 4. [online] URL:
7 <http://dlc.dlib.indiana.edu/archive/00000368/>

8 UN, 1945. Charter of the United Nations. [online] URL: <http://www.un.org/aboutun/charter/>

9 UN, 1992. United Nations Conference on the Environment and Development. Earth Summit, Rio.

10 UN, 2000. UN Millennium Declaration and Millennium Development Goals, United Nations, New
11 York, USA.

12 Viswanathan, K.K., Ahmed, M., Thompson, P.M., Sultana, P., Dey, M., Torell, M., 2002. ICLARM-
13 The World Fish Center's Experience with Social Research on Governance and Collective Action in
14 Aquatic Resources. Paper presented in the conference on the Role of Social Research in CGIAR:
15 Supporting the Strategy- Achieving Development Impact 11-14 September CIAT, Cali, Columbia.

16 Williams, M., 1996. The transition in the contribution of living aquatic resources to food security.
17 2020 Vision Discussion Paper 13. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, D.C.

18 World Bank, 1991. Bangladesh Fisheries Sector Review, Report No. 8830-BD. Agriculture
19 Operations Division, Asia Country Department, World Bank, Dhaka.

1 **Table legends**

2 Table 1 History of open water fisheries management policy changes in Bangladesh

3 Table 2 Consistency between policy objectives and the observed potential in CBFM projects of
4 Bangladesh

1 **Figure legends**

2 Fig. 1. Map of Bangladesh.

3 Fig. 2. Aquatic bio-diversity of the inland fisheries resources in Bangladesh (data from DOF, 2002).

4 Fig. 3. Relative reasons contributing to the catch decline and access reduction in the inland water area
5 (data from Toufique, 2000).

6 Fig. 4. Relative proportions in the total cultivable land area and inland water area (data from DOF,
7 2002).

8 Fig. 5. Population Growth trends in Bangladesh (data from BBS, 2003).

9 Fig. 6. Relative contribution of area and catch by capture and culture fisheries (data from DOF, 2002).

10 Fig. 7. Projected gaps between fish demand and supply (data from FAO, 2005).

11 Fig. 8. Relative catch increase claimed in the inland capture fishery (data from DOF, 2002).

12 Fig. 9. Decline in export earnings from fish (data from DOF, 2002).

13 Fig. 10. Catch variation with types of management practices (data from DOF, 2002 and Rahman et al.,
14 1998).

Table 1
History of open water fisheries management policy changes in Bangladesh

Period	Management initiatives	Main objectives	Major achievements and outcomes
Pre-colonial (Before 1757)	No report of management measures	The sole aim was to exploit the resources.	No report of scarcity. (Hossain, et al., 1999)
Colonial (1757-1971)	Feudal lords (zamindars) system.	The collection of tax in exchange for user rights by feudal lords on behalf of the colonial ruler.	Limited access for commercial purposes
	Innovation of East Bengal State Acquisition and Tenancy Act of 1950 The 1950 Fish Conservation Act.	End of the Feudal lords system Reversion of public water bodies to state control Restriction of using certain gears and fishing of juvenile and brood fish in order to replenishing wild stock through natural recruitment	Ministry of Land (MOL) obtained the authority and property rights for public water bodies Initiation of periodic leasing. (Hossain, et al., 1999) Weak enforcement and poor compliance
Post Colonial (1971 – to date)	The Marine Fisheries Ordinance, 1983	Conservation of marine resources	Poor compliance
	The Local Government (Union Parishad) Ordinance, 1983	To sell (lease out) fishing rights by local administrations and increase government revenue collection.	No specific conservation arrangements
	The Land Management Manual, 1990.	To provide authority and proprietary rights for MOL over state-owned water bodies over 20 acres. To maximize revenue income. (Toufique, 1997)	No specific conservation arrangements
	Amendment of The local Government (Union Parishad, 1983) Ordinance, 1993	To give authority to a Local Administration to sell all closed water bodies up to an area of 20 acres with an increase of 25% lease value over the previous year. (Toufique, 1997)	No specific conservation arrangements
	Stops of leasing Jolmohals (rivers and canals), 1995	To ensure open access of poor and landless fishers	Eliminated fishing restrictions and the revenue requirements
	Memorandum of Understanding, 1997, Ministry of Land (MOL)	To transfer all closed public water bodies up to an area of 20 acres to Ministry of Youths and Sports To create more employment opportunity for youth	Useful in employing trained young people
National Fisheries Policy (NFP), 1998	Identifying and organizing genuine fishers Gradual abolition of leasing with gear specific licensing. (Hossain, et al., 1999)	Attempt at equity and conservation (Craig, et al., 2004)	
The National Water Policy (Ministry of Water Resources, 1999)	Reserving wetlands for fish	Poorly implemented due to lack of inter-sectoral coordination.	

Table 2

Consistency between policy objectives and the observed potential of CBFM projects in Bangladesh

Fisheries sector policy objectives (NFP, 1998)	National Policy objectives (Planning Commission, 1998)	International endorsements	Observed potential of CBFM approach in Bangladesh
Increase fish production, fulfill the demand for animal protein	Increase production and food security	Self-sufficiency	Improved capital investment in achieving economic, social and environmental objectives (Sultana and Thompson, 2000, Nielsen <i>et al.</i> , 2004)
Alleviate poverty, expanding employment opportunities, improving socio-economic conditions	Poverty alleviation	Fighting poverty	Tangibly improves the life of the poorest of the poor (Hoggarth, 1999) Generates new employment opportunities (Sultana and Thompson, 2000) Initiates external supports (i.e. Micro-credit operation)
	Empowerment	Empowerment & gender development (UN, 1945, 2000)	Ensures increased access to the resources (Pomeroy, 1996) Empowerment & gender development achieved in project area as a result of increased awareness through training, education, and information exchange process
	Transparency	Transparency (UN, 2000)	Obtains an open, transparent, and autonomous management process (Viswanathan, <i>et al.</i> , 2002)
	Political and social stability	Prioritize human rights (UN, 2000)	Minimizes social conflicts and improves social cohesion, co-operation and coordination (Sultana and Thompson, 2000)
Achieve economic growth, maintain ecological balance, conserve biodiversity	Economic prosperity	Uniform development (World Bank, 1999; UN, 1945)	Promotes interdisciplinary approaches and inter-sectoral cooperation and coordination. (Middendorp and Thompson, 1999) Formulates integrated strategies for the expansion and diversification of economic activities
	Sustainability & conservation	Environmentally friendly development (UN, 1992)	Promotes understanding of sustainability (Middendorp and Thompson, 1999) Maximizes the use of indigenous knowledge and expertise to provide sustainability (Thompson, <i>et al.</i> , 1998) Enables the community to develop more sustainable and productive fishery management process (Ahmed, <i>et al.</i> , 1997)
	Decentralization	Equitable distribution (FAO, 1995b)	Decentralizes authority and responsibility (Hossain, <i>et al.</i> , 1999)
	Limiting government expenditure	Reducing overhead cost (FAO, 1999)	Direct involvement of users groups requires less to be spent for administration and enforcement purposes (Hossain, <i>et al.</i> , 1999)