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Abstract. To quantitatively evaluate the effect of carbonaceous aerosols on the south edge of the Tibetan Plateau, aerosol samples were collected weekly from August 2009 to July 2010 at Qomolangma (Mt. Everest) Station for Atmospheric and Environmental Observation and Research (QOMS, 28.36°N, 86.95°E, 4276 m a.s.l.). The average concentrations of organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC) and water-soluble organic carbon were 1.43, 0.25 and 0.77 µg m⁻³, respectively. The concentration levels of OC and EC at QOMS are comparable to those at high-elevation sites on the southern slopes of the Himalayas (Langtang and Nepal Climate Observatory at Pyramid, or NCO-P), but 3 to 6 times lower than those at Manora Peak, India, and Godavari, Nepal. Sulfate was the most abundant anion species followed by nitrate, accounting for 25 and 12 % of total ionic mass, respectively. Ca²⁺ was the most abundant cation species (annual average of 0.88 µg m⁻³). The dust loading, represented by Ca²⁺ concentration, was relatively constant throughout the year. OC, EC and other ionic species (NH₄⁺, K⁺, NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻) exhibited a pronounced peak in the pre-monsoon period and a minimum in the monsoon season, being similar to the seasonal trends of aerosol composition reported previously from the southern slope of the Himalayas, such as Langtang and NCO-P. The strong correlation of OC and EC in QOMS aerosols with K⁺ and levoglucosan indicates that they mainly originated from biomass burning. The fire spots observed by MODIS and backward air-mass trajectories further demonstrate that in pre-monsoon season, agricultural and forest fires in northern India and Nepal were most likely sources of carbonaceous aerosol at QOMS. Moreover, the CALIOP observations confirmed that air-pollution plumes crossed the Himalayas during this period. The highly coherent variation of daily aerosol optical depth (500 nm) between QOMS and NCO-P indicates that both slopes of the Himalayas share a common atmospheric environment regime. In addition to large-scale atmospheric circulation, the unique mountain/valley breeze system can also have an important effect on air-pollutant transport.

1 Introduction

The Tibetan Plateau (TP) and the surrounding Hindu Kush Himalayan mountains are known as the “third pole” of the Earth (Qiu, 2008) due to their immense area and high elevation (Yao et al., 2012). Because of the contrast of thermal heating between continent and ocean, the TP plays a fundamental role in the formation of the Asian monsoon system and Northern Hemispheric climatology (Wu and Zhang, 1998). The TP and Himalayas, with more than 100 000 km² of glaciers, contain the largest ice mass outside the polar region (Xu et al., 2009; Yao et al., 2012). Over the past decades, climate change impacts have been revealed due to a marked air temperature rise and dramatic glacier shrinkage across this area (Kang et al., 2010).
Due to sparse population and minimal industrial activities, the TP is considered one of the most pristine terrestrial regions, alongside the Arctic and Antarctic. However, growing evidence has demonstrated that widespread atmospheric brown clouds (ABCs) over south Asia may affect this region (Bonasoni et al., 2010; Kaspari et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2012; Xia et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2010). Research has attempted to reveal a link between climate change over the TP (e.g., air temperature rising, glacier melting) and the distribution of anthropogenic pollutants (mainly absorbing carbonaceous materials) (Qian et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2014b). Ramanathan and Carmichael (2008) reported that in the high Himalayan region, solar heating caused by black carbon (BC) could be approximately equivalent to the warming by $CO_2$ in terms of the melting of snowpack and glaciers.

Could we quantitatively differentiate the various factors that contribute to glacier melting, including aerosols, greenhouse gas and BC deposition on the snow surface? Clearly, to answer this question and reduce the uncertainties, adequate knowledge of the aerosol properties is urgently needed. Some scientists have used different models to reveal the importance of carbonaceous aerosol in this region (Menon et al., 2010; Qian et al., 2011; Yasunari et al., 2010). So far, most works on aerosol composition have been carried out on the south slope of the Himalayas, such as in Langtang, Nepal (Carrico et al., 2003), Godavari (Stone et al., 2010), Nepal Climate Observatory at Pyramid (NCO-P) (Decesari et al., 2010) and Manora Peak, India (Ram et al., 2010). Long-term aerosol chemistry measurements from the TP are extremely scarce mainly due to its remoteness and challenging weather conditions, with measurements limited to Lulang (Zhao et al., 2013), Waliguan (Ma et al., 2003), Namco (Ming et al., 2010) and Qinghai Lake (Li et al., 2013). As we know, no systematic data on carbonaceous aerosols from the south edge of the TP (i.e., the north slope of Himalayas) have been reported. From the spatial distribution of aerosols observed by satellites (e.g., MODIS, Fig. S1 in the Supplement), there was a clear difference between south Asia and Tibetan Plateau. Therefore, as the boundary area this region merits special attention.

In this paper, we present results from 1-year measurements of organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC), water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC) and major ions in the aerosols at Mt. Everest, the south edge of the TP. Our aim is to provide baseline levels of aerosols for this region, reduce the assessment uncertainties of aerosol radiative forcing and provide more information on their transport mechanism.

2 Methodology

2.1 Description of research site

In 2005, Qomolangma (Mt. Everest) Station for Atmospheric and Environmental Observation and Research (QOMS; 28.36° N, 86.95° E, 4276 m a.s.l.) (Fig. 1) was established to begin continuous monitoring of the environment (Ma et al., 2011). A solar-electricity system generates the power to maintain the instrumentation. According to the observations achieved so far, the Mt. Everest region (QOMS) is a typical representative of the middle Himalayas in terms of climate, air circulation systems and environmental characteristics (Chen et al., 2012; Li et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2011). Sandy soil with sparse grass and small rocks cover the land surface around the QOMS. Due to its harsh environment, QOMS is relatively isolated from industrial zones and cities, with a very limited local population (Ma et al., 2011).

2.2 Aerosol sampling

From August 2009 to July 2010, total suspended aerosol particle (TSP) samples were collected weekly at QOMS using medium-volume samplers (KC-120H, Laoshan Co.). During the sampling, the flow rate was automatically adjusted to...
100 L min$^{-1}$ at standard condition. The sampling duration of each sample was 24 h. Aerosols were collected using 90 mm diameter quartz filters (QMA, Whatman, UK), which were pre-combusted at 450 $^{\circ}$C for 6 h. Field blanks were collected every month by placing filters into the filter holder for a few minutes with no air flowing. After sampling, the filters were wrapped with aluminum foil and frozen until analysis. Eventually, 50 samples were successfully obtained.

2.3 OC and EC analysis

The quartz filters were analyzed for OC and EC using a carbon analyzer (DRI model 2001). Briefly stated, a filter aliquot (0.5 cm$^2$) was analyzed for eight carbon fractions following the IMPROVE-A thermal/optical reflectance (TOR) protocol (Cao et al., 2007; Chow et al., 2007). Four OC fractions (OC1, OC2, OC3 and OC4) were determined at 140, 280, 480 and 580 $^{\circ}$C in pure He atmosphere, which was subsequently switched to 2 % O$_2$/98 % He atmosphere to determine EC1, EC2 and EC3 at 580, 740 and 840 $^{\circ}$C, respectively. The residence time of each heating step was defined by the flattening of the carbon signal. The pyrolyzed carbon fraction (OPC) is determined when reflected laser light returns to its initial value after oxygen is introduced. In general, OC is defined as OC1 + OC2 + OC3 + OC4 + OPC and EC is defined as EC1 + EC2 + EC3 – OPC. The detection limit for the carbon analyzer was 0.05 µg C cm$^{-2}$ for OC and 0.05 µg C cm$^{-2}$ for EC.

2.4 Water-soluble ions and WSOC

An aliquot of filter (2.54 cm$^2$) was extracted with 10 mL ultrapure water with sonication for 30 min. The extracted solutions were filtrated with syringe-driven filters (MillexGV PVDF, 0.22 µm; Millipore, Ireland) to remove the quartz fiber debris and other insoluble impurities. Then the water-soluble ionic species (Cl$^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, Ca$^{2+}$, Na$^+$, K$^+$, Mg$^{2+}$ and NH$_4^+$) were analyzed using an ion chromatograph (761 Compact IC, Metrohm). Anions were measured with a suppressor on a Shodex SI-90 4E column using an eluent mixture of 1.8 mM Na$_2$CO$_3$, 1.7 mM NaHCO$_3$ and 40 mM H$_2$SO$_4$ at a flow rate of 1.2 mL min$^{-1}$. Cations were determined on a Metrohm C2-150 column with tartaric acid (4 mM) and dipicolinic acid (1 mM) as an eluent. The overall uncertainty in determining ionic species is less than 4 % (Miyazaki et al., 2010). The detection limit for all cations and anions was 0.01 µg m$^{-3}$, which was calculated according to the air volume of actual samples.

To quantify WSOC, a portion of filter (19.1 cm$^2$) was extracted and filtrated using the same procedure for major ions described above. Then the extract was injected into a total carbon analyzer (TOC-V, Shimadzu). The method detection limit used was 4 µg L$^{-1}$ with a precision of ±5 %. All the concentrations of carbonaceous and ionic components in this study are field-blank corrected. It should be noted that there are possible sampling artifacts by the adsorption/evaporation of gaseous organic materials on/from the quartz membrane. However, no quantitative information on such positive/negative artifact is available in this study; therefore, no correction was made for the data of carbonaceous components.

2.5 Determination of levoglucosan

Levoglucosan was determined by GC/MS after the extraction of the samples with a methanol/methylene chloride mixture followed by BSTFA derivatization. Details of the analytical procedure are presented elsewhere (Fu et al., 2008).

2.6 Meteorology and backward air-mass trajectories

At the QOMS station, various meteorological parameters (Fig. 2) were recorded by a 40 m atmospheric boundary layer tower that measures wind speeds, wind direction (014A-L, Met One), relative humidity, air temperature, air pressure (HMP45C, Vaisala) and rain intensity (TE525MM-L, Young) (Chen et al., 2012; Li et al., 2012). Monthly mean air temperature reaches a maximum of 12.3 $^{\circ}$C in July and a minimum in January of $-3.2 $^{\circ}$C. Humidity is highest in August and lowest in December. Precipitation was unevenly distributed throughout the year, with more than 90 % of annual precipitation occurring from June to September. According to the meteorological parameters at QOMS (Fig. 2), the climatology is roughly divided into four seasons, i.e., pre-monsoon, monsoon, post-monsoon and winter (the definition of different seasons was shown in Table S1). These seasons are generally in agreement with the seasonal definition made in a previous study in this region (Bonasoni et al., 2010). In general, this region is controlled by the Indian monsoon system in summer (June–August), characterized by relatively high temperature and humid weather with prevailing southerly winds. In the remaining period, westerlies dominate the large-scale atmospheric circulation patterns with limited precipitation.

To reveal the transport pathway of air masses that arrive at QOMS, 7-day backward trajectories were computed using the HYSPLIT model (Draxler and Rolph, 2012) and Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) data for each sampling day. Given the typical height of the planetary boundary layer in this region (Chen et al., 2012), the arrival height of air mass in these modeling was set to 500 m above ground level.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Characteristics and temporal variations of OC and EC

The statistical summaries of carbonaceous components in the aerosols from QOMS are presented in Table 1. The average concentrations of OC and EC in the aerosols from QOMS
were 1.43 ± 1.16 and 0.25 ± 0.22 µg m⁻³, respectively. The concentration levels of OC and EC at QOMS are about 3 times higher than those of Muztagh Ata, northwest TP (Cao et al., 2009), while they are comparable to those reported from the central and northeastern TP (Li et al., 2013; Ming et al., 2010) (Table 2). In contrast, OC and EC concentrations from the southeastern TP (Tengchong and Lulang) are significantly higher than those at QOMS, possibly due to the higher contribution of biomass burning (Engling et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2013). When compared with sites on the south slopes of the Himalayas, QOMS data present a similar order of OC and EC as NCO-P (Decebari et al., 2010) and Langtang (Carrico et al., 2003) but 3 to 6-fold lower than Manora Peak, India (Ram et al., 2010), and Godavari, Nepal (Stone et al., 2010). The latter two sites are at lower altitudes and are closer to the populated areas of south Asia, heavily influenced by anthropogenic emissions. Generally, the high-altitude sites on both sides of the Himalayas (i.e., Langtang, NCO-P and QOMS) exhibit similar OC and EC abundance, which could be considered as a regional baseline level to be used in the regional climate model as input parameters.

In a previous study, Ming et al. (2008) estimated atmospheric EC concentration in the region based on the analysis of an ice core from the East Rongbuk Glacier, Mt. Everest. Apparently, there is a big discrepancy between our EC data (annual average of 0.25 ± 0.22 µg m⁻³) and the EC data estimated by ice cores (average of 0.077 ± 0.045 µg m⁻³ during 1951–2001). One potential reason is that several parameters (e.g., scavenging ratio of EC) need to be assumed to convert the EC in the ice core to atmospheric concentration, which may introduce some uncertainty. Moreover, dramatically increasing trends of EC in the Himalayas and the TP ice cores have been reported (Cong et al., 2013; Kaspari et al., 2011), i.e., a 2.5 to 3-fold rise in recent decades compared to background conditions. Therefore, our EC data for 2009–2010, which are higher than the average EC concentration for 1951–2001, are reasonable.

The OC / EC ratios at QOMS range from 1.91 to 43.8 with an average of 6.69. Such high ratios are commonly found in different areas of the TP (Table 2). There are two potential reasons for those high OC / EC ratios. One reason may be a strong solar radiation (exceeding 7500 MJ m⁻²) over the TP, because substantial secondary organic carbon (SOC) could be formed through photochemical reaction (Wan et al., 2015). The other potential reason is the influence of biomass burning. Usually, the aerosols emitted from biomass burning have higher OC / EC ratios. For example, Watson et al. (2001) have reported an OC / EC ratio of 14.5 for forest fires. Considering the specific condition of this study (QOMS), the second reason is more likely, i.e., the strong influence of biomass-burning emissions. The higher abundance of OC than EC on the TP emphasizes that OC should not be ignored in the quantification of total radiative forcing of aerosol by climate models (Kopacz et al., 2011). Although some organic carbon has light-absorbing capability (i.e., brown carbon), the net effect of organic carbon on climate is negative (cooling) (Stocker et al., 2013), which may attenuate the positive radiative forcing caused by EC.

The temporal variations of the aerosol OC, EC and WSOC are illustrated in Fig. 3. Clearly, the OC, EC and WSOC share a significant seasonal pattern, i.e., a maximum in the pre-monsoon period and a minimum in the monsoon season. Higher abundance of OC and EC implies that the contributions from anthropogenic activities are larger in pre-monsoon than other seasons. Similar seasonal trends of aerosol composition were also reported previously on the south slopes of the Himalayas, such as in Langtang (Carrico et al., 2003) and NCO-P (Decebari et al., 2010). This phenomenon indicates that these regions (Mt. Everest), both slopes of the Hi-
as the ratios of OC / R

Table 1. Seasonal average abundances (along with standard deviation) of OC, EC, WSOC and water soluble ionic species (μg m⁻³), as well as the ratios of OC / EC and WSOC / OC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Annual</th>
<th>Pre-monsoon</th>
<th>Monsoon</th>
<th>Post-monsoon</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbonaceous components</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td>1.43 ± 1.16</td>
<td>2.61 ± 1.58</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.53</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC / EC</td>
<td>6.69 ± 6.33</td>
<td>6.63 ± 4.05</td>
<td>10.58 ± 11.95</td>
<td>5.56 ± 2.03</td>
<td>5.18 ± 3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSOC</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.60</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.87</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSOC / OC</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levoglucosan</td>
<td>0.019 ± 0.037</td>
<td>0.047 ± 0.064</td>
<td>0.004 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.014 ± 0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Water-soluble inorganic ions

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂⁻</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.37</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.54</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.66</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄⁺</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.16</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.07</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.56</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.48</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.75</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparison of OC and EC concentrations (μg m⁻³) and OC / EC ratios of aerosols from QOMS with other sites in the Himalayas and on the Tibetan Plateau.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sampling period</th>
<th>OC</th>
<th>EC</th>
<th>OC / EC</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QOMS</td>
<td>Southern TP(4276 m)</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>Aug 2009-Jul 2010</td>
<td>1.43 ± 1.16</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.22</td>
<td>6.7 (1.91–43.8)</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namco</td>
<td>Central TP (4730 m)</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>Jul 2006-Jan 2007</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.79</td>
<td>0.082 ± 0.07</td>
<td>31.9 ± 31.1</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>Ming et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muztagh Ata</td>
<td>Northwest TP (4500 m)</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>Dec 2003-Feb 2005</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>10 (2.9–32.1)</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>Cao et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qinghai Lake</td>
<td>Northeast TP (3300 m)</td>
<td>PM₁₀</td>
<td>Jul–Aug 2010</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.59</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.24</td>
<td>5.9 (1.85–21.8)</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>Li et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lulang</td>
<td>Southeast TP (3360 m)</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>Jul 2008–July 2009</td>
<td>4.28 ± 2.05</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.35</td>
<td>1.7–58.4</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tengchong</td>
<td>Southeast TP (1640 m)</td>
<td>PM₁₀</td>
<td>Apr–May 2004</td>
<td>5.8 ± 4.4</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>Engelbrecht et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manora Peak, India</td>
<td>Himalayas (1950 m)</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>Feb 2005-Jul 2008</td>
<td>8.2 ± 5.2</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>7.3 ± 3.4</td>
<td>TOT</td>
<td>Ram et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCO-P, Nepal</td>
<td>Himalayas(5079 m)</td>
<td>PM₁₀</td>
<td>Pre-monsoon 2006–2008</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>TOT</td>
<td>Deescari et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monsoon</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Post-monsoon</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dry season</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langtang, Nepal</td>
<td>Himalayas (3920 m)</td>
<td>PM₁₀</td>
<td>Jun–Sep 1999</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.69</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.16</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>TOT</td>
<td>Carrico et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct 1999–Jan 2000</td>
<td>1.81 ± 1.25</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.48</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feb–May 2000</td>
<td>3.44 ± 4.19</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.38</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godavari, Nepal</td>
<td>S. Himalayas (1600 m)</td>
<td>PM₂₅</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>4.8 ± 4.4</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>TOT</td>
<td>Stone et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

malayas, have a common atmospheric environmental regime, although the high altitude of the Himalayas was once considered a good barrier for the spreading of atmospheric pollutants in south Asia. This point will be further discussed in Sect. 3.5.

3.2 Relationship between OC and EC

Examining the relationship between OC and EC can provide meaningful insights into the origin and possible reaction process during the transport (Turpin and Huntzicker, 1995). At QOMS, a strong correlation ($R^2 = 0.81$) was observed between OC and EC during the pre-monsoon season (Fig. 4a), indicating common emission sources and transport processes. The correlation coefficients between OC and EC in the other three seasons were lower than that of the pre-monsoon season (Fig. 4b, c, d), with the lowest correlation observed in the summer monsoon season ($R^2 = 0.08$), suggesting that there are other influences. In addition to the common emission sources (e.g., fossil fuel and biomass burning), OC could also be produced by biogenic sources and the formation of secondary OC. The relative importance of different sources and/or formation processes merits a further study.

SOC has often been calculated from the primary OC / EC ratio (EC-tracer method) ($OC_{pri} = EC \times (OC / EC)_{min}$).
OC_{sec} = OC_{tot} - OC_{pri}), which is assumed to be relatively constant for a given site (Turpin and Huntzicker, 1995). The lowest OC / EC ratio in the aerosol was suggested for use as the primary source to calculate the SOC abundance (Castro et al., 1999), when the secondary production of OC is expected to be minimal. However, for the samples from QOMS, we found that calculating SOC formation using this method was not reliable. The minimum OC / EC ratios differ greatly among various seasons (3.40, 3.78, 1.91 and 2.67 for pre-monsoon, monsoon, post-monsoon and winter, respectively). Even for each season (11–13 samples for each season), the lowest three values of OC / EC ratios also varied substantially. Therefore, the SOC formation estimated by the conventional EC-tracer method is not presented here.

3.3 Water-soluble organic carbon

The WSOC in aerosols, a major proportion of total organic carbon, could affect the hygroscopic property of the particles and their ability to act as cloud condensation nuclei (Psichoudaki and Pandis, 2013). The abundance of WSOC relative to OC could be employed as an indicator to decipher whether organic aerosol is primary or secondary, because SOC usually tends to be more water soluble than primary organic matter (Psichoudaki and Pandis, 2013). The concentration of WSOC at QOMS varied from 0.07 to 3.22 μg m\(^{-3}\), with an average of 0.77 μg m\(^{-3}\) (Table 1). The average WSOC / OC ratios at QOMS were 0.47, 0.59, 0.62 and 0.57 for pre-monsoon, monsoon, post-monsoon and winter, respectively. The lowest WSOC / OC in pre-monsoon indicated the dominant contribution from primary emission sources with poor aging and less SOA (secondary organic aerosol) formation. Furthermore, in the pre-monsoon season, the WSOC concentration exhibited a significant positive correlation with OC (\(y = 0.54x - 0.12, R^2 = 0.94\)), which could be ascribed to the influence of biomass combustion. Previous studies have revealed that organic matters emitted from biomass burning were substantially composed of water-soluble polar organic compounds, including dicarboxylic acids, sugars, aromatic acids, etc. (Claeys et al., 2010; Fu et al., 2012; Kundu et al., 2010). No evident correlation was found between WSOC and OC in other seasons when OC concentrations were low (Fig. 5).

3.4 Water-soluble ionic species

Sulfate was the most abundant anion species followed by nitrate, accounting for 25 and 12 % of total ionic mass, respectively (Table 1). Ca\(^{2+}\) was the most abundant cation species with an annual average of 0.88 μg m\(^{-3}\). Cl\(^-\) and Na\(^+\) only consisted of a very minor portion of total ions, indicating that at QOMS the influence of sea salt is negligible. Water-soluble Ca\(^{2+}\) is a typical tracer of crustal material (dust) (Ram et al., 2010). At QOMS, the time series of Ca\(^{2+}\) was somewhat uniform throughout the years (Fig. 6), implying that the mineral dust loading at QOMS is relatively constant. This pattern was obviously in contrast to other ionic species (NH\(_4^+\), K\(^+\), NO\(_3^-\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\)). The temporal variation patterns of Ca\(^{2+}\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\) are different (Fig. 6), and thus the correlation is not strong (\(R^2 = 0.27\)), which excludes the possibility that they predominantly co-occurred in some minerals (e.g., gypsum).

Soluble potassium (K\(^+\)) is a good tracer of biomass burning (Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Cachier et al., 1995). In our study, the K\(^+\) concentrations were below detection limit.
in most samples, but K⁺ concentrations did show peaks in the pre-monsoon season (Fig. 6). Furthermore, K⁺ and EC demonstrated a good relationship \((R^2 = 0.66, n = 9)\) during that period, indicating that they were both derived from biomass burning (Fig. 7c). A significant correlation between NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻ was not surprising (Fig. 7a), because they generally form from the oxidation of NOₓ and SO₂, which are closely related to fossil-fuel combustion. In the pre-monsoon season with a high abundance of NH₄⁺ (Fig. 6), NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ exhibited a good correlation \((R^2 = 0.80, n = 9)\), implying that they are present as NH₄NO₃ in the aerosol particles.

The seasonal variation of biomass burning (K⁺) coincided with that of ions associated with the fossil-fuel combustion (NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, and SO₄²⁻), suggesting that in the pre-monsoon season, QOMS might have received mixed anthropogenic pollution. But another explanation is more plausible. According to earlier observation by transmission electron microscopy (Li et al., 2003), large amounts of K₂SO₄ and KNO₃ were present in aged smoke aerosols from biomass burning. Andreae et al. (1988) pointed out that haze aerosol from biomass burning is comprised of abundant NH₄⁺, K⁺, NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻. Similarly, NH₄⁺, K⁺, NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻ are also reported as major water-soluble inorganic ions in aerosols from biomass burning on the southeastern Tibetan Plateau (Engling et al., 2011). In addition to K⁺, levoglucosan is also used as a specific marker for biomass burning, which is formed by the pyrolysis of cellulose but not formed by fossil-fuel combustions (Simoneit et al., 1999). In the pre-monsoon season, EC, OC and K⁺ show good correlations with levoglucosan (Fig. 8), which further indicates that carbonaceous components in QOMS aerosols were predominantly from biomass burning.

### 3.5 Transport mechanism of aerosols

Seven-day backward air-mass trajectories corresponding to each sampling date were calculated using the HYSPLIT model (Draxler and Rolph, 2012). Seven days were chosen because of the typical residence time of carbonaceous aerosols in the atmosphere. The trajectories were generally consistent with other descriptions of air-circulation patterns in previous studies (Cong et al., 2009) that correspond to the south Asian monsoon regime (Fig. 9). In the summer
monsoon season, air masses are derived from Bangladesh and northeast India and bring moisture that originates in the Bay of Bengal. In the non-monsoon season, strong westerlies pass through western Nepal, northwest India and Pakistan (i.e., southern Himalayas). Although the transport pathways of air masses arriving at QOMS during pre-monsoon, post-monsoon and winter are similar (Fig. 9), a distinctly higher carbonaceous aerosol level was found only in the pre-monsoon season (Fig. 3), which emphasizes the importance of source strength changes.

According to the previous ABC research (Ramanathan et al., 2005) and the emission inventory (Wang et al., 2014a), a high loading of atmospheric pollutants exists over the southern slopes of the Himalayas and was pronounced in the pre-monsoon season. We further checked the biomass-burning emissions from different seasons using the active fire product from MODIS (MODeate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer, both Terra and Aqua data set), which was provided by Fire Information for Resource Management System (FIRMS, https://earthdata.nasa.gov/firms). Figure 10 clearly shows that the active fire counts (representing the agricultural burning and forest fires) peaked in pre-monsoon (April). This finding is in agreement with the vegetation fire study on the southern slopes of the Himalayas by Vadrevu et al. (2012). In general, the seasonal pattern of carbonaceous components (OC, EC and WSOC), their strong correlation with $K^+$ and levoglucosan and the air-mass trajectories and active fire spots distribution all suggest that the higher loadings of carbonaceous aerosols in the pre-monsoon season at QOMS were most likely affected by the biomass burning (agricultural and forest fires) in northern India and Nepal.

In addition to the large-scale atmospheric circulation, the local orographic effect on air-pollutant transport should also be taken into account (Hindman and Upadhyay, 2002). In mountainous areas, because of the temperature difference between mountaintop and lowland, a diurnal valley wind system occurs that blows upward during the day and reverses downward during the night. As shown by Bonasoni et al. (2010), the wind regime at NCO-P (southern slope of the Himalayas) was characterized by an evident daily circle of mountain/valley breeze. During the daytime, the valley winds (southerly) were predominant with maximum wind speed in the afternoon. Therefore, the daytime up-valley breeze delivered the air pollutants from the foothills (south Asia ABC) to higher altitudes (> 5000 m a.s.l.). Aerosol mass concentration, BC and ozone at NCO-P exhibit strong diurnal cycles, with minima during the night and maxima during the afternoon, especially in the pre-monsoon season (Decesari et al., 2010; Marinoni et al., 2010). However, distinct mountain/valley breeze circulation was observed on the northern slopes of the Himalayas (QOMS). A dominating down-valley wind occurs on the north side of Mt. Everest in the daytime, especially in the afternoon. Furthermore, the driving force of the vast snow cover at high altitude could form a “glacier wind”, and the up-valley air flow produced by

"Figure 8. The relationship between EC, OC, $K^+$ and levoglucosan in aerosols at QOMS during the pre-monsoon season, 2010."
intense ground surface heating is overcome by down-valley air flow “glacier wind” and “mountain wind” (Chen et al., 2012; Zou et al., 2008). Therefore, daytime intense valley wind circulation could make the valleys efficient channels for the transport of air pollutants crossing over the Himalayas (Fig. S2), i.e., from the low altitude of south Asia to the Tibetan Plateau.

Because both QOMS and NCO-P have sun photometers and participated in the AERONET project, the same instrument (Cimel 318), the same data processing method and simultaneous observation between QOMS and NCO-P make it possible to compare aerosol optical depth (AOD) data directly between the two slopes of the Himalayas (Xu et al., 2014; Gobbi et al., 2010). As shown in Fig. 11, the daily AOD (500 nm) of QOMS and NCO-P varied in a highly similar pattern (the correlation significant at $p < 0.001$), which suggests that the observation at QOMS can also capture the pollution signals as NCO-P. Recently, Lüthi et al. (2014) investigated the transport mechanisms of pollutants across the Himalayas using a high-resolution model. They found some trajectories with low altitudes originate from the TP and then flow down through valleys to the foothills of the Himalayas during nighttime, where they can mix with air pollutants, and are then blown onto the TP again during daytime. For the vertical distribution of aerosols, two examples of such transport episodes revealed by CALIOP satellite, now provided in the Supplementary Information (Fig. S3), clearly showed that the pollution plumes from south Asia could transport across the Himalayas during the pre-monsoon season.

We roughly estimated the timescale for air masses transported from the southern slope of Mt. Everest (NCO-P) to QOMS. The straight distance between the two sites is about 40 km, and along the valley the real distance is about 50 km if we consider the terrain effect (Fig. 1). The average wind speed in pre-monsoon season is 7.86 m s$^{-1}$ (Table S1). This means that the air mass could travel from the southern slope of Mt. Everest and reach QOMS in less than 2 h, even at the average wind speed. These results demonstrate that at QOMS we can capture the air-pollution signal from the southern Himalayas. This air-mass transport of pollutants caused by mountain terrain along the valley was also supported by WRF modeling, i.e., at the upper valley there is a pronounced southerly flow onto the Tibetan Plateau (Bonasoni et al., 2010). In this study, a similar seasonal trend of aerosol composition was revealed between the southern and northern slopes of the Himalayas. The most probable explanation is that the local mountain/valley breeze circulation (south-to-north air flow) acts as the connection for the air pollutants crossing the Himalayas.
4 Summary and conclusions

A comprehensive knowledge of aerosol chemistry is crucial for assessing anthropogenic influences and evaluating the effect of radiative forcing. This research presents the first data set of carbonaceous aerosols for the south edge of the Tibetan Plateau. The average concentrations of OC and EC in the aerosols at QOMS were 1.43 and 0.25 µg m$^{-3}$, with a standard deviation of 1.16 and 0.22 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively. The high-altitude sites from both sides of the Himalayas (i.e., Langtang, NCO-P and QOMS) exhibit similar OC and EC abundances, which could be considered as a regional baseline level to be used as input parameters in the regional climate model. The most striking finding in this study is that carbonaceous components (OC, EC and WSOC) and several ionic species (NH$_4^+$, K$^+$, NO$_3^-$ and SO$_4^{2-}$) exhibit a clear seasonal pattern with concentration maxima in the pre-monsoon season (March, April and May). A strong correlation ($R^2 = 0.81$) was observed between OC and EC during the pre-monsoon season, indicating their common emission sources and transport process. The EC and OC show good correlations with biomass-burning tracers (K$^+$ and levoglucosan), which further suggests that carbonaceous components in QOMS aerosols mainly originate from biomass burning. Based on the active fire spots observed by MODIS and backward trajectories, we found that in pre-monsoon, agricultural and forest fires in northern India and Nepal are the most likely sources of carbonaceous aerosol at QOMS. In addition to large-scale atmospheric circulation (south Asia monsoon system and westerlies), local mountain wind systems can also play an important role. The south-to-north airflow along mountain valleys in the Himalayas could closely connect the atmospheric environment between the two sides of the Himalayas. Research with a higher time resolution (diurnal) is imperative in the future to deepen our understanding of such important processes.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/acp-15-1573-2015-supplement.
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