<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Biot's Effective Stress Coefficient of Rocks for Peak and Residual Strengths by Modified Failure Envelope Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Dassanayake, Anjula Buddhika Nayomi; Fujii, Yoshiaki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue Date</td>
<td>2014-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doc URL</td>
<td><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2115/58548">http://hdl.handle.net/2115/58548</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>proceedings (author version)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hokkaido University Collection of Scholarly and Academic Papers: HUSCAP
Biot’s effective stress coefficient of rocks for peak and residual strengths by modified failure envelope method

A.B.N. Dassanayake & Y. Fujii
Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan

ABSTRACT: Kimachi sandstone and Shikotsu welded tuff were tested in single and multistage triaxial tests to determine the Biot’s effective stress coefficient (α). Pure water saturated 30 mm in diameter and length of 60 mm cylindrical test specimens were introduced for triaxial compression, with strain rate at $10^{-5} \text{s}^{-1}$. For Kimachi sandstone, α value for peak strength decreased with effective confining pressure. α values for residual strength were almost constant and larger than the case of peak strength. For Shikotsu welded tuff, only two data points were obtained for peak strength due to pore collapse and α value for residual strength decreased with effective confining pressure. The multistage test has given a fair evaluation of the coefficient for peak strength of Kimachi sandstone. Number of specimens and variation from specimen to specimen can be reduced by using multistage tests although further considerations are required to obtain the coefficient for residual strength.

1 INTRODUCTION

Experimental determination of Biot’s effective stress coefficient, α for peak and residual strengths is very important in rock engineering problems because failure criteria for strengths of rocks are written by effective stress.

The concept of effective stress was first introduced by Terzaghi (Terzaghi, 1936) for soil, which is commonly known as the Terzaghi’s effective stress principle. It states that the effect of the total stress $\sigma$ and pore pressure $P_p$ can be denoted by a single parameter which is known as effective stress $\sigma'$ defined as,

$$\sigma' = \sigma - P_p$$

Terzaghi’s effective stress principle is not always valid for the fluid related rocks. Therefore, the Biot’s effective stress coefficient was suggested by Biot and Willis in 1957 to modify the effective stress principle and the effective stress principle finally is given by,

$$\sigma' = \sigma - \alpha P_p$$

where $\alpha$ is the Biot’s effective stress coefficient which denotes the ratio of the area occupied by the fluid to the total area in a cross section in the porous material (Bear, 1972) and is the key parameter that quantifies the contribution of pore pressure to the effective stress. For the granular soil, the contact area among grains is very small, thus it is possible to assume that a cross section which is considered is almost occupied by the fluid. Hence, the corresponding effective stress coefficient $\alpha$ approximately equals to 1. In rock materials composed of crystallization or cementation, grain to grain contact is considerably higher and it is not possible to assume that the cross section is almost occupied by the fluid. Consequently the corresponding effective stress coefficient $\alpha$ will be less than 1.

The Biot’s effective stress coefficient, $\alpha$, is usually calculated from experimental results within the elastic region based on the poroelasticity theory. Values for peak and residual strengths are important when using it to evaluate rock failure, but $\alpha$ obtained as above does not have to be valid for the strengths because rocks exhibit an inelastic behavior. Failure envelope method proposed by Franquet & Abass (1999) evaluates $\alpha$ based on peak strength. This method needs a large number of specimens. They however showed just two data for a rock, and a tedious and not precise trial and error method was used for the evaluation. The authors propose a Modified Failure Envelope Method (MFEM) and use it to evaluate $\alpha$ for peak and residual strengths of Shikotsu welded tuff and Kimachi sandstone.

MFEM also needs rather many specimens. Multistage test is examined to reduce the number of specimens as well as the error caused by differences of mechanical properties from specimen to specimen.

2 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Modified failure envelope method

Strength of rock can be analyzed in an effective confining pressure-differential stress plane by correlating strength parameters measured at different boundary conditions (Fjaer et al., 2008). MFEM incorporates this concept to evaluate Biot’s effective stress coefficient. MFEM requires constructing a failure envelope
2.2 Laboratory tests

2.2.1 Specimen and Sample Preparation

Two types of rock blocks were considered in this research namely Shikotsu welded tuff, as an example of a soft pyroclastic rock and Kimachi sandstone as a medium-hard clastic rock. The Shikotsu welded tuff was sampled at Hokkaido, Japan. It presents distinct welded structure which consisted of plagioclase, hypersthene, augite, hornblende, and transparent glass. The grain sizes of the minerals were 0.5–1.2 mm for plagioclase, about 0.5 mm in size for augite, 0.3–0.8 mm for hypersthene and 0.31–1.0 mm for hornblende (Doi, 1963). The Kimachi sandstone was sampled at Shimane prefecture, Japan, and was a relatively well-sorted clastic rock with a typical grain size range of 0.41–0.9 mm. It consisted mostly of rock fragments of andesite; crystal fragments of plagioclase, pyroxene, hornblende, biotite, and quartz; calcium carbonate and iron oxides; and matrix zeolites (Dhakal et al., 2002).

Firstly, the P-wave velocities of the rock blocks were measured with 140 kHz sensors to determine the anisotropy. Core boring was carried out in the direction of the slowest P-wave velocity to a diameter of 30 mm and cut to a length of 65 mm. End faces of specimens were ground to the length of 60 mm with a parallelism of 2/100.

The specimens were made fully pure water saturated under a vacuum condition. Then the samples were attached to stainless steel endpieces, having a central hole for water seepage, and silicone sealant was coated on the specimen. The specimen with the endpieces was jacketed with heat shrinkable tube and thus isolated from confining water and it was saturated with pure water for 24 hours.

2.2.2 Single stage triaxial tests

The jacketed sample was set in the ultra compact triaxial cell (Alam, 2014) after it was saturated with pure water for 24 hours. After completing the experimental set up (Fig. 3), axial stress, confining pressure and pore pressures were introduced successively up to the target values as in Table 1 (Fig. 2).

Axial compression was introduced at a constant axial strain rate of $10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ ($0.036 \text{ mm/min}$) until the axial strain reached to 5%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confining pressure (MPa)</th>
<th>Pore pressure (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 1 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 1 4 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0 1 4 9 14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Evaluation of $\alpha$ by the modified failure envelope method.

Figure 2. Procedure to reach the target confining pressure, pore pressure and compression phase of triaxial test.
2.2.3 Multistage triaxial tests

In conventional single-stage triaxial test, a large number of specimens are required to obtain the complete strength envelope in which a confining pressure is set and the axial load is applied until the specimen fails as explained above. But in multi-stage triaxial test, test conditions are changed to the next level just before the peak loading point; imminent failure point and consequently one multi-stage triaxial test may give the complete failure envelope.

In multi-stage triaxial test, only two samples per each rock type were required. One sample was tested without applying pore pressure. The confining pressure was increased stepwise to 2 MPa, 5 MPa, 10 MPa and 15 MPa. After confining pressure was introduced successively up to the 1st target value (2 MPa), axial compression was introduced at a constant axial strain rate of $10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$ (0.036 mm/min) till it reach for the first imminent failure point. After the first imminent failure point, the differential stress is released completely (Youn & Tonon 2010) and the confining pressure was hydrostatically increased to the second imminent failure point, and so on. The imminent failure point was defined by the region of the stress–axial strain curve where the tangent modulus approaches zero. The second sample was tested by applying pore pressure. In this test, confining pressure and pore pressure were introduced successively up to the 1st target value (15 MPa and 14 MPa) and then, axial compression was introduced at the same strain rate till it reach for the 1st imminent failure point. After the first imminent failure point, pore pressure was reduced to the next level while maintaining a constant confining pressure of 15 MPa and introduced the axial stress to the second imminent failure point. This procedure was repeated for 6 steps as illustrated in Table 2. Before plotting the peak differential stresses for second sample ($PDS_2$), the stresses were corrected as,

$$PDS_2 = \frac{PDS_0}{PDS_2^0} \cdot PDS_2$$

where $PDS_0^0$ denotes peak differential stress of $i$-th specimen under zero pore pressure.

Table 2. Target values of confining and pore pressures for multistage test with pore pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confining pressure (MPa)</th>
<th>Pore pressure (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Stress paths of multi-stage triaxial test – with zero pore pressure.
3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the single stage tests, 14 samples were tested for each rock type with and without pore pressure as in Table 1 (Figs. 5 and 6). In Kimachi sandstone, an increase in peak strength (Fig. 7a), residual strength (Fig. 8a), and a transition from typical brittle to ductile behavior (Fig 5a) with increasing confining pressure were clearly observed. The inverse happens when introducing pore pressure. There is a transition from ductile to brittle behavior as pore pressure is increased (Fig 5b).

Figure 5. Differential stress versus stoke based strain for different confining pressures and pore pressures for Kimachi sandstone. a) Effect of confining pressure b) Effect of pore pressure.

Figure 6. Differential stress versus stoke based strain for different confining pressures and pore pressures for Shikotsu welded tuff. a) Effect of confining pressure b) Effect of pore pressure.

Figure 7. Peak strength results of Kimachi sandstone by single stage triaxial tests. a) PDS (Peak Differential Stress) vs ECP (Effective Confining Pressure) when $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$. b) DS vs ECP for exact $\alpha$ obtained by MFEM. c) $\alpha$ value variation with ECP.

In Shikotsu welded tuff (Fig. 6), the peak strength increased initially, but at higher confining pressures, it decreased (Figs. 10a and 12) to get an end cap like curve that close the failure surface at high stresses. Reason for this behavior is pore collapse (Zaman et al., 1994) due to crushing of rock matrix consisting of volcanic glass.

Peak differential stresses and residual differential stresses obtained from the results with pore pressure in the single stage tests are shown in Figs. 7a, 8a, 10a and 11a assuming the Biot’s effective stress coefficient as 0 and 1. The coefficient can be obtained...
if the stresses can be moved on the failure envelope for the results without pore pressure by adjusting the coefficient (Figs. 7b, 8b, 10b and 11b).

The coefficient for the peak strength for Kimachi sandstone decreased with effective confining pressure (Fig. 7c). For residual strength, the coefficient was larger than that for the peak strength and showed almost a constant value (Fig. 8c).

It was difficult for the peak strength of Shikotsu tuff to move the data on the failure envelope and two values of the coefficient were hardly obtained (Fig. 10c) because strength values with pore pressures were
larger than those with zero pore pressure in many cases, due to pore collapse. It was slightly easier to obtain the coefficient value for the residual strength. The coefficient was calculated for 4 data points and it decreases with the effective confining pressure (Fig. 11c). The decrease of $\alpha$ value for residual strength with effective confining pressure shows the progress of pore collapse. Poroelasticity itself cannot be applied to this condition.

Figures 9 and 12 illustrate the results of multi stage triaxial tests. For Kimachi sandstone, peak strength values are almost the same as those by single stage tests, mainly no significant effects of loading history were observed (Fig. 9a). The coefficient values were also almost the same as those from the single stage tests (Fig. 9c). The variation in either peak strength or $\alpha$ is much smaller than that in the single stage tests and this is one of the advantages of the multi stage tests.

For Shikutsu welded tuff, the peak strength with pore pressures was larger than that without pore pressure and the coefficient was not obtained (Fig. 12).

The coefficient for residual strength was not obtained by multistage tests even for Kimachi sandstone since larger strains were required for the both rocks to stabilize axial stress value than the capacity of the apparatus.

4 CONCLUSION

Kimachi sandstone and Shikotsu welded tuff were tested in single and multistage triaxial tests to determine the Biot’s effective stress coefficient. Pure water saturated 30 mm in diameter and length of 60 mm cylindrical test specimens were introduced for triaxial compression, with strain rate at $10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$.

For Kimachi sandstone, $\alpha$ value for peak strength decreased with effective confining pressure. $\alpha$ values for residual strength were almost constant and larger than the case of peak strength. For Shikotsu welded tuff, only two data points were obtained for peak strength because of pore collapse. $\alpha$ value for residual strength decreased with effective confining pressure.

The above results imply that MFEM can be used to evaluate the Biot’s effective stress coefficient for both peak and residual strengths at least for medium hard clastic rocks.

The multistage test has given a fair evaluation of the coefficient for peak strength of Kimachi sandstone. Number of specimens and variation from specimen to specimen can be reduced by using multistage tests although further considerations are required to obtain the coefficient for residual strength.

MFEM will be applied to Inada granite as an example of hard crystalline volcanic rock and comparison of MFEM results with conventional methods will also be carried out.
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