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Abstract

Over the past quarter century, oxides and compound containing 5$d$ transition metal ions, e.g. Ir and Os, have attracted considerable attention with solid-state physicists because of various unconventional physical phenomena. Due to the competition between localization and itinerancy of $d$ electron, there were a lot of interesting and unusual properties with different crystal structures reported, such as, metal-insulator transitions, topological phases and frustrated magnetism. In this study, we used high pressure method to synthesize the 5$d$ oxides and researched their crystal structures and magnetic properties.

5$d$ Solid-state oxides $\text{K}_{0.84}\text{OsO}_3$ (Os$^{5.16+}$; 5$d^{2.84}$) and $\text{Bi}_{2.93}\text{Os}_3\text{O}_{11}$ (Os$^{4.40+}$; 5$d^{3.60}$) were synthesized under high-pressure and high-temperature conditions (6 GPa and 1500-1700 °C). Their crystal structures were determined by synchrotron x-ray diffraction and their 5$d$ electronic properties and tunnel-like structure motifs were investigated. A KSbO$_3$-type structure with a space group of $\text{Im}-3$ and $\text{Pn}-3$ was determined for $\text{K}_{0.84}\text{OsO}_3$ and $\text{Bi}_{2.93}\text{Os}_3\text{O}_{11}$, respectively. The magnetic and electronic transport properties of the polycrystalline compounds were compared with those obtained theoretically. It was revealed that the 5$d$ tunnel-like structures were paramagnetic with metallic charge conduction at temperatures above 2 K. This was similar to what was observed for structurally relevant 5$d$ oxides, including $\text{Bi}_3\text{Re}_3\text{O}_{11}$ (Re$^{4.33+}$; 5$d^{2.66}$) and $\text{Ba}_2\text{Ir}_3\text{O}_9$ (Ir$^{4.66+}$; 5$d^{4.33}$). The absence of long-range magnetic order seemed to be common among 5$d$ KSbO$_3$-like oxides, regardless of the number of 5$d$ electrons (between 2.6 and 4.3 per 5$d$ atom).

Double-perovskite oxides $\text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6$ and $\text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6$ have been synthesized under high-pressure and high-temperature conditions (6 GPa and 1500 °C). Their crystal structures and magnetic properties were studied by a synchrotron X-ray diffraction experiment and by magnetic susceptibility, specific heat, isothermal magnetization, and
electrical resistivity measurements. \( \text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) and \( \text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) crystallized in monoclinic \((P2_1/n)\) and tetragonal \((I4/m)\) double-perovskite structures, respectively; the degree of order of the Os and Mg arrangement was 96% or higher. Although \( \text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) and \( \text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) were isoelectric, a magnetic-glass transition was observed for \( \text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) at 19 K, while \( \text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) showed an antiferromagnetic transition at 110 K. The antiferromagnetic-transition temperature was the highest in the family. A first-principle density functional approach revealed that \( \text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) and \( \text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) were likely to be antiferromagnetic Mott insulators in which the band gaps open, with Coulomb correlations of \( \sim1.8\text{-}3.0 \) eV. These compounds offered a better opportunity for the clarification of the basis of 5d magnetic sublattices, with regard to the possible use of perovskite related oxides in multifunctional devices.

Double perovskite containing \( \text{Ir}^{6+}/\text{Ir}^{5+} \) with formula \( \text{Ca}_2\text{NiIrO}_6 \) was synthesized using a high pressure synthesis technique. Its electronic state was studied through magnetic susceptibility, heat capacity and electrical resistivity measurement. It was found that \( \text{Ca}_2\text{NiIrO}_6 \) crystallized in space group \( P2_1/n \) with lattice parameters \( a = 7.6456(2) \) Å, \( b = 5.5343(1) \) Å, \( c = 9.3287(4) \) Å, \( \beta = 144.870(5)° \). Magnetic susceptibility measurement indicated that \( \text{Ca}_2\text{NiIrO}_6 \) orders in a canted antiferromagnetic state at about 72 K. \( \text{Ca}_2\text{NiIrO}_6 \) exhibited semiconductor like behavior. Double perovskites containing \( \text{Ir}^{6+} \) were rarely reported. In particular, we observed the extraordinary large coercivity at 10 K, compared with other canted antiferromagnetic iridium oxides.
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## List of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPMS</td>
<td>Magnetic property measurement system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPMS</td>
<td>Physical property measurement system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD</td>
<td>X-ray diffraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXRD</td>
<td>Synchrotron X-ray diffraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC</td>
<td>Field cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZFC</td>
<td>Zero field cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_C$</td>
<td>Curie temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_N$</td>
<td>Neel temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOS</td>
<td>Density of states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>Antiferromagnetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Ferromagnetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_a$</td>
<td>Activation energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN</td>
<td>Nearest neighbor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>Tolerance factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$</td>
<td>Weiss temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>Curie constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{\text{eff}}$</td>
<td>Effective magnetic moment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_S$</td>
<td>Spin only magnetic moment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{S+L}$</td>
<td>Magnetic moment from full spin and orbital motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVS</td>
<td>Bond valance sum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Theta_D$</td>
<td>Debye temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>Electronic specific heat coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$</td>
<td>Heat capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{lat}}$</td>
<td>Heat capacity of lattice contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIMS</td>
<td>National Institute for Materials Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>Spin-orbit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_F$</td>
<td>Fermi energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$</td>
<td>Nearest-neighbor exchange constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFT</td>
<td>Density functional theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIT</td>
<td>Metal-insulator transition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>Spin-orbit coupling constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Two-dimensional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi$</td>
<td>Magnetic susceptibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>Electrical resistivity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1 5d Transition metal oxides

The extraordinary range of properties of transition metal oxides (TMO) were worthy of special attention in the past decades [1]. In olden days some properties like magnetism and colors were the natural power of some objects and substances. In recent years, like high-temperature superconductivity and topological insulator had been studied. The unique nature of the outer d-electrons was clearly at the core of the unusual properties of TMO. The valence electrons of d-orbitals in these transition metals could form a lot of different oxidation states. The metal-oxygen bond could change from ionic to metallic. As a result, the multiplicity of electronic properties of TMO varied from insulating to metallic.

In TMOs, there were two different kinds of competing forces among d electrons: Coulomb repulsion made the individual electrons localize at atomic lattice sites, while hybridization between the oxygen p electron state and d electron state lead to delocalize the electrons. The strong electron-electron correlation had resulted in a lot of striking phenomena in 3d transition-metal system, such as Giant magnetoresistance and high-temperature superconductivity. However, 5d TMOs had more extended orbitals compared with the 3d and 4d counterparts, as shown in Fig. 1.1. Therefore, the extended nature of the 5d orbitals prefered much more overlaps of the nearest-neighbor ions, which lead to a broader bandwidth. It was commonly expected that these 5d oxides were more metallic and less magnetic than their 3d and 4d counterparts. In fact, some 5d oxides went beyond the expectation; for instance, both of Sr$_2$IrO$_4$ and Ca$_3$LiOsO$_6$ were magnetic Mott insulators [2]. The underlying physics was not as simple as that of 3d TMOs. Because of spatially extended orbitals in 5d oxides, the spin-orbit interaction increased. Thus, in 5d TMOs, there were a lot of different characteristic energy scales, such as the interplay between spin-orbit interaction, on-site
repulsion, crystal-field splitting, and intersite hopping. They competed with each other, which made figuring out the mechanism in the 5d TMOs complicatedly. For now, 5d TMOs was a less explored domain, but as it attracted more attention of the researchers the tantalizing new phenomena had already been glimpsed.

Figure 1.1. Periodic table. The enlarge part is transition elements of d-block, which are divided into 3d, 4d and 5d series depending on the increasing number of d orbital [3].

1.2 Crystal structure

1.2.1 Perovskite and double perovskite structure

The perovskite (CaTiO₃) was named after a Russian mineralogist, L. A. Perovski. Since then, the perovskite family had attracted a lot of attentions, such as perovskite solar cell [4], dielectric resonator materials [5]. The perovskite structure generally crystallized in stoichiometry ABX₃, where A and B were cations while X was an anion. The structure of a standard cubic perovskite was shown in Fig. 1.2, where one B cation with six X cations forming an octahedron, and the A cation was located at the center of eight octahedra. The structure was in terms of the BX₆ octahedra which share corners infinitely in all three dimensions. The space group for the ideal cubic perovskite was Pm̅3m. Goldschmidt’s tolerance factor was used as described perovskite structure by
formula \((r_A + r_X) = t\sqrt{2}(r_B + r_X)\). The perovskite structure was stable when \(0.89 \leq t \leq 1.06\) (taking \(r_X = 0.14\) nm). Ideal cubic structure only was observed at room temperature when \(t\) was close to 1. If A ions were small, \(t < 1\), and tetragonal, orthorhombic and rhombohedral deformations of structure due to rotation and tilting of the \(BX_6\) octahedra were observed. Other types of deformations were induced by the appearance of spontaneous polarization in ferroelectric perovskites [6].

However, with decreasing of A cation’s size, the B-X-B attended to be bent slightly. Therefore, the tilting the \(BX_6\) octahedra would bring some anions into A site cations. To describe this distortion [7], a tolerance factor, \(t\), was introduced to the equation \((r_A + r_X) = t\sqrt{2}(r_B + r_X)\), where, \(r_A\), \(r_B\) and \(r_X\) were the relative ionic radii of the A and B cations and the X ion, respectively.

**Figure 1.2.** The illustration of an ideal \(ABX_3\) perovskite structure [8].

It was called double perovskite structure because its unit cell was double of the perovskite. For investigating of the double perovskite oxides, it should trace back to
first Re-based double perovskites which reported by Longo and Ward [9]. There was no fast progress until the publication of Sr₂FeMoO₆ with half-metallic properties [10]. Possible spin-electronics application arising from the electronic structure caused a rapid progress in the field of the double perovskites. This involved the interplay of the crystallographic structure and both electronic and magnetic properties.

Double perovskites belonged to a large family of oxides with general formula A₂BB’O₆, where A being a large divalent or trivalent alkaline earth ion, B and B’ being transition metal ions. The configuration was shown in Fig. 1.3. B and B’ atoms were placed in the center of the oxygen octahedra. According to the significant size and valence of B and B’ ions, they occupied the alternating crystallographic sites. B atoms had only B’ atom neighbors and vice versa. BO₆ and B’O₆ octahedra were arranged in two interleaving fcc sublattices.

![Figure 1.3](image.png)

**Figure 1.3.** The illustration of an ideal A₂BB’O₆ double perovskite structure with rock-salt B-sites ordering [11].

The ideal double perovskite structure being a cubic one could be described in terms of the Fm̅3m space group (see Fig. 1.2). As in the case of the simple perovskite, a tolerance factor of the double perovskite could be defined in formula
\[ t = \frac{(r_A + r_O)}{\sqrt{2} \left( \frac{r_B + r_B'}{2} + r_O \right)} \] [11]. It could be given as a general rule valid for whole double perovskite family that \( f > 1.05 \) required a hexagonal structure, for \( 1.05 > f > 1.00 \), the cubic structure with \( Fm\overline{3}m \) space group was the most likely one; for \( 1.00 > f > 0.97 \), the compound had a tetragonal structure with \( I4/m \) space group; and finally for \( 0.97 > f \), the compound became monoclinic having \( P2_1/n \) space group or orthorhombic.

1.2.2 KSB\( \text{O}_3 \)-type structure

Except for the perovskite structure mentioned above, there was the other three-dimensional mixed framework, KSB\( \text{O}_3 \)-type structure, worthy for attention. Although, no interesting physical properties has been discovered by the scientists. Standing at structural point, this structure was interesting as a result of tunnel-like framework [12] with the composition vary from \( \text{ABO}_3 \) (KSBO\( \text{O}_3 \) and KIr\( \text{O}_3 \)) [13] to \( \text{ABO}_{3.667} \) (Bi\(_3\)GaSb\(_2\)O\(_{11}\), Bi\(_3\)AlSb\(_2\)O\(_{11}\), Bi\(_2\)NaSb\(_3\)O\(_{11}\), Bi\(_3\)Ru\(_3\)O\(_{11}\), and La\(_3\)Ru\(_3\)O\(_{11}\)) [14, [15].

Figure 1.4 The illustration shows the connections between MnO\(_6\) octahedra in KSB\( \text{O}_3 \).
In Ksbo₃-type structures, one BO₃ octahedron connected to the other one with sharing one edge, this pair of octahedra linked to the other one via a corner oxygen atom. These pairs extend to 3 dimension framework shown in Fig. 1.4. A cation could be inserted into this framework. For example, in cubic Ksbo₃, K⁺ cations experienced with the Sbo₃⁻ framework.

**1.3 Electronic structure**

**1.3.1 Crystal field environment**

For understanding the interaction of the metal ion with its environment it was necessary to consider the shapes of atomic orbital. We focused on the d-orbital (Fig. 1.4), because it played an important role in many transition metal compounds. The d-orbitals fall into two classes, the t₂g orbitals (pointing between the x, y and z axes) and eg orbitals (pointing along the axes of coordinates in Cartesian frame). In the free atom model, the d-orbitals could be energetically degenerated owning to the spherically symmetric external environment. However, the energy levels of the atom would be modified by the field, due to the influence of neighboring atoms in a crystal environment. In other words, the atom was affected by the crystal field. In sum, crystal field theory described the electronic structures of transition metal ions in ligands, which were composed of metal ions and oxide ions or other anions. The interaction between these different ions could produce an electrostatic field with symmetry according to the crystal structure [16].
In oxides, the environment was the arrangement of the $\text{O}^{2-}$ surrounding the transition metal atom. The most common case was octahedral environment as shown in Fig. 1.5. In octahedral coordination, a cation containing some $d$-electrons was located in the center of the octahedra, surrounded by 6 oxygen ions. Overlapping of the electron orbitals resulted in the crystal field potential, which hindering the rotation of the electrons and quenching the orbital angular momentum by introducing the splitting of the $d$ orbitals. Namely, the two-fold $e_g$ levels ($d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{z^2}$) were raised in energy because of strongly overlapping between the $d$-orbitals and $p$-orbitals, and the three-fold $t_{2g}$ levels were lowered in energy (see Fig. 1.5.). The difference of resulting energy was identified as $\Delta_O$ ($O$ for octahedral) [16], [18], and the exact value of the $\Delta_O$ strongly depended on configuration of the crystal field.
1.3.2 The Jahn-Teller effect

The crystal field was not always described in highly symmetric octahedral environment. There were so many factors which may lead to additional distortion of the environment, such as alternative valence, defects of crystal structure and external uniaxial pressure. The symmetry-breaking associated with displacement of the atoms would remove the electronic degeneracy. In Fig. 1.6, the distortion of octahedra resulted in splitting of the $t_{2g}$ and $e_{g}$ levels. The energy saving came from the lowering of the single-occupied $e_{g}$ level. The energy for rising of the $d_{xy}$ level was balanced by the lowering of the $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$. Such a phenomenon of the crystal structure’s distortion was known as the Jahn-Teller effect. There were three different kinds of Jahn-Teller effect. Static Jahn-Teller effect: spontaneously occurred distortion was fixed on a particular axis of an octahedron and was permanently present in a crystal. Dynamic Jahn-Teller effect: originated from the special coupling to vibrational modes and low frequency electronic motion. The distortion occurred along different axes depending on temperature and may involve rapid hopping of the distortion from site to site (for materials involving ions with mixed valence). Cooperative Jahn-Teller distortion: spontaneous transition throughout the crystal at certain temperature. Because the
Jahn-Teller effect occurred due to lattice orbital coupling only, it was independent of spin and spin ordering temperatures, and the cooperative distortion may exhibit a thermal hysteresis [19]. For example, complexes of Cr$^{2+}$ ($d^4$), high-spin Mn$^{3+}$ ($d^5$), and Cu$^{2+}$ ($d^9$) could produce the significant Jahn-Teller effects [20]–[23].

![Diagram of Jahn-Teller effect](image)

**Figure 1.6.** The schematic of the Jahn-Teller effect on example of Mn$^{3+}$ [24].

### 1.4 Magnetism

#### 1.4.1 Origin of paramagnetic moments

Normally, paramagnetism was defined as the magnetic state of an atom with one or more unpaired electrons. Most of the solids in the nature were considered as “non-magnetic” unless they were applied external field to develop a magnetic moment. Ordinarily these magnetic effects were weak and disappear once the magnetic field was removed. In a simplistic picture, an electron was driven by magnetic field to travel in a closed path around a nucleus. The moving charges could affect the magnetic effects of solids in two different aspects. First, electron could possess a spin, whose magnetic moment could interact with the external field. Secondly, the bound charges produced an
orbital momentum reacting to external field, either reinforcing it (paramagnetism) or fighting against it (diamagnetism).

For exploring the magnetic properties of the compound, a simple method was determining a magnetic moment in absence of a strong magnetic field. To predict the magnetic moment based on the electron quantum number, $S$, we could use the spin-only formula $\mu_s = [4S(S+1)]^{1/2} \mu_B$. However, we should start to think about orbital contributions to the magnetic moment. The magnetic moment could different from spin-only value $\mu_{so}$ and had a large effective moment called $\mu_{eff}$. $\mu_{eff}$ could be quantified to incorporate spin ($S$) and orbital angular momentum ($L$), $\mu_{eff} = [L(L+1)+4S(S+1)]^{1/2}$.

Normally, the measured magnetic moments were different from predict spin-only values (shown in Table 1.1). Every metal ion except for $d^1$, $d^2$, low spin $3d^5$ and high spin $3d^6$ followed spin-only ($\mu_{so}$) prediction, as result of spin orbital coupling.

### Table 1.1. Calculated and experimentally measured magnetic moments for 3$d$ TMO in octahedra [25].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>$d^a$</th>
<th>$S$</th>
<th>Magnetic moment ($\mu/\mu_B$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti (III)</td>
<td>$d^1(t_{2g}^1)$</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V(III)</td>
<td>$d^2(t_{2g}^2)$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr(III)</td>
<td>$d^3(t_{2g}^3)$</td>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(III)</td>
<td>$d^5$ high spin ($t_{2g}^3 e_g^2$)</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>5.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(III)</td>
<td>$d^5$ low spin($t_{2g}^5$)</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(II)</td>
<td>$d^6$ high spin ($t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(II)</td>
<td>$d^3 (t_{2g}^3)$</td>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.4.2 Spin-orbit coupling

The spin-orbit interaction was a significant phenomenon which caused a rising of degeneracy of electronic energy levels in the complexes. The definition of spin-orbital coupling was kind of interaction of an electrons orbital angular momentum and its spin angular momentum, which leading a magnetic moment. The premise of occurrence of spin-orbital coupling was a half-filled or empty orbital for holding the unpaired electrons. In addition, the nearby symmetry related orbital did not contain an electron
with same spin as the first electron based on Hund’s rule. Therefore, the electron in this orbital could utilize this nearby orbital vacancy to surround the center of the complexe and produce orbital momentum ($\mu_L$).

The value of spin-orbit interaction was proportional to $Z_{\text{eff}}^4$ (the effective atomic number) [26]. The data of spin-orbit coupling constant ($\lambda$) were shown in Table 1.2, which indicated the increasing with $Z_{\text{eff}}^4$ (from 3$d$ to 5$d$). So it played an important role in the properties of TMOs. In 3$d$ TMOs, the energy magnitude of spin-orbit coupling was regularly smaller than that of on-site Coulomb repulsion energy and crystal field splitting. In 4$d$ and 5$d$ compounds, spin-orbital coupling could be compared with crystal field splitting, which resulted in complicated interplay of properties and unexpected ground states. For example, moment value of Os$^{4+}$ ($t_{2g}^4$) complexes was usually 1.2 $\mu_B$ or less [27], [28], which was totally different from the prediction in spin-only formula.

### Table 1.2. Spin-orbit coupling constant ($\lambda$) for 4$d$ and 5$d$ shell ions [29]–[33].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>4$d$ shell ions</th>
<th>5$d$ shell ions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\lambda$ [cm$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>$\lambda$ [cm$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru$^{3+}$</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>Os$^{4+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh$^{2+}$</td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>Os$^{5+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh$^{3+}$</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>Os$^{6+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd$^{2+}$</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>Ir$^{2+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag$^{2+}$</td>
<td>1840</td>
<td>Ir$^{4+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag$^{3+}$</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>Ir$^{5+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd$^{2+}$</td>
<td>2325</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os$^{4+}$</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>4500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os$^{5+}$</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>3450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os$^{6+}$</td>
<td>3450</td>
<td>4814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir$^{2+}$</td>
<td>4814</td>
<td>8760</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The other instance was shown in Fig. 1.7. The effective magnetic moment of a $t_{2g}^4$ configuration not only depend spin-orbit coupling constant $\lambda$ but also related to the thermal energy $kT$. Although the $\lambda$ value of Mn$^{3+}$ and Cr$^{2+}$ were much less than that of Os$^{4+}$. $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ of Mn$^{3+}$ and Cr$^{2+}$ were far greater than that of Os$^{4+}$. Therefore, octahedral Os$^{4+}$ compounds exhibited a strong temperature dependence of magnetic moments [28].
Figure 1.7. Schematic of the dependence on temperature and on the spin-orbit coupling constant $\lambda$ of the effective magnetic moment of a $d^1$ ion in octahedral coordination[28].

1.4.3 Magnetic susceptibility and Currie-Weiss law

When the magnetic field $H$ was applied to a solid, a relationship was found that the magnetization $M$ was proportional to $H$: $M = \chi H$. The proportional constant $\chi$ was magnetic susceptibility of sample. When $\chi < 0$ meant diamagnetic, there was no unpaired electron orbital or spin angular momentum. If $\chi > 0$, it meant paramagnetic which kind of materials with unpaired electrons had a very strong temperature dependence [34]. Curie’s law was proposed by Pierre Curie to study the relationship between paramagnetic susceptibilities and temperature [35]. Curie’s law: $\chi = C / T$, where $T$ was temperature, and $C$ was Curie constant. On the one hand, the magnetic field would be apt to arrange the moments of atoms to one fix direction in paramagnetic materials. One the other hand, the thermal agitation would disturb the tendency of these moments’ alignment [28].

Paramagnetic solids obeyed Curie law. However, when solids with the magnetic ordering (ferromagnet or antiferromagnet) obeyed Curie-Weiss law: $\chi = C / T - \theta$, where $\theta$ was Weiss constant. $\theta$ was indicative of intermolecular interactions among the moments.
$\theta > 0$ meant ferromagnetic interactions, while $\theta < 0$ indicated antiferromagnetic interactions (see in Fig. 1.8). As a result of some spontaneous interaction between nearby spins, Curie-Weiss Law was applied in the high temperature part, especially for above magnetic transition temperature. We could calculate the effective moment from Curie constant based on Curie-Weiss law, by using the equation $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.84\sqrt{\chi(T - \theta)} = 2.84\sqrt{C}$ [35].

![Curie-Weiss Law](image)

**Figure 1.8.** The illustration of Curie’s law and Curie-Weiss law [35].

### 1.4.4 Classes of magnetic materials

Unlike the paramagnetic materials, the parallel or antiparallel alignment of atomic moments could produce a very strong magnetic interaction in the materials. Magnetic ordering of ferromagnetic materials was that the adjacent spins were parallel to each other, lead to a larger net magnetization even in the absence of external magnetic field. In antiferromagnetic materials, the intrinsic magnetic moments of neighboring electrons were antialigned. So antiferromagnet had a zero net magnetization. Besides, the more complicated magnetic ordering could be presented due to the crystal structures. One of
them was called ferromagnetic ordering. Fig. 1.9 showed four different types of spin arrangements.

![Diagrammatic sketches of spin arrangements](image)

**Figure 1.9.** Diagrammatic sketches of (a) paramagnetic, (b) ferromagnetic, (c) antiferromagnetic and ferrimagnetic order arrangement.

In the magnetic solids, the spontaneous magnetization disappeared above at certain temperature. It was named Curie temperature $T_c$ in ferromagnetic materials, and Neel temperature $T_N$ in antiferromagnetic materials. Besides, in the experiment, $T_c$ were often found to be a bit smaller than Weiss temperature, because of the neglecting influences of short-range order above $T_c$ in the simple molecular-field treatment [36].

Except for characteristic Curie temperature and the saturation magnetization, ferromagnetic materials could keep the spin orientation even removing the external field. This phenomenon was named hysteresis. The schematic drawing of the magnetization dependence with the magnetic field was called hysteresis loop shown in Fig. 1.10. The intercept of the hysteresis loop on x-axis was the coercivity $H_c$. The materials with a large coercivity was usually called hard magnet and $H_{ci}$ was defined as the reverse field that reduces the magnetization to zero [35].
The coercivity $H_c$ is the reverse field that reduces $B$ to zero; a related coercivity $H_{ci}$ reduces $M$ or $B-H$ to zero. The remanence $B_r$ is the value of $B$ at $H=0$. The saturation induction $B_s$ is the limit of $B-H$ at large $H$, and the saturation magnetization $M_s = B_s/4\pi$ [35].

1.4.5 Antiferromagnetism and canted-antiferromagnetism

Many antiferromagnetic solid were TMOs. The spin direction between two nearest atoms was antiparallel in the antiferromagnetic materials, and the antiferromagnet had zero net moment (see Fig. 1.9(a)) below Neel temperature ($T_N$). In theory, the total net magnetization of antiferromagnetic materials was zero at absolute zero. But a small net magnetization is caused by the canted spins, such as hematite [37]. This phenomenon was called spin-canted antiferromagnetism. Spin-canted antiferromagnetism was an exceptional circumstance where the antiparallel magnetic moments were deflected from the antiferromagnetic plane, leading to a weak net magnetization (Fig. 1.11). The sufficiently strong magnetic field was applied to overturn the magnetization vectors, giving rising to field-induced reorientation affects. This kind of spin-flop transition was called the Morin transition. [37]
1.5 Exchange interactions

The discreet magnetic moments interacted mutually through a quantum mechanical force, that was, exchange interaction. The exchange interaction originated in the core of phenomenon of long range magnetic order. In the all different types of magnetic solids, the thermal excitations decreased with the temperature and spontaneous cooperative magnetic order was stable below a certain point. The quantum-mechanical couplings could be classified in different ways as shown in Fig. 1.12. In order to produce the long range magnetic order, the magnetic moments of individual ions needed to interact with each other.

![Diagram of exchange interaction](image)

**Figure 1.11.** Ordered arrangement of electron spin in canted antiferromagnet

**Figure 1.12.** Hierarchy of exchange coupling.
1.5.1 Superexchange

The direct coupling through the chemical bonding between two magnetic atoms that were close enough to have significant overlap of their wave functions was called a direct exchange interaction. However, a coupling between two magnetic ions which were too far apart could be also mediated by a non-magnetic ion, which in case of the oxides is oxygen. First suggested by Kramers [38], such indirect exchange interaction was called superexchange. The excited states were the result of the electron removal from an oxygen ion and placing it on in an empty or half-filled cation orbital [39]. Depending on the mutual configuration and the types of orbitals involved in the process, several models of superexchange were described.

Anderson’s mechanism described an interaction between the electron on $p$–shell of the anion and $d$–shell of the cation. This type of interaction was the strongest when the cation-anion-cation bond was aligned on $\sim 180^\circ$ (see Fig. 1.13 (a) and (b)). The type of the coupling, resulting from such interaction depended both on the sign of $J$ (exchange integral) and the “sign of the bond”. The latter term was a conventional characteristic and was determined by the initial occupancy of the d-orbital of the cation. The sign of the bond was defined by the following rule:

Negative bond: the cation $d$-orbital was less then half-filled and the electron transferred from the anion’s ($p$ or $s$) orbital aligns its spin orientation parallel to the spin of all the electrons, which was obvious result from the first Hund’s rule, as shown in Fig. 1.14 (a).

Positive bond: the $d$–orbital was more than half-filled. The transferred electron must align antiparallel to the cation spin because of the Pauli principle, as Fig. 1.14 (b).

Depending on the product of these two factors the superexchange interaction could produce either antiferromagnetic (classical examples: CaMnO$_3$, LaCrO$_3$, LaFeO$_3$, NiO) or much more rarely ferromagnetic interaction (theoretical example is Ni$^{2+}$-O$^{2-}$-V$^{2+}$) [40]. As a generalization of this mechanism, the antiferromagnetic interaction was expected between the cations of the same type. If the interaction took place between the
cations with different populations of the $d$-orbitals (i.e. more-than-half versus less-than-half-filled) the preferable coupling would be ferromagnetic [40].

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1.13**: The illustrations of the in-line and orthogonal orientation of cation-anion-cation chain. Here, 180° case is for (a) same type ions, (b) different type ions and (c) is 90° coordination. M and M' are the ions of different type, thus different $d$–orbitals take part in interaction [35].

Another model was the Slater’s mechanism, which considered the exchange interaction of the electron clouds between the anions and cations. The product of two simultaneous polarizations and “-” sign could determine the net sign of superexchange interaction, where “-” sign was a result of the Pauli principle within the intervening anion orbital [40]. A variation of this was the Goodenough’s mechanism, which considered about the simultaneous partial bond formation of the anion with the cations on both sides. The calculation method of net sign in this Goodenough’s mechanism was same to Slater’s mechanism [39], [40].

The models described above are also applicable to the 90° interaction between magnetic ions (Fig. 1.13 (c)), although the situation and resulting coupling was quite different from 180° case. The formation of two partial covalent bonds $p\sigma$-$d_{z^2}$ and $p\sigma'$-$d'_{z^2}$ occurred simultaneously [41]. The sign of these was determined by same rule as
described in the Anderson’s model. The $p\sigma$ and $p\sigma'$ orbitals interacted through direct exchange, and because these orbitals were mutually orthogonal the sign of interaction was positive, therefore they were ferromagnetically coupled. However, contrary to the 180° case, here the antiferromagnetic interaction was expected between a cation with a less-than-half filled $d$-shell and a cation with more-than-half filled $d$-shell. Similarly, the superexchange interaction between identical cations through 90° angle resulted in the ferromagnetic coupling, quite opposite to 180° case. Thus, using the symmetry relations and electron occupancy of the overlapping atomic orbitals plus the Pauli exclusion principle a series of semi-empirical principles were developed in the 1950s by Goodenough and Kanamori [39], [40], [42], naturally referred nowadays as the Goodenough-Kanamori rule. This rule could describe the magnetic properties successfully among a lot of materials. However in a number of cases the situation developed beyond these rules for an obvious reason. Among those were the cases when various types of exchange mechanisms compete with one another (i.e. exchange through the second nearest neighbor), when the bond coordination deviated from strict inline or orthogonal case, in case of dynamical electron orbital occupancy, or when a strong spin-orbit coupling took place.

Figure 1.14: Formation of the negative (a) and positive (b) bonds at admixture of excited states with the ground state [39].

In the superexchange theory, both the correlation and the delocalization superexchange values decreased when two cations are separated by a pair of anions,
whose value scale was probably an order smaller than $M \cdot O \cdot M'$ superexchange interaction [36]. But there were still some exceptions existed. For example, in Ca$_3$LiOsO$_6$, the original superexchange magnetic path Os-O-Os was disappeared. However, the extended superexchange path (Os-O)-(O-Os) could be expected to be responsible for the high transition temperature [43].

1.5.2 Anisotropic exchange interaction

This type of interaction led to arousal of a weak ferromagnetic component perpendicular to the spin-axis in initially antiferromagnetic material. Initially predicted purely on the ground of symmetry, the Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya (DM) [44]–[46] interaction arose from a combined effect of a strong relativistic spin-orbit coupling of the cations and the superexchange interaction. The interaction between the DM vector $\mathbf{D}$ (which is proportional to the strength of spin-orbit interaction) and two spins on lattice bond with no inversion center made the spins cant by a small angle. The additional term that appeared in the Hamiltonian at this interaction $\tilde{H}_{DM} = \mathbf{D} \cdot [\mathbf{S}_1 \times \mathbf{S}_2]$. The form of the interaction was such that it favored the spins $\mathbf{S}_1$ and $\mathbf{S}_2$ to be at right angles to the plane perpendicular to the vector $\mathbf{D}$, in such an orientation to ensure that the energy was negative. The moments $\mathbf{S}_1$ and $\mathbf{S}_2$ form separated sublattices with antiferromagnetic alignment, which were equivalent but not exactly antiparallel, resulting in a net magnetic moment. As mentioned above, the crystal symmetry was of particular importance for the anisotropic interaction. For the case of line $AB$ with the midpoint $C$ joining two magnetic cations $i$ and $j$ (see Fig. 1.15), the following rules described the direction of $\mathbf{D}$ [36]:

- $\mathbf{D} = 0$ if a center of inversion symmetry was located at $C$;
- $\mathbf{D} \perp AB$ if a mirror plane perpendicular to $AB$ passed through $C$;
- $\mathbf{D} \perp$ mirror plane if the mirror plane included $AB$;
- $\mathbf{D} \perp$ two-fold axis if the two-fold rotation axis was perpendicular to $AB$ at $C$;
- $\mathbf{D} //AB$ if $AB$ was an n-fold rotation axis ($n \geq 2$).

Simultaneously, $\tilde{H}_{DM}$ was opposed by a strong tendency towards ferromagnetic or
antiferromagnetic alignment from superexchange interaction; therefore in the typical real life systems the angles were small. However in the systems where the conflict is not strong, the canting angle could be up to $\pi = 2$ as was reported for $\beta$-MnS [47]. The classical example of this interaction was a weak ferromagnetic order arising in MnCO$_3$, CoCO$_3$ and $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ compounds [44], [46]. More recently it had been proposed that this interaction also lead to a weak ferromagnetism existing in antiferromagnetic layers of La$_2$CuO$_4$ — the parent compound of high-temperature superconductors [48], [49]. DM interaction was considered to be the main motive force behind the most promising multiferroic materials, where noncollinearly coupled magnetic moments through the electron-lattice interaction displace the oxygen cations located between them [50].

![Figure 1.15](image_url)  
**Figure 1.15.** The illustration of the spin canting due to the Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya interaction. Weak ferromagnetic (FM) component arose perpendicularly to the spin-axis in initially antiferromagnetic (AF) materials [45].

### 1.6 Overview for the 5$d$ oxides’ application

As we mentioned above, 5$d$ TMOs had strong spin orbit coupling, which lead to very interesting spin effects for electronics application. In the past decades, the theoretical scientists produced a number of predictions for 5$d$ materials. These predictions included possible topological insulator, unconventional high temperature superconductivity, and spin liquid states which as-yet undiscovered emergent excitations called Majorana fermions. The more exotic anticipated examples were a
topological Mott insulator [51], [52] and a Weyl semimetal [53]. High $T_c$ superconductors were wildly studied materials. Yunoki and his colleagues reported an iridium oxide $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ which had similar layer structure to high $T_c$ superconductor copper oxide. To resolve this issue, some theoretical studies investigated the superconducting properties in both electron and hole doping $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ [54]–[56]. In contrast to copper oxides, the extra electrons were predicted as the cause of the superconductivity in $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$. Experimentally metallic ferrimagnet $\text{Sr}_2\text{CrOsO}_6$ exhibited extraordinarily high $T_c$ (725 K) for promising spintronic application [57]. Takagi and his colleagues proposed 5$d$ iridium oxide could be used as a material for spin-current detector [58]. Due to the strong SOC, the resistivity of 5$d$ Iridium TMOs such as $\text{IrO}_2$ and $\text{SrIrO}_3$ at ambient temperature were at least one order of magnitude higher than that of normal $s$ metals. Because the sensitive detection of spin current could be achieved in materials with large resistivity, 5$d$ TMOs could be the good candidates for spin detection in spintronics devices. In overall, the area of 5$d$ transition metal oxides, and osmium and iridium oxides in particular, still remained largely unexplored and promises a great deal of exciting technological discoveries.

1.7 Structure of this thesis

In this thesis, I tried to synthesize the new 5$d$ oxides by high pressure method, and discussed the crystal structure and magnetic properties of these 5$d$ oxides. As a result of strong correlations between the charge carriers and strong spin-orbit interaction these compounds exhibited rather unconventional transport properties.

The thesis consists of six chapters. A brief introduction to crystal structure, electronic structure and magnetism was given in Chapter 1. The concise description of the high pressure experimental techniques and used in this work for characterization of the properties was presented in Chapter 2. These included X-ray diffraction, magnetization, electrical transport and thermodynamic properties measurements. I
reported two new $5d$ oxide KOsO$_3$ and Bi$_3$O$_8$S$_3$O$_{11}$ in **Chapter 3**. The synthesis of KOsO$_3$ made the integrity of AOsO$_3$ (A = Alkali metal). In particular, the magnetic property of KOsO$_3$ was totally different from those of other members (Li/Na/Str/BaOsO$_3$) [27], [59], [60]. Double perovskite oxides $A_2BB'O_6$ ($B$ is nonmagnetic ion, $B'$ is $4d/5d$ transition metal ion) had attracted considerable attention recently due to the observation of a series of exotic magnetic states [61]. To explore notable magnetic behavior in osmium double perovskite oxides, two osmium containing double-perovskite oxides Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ were synthesized by using high pressure method. The detailed crystal structure and magnetic properties of these oxides would be discussed in **Chapter 4**. In **Chapter 5**, I presented a new iridate Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ with largest coercivity, compared with the existing canted antiferromagnetic iridium oxides. In **Chapter 6** revealed a general conclusion and outlines some prospects for follow-up research.
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Chapter 2. Experimental methods

5d oxides could be prepared by using the high pressure method [1]. In particular, osmium oxides were better to synthesized through high pressure method, because of avoiding toxic OsO₄ production exposed to human being. High pressure synthesis could significantly reduce the reaction time, in case the toxic substance was exposed to environment. For iridium oxides, high valence state Ir⁵⁺ and Ir⁶⁺ were usually obtained in high pressure experiment. The first iridium(VI) oxide was stabilized in an octahedral site of the perovskite lattice Ba₂CaIrO₆ in 1992 [2].

The aim of this chapter was to introduce the reader to the techniques employed in the research of 5d oxides of this thesis. All the samples reported in this thesis were synthesized by using high pressure and high temperature method. Their crystallography was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and synchrotron XRD. The magnetic properties and electrical properties were measured by magnetic property measurement system (MPMS) and physical property measurement system (PPMS), respectively.

2.1 Sample synthesis: high-pressure method

P.W. Bridgman laid the cornerstone for the high pressure physics and solid state chemistry [3]. The opposed anvil geometry was investigated to synthesis of diamond under the belt press by Bundy [4], which contributed to the development of the belt-type [5] and toroidal anvil instruments [6]. In addition, the piston cylinder apparatus had the larger experimental volumes but limited working pressure. These instruments were used to synthesis of new materials and help researchers to explore the unknown regions of the phase diagram which is not accessible at ambient pressure. In particular, there were a lot of advantages by using the high pressure method. Firstly, the presence of high non-metal partial pressures, i.e. high oxygen partial pressure, could be used to stabilize cations with extraordinary high oxidation states [7]. Secondly, dense packing of ions
could be often obtained by high pressure method. Finally, high pressure favors symmetric coordination environments [8]. For example, PbSnO₃ with cubic perovskite structure could only be synthesized using high pressure, however, ambient pressure synthesis leads to a mixture of orthorhombic PbO and SnO₂ [9].

The flat-belt (FB) type high pressure apparatus was installed in National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), Tsukuba, Japan before 30 years [10]. It could achieve the pressure ranging from 3 GPa to 8 GPa and the highest temperature of 1800 °C. The out looking of the apparatus was shown in insert picture of Fig. 2.1. In this type of FB-type apparatus had two anvils, where one was fixed to upper part and the other could move up and down manipulated by control panel. Between the upper and bottom anvils, there were some rubber rings for improving tightness. For each run, the displacement between anvils decreased with pressing, which were estimated using different sensors. The press load was controlled by using an auxiliary small plunger pump. The cooling water was supplied before the heating. Graphite heaters were attached to anvils. We could monitor the heating progress via electronic current. After holding at target temperature for a while, the sample was quenched before the pressure releasing.

In this work, stoichiometric amounts of powder were mixed using a mortar in an Ar-filled glove box. The mixture was sealed in a Pt capsule and statically and isotropically compressed in a belt-type high-pressure apparatus (Kobe Steel, Ltd.). We used a pyrophyllite cell [11] to produce a quasihydrostatic condition at the pressure in a manner. The assemble way of sample cell followed the instruction showed in Fig. 2.1. The Pt capsule was heated by means of an internal graphite sleeve at certain temperature for certain time, while maintaining the high-pressure conditions. The samples were subsequently quenched at ambient temperature before releasing the pressure. The preparation condition in this thesis, the heating temperature is 1500 °C under 6 GPa for 1 hour.
Figure 2.1. Picture of high pressure apparatus set at National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), and the illustrations of the capsule and sample container.

2.2 X-ray diffraction

2.2.1 Powder X-ray diffraction

Diffraction methods were a fundamental tool for the crystallographic study. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used for studying 5d oxides in this thesis. Photons of the incident X-ray beam interacted with the cloud of electrons surrounding the nucleus. The overlapping of the interaction was proportional to the atomic number $Z$ [12]. Therefore, it was not possible to determine the accurate structures.

The experimental facility used in X-ray diffraction in this thesis was Rigaku RINT 2200. The used wavelength was that Cu Kα being 1.54 Å. Diffraction patterns were analyzed by Match! (TETRAGON analyse + technik) and JADE (MDI, Materials Data Inc.)
2.2.2 Synchrotron X-ray diffraction

Synchrotron radiation from the specific type of particle accelerator was observed in 1947 for the first time. The special properties of synchrotron radiation derived from the relativistic movement of the charged particles along bending trajectories with a large radius of curvature. Synchrotron X-ray Diffraction (SXRD) was a very powerful experimental technique used to analyze unknown materials in a short time. Synchrotron diffraction had several advantages. Data resolution was very high and X-rays generated by a synchrotron facility were at least 5 orders of magnitude more intense than the best X-ray laboratory source. Therefore, the diffraction pattern of SXRD could show a fingerprint of the samples, including the information of space group, lattice parameters and coordinate. In addition, the preferred orientation could be reduced largely.

We did SXRD measurement in Spring-8, located in Harima Science Park City, Hyogo Prefecture, Japan. The SXRD data were collected by using the large Debye-Scherrer camera in BL15XU synchrotron-based X-ray diffraction facility [13]. The SXRD diffraction data presented in this thesis have been analyzed by the Rietveld method using the RIETAN-FP computer program [14], [15]. For the successful Rietveld refinement with RIETAN-FP computer program, some data had to be known before the beginning of the procedure. We referred to the space groups and lattice parameters which were obtained from Match! and JADE based on X-ray refinement data. After that, we looked for the parent compounds with similar space groups and lattice parameter in ICSD database. The templates of the parent compounds were used in the refinement with RIETAN-FP. The refinement model including interatomic distances, bond angles, sites occupancies and structure defects could be got finally.
2.2.3 Rietveld program: RIETAN

The FORTRAN program named RIETAN-FP was used for structure refinement in this work. The whole pattern fitting was based on the Maximum entropy method (MEM). RIETAN-FP offered a state-of-the-art structure refinement technique alternative to the classical Rietveld method: MEM-based Pattern Fitting (MPF). The MPF technology collaborative with RIETAN-FP could overcome the limitations of the conventional Rietveld analysis. The whole pattern fitting and MEM analysis were alternately repeated in an iterative process called REMEDY cycles. During the REMEDY cycles, the total number of the electrons could be fixed via the input of the user. Consequently, crystal structures were virtually represented not by structure parameters but by 3D electron densities within a unit cell.

Some reliability indices were used to measure the agreement between observed and calculated intensities. These numerical criteria were usually done in $R$ values. The most significant reliability index was $R_{wp}$ ($R$-weight pattern) that is defined as:

$$R_{wp} = \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i [y_i - f_i(x)]^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i y_i^2} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $y_i$ was the observed intensity at step $i$, $f_i(x)$ the calculated intensity, and $w_i$ the weight. $R_p$ (R-pattern) defined as

$$R_p = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} |y_i - f_i(x)|}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i}.$$
A variation of $R_p$ was $R_R$ (R-Rietveld) defined by Rietveld as

$$R_R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} |y_i - f_i(x)|}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} |y_i - y_b(2\theta)|}$$

where both $R_{wp}$ and $R_p$ were influenced largely by the intensity of the diffraction lines and background. It was obvious that $R_{wp}$, for example, would be decreased with the background level. Empirically, it could not be expected to judge the relative quality of Rietveld refinements carried out by different data sets. For judging the quality of the fitting, the final $R_{wp}$ value should be compared to the expected $R_{wp}$, $R_e$ (R-expected), which was derived from the statistical error associated with the measured intensities,

$$R_e = \left[ \frac{(N-n)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i y_i^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Thus, $S = R_{wp}/R_e$ was used as the goodness-of-fit indicator. When $S \leq 1.3$, the result of the refinement was usually acceptable.

### 2.3 Magnetic properties measurement

![Figure 2.3. Image of the MPMS-7T system at NIMS Namiki-site.](image)

The magnetization measurement facility located at NIMS Namiki-site was a Quantum Design Magnetic Property Measurement System (MPMS) magnetometer (as shown in Fig. 2.3), which was operated with a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) coil. The working temperature range was between 2 K and 400 K.
Liquid Helium was used to adjust the chamber temperature. The maximum applied magnetic field was up to $\pm 70 \text{kOe}$.

A large part of this work during the examination of the properties of the 5$d$ oxides was dedicated to the magnetic properties. The magnetic properties of all the samples in this thesis were measured by using MPMS-7T system (Quantum Design). Low temperature measurements (5-375 K) were performed with solid sample. The solid pieces were fixed in the certain position of plastic straw for avoiding movement. After the sample was put into the camber, the temperature dependent magnetic susceptibilities ($\chi$) were measured under both field cooling (FC) and zero field cooling (ZFC) conditions under a variety of magnetic fields. The field dependence isothermal magnetizations were measured between +70 kOe and -70 kOe at different temperatures.

2.4 Electrical properties measurement

A variety of physical properties could be performed by a Quantum Design Physical Properties Measurement System (PPMS) shown in the left panel of Fig. 2.4, e.g. resistivity, Hall coefficient and heat capacity.

In the investigation of the electrical properties, the most suitable were the four contacts arrangement of the electrodes for the semiconducting bulk samples and measuring low resistivity of good conductors. In this thesis, the electrical resistivity ($\rho$) of all the samples was measured by using PPMS-9T (Quantum Design). In the right panel of Fig. 2.4, the sketch of one of possible geometries of the measurement was presented. The obtained resistivity value came from sample and contacts. The contacts had been done using silver paste. The silver paste was a solution of mixed silver particles in two component epoxy. The connection between sample and contacts was Pt wire. Both of the sliver paste and Pt wires were of low resistance, which could be neglected in the resistivity calculation. The resistivity of the materials was then given by

$$\rho = \frac{V_{2,3}}{I_{1,4}}.$$
with $I_{1,4}$ being the current passing through the contacts 1 and 4, and $V_{2,3}$ being the voltage between the contacts 2 and 3. The conductance was calculated from formula

$$\sigma = \frac{V_{2,3}}{I_{1,4}} \frac{d}{a \times b},$$

where $d$ was the distance between the contacts 2 and 3, $a$ and $b$ were the length and width of the sample as shown in Fig. 2.4.

![Image of PPMS-9T system and schematic](image)

**Figure 2.4.** The image of the PPMS-9T system at NIMS Namiki-site. And the schematic of the four point resistivity measurement for a bulk sample.

### 2.5 Heat capacity measurement

Heat capacity was a measurable physical quantity amount to the change of the heat in the object system due to temperature rising. In addition to electrical property measurement, PPMS was designed to measure heat capacity. The measurements of heat capacity ($C_p$) could be made between 2 K and 300 K without magnetic field in the thesis. The sample with mass below 10 mg was stuck to sample holder via Apiezon N grease. The sample chamber was pumped down to 0.01 mTorr to minimize the thermal contact with the environment. The specific heat capacity was the heat capacity per mole.
References in chapter 2


Chapter 3. Crystal structure, and magnetic properties of KSB30-type 5d oxides K0.84O3 and Bi2.93O3O11

3.1 KSB30-type family overview

Crystalline KSB30-type [1] or comparable-type solid-state oxides were attractive for their possible applications in ionically conductive and electrocatalytic materials [2]–[5]. This prospect might be related to the presence of tunnel motifs in the crystal structure of these oxides [6]. Furthermore, structurally related La4Ru6O19 has received considerable attention because of its role in novel electronic transport in non-Fermi-liquids [7], [8]. The KSB30-type family of solid-state oxides was currently an emergent subject in the field of inorganic chemistry. They could be used to develop advanced technologies for energy-related applications and to help understand correlated electron properties.

The KSB30-type family of oxides consists of several compositional variants have been synthesized and characterized by the similar tunnel motifs, such as the following:

- AMO3: KSB30 [1], KIrO3 [9], BaOsO3 [10], KBiO3 [4], [11], AgBiO3 [12];
- A3M5O11: La3Ir3O11 [13], Bi3Ru3O11 [3], La3Ru3O11 [7], [14], Bi3Os3O11 [15], [16], Bi3Mn1.9Te1.1O11 [17], Bi3Re3O11 [18], Bi3CrSb2O11 [19], Bi2LaM5Sb2O11 (M = Cr, Mn, Fe) [19], NaBi2Sb3O11 [20];
- A2M5O9: Sr2Re3O9 [18];
- A4M6O19: La4Re6O19 [18], Pb6Re6O19 [18], Ba4Os6O18Cl [21], La4Os6O19 [21], Sr4Ru6ClO18 [22], and La4Ru6O19 [7], [8].

Most of these examples were synthesized at ambient pressure, whilst high-pressure heating has led to successful syntheses of additional compounds, which include NaOsO3 [15], Bi3Mn3O11 [17], [23], [24], Bi3Ge3O10.5 [25], Ba2Ir3O9 [26], and Bi3Cr2.91O11 [27].

Our recent studies have focused on the synthesis of solid-state osmium oxides in order to develop 5d electronic properties and 5d materials for possible advancements in
the field of spintronics and related scientific devices [28]–[31]. During our attempted syntheses of compositionally new osmium oxides under high-pressure and high-temperature conditions, an additional oxide \( \text{K}_{0.84}\text{OsO}_3 \) was synthesized (at 6 GPa). The polycrystalline compounds \( \text{K}_{0.84}\text{OsO}_3 \) was studied by using synchrotron X-ray diffraction and magnetic and charge transport measurements. The refined crystal structure indicated that the crystalline oxide has a K\( \text{SbO}_3 \)-type structure and shares a tunnel structural motif with a related Os oxide \( \text{Bi}_{2.93}\text{Os}_3\text{O}_{11} \) [15], [16]. Herein, we report the synthesis, crystal structure, and primary electrical and magnetic properties of the newly synthesized K\( \text{SbO}_3 \)-type oxide \( \text{K}_{0.84}\text{OsO}_3 \) and compare those with the properties of the structurally comparable oxide \( \text{Bi}_{2.93}\text{Os}_3\text{O}_{11} \).

3.2 Experimental details

Polycrystalline \( \text{K}_{0.84}\text{OsO}_3 \) was synthesized by a solid-state reaction method in a belt-type high-pressure and high-temperature apparatus (Kobe Steel, Ltd, Japan), in which a pyrophylite cell was used to produce a quasi-hydrostatic environment at an elevated pressure [32]. The starting materials Os (99.95%, Heraeus Materials Technology) and KO\( _2 \) (O\( _2 \)-45.6%, yellow powder, Sigma-Aldrich Co.) were mixed at a molar ratio of 1:2 in a glove box under argon. The mixture was sealed in a platinum capsule, followed by heating in a compressed pyrophylite cell at 1500 °C for 1 h. The capsule pressure was maintained at 6 GPa during the heating process. The capsule was then quenched to ambient temperature within a minute by cutting off the electric power supply before releasing the pressure. The final product was a dense pellet of part of it was ground in an agate mortar and pestle. The powder was then rinsed in an ultrasonic water bath multiple times to remove any residue. The high-pressure method is helpful in reducing the risk of human exposure to possible presence of toxic OsO\( _4 \) during the synthesis.

Polycrystalline \( \text{Bi}_{2.93}\text{Os}_3\text{O}_{11} \) was similarly prepared using fine powders of \( \text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3 \) (99.999%, Kojundo Chem. Lab. Co., Japan) and OsO\( _2 \) (Os-83%, Alfa Aesar) in the
high-pressure apparatus. A small amount of an oxygen source (KClO₄, 99.5%, Kishida Chem, Co.) was added to a stoichiometric mixture of the starting materials. The elevated pressure was maintained at 6 GPa during the heating process at 1700 °C for 1 h. Residues in the final product (including KCl) were removed in a water bath.

The final products were characterized by synchrotron X-ray diffraction (SXRD) using a large Debye-Scherrer camera at the beam line BL15XU in the SPring-8 synchrotron radiation facility, Japan [33]. The diffraction profiles were collected at room temperature between 2θ of 3° and 81° at 0.003° intervals using a monochromatized beam (λ = 0.65298 Å or 0.40025 Å). The wavelength was confirmed by measurements of a standard material (CeO₂). Each powder was placed into a Lindenmann glass capillary (inner diameter: 0.1 mm) and rotated during the measurements. The SXRD profiles were analyzed by a Rietveld method using the program RIETAN-FP [34].

The dc magnetic susceptibility (χ) of the compound was measured in the Magnetic Property Measurement System (MPMS, Quantum Design) between 2 K and 395 K in an applied magnetic field of 10 kOe. Each powdered compound was loosely gathered in a sample holder and cooled to the temperature limit. The magnetic field was then applied to the holder. The holder was gradually warmed to 395 K (zero-field cooling, ZFC), followed by cooling in the field (field cooling, FC). The isothermal magnetization of the compounds was also measured in the apparatus with a magnetic field range between –70 and 70 kOe at 5 K. The specific heat C_p of a piece of the physically compressed bulk material was measured in the Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS, Quantum Design) between 2 K and 300 K. In the apparatus, the electrical resistivity (ρ) of a pellet piece was measured by a 4-terminal method using platinum wires and a silver paste.

The K content of polycrystalline K₀.84OsO₃ was determined by inductively coupled plasma spectrometry. Water-rinsed fine powder was used in the analysis and the average K content was found to be 0.837(7) in accordance with the formula unit.

First-principles calculations of the electronic state of the stoichiometric hosts KOsO₃ and Bi₃Os₃O₁₁ were performed by a generalized gradient approximation [35] of the
density functional theory. The WIEN2k program [36] was used, which was based on the full-potential augmented plane-wave method. The muffin–tin radii were chosen to be 2.4 atomic unit (a.u.) for K, 2.2 for Bi, 1.9 for Os, and 1.6 for O atoms. The spin–orbit interaction was included as a perturbation to the scalar-relativistic equations. The cut-off wave vector $K$ was fixed at $RK = 8$, where $R$ is the smallest muffin–tin radius (i.e. 1.6 a.u.). The Brillouin zone integration was approximated by the tetrahedron method with 294 $k$ points in an irreducible zone for KOsO$_3$ and 76 $k$ points for Bi$_3$Os$_3$O$_{11}$. We assumed that K atoms occupy the K1 site for KOsO$_3$ and Bi atoms occupy Bi1 (8$e$) and Bi2 (4$b$) sites for Bi$_3$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ to avoid fractional occupation.

### 3.3 Crystal structure

The crystal structure of K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ was characterized well by a cubic model with a space group of $Im-3$, similar to KBiO$_3$ and AgBiO$_3$ [4], [11], [12]. Fig. 3.1 shows the SXRD pattern for K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ at room temperature. Rietveld analysis conducted on the pattern with the cubic model resulted in a well-refined profile with $R$ indices below 7%. The refined cubic lattice parameter was $a = 9.47164(1)$ Å, which is smaller than the corresponding cubic parameters of KBiO$_3$ [10.0194(6) Å] and AgBiO$_3$ [9.7852(2) Å]. The smaller ionic radius (0.575 Å) of Os(V) in an octahedral environment than that of Bi(V) (0.76 Å) may account for this observation [37]. We concluded that a reasonable fit was established; the final structural parameters, including the refined atomic coordinates, are listed in Table 3.1. Although the true chemical composition was slightly under-stoichiometric (K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$), we analyzed the pattern without considering the small amount of K deficiencies that we were unable to refine. However, the thermal parameters for all atoms remained within a reasonable level regardless of the K deficiencies. The small amount of deficiencies may have been distributed almost equally over the three crystallographic K sites, minimizing impact on the analysis.
Figure 3.1. Rietveld analysis of the SXRD pattern for $\text{K}_{0.84}\text{OsO}_3$ at room temperature. Markers and solid lines show the observed and calculated profiles, respectively, and the difference is shown at the bottom of the figure. The expected Bragg reflections are marked by the small bars; and the reflections analyzed under partial profile relaxation are marked in purple color [34]. The proposed crystal structure is presented in the inset, in which Os and O atoms are drawn as large red and small blue balls, respectively. K atoms are not shown for clarity.

Table 3.1. Structural parameters of $\text{K}_{0.84}\text{OsO}_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>$g$</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$y$</th>
<th>$z$</th>
<th>$B$ (Å$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K1</td>
<td>16f</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3429(2)</td>
<td>$=x$</td>
<td>$=x$</td>
<td>1.65(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2</td>
<td>16f</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.2818(5)</td>
<td>$=x$</td>
<td>$=x$</td>
<td>1.4(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K3</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.4(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>12e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.14047(3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.237(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>12d</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3482(7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.6(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>24g</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3597(5)</td>
<td>0.2935(4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.74(7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. The space group is $\text{Im}-\text{3}$ (no. 204), $a = 9.47164(1)$ Å, $Z = 12$, $V = 849.718(2)$ Å$^3$, and $d_{\text{cal}} = 6.50$ g/cm$^3$. $R$ indices were $R_{wp} = 3.55\%$, $R_p = 2.47\%$, $R_B = 6.58\%$, and $R_F = 5.57\%$. The bond distances of Os–O in the OsO$_6$ octahedra were $d(\text{Os-O1}) = 1.972(6)$ Å ($\times 2$), $d(\text{Os-O2}) = 1.954(6)$ Å ($\times 2$), and $d(\text{Os-O2}) = 1.966(6)$ Å ($\times 2$). BVS(Os) = +4.63, in which BVS = $\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_i$, $v_i = \exp[(R_0 - d_i)/B]$, $N$ is the coordination number, $B = 0.37$ and $R_0(\text{Os}^5) = 1.868$ (BVS, bond valence sum) [38].
The refined crystal structure was illustrated in the inset of Fig. 3.1. The structural view indicated that an Os atom occupies the center of the octahedron. The view clearly showed a characteristic tunnel motif as was observed for other K\textsubscript{2}Sb\textsubscript{3}-type and related oxides. In the octahedra, each edge or corner was shared by neighboring octahedra, causing the shortest Os–Os distance to be 2.6610(4) Å. The distance was 8.7% longer than the bonded Ru–Ru distance of La\textsubscript{4}Ru\textsubscript{6}O\textsubscript{19} (2.448 Å) and 12.5% shorter than the non-bonded Ru–Ru distance of La\textsubscript{3}Ru\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{11} \cite{7,8}. The distances might suggest that Os–Os bonding has formed. It could be noted that the ionic size of Os is slightly larger than that of Ru \cite{37}; however, the ionic size difference was unlikely to affect the observation.

Fig. 3.2 showed the SXRD pattern for Bi\textsubscript{2.93}Os\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{11} measured at room temperature (as well as the refined pattern). In the refinement, Bi\textsubscript{2.93}Os\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{11} was assumed to be isostructural to Bi\textsubscript{3}Mn\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{11} \cite{24}; fractional atomic coordinates for Bi\textsubscript{3}Mn\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{11} were tested in early refinements. The Bi\textsubscript{1} atom was found to be disordered as in Bi\textsubscript{3}Mn\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{11} \cite{24} and Bi\textsubscript{3}GaSb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{11} \cite{39}. Eventually, a refinement with an occupation factor ($g$) of 1/3 for Bi\textsubscript{1} and 1 for Bi\textsubscript{2} resulted in a negative thermal parameter ($B$) for O\textsubscript{1}; however, the $B$(O1) was positive when we allowed the refinement of $g$(Bi\textsubscript{1}) and $g$(Bi\textsubscript{2}). A small amount of vacancies was therefore suggested at these sites; the refined composition was Bi\textsubscript{2.93}Os\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{11}. The under-stoichiometric composition might be connected to the presence of a small amount of impurities in the compound. We also noted that splitting of Bi\textsubscript{2} from the ideal 4\textit{b} site (0,0,0) to 8\textit{e} site ($x$, $x$, $x$) resulted in slightly better $R$ factors at $x = 0.0029(4)$. Note that the shortest Os–Os distance was 2.5653(3) Å, comparable with the Os–Os distance in K\textsubscript{0.84}Os\textsubscript{3}. 
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Figure 3.2. Rietveld analysis of the SXRD pattern for Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ at room temperature. Markers and solid lines show the observed and calculated profiles, respectively, and the difference is shown at the bottom. The expected Bragg reflections are marked by the small bars; and the reflections analyzed under partial profile relaxation are marked in purple color [34]. The proposed crystal structure is presented in the inset, in which Os and O atoms are drawn as large red and small blue balls, respectively. K atoms are not shown for clarity.

Table 3.2. Structural parameters of Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>$g$</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$Y$</th>
<th>$z$</th>
<th>$B$ (Å$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bi1</td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>0.3255(6)</td>
<td>0.3890(7)</td>
<td>0.3844(9)</td>
<td>0.3736(4)</td>
<td>0.39(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi2</td>
<td>8e</td>
<td>0.4887(9)</td>
<td>0.0029(4)</td>
<td>= $x$</td>
<td>= $x$</td>
<td>0.531(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>12g</td>
<td>0.38677(5)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.161(4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>8e</td>
<td>0.1501(5)</td>
<td>= $x$</td>
<td>= $x$</td>
<td>0.04(14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>12f</td>
<td>0.5823(9)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.36(14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3</td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>0.6018(6)</td>
<td>0.2426(5)</td>
<td>0.5343(6)</td>
<td>0.13(7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** The space group is $Pn$-3 (no. 201) at origin choice 2, $Z = 4$, $a = 9.35993(2)$ Å, and $V = 820.007(4)$ Å$^3$. $R$ indices were $R_{wp} = 6.42\%$, $R_p = 4.03\%$, $R_B = 3.52\%$, and $R_F = 1.75\%$. The bond distances of Os–O in the OsO$_6$ octahedra were $d$(Os–O3) = 1.991(4) Å ($\times$ 2), $d$(Os–O2) = 1.991(5) Å ($\times$ 2), $d$(Os–O3) = 1.994(4) Å ($\times$ 2). BVS(Os) were +3.37, +2.93, and +4.19, for which BVS = $\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_i$, $v_i = \exp((R_0 - d_i)/B)$, $N$ was the coordination number, $B = 0.37$, $R_0$(Os$^{5+}) = 1.868$ [38].
The OsO$_6$ framework of Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ was tunnel-like, similar to K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$, as shown in the inset of Fig. 3.2. The Bi atoms were not shown in the structural views for clarity. When assuming an ionic picture of the compounds, the formal Os valence should be +5.16 for K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ and +4.33 for Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$. This reflected the difference in the average Os–O bond distance of 1.964(8) Å and 1.992(1) Å for K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ and Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$, respectively. It was also reasonable to expect that the Os–Os distance in K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ was longer than that of Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ owing to Coulomb repulsion between the positive charges; the observed Os–Os distance was indeed 3.7% longer than that of Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$. Nevertheless, the bond valence sum (BVS) was +4.63 for K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ and +4.29 for Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$. Although the BVS(Os) for Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ seemed to be comparable to that of the ionic picture of +4.33, the BVS(Os) for K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ was poorly estimated. The poor estimation might be because K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ is not purely ionic. The Os–Os bonding could possibly be more significant in K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ than in Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$.

### 3.4 Electrical properties

The temperature dependence of $\rho$ for a piece of polycrystalline K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ was measured (Fig. 3.3), and the metallic temperature-dependence was found over the studied temperature range. Although $\rho$ (~0.025 Ω·cm) at room temperature was approximately one order of magnitude higher than what was expected for a polycrystalline conducting oxide, the compound could still be characterized according to this type. In contrast, a piece of polycrystalline Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ showed a weak temperature dependence and a $\rho$ of ~0.21 Ω·cm at room temperature. However, this feature was not consistent with what is expected for a semiconducting oxide with an energy gap. Note that polycrystalline Bi$_3$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ synthesized without a high pressure process shows a $\rho$ of ~0.001 Ω·cm at room temperature [16], being remarkably lower than what was observed for the polycrystalline Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$. The true conductivity of Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ was possibly masked by polycrystalline nature such as resistive grain boundaries and impurities. Additional
studies on single crystals of the oxides were necessary to reveal true nature of electric transport. Attempts to grow crystals of the oxides under high-pressure and high temperature conditions have been unsuccessful so far.

![Temperature dependence of ρ](image)

**Figure 3.3.** Temperature dependences of ρ of the polycrystalline K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ and Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$.

### 3.5 Magnetic properties

The magnetic properties of polycrystalline oxides K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ and Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ were studied and compared. **Fig. 3.4** showed the temperature dependences of χ for the oxides, revealing weakly temperature-dependent paramagnetic features over the temperature range. Any possible magnetic transition was unlikely over the measurements. Although a broad and small bump was seen at approximately ~50 K for K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$, any corresponding anomalies were not obvious in the ρ and $C_p$ measurements (shown later). The inset showed the isothermal magnetizations of the oxides at low temperature (5 K) and only quasi-linear behaviors with trivial magnetizations were observed. Therefore, we tentatively assumed that the magnetic bump is likely impurity driven. The overall magnetic measurements suggested that the compounds are both paramagnetic at temperatures above 2 K. The temperature dependence of χ at the low temperature limit remained unconnected to any magnetic model and impurities.
Figure 3.4. Temperature dependence of $\chi$ for polycrystalline $K_{0.84}\text{OsO}_3$ and $\text{Bi}_{2.93}\text{Os}_3\text{O}_{11}$ in a magnetic field of 50 kOe. Solid and open symbols represent data measured in FC and ZFC conditions, respectively. The dashed lines indicate the theoretically calculated $\chi$ for stoichiometric hosts $\text{KOsO}_3$ and $\text{Bi}_3\text{Os}_3\text{O}_{11}$ for comparison. The inset shows the isothermal magnetizations of the compounds at 5 K.

3.6 Heat capacity

Specific heat measurements for the oxides were conducted; their $C_p$ vs. $T$ curves were shown in Figs. 3.5 (a) and (b). Over the temperature range, $C_p$ varied monotonically and any indicative anomaly for a transition is unobvious. The $C_p$ vs. $T$ curve was analyzed by a linear combination of the Debye and Einstein model, as was conducted for related materials [40]. The analytical formula is

$$C_p(T) = n_D \times 9N_Ak_B \left( \frac{T}{T_D} \right)^3 \int_0^{T_0/T_D} \frac{x^4e^x}{(e^x-1)^2}dx + n_E \times 3N_Ak_B \left( \frac{T}{T_E} \right)^2 \frac{e^{T_E/T}}{(e^{T_E/T} - 1)};$$

where $N_A$ was Avogadro’s constant, and $T_D$ and $T_E$ were the Debye and Einstein temperatures, respectively. The scale factors, $n_D$ and $n_E$, correspond to the number of vibrational modes per formula unit in the Debye and Einstein models, respectively. The fitting curves yielded the following: $T_D$ of 628(7) K, $T_E$ of 150(2) K, $n_D$ of 2.88(3), and $n_E$
of 1.25(3) for K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$; and $T_D$ of 650(7) K, $T_E$ of 110(2) K, $n_D$ of 3.63(2), and $n_E$ of 1.34(3) for Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$. The Einstein term added to the Debye term increased the quality of fitting, suggesting a possibility that the phonon density of state (DOS) forms a rather complex structure over the whole temperature range. However, analysis on the $C_p/T^3$ vs. $T$ plots (not shown) indicated that anomalous Einstein contributions, which were indicative of lattice rattling, were not obvious (unlike related oxides) [40]. Further analysis of the phonon modes was needed to clarify the phonon DOS structure of both the compounds.

The low-temperature measurements of the $C_p/T$ vs. $T^2$ plot for each compound were analyzed by an approximated Debye model (Figs. 3.5 (c) and (d)), which was $C_p/T = \beta T^2 + \gamma$, where $\beta$ and $\gamma$ were a constant and the Sommerfeld coefficient, respectively. The fit of the plots yielded $\beta = 3.03(9) \times 10^{-4}$ J [one mole of osmium atoms (mol-Os)]$^{-1}$ K$^{-4}$ and $\gamma = 16.8(2)$ mJ mol-Os$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$ for K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$; and $\beta = 7.00(9) \times 10^{-4}$ J mol-Os$^{-1}$ K$^{-4}$ and $\gamma = 1.6(2)$ mJ mol-Os$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$ for Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$. The $T_D$ for K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ and Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ were calculated from $\beta$ of 315(4) K and 250(1) K, respectively. It appeared that the value of $\gamma$ for Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ is nearly one tenth of that for K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$; we therefore carefully investigated the electronic state of the compounds by a theoretical method.
Figure 3.5. Temperature dependences of $C_p$ of the polycrystalline (a) $K_{0.84}OsO_3$ and (b) $Bi_{2.93}Os_3O_{11}$. The solid line represents the fitting curve. A linear fit of the low-temperature measurements of the $C_p/T$ vs. $T^2$ plot is shown for (c) $K_{0.84}OsO_3$ and (d) $Bi_{2.93}Os_3O_{11}$, respectively.
3.7 Theoretical calculation

Figs. 3.6 (a) and (b) showed the theoretically predicted electronic DOS structure for the stoichiometric hosts $\text{K}_{0.84}\text{OsO}_3$ and $\text{Bi}_{2.93}\text{Os}_3\text{O}_{11}$, respectively. The total DOS was found to consist of mainly Os and O contributions and little from K/Bi. Both compounds had a nontrivial electronic DOS at the Fermi level ($E_F$). Therefore, the hosts were expected to be metallic. The estimated $\gamma$ for KOsO$_3$ from the DOS at $E_F$ was 15.6 mJ mol-Os$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$, which was nearly comparable to the observed $\gamma$ for $\text{K}_{0.84}\text{OsO}_3$ [16.8(2) mJ mol-Os$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$]. However, the estimated $\gamma$ for Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ was 9.7 mJ mol-Os$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$, which was much larger than the observed $\gamma$ for Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ [1.6(2) mJ mol-Os$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$]. This disagreement between the expected and observed $\gamma$ for the compounds is possibly owing to a steep change of DOS in the vicinity of $E_F$ with lowering the Bi stoichiometry. This phenomenon has been previously discussed for related Os oxides [41]. Although we needed to carefully investigate possible contributions from spin-polarization and spin-orbit interactions over the DOS structure, opening a full gap at $E_F$ for Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ seemed unlikely.

![Figure 3.6. Electronic DOS of stoichiometric hosts of (a) $\text{K}_{0.84}\text{OsO}_3$ and (b) $\text{Bi}_{2.93}\text{Os}_3\text{O}_{11}$. The vertical line indicates the locations of $E_F$.](image-url)
3.8 Conclusion

Materials with 5$d$ electrons might show characteristic features owing to radially extended valence orbitals and large spin-orbit couplings of 5$d$ electrons over 3$d$ electrons. For example, a perovskite-type oxide, NaOsO$_3$, showed a Slater-like transition [30], [31] and a LiNbO$_3$-type oxide, LiOsO$_3$, showed a ferroelectric-like transition in the metallic state [29]. The results led to the reasonable expectation that KOsO$_3$, if synthesized, also showed characteristic features of 5$d$ electrons. Nevertheless, KSB$_3$-type crystalline K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ showed only a weak temperature-dependent paramagnetic feature. In addition, KSB$_3$-type Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ was also synthesized under high-pressure and high-temperature conditions. The Os–O network in this system was found to form a similar tunnel motif to that of K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$. Although the formal valence of Os decreased from +5.16 (K) to +4.40 (Bi), the observed magnetic and electronic properties did not change significantly.

In contrast to the remarkable 5$d$ properties of related compounds NaOsO$_3$ [29], [30] and LiOsO$_3$ [29], K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ and Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ seemed to have less characteristic 5$d$ properties above 2 K. Both compounds showed rather weak temperature-dependent paramagnetism and metallic transports. Disorders such as K/Bi vacancies and the polycrystalline nature of the compounds (including grain boundaries and impurities) could possibly complicate the observed 5$d$ properties. Nevertheless, the magnetic and electronic properties were quite similar to what was observed for structurally relevant 5$d$ oxides, including Bi$_3$Re$_3$O$_{11}$ (Re$^{4.33+}$, 5$d^{2.66}$) [18] and Ba$_2$Ir$_3$O$_9$ (Ir$^{4.66+}$, 5$d^{4.33}$) [26], regardless of the number of 5$d$ electrons. The absence of a long-range magnetic order seemed to be common among the tunnel-like structures of 5$d$ oxides. Further studies on high-quality single crystals of newly synthesized KSB$_3$-type material K$_{0.84}$OsO$_3$ might reveal the 5$d$ characteristic features and help establish a comprehensive picture of the 5$d$ electronic system.
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Chapter 4. Crystal structures, and magnetic properties of 5d double-perovskite oxides Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$

4.1 Background knowledge

4.1.1 Double perovskite overview

Recently, double-perovskite oxides have attracted renewed attention, because they exhibited properties that may be useful for possible applications such as in, for example, multifunctional devices [1]. Generally, stoichiometric $A_2BB'O_6$, wherein $A$ denoted an alkaline earth (or rare-earth) metal and $B$ and $B'$ were d-block elements (or other metals), crystallizes in a cubic, tetragonal, or monoclinic double-perovskite structure with interpenetrating $B$ and $B'$ face-centered cubic (FCC) sublattices. When $B$ and $B'$ were both magnetic, interactions between the FCC cells resulted in useful magnetic features such as high spin-polarization at ambient temperature in Sr$_2$FeMoO$_6$ [2], remarkable high-temperature (~ 725 K) ferrimagnetism in Sr$_2$CrOsO$_6$ [3], unusual competing spin structure in Sr$_2$FeOsO$_6$ [4], chemical-pressure-induced high-temperature ferrimagnetism in Ca$_2$FeOsO$_6$ [5], and the coexistence of two independent magnetic orders in Sr$_2$CoOsO$_6$ [6]–[8]. These properties might be useful for developing advanced multifunctional devices; however, further clarification of the responsible mechanism was necessary. At present, the role of 5$d$ electrons and the impact of interactions between 5$d$(or 4$d$) and 3$d$ electrons on the properties were emerging issues.

When $B$ was nonmagnetic and $B'$ is magnetic, or vice versa, a single magnetic FCC sublattice was formed. The double perovskites containing single magnetic sublattices might be useful for investigating the emerging issues. They all displayed only antiferromagnetic characters with moderate magnetic interactions, as the distances between the nearest-neighbor magnetic elements were much longer than 5 Å. Indeed, they showed long-range antiferromagnetic ordering at most 74 K or below (see Table 4.1). Some of them showed geometrically frustrated magnetic properties, probably
because the FCC sublattices formed an edge-shared tetrahedra ($B'_4$), in which $B'$ atoms were mutually connected via antiferromagnetic bonds such as $-B'-O-O-B'$– [9]. For example, the effect of geometrical frustration on magnetism has been argued for $\text{Sr}_2\text{BReO}_6$ ($B = \text{Ca, Mg}$) [9], [10], $\text{La}_2\text{LiReO}_6$ and $\text{Ba}_2\text{YReO}_6$ [11].

4.1.2 Double perovskite with a single magnetic sublattice

In this chapter, we synthesized double-perovskite oxides $\text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6$ and $\text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6$, which have single magnetic sublattices, and we investigated their crystal structures and magnetic properties. The synthesis of $\text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6$ was initially reported by Sleight et al. in 1962 [12]; however, to the best of our knowledge, details of its magnetic properties were not known to date. $\text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6$ was a new compositional oxide. We found that the magnetic properties of $\text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6$ and $\text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6$ were very different, despite the fact that they were isoelectronic and have a similar structural basis. $\text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6$ showed an antiferromagnetic transition at $\sim 110$ K, while $\text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6$ showed a magnetic-glass transition at $\sim 19$ K and did not show any long-range antiferromagnetic ordering above 2 K.

It appeared that the magnetic-glass state, probably reflecting the freezing of the magnetic frustration, transforms into an antiferromagnetically ordered state upon the substitution of Sr for Ca. The change in magnetic character was the most noticeable for double-perovskite oxides containing single magnetic sublattices, because the antiferromagnetic-transition temperature was the highest (Table 4.1). A first-principles density functional approach indicated that $\text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6$ and $\text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6$ were likely to be 5$d$ antiferromagnetic Mott insulators with Coulomb correlations of approximately 1.8-3.0 eV. These compounds provided an opportunity for studying the antiferromagnetic nature of the sublattices of 5$d$ magnetic double-perovskite oxides with regards to the possible use of such oxides in multifunctional devices.

In addition, $\text{Ba}_2\text{BReO}_6$ ($B = \text{Mg, Zn, Cd, Ca, Sr, Ba, Sc}$), $\text{Ba}_2\text{BOsO}_6$ ($B = \text{Mg, Zn, Cd, Sr, Ba, Sc}$), $\text{Sr}_2\text{BReO}_6$ ($B = \text{Zn, Cd, Sr, Sc}$), $\text{Sr}_2\text{BOsO}_6$ ($B = \text{Li, Na, Ca, Sr, Sc}$), $\text{Ca}_2\text{BReO}_6$ ($B = \text{Mg, Cd, Ca, Sc}$), $\text{Ca}_2\text{LiOsO}_6$, and $\text{X}_2\text{MIrO}_6$ ($X = \text{Ba/Sr, } M = \text{Ca, Sr, Mg, Zn, La}$) were probably synthesized, although the refined structure parameters and
detailed magnetic properties were not reported [12]–[16]. Ba$_2$Fe$^{2+}$MoO$_6$ and Ba$_2$Fe$^{2+}$WO$_6$ were synthesized and weak ferrimagnetic-like characters were observed; however, an impact from the magnetic impurities on the properties and relevance to the site-disorder were argued [13]. Ca$_2$Pd$^{2+}$WO$_6$[14] and SrLa$M$Ru$^{4+}$O$_6$ ($M = \text{Zn, Mg}$) [15] were synthesized; but no magnetic properties were reported. The $d^0$ and $d^6$ (low-spin state) compounds were not included. $T_{N,f}$ denotes either an ordering or freezing temperature of magnetism. $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ and $\theta_W$ were the effective magnetic moment and Weiss temperature of the Curie-Weiss law, respectively.

### Table 4.1 Double perovskite oxide with a single magnetic sublattice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$d$ electron count, composition, space group</th>
<th>Lattice parameters</th>
<th>Magnetic parameters</th>
<th>Note</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>3$d$ double-perovskite oxides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^5$ La$_3$LiFe$^{2+}$O$_6$, R-3c</td>
<td>$a = 5.371$</td>
<td>$T_{N,f}$ (K) 10</td>
<td>High-pressure heating, 900 °C</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha = 60.66$</td>
<td>$\theta_W$ (K) -90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^5$ LaBaMn$^{2+}$NbO$_6$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$a = 5.6918(7)$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>\theta_W/T_{N,f}</td>
<td>$ 3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$b = 5.7473(2)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c = 8.0701(8)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta = 90.050(4)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^5$ LaSrMn$^{2+}$NbO$_6$, $P2_1/n$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solid-state reaction, 1275 °C</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$a = 5.6417(1)$</td>
<td>$T_{N,f}$ 8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$b = 5.6545(1)$</td>
<td>$\theta_W$ -35.7 4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c = 7.8022(3)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^5$ LaCaMn$^{2+}$NbO$_6$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solid-state reaction, 1340 °C</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$a = 5.6180(1)$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>\theta_W/T_{N,f}</td>
<td>$ 3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$b = 18(1)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^6$ Ba$_2$Mn$^{2+}$WO$_6$, Fm-3m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solid-state reaction, 1340 °C</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$a = 8.1895(3)$</td>
<td>$T_{N,f}$ 13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$b = 8.0119(4)$</td>
<td>$\theta_W$ -64.4 1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c = 8.0141(8)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^6$ Sr$_2$Mn$^{2+}$WO$_6$, $P4_2/n$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solid-state reaction, 1400 °C</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$a = 7.9575(5)$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>\theta_W/T_{N,f}</td>
<td>$ 3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$b = 7.9583(9)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^6$ Sr$_2$Fe$^{2+}$WO$_6$, $P2_1/n$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solid-state reaction, 1100 °C</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$a = 5.6480(4)$</td>
<td>$T_{N,f}$ 37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$b = 5.6088(4)$</td>
<td>$\theta_W$ -21.3 0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c = 7.9362(6)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta = 89.99(2)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^7$ Ba$_2$Co$^{2+}$WO$_6$, Fm-3m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solid-state reaction, 1150 °C</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$a = 5.6180(1)$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>\theta_W/T_{N,f}</td>
<td>$ 3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$b = 5.5786(0)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition Metal</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Orthorhombic</th>
<th>Monoclinic</th>
<th>Symmetry</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d^1$</td>
<td>LaCaCo$_2$O$_3$-NbO$_6$</td>
<td>$P2_1/n$</td>
<td>$a = 5.5509(1)$</td>
<td>$b = 5.6555(1)$</td>
<td>$c = 7.8909(1)$</td>
<td>$β = 90.24(5)$</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^3$</td>
<td>Sr$_2$Co$_2$TeO$_6$</td>
<td>$P2_1/n$</td>
<td>$a = 6.4172(2)$</td>
<td>$b = 6.0632(2)$</td>
<td>$c = 7.9234(2)$</td>
<td>$β = 90.117(4)$</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^7$</td>
<td>La$_2$Co$_2$TiO$_6$</td>
<td>$P2_1/n$</td>
<td>$a = 5.5621(7)$</td>
<td>$b = 5.5667(7)$</td>
<td>$c = 7.8502(8)$</td>
<td>$β = 89.86(1)$</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^8$</td>
<td>Ba$_3$Ni$_2$WO$_6$</td>
<td>$Fm-3m$</td>
<td>$a = 8.066(0)$</td>
<td>$b = 8.02(1)$</td>
<td>$c = 11.05(2)$</td>
<td>$β = 90.08(2)$</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^8$</td>
<td>Sr$_2$Ni$_2$WO$_6$</td>
<td>$I4/m$</td>
<td>$a = 5.557(1)$</td>
<td>$b = 5.556(1)$</td>
<td>$c = 7.913(1)$</td>
<td>$β = 90.08(2)$</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^8$</td>
<td>Ca$_3$Ni$_2$WO$_6$</td>
<td>$P2_1/n$</td>
<td>$a = 5.4061(2)$</td>
<td>$b = 5.3892(2)$</td>
<td>$c = 7.6895(3)$</td>
<td>$β = 90.232(2)$</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^8$</td>
<td>La$_2$Ni$_2$TiO$_6$</td>
<td>$P2_1/n$</td>
<td>$a = 5.5456(6)$</td>
<td>$b = 5.5512(5)$</td>
<td>$c = 7.8341(8)$</td>
<td>$β = 90.08(2)$</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4d double-perovskite oxides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition Metal</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Orthorhombic</th>
<th>Monoclinic</th>
<th>Symmetry</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d^1$</td>
<td>Ba$_3$YMoO$_6$</td>
<td>$Fm-3m$</td>
<td>$a = 8.3920(7)$</td>
<td>$b = 8.593(2)$</td>
<td>$c = 7.8803(2)$</td>
<td>$β = 90.26(3)$</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^1$</td>
<td>La$_2$LiMoO$_6$</td>
<td>$P2_1/n$</td>
<td>$a = 5.9539(2)$</td>
<td>$b = 6.5924(2)$</td>
<td>$c = 7.8803(2)$</td>
<td>$β = 90.26(3)$</td>
<td>2 &lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^3$</td>
<td>La$_2$LiRu$_5$O$_6$</td>
<td>$P2_1/n$</td>
<td>$a = 5.5555(2)$</td>
<td>$b = 5.5977(2)$</td>
<td>$c = 7.8454(3)$</td>
<td>$β = 90.020(5)$</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^3$</td>
<td>La$_2$NaRu$_5$O$_6$</td>
<td>$P2_1/n$</td>
<td>$a = 5.5903(3)$</td>
<td>$b = 5.9241(3)$</td>
<td>$c = 7.9990(3)$</td>
<td>$β = 90.495(2)$</td>
<td>30(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^3$</td>
<td>Sr$_2$LuRu$_5$O$_6$</td>
<td>$P2_1/n$</td>
<td>$a = 5.7400(1)$</td>
<td>$b = 5.7375(1)$</td>
<td>$c = 8.1118(2)$</td>
<td>$β = 90.16(1)$</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^3$</td>
<td>Ba$_2$YRu$_5$O$_6$</td>
<td>$Fm-3m$</td>
<td>$a = 8.3356(1)$</td>
<td>$b = 8.3356(1)$</td>
<td>$c = 8.3356(1)$</td>
<td>$β = 90.16(1)$</td>
<td>35(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5d double-perovskite oxides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition Metal</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Orthorhombic</th>
<th>Monoclinic</th>
<th>Symmetry</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d^1$</td>
<td>Ba$_3$LiOs$_5$O$_6$</td>
<td>$Fm-3m$</td>
<td>$a = 8.1046(2)$</td>
<td>$b = 8.1046(2)$</td>
<td>$c = 8.1046(2)$</td>
<td>$β = 90.16(1)$</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d^1$</td>
<td>Ba$_2$NaOs$_5$O$_6$</td>
<td>$Fm-3m$</td>
<td>$a = 8.2870(3)$</td>
<td>$b = 8.2870(3)$</td>
<td>$c = 8.2870(3)$</td>
<td>$β = 90.16(1)$</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂CaRe₆⁺OsO₆</td>
<td>P2₁/n</td>
<td>5.7582(3)</td>
<td>5.8346(3)</td>
<td>8.1787(4)</td>
<td>90.245(3)</td>
<td>1.659(6)</td>
<td>Solid state reaction, 900 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂MgRe₆⁺OsO₆</td>
<td>I4/m</td>
<td>5.5670(1)</td>
<td>7.9318(2)</td>
<td>1.72(9)</td>
<td>Solid state reaction, 900 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca₃Os₆⁺O₁₆</td>
<td>P2₁/n</td>
<td>5.5403(3)</td>
<td>5.8049(3)</td>
<td>7.9761(4)</td>
<td>90.020(2)</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>High-pressure flux method, 1400 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba₂CaOs₆⁺O₁₆</td>
<td>Fm-3m</td>
<td>8.3619(6)</td>
<td>-156.2(3)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>Solid state reaction, 1000 °C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂MgOs₆⁺O₆</td>
<td>I₄/m</td>
<td>5.6057(1)</td>
<td>5.5203(2)</td>
<td>7.92119(2)</td>
<td>90.0481(4)</td>
<td>1.867(4)</td>
<td>High-pressure solid state reaction, 1500 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba₂YRe₆⁺O₁₆</td>
<td>Fm-3m</td>
<td>8.36278(2)</td>
<td>-616.7(1)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.105</td>
<td>Solid-state reaction, 1300 °C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂LiRe₆⁺O₁₆</td>
<td>P2₁/n</td>
<td>5.8526(2)</td>
<td>5.6758(2)</td>
<td>7.8859(3)</td>
<td>90.240(4)</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>Solid-state reaction, 900 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂NaOs₆⁺O₁₆</td>
<td>P2₁/n</td>
<td>5.6054(3)</td>
<td>5.9445(3)</td>
<td>8.0085(4)</td>
<td>90.587(2)</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>Acidic molten method, 600 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba₂YO₆⁺O₆</td>
<td>Fm-3m</td>
<td>8.3541(4)</td>
<td>-772.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.15(1)</td>
<td>Solid-state reaction, 1400 °C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂ZnIr₆⁺O₁₆</td>
<td>P2₁/n</td>
<td>5.6216(1)</td>
<td>5.5856(1)</td>
<td>7.9052(2)</td>
<td>89.990(1)</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>Citrate-nitrate method, 900 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂CaIr₆⁺O₁₆</td>
<td>P2₁/n</td>
<td>5.7668(2)</td>
<td>5.8160(2)</td>
<td>8.1766(3)</td>
<td>89.721(1)</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>Citrate-nitrate method, 950 °C in 200 bar oxygen pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂MgIr₆⁺O₁₆</td>
<td>P2₁/n</td>
<td>5.5909(8)</td>
<td>5.5754(6)</td>
<td>7.8839(8)</td>
<td>89.906(1)</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>Citrate-nitrate method, 800 °C in 200 bar oxygen pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba₂CaIr₆⁺O₁₆</td>
<td>Fm-3m</td>
<td>8.3567(3)</td>
<td>-1250.0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>Solid-state reaction, 950 °C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca₂InOsO₆</td>
<td>P2₁/n</td>
<td>5.4889(3)</td>
<td>5.6785(1)</td>
<td>7.8576(2)</td>
<td>89.906(1)</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>High-pressure solid state reaction, 1400 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂LiIr₆⁺O₁₆</td>
<td>Pmnm2</td>
<td>5.634(3)</td>
<td>5.555(3)</td>
<td>7.867(5)</td>
<td>89.906(1)</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>High-oxygen pressure heating, 800 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂MgIr₆⁺O₁₆</td>
<td>P2₁/n</td>
<td>5.5856(7)</td>
<td>5.6246(6)</td>
<td>7.907(1)</td>
<td>90.035(5)</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>Solid-state reaction, 1150 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂ZnIr₆⁺O₁₆</td>
<td>P2₁/n</td>
<td>5.5986(4)</td>
<td>5.6869(4)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>Solid-state reaction, 1100 °C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 Experimental details

Polycrystalline Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ were synthesized via a solid-state reaction under high-pressure conditions from powders of $AO_2$ ($A = \text{Ca, Sr}$; laboratory-made) [16], Os (99.95%, Heraeus Materials Technology, Germany), MgO (99.99%, Kojundo Chemical Lab. Co., Ltd., Japan), and KClO$_4$ (> 99.5%, Kishida Chemical Co., Ltd., Japan). The powders were thoroughly mixed in a stoichiometric ratio ($AO_2 : \text{MgO : Os : KClO}_4 = 2:1:1:0.25$) and sealed in a Pt capsule. The procedure was conducted in an Ar-filled glove box. The capsule was statically and isotropically compressed to a pressure of 6 GPa using a belt-type high-pressure apparatus (Kobe Steel, Ltd., Japan), and subsequently heated at 1500 °C for 1 h. The pressure was maintained during heating. Subsequently, the capsule was quenched to ambient temperature within a minute and the pressure was gradually released over a few hours.

A polycrystalline, dense, and well-sintered pellet was finally obtained. A small piece of the pellet was cut out, finely ground, and washed with water to remove KCl residues. The fine and black powder was dried and subjected to an X-ray diffraction (XRD) study, using an X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation. It was then studied by synchrotron X-ray diffraction (SXRD) at ambient temperature in the BL15XU beamline facility at SPring-8. The SXRD data were collected using a large Debye-Scherrer-type diffractometer [17] and the “MYTHEN” one-dimensional semiconductor X-ray detector [18]. The radiation wavelength was confirmed to be 0.65297 Å using a reference material, viz. CeO$_2$. The SXRD pattern was analyzed with the Rietveld method using the “RIETAN-VENUS” software [19], [20].

Other pieces of the pellet were subjected to electrical resistivity ($\rho$) and specific heat ($C_p$) measurements. The $\rho$ measurements were conducted at 120-300 K using a four-point method and with a DC gauge current of 0.1 mA, in a physical properties
measurement system from Quantum Design, Inc. Electrical contacts were prepared along the longitudinal direction of the piece by attaching Pt wires using a Ag paste. The temperature dependence of $C_p$ was also measured using the apparatus, at 2–300 K, by a thermal relaxation method. The contribution of KCl to the raw $C_p$ data was subtracted over the studied temperature range using the tabulated data and the mass ratio (0.03837 for 0.25KCl/Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and 0.04771 for 0.25KCl/Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$) [21].

The magnetic susceptibilities ($\chi$) of Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ were measured using the powder samples in a magnetic properties measurement system from Quantum Design, Inc. The $\chi$ measurements were conducted on a small amount of the powdered compound under field cooling (FC) and zero-field cooling (ZFC) conditions at 2-390 K, using an applied magnetic field of 10 kOe. The magnetic-field dependence of the isothermal magnetization was measured between −50 kOe and +50 kOe at 5 K.

Electronic and magnetic structural calculations were conducted with the WIEN2k package, using a full-potential linearized augmented planewave method within the density functional theory [22]. The atomic sphere radii ($R_{MT}$) were fixed at 2.2, 2.5, 1.92, 1.91, and 1.56 Bohr for Ca, Sr, Mg, Os, and O, respectively. A set of 200 $k$ points was used for the calculations. The standard generalized-gradient approximation (GGA) exchange-correlation potential within the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE)-scheme[23] was used with Coulomb interactions ($U$) [24] of 3 and 4 eV for Os in Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$, respectively.

### 4.3 Crystal structures

The powder XRD pattern in Fig. 4.1 indicated that the crystal structure of Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ was well characterized by a monoclinic lattice with $a \approx 5.41$ Å, $b \approx 5.54$ Å, $c \approx 7.70$ Å, and $\beta \approx 90.0^\circ$, whereas that of Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ was characterized by a tetragonal lattice with $a \approx 5.57$ Å and $c \approx 7.93$ Å. The lattice parameters appeared to be comparable with those of the other double-perovskite oxides with Ca and Sr, respectively (see Table 4.1). Because the 011 peak near $2\theta = 20^\circ$ evidences that Mg and
Os were highly ordered, the possible space group of *Pbnm*, with \( \sim \sqrt{2}a_p \times \sqrt{2}a_p \times 2a_p \) (\( a_p \) was the primitive perovskite lattice parameter), was excluded [25]. The lattice features hence implied that \( \text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) and \( \text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) had the space groups of *P2_1/n* and *I4/m*, respectively.

**Figure 4.1** Powder XRD (Cu K\( \alpha \)) patterns for \( \text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) and \( \text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \).
Crystal structure refinements for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ were attempted with a monoclinic structure model ($P2_1/n$) for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and a tetragonal structure model ($I4/m$) for Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$. The results of the Rietveld analyses of the SXRD patterns for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ were shown in Figs. 4.2(a) and (b). Eventually, reasonable results were well obtained for both; details are summarized in Table 4.2. The refined structures were depicted in Figs. 4.3 (a) and (b).

During the refinements, a disorder model for Mg and Os atoms at the double-perovskite $B'$ and $B''$ sites was tested. Mg and Os atoms were tentatively assumed to occupy the $B'$ and $B''$ sites randomly. A reasonable result was, however, never achieved (see Fig. 4.4 and Table 4.3). Therefore, we decided to refine the occupancies of Mg and Os atoms at the $B'$ and $B''$ sites. Initially, the displacement parameters for all atoms were fixed at 0.5 Å$^2$ at beginning; later, the displacement parameters and occupancies were refined simultaneously. The procedure was repeated until no further improvement was obtained. It appeared that the refinements for the Mg and Os occupancies substantially improved the overall refinement. A small degree of disorder of the arrangement of Mg and Os (~4%) was, however, found for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$. However, the mixing disorder was found to be minimal in Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$. The finally refined structural solution indicated that, in Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$, the degree of order of the Os and Mg arrangement was 96% or higher (see Table 4.2).
Figure 4.2 Rietveld analysis of the SXRD patterns for (a) Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and (b) Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ at ambient temperature. Circles and solid lines showed the observed and calculated patterns, respectively, and the difference between the patterns was shown at the bottom of each figure. The expected Bragg reflections were marked by the small vertical bars.
Figure 4.3 Proposed crystal structures of (a) Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and (b) Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$, in which OsO$_6$ and MgO$_6$ were drawn as octahedra (see labels in the figure). Ca and Sr atoms were drawn as large spheres as marked.

Table 4.2 Crystal structure parameters for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ at ambient temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Wyckoff</th>
<th>Occupancy</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$y$</th>
<th>$z$</th>
<th>$B$ (Å$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9857(6)</td>
<td>0.0492(2)</td>
<td>0.2532(1)</td>
<td>1.07(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os1/Mg1</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>0.96(1)/0.04</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.33(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg2/Os2</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>0.96/0.04</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0851(6)</td>
<td>0.4801(10)</td>
<td>0.2419(5)</td>
<td>0.51(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7112(8)</td>
<td>0.3054(8)</td>
<td>0.0424(8)</td>
<td>0.63(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1910(9)</td>
<td>0.2279(8)</td>
<td>0.9671(10)</td>
<td>1.65(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$, Space group $P2_1/n$ (No. 14), $a = 5.40965(2)$ Å, $b = 5.54033(2)$ Å, $c = 7.69908(3)$ Å, $\beta = 90.0481(4)^\circ$, $Z = 2$, $V = 230.75(1)$ Å$^3$, and $d_{cal} = 5.622$ g/cm$^3$. $R_{wp} = 2.486\%$, $R_p = 1.790\%$. 
Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$, Space group $I4/m$ (No. 87), $a = 5.56057(1)$ Å, $c = 7.92119(2)$ Å, $Z = 2$, $V = 244.92(1)$ Å$^3$, and $d_{cal} = 6.586$ g/cm$^3$. $R_{wp} = 2.249\%$, $R_p = 1.518\%$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Wyckoff Positions</th>
<th>Occupancy</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$y$</th>
<th>$z$</th>
<th>$B$ (Å$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>4d</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.69(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.25(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.19(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>8h</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2179(14)</td>
<td>0.2573(13)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.52(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2461(7)</td>
<td>1.49(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.3** Crystal structure parameters for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ with a disorder model.

Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$, Space group $P2_1/n$ (No. 14), $a = 5.40958(10)$ Å, $b = 5.54031(10)$ Å, $c = 7.69909(14)$ Å, $\beta = 90.0474(19)^\circ$, $Z = 2$, and $V = 230.7475(74)$ Å$^3$. Reliable factors: $R_{wp} = 11.012\%$, and $R_p = 5.909\%$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Wyckoff Positions</th>
<th>Occupancy</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$y$</th>
<th>$z$</th>
<th>$B$ (Å$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9678(1)</td>
<td>0.0473(9)</td>
<td>0.2817(7)</td>
<td>1.0(fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os1/Mg1</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>0.5/0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5(fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg2/Os2</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>0.5/0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5(fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0992(22)</td>
<td>0.4894(47)</td>
<td>0.2688(30)</td>
<td>1.0(fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7984(73)</td>
<td>0.2250(71)</td>
<td>0.0484(47)</td>
<td>1.0(fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1770(62)</td>
<td>0.2149(60)</td>
<td>0.9550(50)</td>
<td>1.0(fixed)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$, Space group $I4/m$ (No. 87), $a = 5.56065(4)$ Å, $c = 7.92136(7)$ Å, $Z = 2$, and $V = 244.9352(36)$ Å$^3$. Reliable factors: $R_{wp} = 5.985\%$, and $R_p = 2.692\%$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Wyckoff Positions</th>
<th>Occupancy</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$y$</th>
<th>$z$</th>
<th>$B$ (Å$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>4d</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.5(fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os1/Mg1</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>0.5/0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5(fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg2/Os2</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>0.5/0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5(fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>8h</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1688(21)</td>
<td>0.2690(24)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0(fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2976(19)</td>
<td>1.0(fixed)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pertinent bond lengths ($l$) of Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ were presented in **Table 4.4**: the average Mg–O distances were 2.07(3) Å and 2.05(3) Å for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$, respectively. The averages were comparable to those of A$_2$MgReO$_6$ (2.08 Å, 2.00 Å, and 2.06 Å for A = Ba, Sr, and Ca, respectively) [26], confirming that Mg was certainly divalent. Indeed, the bond-valence sums (BVSs) of Mg in Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ were 2.15 and 2.29, respectively [27], which were in reasonable agreement with the divalency of Mg. The divalent state was the most stable of the valence states observed for Mg in solid-state materials [28]. The Ca and Sr atoms were
also evaluated to be divalent by BVS calculations (see Table 4.4). Therefore, Os was reasonably assumed to be hexavalent, to satisfy the total charge valence of each compound. This assumption was effective when the compound was oxygen-stoichiometric and the Os–O bonds were fully ionic. To avoid the risk of exposure to the highly toxic OsO₄, we did not conduct a thermogravimetric analysis of the compounds for the measurement of oxygen stoichiometry.

Table 4.4 Selected bond lengths (l) and bond valence sums (BVSs) for Ca₂MgOsO₆ and Sr₂MgOsO₆.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>l (Å)</th>
<th>Bond</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca₂MgOsO₆</td>
<td>Sr₂MgOsO₆</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg–O1</td>
<td>2.043(4) × 2</td>
<td>2.069(8) × 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg–O2</td>
<td>2.068(5) × 2</td>
<td>2.011(6) × 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg–O3</td>
<td>2.110(5) × 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVS(Mg)</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(Sr)–O1</td>
<td>2.354(5), 2.449(6), 3.112(5), 3.200(6)</td>
<td>2.685(5) × 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.903(6) × 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(Sr)–O2</td>
<td>2.332(6), 2.618(6), 2.664(6), 3.425(6)</td>
<td>2.781(1) × 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(Sr)–O3</td>
<td>2.479(6), 2.604(7), 2.658(7), 3.296(6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVS(Ca/Sr)</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os–O1</td>
<td>1.922(4) × 2</td>
<td>1.875(8) × 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os–O2</td>
<td>1.926(4) × 2</td>
<td>1.949(6) × 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os–O3</td>
<td>1.845(5) × 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVS(Os)</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. a BVS = ∑_{i=1}^{N} v_i, \( v_i = \exp[(R_0 - d_i)/B] \), N is the coordination number, B = 0.37, \( R_0(Mg^{2+}) = 1.693 \), \( R_0(Ca^{2+}) = 1.967 \), \( R_0(Sr^{2+}) = 2.118 \), and \( R_0(Os^{6+}) = 1.925 \) [29].

The average Os–O bond lengths in Ca₂MgOsO₆ and Sr₂MgOsO₆ were the same (1.90(4) Å), which was comparable to the Os⁶⁺–O bond lengths in Sr₂NiOsO₆ (1.91 Å) and Ca₂NiOsO₆ (1.92 Å) [30]. The BVS of Os was, however, slightly overestimated: it was 6.49 and 6.45 for the Ca and Sr compounds, respectively. For comparison, the BVS of Os in Na₂Os⁶⁺O₄ was mentioned to be 6.07, with an average Os–O bond length of 1.94 Å [29]. This overestimation was possibly due to overbonding, which suggested that the Os–O bond was not fully ionic. Additionally, there was a difference between the
OsO$_6$ octahedra of Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$, which was caused by crystallization of these materials in different space groups; the Ca compound had three different Os–O bonds in the octahedra whereas the Sr compound had two different Os–O bonds. Although, the average Os–O bond lengths were the same, the slight distortion of the octahedra might potentially alter the crystal field or the electronic ground state.

Figure 4.4 Rietveld analyses of the SXRD patterns for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ with a disorder model.

In Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$, the inter-octahedral Mg–O–Os angles were 151.6(2)$^\circ$, 152.3(2)$^\circ$, and 156.4(3)$^\circ$. These angles were much different from that for a linear arrangement (180$^\circ$), indicating that the octahedral connections were buckled to some extent in any direction. However, the inter-octahedral Mg–O–Os angles in Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ were 180$^\circ$ along the $c$ axis and 171.0(4)$^\circ$ in the $ab$ plane, as depicted in Fig. 4.3(b). The degree of
buckling of the octahedral connections appeared to be drastically reduced by the substitution of Sr for Ca. The reduced degree of buckling might be related to the change in symmetry from monoclinic ($P2_1/n$ for Ca) to tetragonal ($I4/m$ for Sr). Note that the Glazer’s notations were $a^+a^-c^+$ and $a^0a^0c^-$ for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$, respectively, where the superscripts indicated that the neighboring layers of octahedral showed no tilt ($0^\circ$), rotate in the same direction (+), or rotate in opposite (−) directions [31].

Figure 4.5 Temperature dependences of ρ of polycrystalline Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$. The inset showed the Arrhenius plot of the data and the best-fit lines.

4.4 Electrical properties

The temperature dependences of ρ of the polycrystalline Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ clearly indicated semiconductor-like behaviors, as depicted in Fig. 4.5. The ρ values at room temperature were ~90 Ω·cm and ~450 Ω·cm for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$, respectively; these were more than three orders of magnitude greater than the expected value for a conducting polycrystalline oxide. In addition, ρ continuously increased upon cooling and exceeds the instrumental limit at temperatures of 120-150 K. The inset in Fig. 4.5 exhibited the data in alternative plot, showing the roughly linear dependence. Fitting the Arrhenius equation to the plot (see the solid lines in the inset of
Fig. 4.5) yielded activation energies of 0.29(1) eV for Ca₂MgOsO₆ and 0.24(1) eV for Sr₂MgOsO₆, which were much smaller than those of related oxides Ca₂FeOsO₆ (~1.2 eV) [32] and Ba₂CuOsO₆ (~0.81 eV) [33]. A small amount of KCl residue in the samples might have an impact on the transport measurements to some extent; however, the insulating behavior was essential because of the absence of an electronic contribution to the low-temperature $C_p$, as shown later.

4.5 Magnetic properties

4.5.1 Susceptibility

The temperature dependence of $\chi$ of polycrystalline Ca₂MgOsO₆ and Sr₂MgOsO₆ was also measured (Fig. 4.6(a)). In the case of Ca₂MgOsO₆, $\chi$ monotonically increases upon cooling, without any anomaly, except for a cusp at 19 K. Below the cusp temperature, a thermal hysteresis develops on cooling, as could be seen by the difference between the ZFC and FC curves, suggesting the establishment of a magnetic-glass state or an antiferromagnetically ordered state. Because $C_p$ measurements (shown later) did not reveal any transition entropy ($\Delta S$) in the vicinity near 19 K, a long-range antiferromagnetic ordering was unlikely to be responsible for the observed cusp for Ca₂MgOsO₆.

In contrast, the temperature dependence of $\chi$ for Sr₂MgOsO₆ showed a solid transition at a temperature of ~110 K in both the ZFC and FC curves (inset of Fig. 4.6(a)), suggesting the establishment of a long-range antiferromagnetic ordering. Indeed, the $C_p$ vs. $T$ curve showed a broad peak near 110 K (shown later), supporting the antiferromagnetic ordering. To further characterize the magnetic nature of the compounds, the Curie–Weiss law was applied to the high-temperature part of the $\chi^{-1}$ vs. $T$ plot of the FC curves, as shown in Fig. 4.6(b). The temperature range used for the analysis was 200-385 K for Ca₂MgOsO₆ and 140-385 K for Sr₂MgOsO₆.
Figure 4.6 (a) Temperature dependence of $\chi$ of polycrystalline Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ measured in a magnetic field of 10 kOe. Solid and open symbols denote FC and ZFC processes, respectively. The inset showed an enlarged view of the plots for Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$. (b) Reciprocal $\chi$ vs. temperature for the compounds, and fit lines of the Curie–Weiss law (solid lines).

By the linear least-squares fitting of the Curie–Weiss law to the data, using the expression $\chi(T) = N_A \mu^2_{\text{eff}} / [3k_B(T-\Theta_W)]$ (where $N_A$ was the Avogadro constant, $k_B$ was the Boltzmann constant, $\Theta_W$ was the Weiss temperature, and $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ was the effective Bohr magneton), $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ and $\Theta_W$ were estimated to be 1.874(4) $\mu_B$ and -71.5(7) K, respectively,
for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$, and 1.867(4) $\mu_B$ and -347.3(5) K, respectively, for Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$.

Although both $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ values were comparable to those of the isoelectronic Ca$_3$Os$^{6+}$O$_6$ (1.99 $\mu_B$) and Ba$_2$CaOs$^{6+}$O$_6$ (1.61 $\mu_B$) [5], [34], they were much lower than the spin-only moment for Os$^{5+}$ (2.83 $\mu_B$). This underestimation has been argued to be caused by the spin-orbit coupling (SOC) [34], [35].

Upon the substitution of Sr for Ca, the change in $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ was trivial, but $\Theta_W$ was remarkably enhanced by almost fivefold. Because $\Theta_W$ remained negative, antiferromagnetic correlations were predominant for both compounds. The enhancement of $\Theta_W$ therefore suggested that the antiferromagnetic correlation of Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ was much greater than that of Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$; alternatively, the ferromagnetic correlation would be less dominant upon the Sr substitution. If the latter case was true, the low magnitude of $\Theta_W$ would result from a balance of the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic correlations.

4.5.2 Isothermal magnetization

The isothermal magnetization for both compounds was measured at 5 K, as shown in Fig. 4.7. A rather linear behavior without notable hysteresis was observed for Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$, while a broad hysteresis and enhanced magnetization (more than three times larger than that for Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$) were observed for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$. The observed behaviors were in accordance with the antiferromagnetic ordering and possible magnetic freezing for Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$, respectively. Because there was no indication of magnetic saturation, the ferromagnetic correlation likely competed with the antiferromagnetic correlation in Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$. The hysteresis loop for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ was not perfectly symmetric (see the slight offset along the vertical axis), probably because the magnetic-field range was insufficient to cover the full hysteresis loop.
Figure 4.7 Isothermal magnetizations of the polycrystalline Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ at 5 K. The inset showed the zoomed-in details between -10 kOe to 10 kOe.

4.6 Heat capacity

The $C_p$ of Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ were measured between 2 K and 300 K. Near the antiferromagnetic-transition temperature of ~110 K, a magnetic field of 70 kOe was applied; the data are plotted in Figs. 4.8(a) and (b). In the measurements, there was no trace of a magnetic transition for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$, and only a monotonic decrease upon cooling to the low-temperature limit was observed. In contrast, a peak-like anomaly was detected near ~110 K for Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$, as was expected for an antiferromagnetic transition. A magnetic field of 70 kOe was applied to Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$, but it had little impact on the peak-like anomaly, possibly because it was too weak to alter the magnetic transition.

$\Delta S$ over the peak-like anomaly was roughly estimated by subtracting the phonon contributions ($C_{\text{fit}}$) from the total $C_p$ (see the dotted curve in Fig. 4.8(b), as discussed later). The integration of $(C_p - C_{\text{fit}})/T$ was approximately 0.41 $R$, where $R$ was the
universal gas constant. Therefore, $\Delta S$ corresponded to 37% of the spin–only magnetic entropy of $R \ln(3)$ for Os$^{6+}$ ($t_{2g}^2$). The underestimation was possibly because the SOC of Os$^{6+}$ had a substantial impact on the magnetism, being connected to the smaller $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ in the $\chi$–$T$ measurement. In addition, the development of short-range magnetic ordering above the transition temperature must also be considered for further quantitative analysis.

A quantitative analysis of the $C_p$ vs. $T$ curve was conducted by applying the Debye model; however, it fit poorly to the data as indicated in the plot. We attempted to improve the quality of the fit by linearly adding the Einstein term to the Debye term, as follows:

$$C_{\text{fit}}(T) = n_D \times 9 N_A k_B \left( \frac{T}{T_D} \right)^3 \int_0^{T_D/T} \frac{x^4 e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} dx + n_E \times 3 N_A k_B \left( \frac{T_E}{T} \right)^2 \frac{e^{T_E/T}}{(e^{T_E/T} - 1)^2},$$

where $N_A$ was Avogadro’s constant, and $T_D$ and $T_E$ were the Debye and Einstein temperatures, respectively. The scale factors, $n_D$ and $n_E$, corresponded to the number of vibrational modes per formula unit in the Debye and Einstein terms, respectively. The overall quality of the fit was eventually improved, as indicated in the plot. The fitting procedure yielded the following results: $T_D = 659(5)$ K, $T_E = 167(3)$ K, $n_D = 7.00(4)$, and $n_E = 1.61(5)$ for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$; and $T_D = 785(22)$ K, $T_E = 166(4)$ K, $n_D = 6.60(10)$, and $n_E = 3.52(15)$ for Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$. Although the Einstein term has been discussed to correspond to a local phonon mode such as “rattling” in clathrate [36] and beta-pyrochlore oxide [37], the $C_p/T^3$ vs. $T$ plots (not shown) indicated no obvious anomalous local phonon contribution even at temperatures below 20 K. The phonon-mode distributions for both compounds needed to be clarified to reveal the complex nature of the lattices.
Figure 4.8  Temperature dependence of $C_p$ of (a) Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and (b) Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$. The solid and dotted curves represent the fits of models to the data. Small solid circles represent the data measured in a magnetic field of 70 kOe. The insets show linear fits to the low-temperature part of the $C_p/T$ vs. $T^2$ plots. The labels D and E indicate the Debye and Einstein models, respectively.

We analyzed the low-temperature part ($<< T_D$) of $C_p$ by applying the approximated Debye model $C_p \approx \gamma T + \beta T^3$, where $\gamma$ was the electronic specific heat coefficient and $\beta$ was a constant related to the Debye temperature $T_D$ ($\sim \beta^{-1/3}$). When the approximation was accurate, the $C_p/T$ vs $T^2$ plot must showed a linear trend. Clear linearity was confirmed for the plot for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$; a fit of the model yielded $\gamma$ and $\beta$ values of $0.93(6)$ mJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$ and $6.44(5) \times 10^{-4}$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-4}$, respectively (see the inset of Fig. 4.8).
4.8(a)). From the $\beta$ value, $T_D$ was calculated to be 311(1) K. The low-temperature $C_p$ for Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ was analyzed in the same manner (see the inset of Fig. 4.8(b)), affording $\gamma$ and $\beta$ values of 0.1(5) mJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$ and 5.50(2) $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-4}$, respectively. $T_D$ was calculated to be 328(5) K. Although the analysis seemed to be influenced to some extent by a possible magnetic contribution, the estimated $\gamma$ for both compounds was fairly close to zero, suggesting a band-gap opening in the electronic state.

4.7 Discussions

In Table 4.1, the three largest absolute values of $\theta_W/T_{N,f}$ (> 16) were observed only for the lattice of cubic symmetry ($Fm-3m$), suggesting that an impact of geometrical frustration on the magnetic properties was significant in the cubic lattice than the tetragonal ($I4/m$) and monoclinic ($P2_1/n$) lattices. Consequences of geometrical frustration seemed to be insignificant in both tetragonal and monoclinic lattices. However, the tetragonal-phase Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ ($I4/m$) showed a long-range antiferromagnetic transition at $\sim$110 K, whereas the monoclinic-phase Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ ($P2_1/n$) did not show any comparable magnetic ordering above 2 K (except for a magnetic cusp at 19 K). The remarkable change in magnetic properties was probably related more closely to the degree of local lattice distortion than to the degree of geometrical frustration.

The substitution of Sr for Ca seemed to improve the lattice environment toward stabilizing a long-range magnetic ordering. The average Os–O–Mg angle changed from 153.4° (Ca) to 175.5° (Sr), whereas the octahedra Os$^{6+}$O$_6$ were rather solid (the difference between the shortest and longest Os–O bond length were 4.4% for Ca and 4.0% for Sr). The degree of buckling of the octahedral connections might have a substantial impact on the changes in the magnetic states. This probably occurred via the enhancement of the extended superexchange interactions mediated by linkages such as $-\text{Os(d}^2\text{)}-\text{O}-\text{Os(d}^2\text{)}-$ and $-\text{Os(d}^2\text{)}-\text{O}-\text{Mg(d}^0\text{)}-\text{O}-\text{Os(d}^2\text{)}-$ [38], [39]. In order to clarify the local magnetic picture, the bond-angle dependence of the character and magnitude
of the enhanced superexchange interactions regarding the \( d^2 \) element require further investigations. Perhaps, if a buckling-free Os\(^{6+} \) sublattice-containing double perovskite was stabilized, it might show a transition temperature well above 110 K. Otherwise, the magnetic frustration might be enhanced by increasing the lattice symmetry, hence, the transition temperature might decrease to well below 110 K, as argued for \((\text{La}, \text{A})\text{CoNbO}_6 \ (\text{A} = \text{Ca}, \text{Sr}, \text{Ba})\) [40]. These possibilities were left to future work.

### 4.8 Theoretical calculation

To shed more light on the electronic and magnetic states of \( \text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) and \( \text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \), the spin-resolved total and partial electronic densities of states (DOSs) were calculated by a first-principles method. The total DOS structures, drawn in Figures Figs. 4.9(a) and (b), indicated the insulating characters of the studied materials. In \( \text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) and \( \text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \), each Os\(^{6+} \) ion was coordinated by six oxygens and thus had the \( 5d^2 \) configuration, which provided charges as well as spins. The partial DOS structure (Figs. 4.10(a) and (b)) indicated that the Os-5\( d \) state was partially occupied in both the spin-up and spin-down channels. The valence and conduction bands of both \( \text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) and \( \text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) were found close to the Fermi level (\( E_F \)). Additionally, the O-2p state hybridized significantly with the Os-5\( d \) state, especially near \( E_F \), while both the states remained almost independent below -1.5 eV. The band dispersion was shown in Figs. 4.11(a) and (b). Both for the spin-up and spin-down channels, two occupied bands out of the six Os-5\( d-t_{2g} \) bands lied in the topmost valence region immediately below \( E_F \), while the remaining four un-occupied bands lied in the conduction region, forming a gap between the valence and the conduction region. Thus, \( \text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) and \( \text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) were found to have indirect band gaps of 0.25 eV and 0.15 eV, respectively, formed by Os-5\( d \) states. This observation seemed to approximate the experimental determination of 0.29(1) eV and 0.24(1) eV for \( \text{Ca}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \) and \( \text{Sr}_2\text{MgOsO}_6 \), respectively, although contributions from grain boundaries and any resistive impurities such as KCl remain undetermined.
Figure 4.9  Total DOS obtained by the GGA+U scheme for spin-up (↑) and spin-down (↓) channels of (a) Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and (b) Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$. The vertical dotted lines indicated $E_F$ (set at zero).
Figure 4.10 Partial DOS for Os-5d and O-2p states obtained by the GGA+U scheme for spin-up (↑) and spin-down (↓) channels of (a) Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and (b) Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$. The vertical dotted lines indicated $E_F$ (set at zero).

It was noted that the band gap opens only when $U$ value is 1.8 eV or larger for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and 3.0 eV or larger for Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$. Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ crystallized in a monoclinic structure with substantial buckling of the octahedral network, whereas Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ crystallized in a tetragonal structure with a small degree of buckling. The local lattice distortion might play an additional role in opening the gap, such that it required a lower $U$ for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ than for Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$. Either way, $U$ seemed to be comparable to the reported values for 5d oxides (~1-3 eV). The magnitude of $U$ suggested that the Mott mechanism possibly accounts for the gap opening for both
Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$. At present, we focused on calculations within the GGA+$U$ scheme (SOC was not included), where the gap was predicted to open under the influence of strong correlations and the lattice distortion.

![Band dispersion](image)

**Figure 4.11** Band dispersion in a GGA+$U$ scheme for the spin-up (red) and spin-down (black) channels of (a) Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and (b) Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$.

We investigated the magnetic nature of Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ by first-principles density functional calculations based on an antiferromagnetic ground state. In the calculations, each Os atom was suggested to couple magnetically with neighboring Os atoms in the double-perovskite structure. Although each Os atom formally carried a magnetic moment of 2 $\mu_B$ (a spin-only view), the first-principles results indicated that the moment was $\sim$1.1 $\mu_B$ per Os. The difference was accounted for by the partial transfer of moment (approximately 0.3 $\mu_B$) to three inequivalent oxygens via charge transfer between the Os and O atoms. The magnetic nature needed further experimental investigations such as neutron scattering to establish a solid picture of the magnetism of both compounds.
4. 9 Conclusion

Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ showed a long-range antiferromagnetic transition at ~110 K; by contrast, the isoelectronic Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ did not show any comparable magnetic ordering above 2 K, except for a magnetic cusp at 19 K. The remarkable change in magnetic properties was likely owing to local lattice distortion rather than to the impact of geometrical frustration. Additional theoretical studies were needed for revealing the origin of certain magnetic-state changes.

Based on the first-principles density functional approach, the double-perovskite oxides Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ were likely to be antiferromagnetic Mott insulators, wherein the electronic correlations ($U \sim 1.8$-3.0 eV) as well as the crystal field had major impacts on opening the gap. The indirect band gaps were predicted to be 0.25 eV and 0.15 eV for Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$, respectively, approximating the experimental estimation. Without $U$, a charge gap never opened at $E_F$.

Recent studies on 5$d$ oxides, such as Sr$_2$IrO$_4$ [41]–[43] and Na$_2$IrO$_3$ [44], [45], suggested the formation of a spin-orbit interaction-assisted Mott-insulating state; research on new materials focusing on the singular Mott state was in progress. However, it turned out that in a regular 5$d$ perovskite oxide, the metallic state was more robust than the insulating state, largely because of the spatially extended nature of the 5$d$ orbitals, as suggested for materials such as NaWO$_3$ [46], SrIrO$_3$ [47], and SrOsO$_3$ [48]. Therefore, 5$d$ layered oxides should be targeted for the exploration of additional singular Mott-insulating states because, in general, the reduced lattice dimensions were expected to help in narrowing the 5$d$ band, which assisted in opening a charge gap. The present results suggested that a double-perovskite oxide containing a single magnetic sublattice could also be helpful for exploring singular Mott states. Thus, the present compounds offered a valuable opportunity for investigating the basis of 5$d$ electrons, not only toward the possible practical application of double-perovskite oxides in multifunctional devices, but also toward the synthesis of new singular Mott-insulating compounds.
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Chapter 5 Crystal structures, and magnetic properties of double-perovskite oxides Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$

5.1 Iridium oxides containing Ir$^{6+}$

In recent years, the mixed oxides containing 5$d$ transition metal elements have been drawing many attentions. Due to the increased spatial extent of 5$d$ orbital, these materials presented unusual electronic structures and exhibited interesting electronic and magnetic properties [1]. Recently 5$d^3$ perovskite LiOsO$_3$ has been manifested with the ferroelectric-like transition occurring in its metallic state [2]. Besides, 5$d^3$ iridium-based perovskite or perovskite-like oxides have rarely been explored, albeit their remarkable physical properties. Among those oxidation states, Ir$^{6+}$–O bond was the strongest in an oxygen lattice, resulting in the low stability of Ir$^{6+}$ ([Xe]4f$^{14}$5$d^3$). Demazeau et al reported the first Ir$^{6+}$ contained double perovskite Sr$_2$CaIrO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgIrO$_6$ [3], [4] The introduction of 3$d$ magnetic cation in the B sublattice in a double perovskite could bring about new properties and interesting physical phenomena. In this work, we reported the first successful synthesis of Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ via the high pressure and high temperature method. Its crystallographic structure has been clarified with the synchrotron X-ray diffraction data; and its physical properties were revealed from the systematic magnetic, heat capacity and resistivity measurements.

5.2 Experimental details

Polycrystalline Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ was synthesized through the solid-state reaction between the powders of CaO (laboratory-made), NiO (laboratory-made), Ir (> 99.9%, Rare Metallic Co. LTD, Japan), and KClO$_4$ (> 99.5%, Kishida Chemical Laboratory Co., Japan) under high pressure conditions. The stoichiometric mixture of powders (CaO : NiO : Ir : KClO$_4$ = 2:1:1:0.75) was sealed in the Pt capsule, which was then set into a belt-type high pressure apparatus. The pressure was maintained at 6 GPa along with the heating at 1500 °C for 1 h. Subsequently, the capsule was quenched to ambient temperature within a minute and the pressure was gradually released over a few hours.
Firstly, the X-ray diffraction (XRD) with an X-ray diffractometer of Cu Kα radiation was utilized for a brief investigation of the crystallographic structure of the synthesized Ca₂NiIrO₆. Then the more detailed study was carried out by using the synchrotron X-ray diffraction (SXRD) at ambient temperature with the BL15XU beamline facility at SPring-8. The SXRD data was collected with a large Debye-Scherrer-type diffractometer [5], and the “MYTHEN” one-dimensional semiconductor X-ray detector [6]. The radiation wavelength was confirmed to be 0.41003 Å with a reference material, viz. CeO₂. By using the “RIETAN-VENUS” software, the SXRD pattern was analyzed with the Rietveld method introduced in Refs. [7] and [8].

Magnetic susceptibility (χ) was measured in a Magnetic Property Measurement System (MPMS, Quantum Design, Inc). The χ measurements were conducted on a small amount of the powdered compound under field-cooling (FC) and zero-field cooling (ZFC) conditions at 2-375 K, using an applied magnetic field of 10 kOe. Isothermal magnetizations were measured between -70 kOe and 70 kOe, at varied temperatures of 10 K, 35 K and 100 K, respectively.

The electrical resistivity (ρ) measurements were conducted at 100 K - 300 K using a four-point method and with a DC gauge current of 0.1 mA, in a Physical Properties Measurement System (PPMS, Quantum Design, Inc). Electrical contacts were prepared along the longitudinal direction of the specimen by attaching Pt wires with Ag paste. The temperature dependence of specific heat (Cₚ) was also measured in the PPMS at 2-300 K with the thermal relaxation method. The contribution of KCl to the raw Cₚ data was subtracted over the studied temperature range using the tabulated data and the mass ratio (0.11418 for 0.75KCl/Ca₂NiOsO₆) [9].

5.3 Crystal structure

The powder XRD pattern in Fig. 5.1 suggested a double perovskite type crystal structure for the Ca₂NiIrO₆ compound. As results of the monoclinic rock-salt ordered arrangement of Ni and Ir cations in B and B’ double perovskite sites combined the tilting of the BO₆ and B’O₆ octahedra [10], the superstructure reflection of (011) peak appeared near 2θ = 20° Crystal structure of Ca₂NiIrO₆ was refined in space group P2₁/n. The unit-cell parameters are related
to $a_0$ (ideal cubic perovskite $a_0 \approx 3.82$ Å) as $a \approx \sqrt{2} a_0$, $b \approx \sqrt{2} a$, $c \approx 2 a_0$, and $\beta \approx 90^\circ$. The results of Riveted analysis of the SXRD patterns were presented in Fig. 5.2. The detailed information was listed in Table 5.2. The refined structures are depicted in Fig. 5.3.

![Figure 5.1 Powder XRD (Cu Kα) patterns for Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$.](image)

During the refinements, the fit of the SXRD profiles was strongly improved by allowing a small degree of disorder in the Ni vs Ir distribution. Ni and Ir atoms were tentatively assumed to occupy the $B'$ and $B''$ sites randomly. Therefore, we decided to refine the occupancies of Ni and Ir atoms at the $B'$ and $B''$ sites. Initially, the displacement parameters for all atoms were fixed at 0.5 Å$^2$; later, the displacement parameters and occupancies were refined simultaneously. The procedure was repeated until no further improvement could be obtained. It resulted in the percentages of long-range ordering of Ni of 92% and Ir of 95%, respectively (see Table 5.1).
Figure 5.2 Riveted analysis of the SXRD patterns for Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ at ambient temperature. Circles and solid lines show the observed and calculated patterns, respectively, and the difference between the patterns is shown at the bottom of each figure. The expected Bragg reflections are marked by the small vertical bars.

Table 5.1 Structural parameters of Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ at room temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Wyckoff Positions</th>
<th>Occupancy</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>B(Å$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca$_2$NiIrO$<em>6$, Space group P2$<em>1$/n (No. 14), a = 5.3680(9) Å, b = 5.5343(1) Å, c = 7.6456(2) Å, $\beta = 90.172(1)^\circ$, $Z = 2, V = 227.140(4)$ Å$^3$, and $d</em>{cal} = 6.244$ g/cm$^3$, $R</em>{wp} = 6.546%$, $R_p = 4.431%$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2602(0)</td>
<td>0.0546(1)</td>
<td>0.0084(2)</td>
<td>0.70(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni/Ir1</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>0.91(6)/0.08(4)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.37(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir2/Ni2</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>0.95(1)/0.04(9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.20(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1615(2)</td>
<td>0.4844(6)</td>
<td>0.9154(6)</td>
<td>0.26(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3401(5)</td>
<td>0.2923(4)</td>
<td>0.2906(6)</td>
<td>0.26(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3</td>
<td>4e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7759(2)</td>
<td>0.2047(2)</td>
<td>0.8197(1)</td>
<td>0.26(6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5.3 Proposed crystal structures of Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$, in which IrO$_6$ and NiO$_6$ are drawn as octahedra (see labels in the figure). Ca atoms are drawn as large spheres as marked.

Pertinent bond lengths ($l$) of Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ were presented in Table 5.2; the average Ni–O distance was 2.028(3) Å. The average of $<\text{Ni-O}>$ distances were comparable to those of Ni-containing perovskites (2.03 Å for Ni$^{2+}$ in Sr$_2$Ni (Mo, Re, U, Os)O$_6$[11]–[14], 2.06 Å for Ni$^{2+}$ in Ca$_2$NiOsO$_6$[10], and 1.93–1.96 Å Ni$^{3+}$ in RNiO$_3$[15]), confirming the presence of both Ni$^{2+}$ and Ni$^{3+}$ cations. Indeed, the bond-valence sum (BVS) of Ni in Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ was 2.19, in a reasonable agreement with the mixture of Ni$^{2+}$ and Ni$^{3+}$. The Ca atoms were also evaluated to be divalent by BVS calculations (see Table 5.2). Therefore, the reasonable assumptions of Ir cations were Ir$^{5+}$ and Ir$^{6+}$, which satisfied the total charge valence of each compound. The typical lengths for octahedra were 1.95–1.99 Å for Ir$^{5+}$ and 1.90–1.95 Å for Ir$^{6+}$, respectively [3], [16], [17]. The average $<\text{Ir-O}>$ distance for Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ was 1.946(8) Å, which was similar to the previously reported values of the compounds containing Ir$^{6+}$. Moreover, Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ exhibited the larger average $<\text{Ni-O}>$ bond length and the shorter average $<\text{Ir-O}>$ bond length, compared with those in Sr$_2$NiIrO$_6$ (1.99 Å and 1.97 Å) with mixed states of Ir$^{6+}$ and Ir$^{5+}$ [15]. It strongly implied the higher percentage of composition of Ir$^{5+}$ [18], which probably stemmed from the more disordered B-site atoms in Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$.

In Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$, the inter-octahedral Ni–O–Ir angles were 152.823(7)$^\circ$, 151.138(0)$^\circ$, and 147.838(1)$^\circ$, respectively. These angles were very different from those in a linear arrangement (180$^\circ$), indicating that the octahedral connections were buckled to some extent in any directions (Fig. 5.3). This corresponded to the $a^- a^+ c^-$ Glazer’s notations, where the
superscripts indicated the neighboring layers of octahedral exhibiting the rotation in the same direction (+), or rotation in the opposite (−) directions [19]. The O–Ni–O bond angles were nearly 90°. The same situation was observed for O–Ir–O angles. It suggested that the Ni\(^{2+/3+}\) and Ir\(^{5+/6+}\) cations were located in a quasi-regular octahedral structure. This result was useful in the later investigation of magnetic properties, since the effective magnetic moment of \(\text{Ir}^{6+}\) can be treated as spin-only value when they were at the centre of ideal octahedra.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Bond distance (Å)</th>
<th>Bond angle(°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni-O1 (x2)</td>
<td>1.997(0)</td>
<td>Ni-O1-Ir =152.823(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-O2 (x2)</td>
<td>2.005(3)</td>
<td>Ni-O2-Ir =151.138(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-O3 (x2)</td>
<td>2.082(7)</td>
<td>Ni-O3-Ir =147.838(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Ni-O&gt;</td>
<td>2.028(3)</td>
<td>O1-Ni-O2 =91.138(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca-O1 (x4)</td>
<td>3.117(0),3.194(9),2.308(3),2.431(1)</td>
<td>O1-Ni-O3=89.436(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca-O2 (x4)</td>
<td>2.530(7),3.404(8),2.364(0),2.692(4)</td>
<td>O3-Ni-O2 =93.008(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca-O3 (x4)</td>
<td>2.330(5),3.437(0),2.650(5),2.614(7)</td>
<td>O1-Ir-O2=91.663(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Ca-O&gt;</td>
<td>2.756(3)</td>
<td>O3-Ir-O1=90.845(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVS(Ni)</td>
<td>2.19(3)</td>
<td>O3-Ir-O2=93.590(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir-O1 (x2)</td>
<td>1.935(8)</td>
<td>BVS(Ir) 5.41(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir-O2 (x2)</td>
<td>1.975(4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir-O3 (x2)</td>
<td>1.929(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;Ir-O&gt;</td>
<td>1.946(8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** B=0.37, R\(_0\)(Ni\(^{2+}\))=1.654, R\(_0\)(Ca\(^{2+}\))=1.967, R\(_0\)(Ir\(^{6+}\))=1.908

### Table 5.2 Selected interatomic distances and angles in Ca\(_2\)NiIrO\(_6\)

#### 5.4 Magnetic properties

The temperature dependence of susceptibility (\(\chi\)) of the polycrystalline Ca\(_2\)NiIrO\(_6\) was plotted as Fig. 5.4(a). The establishment of antiferromagnetic interaction at Néel temperature (\(T_N\)) was found at \(\sim37\) K. A divergence between ZFC and FC curves emerged at the temperatures below 37K; this was ascribed to the magnetic irreversibility, possibly originated from the magnetic frustration or canting of the spins (lattice disorder). Since \(C_p\) measurements (shown later) did not show any transition entropy (\(\Delta S\)) in the vicinity near 37 K, the long-range antiferromagnetic ordering was unlikely to be responsible for the observed cusp in Ca\(_2\)NiIrO\(_6\). Fitting the high-temperature \(\chi\) (215 K \(\leq T \leq 350\) K) to the Curie-Weiss law, \(\chi(T) = N_A\mu^2_{\text{eff}}/[3k_B(T–\Theta_W)]\) (where \(N_A\) is the Avogadro constant, \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(\Theta_W\) is
the Weiss temperature, and $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective Bohr magneton), results in values of the experimental paramagnetic moment $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 4.41\mu_B$ and Weiss temperature $\Theta_W = -352.5$ K. It verifies the presence of antiferromagnetic interactions in the compound. For Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$, where both iridium and nickel served as magnetic cations, the theoretical effective magnetic moment could be estimated by using the relation $\mu_{\text{spin-only}} = \left(\mu_{\text{Ni}(B)}^2 + \mu_{\text{Ir}(VI)}^2\right)^{1/2} = \left(2.83^2 + 3.87^2\right)^{1/2} = 4.79\mu_B$. It showed a good agreement with the experimental result.

Figure 5.4 (a) Temperature dependence of $\chi$ of polycrystalline Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ measured in a magnetic field of 10 kOe. Solid and open symbols denote FC and ZFC processes, respectively. The inset shows reciprocal $\chi$ vs. temperature for the compounds, and fit lines of the Curie–Weiss law (solid line) above 215K. (b) Temperature dependence of $\chi$ at different fields
However, the susceptibility $\chi$ started growing with decreased temperatures at $T < 75$K, which very likely manifested the onset of a very weak ferromagnetic state originated from the spin canting of the antiferromagnetically coupled Ir$^{6+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$ ions. Furthermore, the high dependence of susceptibility $\chi$ on the magnetic field below 100 K, as shown in Fig. 5.4(b), further echoed the spin canting.

For the deeper investigation of the magnetic properties of Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$, magnetization ($M$) was measured as a function of magnetic field $H$ at various temperatures. The $M$-$H$ hysteresis loops emerged at 10 K and 35 K, respectively, indicating the weak ferromagnetic characteristics of Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ (Fig. 5.5). The remanence magnetization and coercive field also increased with decreased temperature, as the higher external field was needed to reverse the stronger magnetic moment at lower temperatures. The saturation magnetization was measured as low as 0.27$\mu_B$, revealing the compound as a canted antiferromagnet with a net moment of 0.27$\mu_B$. The slight asymmetry was found in these hysteresis loops. It was probably due to that the swept magnetic-field range was unable to cover the entire hysteresis loop. It was interesting to point out that the coercive field was much larger than these of the previously reported canted-antiferromagnetic compounds [14].

The divergence in the FC and ZFC curves at low temperatures suggested the existence of geometrical frustration or canting of the spins (lattice disorder) in the materials. Magnetic frustration was a general phenomenon in antiferromagnetic double perovskite, as a result of the competition between different magnetic interactions. A frustration index $f_{\text{frus}}$, defined as $f_{\text{frus}}=|\Theta_W|/T_N$, measured the degree of frustration in a magnetic materials. The $f_{\text{frus}}$ of Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ was then calculated as $\sim$9.5. As known that most of geometrically frustrated materials exhibited $f_{\text{frus}} > 10$ [18], it verified the presence of strong magnetic frustration in this compound.

Additionally, we deemed that the local lattice distortion might also lead to the dramatic change of the magnetic properties of Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ other than the geometrical frustration. An antiferromagnetic compound Sr$_2$NiOsO$_6$ synthesized previously showed no lattice distortion in its crystal structure [14]. Compared with Sr$_2$NiIrO$_6$, the Ca atoms in Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ likely destroyed the lattice environment toward stabilizing a long-range magnetic ordering. The average Ir–O–Ni angle changed from 152.0° (Ca) to 165.6° (Sr), whereas the octahedra Ir$^{6+}$O$_6$
was rather solid (the difference between the shortest and longest Ir–O bond length was 2.4% for Ca and 1.8% for Sr) [18]. The degree of buckling of the octahedral connections may have a substantial impact on the changes of the magnetic states. Additional theoretical studies were necessary to reveal the origin of certain magnetic-state changes.

Figure 5.5 Isothermal magnetizations of the polycrystalline Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ at 5 K, 35K and 100K, respectively.

5.5 Heat capacity

The heat capacity $C_p$ of Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ was measured between 2 K and 300 K; the data was plotted in Figs. 5.6 (a) and (b). In the measurements, no magnetic transition was observed; as $C_p$ monotonically decreased upon cooling to the low-temperature limit. A quantitative analysis of the $C_p$ vs. $T$ curve was conducted by applying the Debye model; however, it poorly fitted to the experimental data. We improved the fitting by adding the Einstein term into the Debye model

$$C_m(T) = n_D \times 9N_Ak_B \left( \frac{T}{T_D} \right)^3 \int_0^{T_D/T} \frac{x^4 e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} dx + n_E \times 3N_Ak_B \left( \frac{T}{T_E} \right)^2 \left( e^{\frac{T_E}{T}} - 1 \right)^3$$

where $N_A$ is Avogadro’s constant, and $T_D$ and $T_E$ are the Debye and Einstein temperatures, respectively. The scale factors, $n_D$ and $n_E$, denoted the number of vibrational modes per formula unit in the Debye and Einstein terms, respectively. The goodness of the fit was dramatically improved with the modified model. The fitting yielded the following parameters:
\( T_D = 693(9) \text{ K}, \ T_E = 144(6) \text{ K}, \ n_D = 7.96(1) \) and \( n_E = 3.42(7) \). Although the Einstein term was referred to a local phonon mode such as “rattling” in clathrate [20] and beta-pyrochlore oxide [21], the \( C_p/T^3 \) vs. \( T \) curve (see Fig. 5.7) indicated no obvious anomalous local phonon contribution even at temperatures below 20 K. The further investigations of phonon-mode distributions for both compounds were required to uncover the complex nature of the lattices.

**Figure 5.6** (a) Temperature dependence of \( C_p \) of Ca\(_2\)NiIrO\(_6\). The solid and dotted curves represent the fits of models to the measured data. (b) The linear fits to the low-temperature part of the \( C_p/T \) vs. \( T^2 \) curve. The labels \( D \) and \( E \) indicate the Debye and Einstein models, respectively.
Fig 5.7 Specific heat of Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ plotted as $C_p/T^3$ as a function of temperature.

We analyzed the low-temperature regime ($<< T_D$) of $C_p$ by applying the approximated Debye model $C_p \approx \gamma T + \beta T^3$, where $\gamma$ is the electronic specific heat coefficient and $\beta$ is a constant related to the Debye temperature $T_D$ ($\sim \beta^{-1/3}$). The fitted $C_p/T$ vs. $T^2$ curve exhibited linearity, showing an accurate approximation. $\gamma$ and $\beta$ were fitted to be $6.55(7)$ mJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$ and $1.58(1) \times 10^{-3}$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-4}$, respectively (Fig. 5.6 (b)). With $\beta$, $T_D$ was calculated to be $230(8)$ K. Although the analysis was influenced to some extent by a possible magnetic contribution, the estimation of $\gamma$ of the compound was almost zero, suggesting a band-gap opening in the electronic state.

Figure 5.8 (a) Temperature dependence of $\rho$ of polycrystalline Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$. (b) The Arrhenius plot of the data and its best-fitted line.
5.6 Electronic properties

The temperature dependence of $\rho$ of Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ clearly indicated the semiconductor-like behaviors, as depicted in Fig. 5.8(a). The value of $\rho$ at room temperature was ~70 $\Omega \cdot cm$; It was three orders of magnitude larger than the expected resistivity of a conducting polycrystalline oxide. Additionally, $\rho$ continuously increased upon cooling and exceeded the instrumental limit at temperatures of 100 K. Fig. 5.8 (b) presented the data as a function of the inverse temperature, where a rough linear dependence was identified. Then the fitting of Arrhenius equation to the data gave the activation energy of 0.025(3) eV, which was smaller than those of the similar oxides Sr$_2$FeIrO$_6$ (~ 58(1) eV) [16] and Sr$_2$CoIrO$_6$ (~ 0.05 eV) [22]. The insulating behavior essentially originated from the absence of an electronic contribution to the low-temperature $C_p$; nevertheless, a small amount of KCl residue in the compound may contribute to the conduction slightly.

5.7 Conclusion

The polycrystalline powders of a new double perovskite iridate, Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ containing Ni$^{2+}$ and Ir$^{6+}$ have been synthesized. The crystal structure was uncovered by synchrotron powder diffraction. Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ exhibited a monoclinic symmetry ($P2_1/n$) and ordered in a canted antiferromagnetic state below 37 K. The dramatic change of its magnetic properties was mainly ascribed to the geometrical frustration and the local lattice distortion. Additional theoretical studies were required to find out the origin of the certain magnetic-state changes.
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Chapter 6. General conclusion and future prospects

6.1 General conclusion

In the past few decades, the scientists enjoyed studying the abundant physics of electron correlation in transition metal oxides. During quite long period, 3d TMOs have taken dominance for studying such strong correlations effects due to a large on-site Coulomb repulsion \( U \) (2-10 eV). However, the coulomb repulsions of cations in 5d TMOs were determined to be less than 1 eV. Besides, 5d orbitals were spatially much more external than 3d and 4d counterparts. Therefore, it could be excepted 5d TMOs have a very strong spin orbital coupling, which can compete with other interactions like crystal field. 5d TMOs’ research exactly sparked a great interest of condensed matter physicist to the strong correlated field. In this work, I have concentrated on the crystallography, transport, magnetic and thermodynamic properties of structurally. I synthesized all the perovskite-related oxides by high pressure method, and then characterized them by using XRD, electrical resistivity, and magnetic susceptibility measurements.

So far, a lot of interesting properties have been found in AOsO\(_3\) (A=alkali metals). For example, a perovskite-type oxide, NaOsO\(_3\), showed a Slater-like transition [1], [2]. Because there was rarely few of cases with Slater-like transition, the discovery of NaOsO\(_3\) was a good example for studying Slater-like transition. The other example was a LiNbO\(_3\)-type oxide, LiOsO\(_3\). It was the first time for finding a ferroelectric-like transition in the metallic state [3]. For completing a consummate work, K\(_{0.84}\)OsO\(_3\) was successfully synthesized by high pressure and high temperature method. In addition, Bi\(_{2.93}\)Os\(_3\)O\(_{11}\) was also synthesized and characterized by XRD with K\(_{0.84}\)OsO\(_3\)-type structure correctly. Although the formal valence of Os decreased from +5.16 (K) to +4.40 (Bi), both of them showed a weak temperature-dependent
paramagnetic feature and metallic transports. But the resistivity of Bi$_{2.93}$Os$_3$O$_{11}$ was temperature independent below 300 K. It was possible due to disorders such as Bi vacancies and the polycrystalline nature of the compounds (including grain boundaries and impurities).

In standard perovskite 5$d$ TMOs, the singular Mott state was always coexisted with strong spin orbital coupling. Thus, it was necessary to explore double perovskites with single magnetic sublattice for studying singular Mott state. Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ have been synthesized in the double perovskite structure. In particular, Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ showed a long-range antiferromagnetic transition at ~110 K, which the highest $T_N$ among all of 3$d$, 4$d$ and 5$d$ single magnetic sublattice compounds. But Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ did not show any comparable magnetic ordering above 2 K, except for a magnetic cusp at 19 K. The remarkable change in magnetic properties was likely owing to local lattice distortion rather than to the impact of geometrical frustration. Based on the first-principles density functional approach, the double-perovskite oxides Ca$_2$MgOsO$_6$ and Sr$_2$MgOsO$_6$ were likely to be antiferromagnetic Mott insulators, wherein the electronic correlations ($U \sim 1.8$-$3.0$ eV) as well as the crystal field had major impacts on opening the gap.

Double perovskites containing Ir$^{6+}$ and Ir$^{5+}$ with formula Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ has been synthesized via high pressure method for the first time. It was found that Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ crystallizes in a double-perovskite structure (space group $P2_1/n$) with lattice parameters $a = 5.3680(9)$ Å, $b = 5.5343(1)$ Å, $c = 7.6456(2)$ Å, $\beta = 90.172(1)^\circ$. Magnetic susceptibility measurement indicated that Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ orders in a canted antiferromagnetic state at about 37 K. Unusual big coercivity field were found in the hysteresis loop of Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$ compared with other canted antiferromagnetic 5$d$ oxides. It was possible due to the anisotropic influence caused by the distortion of Ni/Ir.
6.2 Future prospects

There are still a lot of works to do for perfecting the conclusion got in this thesis. We need to conduct the theoretical calculation to testify the results we got for Ca$_2$NiIrO$_6$. My ongoing studies will be focused on the synthesis of 5d oxides, particularly the osmium and iridium oxides, for searching the notable physical phenomena.

5d materials exhibit a great variety of interesting physical properties, many of which are considered to be the result of vigorous competitions between the spin-orbit coupling, Coulomb repulsion and other types of interaction. The properties reported so far include $T_c$ unusual superconductivity, magnetically driven metal-insulator transition (MIT), high $T_c$ ferrimagnetism, the ferroelectric-like transition in metal, geometrically frustrated magnetism, possible topological insulator states and strong magnetic instabilities [1]–[8]. The more exotic anticipated examples are a topological Mott insulator [9], [10]. Thus, the quest is far from complete and many more systems remain to be unveiled and studied. Except for these interesting physical studies, some 5d TMOs are the promising candidates for spintronics, memory devices and sensors, such as IrO$_2$ [11]. In overall, the area of 5d transition metal oxides, and osmium and iridium-based compounds in particular, still remains largely unexplored and promises a great deal of exciting discoveries.
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