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Abstract—A finite-element method based on the scalar-wave approximation is developed for the analysis of magnetooptic waveguides. A simple and efficient iterative method is proposed for solving a nonlinear eigenvalue equation derived from the scalar finite-element approach. To show the validity and usefulness of this method, examples are computed for magnetooptic rib-type and ridge-type waveguides. Subsequently, we discuss the waveguide structures which have larger nonreciprocal phase shift.

I. INTRODUCTION

MAGNETOOPTIC waveguide is one of the key elements in nonreciprocal devices such as isolators and circulators. Theoretical studies on the nonreciprocity of magnetooptic waveguides have mainly focused on planar (two-dimensional) waveguides [1]-[4]. Two-dimensional waveguides can trap optical fields in the direction of the thickness (y direction), but allow the fields to spread in the horizontal direction (x direction). In order to facilitate the construction of integrated nonreciprocal devices, channel (three-dimensional) waveguides, which trap optical fields in both x and y directions, are more important. It is, in general, difficult to analyze three-dimensional waveguides with nonreciprocal properties, and approximate analytical methods, such as the Marcaditi method [5] and the effective index method have been used [6]-[8].

In this paper, a new numerical solution method, which is more accurate and can be applied to various magnetooptic channel waveguides, is developed. This approach is based on the finite-element method and the scalar-wave approximation [9]-[11]. A simple and efficient iterative method is proposed for solving a nonlinear eigenvalue equation derived from the scalar finite-element approach. The validity and usefulness of this method are confirmed by analyzing the magnetooptic rib-type and ridge-type waveguides. We also discuss possible ways to get larger nonreciprocal phase shift. Because the formulation is based on the scalar-wave approximation, spurious solutions that are included in the vector finite-element method [12] do not appear.

II. BASIC EQUATIONS

With a time dependence of the form \( \exp(j \omega t) \) being implied, Maxwell's equations are

\[
\nabla \times E = -j \omega \mu_0 H \tag{1}
\]

\[
\nabla \times H = j \omega \varepsilon_0 [\varepsilon_r] E \tag{2}
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot H = 0 \tag{3}
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot ([\varepsilon_r] E) = 0 \tag{4}
\]

where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency, \( E \) and \( H \) are the electric and magnetic fields, respectively, \( \varepsilon_0 \) and \( \mu_0 \) are the permittivity and permeability of free space, respectively, and \( [\varepsilon_r] \) is the relative permittivity tensor.

We consider magnetooptic channel waveguides as shown in Fig. 1, where all the materials are assumed to be lossless, light propagates along the z direction, and the dc magnetic field is applied in the x direction. The relative permittivity tensor of the magnetooptic material can be written as

\[
[\varepsilon_r] = \begin{bmatrix}
        n_x^2 & 0 & 0 \\
        0 & n_y^2 & j \delta \\
        0 & -j \delta & n_z^2
    \end{bmatrix}
\]

(5)

where \( n_x, n_y, \) and \( n_z \) are the refractive indexes in the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) directions, respectively, and \( \delta \) represents the first-order magnetooptic effect, which causes nonreciprocal nature and is related to the Faraday rotation.

Rewriting (1)-(4) in component form, we have

\[
\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial y} + j \nu \beta E_y = -j \omega \mu_0 H_x \tag{6}
\]

\[
-j \nu \beta E_x - \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x} = -j \omega \mu_0 H_y \tag{7}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial y} = -j \omega \mu_0 H_z \tag{8}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial H_z}{\partial y} + j \nu \beta H_y = j \omega \varepsilon_0 n_x^2 E_x \tag{9}
\]

\[
-j \nu \beta H_x - \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x} = j \omega \varepsilon_0 n_y^2 E_y - \omega \varepsilon_0 \delta E_z \tag{10}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial y} = \omega \varepsilon_0 \delta E_y + j \omega \varepsilon_0 n_z^2 E_z \tag{11}
\]
where \( \sigma \) is given by

\[
\sigma = n_y^2n_z^2 - \delta^2.
\]

Generally, a waveguide for the optical integrated circuit will support the propagation of waves having two possible field configurations, classified as the \( E^x \) and \( E^y \) modes [5], which are well approximated by the TE \( (E_y = 0, \text{a leading function is } E_z) \) and TM \( (H_y = 0, \text{a leading function is } H_z) \) modes, respectively. Substituting (17) and (18) into (9) and neglecting the terms of \( B_y \), we obtain the following basic equation for the \( E^x \) modes:

\[
\frac{n_x^2}{\sigma} \frac{\partial^2 E_x}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 E_x}{\partial y^2} - \beta^2 E_x + k_0^2 n_x^2 E_x = 0
\]

where \( k_0 \) is the wavenumber of free space and is given by \( k_0 = 2\pi/\lambda \) with \( \lambda \) being the wavelength of free space. Substituting (15) and (16) into (6) and neglecting the terms of \( H_y \), we obtain the following basic equation for the \( E^y \) modes:

\[
\frac{n_y^2}{\sigma} \frac{\partial^2 H_y}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 H_y}{\partial y^2} - \beta^2 H_y + k_0^2 n_y^2 H_y = 0
\]

The functionals are given by

\[
F = \iint_{\Omega} \left( \frac{n_x^2}{\sigma} \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x} \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial y} \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial y} + \beta^2 E_x^* E_x - k_0^2 n_x^2 E_x^2 \right) dxdy
\]

for (20), and

\[
F = \iint_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{n_y^2}{\sigma} \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial y} \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial y} \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial y} - \nu \beta \frac{\delta}{\sigma} H_z \right] dxdy
\]

for (21). Here \( \Omega \) is the cross section of the waveguide and asterisk denotes complex conjugate.

III. Finite-Element Approach

Dividing the waveguide cross section \( \Omega \) into a number of quadratic triangular elements [11], we expand the electric field \( E_x \) and the magnetic field \( H_y \) in each element as

\[
E_x = [N]^T \{E_x\}_e
\]

and

\[
H_y = [N]^T \{H_y\}_e
\]

where \( \{E_x\}_e \) and \( \{H_y\}_e \) are the nodal electric and magnetic field vectors for each element, respectively, \( \{N\} \) is the shape function vector for the quadratic triangular element, and \( T \) denotes a transpose.

Substituting (24) into (22) and using the variational principle, we obtain the following eigenvalue equation for the \( E^x \) modes:

\[
[K]\{E_x\}_e - n_x^2[M]\{E_x\}_e = \{0\}
\]
where \( \{E_x\} \) is the global electric field vector, \( \{0\} \) is a null vector, \( n_{\text{eff}} = \beta/k_0 \) is the effective refractive index, and the submatrices of \([K]\) and \([M]\) are given by

\[
[K] = \sum_e \int \int \left[ n_z^2 \{N\} \{N\}^T - n_z^2 \frac{\partial \{N\}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \{N\}}{\partial x} \right] d\xi d\eta
\]

\[
[M] = \sum_e \int \int \{N\} \{N\}^T d\xi d\eta.
\]

Here \( \xi = k_0 x, \eta = k_0 y \), and the summation \( \sum_e \) extends over all different elements.

Similarly, substituting (25) into (23), and using the variational principle, we obtain the following eigenvalue equation for the \( E^\nu \) modes:

\[
[K(n_{\text{eff}})]\{H\} - n_{\text{eff}}^2 [M] \{H_x\} = 0
\]

with

\[
[K(n_{\text{eff}})] = \sum_e \int \int \left[ \frac{n_z^2 \{N\}}{\sigma} \frac{\partial \{N\}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \{N\}}{\partial x} \right] d\xi d\eta
\]

\[
[M] = \sum_e \int \int \frac{n_z^2 \{N\}}{\sigma} \{N\}^T d\xi d\eta.
\]

**IV. METHOD OF NUMERICAL CALCULATION**

We define the normalized nonreciprocal phase shift \( \phi \) as follows [7], [8]:

\[
\phi = (\phi_f - \phi_b)/l
\]

where \( l \) is a waveguide length, and the retardations \( \phi_f \) and \( \phi_b \), respectively, for the \(+z\) and \(-z\) propagations are given by

\[
\phi_f = \frac{2\pi l}{\lambda} (n_{fx} - n_{fy})
\]

\[
\phi_b = \frac{2\pi l}{\lambda} (n_{bx} - n_{by}).
\]

Here \( n_{fx}, n_{fy} \) are the effective refractive indexes of the fundamental \( E^x \) (\( E_{11}^x \)) modes in the case of \(+z\) propagation, respectively, and \( n_{bx}, n_{by} \) are the effective refractive indexes of the \( E^y \) (\( E_{11}^y \)) modes in the case of \(-z\) propagation, respectively.

Substituting (33) and (34) into (32) and noting that the effective refractive indexes of the \( E_{11}^x \) mode propagating in the \(+z\) and \(-z\) directions are the same (\( n_{fx} = n_{by} \)), we obtain

\[
\phi = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} (n_{by} - N_{fy}).
\]

Although (26) for the \( E^x \) modes is a linear generalized eigenvalue equation, (29) for the \( E^\nu \) modes is a nonlinear generalized eigenvalue equation. Hence, we use the following iterative scheme.

(i) Specify \( \lambda, n_x, n_y, n_z, \) and \( \delta \) as input data and calculate the coefficient matrix \([M]\). (ii) Assign initial value to \( n_{\text{eff}} \) in an arbitrary way. A convenient way to choose this value is to use that for the \( \delta = 0 \) case; we adopt this way in the present paper. (iii) Calculate the nonlinear coefficient matrix \([K(n_{\text{eff}})]\). (iv) To obtain a new value of \( n_{\text{eff}} \), solve the eigenvalue equation (29). (v) Iterate procedures (iii) and (iv) until the solution (eigenvalue, \( n_{\text{eff}} \)) converges within the desired criterion.

Fig. 2 shows the flowchart of the iterative process, where \( \Delta \) is the value for judging the convergence. In this calculation we set \( \Delta = 10^{-10} \), and the convergent solution is obtained within four or five iterations.

**V. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We consider the magnetooptic channel waveguides as shown in Fig. 1, where the wavelength \( \lambda \) is 1.152 \( \mu \)m and the refractive indexes of a substrate and a top layer are \( n_s = 1.95 \) and \( n_c = 1.0 \), respectively. The refractive index \( n_{nx} = n_{ny} = n_x \equiv n \) and the off-diagonal component of the relative permittivity tensor \( \delta \) of magnetooptic materials are given in Table I [2], [7], [8], [13]. For simplicity, we assume the artificial boundary walls \( x = \pm X/2, y = \pm Y_c \), and \( y = t + Y_c \) (magnetooptic rib waveguides) or \( y = t + h + Y_c \) (magnetooptic ridge waveguides) far from the core region.

### A. Magnetooptic Rib Waveguides

We consider the magnetooptic rib waveguides as shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b), where the rib width \( W \) and rib height \( d \) are 3 \( \mu \)m and 12 nm, respectively, \( X = 20.6 \mu \)m, \( Y_s = 1.9 \mu \)m, and \( Y_c = 0.5 \mu \)m. A magnetooptic material is used as a guided layer [7], [8] and a substrate [4], [14] in Fig. 1(a) and (b), respectively.

The magnitude of the nonreciprocal phase shift \( \phi \) as a function of LaGa:YIG film thickness is shown in Fig. 3(a) by the solid line. The results obtained agree approximately with the experimental results [7]. The results of the planar waveguide \( (d = 0) \) are also shown in Fig. 3(a), by the dotted line. For larger values of \( t \), the rib waveguide structure considered here is almost like the planar structure, and therefore the value of \( \phi \) for the three-dimensional waveguide approaches that for the two-dimensional waveguide. For smaller values of \( t \), on the other hand, the results of the two-dimensional waveguide deviate from those of the three-dimensional waveguide. The value of \( \phi \) as a function of YIG, Bi:YIG, or Bi:GdIG film thickness is shown in Fig. 3(b). The value of \( \phi \) becomes larger with an increase of the Faraday rotation coefficient \( \delta \). Chen and Kumaraswami [3] have reported that the guided layer thickness to give the maximum nonreciprocal phase
shift for planar magnetooptic waveguides is approximated by 
\( k_0t\sqrt{n^2 - n_g^2} \approx 2.2 \). Using this relation, the values of \( t \)
become 0.41 \( \mu m \), 0.36 \( \mu m \), and 0.29 \( \mu m \) for YIG, Bi:YIG, and
Bi:GdIG, respectively. These values approximately coincide with those in Fig. 3(b).

The magnitude of the nonreciprocal phase shift of a rib waveguide on a YIG substrate as a function of guided layer thickness is shown in Fig. 4(a). The difference between the refractive indexes of a YIG film and an isotropic substrate in Fig. 3(b) is 0.23. The same index difference is obtained for \( n_f = 2.41 \) in Fig. 4(a). Comparing these two cases, we can find that the nonreciprocal phase shift becomes larger for the structure using a magnetooptic material as a substrate. Furthermore, the nonreciprocal phase shift becomes larger with an increase of the refractive index difference between a guided layer and a substrate. For a rib waveguide with a guided layer of \( n_f = 3.44 \) on a YIG, Bi:YIG, or Bi:GdIG substrate, the nonreciprocal phase shift as a function of guided layer thickness is shown in Fig. 4(b). A larger value of |\( \phi \)| is obtained for a Bi:GdIG substrate.

### B. Magnetooptic Ridge Waveguides

We consider the magnetooptic ridge waveguides as shown in
Fig. 1(c) and (d), where \( W = 3 \mu m \), \( d = 20 \mu m \), \( X = 20.6 \mu m \),
\( Y_a = 1.7 \mu m \), and \( Y_c = 0.5 \mu m \). The guided layer thickness \( t \)
is optimized so as to give the maximum nonreciprocal phase shift in case of a rib waveguide. Isotropic and magnetooptic materials are used as a high refractive index loading layer

---

Fig. 2. Calculation of the \( E^0 \) modes.
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**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( \delta )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaGa:YIG</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>3.2 \times 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YIG</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>3.4 \times 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi:YIG</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>-8.9 \times 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi:GdIG</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>-4.3 \times 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce:YIG</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 3. Nonreciprocal phase shift of a magnetooptic rib waveguide as a function of guided layer thickness. (a) LaGa:YIG film. (b) YIG, Bi:YIG, or Bi:GdIG film.
in Fig. 1(c) and (d), respectively. For a ridge waveguide with a LaGa:YIG film of \( t = 0.44 \mu m \) [7], the magnitude of the nonreciprocal phase shift as a function of isotropic loading layer thickness is shown in Fig. 5(a) by the solid line, where \( n_f = 2.60 \). The results obtained agree approximately with the experimental results [7]. The results of the planar waveguide \((d = 0)\) are also shown in Fig. 5(a), by the dotted line. Since the rib height \( d \) is extremely small compared with the guided layer thickness, the ridge waveguide structure considered here is almost like the planar structures. Fig. 5(b) shows the magnitude of the nonreciprocal phase shift of a ridge waveguide with a Bi:GdIG film of \( t = 0.28 \mu m \) as a function of isotropic loading layer thickness, where \( n_f = 2.60 \) or 3.44. The value of \( |\phi| \) becomes larger with an increase of the refractive index \( n_f \) of a loading layer. While the nonreciprocal phase shift becomes larger, the change of \( |\phi| \) against the loading layer thickness in the vicinity of its maximum value is very abrupt. That is to say, this structure has a small tolerance in the loading layer thickness control.

The magnitude of the nonreciprocal phase shift of a ridge waveguide with a Bi:GdIG film of \( t = 0.28 \mu m \) as a function of magnetooptic loading layer thickness is also shown in Fig. 5(b), where a Ce:YIG film is used as a loading layer. We can find that when using a magnetooptic material as a loading layer, the value of \( |\phi| \) becomes larger and the change of \( |\phi| \) against the loading layer thickness becomes gentler.

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

A scalar finite-element method was developed for the analysis of magnetooptic channel waveguides. In this approach, the nonphysical spurious solutions do not appear. To show the validity of this method, computed results were compared with the earlier experimental results. Also, the structures with larger nonreciprocal phase shift were investigated in detail.

The nonreciprocal phase shift is larger for an isotropic guided layer on a magnetooptic substrate than for a magnetooptic guided layer on an isotropic substrate. The larger the difference between the refractive indexes of a guided layer and a substrate becomes, the larger the nonreciprocal phase shift becomes. In the structure with an additional loading layer, the nonreciprocal phase shift is larger and its change against the loading layer thickness becomes gentler when using a magnetooptic material as a loading layer than when using an isotropic material as a loading layer.

Although a scalar finite-element approach is very convenient, its formulation is approximate in a strict sense.
We are now working on a vector finite-element method for magnetooptic channel waveguides.
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