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Equivalent Networks for SAW Gratings

MASANORI KOShiba, SENIOR MEMBER, IEEE, AND SEIICHI MITObE

Abstract—An equivalent network approach is described for the analysis of surface acoustic wave gratings. Circuit parameters can be theoretically determined by applying the finite-element method to an infinite array. In this approach, all of the effects of piezoelectric perturbation, mechanical perturbation, and energy storage are taken into account. To show the validity and usefulness of this approach, examples are computed for groove and metallic gratings. Both short and open circuited metallic gratings are treated. For grooves on isotropic and Y-Z LiNbO₃ substrates, the dependence of reflection characteristics on groove depth is investigated. For aluminum strips on X-112° Y LiTaO₃, 34° Y-X quartz, Y-Z LiNbO₃, and 128° Y-X LiNbO₃ substrates, the dependence on metallization ratio is investigated in detail.

I. INTRODUCTION

A n EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT of a step discontinuity in surface-acoustic-wave (SAW) gratings is of interest in many SAW devices such as delay lines, filters, reflectors, and resonators for signal processing applications. The equivalent network approach does have the very definite virtues of minimizing the algebra required in the analysis and furnishing physical insight, and has been applied to various SAW gratings such as groove [1]-[3] and metallic gratings [4]-[16]. In this approach, however, it is difficult to consider all of the effects of piezoelectric perturbation, mechanical perturbation, and energy storage due to evanescent bulk waves. Also, in general, circuit parameters have been empirically determined.

In this paper, a theoretical method for determining circuit parameters for SAW gratings is described. The finite-element method (FEM) [17]-[19] is used to calculate the dispersion curves of an infinite array. Circuit parameters are then calculated by matching the dispersion curve from the equivalent network with that obtained by the FEM. In this approach, all of the effects of piezoelectric perturbation, mechanical perturbation, and energy storage are taken into account. To show the validity and usefulness of this approach, examples are computed for groove and metallic gratings. Both short and open circuited metallic gratings are treated. For grooves on isotropic and Y-Z LiNbO₃ substrates, the dependence of reflection characteristics on groove depth is investigated. For aluminum strips on X-112° Y LiTaO₃, 34° Y-X quartz, Y-Z LiNbO₃, and 128° Y-X LiNbO₃ substrates, the dependence on metallization ratio is investigated in detail.

II. EQUIVALENT NETWORK MODEL

We consider a groove or metallic grating with period \( d \) on a surface of a piezoelectric crystal as shown in Fig. 1(a) and 2(a), respectively, where \( d_g \) and \( h \) in Fig. 1(a) are the width and depth of a groove, respectively, and \( d_m \) and \( h \) in Fig. 2(a) are the width and thickness of a metal strip, respectively. The groove or metallic grating can be modeled by the equivalent network [1] in Fig. 1(b) or 2(b), respectively, where \( k_f \) and \( k_m \) are the wavenumbers for Rayleigh waves on free and metallized surfaces, respectively, \( Y_0 \) and \( Y_0' \) are the characteristic admittances, and \( B \) is the susceptance representing the energy storage effect. The wavenumbers \( k_f \) and \( k_m \) are calculated by the relations

\[
k_f = \frac{2 \pi f}{v_f}, \quad k_m = \frac{2 \pi f}{v_m},
\]

respectively, where \( f \) is the frequency, and \( v_f \) and \( v_m \) are the Rayleigh wave velocities on free and metallized surfaces, respectively.

III. DETERMINATION OF CIRCUIT PARAMETERS

In the equivalent network in Figs. 1(b) or 2(b), a section of one period corresponding to the center distance between neighboring ungrooved or unmetallized parts is chosen as a unit circuit. The elements \( A_1, B_1, C_1, \) and \( D_1 \) of the standard transfer matrix for this unit circuit are calculated as

\[
A_1 = c'c - \frac{B}{Y_0} c's - \frac{B}{Y_0'} s'c + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{B}{Y_0} - \frac{Y_0'}{Y_0} \right) s's
\]

\[
B_1 = \frac{j Y_L}{Y_0} \left[ \frac{B}{Y_0} c'c + c's - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{B}{Y_0} - \frac{Y_0'}{Y_0} \right) s'c \right]
\]

\[
- \frac{B}{Y_0} s's - \frac{B}{Y_0'} c' + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{B}{Y_0} - \frac{Y_0'}{Y_0} \right) s'
\]

\[
C_1 = j Y_L \left[ \frac{B}{Y_0} c'c + c's - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{B}{Y_0} - \frac{Y_0'}{Y_0} \right) s'c \right]
\]

\[
- \frac{B}{Y_0} s's + \frac{B}{Y_0'} c' - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{B}{Y_0} - \frac{Y_0'}{Y_0} \right) s'
\]

\[
D_1 = A_1 \tag{1d}
\]

where

\[
c = \cos (2 \pi f d_f/v_f) \tag{2a}
\]

\[
s = \sin (2 \pi f d_f/v_f) \tag{2b}
\]
Fig. 1. Groove grating. (a) Configuration. (b) Equivalent network.

\[
c' = \begin{cases} 
\cos (2\pi fd_e / v_f) & \text{for groove grating} \\
\cos (2\pi fd_m / v_m) & \text{for metallic grating}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
s' = \begin{cases} 
\sin (2\pi fd_e / v_f), & \text{for groove grating} \\
\sin (2\pi fd_m / v_m), & \text{for metallic grating}
\end{cases}
\]

For an infinite array the dispersion relation is given by

\[
\cos \beta d = (A_1 + D_1) / 2
\]

where \( \beta \) is the phase constant in the \( x \) direction.

Substituting (1) into (3), considering the first Bragg condition \( \beta d = \pi \), and putting the lower and upper cutoff frequencies of the first stop band to \( f_i \) and \( f_u \), respectively, we obtain

\[
1 + c'_i c_i - \hat{B} c'_i s_i - \frac{\hat{B}}{1 + \varepsilon} s'_i c_i
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{B}^2 - \varepsilon^2}{1 + \varepsilon} - 1 \right) s'_i s_i = 0
\]

\[
1 + c'_u c_u - \hat{B} c'_u s_u - \frac{\hat{B}}{1 + \varepsilon} s'_u c_u
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{B}^2 - \varepsilon^2}{1 + \varepsilon} - 1 \right) s'_u s_u = 0
\]

where

\[
\varepsilon = (Y_0 / Y_0) - 1
\]

\[
\hat{B} = B / Y_0
\]

Here \( c_i, s_i, c'_i, \) and \( s'_i \) are given by replacing \( f \) in (2) by the lower cutoff frequency \( f_i \), and similarly, \( c_u, s_u, c'_u, \) and \( s'_u \) are given by replacing \( f \) in (2) by the upper cutoff frequency \( f_u \).

The cutoff frequencies \( f_i \) and \( f_u \) are easily calculated by applying the FEM to an infinite array [17]-[19]. Therefore, the values of the admittance mismatch \( \varepsilon \) and the normalized susceptance \( \hat{B} \) are determined by solving (4) and (5) simultaneously. Since there are plural pairs of simultaneous solutions \( (\varepsilon, \hat{B}) \) of (4) and (5), one should choose a pair of solutions appropriate to circuit parameters. The appropriate circuit parameters for SAW gratings should satisfy the following conditions:

\[
|\varepsilon| \ll 1, \quad |\hat{B}| \ll 1 \quad \text{for} \quad h / \lambda_f \ll 1
\]

where \( \lambda_f \) is the wavelength for Rayleigh wave on free surface.

Noting that (8) should be satisfied and that the voltage standing wave distribution on equivalent network should be coincident with the electric potential distribution in substrate obtained by the FEM, from (4) and (5) only one pair of solutions appropriate to circuit parameters can be determined.

SAW reflection characteristics for groove or metallic gratings of finite periodic structure can be easily calculated by using the equivalent network in Fig. 1(b) or 2(b), respectively [20]. For the uniform array of \( N \) grooves or \( N \) metal strips the reflection coefficient \( R_N \) is given by

\[
R_N = \frac{A_N - D_N + B_N Y_0 - C_N / Y_0}{A_N + D_N + B_N Y_0 + C_N / Y_0}
\]

where \( A_N, B_N, C_N, \) and \( D_N \) are the elements of the transfer matrix for the uniform array.

IV. COMPUTED RESULTS

First, we consider a groove array. Fig. 3 shows the magnitude of reflection coefficient per single groove (reflectivity per groove) and the normalized center-frequency shift (fractional frequency shift), where \( \sigma \) is a Poisson's ratio for isotropic substrates and the fractional frequency shift (FFS) is given by

\[
\text{FFS} = \left[ (f_u + f_i) / 2 - f_0 \right] / f_0
\]

Here \( f_0 = \nu_f / \lambda_f \) and \( \lambda_f = 2d. \) Our results for grooves on Y-Z LiNbO_3 substrate agree well with the experimental results [21], [22]. For the Y-Z LiNbO_3 substrate, two equivalent Poisson's ratios \( \sigma = 0.309 \) [1] and \( \sigma = 0.335 \) [2] have been proposed. Note that for the reflection per groove and the fractional frequency shift, our results obtained by using \( \sigma = 0.335 \) and \( \sigma = 0.309 \) are closer to those for the Y-Z LiNbO_3 substrate, respectively.

Next, we consider aluminum (Al) strips on X-112° Y LiTaO_3, 34° Y-X quartz, Y-Z LiNbO_3, and 128° Y-X LiNbO_3 substrates. Both short and open circuited metallic gratings are treated. Figs. 4 and 5 show the values of \( Y_0 / Y_0 - 1 \) and \( B / Y_0 \), respectively. Figs. 6 and 7 show the magnitude of reflection coefficient per two strips (reflexively per wavelength [15]) for short and open cir-
Fig. 3. Reflectivity per groove and fractional frequency shift for groove gratings.

Fig. 4. Values of admittance mismatch. (a) Al/X-112° Y LiTaO₃, (b) Al/34° Y-X quartz, (c) Al/Y-Z LiNbO₃, (d) Al/128° Y-X LiNbO₃, circuited metallic gratings, respectively. The reflectivity per single strip is one-half of the reflectivity per wavelength. Our results for X-112° Y LiTaO₃ and 34° Y-X quartz substrates agree well with the experimental results reported by Wright [15]. Our results for Y-Z LiNbO₃ and 128° Y-X LiNbO₃ substrates, on the other hand, are different from these experimental results [15], and agree approximately with another experimental results [7] and the earlier theoretical results [23], [24].

The data in Figs. 3-7 may be useful for designing groove or metallic gratings.

V. CONCLUSION

An equivalent network approach was described for the analysis of SAW gratings. Circuit parameters can be theoretically determined by applying the FEM to an infinite array. In this approach, all of the effects of piezoelectric perturbation, mechanical perturbation, and energy storage are taken into account. Computed results for grooves or aluminum strips on a piezoelectric substrate agree well with the earlier theoretical and experimental results.

The frequency response of a uniform array can be easily calculated by using the equivalent network in Figs. 1(b) or 2(b) [20]. This approach may be applicable to new types of reflectors [24] consisting of reflecting elements.
with both a positive and negative reflectivity, in which each element is spaced with a period of one-quarter wavelength.
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