Wing base morphology of Aetalionidae (Hemiptera: Cicadomorpha) and its phylogenetic implications
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Abstract
Aetalionidae are a small family belonging to the treehopper superfamily Membracoidea (Hemiptera: Cicadomorpha). Although the wing base morphology of Cicadomorpha was examined in detail recently, the wing base of this family has not been investigated to date. We examined morphology of the wing base structure of Aetalionidae. Using the characters selected from the wing base, we inferred the phylogenetic placement of this family and confirmed that it belongs to the superfamily Membracoidea and is likely a sister group of the Membracidae.
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The family Aetalionidae is a small group of the superfamily Membracoidea (Hemiptera; Cicadomorpha) and includes ca. 40 species (Deitz and Wallace 2010). This family is composed of two subfamilies, Aetalioninae and Biturritiinae. The Aetalioninae are distributed in South America, southern North America and Oriental region whereas the Biturritiinae are unique to South America (Deitz and Dietrich 1993). Generally, the family Aetalionidae is regarded as the sister group of Membracidae (Forero 2012), the latter shows extremely specialized and diversified morphology (e.g. helmet) and behavior (e.g. ant attendance and egg guarding) (Wood 1993). Therefore Aetalionidae are a key taxon for uncovering the origin and diversifications of the treehoppers.

Recently, Yoshizawa and Wagatsuma (2012) inferred phylogenetic relationships among the cicadomorphan families (Cicadidae, Tettigarctidae, Aphrophoridae, Cercopidae, Machaerotidae, Cicadellidae and Membracidae) based on characters selected from the wing base structure and obtained a result that is concordant with the results of a recent phylogenetic analysis based on multi-locus DNA sequence data (Cryan and Urban 2012). In Membracoidea, the wing base structures of Membracidae and Cicadellidae were investigated. However, the wing base of Aetalionidae has not been examined to date.

In this study, we examined the fore- and hindwing base structures of *Darthula hardwicki* (Aetalioninae) (CHINA: Niubo Dam, Epihonggong, Luchun, Hinghe, Yunnan, 13. v. 2012, M. Maruyama leg.) and *Lophyraspis scutellata* (Biturritiinae)
FRENCH GUIANA: Roura, Cayenne, 18. iii. 2013, N. Ogawa leg.) as representatives of both the subfamilies of Aetalionidae. Dried specimens or specimens stored in 80% ethanol were used. Methods for preparation, observation and illustration followed Yoshizawa (2011). Vouchers are deposited in the Hokkaido University Insect Collection. Terminology followed Yoshizawa and Saigusa (2001) and Yoshizawa and Wagatsuma (2012). The following abbreviations were used in the text and figures:

- anterior, median, posterior notal wing processes = ANWP, MNWP, PNWP;
- pseudo-PNWP = pPNWP;
- first, second, third axillary sclerites = 1Ax, 2Ax, 3Ax;
- jugum = Jg;
- proximal, distal median plates = PMP, DMP;
- tegula = Tg;
- humeral plate = HP;
- basisubcostale = BSc;
- basiradiale = BR;
- basanale = BA.

Forewing base (Fig. 1A, C): ANWP and MNWP apparent; PNWP indistinct, but corresponding notal region expanded laterally in Biturritiinae; pPNWP unrecognized. Tg obvious. HP fused with BSc posteriorly, distally fused with additional triangular sclerite in Biturritiinae for which the homology could not be determined. 1Ax triangular, lacking neck and head. 2Ax fused with BSc and BR anteriorly, anterior region strongly convex, distally tightly associated with DMP, with small sclerite between them (recognized as part of 2Ax by Yoshizawa and Saigusa 2001); these sclerites fused with each other in Aetalioninae but separated in Biturritiinae. 3Ax crescent in shape, with three arms; anterior arm tightly articulated with posterodistal corner of 2Ax, proximal arm widely separated from notum when wings are opened; distal arm tightly articulated with BA. DMP1 well developed and bulged strongly, transversally long, posteriorly with tiny sclerite. DMP2 much smaller than (Aetalioninae) or about same size as 2Ax (Biturritiinae). PMP completely membranous. Jg small.

Hindwing base (Fig. 1B, D): Notal region containing ANWP and MNWP partly separated from other notal region; PNWP with (Biturritiinae) or without (Aetalioninae) distinct projection; pPNWP unrecognized. Tg absent. HP separated from BSc. 1Ax triangular with neck and head. 2Ax partly fused with BSc anteriorly, posterior region convex, distally tightly associated with DMP, with small sclerite located between them; these sclerites fused with each other in Aetalioninae but separated in Biturritiinae. 3Ax transversally long, anterior arm less developed, distally fused with BA; PMP completely membranous. Jg absent, but Jg-like sclerite present in Biturritiinae.

Based on the above observations, we coded characters selected from the aetalionid wing base and appended them to the character matrix presented in Yoshizawa and Wagatsuma (2012) with following modifications: Character 11 (hindwing: anterolateral notal region) was re-coded as (0) not separated, (1) partly separated posteriorly, (2) partly separated anteriorly and (3) completely separated. This multistate character was treated as unordered; Characters 17 [hindwing: sclerite between 2Ax and
DMP1: (0) absent, (1) present] and Character 18 [forewing: sclerite distal to HP: (0) absent, (1) present] were newly added. The maximum parsimony analysis based on the data matrix (Supporting Information; Table 1) using branch-and-bound option of PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002) resulted in two equally parsimonious trees (tree length = 24, consistency index = 0.79, retention index = 0.86). These were completely congruent with those presented in Yoshizawa and Wagatsuma (2012), and two trees differed in the placement of Aetalionidae (Fig. 2). Both trees identified Aetalionidae as monophyletic group, and the family was placed either to the sister of Membracidae (Fig. 2A) or into Membracoidea with unresolved familial relationships (Fig. 2B).

The character states supporting the monophyly of Membracoidea are DMP1 swelling distally and overlapping DMP2 (Character 4-1) and absence of PMWP projection (Character 9-1). These have been identified as autapomorphies of Membracoidea by Yoshizawa and Wagatsuma (2012) and are also observed in Aetalionidae. The placement of Aetalionidae as sister to Membracidae was supported by one of two equally parsimonious trees, with partly or completely separated anterolateral region of metanotum as their synapomorphy (Character 11-2/3). State of this character for their common ancestor cannot be decided unambiguously because this multistate character was treated as unordered. Homology of the partly and fully separated condition as observed between Aetalionidae and Membracidae is highly plausible morphologically, and sister group relationship between the families is also likely. Similar state is also observed in some cercopids (Yoshizawa and Wagatsuma 2012), but its independent origin is evident from the tree. The Aetalionidae was identified as a monophyletic group by the following character state: presence of small sclerite between 2Ax and DMP of hindwing (Character 17-1). This sclerite is undoubtedly the serial homologue of the sclerite presented at the corresponding region of the forewing base, which was homologized as a part of 2Ax by Yoshizawa and Saigusa (2001). The sclerite is almost consistently observed throughout hemipteran forewing base including Aetalionidae (Yoshizawa and Saigusa 2001) but has never been observed in the hindwing of other hemipteran families (Yoshizawa and Wagatsuma 2012).

The phylogenetic placement of Aetalionidae estimated here is completely concordant with those estimated from other morphological (Dietrich and Deitz 1993) and molecular (Dietrich and Deitz 1993; Dietrich et al. 2001; Cryan 2005; Cryan and Urban 2012) data. It is especially notable that aetalionids lack the ability of jumping (NO and MM, personal observations) whereas Membracidae and Cicadellidae are good jumpers. Although this can potentially affects the mode of their flight and flying apparatus, the phylogeny estimated from the wing base is concordant with that from other data. In addition, the two species examined here also differ significantly in body size (ca. 15 mm in *Darthula hardwicki* vs. ca. 3 mm in *Lophyraspis scutellata*). This
can also strongly affect their flight mode, but the two aetalionids retain a unique and non-homoplasious synapomorphy supporting monophyly of the family. The above evidence further corroborates that the wing base morphology contains clear phylogenetic signal and is useful in estimating higher-level phylogeny. Because some differences between two species were detected in their wing base morphology, this character system also contains potential in estimating the intra-familial relationships of Aetalionidae.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Takashi Komatsu for valuable information. NO thanks Yusuke Minoshima, Norihiro Yagi, Koichi Muramatsu, and other members of the laboratory for valuable suggestions and technical help.

REFERENCES


Figure Caption

Figure 1 Wing base structures of Aetalionidae. (A, B) *Darthula hardwicki* (Aetalionidae: Aetalioninae); (C, D) *Lophyraspis scutellata* (Aetalionidae: Biturritiinae). (A, C) Forewing; (B, D) Hind wing. The numbers followed by a bracket indicate the character number, and the numbers in the brackets indicate state of the character (see Supporting Information).

Figure 2 Two most parsimonious trees inferred from the characters selected from the wing base structure. Outgroups are omitted. Character and character state changes reconstructed on the branches are indicated by black (non-homoplasious) and gray bars (homoplasious). See Online Supporting Information for the characters used for the analysis.
Supporting Information

We utilized the follow characters coded by Yoshizawa and Wagatsuma (2012) for inferring the phylogenetic placement of Aetalionidae. The general morphology of the auchenorrhynchan forewing base structure was described by Yoshizawa and Saigusa (2001), in which the criteria and landmarks for homology identification of each structure were also explained.

Character of forewing base.

- Character 1. Anterodistal corner of HP: smoothly rounded (0); acutely pointed (1). \( l = 1, ci = 1, ri = 0 \).
- Character 2. Posterodistal corner of HP: no swelling (0); with swelling (1). \( l = 1, ci = 1, ri = 1 \).
- Character 3. ANWP: without posterior projection (0); with posterior projection fitting into concavity of 1Ax when wings are closed (1). \( l = 1, ci = 1, ri = 1 \).
- Character 4. Distal margin of DMP1: no swelling (0); with strong swelling overlapping DMP2 (1). \( l = 1, ci = 1, ri = 1 \). State 1 of this character was observed in Membracoidea. DMP1 of Aetalionidae is also swelling.
- Character 5. Anterodistal corner of DMP1: no tubercle (0); with tubercle (1). \( l = 1, ci = 1, ri = 1 \).
- Character 6. Sclerite posterior to DMP1: absent (0); present (1). \( l = 1, ci = 1, ri = 1 \).
- Character 7. Sclerite distal to Character 6: absent (0); present (1). \( l = 1, ci = 1, ri = 1 \).
- Character 8. DMP2: small (0); enlarged (1). \( l = 3, ci = 0.33, ri = 0.33 \). This is a quantitative character that may involve ambiguity for character coding. When DMP2 is significantly smaller than 2Ax, that was regarded as small, and DMP2 is about the same size with or larger than 2Ax, which was considered to be enlarged (state 1) (Yoshizawa and Wagatsuma, 2012). State of this character for Aetalioninae was assessed as 1 because their DMP2 was significantly smaller than 2Ax. On the other hand, state of this character for Biturritiinae was judged as state 0.
- Character 9. PNWP: present (0); absent (1). \( l = 2, ci = 0.5, ri = 0.75 \). State 1 was considered to be an autapomorphy of Membracoidea including Aetalionidae.
- Character 10. Jugum: well developed (0); reduced or absent (1). \( l = 1, ci = 1, ri = 1 \). This is a quantitative character, but there was no ambiguity to separate
two states of this characters. Jugum of Aetalionidae was assessed as small (state 1).

- Character 18 [Newly added]. A sclerite distal to HP: absent (0), present (1). 
  \( l = 1, \, ci = 1, \, ri = 0 \). State 1 of this character was only observed in Biturritiiinae.

**Character of hindwing base**

- Character 11. Anterolateral region of notum: not separated (0); partly separated posteriorly (1); partly separated anteriorly; completely separated (3). \( l = 3, \, ci = 0.67, \, ri = 0.67 \). This character was recorded as multistate characters (whereas dichotomous by Yoshizawa and Wagatsuma, 2012) and was treated as unordered (see text). By one of two equally parasimonious trees, state 2/3 was estimated as a synapomorphy of Membracidae and Aetalionidae.

- Character 12. Notum and 3Ax: widely separated by membrane (0); tightly articulated (1). \( l = 1, \, ci = 1, \, ri = 1 \).

- Character 13. 1Ax: present (0); absent (1). \( l = 1, \, ci = 1, \, ri = 1 \).

- Character 17 [Newly added]. A sclerite between 2Ax and DMP1: absent (0), present (1). \( l = 1, \, ci = 1, \, ri = 1 \). State 1 of this character was only observed in Aetalioninae and Biturritiiinae so that estimated as an autoapomorphy of Aetalionidae.

**Modifications occurring in both wings.**

- Character 14. BSc–2Ax fusion: almost entirely fused (0); only partly fused (1). \( l = 1, \, ci = 1, \, ri = 1 \).

- Character 15. Pseudo-PNWP: absent (0); present (1). \( l = 1, \, ci = 1, \, ri = 1 \).

- Character 16. Condition of pPNWP: separated from notum (0); fused with notum (1). \( l = 1, \, ci = 1, \, ri = 1 \). pPNWPs are lacking in Aetalionidae and this character was treated as unknown.
Table 1 Data matrix for phylogenetic analysis. Character 1-16 of the families with “*” were cited from Yoshizawa and Wagatsuma (2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character no.</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heteroptera*</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 ? 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulgoromorpha*</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0/1 0 0 0 0 0 ? 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tettigarcitidae*</td>
<td>0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cicadidae*</td>
<td>1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cicadellidae*</td>
<td>0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 ? 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membracidae*</td>
<td>0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0/1 1 1 3 0/1 0 0 0 ? 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machaerotidae*</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 3 1 1 0 1 1 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cercopidae*</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 3 1 1 0 1 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aphrophoridae*</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0/1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aetalioninae</td>
<td>0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 ? 1 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biturritiiniae</td>
<td>0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 ? 1 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>