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This paper presents a novel adaptive subdomain model order reduction (MOR) based on proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) and discrete empirical interpolation (DEI) methods for nonlinear magneto-quasi-static (MQS) problems. In this method, a nonlinear region is decomposed into two regions where one of the regions includes all those finite elements which have particularly strong saturation and the other region does not. MOR based on POD and DEI methods is applied only to the latter region. Both regions are determined automatically at each time step. It is shown that this method can effectively reduce the computational time to solve the nonlinear MQS problems without losing quality of accuracy.
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I. INTRODUCTION

MODEL ORDER REDUCTION (MOR) has been applied to a variety of discrete electromagnetic field problems, for example, the transient electro- and magneto-quasi-static (EQS and MQS) problems [1]-[8]. Although we can apply MOR based on proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) to nonlinear problems, this method may not be remarkably effective for analyzing the nonlinear problems [1][2]. There are two problems in POD-based MOR: one is a deterioration of the accuracy in saturated regions and another is an increase of computational cost in iterative processes in which a nonlinear term has to be updated and matrix-matrix products have to be calculated at each time step.

To tackle the deterioration of the accuracy, one of the authors has proposed subdomain MOR [3][4] in which the whole region is decomposed into linear and nonlinear regions. Then MOR is applied only to the linear region. This approach helps to maintain the accuracy in the saturated region compared with the non-reduced model. This method has been successfully applied to the optimization problems for magnetostatic [5] and high-frequency problems [6]. However, this method cannot dramatically reduce the computational cost because the number of degrees of freedom (DoF) is much larger than that of the usual approach where MOR is applied to the whole computational domain.

On the other hand, MOR based on discrete empirical interpolation (DEI) method [7]-[10] has been proposed to reduce the computational cost in the iterative processes. In this method, the nonlinear term is interpolated in the localized nonlinear regions which are determined by evaluating nonlinear terms obtained during a preconditioning process.

In this paper, we propose a novel POD-based MOR method combining subdomain MOR and DEI methods in which a nonlinear region is decomposed into two regions. One has finite elements which exhibit a particularly strong nonlinear behavior in the ferromagnetic material while the other does not have them. POD-based MOR is applied only to the latter region. These regions should be determined automatically because the electromagnetic field distributions in the nonlinear ferromagnetic material change at each time step and it is initially not known where the elements which exhibit strong nonlinearity due to ferromagnetic saturation effects are positioned before analyzing the electromagnetic field distributions. Therefore, these regions are automatically determined from the field at the previous time step. Moreover, the DEI method is applied to the nonlinear term in the latter region to reduce the computational time in the iterative processes.

In this work, we apply the present method to the inductor and reactor models for MQS problems and compare the present method with the conventional MOR, DEI-based MOR and subdomain MOR with respect to the accuracy and the computational time.

II. MAGNETO-QUASI-STATIC ANALYSIS

We employ finite element method (FEM) to solve an MQS system in which the governing equations derived from Maxwell equations are expressed as:

\[
\text{rot } \nu(A) \text{rot } A + \sigma \left( \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \text{grad } \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right) = J \tag{1a}
\]
where \( A, \phi, J, \nu \) and \( \sigma \) are vector and scalar potentials, current density, magnetic reluctivity and conductivity, respectively. We apply the weighted residual method to (1) to obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_i a_i \int_\Omega \nabla N_j \cdot \nu(A) \nabla N_i \, dV + \sum_i \frac{da_i}{dt} \int_\Omega \sigma N_i \cdot \nabla N_j \, dV \\
+ \sum_k \frac{d\phi_k}{dt} \int_\Omega \sigma N_i \cdot \nabla \phi_k \, dV = \int_\Omega N_j \cdot J \, dV \\
\sum_i \frac{da_i}{dt} \int_\Omega \sigma N_i \cdot \nabla \phi_k \, dV + \sum_k \frac{d\phi_k}{dt} \int_\Omega \nabla \phi_k \cdot \nabla \phi_k \, dV = 0
\end{align*}
\]

where \( N_i \) and \( w_j \) are the vector and scalar interpolation functions, respectively. Moreover, if the electromagnetic device is connected to the electric circuit as shown in Fig. 1, we consider the electric circuit equation

\[
V = Ri + \frac{d\Phi}{dt}
\]

where \( \Phi \) is the magnetic flux across a coil. We solve (2) and (3) using the incomplete Cholesky conjugate gradient method.

### III. ADAPTIVE SUBDOMAIN MOR WITH DEI METHOD

#### A. Formulation

We rewrite (2) and (3) to \( Ax + b + f(x) = 0 \) in which the first and the second terms are linear while the third term is nonlinear. In this method, the unknown \( x \) is decomposed into three parts: \( x_{\text{strong}}, x_{\text{weak}} \) and \( x_{\text{linear}} \). The vectors \( x_{\text{strong}} \) and \( x_{\text{weak}} \) compose the unknowns for the finite elements which have the strong and weak nonlinearities while \( x_{\text{linear}} \) is the unknown for the finite elements in a linear domain. From this subdivision, we can obtain

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\
A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_{\text{strong}} \\
x_{\text{weak}} \\
x_{\text{linear}}
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix} b_{\text{strong}} \\ b_{\text{weak}} \\ b_{\text{linear}} \end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix} f_{\text{strong}}(x) \\ f_{\text{weak}}(x) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0
\]

where \( A_{1i} \in \mathbb{R}^{p\times p}, A_{12} \in \mathbb{R}^{p\times q}, A_{13} \in \mathbb{R}^{p\times n-l}, A_{21} \in \mathbb{R}^{q\times p}, A_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{q\times q}, A_{23} \in \mathbb{R}^{q\times n-l}, A_{31} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-l\times p}, A_{32} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-l\times q}, A_{33} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-l\times n-l} \)

\( x_{\text{strong}}, b_{\text{strong}} \in \mathbb{R}^q, x_{\text{weak}}, b_{\text{weak}} \in \mathbb{R}^q, x_{\text{linear}}, b_{\text{linear}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-l} \), \( p \) and \( q \) (\( l=p+q \)) are the number of DoFs in the domains which have strong and weak nonlinearities. In this method, we apply POD-based MOR to \( x_{\text{weak}} \) and \( x_{\text{linear}} \). The data matrices are constructed in the preconditioning process

\[
X_{\text{weak}} = \begin{bmatrix}
x_{\text{weak}}(t_1) & x_{\text{weak}}(t_2) & \cdots & x_{\text{weak}}(t_s) \end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( s \) is number of snapshots. The singular value decomposition applied to matrices in (5) leads to

\[
X_{\text{weak}} = W_{\text{weak}} \Sigma_{\text{weak}} V_{\text{weak}}^T, X_{\text{linear}} = W_{\text{linear}} \Sigma_{\text{linear}} V_{\text{linear}}^T
\]

where \( W_\alpha \) and \( V_\alpha, \alpha=\{\text{weak}, \text{linear}\} \), represent the matrices whose columns are the eigenvectors of \( X_{\alpha}X_{\alpha}^T \) and \( X_{\alpha}X_{\alpha}^T \). \( \Sigma_\alpha \) is the singular value decomposition applied to matrices in (5) leads to

\[
x = W y = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & W_{\text{weak}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & W_{\text{linear}}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( y \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \). Substituting (7) into (4), we obtain the reduced equation

\[
W' Aw + W' b + W' f(W y) = 0
\]

Solution of (8) needs long computational time because we have to update the nonlinear term and perform matrix-matrix product in the Newton Raphson iterations. To circumvent this difficulty, we apply DEI method to \( f_{\text{weak}}(x) \). Namely, \( f_{\text{weak}} \) is approximated as

\[
f_{\text{weak}}(x) \approx U(P'U)^{-1}P' f_{\text{weak}}(x)
\]

where \( U \) and \( P \) can be found in [7]. From this approximation of the nonlinear term, we can rewrite (8) as

\[
W' Aw + W' b + W_{\text{DEI}} f(W y) = 0
\]

where

\[
W_{\text{DEI}} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & U(P'U)^{-1}P' & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The linearized equation derived from (10)

\[
\frac{\partial g(W y)}{\partial y} \delta y = -g
\]
is solved iteratively where $g$ denotes the residual in (10). The 
Jacobi matrix in (12) is decomposed to linear and nonlinear 
matrices

$$
\frac{\partial g(Wy)}{\partial y} = W'A + W'DEΙ \frac{\partial f(Wy)}{\partial x} W
$$

(13)
The second term of (13) has to be updated. The computational 
cost for this process is much smaller than that of (8) because 
of the structure of $W_DEin$. The coefficient matrix of (12) is, 
however, non-symmetric. We can employ a linear solver for 
non-symmetric matrices such as the biconjugate gradient 
(BiCG), generalized minimal residual method. In this work, 
we employ BiCG method.

B. Adaptive subdomain determination

In this method, we decompose the nonlinear region into two 
regions. The computational time and accuracy of this method 
depend on the way of subdivision. For domain subdivision, we 
pay attention to the BH characteristics. That is, we a priori 
subdivide the domain of $B$ into weakly and strongly nonlinear 
one on the basis of the BH curve as shown in Fig. 2.
The electromagnetic field distributions in the nonlinear 
ferromagnetic material change at each time step. Moreover, it 
is initially not known where the elements which exhibit strong 
nonlinearity due to ferromagnetic saturation effects are 
positioned before analyzing the electromagnetic field 
distributions. From these reasons, we propose the adaptive 
domain decomposition whose algorithm is described below :

--- Adaptive subdomain determination method -----

in preconditioning process

for $n=0,...,s$
1. Solve $Ax+b+f(x_n)=0$ at time step $n$.
2. Decompose the nonlinear region into two regions based on 
   B-H curve.
3. Construct the matrices $W$ and $W_DEin$ for time step $n$.
end for

in iterative process

for $n=s+1,...,N$
4. Solve $W'DEin Wy_n + W'b + W'DEin f(Wy_n)=0$ at time step $n$.
5. Detect the solution which does not significantly change 
   from the solutions obtained in preconditioning process.
6. Choose $W$ and $W_DEin$ based on the detection results in 
   process 5.
end for

The above mentioned algorithm is illustrated in Fig.3.

IV. Numerical Results

To test the performance of the present method, we apply 
usual MOR, DEΙ-based MOR, subdomain MOR and the 
present method to the inductor and reactor shown in Figs. 4 
and 5 which are connected to the simple circuit shown in Fig. 
1 where $R_0$ is set to $10^5$Ω and $V$ in the inductor and reactor 
models is 0.008V and 0.015V, respectively. The driving 
frequency is 100Hz and $\Delta t$ is $2.5 x 10^{-4}$ seconds. The core
where \( N_t \), \( N_e \), \( B_{\text{EF}} \), and \( B_{\text{MOR}} \) are the total time steps, the number of elements, the magnetic induction in \( k \)-th element at time step \( n \) obtained by FEM and MOR methods.

To compare the computational time, we define the speedup ratio \( \tau_{\text{FEM}}/\tau_{\text{MOR}} \) where \( \tau_{\text{FEM}} \) and \( \tau_{\text{MOR}} \) are the computational times for conventional FEM and MOR methods, respectively. The computational time does not include the tasks for the preconditioning process of MOR in which we snapshot the electromagnetic fields and construct transformation matrix.

The results of the speedup ratio and error are shown in TABLE I and II. The DEI-based MOR performs best and worst with respect to the speedup ratio and the error, respectively, while the subdomain MOR behaves just the opposite in both models. These methods have trade-off property between the error and the speedup ratio. The MOR and subdomain MOR cannot shorten the computational time. The reason for these results is that the Newton-Raphson iteration takes long time for convergence so that large number of matrix-matrix products is required. Note that DEI can reduce the computational time for matrix-matrix products. We can see in TABLE I and II that the errors of the present method are almost the same as those of subdomain MOR while the speedup ratios of the present method are more than 3.5 in both models. The temporal changes in errors are plotted in Fig. 6 in which the maximum errors for subdomain and present method are less than 1% while those of the others are about 10%. It is concluded from these results that the presented method is superior over other MOR approaches in the sense that the latter cannot be simultaneously more accurate and faster than the former.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proposed an adaptive subdomain MOR with DEI method for nonlinear MQS problems. We have compared the performance of FEM, usual MOR, DEI-based MOR, subdomain MOR with that of present method. It has been concluded that the present method outperforms other MOR approaches with respect to accuracy and computational speed.
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