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Graphene oxide scaffold accelerates cellular proliferative response and alveolar bone healing of tooth extraction socket

Abstract: Graphene oxide (GO) consisting of a carbon monolayer has been widely investigated for tissue engineering platforms because of its unique properties. For this study, we fabricated a GO-applied scaffold and assessed the cellular and tissue behaviors in the scaffold. A preclinical test was conducted to ascertain whether the GO scaffold promoted bone induction in dog tooth extraction sockets. For this study, GO scaffolds were prepared by coating the surface of a collagen sponge scaffold with 0.1 and 1 µg/mL GO dispersion. Scaffolds were characterized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), physical testing, cell seeding, and rat subcutaneous implant testing. Then a GO scaffold was implanted into a dog tooth extraction socket. Histological observations were made at 2 weeks postsurgery. SEM observations show that GO attached to the surface of collagen scaffold struts. The GO scaffold exhibited an interconnected structure resembling that of control subjects. GO application improved the physical strength, enzyme resistance, and adsorption of calcium and proteins. Cytocompatibility tests showed that GO application significantly increased osteoblastic MC3T3-E1 cell proliferation. In addition, an assessment of rat subcutaneous tissue response revealed that implantation of 1 µg/mL GO scaffold stimulated cellular ingrowth behavior, suggesting that the GO scaffold exhibited good biocompatibility. The tissue ingrowth area and DNA contents of 1 µg/mL GO scaffold were, respectively, approximately 2.5-fold and 1.4-fold greater than those of the control. Particularly, the infiltration of ED2-positive (M2) macrophages and blood vessels were prominent in the GO scaffold. Dog bone-formation tests showed that 1 µg/mL GO scaffold implantation enhanced bone formation. New bone formation following GO scaffold implantation was enhanced fivefold compared to that in control subjects. These results suggest that GO was biocompatible and had high bone-formation capability for the scaffold. The GO scaffold is expected to be beneficial for bone tissue engineering therapy.
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Introduction

Tissue engineering therapy, which is intended to reconstruct tissues lost because of destructive diseases such as inflammation and tumors, requires three major elements: cells, signaling molecules, and scaffolds. Many investigators have developed natural and artificial three-dimensional (3D) scaffolds for tissue engineering of various tissues. The scaffolds provide the field and space for retaining growth and nutrition factors to facilitate the repopulation and differentiation of stem cells, blood vessels, and extracellular matrices. Recently, scaffolds used in combination with stem cell seeding and growth factor application were helpful for tissue regeneration. Therefore, refinement...
of the scaffold specifications for regenerative medicine is anticipated for upregulating bioactive properties in clinical use, including the self-assembly of compound tissues and organs. To improve scaffold therapy efficiency, nanosized substances have been used in recent times as regenerative biomaterials. The nanostructured surfaces of biomaterials have greatly increased the surface area to achieve high roughness and wettability. Moreover, nanostructures formed on regenerative devices greatly promote biological behaviors such as cell adhesion, migration, proliferation, and differentiation. According to nanotechnology, the application of hydroxyapatite nanoparticles of less than 100 nm diameter with high concentration stimulated the proliferation and alkaline phosphatase activity of E1 cell decreased in a GO dose-dependent manner. In addition, GO application to a scaffold of Type I collagen promoted the mechanical properties of scaffold in a GO dose-dependent manner. However, the biocompatibility of GO-applied scaffold in rat connective tissue was consistently low at the loading of GO at higher doses (over 10 µg/mL). Furthermore, several investigators have presented similar results demonstrating that GO cytotoxicity occurred in a dose-dependent manner. Therefore, dose setting of GO, such as low dose of GO, is expected to play a key role in positive bioeffects and regenerative phenomena for biomedical applications.

This study was conducted to assess the cellular and tissue proliferative behaviors in relation to a GO scaffold when compared to untreated collagen scaffold in vitro and in vivo. Subsequently, we used preclinical tests to evaluate whether GO scaffold promotes bone induction in a dog tooth extraction socket or not.

Materials and methods
Fabrication and GO scaffold
A single-layer GO solution (nano GRAX®; Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Co. Inc., Tokyo, Japan) was prepared in water using the method described by Hummers and Offeman. The GO monolayer thickness was less than 1 nm. Its average width was approximately 20 nm. Subsequently, GO was dispersed in 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd., Osaka, Japan) to prepare 0.1 and 1 µg/mL GO dispersion. Then, 100 µL of each GO dispersion was injected into a 3D collagen sponge-form scaffold (6×6×3 mm, Terudermis®; Olympus Terumo Biomaterials Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) using a syringe with a 25-gauge needle. After rinsing several times with ethanol to remove the dispersion solution followed by subsequent air-drying, GO scaffolds were obtained for evaluation (Figure 1A). The collagen scaffold without GO modification was also assessed as control.
The GO weight attached to collagen scaffolds was measured. The scaffold porosity was calculated according to the following equation:

\[
\text{porosity} = 100 \times \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_2} \right)
\]

where \(\rho_1\) denotes the bulk density of the scaffold and \(\rho_2\) signifies the theoretical density. Subsequently, scaffolds were submitted for characterization using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, S-4000; Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) with an accelerating voltage of 10 kV. The compressive strength of scaffolds was measured using a universal testing machine (EZ-S; Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) with a crosshead loading speed of 0.5 mm/min.

In addition, each scaffold was assessed for enzyme degradation and adsorption of Ca and proteins. Preweighed dry scaffolds were immersed for 3 hours at 37°C in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 1% collagenase Type I (Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd.). After ethanol dehydration and air-drying, the scaffold weight loss was ascertained. To detect the Ca adsorption capability, each scaffold was immersed in 0.5 mL fetal bovine serum (FBS; Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY, USA) at 37°C. After rinsing several times with PBS, the scaffolds were immersed in 0.5 mL acetic acid for 24 hours at 37°C. The Ca ion content of the supernatant was assessed using a Calcium E-test WAKO (Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd.) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. To detect the ability of protein adsorption, each scaffold was injected with 100 µL of sterile distilled water (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) including 50 µg bovine serum albumin (Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd.) or 50 µg lysozyme hydrochloride (from egg white; Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd.) under a vacuum condition. Subsequently, the protein-loaded scaffold was placed in 0.9 mL of deionized distilled water. After stirring well, the protein content of the supernatant was assessed using a total protein kit (Micro Lowry, Peterson’s Modification; Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Then, the amounts of albumin and lysozyme adsorbed onto the scaffold were calculated.

**Assessment of GO scaffold cytocompatibility**

To evaluate GO scaffold cytocompatibility, 5×10^4 mouse osteoblastic MC3T3-E1 cells (RIKEN BioResource Center, Tsukuba, Japan) were seeded on scaffolds and were cultured in humidified 5% \(\text{CO}_2\) at 37°C using minimum essential medium (alpha-GlutaMAX™-I; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Qualified; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) and 1% antibiotics (penicillin/streptomycin; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). Cell viability was assessed after 1, 3, 5, and 7 days of culture using a WST-8; cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. The optical density was measured using a microplate reader at 450 nm absorbance.

After 1 day of culture, some samples were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) for 30 minutes and were rinsed in cacodylate buffer solution. They were then dehydrated in increasing concentrations of ethanol. Following critical point drying, the specimens were analyzed using SEM in addition to detection of the in vitro cell ingrowth into the scaffold, some samples cultured for

---

**Figure 1** Photographs and SEM images of the collagen scaffolds.

**Notes:** (A) Photographs of the collagen scaffold (i), 0.1 µg/mL GO scaffold (ii), and 1 µg/mL GO scaffold (iii). SEM images of collagen scaffold (B), 0.1 µg/mL GO scaffold (C), and 1 µg/mL GO scaffold (D and E). (D) The wrinkled structure of gO was observed on collagen fibers. (E) GO scaffold possessed an interconnected structure.

**Abbreviations:** gO, graphene oxide; SEM, scanning electron microscopy.
7 days were embedded in paraffin wax according to the conventional method and were cut into 6 µm thick sections. The sections were stained with hematoxylin–eosin and were observed using light microscopy.

Assessment of rat subcutaneous tissue response to GO scaffold
The experimental protocol followed the institutional animal use and care regulations of Hokkaido University (Animal Research Committee of Hokkaido University, Approval number 13-76). Eighteen 10-week-old male Wistar rats weighing 190–210 g were given general anesthesia by intraperitoneal injection of 0.6 mL/kg sodium pentobarbital (Somnopentyl; Kyoritsu Seiyaku Corp., Tokyo, Japan) and local injection of 2% lidocaine hydrochloride with 1:80,000 epinephrine (Xylocaine Cartridge for Dental Use; Dentsply Sankin K.K., Tokyo, Japan). After a skin incision was made in the back, each scaffold was implanted into the subcutaneous tissue of the back of each rat. Skin flaps were sutured (Softretch 4-0; GC, Tokyo, Japan). Then, tetracycline hydrochloride ointment (achromycin ointment; POLA Pharma Inc., Tokyo, Japan) was applied to the wound. At 10 and 35 days postsurgery, rats were euthanized using an overdose of sodium pentobarbital (2.0 mL/kg).

To assess the scaffold DNA contents, several specimens extracted from the wound were freeze-dried. Following pulverization, 0.5 mL of 2 M NaCl and 0.05 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) were added to each scaffold. After centrifugation, DNA contents of infiltrated cells were examined using a DNA quantification kit (Primary Cell Co. Ltd., Sapporo, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and using a fluorescence spectrophotometer (F-3000; Hitachi Ltd.) at the respective excitation and emission wavelengths of 356 and 458 nm.

Six samples were collected at 10 days for histological observation. The tissue blocks, including the surrounding soft tissue, were fixed in 10% buffered formalin for 24 hours, embedded in paraffin wax according to the conventional method, and cut into 6 µm thick sections. The sections were stained with hematoxylin–eosin and were observed histologically using light microscopy. Immunohistochemical assessment of implanted GO scaffold

For immunohistochemistry, anesthetized rats were perfused via the aorta with physiological saline, followed by 4% formaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. Tissues were removed, immersed in the same fixative for an additional 24 hours, and dipped in 30% sucrose solution. The tissues were embedded in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) compound (Sakura Finetek Inc., Tokyo, Japan), and were quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen sections, approximately 16 µm thick, were mounted on poly-L-lysine-coated glass slides. After pretreatment with 0.3% Triton X-100 and normal donkey serum, the sections were incubated overnight with the following primary antibodies: mouse anti-CD68 (ED-1; 1:100 in dilution; Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA), mouse anti-CD163 (ED-2; 1:100 in dilution; Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc.), mouse anti-prolyl-4-hydroxylase beta (P4HB; 1:1,600 in dilution, clone6-9H6; Acris Antibodies, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), and mouse anti-α-smooth muscle actin (ASMA; 1:1,600 in dilution, clone 1A4; Sigma-Aldrich Co.). The antigen–antibody reaction sites were detected by incubation with Cy3-labeled anti-mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch Inc., West Grove, PA, USA). Nuclear staining was performed with short incubation (TOTO3; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). The stained sections were mounted with glycerin-PBS and were observed under a confocal laser scanning microscope (Fluoview; Olympus). To detect granulocytes, the sections were incubated in 0.01 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.6) containing 0.01% 3,3′-diaminobenzidine and 0.001% H₂O₂. The specificity of immunoreactions on sections was confirmed according to a conventional procedure. The sections incubated with normal mouse serum instead of respective primary antibody were used as negative controls.

Implantation of GO scaffold to extraction sockets of dogs
Four healthy female beagle dogs, aged 10 months and weighing approximately 9–10 kg, were used for this experiment. Experimental protocols conformed to the institutional animal use and care regulations of Hokkaido University (Approval number 8-255). Surgical procedures were performed under general anesthesia with medetomidine hydrochloride (0.1 mL/kg, Domitor; Nippon Zenyaku Kogyo Co. Ltd., Fukushima, Japan) and butorphanol tartrate (0.1 mL/kg, Vetorphale; Meiji Seika Pharma Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and under local anesthesia with lidocaine hydrochloride.

Following extraction of maxillary third premolars, scaffold applied with 1 µg/mL GO was embedded into the sockets,
which were then sutured. After 2 weeks, the animals were euthanized using an overdose of sodium pentobarbital (0.5 mL/kg) following general anesthesia with medetomidine hydrochloride (0.1 mL/kg) and butorphanol tartrate (0.1 mL/kg). The tissue blocks, including bone and soft tissue, were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, decalcified in 10% formic–citric acid, and embedded in paraffin wax. We serially prepared 6 μm thick sections along the mesiodistal plane and applied Masson’s trichrome staining. Histomorphometric measurements of the rate of bone formation were performed on the center of the excised tissue sample using software (ImageJ 1.41; National Institutes of Health). Radiographic images of extraction sockets were taken immediately following surgery and at 2 weeks after scaffold implantation.

Statistical analysis
The mean and standard deviation of each parameter was calculated for each group. Statistical analysis was performed for each measurement using a Student’s t-test or Scheffé test. P-values <0.05 were inferred as statistically significant. All statistical procedures were done using SPSS 11.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results
Characterization of GO scaffold
In SEM images, the GO scaffold rarely showed striations of collagen fibers that were readily visible on the untreated collagen scaffold, even on the central region of the scaffold (Figure 1B and C). In SEM images of 1 μg/mL GO scaffold, we frequently found a wrinkled structure of GO without large aggregate formation (Figure 1D). In fact, the GO scaffold possessed an interconnected structure resembling that of the control (Figure 1E). The GO-applied scaffold porosity was equivalent to that of the control (Table 1).

The compressive strength of 1 μg/mL GO scaffold was approximately 1.7-fold greater than that of the control. The difference was statistically significant. The strength of the 0.1 μg/mL GO scaffold was 1.3-fold greater than that of the control (Figure 2A). A degradation test showed that application of GO to the scaffolds increased its resistance to enzymatic degradation. The decrement in content in 1 μg/mL GO scaffold was 85.5%, which was significantly less than that of the control (Figure 2B). The amount of Ca ion adsorption of scaffold was increased by GO application; the scaffold applied with 1 μg/mL GO was approximately 1.2-fold greater than that of the control (Figure 2C). Protein adsorption tests showed that GO scaffold exhibited considerable amounts of albumin and lysozyme adsorption. Adsorption percentages of albumin and lysozyme of the 1 μg/mL GO scaffold were 88% and 40%, respectively (Figure 2D).

Cytocompatibility of GO scaffold
After MC3T3-E1 cell seeding, cell infiltration was clearly demonstrated on the GO-applied scaffold (Figure 3A and B). The SEM images show cell spreading with cell process elongation occurring on the GO-applied collagen fibers (Figure 3C). WST-8 assessment showed that MC3T3-E1 cell proliferation on the scaffold was stimulated in a significant and dose-dependent manner by GO application. At 7 days, cell proliferation of 1 μg/mL GO scaffold was 1.6-fold greater than that of control (Figure 3D).

Rat subcutaneous tissue response to GO scaffold
Histological specimens of control (Figure 4A–C) and 0.1 μg/mL GO scaffolds (Figure 4D–F) revealed that the implanted scaffolds appeared to be compressed physically in the connective tissue and that the cell ingrowth into the scaffold was slight. In contrast, the cell ingrowth, containing fibroblast-like cells, giant cells, and blood vessel-like structures, was remarkable in the 1 μg/mL GO scaffold (Figure 4G–J) when compared to 0.1 μg/mL GO scaffold and control. The number of foreign body giant cells, tissue ingrowth area, and DNA contents of the 1 μg/mL GO scaffold were, respectively, approximately 6.2-, 2.5-, and 1.4-fold greater than those of the control (Figure 4J–L).

Immunohistochemical investigation of GO scaffold
Specimens of GO-applied scaffold obtained at 10 days after implantation revealed that macrophages expressing ED-1 and ED-2 and fibroblasts expressing P4HB were found frequently in the scaffold (Figure 5A–C). Vascular structures formed by ASMA-positive smooth muscle cells were remarkable in the 1 μg/mL GO scaffold, especially at the scaffold periphery (Figure 5D). However, control specimens only slightly exhibited cell ingrowth behavior (Figure 5E–H).

In specimens of the 1 μg/mL GO scaffold at 35 days, ED1-positive macrophages were decreased and ED2-positive macrophages were abundant relative to specimens observed at 10 days (Figure 5I and J). The P4HB-positive cells and

| Table 1 Structural parameters of the scaffold (N=5, mean ± SD) |
|-----------------|--------------|---------|---------|
| Characteristics | Control      | 0.1 μg/mL GO scaffold | 1 μg/mL GO scaffold |
| GO weight (wt %) | NA          | 1.83±0.57 | 2.22±0.71 |
| Porosity (%)     | 97.63±0.05 | 97.60±0.08 | 97.60±0.06 |

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; GO, graphene oxide; NA, not applicable.
Figure 2 (A–D) In vitro assessment of each scaffold (N=6, mean ± SD).

Note: *P<0.05, vs control.

Abbreviations: Ctrl, control; GO, graphene oxide; SD, standard deviation.

Figure 3 Cytocompatibility of GO scaffold.

Notes: Microscopic images of control (A) and 1 µg/mL GO scaffold (B) with MC3T3-E1 cells after 7 days incubation. Cultured cells (arrows) were detected frequently in the GO scaffold. (C) SEM image of 1 µg/mL GO scaffold with MC3T3-E1 cells after 1 day incubation. Cell spreading with fine processes elongation (white arrows) was observed. (D) WST-8 assay (N=6, mean ± SD). *P<0.05, vs control. Original magnification (A, B) 25×, (C) 10000×.

Abbreviations: Ctrl, control; GO, graphene oxide; SEM, scanning electron microscopy; SD, standard deviation.
Figure 4: Rat subcutaneous tissue response to GO scaffold.

Notes: Histological findings for control (A–C), 0.1 µg/ml GO scaffold (D–F), and 1 µg/ml GO scaffold (G–L) in rat subcutaneous tissue at 10 days. Rectangles (b, c, e, f, h, and i) in (A), (D), and (G) are enlarged in (B), (C), (E), (F), (H), and (I), respectively. Cell and tissue ingrowth behavior was remarkable in 1 µg/ml GO scaffold. H&E staining. (J–L) In vivo assessment of each scaffold for number of giant cells, tissue ingrowth area, and DNA content (N=6, mean ± SD). *P<0.05. Original magnification (A, D, G) 3×, (B, C, E, F, H, I) 50×.

Abbreviations: Ctrl, control; GO, graphene oxide; H&E, hematoxylin–eosin; SD, standard deviation.

Figure 5 (Continued)
Figure 5 Immunohistochemical observation of GO scaffold.

Notes: Immunofluorescence micrographs of macrophages, fibroblasts, and blood vessel cryostat sections stained (in red) with mouse anti-CD68 (ED-1) (A, E, I, M), mouse anti-CD163 (ED-2) (B, F, J, N), mouse anti-P4hB (C, G, K, O), and mouse ASMA (D, H, L, P) for 1 µg/ml GO scaffold (A–D) and control (E–H) implanted in rat subcutaneous tissue at 10 days and 1 µg/ml GO scaffold (I–L) and control (M–P) at 35 days. Infiltration of ED2-positive cells and ASMA-positive arterioles was more remarkable in the GO scaffold than in the collagen scaffold.

Abbreviations: Ctrl, control; GO, graphene oxide; P4hB, prolyl-4-hydroxylase beta; ASMA, anti-α-smooth muscle actin.

Figure 6 Peroxidase staining of GO scaffold.

Notes: Peroxidase-stained activity for granulocytes in implanted 1 µg/ml GO scaffold (A) and control (B) in rat subcutaneous tissue at 10 days and 1 µg/ml GO scaffold (C) and control (D) at 35 days. Peroxidase-positive granulocytes (arrows) were detected slightly around the GO scaffold. Double arrows indicate the implanted scaffold.

Original magnification (A, B, C, D) 25×.

Abbreviation: GO, graphene oxide.
Implantation of GO scaffold to extraction sockets in dogs

The postoperative healing process appeared to progress well in all four dogs examined (Figure 7A and B). The socket applied with GO scaffold showed increased radiopacity at 2 weeks postsurgery (Figure 7C and D). Histological specimens revealed that GO scaffold application promoted the formation of new bone in the socket (Figure 8A–C). GO aggregation was frequently found in newly formed bone, bone marrow, and connective tissue (Figure 8B and C). New bone formation was slight in the implantation of control scaffold, where most of the socket was filled with connective tissue (Figure 8D and E). The rate of new bone formation in GO-applied specimens was markedly higher than that in control subjects. Mean values for new bone of GO scaffold were approximately fivefold greater than in control (Figure 8F).

Discussion

The results of this study demonstrated that surface modification by GO can provide remarkable bioactivity to 3D scaffolds produced from collagen. Particularly, in vitro cell proliferation was strongly upregulated, as were in vivo cell and blood vessel ingrowth effects. Ordinarily, scaffolds for tissue engineering require exhibition of biocompatible morphology and properties, as well as porous structure and physical strength.37 In addition, the surface morphology of
the interface between cells and biomaterials strongly affects the cell behavior in terms of cell migration, proliferation, and differentiation. The application of nanoparticle-modified structures might increase the surface area and subsequent signaling molecule adsorption, thereby improving cell behaviors. The present SEM observation in 1 μg/mL GO scaffold demonstrated that wrinkling structures covered by GO sheets were formed frequently on the collagen strut of the scaffold. The GO sheet possesses many functional groups including hydroxyl (OH), epoxy (C–O–C), and carboxyl (COOH) species on its surface. Therefore, GO can adsorb some biomolecules to improve their chemical and biological properties. In fact, GO-applied scaffold was able to adsorb both acidic protein (albumin) and basic protein (lysozyme) in the protein adsorption test. Therefore, various biological molecules supplied by FBS can be retained on the GO surface and can provide cytocompatibility effects for MC3T3-E1 cell infiltration and proliferation in the scaffold. Ryoo et al reported that focal adhesion of NIH-3T3 fibroblastic cells on the GO substrate surface was promoted and that an attachment protein, vinculin, was expressed abundantly on the cells by the GO. They thus concluded that the GO surface is biocompatible.

Actually, GO application stimulated cell and tissue ingrowth in rat subcutaneous tissues. Macrophages are known to secrete various cytokines that stimulate granulation tissue formation and that frequently reconstruct bone tissue. Immuno/immunohistochemical assessment of 1 μg/mL GO scaffolds at 10 and 35 days after implantation revealed enhanced infiltration of macrophages expressing ED-1, fibroblasts expressing P4HB, and neutrophils in the scaffold. That result suggests that GO induces scaffold degradation, reflecting phagocytosis by macrophages, and that it causes the subsequent production of extracellular matrix such as collagen. Furthermore, the 1 μg/mL GO scaffold actively caused formation of blood vessels, including arterioles with ASMA-positive smooth muscle cells. Consequently, GO application is expected to stimulate angiogenesis to provide oxygen and nutrition for tissue remodeling. Lucas et al reported that activated macrophages controlled the natural sequence of repair event in wound healing. Activated macrophages are classified into activated macrophages (M1 macrophage) and wound-healing macrophages (M2 macrophage). The latter are associated with immunosuppression and with tissue repair and remodeling, playing critical roles in the resolution of inflammatory responses. In this study, ED2-positive...
M2 macrophages were remarkably abundant in 1 µg/mL GO scaffold at 10 and 35 days postsurgery in contrast to the control material, which scarcely contained ED2-positive macrophages, suggesting that GO application to the scaffold enhances tissue repair via macrophage recruitment.

The examinations of the physical properties of the scaffold presented herein revealed that GO application reinforced compressive strength and collagenase resistance by the wrapping of scaffold collagen fibers with GO nanofilms and by the deep infiltration of GO into collagen scaffold. The GO coating layer has been shown to enhance the collagen scaffold stability because of attractive force attributable to its nanoscale distance. In bone regenerative therapy, the mechanical stiffness of the scaffold played an important role in maintaining a tissue-reconstructive inner space for osteogenic cells such as osteoblasts. Although regenerative scaffolds should be designed to provide a highly porous structure for stimulating tissue ingrowth, higher porosity generally causes lower mechanical strength. In this study, the GO scaffold porosity was equivalent to that of control materials. A SEM image of the 1 µg/mL GO revealed an interconnected porous structure with high porosity. Therefore, regenerative cells were able to infiltrate into the scaffold. In histological findings of rat subcutaneous tissue, 1 µg/mL GO scaffold maintained space for tissue reconstruction. Moreover, the 0.1 µg/mL GO scaffold was compressed as in the control. Although a previous study revealed that high-dose application of GO (10 µg/mL) to the scaffold strongly promoted the scaffold mechanical strength, it also inhibited cell infiltration into the scaffold. Wang et al reported that GO exhibited remarkable cytotoxicity that included decreasing cell adhesion and induction of cell apoptosis. GO induced the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) to decrease cell viability. Therefore, it seems likely that ROS production resulting from an overdose of GO increases cytotoxicity. In vivo degradation of scaffold applied with 10 µg/mL GO was inactive, suggesting that its residue remained in the tissue for a long time and that exposure of the residue increased the risk of infection and inhibitory effects on tissue engineering. Accordingly, we speculate that GO application at low doses (1 µg/mL) eliminated adverse effects of ROS and stimulated tissue response as a catalytic effect.

Bone formation assessment of dog extraction sockets revealed that providing a GO scaffold promoted bone induction significantly, suggesting that GO scaffold had positive effects on osteoblastic cell responses in addition to improving their physical properties. On the basis of the results of subcutaneous experiments in rats, GO scaffold was found to conceivably guide cells of various types related to bone induction from tissues surrounding the extraction socket. Subsequently, the production of extracellular matrix and circulation induced by angiogenesis might occur in the GO scaffold. Early regenerative tissue accumulation might precede epithelial tissue invasion into the socket. An earlier study revealed that GO application enhanced the bioactivities of bone marrow stromal cells, such as proliferation, alkaline phosphatase activity, and expression of Wnt signaling pathway associated with osteogenic differentiation. In addition, many functional groups on the GO surface are well suited to interaction with cations and anions, thereby enhancing Ca adsorption. We speculate that the Ca adsorption of GO scaffold was enhanced by graphite intercalation, ie, insertion of Ca between GO nanolayers. Results show that Ca ion stimulated the expression of osseous markers in osteoblastic cells, stimulated alkaline phosphatase activity, and adjusted the in vivo environment for bone generation. As demonstrated in this study, Ca accumulation on the surface of GO scaffold might provide a favorable environment for bone tissue formation. It is expected that GO scaffold is beneficial for future clinical applications in the disease models such as periodontal disease and bone metastasis. Further studies are necessary to assess the potential for osseous regeneration and augmentation of GO scaffolds.

**Conclusion**

Effects of GO application to a 3D collagen scaffold were examined in vitro and in vivo. The results show that GO application improved the physical properties of collagen scaffold, such as compressive strength, enzyme resistance, and adsorption of Ca and proteins. Osteoblastic MC3T3-E1 cell proliferation was remarkable on the GO-applied scaffold. Therefore, GO scaffolds are expected to be useful for future clinical applications in the disease models such as periodontal disease and bone metastasis.
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