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P53- and mevalonate pathway–driven malignancies require Arf6 for metastasis and drug resistance
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Drug resistance, metastasis, and a mesenchymal transcriptional program are central features of aggressive breast tumors. The GTPase Arf6, often overexpressed in tumors, is critical to promote epithelial–mesenchymal transition and invasiveness. The metabolic mevalonate pathway (MVP) is associated with tumor invasiveness and known to prenylate proteins, but which prenylated proteins are critical for MVP-driven cancers is unknown. We show here that MVP requires the Arf6-dependent mesenchymal program. The MVP enzyme geranylgeranyl transferase II (GGT-II) and its substrate Rab11b are critical for Arf6 trafficking to the plasma membrane, where it is activated by receptor tyrosine kinases. Consistently, mutant p53, which is known to support tumorigenesis via MVP, promotes Arf6 activation via GGT-II and Rab11b. Inhibition of MVP and GGT-II blocked invasion and metastasis and reduced cancer cell resistance against chemotherapy agents, but only in cells overexpressing Arf6 and components of the mesenchymal program. Overexpression of Arf6 and mesenchymal proteins as well as enhanced MVP activity correlated with poor patient survival. These results provide insights into the molecular basis of MVP-driven malignancy.

Introduction

The mevalonate pathway (MVP) has long been recognized as an excellent target of cancer therapeutics, partly as a result of its activity to prenylate and hence activate small GTPases, including members of the Ras and Rho family, which are key players in oncogenesis and cancer malignancy (Goldstein and Brown, 1990). MVP is involved in a wide variety of aspects of cell regulation under both normal and disease conditions (Yeganeh et al., 2014). Statins are inhibitors of hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA reductase (HMGCR), a rate-limiting enzyme of MVP, and were originally developed to lower cholesterol levels, such as in cardiovascular disease (Endo et al., 1976). However, in spite of such potentials of statins, which inhibit the activities of Ras and Rho family members, many clinical trials showed that statins on their own or even in combination with other drugs or with radiation therapy did not always effectively treat cancers (Jukema et al., 2012; Yeganeh et al., 2014; Altawirgi, 2015). Therefore, the general functions of MVP, which may play roles in most cancer cells, might not be crucial for promoting cancer malignancy. However, MVP inhibitors might still become very effective therapeutics for cancer if they are combined with biomarkers to identify the “responders” (see the last paragraph of Introduction and the last paragraph of Discussion).

An example of the critical roles played by MVP in breast cancer was recently shown in detail. Gain-of-function mutants of p53 may interact with the sterol regulatory element-binding proteins SREBP-1 and SREBP-2 to up-regulate the transcription of genes involved in fatty acid and sterol biosynthetic pathways, including MVP (Freed-Pastor et al., 2012). Through the up-regulation of MVP activity, mutant p53 enhances the invasiveness of breast cancer cells, such as MDA-MB-231 (Freed-Pastor et al., 2012), which expresses R280K mutant p53 and has lost the other TP53 allele (Wasielewski et al., 2006). However, although MDA-MB-468 cells also express mutant p53 (R273H), which up-regulates MVP, the up-regulation of MVP by mutant p53 in this cell line did not promote invasiveness but only disrupted the cell morphology (Freed-Pastor et al., 2012). These results clearly demonstrate that MVP, as well as its enhanced activity, can be linked to cancer invasiveness, although this link seems to depend on cell contexts, even within the same type of cancer. However, the detailed molecular mechanisms by which
certain breast cancer cells respond to the enhanced activity of MVP to promote invasiveness remain unidentified.

The small GTPase Arf6 and its signaling proteins are frequently overexpressed in different types of cancers, such as breast cancer, clear cell renal cell carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and constitute a pathway promoting invasion and metastasis by down-regulating E-cadherin–based cell–cell adhesion and up-regulating recycling of β1 integrins (Hashimoto et al., 2004a, 2006, 2016; Onodera et al., 2005; Morishige et al., 2008; Menju et al., 2011; Kinoshita et al., 2013; Sato et al., 2014). In this pathway, Arf6 is activated by receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), such as EGF receptor (EGFR) via GEP100 (also called BRAG2) in breast cancer cells (Morishige et al., 2008), whereas Arf6 is activated by lysophosphatidic acid receptors via EFA6 in clear cell renal cancer cells (ccRCCs; Hashimoto et al., 2016). Activated Arf6 then recruits AMAP1 (Onodera et al., 2005). We have recently identified that EPB41L5, which is expressed exclusively in mesenchymal cells under normal conditions and acts to promote cell motility and focal adhesion dynamics (Hirano et al., 2008), is an integral binding partner of AMAP1 for invasion and metastasis (unpublished data). Thus, this Arf6-based pathway is a cancer-specific mesenchymal-type signaling pathway. It should be noted that Arf6 is acylated but is not prenylated and hence is not a direct target of the MVP activity.

Possibly related to the results by Freed-Pastor et al. (2012), it should be noted that MDA-MB-231 cells overexpress Arf6 and its signaling components, including the mesenchymal-specific EPB41L5, and use them for invasion and metastasis, whereas MDA-MB-468 cells express these molecules at marginal levels (Hashimoto et al., 2004a; Onodera et al., 2005). Moreover, several studies have shown that the acquisition of mesenchymal properties by cancer cells, particularly breast cancer cells, is significantly associated with their resistance to therapeutic drugs (Tryndyak et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2013; Fischer et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2015), although the underlying molecular mechanisms remain unidentified. Here, we investigated whether MVP activity is involved in activation of the Arf6-based mesenchymal pathway, with the aim to identify a key link between MVP and cancer malignancy. For this purpose, we primarily used breast cancer cells, in which the roles of MVP and the overexpressed Arf6 pathway, as well as the acquisition of mesenchymal properties in the development of malignancy (particularly drug resistance), have been well documented. Our results revealed the molecular basis as to why MVP inhibitors are highly effective against only a certain population of cancer cells and proposed biomarkers that might be useful for identifying cancer cells that are highly sensitive to the inhibition of MVP activity, such as by statins.

Results

TGFβ1 activates Arf6 via trans-activating c-Met to promote invasiveness

Both the activity of MVP up-regulated by mutant p53 and the Arf6-based pathway activated by RTKs were reported to be crucial for the enhanced invasiveness of MDA-MB-231 cells, as mentioned in the second and third paragraphs of the Introduction. Moreover, TGFβ1 signaling was also pivotal for the enhanced invasiveness of this cell line (Adorno et al., 2009). To understand the precise roles of MVP in this enhanced invasiveness, we first investigated the association of these events and found that TGFβ1 activates Arf6 in MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 1 A), as assessed by the GGA pull-down assay. siRNA-mediated Arf6 silencing abolished the TGFβ1-induced invasion (Fig. 1 B and Fig. S1 A). Silencing of GEP100 also blocked Arf6 activation and cell invasion, and silencing of AMAP1 blocked the invasion (Fig. 1, B and C; and Fig. S1 A). Cell viability was not notably affected under these conditions (Fig. S1 B). Therefore, TGFβ1 signaling appears to use the Arf6 pathway to promote the invasiveness of MDA-MB-231 cells.

The TGFβ1 receptor is not a member of the RTKs, although TGFβ may trans-activate some RTKs (Uchiyama-Tanaka et al., 2002). c-Met (hepatocyte growth factor [HGF] receptor) was clearly tyrosine phosphorylated by TGFβ1 in MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 1 D), and silencing of c-Met blocked TGFβ1-induced Arf6 activation and cell invasion (Fig. 1, E and F; and Fig. S1, C and J). HGF on its own was able to activate Arf6 and cell invasion, whereas these activations were abolished by the silencing of GEP100 (Fig. 1, B and C). AMAP1 silencing also blocked HGF-induced cell invasion (Fig. 1 B). However, TGFβ1 only marginally activated EGFR in these cells (Fig. S1 D). Consistently, the c-Met inhibitor PHA665752 blocked TGFβ1-induced Arf6 activation and cell invasion without affecting cell viability (Fig. 1, E and F; and Fig. S1, I and J). We also confirmed that c-Met and GEP100 are coprecipitated with Gab1 upon HGF stimulation (Fig. S1 K) and that the pleckstrin homology (PH) domain of GEP100, fused to GST, precipitated Gab1 and c-Met upon TGFβ1 stimulation (Fig. S1 L). Therefore, it is likely that via the trans-activation of c-Met, TGFβ1 activates Arf6 in MDA-MB-231 cells.

Mutant p53 is critical for the activation of Arf6 but not c-Met

We then analyzed the association between mutant p53 and ligand-induced Arf6 activation. Silencing of mutant p53 in MDA-MB-231 cells (resulting in shp53 cells) was shown to block TGFβ1-induced invasion (Adorno et al., 2009). We previously generated shp53 cells expressing normal p53 (shp53/wild-type [wt] cells), as well as shp53 cells expressing the oncogenic p53 mutants R175H, R249S, and R273H and the rescue construct of [wt] cells, as well as shp53 cells expressing the oncogenic p53 mutants R175H, R249S, and R273H and the rescue construct of [wt] cells (Fig. S1 A). Silencing of GEP100 abolished the TGFβ1-induced invasion (Fig. 1 B). However, TGFβ1 only marginally activated EGFR in these cells (Fig. S1 D). Consistently, the c-Met inhibitor PHA665752 blocked TGFβ1-induced Arf6 activation and cell invasion without affecting cell viability (Fig. 1, E and F; and Fig. S1, I and J). We also confirmed that c-Met and GEP100 are coprecipitated with Gab1 upon HGF stimulation (Fig. S1 K) and that the pleckstrin homology (PH) domain of GEP100, fused to GST, precipitated Gab1 and c-Met upon TGFβ1 stimulation (Fig. S1 L). Therefore, it is likely that via the trans-activation of c-Met, TGFβ1 activates Arf6 in MDA-MB-231 cells.
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Other breast cancer cell lines, such as MDA-MB-435s and Hs578T, also overexpress components of the Arf6-based pathway (Hashimoto et al., 2004a; Onodera et al., 2005). These cell lines express distinct types of mutant p53 proteins (Wasielewski et al., 2006). Silencing their mutant p53s (shown again as shp53 cells) almost completely blocked HGF-induced Arf6 activation (Fig. 2 D). However, unlike MDA-MB-231 cells, silencing of their mutant p53s only partially blocked their HGF-induced Matrigel invasion activities (Fig. 2 E), which was consistent with our previous observation that these cells are not exclusively dependent on Arf6 for invasion (Hashimoto et al., 2004a; Onodera et al., 2005). These results indicate that different mutant p53 proteins are involved in ligand-induced Arf6 activation in different breast cancer cells to enhance invasiveness.

**MVP is necessary for Arf6 activation**

We next investigated the association between MVP and ligand-induced Arf6 activation. Simvastatin, Mevastatin, or 6-fluoromevalonate, an inhibitor of mevalonate pyrophosphate decarboxylase, all blocked the invasive phenotypes of MDA-MB-231 cells (Freed-Pastor et al., 2012). We found that these inhibitors block TGFβ1-induced Arf6 activation and invasion (Fig. 3, A and B) without affecting c-Met trans-activation (Fig. 3 C) or cell viability (Fig. S2 E). The readdition of mevalonic acid (MVA) and MVA-phosphate restores Arf6 activation and invasion (Fig. 3, A and B). HGF-induced Arf6 activation of MDA-MB-435s cells and Hs578T cells was also blocked by Simvastatin (Fig. 3 D). Therefore, MVP appears to be crucial for ligand-induced Arf6 activation in different breast cancer cells.

**Mutant p53 and geranylgeranyl transferase II (GGT-II) are crucial for the plasma membrane (PM) recruitment of Arf6**

We then sought to understand the precise mechanisms by which MVP as well as mutant p53 is involved in Arf6 activation. GDP-Arf6 is predominantly localized at the cytoplasm and is recruited to the PM during its activation by RTKs (Hashimoto et al., 2004b). We noticed that the PM recruitment of Arf6 upon TGFβ1 treatment is substantially impaired in shp53 cells and shp53/wt cells, as compared with their parental cells (Fig. 3, E and F). However, inhibition of MVP is known to substantially alter cell morphology (Freed-Pastor et al., 2012); hence, we were unable to determine whether MVP is crucial for the PM recruitment of Arf6 using statins.

To verify the involvement of MVP, we then identified the enzymes involved. GGT and farnesyl transferase act under MVP. Inhibition of GGT by GGTI-2133 blocked the invasive phenotypes of MDA-MB-231 cells, whereas the inhibition of farnesyl transferase by FTI-277 did so only slightly (Freed-Pastor et al., 2012). Consistently, GGTI-2133, but not FTI-277, effectively blocked the ligand-induced Arf6 activation of MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-435s, and Hs578T cells (Fig. 3 G). GGT consists of two isoforms, GGT-I and GGT-II. Silencing of GGT-II blocked Arf6 activation and cell invasion, whereas silencing of GGT-I only blocked the invasion (Fig. 3, H and I). Cell viability was not affected by these siRNA treatments (Fig. S2, F and G). Moreover, silencing of GGT-II, but not GGT-I, blocked the PM recruitment of Arf6 induced by TGFβ1 (Fig. 3, J and K; and Fig. S2, H and I). Therefore, GGT-II appears to be crucial for the PM recruitment of Arf6 and hence for Arf6 activation by external ligands.
Rab11 with Arf6 to play essential roles in the PM recruitment of Arf6

Arf6 on its own cannot determine the destination of its own intracellular trafficking. Likewise, the functional cooperation of Arf6 with other small GTPases has been well documented (Grant and Donaldson, 2009). We identified molecules acting downstream of GGT-II to recruit Arf6 to the PM. GGT-II is involved in the lipid modification of the Rab family small GTPases, whereas GGT-I is involved in the lipid modification of Rap-GTPases (Wiemer et al., 2011). Screening of a Rab-GTPase siRNA library revealed the possible involvement of Rab3b, Rab5c, Rab7b, Rab11a, and Rab11b in the invasion of MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. S3 A). Rab5c was previously shown to be essential for invasion but not for Arf6 recruitment (Onodera et al., 2012). After confirming positive roles of those Rab proteins in invasion (Fig. 4 A and Fig. S3, B and C), we found that Rab11a and Rab11b, but not Rab3b or Rab7b, are required for Arf6 activation upon stimulation by TGFβ1, HGF, and EGF in MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 4 B). Moreover, silencing of both Rab11a and Rab11b blocked Arf6 activation almost completely (Fig. 4 B and Fig. S3 C). Therefore, it is likely that Rab11a and Rab11b are able to independently contribute to Arf6 activation. However, although Rab11c (also called Rab25) is a Rab11 family member, it was not expressed at detectable levels in the breast cancer cell lines that we examined, including MDA-MB-231 (Fig. S4 A).

Like Arf6, the functions of Rab11 are associated with the recycling of endosomes (Stenmark, 2009). Using fluorescence protein tags, we then found that Rab11b is colocalized with Arf6 to a certain extent in the cytoplasm and that Rab11a is also colocalized with Arf6, but to a lesser extent than Rab11b (Fig. 4, C and D). We confirmed the colocalization of endogenous Rab11b with Arf6-EGFP using an antibody applicable to the detection of Rab11b in fixed cells (Fig. 4 E). Silencing of Rab11a and Rab11b each blocked the PM recruitment of Arf6 upon TGFβ1 stimulation to a certain extent (Fig. 4, F and G).
Figure 3. Crucial roles of MVP, GGT-II, and mutant p53 in Arf6 activation. (A–C) Arf6 activation (A), Matrigel invasion (B), and c-Met activation (C) upon TGFβ1 stimulation were measured using MDA-MB-231 cells preincubated with the indicated inhibitors or DMSO for 16 h. Matrigel invading cells were quantified as described in the Matrigel invasion assay section within Materials and methods (n = 3). (D) HGF-induced Arf6 activation was measured in MDA-MB-435s cells and Hs578T cells preincubated with Simvastatin or DMSO for 16 h. (E and F) Subcellular localization of Arf6-EGFP, expressed in MDA-MB-231 cells, and their p53 derivatives was examined before (−) and after (+) 5 min TGFβ1 stimulation, together with the visualization of F-actin using Alexa Fluor 647–conjugated phalloidin (E). Relative amounts of Arf6-EGFP localized at the cell periphery before and after the stimulation (F) were estimated from >10 cells as described in the Immunofluorescence microscopy section within Materials and methods (n = 2). (G–K) Ligand-induced Arf6 activation was measured in breast cancer cells, as indicated, and preincubated with or without 2 µM FTI-277 or GGTI-2133 for 16 h (G). TGFβ1-induced Arf6 activation (H), Matrigel invasion (I), and PM recruitment of Arf6 (J and K) were measured in MDA-MB-231 cells pretreated with siRNAs for GGTI, GGTII, or Irr, as indicated. Matrigel invasion activities were measured as in B (n = 3), and PM recruitment of Arf6 was estimated as in F (n = 2). Representative images are shown from two independent experiments in which >10 cells were examined in each experiment. Simvastatin + MVA/MVAP, cells incubated with mevalonate and MVA 5-phosphate for 6 h before addition of Simvastatin. The results represent mean ± SEM. *, P < 0.001. Bars, 10 µm.
We also performed time-lapse fluorescence image recording and found that Rab11b is transported together with Arf6 in the cytoplasm before and after stimulation, although Rab11b appeared to separate from Arf6 before Arf6 reaches the PM (Fig. S3 D and Video 1). We confirmed that the colocalization of Rab11b with Arf6 was unaffected, even when different tags were used for each of these small GTPases (Fig. S3 E). We furthermore confirmed that these tagged proteins are not excessively overexpressed by performing immunoblotting analysis (Fig. S3 F).

Using a Triton X114-based fractionation method with MDA-MB-231 cells, we confirmed that Simvastatin dramatically increases the amounts of HA-Rab11b (wt) recovered within the soluble fraction (S), whereas HA-Rab11b in these cells was recovered mostly within the detergent-insoluble membrane fraction (M; Fig. 4 H). Under this condition, a nongeranylgeranylated mutant of HA-Rab11b (C214A/C215A) was exclusively recovered within the soluble fraction (Fig. 4 H). Silencing of GGT-II, but not GGT-I, blocked the association of HA-Rab11b with the membrane fraction (Fig. 4 I). Moreover, significant amounts of Rab11b were recovered within the soluble fraction in shp53 cells and shp53/wt cells compared with the parental cells (Fig. 4 J). Furthermore, the originally known function of wt p53 to suppress SREBP activity appeared to be recaptured in our results, in which higher amounts of Rab11b...
were recovered in the soluble fraction in shp53/wt cells than in shp53 cells (Fig. 4 J). These results show that MVP and GGT-II are essential for the function of Rab11b and also support the notion that mutant p53 acts to increase the amounts of functional Rab11b proteins, whereas wt p53 acts to limit Rab11b activity and hence limit the activation of Arf6 by external ligands.

**MVP and Rab11b are essential for Arf6-driven metastasis**

We then validated the requirement for Rab11b in metastasis. The shRNA-mediated silencing of Rab11b in MDA-MB-231 cells, expressing a firefly luciferase reporter, effectively blocked lung metastasis in nude mice, in which the cells were originally injected into tail veins (Fig. 6, A and B; and Fig. S4, E and F). These results indicate that MVP and Rab11b proteins, whereas wt p53 acts to limit Rab11b activity and hence limit the activation of Arf6 by external ligands.

**Mutant p53 does not affect lipid rafts**

MVP leads to the biosynthesis of cholesterol, which, together with other lipids such as sphingolipids, forms discrete heterogenous microdomains termed lipid rafts. Increased amounts of lipid rafts, as well as increased lipid raft localization of EGFR, have been implicated in cancer malignancy (Li et al., 2006; Irwin et al., 2011). However, mutant p53 did not appear to be responsible for the enhanced lipid raft localization of these RTKs, nor for the increased amounts of lipid rafts and total cellular cholesterol, at least in MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. S3, G and H).

**Requirement of MVP and GGT-II in Arf6 activation originates from a normal cell context**

To clarify whether the requirement for Rab11b, as well as for MVP and GGT-II, in Arf6 activation is specific only to some breast cancer cells, we next examined whether these requirements also exist in normal mammary epithelial cells. We used HMLE cells, which are immortalized normal mammary epithelial cells (Elenbaas et al., 2001). The culture medium for these cells contains a basal amount of EGF to maintain their viability, which prevented us from starving the cells of EGF. We instead found that silencing of Rab11b greatly reduces Arf6 activity and causes the cytoplasmic redistribution of Arf6 in HMLE cells, even in the presence of EGF (Fig. 5, A and B). Silencing of Rab11a also significantly reduced Arf6 activity, although to a lesser extent than that by Rab11b silencing (Fig. 5 A). HMLE cells were found to express Rab11c (Fig. S4 A). Silencing of Rab11c also reduced Arf6 activity, again to a lesser extent than that by Rab11b silencing (Fig. 5 A). Therefore, although all Rab11 family members appear to be involved in the processes activating Arf6, Rab11b appears to play the major role in HMLE cells. We also confirmed that cell viability was not affected by the silencing of these mRNAs (Fig. S4, B–D). Moreover, a similar cytoplasmic redistribution of Arf6 was observed by treatment of HMLE cells with Simvastatin (Fig. 5 C). Therefore, the requirement for MVP and Rab11b in Arf6 activation is likely to occur also in a normal cell context.

**Blocking the Arf6 pathway effectively decreases the drug resistance of breast cancer cells**

As mentioned earlier, the expression of mesenchymal genes in breast cancer cells is closely associated with their acquisition of drug resistance. The Arf6 pathway includes mesenchymal-specific EPB41L5, which is expressed in MDA-MB-231 cells and MDA-MB-435s cells at high levels (unpublished data). A fundamental function of EPB41L5 is to down-regulate E-cadherin (Hirano et al., 2008); the down-regulation of E-cadherin is a hallmark feature that leads to anoikis resistance and may thereby also lead to the drug resistance of cancer cells (Frisch et al., 2013). We hence investigated whether EPB41L5, as well as the Arf6 pathway, contributes to the drug resistance of breast cancer cells. Gemcitabine is a cytidine analogue, and Temsirolimus is an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin activity (Maring et al., 2005; Grunt and Mariani, 2013). We found that knockdown of EPB41L5 by its specific siRNAs significantly improves the sensitivities of MDA-MB-231 cells and MDA-MB-435s cells to Gemcitabine and Temsirolimus (Fig. 7, A and B). We then found that Simvastatin also significantly improves the drug sensitivities of these cells (Fig. 7, C and D). Such effects were observed at concentrations of 10 nM or lower for Simvastatin, as well as for Gemcitabine and Temsirolimus. However, such improvement by Simvastatin was not at all observed in MDA-MB-468 cells and MCF7 cells (Fig. S5, A and B), which do not overexpress components of the Arf6 pathway (Hashimoto et al., 2004a; Onodera et al., 2005). The expression of EPB41L5 in these cells is almost undetectable (unpublished data). Furthermore, the silencing of GGT-II and Rab11b each also enhanced the drug sensitivities of MDA-MB-231 cells and MDA-MB-435s cells but not MDA-MB-468 cells and MCF7 cells (Fig. 7, A and B; and Fig. S5, C and D), even though MDA-MB-468 cells and MCF7 cells expressed GGT-II and Rab11b at levels comparable to those in MDA-MB-231 cells and MDA-MB-435s cells (Fig. S5, E and F). These results indicated that the Arf6-based mesenchymal pathway may significantly contribute to the promotion of the drug resistance of breast cancer cells. Consistent with this notion, these results demonstrated that statins, as well as blocking the activities of GGT-II and Rab11b, can effectively decrease the drug resistance of breast cancer cells if they overexpress components of the Arf6-based mesenchymal pathway.

**MVP and the Arf6 pathway cooperatively promote the poor outcome of patients**

We finally investigated the clinical relevance of the possible cooperation between MVP and the Arf6 pathway in breast cancer malignancy. Overexpression of HMGCGR (encoding HMGCGR) in primary breast tumors statistically correlates with the poor overall survival of patients (Freed-Pastor et al., 2012). We previously analyzed the Cancer Genome Atlas RNASeq dataset on primary breast tumors (n = 970; Cancer Genome Atlas Network, 2012) and showed that the simultaneous high expression of mRNAs (top 33%) of all components of the Arf6 pathway (i.e., mRNAs for GEP100, Arf6, AMAP1, EPB41L5, and EGFR and/or c-Met) correlates with the poor overall survival of patients with a p-value of 0.0419 (unpublished data). In the same dataset, high expression of HMGCGR (top 33%)
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indeed correlated with poor overall survival (Fig. 7E). We then found that co-overexpression of HMGCR with mRNAs for all components of the Arf6 pathway exhibits a stronger correlation (Fig. 7F). Moreover, high expression of EPB41L5 (top 33%) on its own was previously found to sufficiently correlate with poor overall survival (p-value of 0.0242; unpublished data). Notably, co-overexpression of EPB41L5 and HMGCR tightly correlated with poor overall survival, with a much higher correlation value than high EPB41L5 expression or high HMGCR expression alone (Fig. 7G). Thus, consistent with our results obtained using cultured cells, overexpression of components of the Arf6-based mesenchymal pathway and enhancement of MVP activity appear to cooperatively promote breast cancer malignancy.

Discussion

In this paper, we show that MVP is essential for the activation of Arf6 by external ligands, particularly through the activity of GGT-II and its substrate Rab11 both in normal cells and cancer cells. However, components of the Arf6-based pathway are often abnormally overexpressed in different cancer cells, and
such overexpression is crucial to promote invasion and metastasis to be statistically associated with the poor outcome of patients (Hashimoto et al., 2004a, 2006, 2016; Onodera et al., 2005; Morishige et al., 2008; Menju et al., 2011; Kinoshita et al., 2013; Sato et al., 2014). Based on these facts, we here demonstrated that the newly discovered link of MVP to Arf6 activation is critical for malignancy of breast cancer cells that overexpress the Arf6 pathway. Like Arf6 and its signaling proteins, RTKs are also frequently overexpressed in different breast cancer cells, which is associated with the poor outcome of patients (Ocaña et al., 2013; Elster et al., 2015). It is hence conceivable that the enhancement of MVP activity, such as by mutant p53, greatly assists in the efficient activation of overexpressed Arf6 and its signaling pathway under enhanced RTK signaling through enhancement of the geranylgeranylation of Rab11, which then facilitates the intracellular trafficking of Arf6 proteins to the PM to be activated by RTKs.

Our results suggest that co-overexpression of EPB41L5 and HMGCR mRNAs provides a biomarker predictive for breast cancer cells that can be highly reactive to MVP inhibition. Immunohistochemical detection of their protein products might also show similar results, as these mRNAs appear structurally to belong to “strong” mRNAs that are immediately translated into proteins upon transcription (De Benedetti and Graff, 2004). The 5-yr survival rates of breast cancer patients have reached >80% in several medically advanced countries (Coleman et al., 2008). Interestingly, effects of the possible cooperation of enhanced MVP activity and the overexpressed Arf6 pathway appear to manifest 5 yr or so after the diagnosis (Fig. 7, F and G). Activities of MVP change dynamically under various physiological conditions, such as by cholesterol intake and estrogen levels (Goldstein and Brown, 1990; Faulds et al., 2012). However, TP53 mutations may account for only a small population of the high HMGCR expression group of primary breast tumors (Fig. S5, G and H), despite the fact that TP53 mutations are very frequent in breast cancer cells with highly aggressive phenotypes, i.e., the basal-like genotype cells (80%; Cancer Genome Atlas Network, 2012). In this regard, it should be noted that TP53 mutations are infrequent in other types of breast cancer cells, such as the luminal A genotype (12%) and the luminal B genotype (29%; Cancer Genome Atlas Network, 2012). Thus, it would be interesting to investigate the types of...
nutritional as well as hormonal conditions of patients that may respond well to the inhibition of MVP and GGT-II. However, it should also be noted that animal experiments revealed that statins administrated orally selectively accumulate in the liver (Duggan et al., 1989; Vickers et al., 1990; Nezasa et al., 2002), whereas statins can be delivered to target organs by other methods, including intraarterial infusion, as well as direct infusion into mammary ducts in the case of breast cancer.

We recently found that ccRCCs also frequently overexpress components of the Arf6 pathway, overexpression which is tightly associated with the poor outcomes of patients (Hashimoto et al., 2016). In ccRCCs, however, Arf6 is activated by EFA6, but not GEP100, under G protein–coupled receptors (Hashimoto et al., 2016). Consistently, we found that statins were not highly effective in reducing the drug resistance of ccRCC cell lines (unpublished data). Moreover, we still do not know the precise molecular mechanisms as to how EPB41L5 and also the Arf6-based pathway enhance the drug resistance of cancer cells. It is possible that the involvement of EPB41L5 and the Arf6 pathway, as well as the enhanced activities of MVP promoting Arf6 activation, in drug resistance depends on the cell context. The degree of involvement might also vary depending on the types of cancers and on the different genomic mutations in different cancer cells. Therefore, a detailed understanding of these mechanisms will be necessary to further ensure the effective use of MVP inhibitors in clinical settings.

In conclusion, our results suggested that blocking the activities of MVP, particularly blocking GGT-II, may effectively...
kill cancer cells that overexpress the Arf6-based mesenchymal pathway (i.e., the responders) when appropriately combined with other therapeutics and perhaps also with patient particular conditions and genome status of cancer cells. Blocking these enzymatic activities of the responder cells on their own may also effectively block their motility and invasiveness. Furthermore, consistent with the reported functions of statins (Yeganeh et al., 2014), such blockage is expected to effectively inhibit tumor angiogenesis, as overexpressed Arf6 and AMAP1 are also essential for this process (Hashimoto et al., 2011). We hence propose that clinical usefulness of statins for cancer therapy should be reevaluated using the biomarkers that we have identified in this paper to be predictive of the responders of cancer cells. In addition to statins, GGT-II inhibitors that can be administered safely to humans may have high potential to be developed as cancer therapeutics (Berndt et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2015), although to date, the development of safe GGT-II inhibitors has been unsuccessful because of the serious side effects of the conventional method of GGT inhibition.

Materials and methods

Cell lines
MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-435s, Hs578T, MDA-MB-468, and MCF7 cells were obtained from ATCC. MDA-MB-231 cells were maintained in a 1:1 mixture of DMEM (Invitrogen)/RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen), supplemented with 10% FCS (HyClone) and 5% NU serum (BD). MDA-MB-435s, Hs578T, MDA-MB-468, and MCF7 cells were maintained as instructed by ATCC. HEK293T FT cells were purchased from Invitrogen and maintained according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Plat-E cells were a gift from T. Kitamura (Tokyo University, Tokyo, Japan) and maintained in DMEM containing 10% FCS. HMLE cells were a gift from R.A. Weinberg (Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA) and cultured in mammary epithelial cell growth medium (Lonza). No antibiotics were used in our cell cultures to avoid contamination with mycoplasma.

To generate MDA-MB-231 cells stably expressing Arf6-EGFP or Arf6-mCherry, cells were retrovirally transduced with pCX4-blast/Arf6-EGFP or pCX4-blast/Arf6-mCherry and selected with 20 µg/ml G418 (Geneticin; Sigma-Aldrich) or puromycin. siRNA duplexes were chemically synthesized and purified by Japan BioService.

To create MDA-MB-231 cells expressing Arf6-EGFP or Arf6-mCherry, cells were retrovirally transduced with pCX4-blast/Arf6-EGFP or pCX4-blast/Arf6-mCherry and selected with 20 µg/ml Blasticidin S (Invitrogen). For ligand stimulation, cells were prestarved for FCS for 16 h and then incubated with 2 ng/ml TGFβ1 (R&D Systems), 10 ng/ml HGF (PeproTech), or 10 ng/ml EGF (PeproTech) in the absence of FCS for the indicated times before being subjected to analyses.

Cell viabilities were measured using a cell counting kit (CCK-8; Dojindo) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Protein concentration of cell lysates was measured using a bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Chemicals
The following chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich: Simvastatin (S6196), Mevastatin (M2537), 6-fluoromevalonate (F2929), GGTI-2133 (G5294), FTI-277 (F9803), DL-mevalonolactone (M4667), and DL-MVA 5-phosphate (79849). Other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Wako Pure Chemical Industries, unless otherwise stated.

Antibodies and immunoblotting
Affinity-purified rabbit polyclonal antibodies against GEP100 and AMAP1 were as described previously (Onodera et al., 2005; Morishige et al., 2008). A rabbit polyclonal antibody against EPB41L5 was raised against a GST-fused peptide corresponding to amino acids 541–733 of EPB41L5. The resulting serum was adsorbed with GST and then affinity purified using the antigen peptide before use. Other antibodies were purchased from commercial sources as follows: mouse monoclonal antibodies against Arf6 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), p53 (Cell Signaling Technology), V5-tag (Invitrogen), Flotillin (BD), and β-actin (EMD Millipore); and rabbit polyclonal antibodies against GGT-I and GGT-II (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), Rab11b (immunoblotting, Cell Signaling Technology; immunostaining, Abgent), c-Met, Tyr1234/1235-phosphorylated c-Met, EGFR, Tyr1086-phosphorylated EGFR, Gab1, Tyr307-phosphorylated Gab1, Akt, Ser473-phosphorylated Akt, Smad2, Ser465/467-phosphorylated Smad2, and Rab11b (Cell Signaling Technology). Donkey antibodies against rabbit and mouse IgGs, each conjugated with horseradish peroxidase, were from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc. Immunoblotting analysis was performed using detection reagents (ECL Western; GE Healthcare) as described previously (Hashimoto et al., 2004a).

Plasmids
pEGFP-Rab11a and pmRFP-Rab11b were described previously (Matsui et al., 2011). The plasmid encoding Arf6-EGFP was constructed as follows. The NheI–NotI fragment of Arf6-EGFP isolated from the pEGFP-N1-Arf6 plasmid (Hashimoto et al., 2004a) was blunt and then ligated into the blunt EcoRI site of the pCX4-blast vector (a gift from T. Akagi, KAN Research Institute, Inc., Kobe, Japan). The plasmid encoding Arf6-mCherry was constructed as follows. The HindIII–NheI fragment of mCherry was isolated from the pmCherry-N1 vector (a gift from N. Mochizuki, National Cardiovascular Center Research Institute, Osaka, Japan) and inserted into the HindIII–NotI site of the pCX4-Arf6-EGFP plasmid that was digested with HindIII and NotI to remove the EGFP fragment. HA-Rab11b was generated by PCR and inserted into the BamHI sites of the pCX4 vector. HA-Rab11b C214A/C215A was obtained by PCR site-directed mutagenesis, using the plasmid HA-Rab11b as a template, and then blunt and ligated. Oligonucleotides used for the PCR reactions are shown in Table S1.

siRNA
siRNA-mediated gene silencing was performed as described previously (Hashimoto et al., 2004a; Morishige et al., 2008). In brief, cells were transfected with 50 nM siRNA oligonucleotide duplexes using Lipofectamine 2000 or Lipofectamine RNAi Max (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and incubated for 48 h, unless otherwise described, before being subjected to assay. Duplex oligonucleotides were chemically synthesized and purified by Japan BioService.

Two different sequences were used for each target, except for Arf6, GEP100, AMAP1, and EPB41L5, for which we have previously confirmed the specificity of the oligonucleotides (Hashimoto et al., 2004a; Onodera et al., 2005; Morishige et al., 2008). The nucleotide sequences used are shown in Table S2.

For Rab-RNAi screening, siRNA libraries (targeting 122 genes; Human siGENOME SMARTpool siRNA libraries for cell membrane trafficking; GE Healthcare) were used. An siRNA duplex with an irrelevant sequence (Ir; 5'-GCGCGCCUUUGAUAGGAUUCG-3') was also purchased from GE Healthcare.

p53 manipulation
For the stable silencing of endogenous mutant p53, pLKO.1-puro-based recombinant lentiviruses were generated according to the method described previously (Moffat et al., 2006). In brief, shRNAs against p53 were purchased from an shRNA library (TRCN00000342261; Sigma Mission; Sigma-Aldrich), and a control scramble shRNA in pLKO.1-puro (1864; Addgene) was transfected into 293FT cells.
together with the envelope plasmid pMD2.G (12259; Addgene) and the packaging plasmid psPAX2 (12260; Addgene) using Lipofectamine LTX (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 48 h after transfection, the cultured supernatants were harvested and filtered through 0.45-µm filters (Advantage), and the resultant lentivirus preparations were then applied onto target cells in the presence of 8 µg/ml Polybrene. After 24 h, 1.25 µg/ml puromycin was added to the culture for 1 wk to select infected cells.

For generation of cells stably expressing recombinant p53, pBabe-hygro vector-based retroviruses (Morgenstern and Land, 1990) were generated as follows. Plasmids encoding the V5-tagged normal p53 protein and mutant p53 proteins (R175H, R249S, R273H, and R280K) were purchased (22945, 22936, 22935, 22934, and 22933, respectively; Addgene). A cDNA encoding a trans-activation-deficient p53 protein (L22Q/W23S/W53Q/F54S; p53 txn-dead) was generated by PCR-based mutagenesis, and these mutations were then introduced into the R280K construct. The oligonucleotide primers that were used are listed in Table S1. DNA fragments encoding these p53 constructs were then each ligated into the Snal site of the pBabe-Hygro vector. Recombinant retroviruses were generated using Plat-E packaging cells and the pGP-Ampho and pE-Ampho plasmids (Takara Bio Inc.; Akagi et al., 2003). 24 h after the infection of these plasmids into cells, infected cells were selected by the addition of 200 µg/ml hygromycin (Wako Pure Chemical Industries) and 1.25 µg/ml puromycin. During these experiments, we observed that the expression of normal p53 in MDA-MB-231 cells using other vectors, such as the pLent6-based lentiviral vector (22945; Addgene) and the pcDNA vector (Invitrogen), caused immediate cell senescence or death.

**GST-GGA pull down and protein coprecipitation**
Arf6 activities were measured using the GST-GGA pull-down assay, as described previously (Morishige et al., 2008). In brief, cells were lysed on ice with GGA buffer (1% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 10% glycerol, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate [Na₃VO₄], 1 mM PMSF, 5 µg/ml aprotinin, 2 µg/ml leupeptin, and 3 µg/ml pepstatin A). Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 10 min. 300 µg of cell lysates were incubated with 50 µg GST-GGA bound to glutathione-Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) for 45 min. The beads were then washed three times with 1 ml GGA buffer; protein bound to the beads were eluted into 30 µl SDS sample buffer, separated by SDS-PAGE (15% gel), and subjected to immunoblotting using an Arf6 antibody. Total levels of Arf6 in the starting lysates were assayed by immunoblotting. Protein coprecipitation assays using appropriate antibodies were performed as described previously (Morishige et al., 2008). In brief, cells were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (1% Nonidet P-40, 1% deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM Na₃VO₄, 1 mM PMSF, 5 µg/ml aprotinin, 2 µg/ml leupeptin, and 3 µg/ml pepstatin A). 1 mg of each cell lysate was then incubated with the appropriate antibodies coupled with protein A-Sepharose beads. The beads were washed three times with 1 ml radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer, and proteins precipitated with these antibodies were separated by SDS-PAGE (8% gel) and then subjected to immunoblotting using antibodies against the proteins of interest.

**Matrigel invasion assay**
The Matrigel chemoinvasion assay was performed using Matrigel chambers (Biocoat; BD) as described previously (Morishige et al., 2008). In brief, 10⁵ cells were seeded on the upper wells of 24-well glass-bottom dishes in the absence of serum, in which the lower wells were filled with 2 ng/ml TGFβ1, 10 ng/ml HGF, 10 ng/ml EGF, or 10% FCS. After incubation for 18 h, cells were fixed in 4% PFA, and the number of cells that transmigrated through the chamber filter (6.4 mm in diameter) to the lower surface of the filters was scored by staining with 1% crystal violet. Data were collected from three independent experiments, each measuring at least 12 fields of two different wells.

**RT-PCR**
Total RNA was extracted from cultured cells using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and reverse transcribed by M-MLV reverse transcription (Promega) using oligo dT primers at 42°C for 60 min. cDNAs were then subjected to 35 cycles of PCR amplification. The primer sequences are listed in Table S1.

**Immunofluorescence microscopy**
Immunofluorescence microscopy analysis was performed as described previously (Onodera et al., 2012). For analysis of the subcellular localization of Arf6, cells stably expressing Arf6 proteins with fluorescent tags were plated onto plastic dishes coated with 10 µg/ml collagen I. After 24 h, the cells were serum starved for 16 h and then stimulated with 2 ng/ml TGFβ1 for 5 min at 37°C before fixation. For analysis of the colocalization of Arf6 and Rab-GTPases, cells expressing Arf6-mCherry together with EGF-P-Rab11a, or Arf6-EGFP with mRFP-Rab11b, were used. For analysis of the subcellular localization of Arf6 in HMLE cells, cells were transfected with siRNA for Rab11b or a control oligonucleotide bearing an Ir. After 24 h of incubation, cells were retrovirally infected with pCX4-blast/Arf6-EGFP or pCX4-blast/Arf6-mCherry for 24 h. Cells were then cultured for an additional 24 h with or without 1 µM Simvastatin before fixation. Fluorescence images were obtained with a confocal laser-scanning microscope using a 60x H oil-immersion objective (NA of 1.4; CFI Plan Apo VC) and analyzed with the attached software (model A1R with NIS-Elements; Nikon). For quantitative analysis of protein localization, the Pearson’s correlation coefficient between two proteins of interest was measured using NIS-Elements. For the PM localization of Arf6, the Pearson’s correlation coefficient of the localization of Arf6-EGFP or Arf6-mCherry at the F-actin-rich cell periphery was measured using NIS-Elements A1R software, in which F-actin was visualized using Alexa Fluor 647 dye. Data were collected from two independent experiments, each examining at least 10 cells. Images were handled using Photoshop (version 7; Adobe).

**High-resolution structured illumination microscopy (SIM)**
To examine the colocalization of Arf6 and Rab11, MDA-MB-231 cells expressing Arf6-mCherry and EGF-P-Rab11a or Arf6-EGFP and mRFP-Rab11b were also subjected to SIM imaging. Specimens were then analyzed with an N-SIM microscope (Nikon) with an oil-immersion objective (NA of 1.49 NA), laser illumination (488 nm at 180 W and 561 nm at 120 µW), and an electron-multiplying charged-coupled device camera (DU-897; Andor Technology). Image reconstruction was performed using NIS-Elements software.

**Deconvolution microscopy**
To examine the colocalization of endogenous Rab11b with Arf6-EGFP, MDA-MB-231 cells stably expressing Arf6-EGFP were plated onto glass-bottom dishes coated with 10 µg/ml collagen I. The cells were then fixed with 4% PFA in PBS at RT for 10 min, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100/PBS at RT for 10 min, and then incubated with blocking medium (MAXblock; Active Motif) at RT for 1 h. After washing the cells with PBS, cells were incubated with a rabbit anti-Rab11b polyclonal antibody (diluted at 1:100; AP12943b; Abgent) at RT for 1 h. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor 555–conjugated F(ab′)₂ fragments of a goat anti-rabbit polyclonal antibody (diluted at 1:400; A-21430; Molecular Probes) at RT for 1 h. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated with a mouse anti–E-cadherin antibody (diluted at 1:100; F7408; Sigma) at RT for 1 h. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated with a rabbit anti–p53 antibody (diluted at 1:100; A-500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at RT for 1 h. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated with an Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated F(ab′)₂ fragments of a goat anti–rabbit antibody (diluted at 1:400; A-11034; Molecular Probes) at RT for 1 h. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated with an Alexa Fluor 633–conjugated F(ab′)₂ fragments of a goat anti–mouse antibody (diluted at 1:100; A-11032; Molecular Probes) at RT for 1 h. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated with a rabbit anti–p65 antibody (diluted at 1:100; sc-1609; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at RT for 1 h. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated with an Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated F(ab′)₂ fragments of a goat anti–rabbit antibody (diluted at 1:400; A-11034; Molecular Probes) at RT for 1 h. After washing with PBS, the coverslips were mounted onto fresh slides with Vectorshield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories) for imaging with a 60× oil immersion objective (NA 1.49) and a laser scanning confocal microscope (LSM 710; Zeiss). Imaging was performed using a 63× oil immersion objective (NA 1.49) and a laser scanning confocal microscope (LSM 710; Zeiss). Images were handled using Photoshop (version 7; Adobe).
Cells were then washed with PBS and mounted with 50% glycerol in PBS. Fluorescent images were acquired with a microscope system (True Confocal Scanning SP8; Leica Biosystems) equipped with HyD detectors and a hybrid superresolution (HyVolition) package using a 63×/1.40 oil objective (part 15506350; HC PL APO CS2). Z-section images were captured using the HyD detectors, and the raw data were deconvolved using Huygens (Scientific Volume Imaging) that accompanies the HyVolition package and using the default parameters.

**Time-lapse recording**

Fluorescence time-lapse imaging was performed under 5% CO2 at 37°C using a confocal laser-scanning microscope with a 60× H oil-immersion objective (model A1R with NIS-Elements; CFI Plan Apo VC). Cells stably expressing Arf6-EGFP or Arf6-mCherry were plated onto glass-bottom dishes (MatTek Corporation) in complete MDA-MB-231 medium and incubated for 24 h and then transiently transfected with pmRFP-Rab or pEGFP C1-Rab plasmids using Lipofectamine LTX. After further incubation for 24 h, cells were serum starved for 16 h, and their fluorescence images were then taken every 20 s for 20 min, during which cells were stimulated with 2 ng/ml TGFβ1 from just before taking the fourth image. Acquired images were processed with NIS-Elements software and Photoshop.

**Inhibition of MVP**

Inhibition of MVP was performed as described previously (Sadeghi et al., 2000). In brief, 5 mg Simvastatin prodrug was dissolved in 0.125 ml of 95% ethanol followed by 0.15 ml of 0.1 M NaOH, and the solution was incubated at 50°C for 2 h and finally brought to a pH of ~7.2. Working solutions (1 mM) were stored in DMSO at −80°C until use. Final concentrations of the inhibitors used were 100 nM or 1 µM for Simvastatin, 100 nM or 1 µM for Mevastatin, 20 or 200 µM for 6-fluomevalonate, 2 µM for GGTII-2133, and 2 µM for PTI-277. For the add-back experiment, cells were preincubated with a mixture of 1 mM dl-mevalonolactone and 1 mM dl-MVA 5-phosphate for 6 h and then treated with 1 µM Simvastatin. For injection into mice, the activated Simvastatin solution was diluted to the appropriate concentration in sterile PBS without using DMSO in any of the steps, in which the control was a PBS solution containing equivalent amounts of ethanol, NaOH, and HCl as contained in the injected Simvastatin solution.

**Membrane association of Rab11b**

To separate the prenylated and unprenylated forms of Rab11b in MDA-MB-231 cells, a Triton X-114 fractionation method (Coxon et al., 2005) was used. In brief, cells were transfected with pCX4-HA-Rab11b or its C214A/C215A mutant using Lipofectamine LTX according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). After 24 h, a complete cell lysate was centrifuged at 52,000 g for 90 min using an SW55Ti rotor (Optima L-100XP; Beckman Coulter). After centrifugation, each 300-µl fraction was collected from the top of the tubes. All of these steps were performed at 4°C. 10 ml of each fraction was then separated by 8% SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting using an anti–Flo严厉打击-1 antibody (610820; BD). For measuring total cellular cholesterol levels, cells grown on a 6-well dish were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and scraped with 100 µl of ice-cold lysis solution (2% n-octyl-β-glucoside, 1% Nonidet P-40, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM sodium chloride, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 20 mM sodium fluoride, and 5% glycerol) containing a protease inhibitor cocktail. Lysates were then collected into new tubes, mixed well, and clarified by centrifugation at 4°C for 10 min. The amounts of cholesterol in the resulting supernatants containing 1 mg of protein were measured using a cholesterol assay kit (Amplex Red; Molecular Probes) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Drug resistance assay**

Gemcitabine and Temsirolimus were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries and Sigma-Aldrich, respectively. In the EPH41LS knockdown experiment, cells pretreated with siRNAs specific for EPH41LS or a control oligonucleotide for 24 h were plated onto 96-well culture plates at 3,000 cells per well, and drugs were applied on the next day. After incubation for another 3 d, cell viabilities were measured.
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Statistics

Unless otherwise noted, each in vitro experiment was performed in triplicate, and analysis of variance was used to compare two groups of independent samples. The log-rank test was used to compare Kaplan-Meier survival curves.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows the Arf6 activation mediated by TGFβ1–trans-activated c-Met. Fig. S2 shows the roles of mutant p53, MVP, and GGT-II in Arf6 activation by external ligands in breast cancer cells. Fig. S3 shows the involvement of Rab11b in the PM recruitment of Arf6 and no effect of mutant p53 in lipid rafts. Fig. S4 shows the silencing of Rab11 in HMLE cells and the requirement of Rab11b and MVP in cancer metastasis. Fig. S5 shows the drug resistance of MDA-MB-468 and MCF7 and limited contribution of the TP53 mutation to the high HMGR expression group of primary breast tumors. Table S1 contains the oligonucleotides used in this study. Table S2 is a list of siRNA sequences used in this paper. Video 1 shows colocalization of Rab11b with Arf6 during the TGFβ1–induced PM recruitment of Arf6. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201510002/DC1.

Acknowledgments

We thank Y. Kado, H. Yoshino, and E. Hayashi for their assistance, R.A. Weinberg for the HMLE cells, T. Kitamura for the Plat-E cells, T. Akagi for the pCX4brs vector, and H.A. Popiel for her critical reading of the manuscript. High-resolution SIM imaging was supported by Nikon.

This work was supported by grants-in-aid from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan [23111008] and grants from the Takeda Science Foundation and the Mitsubishi Foundation to H. Sabe as well as grants from the Akiyama Life Science Foundation, the Suhara Foundation, the Kobayashi Foundation for Cancer Research, and the Princess Takamatsu Cancer Research Fund [13-24517] to A. Hashimoto and S. Hashimoto.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Submitted: 1 October 2015
Accepted: 4 March 2016

References


