



Title	Effects of grass hay proportion in a corn silage-based diet on rumen digesta kinetics and digestibility in dairy cows
Author(s)	Win, Kyaw San; Ueda, Koichiro; Kondo, Seiji
Citation	Animal science journal, 86(9), 833-841 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.12365">https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.12365</a>
Issue Date	2015-09
Doc URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2115/62875">http://hdl.handle.net/2115/62875</a>
Type	article (author version)
File Information	71196(ueda).pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

1

2

3 Title: Effects of grass hay proportion in a corn silage-based diet on rumen digesta  
4 kinetics and digestibility in dairy cows

5

6

7 Authors: Kyaw San WIN, Koichiro UEDA and Seiji KONDO

8

9 Institute, address, country: Laboratory of Animal Production System, Graduate School  
10 of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Kita, Sapporo, Japan

11

12 Running Head: RUMEN KINETICS OF GRASS HAY IN CORN SILAGE DIET

13

14 Correspondence: Koichiro Ueda, Laboratory of Animal Production System, Graduate  
15 School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Kita, Sapporo 060-8589, Japan. Phone:  
16 +81-11-706-2545; Facsimile: +81-11-706-2539; Email: ueko@anim.agr.hokudai.ac.jp

17

## 1 ABSTRACT

2

3 In this study, we aimed to evaluate the effects of six levels of orchardgrass hay  
4 (GH) proportion (0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40% or 50% of dry matter) in finely chopped  
5 corn silage (CS)-based diets on digesta kinetics of CS and GH in the rumen. Six  
6 non-lactating, rumen-cannulated Holstein cows were used in a 6 × 6 Latin square design.  
7 Ruminal digesta kinetics was measured by ruminal dosing of feed particle markers (Dy  
8 for CS, Er for GH) followed by fecal sampling. The increase of GH proportion had a  
9 quadratic effect ( $P < 0.01$ ) on total tract digestibility of neutral detergent fiber (NDF)  
10 and acid detergent fiber. The proportion of GH did not affect the particle size  
11 distribution of rumen digesta, total weight of dry matter, or NDF in the rumen. The rates  
12 of large particle size reduction in the rumen for CS tended to increase linearly with  
13 increasing GH proportion ( $P = 0.077$ ). A quadratic effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) was found with  
14 increasing the GH proportion for the ruminal passage rate of small GH particles, but not  
15 for CS particles. The results suggested that associative effects between CS and GH  
16 could be generated on rumen digesta kinetics when cows were fed a CS-based diet with  
17 an increased proportion of GH.

18

19 **Key words:** corn silage, dairy cow, digestibility, grass hay, passage rate

20

## 1 INTRODUCTION

2  
3 Whole corn plants used for ensiling are chopped into short lengths, as a long forage  
4 chop length can result in poor silage fermentation due to difficulties in packing and  
5 maintenance of anaerobic conditions (Johnson *et al.* 2003). Fine chopping of corn plants  
6 for ensiling also prevents selective ingestion of concentrate in total mixed rations, which  
7 can cause large diurnal variations in rumen acid production and result in subacute  
8 ruminal acidosis (Van Soest 1994).

9 The physical form of roughage plays an important role in stimulating chewing and  
10 maintaining ruminal functions. Forages with short particle lengths can result in reduced  
11 chewing, saliva production, and rumen pH (Beauchemin *et al.* 2003; Krause *et al.* 2002;  
12 Stone 2004), which cause depression of fiber digestibility (Rojas-Bourrillon *et al.* 1987;  
13 Bal *et al.* 2000) and may also reduce the retention time of feed particles in the rumen  
14 (Wyburn 1980; Varga & Kolver 1997), thereby decreasing dry matter (DM) digestibility  
15 (Allen & Mertens 1988). The negative effects of fine chopping during silage preparation  
16 on digestibility have been shown to be mitigated by long grass hay (GH)  
17 supplementation, which effectively maintained an optimal ruminal function in cows fed  
18 on a finely chopped corn silage (CS)-based diet (Couderc *et al.* 2006) and a finely  
19 chopped alfalfa silage-based diet (Beauchemin *et al.* 1994).

20 The appropriate addition of GH in a CS-based diet can recover the depression of  
21 digestibility caused by fine chopping of corn plants, but excess addition can depress the  
22 ruminal passage rate of CS, thereby reducing silage DM intake. Recent reports have  
23 shown no effect of GH addition on the ruminal passage rate of finely chopped CS in  
24 cows fed on CS-based diets supplemented with 5% to 10% GH (Couderc *et al.* 2006;  
25 Castro *et al.* 2010). The supplementation of GH in these studies may be insufficient to

1 alter the ruminal passage rate of CS. It remains unclear whether the ruminal passage  
2 rates of CS are affected by supplementation with greater than 10% GH in a CS-based  
3 diet.

4 Associative effects between two forages on digestion kinetics in the rumen, which  
5 impact DM intake and diet digestibility, have been reported for digestion rate  
6 (Andrighetto *et al.* 1993) and passage rate (Moseley & Jones 1979). Bhatti *et al.* (2008)  
7 reported that alfalfa hay addition in an orchardgrass hay-based diet in beef cattle  
8 increased the passage rate of small orchardgrass particles, as well as the disappearance  
9 rates of DM and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) disappearance in the rumen. These  
10 findings suggest that the ruminal kinetics of two forages in a diet are not independent  
11 and could be associatively interrelated. However, no previous studies have reported an  
12 interrelationship between CS and GH in terms of ruminal kinetics.

13 The objective of this study was to determine the effects of 0% to 50%  
14 supplementation of GH in a CS-based diet on digestibility and ruminal digesta kinetics  
15 in non-lactating dairy cows. The tested hypothesis was that the increased GH proportion  
16 would decrease the CS ruminal passage rate by synchronizing it with the intrinsically  
17 slow passage of GH, thereby increasing ruminal CS digestibility.

18

19

## 20 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

21

### 22 **Cows and treatments**

23 This experiment was conducted at the Experimental Farm of Hokkaido University,  
24 Sapporo, Japan. The methods of feeding management and ruminal cannulation surgery  
25 of cows used in this study were approved by the Animal Care and Welfare Committee of  
26 Hokkaido University.

1 Six rumen-cannulated, non-lactating Holstein cows ( $777.4 \pm 79.7$  kg body  
2 weight) were assigned to one of the six dietary treatments in a  $6 \times 6$  Latin-square design.  
3 Each experimental period consisted of a 9-day adaptation period and a 5-day collection  
4 period. The dietary treatments consisted of six proportions (on a DM basis) of CS and  
5 GH in total rations of: (1) CS 100%; (2) CS 90% + GH 10%; (3) CS 80% + GH 20%;  
6 (4) CS 70% + GH 30%; (5) CS 60% + GH 40%; and (6) CS 50% + GH 50%. The corn  
7 silage used in the experiment had been preserved in a banker silo. Whole corn plants  
8 were harvested at one-half milklime stage of maturity and chopped at a theoretical length  
9 of 9 mm with a forage harvester 6 months before the experiment was initiated. The  
10 orchardgrass hay was harvested at flowering stage and chopped at a theoretical length of  
11 60 mm by using a chopper machine. Two equal portions of the experimental diets were  
12 offered at 07.30 and 19.30 hours. Two forages were mixed thoroughly immediately  
13 before each feeding. The total feed allowance was fixed at 10 kg of DM per day per cow,  
14 and the cows had free access to fresh water and trace mineral salt blocks. To measure  
15 the daily fecal excretion of cows, 50 g of an external marker was administered  
16 immediately before each feeding of the treatment diets throughout the experiment. The  
17 marker was prepared from beet pulp pellets labeled with La using the immersion  
18 method, as described by Mader *et al.* (1984).

19

#### 20 **Data collection and sample analysis**

21 The weight of refusals was measured before every morning feeding. Samples of  
22 feed offered and refused were collected during the sample collection period. The actual  
23 intake was determined by the difference between the feed offered and refused during the  
24 sample collection period.

25 Eating time and ruminating time per day were measured by recording eating and

1 ruminating activity with a video tape recorder on the third and fourth day of each  
2 experimental collection period.

3 On the first day of each collection period, Co-EDTA (5 g/250 mL water) was  
4 administered into the rumen at 07.00 hours to measure the ruminal liquid passage rate.  
5 Approximately 100 mL of rumen fluid was then collected eight times at 3-h intervals.  
6 Rumen fluid samples were sucked with a syringe through a catheter inserted into the  
7 rumen, which was fixed on the rumen cannula. The pH of the ruminal fluid was  
8 measured immediately after sampling by a digital pH meter (B-212; Horiba, Kyoto,  
9 Japan). A 1-mL subsample of each rumen fluid sample was mixed with 0.1 mL of 25%  
10 metaphosphoric acid, and the resultant fluid samples were centrifuged at  $28,000 \times g$   
11 for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatants were used for volatile fatty acid (VFA) analysis by  
12 gas chromatography (GC-20; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) by using a capillary column  
13 (ULBON HR-20M; Shinwa Chemical Industries, Kyoto, Japan) with a column  
14 temperature of 150°C, injection temperature of 150°C, and He as carrier gas. Another  
15 0.1-mL subsample was mixed with 20% NaCl and then analyzed for ammonia-N as  
16 described by Wetherburn (1967). A third 10-mL subsample of ruminal fluid was placed  
17 in a vial and dried for the analysis of Co.

18 A pair of markers (100 g DM for each), Dy-labeled CS and Er-labeled GH, were  
19 prepared by the methods of Mader *et al.* (1984) and dosed into the rumen through a  
20 cannula on the first day of each collection period at 08.00 hours to measure the ruminal  
21 passage parameters of CS and GH particles. The cows in the 100% CS treatment were  
22 dosed with only Dy-labeled CS. Fecal samples were collected every 3 h for 42 h, and  
23 every 6 h until 96 h, after the dosing of markers.

24 The digesta weight in the reticulorumen was measured by manually emptying it on

1 the fifth day of the collection period at 14.00 hours. The total rumen digesta of each cow  
2 was manually mixed and a subsample was taken. The subsample was dried at 60°C for  
3 48 h and ground through a 1-mm screen. The weight distribution of different particle  
4 sizes of the rumen digesta was determined with another wet subsample by the wet  
5 sieving method with sieves of 1.18- and 0.15-mm aperture.

6 Samples of offered feed, feces, rumen digesta, and sieved rumen digesta were dried  
7 at 60°C for 48 h and ground through a 1-mm screen for subsequent chemical and marker  
8 analyses. Feed and fecal samples were analyzed for DM, crude ash, crude protein (CP),  
9 starch, ash-free NDF, ash-free acid detergent fiber (ADF). Ash-free acid detergent lignin  
10 (ADL) content was analyzed only for feed samples. Determination of NDF content was  
11 also conducted for rumen digesta samples. DM, CP, and crude ash were determined  
12 according to the AOAC methods (1990). NDF, ADF, and ADL were measured  
13 according to the methods described by Goering and Van Soest (1975). Starch was  
14 determined by the perchloric method (Viles & Silverman 1949; Yemm & Willis 1954).  
15 Concentrations of rare earth elements (Dy, Er, and La) in the feces and La-labeled beat  
16 pulp pellets, as well as that of Co in the dried rumen fluid, were determined using an  
17 inductively coupled plasma spectrometer (Eran DRC-e, Perkin-Elmer, United States)  
18 after digestion with 2:1 nitric acid:perchloric acid.

19 Dried and ground samples of CS and GH were subjected to measurements of *in*  
20 *vitro* digestibility of DM and NDF. Triplicate 1.0-g samples were incubated with  
21 ruminal fluid at 39°C for 96 h using the procedure described by Theodorou *et al.* (1994).  
22 The rumen fluid was taken from cows fed on grass hay and concentrate formula feed. At  
23 the end of the fermentation period (96 h), the samples were filtered with preweighed  
24 glass filters. These samples were oven-dried at 103°C for 24 h and weighed, and DM



1 digestibility were then calculated. Samples of residues in the glass filters were analyzed  
2 for NDF.

3

#### 4 **Calculation of data and statistical analysis**

5 The nutrient digestibility in the total digestive tract was calculated from the  
6 nutrient intake and fecal excretion. Fecal DM excretion (kg DM/day) was calculated  
7 using the following equation: fecal DM excretion = (mg of La dosed per day)/(mg of La  
8 per kg of fecal DM). Ruminal liquid passage rate was estimated by the following  
9 exponential equation:  $Y = C_0 e^{-kt}$ , where  $Y = C_0$  concentration at time  $t$  (h),  $C_0$  = the initial  
10 concentration of  $C_0$  in the rumen, and  $k$  = fractional rate of liquid passage from the  
11 rumen. The rate constants for ruminal passage of CS and GH were estimated using the  
12 two-compartment, gamma age-dependent and independent (G4G1) model described by  
13 Pond and Ellis (1988). The mean outflow rates from the age-dependent compartment  
14 ( $k_1 = 0.4085686 \cdot \lambda$ ,  $\lambda$  : rate parameter for gamma distributed residence time) and the  
15 rate constant of outflow from the age-independent compartment ( $k_2$ ) were estimated by  
16 fitting a marker excretion curve to the model using the NLIN procedure of SAS (SAS  
17 2004). The rates of  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  can be regarded as the rate of size reduction of large  
18 particles into small particles and the rate of passage of small particles from the rumen,  
19 respectively. The compartmental (ruminal) mean retention time was also calculated as  $4/$   
20  $\lambda + 1/k_2$ .

21 All data were subjected to ANOVA analysis using the GLM procedure of SAS (SAS  
22 2004). Linear and quadratic orthogonal contrasts were tested using the contrast  
23 statement of SAS (SAS 2004). For digesta kinetics data, the differences between GH  
24 and CS were also tested with the GLM procedure of SAS (SAS 2004). Unless  
25 otherwise stated, significance was declared at  $P < 0.05$ .

## 1 RESULTS

2 The chemical compositions of the two forages are presented in Table 1. The CP  
3 content of GH was slightly higher than that of CS, but its contents of DM, NDF, ADF,  
4 and ADL was moderately higher than that of CS. The starch content of CS was 21.1%  
5 of DM, and no starch was detected in GH. *In vitro* DM digestibility at 96 h was 4%  
6 lower than that of CS; however, *in vitro* NDF digestibility for GH at 96 h was 10%  
7 greater than that of CS.

8 The dry matter intake (DMI) and total tract apparent nutrient digestibility of diets  
9 are shown in Table 2. A slight decrease in DMI was observed with the increased GH  
10 inclusion ( $P < 0.01$ ). The CP digestibility was not affected by the treatments, whereas  
11 DM, organic matter (OM), NDF, and ADF digestibility had quadratic effects with an  
12 increase in GH proportion ( $P < 0.05$ ). The DM and OM digestibility decreased with  
13 increasing GH proportion from 0% to 40% but increased from 40% to 50% GH. The  
14 lowest digestibility of NDF and ADF was observed at a lower GH proportion than those  
15 of DM and OM. The NDF digestibility was constant from 0% to 30% GH but there was  
16 a 10.3 percentage units increase from 30% to 50% GH. The ADF digestibility slightly  
17 decreased for a 3.6 percentage units from 0% to 30% GH, but a 10.1 percentage units  
18 increase was observed from 30% to 50% GH. Increased GH proportion linearly  
19 increased starch digestibility ( $P < 0.01$ ). The difference in starch digestibility between  
20 50% GH and 0% GH was 9.8 percentage units.

21 Table 3 shows eating time, ruminating time, and total chewing time. The eating  
22 time per day and per NDF intake increased linearly ( $P < 0.01$ ) and quadratically ( $P <$   
23  $0.05$ ) as the GH proportion increased although only linear effect was significant ( $P <$   
24  $0.01$ ) for eating time per DM intake. The ruminating time per day and per DM intake

1 linearly increased ( $P < 0.01$ ), whereas ruminating time per NDF intake was linearly  
2 decreased ( $P < 0.01$ ). This increase in time by increasing GH proportion from 0% to  
3 50% was larger for eating than for ruminating. Although the total chewing time per day  
4 and per DM intake also showed linear and quadratic increase ( $P < 0.01$ ) with increasing  
5 GH proportion, the total chewing time per kilogram of NDF intake was not affected by  
6 the GH proportion.

7       The weight and the particle size distribution of rumen digesta are shown in Table  
8 4. The increase in GH proportion increased the fresh rumen digesta weight ( $P < 0.01$ ).  
9 However, no significant effects on DM and NDF weights of rumen digesta with  
10 increasing the GH proportion were observed. The proportions of particle dry weight  
11 retained on a 1.18 mm sieve,  $< 1.18$  but  $> 0.15$  mm sieve, and  $< 0.15$  mm sieve were not  
12 affected by GH proportion.

13       The results for pH,  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ , and VFA, and passage rate of rumen liquid are  
14 presented in Table 5. The concentration of  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  was relatively low in all treatments,  
15 and it was not affected by the GH proportion. The total VFA concentration was not  
16 affected by the treatment, but ruminal pH was significantly affected by GH proportion  
17 in both linear and quadratic manners ( $P < 0.05$ ). Significant linear effects ( $P < 0.01$ )  
18 were observed for molar proportions of acetate, propionate, and butyrate. The molar  
19 proportion of acetate increased with GH proportion, whereas that of propionate and  
20 butyrate decreased with increasing GH proportion. The passage rate of rumen liquid  
21 linearly decreased ( $P < 0.05$ ) with increasing the proportion of GH.

22       The results for the ruminal digesta kinetics of the two forage sources are shown in  
23 Table 6. The rates of large particle size reduction ( $k_1$ ) for both CS and GH particles  
24 were unaffected by GH proportion in the CS-based diets, although a non-significant

1 trend of linear increase ( $P = 0.077$ ) was observed for CS. A significant quadratic effect  
2 ( $P < 0.05$ ) due to increasing GH proportion was detected for GH particles in the rate of  
3 small particle passage from the rumen ( $k_2$ ); however, no effect was observed in  $k_2$  for  
4 CS particles. The  $k_2$  of GH particles increased from 10% to 20% GH and decreased  
5 from 20% to 50% GH. The mean retention time (MRT) of GH particles in the rumen  
6 also displayed significant quadratic ( $P < 0.05$ ) effects due to increasing GH proportion.  
7 This had an inverse effect on  $k_2$ . When comparing these parameters between GH and  
8 CS, significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) for  $k_1$  and MRT was detected only at 10% GH.

9  
10

## 11 **DISCUSSION**

12

### 13 **Dry matter intake and rumen fill**

14 Generally, an increased feed intake increases passage rate of feed particles in the  
15 rumen (Van Soest 1994). As the objective of current experiment was to detect whether  
16 the increased addition of GH in the CS-based diet could alter the ruminal passage rate of  
17 CS and GH, it was needed to exclude the effect of feed intake on rumen passage rate.  
18 Considering the results of previous studies (Couderc *et al.* 2006; West *et al.* 1997), the  
19 large variation in feed intake with changing the GH proportion was fully expected also  
20 in the current experiment when cows were offered diet *ad libitum*. Thus the current  
21 study was conducted under the conditions restricted the dietary supply. However, as  
22 small amounts of GH refusal were recorded at 30%, 40%, 50% GH treatments, the  
23 increased proportion of GH in the diet slightly decreased the total DMI.

24 Shaver *et al.* (1986) found that the effects of forage physical form on ruminal fill  
25 were small when using alfalfa hay with low fiber content. However, the influence of  
26 forage physical form on rumen fill and intake may be larger when mature (high fiber)

1 forages are fed (Heaney *et al.* 1963). In the current experiment, DM and NDF weight of  
2 rumen digesta which is considered to reflect the rumen fill did not differ among  
3 treatments. Although the grass hay used in the current experiment had a high NDF  
4 content, the ingestion of GH did not increase the DM and NDF weight of rumen digesta  
5 regardless of its proportion in the diet.

6 The weight of fresh rumen digesta increased with increasing GH proportion in the  
7 current study. This was caused by the increased liquid pool size of rumen, because the  
8 DM weight of rumen digesta was constant among GH treatments. This increase of the  
9 rumen liquid pool size was likely associated with a decreased in liquid passage rate  
10 from the rumen with increasing proportion of GH. The enlarged rumen liquid pool size  
11 with increasing GH proportion could have arisen from an increase in saliva production  
12 due to the prolonged total chewing time per day and/or from an increase in water intake  
13 due to the increased ingestion of GH with less moisture.

14

#### 15 **Particle size reduction and chewing**

16 The eating time per day, in the current study largely increased with increasing the  
17 proportion of GH. This result indicated that cows spent a comparatively longer time to  
18 breakdown GH particles via ingestive chewing than to breakdown CS particles. A  
19 significant role of ingestive chewing is to make bolus which cows can easily swallow  
20 by reducing the size of feed particles. Cows fed diet with a greater proportion of CS  
21 may require less eating time as CS particles are small enough to swallow, and their size  
22 could be reduced much more easily than GH particles. Indeed, the time required to eat 1  
23 kg DM of CS was less (7.1 min) than that required for 1 kg DM of GH (23.2, 28.9, 24.2,  
24 24.7, and 20.8 min as calculated by subtracting the eating times of 0% GH from the  
25 eating times of 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, and 50% GH, respectively). When eating time

1 was expressed per kg NDF intake, it still showed a linear increase with increasing GH  
2 proportion. This indicated that the eating time was prolonged more than the increase of  
3 NDF intake. Therefore, the prolongation of time for ingestive chewing with GH  
4 inclusion is controlled by not only NDF intake but also other factors such as rigidity of  
5 GH particles for breakdown GH.

6 In the current study, the rumen digesta was taken at the time (14.00 hours) when  
7 nearly the entire morning diet (07.30 hours) had been consumed by cows. Therefore, the  
8 particle size distribution of the rumen digesta strongly reflects the extent of particle size  
9 reduction via ingestive chewing. However, no difference in particle size distribution was  
10 observed among the treatments. This result indicates that the added GH in the CS-based  
11 diets was reduced in size to a similar extent via ingestive chewing despite its increased  
12 proportion in the diet.

13 The rumination time per day was increased by increasing the proportion of GH in  
14 the diet. Contrary to this, Beauchemin and Buchanan-Smith (1989) reported that adding  
15 21, 32, and 43% long alfalfa hay to an alfalfa silage-based diet did not increase  
16 rumination time of dairy cows fed diets *ad libitum*. Similar to the current results,  
17 increased rumination time was reported when dairy cows were fed a finely chopped  
18 CS-based diet supplemented with 3kg/day unprocessed alfalfa hay (Fischer *et al.* 1994)  
19 and when 5% long hay was included in CS-based diet for dairy cows (Couderc *et al.*  
20 2006). These inconsistent results regarding rumination time may arise from differences  
21 in total DMI or hay length. The extent of particle size reduction via ingestive chewing is  
22 a significant factor, because large particles that escape the breakdown into small sizes  
23 by ingestive chewing must be broken down by rumination to sufficiently small particles  
24 to pass the rumen. Therefore, the lesser extent of particle size reduction during ingestive

1 chewing increases the need for rumination chewing and extended rumination time. In  
2 the current study, although the particle size distribution of rumen digesta showed a  
3 similar extent in particle size reduction during ingestive chewing regardless of dietary  
4 proportion of GH, the rumination time per day and per kilogram of DM intake showed  
5 linear increase with increasing GH proportion. This indicated that an increase in GH  
6 particles in ruminal large particles pool could increase the need for rumination chewing  
7 and extend the rumination time. However, the increased rumination time with increasing  
8 GH proportion (42 min/day) was less than one-half of that for eating (102 min/day).  
9 Moreover, when expressed per kilogram NDF intake, rumination time decreased  
10 linearly decrease with increasing the GH proportion. Thus, the increased proportion of  
11 GH particle in the CS-based diet did not prolong as much the time for rumination as for  
12 eating.

13 The mean outflow rate of the age-dependent compartment ( $k_1$ ) is considered to  
14 reflect the rate of particle size reduction for the large particle pool in the rumen (Pond &  
15 Ellis 1988). In the current study, the  $k_1$  for GH was unaffected by GH proportion. This  
16 result indicated that GH inclusion in the diet did not alter the rate of ruminal particle  
17 size reduction of GH, regardless of the proportion of GH. Although rumination time per  
18 DM intake linearly increased with increased proportion of dietary GH, this increase was  
19 not significant to alter the  $k_1$  of GH.

20 Although non-significant, an increase in  $k_1$  was observed for CS with increasing  
21 the proportion of GH. This result indicates that an increase of GH particles in  
22 regurgitated bolus in response to the increasing GH proportion accelerated the  
23 breakdown of CS particle during rumination chewing. As GH contains more NDF and  
24 ADL than CS, the force to breakdown with rumination chewing might be required more

1 for GH than for CS. When cows chew on CS with as much force as GH, the breakdown  
2 of CS during rumination can be accelerated by increasing the dietary proportion of GH.  
3 Moreover, such acceleration can also be expected during ingestive chewing.

4

#### 5 **Digestibility and ruminal passage rates**

6 In the current study, there was a quadratic effect of GH proportion in the diet on  
7 the NDF and ADF digestibility. A decrease in digestibility was observed for NDF and  
8 ADF with increasing the GH proportion to up to 10% and 30%, respectively. The  
9 increase in proportion of GH to more than 30% increased the digestibility of both fibers.  
10 Although we presumed that GH inclusion in the CS-based diets would decrease the  
11 passage of CS due to an intrinsically slow passage rate of GH, thereby improving the  
12 fiber digestibility of CS, there was no effect of dietary GH proportion on the ruminal  
13 passage rate of CS small particles ( $k_2$ ). Furthermore,  $k_2$  and MRT did not differ  
14 significantly between GH and CS. Therefore, the change of NDF and ADF digestibility  
15 by increasing the dietary GH proportion could not be attributed to the ruminal digestion  
16 of CS. Fleck *et al.* (1988) suggested that the low NDF and ADF digestibility in native  
17 tall grass hay may not be related to the ruminal passage rate but instead to the high  
18 starch content of the ration and the consequent fluctuation in ruminal pH and reduction  
19 in rumen cellulolytic activity. In the current experiment, molar proportion of acetate,  
20 propionate, and butyrate in rumen fluid were altered because of GH proportion, thus  
21 reflecting the fiber and starch contents in the diets. However, the ruminal pH altered  
22 within a relatively stable range (6.77 to 6.89), which was unlikely to depress ruminal  
23 cellulolytic activity even at 0% GH diet with the highest starch content.

24 The alteration of NDF and ADF digestibility with GH proportion can be



1 attributed rather to the quadratic response of  $k_2$  of GH particles. The increase of GH  
2 proportion from 10% to 20% increased  $k_2$  of GH particles, but it decreased at dietary  
3 levels of GH greater than 30%. The increased ruminal passage rate of GH particles from  
4 10% to 20% GH did not cause a decrease in digestibility of NDF and ADF. The GH  
5 intake in this range of dietary GH proportion was not sufficient to decrease the fiber  
6 digestibility of diet. However, the decreased passage rate of GH particles in the diet  
7 with 20% to 50% GH could increase digestibility of NDF and ADF at 30% to 50% GH.  
8 Thus, the improved fiber digestibility with an increase of GH proportion from 30% to  
9 50% was driven not by changes in the CS passage rate but by decreasing the ruminal  
10 passage rate of GH *per se* in the rumen. Moreover, the *in vitro* NDF digestibility at 96 h  
11 was greater for GH than for CS. Therefore, the inclusion of GH, which has a relatively  
12 higher potential digestibility of NDF than CS, could increase the total dietary fiber  
13 digestibility in the rumen as dietary GH proportion increases. This effect was  
14 emphasized by a decrease of ruminal passage rate of GH with increasing the GH  
15 proportion above 20% in the diets. The improvement of ruminal fiber digestibility with  
16 increasing GH proportion paralleled the increased ruminal acetate concentration.

17 In previous reports, partial replacement of reed canary grass hay by CS caused a  
18 significant increase in digestibility of dietary constituents in dairy cows (Fenner &  
19 Barnes 1966), and the positive effects on digestibility of the CS-based diet were greater  
20 when sheep were supplemented with a low-quality grass silage rather than a  
21 medium-quality grass silage was added (Vranic *et al.* 2008). West *et al.* (1997) also  
22 reported that the apparent NDF digestibility of dairy cows was greater in CS-based diets  
23 containing 15% and 30% Bermudagrass hay than in the CS-based control diet without  
24 hay. The results in the current experiment indicate that the observations presented in

1 previous reports might not result from a decreased CS passage rate due to grass hay or  
2 silage addition. In agreement with our results, Couderc *et al.* (2006) and Castro *et al.*  
3 (2010) reported that the ruminal passage rate of CS was unaffected by 5% and 10% long  
4 hay addition to CS-based diets for dairy cows. However, Obitsu *et al.* (2009) showed a  
5 decreased ruminal passage rate for both CS and alfalfa hay particles and also an  
6 increased ruminal NDF digestibility when dietary chopped alfalfa hay proportion in the  
7 CS-based diet increased from 20% to 60% in steer.

8         The extent of entrapment of small particles into the rumen mat was suggested to  
9 relate to a specific gravity of particles in the rumen (Sutherland 1988; Poppi *et al.* 2001).  
10 In the current experiment, an increased proportion of rumen mat could be expected with  
11 increasing the GH proportion from 20% to 50%. The increased mat proportion may  
12 cause an increase in the entrapment of small GH particles in the rumen, thereby  
13 reducing the ruminal passage rate of small GH particles. Wattiaux *et al.* (1991) showed  
14 that the specific gravity of CS particles in the rumen was greater than that of alfalfa hay.  
15 The ruminal small CS particles, in the current experiment, could escape an entrapment  
16 into the rumen mat due to their high specific gravity resulting in a constant passage rate  
17 regardless of GH proportion. Although it is generally accepted that an increase in  
18 indigestible fiber content in diets reduces ruminal passage rate of solid digesta, this was  
19 not the case in our study, because the indigestible NDF content in the diet decreased  
20 with increasing the GH proportion. Further studies of the associative effects of rumen  
21 passage kinetics between forages and the relation to the rumen mat formation and  
22 consistency are required.

23         The appropriate proportion of GH for actual feeding of lactating dairy cows is a  
24 vital consideration. To determine the appropriate proportion of GH for a practical dairy

1 feeding system, a comparison between 20% GH and 50% GH must be conducted  
2 regarding DMI and milk yield, as well as ruminal passage rate. The actual effective  
3 level of GH proportion in practical feeding must be determined to maximize the  
4 voluntary feed intake and performance of lactating dairy cows.

5

## 6 **Conclusion**

7         The current study tested whether the ruminal passage rate of CS particles in a  
8 CS-based diets would decrease with increasing dietary GH proportion by means of  
9 synchronization with the intrinsically slow passage rate of GH. This hypothesis was  
10 rejected, as the ruminal passage rate of CS particles was not fractured by GH  
11 proportion and hardly differed from that of GH. On the other hand, this study found a  
12 quadratic change of ruminal passage rate for GH particles and a trend of linear increase  
13 of size reduction rate for CS particles with increasing GH proportion. Therefore, it can  
14 be concluded that the associative effects on the ruminal particle kinetics between CS  
15 and GH are generated when cows are fed CS-based diets with increasing proportion of  
16 GH. This study also showed that the increased fiber digestibility with increasing GH  
17 proportion from 30% to 50% in CS based diets. The increase in dietary fiber  
18 digestibility with GH proportion may be attributable to both of the intrinsic digestibility  
19 and the decreased ruminal passage rate of GH.

20

**1 REFERENCES**

- 2
- 3 AOAC. 1990. *Official Methods of Analysis* 15th edn. Association of Official Analytical  
4 Chemists, Arlington, VA.
- 5 Allen MS, Merten DR. 1988. Evaluating constraints in fiber digestion by rumen  
6 microbes. *Journal of Nutrition* **118**, 261-270.
- 7 Andrighetto I, Bailoni L, Cozzi G, Tolosa HF, Hartman B, Hinds M, Sapienza D. 1993.  
8 Observation on in situ degradation of forage cell components in alfalfa and  
9 Italian ryegrass. *Journal of Dairy Science* **76**, 2624-2631.
- 10 Bal MA, Shaver RD, Jirovec AG, Shinner KJ, Coors JG. 2000. Crop processing and  
11 chop length of corn silage: Effects on intake, digestion and milk production of  
12 dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **83**, 1264-1273.
- 13 Beauchemin KA, Buchanan-Smith JG. 1989. Effects of dietary neutral detergent fiber  
14 concentration and supplemental long hay on chewing activities and milk  
15 production of dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **72**, 2288-2300.
- 16 Beauchemin KA, Farr BI, Rode LM, Schaalje GB. 1994. Effects of alfalfa silage chop  
17 length and supplementary long hay on chewing and milk production of  
18 dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **77**, 1326-1339.
- 19 Beauchemin KA, Yang WZ, Rode LM. 2003. Effects of particle size of alfalfa-based  
20 dairy cow diets on chewing activity, rumen fermentation, and milk  
21 production. *Journal of Dairy Science* **86**, 630-643.
- 22 Bhatti SA, Bowman JGP, Firkins JL, Grove AV, Hunt CW. 2008. Effect of intake level  
23 and alfalfa substitution for grass hay on ruminal kinetics of fiber digestion  
24 and particle passage in beef cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* **86**, 134-145.
- 25

- 1 Castro JJ, Bernad JK, Mullis NA, Egglestont RB. 2010. Brown midrib corn silage and  
2 Tifton 85 bermudagrass in rations for early-lactation cows. *Journal of Dairy*  
3 *Science* **93**, 2143-2152.
- 4 Couderc JJ, Rearte DH, Schroeder GF, Ronchi JI, Santini FJ. 2006. Silage chop length  
5 and hay supplementation on milk yield, chewing activity, and ruminal  
6 digestion by dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **89**, 3599-3608.
- 7 Fenner H, Barnes HD. 1966. Effect of feeding reed canary hay and corn silage, pure and  
8 combined, on digestibility and acceptability for sheep and cows. *Journal of*  
9 *Dairy Science* **49**, 1454-1458.
- 10 Fischer JM, Buchanan-Smith JG, Campbell C, Grieve DG, Allen OB. 1994. Effects of  
11 forage particle size and long hay for cows fed total mixed rations based on  
12 alfalfa and corn. *Journal of Dairy Science* **77**, 217-229.
- 13 Fleck AT, Lusby KS, Owens FN, McCollum FT. 1988. Effects of corn gluten feed on  
14 forage intake, digestibility and ruminal parameters of cattle fed native grass  
15 hay. *Journal of Animal Science* **66**, 750-757.
- 16 Goering HK, Van Soest PJ. 1975. Forage Fiber Analysis (apparatus, reagents,  
17 procedures and some applications). In: *Agricultural Handbook* No. 379.  
18 ARS-USDA, Washington, DC.
- 19 Heaney DP, Pigden WJ, Minson DJ, Pritchard GJ. 1963. Effect of pelleting on energy  
20 intake of sheep from forages cut at three stages of maturity. *Journal of*  
21 *Animal Science* **22**, 752-757.
- 22 Johnson LM, Harrison JH, Davidson D, Mahanna WC, Shinnors K. 2003. Corn silage  
23 management: Effects of hybrid, chop length, and mechanical processing in  
24 digestion and energy content. *Journal of Dairy Science* **86**, 208-231.

- 1 Krause KM, Combs DK, Beauchemin KA. 2002. Effects of forage particle size, and  
2 grain fermentability in midlactation cows. II. Rumen pH and chewing activity  
3 *Journal of Dairy Science* **85**, 1947-1957.
- 4 Mader TL, Teeter RG, Horn GW. 1984. Comparison of forage labeling techniques for  
5 conducting passage rate studies. *Journal of Animal Science* **58**, 208-212.
- 6 Moseley G, Jones JR. 1979. Some factors associated with the difference in nutritive  
7 value of artificially dried red clover and perennial ryegrass for sheep. *British*  
8 *Journal of Nutrition* **42**, 139-147.
- 9 Obitsu T, Goto M, Sugino T, Taniguchi K, Yukizane K, Imoto S, Yanagawa M,  
10 El-Sabagh M. 2009. The effect of dietary ratios of corn silage and alfalfa hay  
11 on carbohydrate digestion and retention time of feed particles in the  
12 gastrointestinal tract of steers. *Animal Science Journal* **80**, 546-555.
- 13 Pond KR, Ellis WC. 1988. Compartment models for estimating attributes of digesta  
14 flow in cattle. *British Journal of Nutrition* **60**, 571-595.
- 15 Poppi DP, Ellis WC, Matis JH, Lascano CE. 2001. Marker concentration patterns of  
16 labelled leaf and stem particles in the rumen of cattle grazing Bermuda grass  
17 (*Cynodon dactylon*) analysed by reference to a raft model. *British Journal of*  
18 *Nutrition* **85**, 553-563.
- 19 Rojas-Bourrillon A, Russell JR, Trenkle A, McGilliard AD. 1987. Effects of rolling on  
20 the composition and utilization by growing steers of whole-plant corn silage.  
21 *Journal of Animal Science* **64**, 303-311.
- 22 Statistical Analysis System (SAS). 2004. *SAS/STAT User's Guide Version 9.1.3*. SAS  
23 Institute Inc., Cary, NC.
- 24 Shaver RD, Nytes AJ, Satter LD, Jorgensen NA. 1986. Influence of amount of feed

- 1 intake and forage physical form on digestion and passage of prebloom alfalfa  
2 hay in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **69**, 1545-1559.
- 3 Stone WC. 2004. Nutritional approaches to minimize subacute ruminal acidosis and  
4 laminitis in dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* **87**(Supplement), E13-E26.
- 5 Sutherland TM. 1988. Particle separation in the forestomachs of sheep. In: Dobson A,  
6 Dobson M (eds), *Aspects of Digestive Physiology in Ruminants*, pp. 43-73.  
7 Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY.
- 8 Theodorou MK, Williams BA, Dhanoa MS, McAllan AB, France J. 1994. A simple gas  
9 production method using a pressure transducer to determine the fermentation  
10 kinetics of ruminant feeds. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **48**, 185-197.
- 11 Van Soest PJ. 1994. *Nutritional ecology of the ruminant* 2nd edn. Cornell University  
12 Press, Ithaca, NY.
- 13 Varga GA, Kolver ES. 1997. Microbial and animal limitations to fiber digestion and  
14 utilization. *Journal of Nutrition* **127**, 819S-823S.
- 15 Viles F, Silverman L. 1949. Determination of starch and cellulose with anthrone.  
16 *Analytical Chemistry* **21**, 950-953.
- 17 Vranic M, Knezevic M, Bosnjak K, Leto J, Perculija G, Matic I. 2008. Effects of  
18 replacing grass silage harvested at two maturity stages with maize silage in the  
19 ration upon the intake, digestibility and N retention in wether sheep. *Livestock*  
20 *Science* **114**, 84-92.
- 21 Wattiaux MA, Mertens DR, Satter LD. 1991. Effect of source and amount of fiber on  
22 kinetics of digestion and specific gravity of forage particles in the rumen.  
23 *Journal of Dairy Science* **74**, 3872-3883.
- 24 West JW, Hill GM, Gates RN, Mullinix BG. 1997. Effects of dietary forage source and  
25 amount of forage addition on intake, milk yield, and digestion for lactating dairy

- 1 cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **80**, 1656-1665.
- 2 Wetherburn MW. 1967. Phenol-hypochlorite reaction for determination of ammonia.
- 3 *Analytical Chemistry* 36, 971-974.
- 4 Wyburn RS. 1980. The mixing and propulsion of the stomach contents of ruminants. In:
- 5 Ruckebusch Y, Thivend P (eds), *Digestive Physiology and Metabolism in*
- 6 *Ruminants*, pp. 35-51. AVI Publ. Co., Inc., Westport, CT.
- 7 Yemm E, Willis A. 1954. The estimation of carbohydrates in plant extracts by anthrone.
- 8 *Biochem. Journal of Agricultural Food and Chemistry* **57**, 508-514.



Table 1 Chemical composition of corn silage and grass hay

	Corn silage	Grass hay
DM, % of fresh matter	32.4	90.1
OM, % of DM	94.3	93.4
CP, % of DM	7.6	9.3
NDF, % of DM	41.7	77.0
ADF, % of DM	25.0	45.4
ADL, % of DM	2.9	5.9
Starch, % of DM	21.1	0.0
96-h in vitro DM digestibility, %	74.4	70.4
96- h in vitro NDF digestibility, %	59.4	70.8

DM: dry matter; OM: organic matter; CP: crude protein; NDF: neutral detergent fiber; ADF: acid detergent fiber; ADL: acid detergent lignin.

Table 2 Dry matter intake and total tract digestibility of non-lactating Holstein cows fed corn silage-based diets supplemented with 0 to 50% (dry matter basis) grass hay

	Grass hay proportion (%)						SEM	<i>P</i> -value	
	0	10	20	30	40	50		Linear	Quadratic
DM intake, kg/day	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.8	9.6	9.8	0.09	0.002	0.634
Digestibility, %									
DM	59.6	58.4	57.6	55.7	54.7	59.2	1.36	0.209	0.038
OM	62.1	61.0	60.1	58.1	57.0	61.2	1.32	0.108	0.045
CP	47.8	46.9	48.3	47.0	45.4	51.4	1.64	0.388	0.147
NDF	45.3	44.3	45.2	45.6	47.4	55.9	1.93	0.001	0.009
ADF	46.0	43.8	43.2	42.4	44.7	52.5	1.83	0.035	0.002
Starch	83.2	89.4	90.7	90.8	89.3	93.0	1.74	0.003	0.157

DM: dry matter; OM: organic matter; CP: crude protein; NDF: neutral detergent fiber; ADF: acid detergent fiber; ADL: acid detergent lignin.

Table 3 Chewing activities of non-lactating Holstein cows fed corn silage-based diets supplemented with 0 to 50% (dry matter basis) grass hay

	Grass hay proportion (%)						SEM	<i>P</i> -value	
	0	10	20	30	40	50		Linear	Quadratic
<b>Eating time</b>									
min/day	70	94	128	148	165	172	7.8	<0.001	0.044
min/kgDM intake	7	10	13	15	18	18	0.9	<0.001	0.059
min/kgNDF intake	17	21	27	30	32	30	1.8	<0.001	0.012
<b>Ruminating time</b>									
min/day	432	432	469	462	490	474	10.3	<0.001	0.234
min/kgDM intake	43	43	47	47	51	49	1.1	<0.001	0.235
min/kgNDF intake	104	95	97	91	94	83	2.3	<0.001	0.710
<b>Chewing time</b>									
min/day	501	526	596	610	655	647	12.5	<0.001	0.028
min/kgDM intake	50	53	60	62	69	66	1.4	<0.001	0.044
min/kgNDF intake	121	117	124	121	126	113	3.0	0.611	0.070

Table 4 Rumen digesta weights and particle size distribution in the rumen of non-lactating Holstein cows fed corn silage-based diets supplemented with 0 to 50% (dry matter basis) grass hay

	Grass hay proportion (%)						SEM	<i>P</i> -value	
	0	10	20	30	40	50		Linear	Quadratic
Rumen digesta weight									
Fresh weight, kg	93.8	99.7	99.8	103.8	102.3	104.2	1.26	<0.001	0.031
Dry weight, kg	11.5	11.9	11.5	11.6	12.0	11.8	0.39	0.526	0.916
NDF weight, kg	8.2	8.5	8.4	8.4	8.7	8.2	0.28	0.518	0.550
Particle size distribution, %									
>1.18mm	33.1	33.0	35.4	31.4	28.9	32.2	2.28	0.295	0.903
<1.18mm, >0.15mm	31.3	35.5	35.1	31.0	33.5	29.4	2.00	0.253	0.115
<0.15mm	35.7	31.5	29.5	37.6	37.5	38.4	2.92	0.120	0.232

Particle size distribution: dry matter basis

Table 5 Ruminal fermentation parameters and ruminal liquid passage rate of non-lactating Holstein cows fed corn silage-based diets supplemented with 0 to 50% (dry matter basis) grass hay

	Grass hay proportion (%)						SEM	<i>P</i> -value	
	0	10	20	30	40	50		Linear	Quadratic
pH	6.77	6.79	6.76	6.77	6.78	6.89	0.028	0.013	0.013
NH <sub>3</sub> -N, mg/dL	6.37	5.19	4.77	5.70	6.02	5.86	0.67	0.881	0.188
Total VFA, mmol/dL	6.67	6.78	6.71	6.91	7.20	6.50	0.227	0.746	0.204
Acetate, mmol/100mmol	66.1	67.5	67.9	70.3	69.9	70.8	0.72	<0.001	0.205
Propionate, mmol/100mmol	17.1	16.1	16.4	15.8	15.7	15.8	0.49	0.003	0.237
Butyrate, mmol/100mmol	12.2	12.1	11.5	9.9	10.5	9.6	0.53	<0.001	0.580
Liquid passage rate, %/h	9.87	9.41	9.99	8.92	8.91	9.15	0.339	0.041	0.726

Table 6 Ruminant digesta kinetics of finely chopped corn silage and grass hay

	Grass hay proportion (%)						SEM	<i>P</i> -value	
	0	10	20	30	40	50		Linear	Quadratic
Corn silage particle									
k1, %/h	9.68	9.31	10.28	10.34	11.46	11.69	1.062	0.077	0.718
k2, %/h	3.14	3.25	3.41	3.12	3.06	2.95	0.142	0.152	0.140
MRT, h	51.2	49.9	46.6	48.7	48.2	49.9	1.98	0.566	0.161
Grass hay particle									
k1, %/h		8.37	10.51	9.51	10.45	10.84	1.242	0.235	0.745
k2, %/h		3.33	4.77	4.03	3.67	2.73	0.54	0.195	0.047
MRT, h		53.3	44.1	49.4	49.7	55.8	2.649	0.230	0.021

k1: mean rate of size reduction for large particle in the rumen

k2: passage rate of small particles from the rumen

MRT: ruminal mean retention time of particle

## 和文抄録

コーンサイレージ主体飼料中のイネ科乾草割合が消化率とルーメン内容物動態に及ぼす影響

Kyaw San Win・上田宏一郎・近藤誠司

北海道大学大学院農学研究院, 札幌市 060-8589

ルーメンカニューレ装着ホルスタイン種乾乳牛 6 頭を供試し、細切コーンサイレージ (CS) 主体飼料中の切断イネ科乾草 (GH) 割合が消化率とルーメン内容物動態に及ぼす影響を検討した。CS および GH の設定切断長はそれぞれ 9 mm および 60 mm であった。CS 主体飼料中に GH の混合割合を乾物で 0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50% とする飼料を 6 × 6 ラテン方格法により配置し試験を実施した。Dy で標識した CS および Er で標識した GH をルーメン内に投与し、投与後 96 時間までに糞を採取した。糞中の各標識元素の排出曲線からルーメン内容物の通過動態を解析した。GH 割合の増加によって中性デタージェント繊維および酸性デタージェント繊維の全消化管消化率は 2 次曲線的な変化を示した ( $P < 0.01$ )。GH 割合はルーメン内容物の総 DM 重量および粒度分布に影響しなかった。CS の大飼料片のルーメン内微細化速度は GH の増加により増加する傾向が見られた ( $P = 0.077$ )。GH の小飼料片のルーメン内通過速度には GH 割合の増加に伴う 2 次曲線的な増加の影響が認められたが ( $P < 0.05$ )、CS の小飼料片の通過速度には GH 割合は影響を及ぼさなかった。以上の結果から、細切 CS 主体飼料中の GH 割合を変えることにより、ルーメン内での CS の微細化速度と GH の通過速度が変動することが示唆された。