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Influence of Co-adsorbates on CO$_2$ induced phase transition in functionalized pillared-layered metal–organic frameworks†

Andreas Schneemann,$^a$ Yukiko Takahashi,$^b$ Robin Rudolf,$^a$ Shin-ichiro Noro$^{*b}$ and Roland A. Fischer$^{*cd}$

Most studies on flexible MOFs which suggest good separation properties of the framework are solely based on single component isotherms and conclusions drawn from these. However, many factors are not considered, particularly the change of the pore space after the opening of the framework can have a distinct effect on the adsorption of a second gas present in a real separation problem that does not induce the phase transition of the material. Within this study, we focus on a series of flexible pillared-layered MOFs of the type [Zn$_2$(fu-bdc)$_2$(dabco)]$_n$ bearing flexible side chains and analyze the gas adsorption behavior when the material is exposed to mixtures of CO$_2$ with other adsorptives, including N$_2$, CH$_4$, C$_2$H$_6$ and C$_3$H$_8$ to evaluate the influence of the co-adsorbate on the sorption selectivity and phase transition under these conditions.

Introduction

Among the porous materials, metal–organic frameworks are a class that has gathered a lot of attention in recent years, owing to their outstanding properties. They are built up by the combination of metal clusters and multitopic organic linkers i.e. aromatic carboxylates or N-donor ligands. The reasons for the high interest in this class of materials arise from their ultrahigh surface areas, their high degree of tunability and their structural flexibility. By combining appropriate linker molecules and metal clusters, record holding materials have been prepared with unprecedented pore volumes and surface areas. The aspect of tunability of material properties leads to new tailor-made materials and ranges from simple linker functionalization to more complex functionalization and tuning principles such as defect engineering, postsynthetic exchange/incorporation of metals/linkers, the precise assembly of different building blocks per pore, or postsynthetic metallation of metal sites by atomic layer deposition. Structural flexibility describes the possibility of certain frameworks to undergo a reversible phase transition between at least two distinct states. The transition between these two states can be initiated by different stimuli, including guest ad/desorption, temperature, light, or mechanical pressure. Frameworks that can undergo this kind of transition are denoted as flexible MOFs, generation MOFs or soft porous crystals in the literature. The ability to switch depending on an external stimulus between different states makes flexible MOFs amenable for a handful of potential applications, including chemical sensing, as dampers, drug release or gas separation and storage.

In our study we are focusing on several issues regarding gas separation problems in flexible MOFs, using as an example functionalized derivatives of the well-known compound [Zn$_2$(bdc)$_2$(dabco)]$_n$ (bdc = 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate, dabco = 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane). These so-called fu-MOFs feature alkoxy sidechains (fu) pinned to the bdc linker to yield fu-bdc (2,5-functionalized-1,4-benzenedicarboxylate). These fu-MOFs are built up from Zn$_2$ paddle-wheels which are four-fold coordinated by fu-bdc linkers, thus generating a [Zn$_2$(fu-bdc)$_2$]$_n$ 2D sheet (Fig. 1). The paddle wheels are coordinated in the axial position by dabco pillars, connecting in this fashion the adjacent layers and forming a 3D structure. In the parent material with bdc as the linker only small structural changes are observed (i.e. bending of the linker molecules) when the synthesized DMF containing material is desolvated. In contrast, for the functionalized derivatives a large pore contraction is found after desolvation. This contraction is mostly initiated by interactions of the solvent-like side chains. The solvated state of the material is also referred to as the large pore (lp) form and...
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the contracted state after solvent removal is termed as the narrow pore (np) form. Interestingly, strong sorption selectivities are initiated by the right choice of functionalized derivatives 2 and 3 of the type [Zn2(fu-bdc)2(dabco)]n. Notably, during CO2 adsorption a transition from the np to the lp phase is detected, for the functionalized pillared-layered MOFs.23 Fig. 1(e) shows the shape of a CO2 adsorption isotherm typically observed for these kinds of MOFs (pink dotted line). At low pressures the material takes up a small portion of CO2. When a certain threshold pressure is surpassed, the pore opens which is indicated by a drastic increase of the CO2 uptake in the material. The threshold pressure of the phase transition can be modulated by the choice of the fu-bdc linker and can be further tuned by the preparation of mixed linker MOFs.23 Only very little N2 uptake is monitored for these materials and the common N2 isotherm shape is also depicted in Fig. 1(e) (green dotted line). For many flexible and guest responsive MOFs this kind of situation is observed for single component adsorption: pore opening at threshold pressure p for gas A and no pore opening for gas B. After the pore opening by A, a steep increase of the adsorption of A is observed, while the uptake of B remains low over the whole pressure range of the adsorption isotherm. From the data obtained from such single component adsorption experiments, it is usually deduced, that the material can separate a gas mixture consisting of the two components A and B. However, the entire pore surface of the flexible MOF is changed after gas A induced the np → lp phase transition in the material and hence two different scenarios are imaginable (Fig. 1(f)): (a) Gas A opens the pore and is adsorbed inside the framework and gas B remains outside. (b) Gas A opens the pore and is adsorbed inside the framework and gas B is co-adsorbed with gas A in the lp.

The first scenario would be necessary for a good separation of two compounds. The other scenario, i.e. the transport of species B into the framework opened by gas A, is less desirable and would reduce the sorption selectivity.

With our study presented herein, we wish to address these issues mentioned above. In particular, we want to pursue the question of what happens if the material is exposed to two different gases that both initiate a phase transition (i.e. np → lp). [Zn2(bdc)2(dabco)]n (1) as the non-functionalized and essentially rigid reference material and the two functionalized and responsive fu-MOFs [Zn2(BME-bdc)2(dabco)]n (H2BME-bdc = 2,5-bis(2-methoxyethoxy)-1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid) (2)
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A series of co-adsorption experiments was conducted using CO2 (gas A) and a selection of gases B such as N2, CH4, C2H6 and C3H8 which feature different pore opening properties. The results gathered here should be transferable to other flexible MOF materials showing promising adsorption isotherms for gas separation applications.

Experimental section

All materials were bought from commercial suppliers, such as Sigma Aldrich, TCI and Alfa Aesar and used without further purification unless otherwise noted.

Linker synthesis

The functionalized linkers H2BME-bdc and H2DiP-bdc used for the preparation of fu-MOFs [Zn2(fu-bdc)2(dabco)]6 were prepared via Williamson ether synthesis from dimethyl 2,5-dihydroxy-1,4-benzene dicarboxylate. A detailed description of the synthetic route to obtain the organic precursors and the linker molecules can be found elsewhere.

MOF synthesis

Compounds 1–3 were prepared under solvothermal reaction conditions only slightly modified from already reported synthesis methods.

[Zn4(bdc)4(dabco)]6 (1), [Zn4(BME-bdc)4(dabco)]6 (2), [Zn4(DiP-bdc)4(dabco)]6 (3)

[Zn(NO3)2].6H2O (1.33 g; 4.5 mmol), H2bdc/H2fu-bdc (4.5 mmol) and dabco (270 mg; 2.4 mmol) were put in a beaker and 100 ml DMF were added. The mixture was sonicated until the reagents were dissolved. Afterwards, the synthesis solution was divided into three equal portions and the portions were put into three individual 50 ml screw top jar. The jars filled with the solutions were then placed in a preheated oven and left for 24 hours at 120 °C. The oven temperature was then reduced to room temperature. A white, microcrystalline precipitate formed in all three cases (off-white in the case of materials 2 and 3). The solvent from the synthesis was replaced by fresh DMF and a stirring bar was added to each vessel. The mixture was vigorously stirred for 30 minutes, in order to assure a good solvent exchange and was afterwards left to settle for 24 hours. The solvent was removed by decantation and exchanged with CHCl3 and again stirred for 30 minutes and left to settle for 24 hours. This process was repeated a second time, also with CHCl3. The three identical batches were combined. Now, the material was filtered and washed three times with 15–20 ml CHCl3, transferred to a Schlenk tube, and dried in vacuo (oil pump) for 24 hours at elevated temperature (120 °C). The purity of the isolated compounds was controlled by measuring 1H-NMR spectra (after sample digestion) and PXRD patterns (see ESI†). Yield (based on H2bdc/H2fu-bdc): 1 – 79% (1.03 g, 1.8 mmol), 2 – 56% (1.09 g, 1.26 mmol), and 3 – 63% (1.15 g, 1.42 mmol).

Adsorption measurements

The single component adsorption isotherms and co-adsorption measurements were performed using a Belsorp VC apparatus (MicrotracBEL Corp.) coupled with an Agilent 490 Micro gas chromatographic system equipped with a thermal conductivity detector. For each measurement, approximately 1 g of sample was used. The MOF was placed in the sample cell, which was sealed with a metal O-ring and connected to the instrument. The sample cell is located within the isothermal part of the Belsorp VC and the temperature within this area can be precisely controlled. The lowest stable temperature was at 278 K and hence all measurements were performed at this temperature. Prior to each measurement, the samples were evacuated at elevated temperatures (120 °C) overnight (first measurement on sample) or at least for three hours (each additional measurement). The single component adsorption isotherms were measured volumetrically. For the co-adsorption measurements, a pre-defined gas mixture with distinct partial pressures for each component was introduced into the manifold of the instrument. In the manifold the two gases are mixed for 2200 seconds and dosed onto the sample. The gas phase was then allowed to equilibrate until the pressure variation was minimized. Afterwards, the total uptake was determined volumetrically. Subsequently a small amount of the gas phase remaining in the manifold was removed and the composition was analyzed by GC (gas chromatography). From the composition of the gas phase not adsorbed on the sample, the exact adsorbed portions of each component of the mixture on the sample were calculated. The process of sampling the gas above the sample followed by equilibration (until pressure variation is minimal) was repeated five times, thus measuring five data points with relatively similar total gas pressure and uptake.

Calculation of selectivity coefficients

The co-adsorption measurements provided experimental values for the molar fraction of both components remaining in the gas phase (yi) above the sample and adsorbed on the sample (xi) and hence the selectivity coefficients were calculated by the equation:

\[ S_{ads} = \frac{x_1}{y_1} / \frac{x_2}{y_2} \]
General methods

Additional data including PXRDs of the as-synthesized and activated MOFs, IR spectra, TG and NMR spectra of compounds 1–3 are given in the ESI.†

Results and discussion

In the following paragraph, all co-adsorption experiments, measurements and data are represented in a similar manner. Three different data sets were acquired in each experiment. The total uptake was determined volumetrically and is always depicted as green diamonds in the figures. The CO2 uptake (determined by GC as described in the experimental part) is indicated by red diamonds. The co-adsorbate is depicted by differently colored diamond (N2, CH4, C2H6 and C3H8 are shown in blue, black, turquoise and orange, respectively). Five measurements were undertaken during each co-adsorption experiment with the selected gas mixtures. The black arrows point from the first to the fifth measurement point. In addition, the relevant single component adsorption isotherms are plotted in the same diagrams for better understanding and comparison of the effect of the simultaneous presence of the two components.

[Zn2(bdc)2(dabco)]n (1)

The first material under study, in order to test the experimental set-up and measurement conditions, was the parent MOF [Zn2(bdc)2(dabco)]n and the respective single component adsorption isotherms for N2, CO2, CH4, C2H6 and C3H8 at 278 K are shown in Fig. 3(a). The measurements were undertaken in the range from 0 to 3200 kPa (C2H6 and C3H8 adsorptions were measured in a smaller range, due to their lower condensation pressures). It is directly visible that the adsorbed amount of CO2 on 1 is much higher than for the other gases. The maximum uptakes are for CO2, 330 cm³(STP) per g (1624 kPa); for N2, 107 cm³(STP) per g (3100 kPa); for CH4, 141 cm³(STP) per g (3050 kPa); for C2H6, 127 cm³(STP) per g (1047 kPa) and for C3H8, 95 cm³(STP) per g (189 kPa). The shapes of the isotherms do not indicate gate opening behaviour, since for all adsorbed gases no steps are visible in the adsorption isotherm. In fact, this is in good accordance with the expectations, since the parent material 1 only undergoes small structural changes upon guest loading. Fig. 1 highlights the slight structural flexibility upon ad/desorption of the solvent DMF inside the pores of 1.

Material 1 was chosen as a reference for comparison and will be also used to explain and evaluate the co-adsorption data. Fig. 3(b) shows the co-adsorption of a mixture of N2 and CO2. The applied mixture has a total gas pressure of 925 kPa. The partial pressures of the two components amount to 513 and 421 kPa of N2 and CO2, respectively. At the applied pressures barely any N2 from the mixture is adsorbed in the pore (below detection limit). Moreover, 274 cm³(STP) per g CO2 are taken up, which coincides nicely with the single component adsorption isotherm at the same pressure. During the CO2/CH4 measurement, the measured pressure points are not overlaying with the single component gas adsorption isotherms as nicely as for the CO2/N2 mixture. During the measurement a total gas pressure of 1324 kPa was applied to the sample, containing 640 kPa CO2 and 713 kPa CH4. A total amount of 169.9 cm³(STP) per g of CO2 is adsorbed which is distinctively less than for the single component adsorption isotherm at the same pressure. From the mixture a total of 27.7 cm³(STP) per g CH4 are adsorbed.

[Zn2(BME-bdc)2(dabco)]n (2)

Among the flexible fu-MOF materials that have been introduced by Fischer and co-workers over the recent years, [Zn2(BME-bdc)2(dabco)]n has been the most studied material.10,19,22 The material undergoes a np → lp phase transition when the desolvated np phase is exposed to suitable guest molecules (Fig. 1). In Fig. 4 the single component gas adsorption isotherms of this material measured at 278 K for CO2 and
N₂ are displayed. From the isotherms it is directly observable, that the material does not take up any N₂ at 278 K. However, the CO₂ adsorption isotherm shows the anticipated phase transition known for this material featuring a considerably wide hysteresis loop. In the low pressure region of the isotherm, before the np → lp transition occurs, already a decent amount of CO₂ is adsorbed (10 cm³(STP) per g). After surpassing the threshold pressure at 1700 kPa, a marked increase of the adsorbed volume of CO₂ can be observed. The overall uptake reaches a value of 83 cm³(STP) per g. The desorption of CO₂ starts at pressures below 1367 kPa and the initial plateau observed during the adsorption is reached again.

In order to characterize this gate opening and how it is affected by the presence of N₂, co-adsorption experiments were performed using CO₂/N₂ mixtures containing ratios of 986 : 1051 kPa (Fig. 4(a)) and 1469 : 1068 kPa (Fig. 3(b)). The total pressure (1975 and 2468 kPa, respectively) is higher than the characteristic single-component CO₂ phase transition pressure of material 2. However, the partial CO₂ pressure is below the phase transition pressure. A total CO₂ uptake of 15 cm³(STP) per g is measured at the first equilibration step (Fig. 4(a)) (986 kPa partial CO₂ pressure), slightly more than that of the CO₂ single component adsorption isotherm. It should be noted, that the N₂ uptake during co-adsorption is below the detection limit and thus might have an influence on the correct determination of the CO₂ uptake. During the 5 equilibration steps, the CO₂ uptake slightly increases, most likely due to the longer exposure of the material to CO₂ (higher equilibration time). For the second experiment a substantially higher CO₂ content is present in the CO₂/N₂ mixture, however the partial CO₂ pressure is still below the threshold pressure inducing the phase transition. The total CO₂ uptake is only slightly higher compared to the first experiment (18 cm³(STP) per g). As for the first experiment, the CO₂ uptake increases over the course of the five set equilibrium points. The N₂ uptake again is below the detection limit. Unfortunately, it was not possible to increase the pressure of CO₂ to surpass the threshold pressure of the phase transition due to limitations of the applicable pressures of the co-adsorption instrument. Nonetheless some valuable conclusions can be drawn. Material 2 will not undergo a np → lp phase transition for CO₂/N₂ mixtures unless the characteristic CO₂ threshold is surpassed, i.e. the pore cannot be pushed open by a great excess of N₂ in the presence of CO₂, even when the applied partial CO₂ pressure in the mixture is only slightly lower than the threshold pressure.

\[ [\text{Zn}_2(\text{Dip-bdc})_2(\text{dabco})]_n \] (3)

Since material 2 showed some restrictions, due to the relatively high phase transition pressure under the used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CO₂/N₂</th>
<th>CO₂/CH₄</th>
<th>CO₂/C₂H₆</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p(CO₂) &lt; (p_{pt})</td>
<td>Pore opening before reaching (p_{pt}); enhanced CO₂ uptake</td>
<td>Pore opening; CH₄ co-adsorbs in lp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(CO₂) &gt; (p_{pt})</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pore opening; C₂H₆ transports CO₂ into pore (enhanced CO₂ uptake)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) \(p_{pt}\) is the phase transition pressure of the respective single component adsorption isotherm.
conditions, we chose the differently functionalized material $[\text{Zn}_2(\text{DIP-bdc})_2(\text{dabco})]_n$ (3) because it reveals the lowest np → lp CO$_2$ phase transition pressure among our library of functionalized MOFs$^{19b}$ making this material much more amenable for examining the behaviour when exposed to gas mixtures, in particular when using the specific set up of this study. Fig. 5(a) and (b) shows the single component adsorption isotherms for CO$_2$, N$_2$, CH$_4$, C$_2$H$_6$ and C$_3$H$_8$ recorded at 278 K. Notably, a stepped isotherm with a hysteresis loop, indicating the np → lp phase transition of the activated material is observed for all probe gases except N$_2$ and CH$_4$. The uptake of these two gases is very low. Before the np → lp transition occurs, CO$_2$, C$_2$H$_6$, and C$_3$H$_8$ uptakes of 6.5, 3.1 and 2.6 cm$^3$(STP) per g were recorded. After exceeding the threshold pressures of the np → lp transition at 306 kPa (CO$_2$), 115 kPa (C$_2$H$_6$) and 11 kPa (C$_3$H$_8$) the uptakes were drastically increased, peaking at 92.1 (CO$_2$), 53.2 (C$_2$H$_6$) and 47.2 (C$_3$H$_8$) cm$^3$(STP) per g. For N$_2$ and CH$_4$ only comparatively low values of 2.4 and 4.6 cm$^3$(STP) per g were found. Fig. 5(c) and (d) shows the co-adsorption experiments on 3 for CO$_2$/N$_2$ mixtures. In one case the partial CO$_2$ pressure is kept below the threshold pressure at 290 kPa (Fig. 5(c)) and in the other case the partial CO$_2$ pressure is above the np → lp transition pressure at 621 kPa (Fig. 5(d)). The total pressure of the gas mixture was above the threshold pressure in both cases. The first experiment shows that an excess of N$_2$ (903 kPa) cannot help to induce the np → lp transition (Fig. 5(c)), as was also observed for material 2. When this mixture is applied the framework takes up

![Fig. 5 Depiction of the excess single component and co-adsorption measurements of material 3. N$_2$, CO$_2$, CH$_4$, C$_2$H$_6$ and C$_3$H$_8$ single component isotherms are blue, red, black, turquoise and orange, respectively. CO$_2$, N$_2$, CH$_4$ and C$_2$H$_6$ fractions of the co-adsorption experiments are shown in red, blue, black and turquoise, respectively. The total adsorption is shown in green.](image-url)
a marked increase of the adsorption was found for both components when compared to the respective single component isotherms. Values of 24.4 cm$^3$(STP) per g adsorbed CO$_2$ and 11.5 cm$^3$(STP) per g of C$_2$H$_6$ are measured in contrast to 6.1 cm$^3$(STP) per g and 2.8 cm$^3$(STP) per g (single component adsorption). This suggests that strong cooperative effects between the two gases allow the gate opening transition to occur at lower partial pressures of each component. In the experiments depicted in Fig. 5(h) and (i) in both cases very similar behavior is observed. In Fig. 5(h), $p$(CO$_2$) and $p$(C$_2$H$_6$) amount to 249 kPa and 271 kPa, respectively. The measurement reveals, that the CO$_2$ uptake (28.7 cm$^3$(STP) per g) is significantly increased in comparison to the single component adsorption (6.1 cm$^3$(STP) per g). We conclude that the CO$_2$ is co-adsorbing with the C$_2$H$_6$ that opened the pore. A similar explanation can be drawn for the experiment in Fig. 5(i), where $p$(CO$_2$) is above (545.7 kPa) and $p$(C$_2$H$_6$) is below (90.7 kPa) the np $\rightarrow$ lp transition pressure. The CO$_2$ adsorption at this point nicely coincides with the single component isotherm, while the C$_2$H$_6$ adsorption at 90.7 kPa is notably increased from 2.9 cm$^3$(STP) per g to 10.4 cm$^3$(STP) per g, which is in line with the uptake found in the first co-adsorption experiment of these two species when both partial pressures are below the np $\rightarrow$ lp transition pressure (Fig. 5(g)).

For the CO$_2$/C$_2$H$_6$ mixtures similar results were found as for CO$_2$/C$_3$H$_8$ and a detailed description can be found in the ESI (Fig. S9†). A summary of the results found for material 3 is shown in Table 1.

**Calculation of selectivities**

For all co-adsorption measurements the selectivity coefficients were also calculated based on the molar fractions adsorbed on the material and left in the gas phase during each co-adsorption measurement. The calculated values for the 1st equilibration point for material 3 are presented in Table 2 (values for the other materials and other equilibration points are listed in the ESI†). First of all, it needs to be mentioned, that the CO$_2$/N$_2$ measurements conducted on all three materials look very promising and a good separation of the two components is directly visible from the co-adsorption experiments shown before. However, the values determined during the N$_2$ adsorption are below the detection limit and a meaningful evaluation was not possible. However, in particular for material 3 some interesting conclusions can be drawn. In the case of the CO$_2$/CH$_4$ co-adsorption experiments a decent selectivity towards CO$_2$ is calculated, for the case that the CO$_2$ pressure is below the threshold pressure of the phase transition (5.85). In this case CH$_4$ helps inducing a phase transition at lower partial pressures as expected. When the CO$_2$ pressure is increased and the material is present in the Ip, CH$_4$ is co-adsorbing and the selectivity is drastically increased to 11.57 (the increase in CO$_2$ adsorption is higher than the increase in CH$_4$ adsorption induced by the observed cooperative effects). From the CO$_2$/C$_2$H$_6$ co-adsorption measurements only very low selectivities are determined. The values towards CO$_2$ are in the range of 0.75 to 1.02 – the material seems to slightly favour the adsorption of
C₂H₆ and a separation of these two gases over 3 does not seem feasible. The CO₂/C₂H₆ co-adsorption measurements show a clear trend towards a favoured adsorption of C₂H₆ in the presence of CO₂ (see ESI Table S1† for data). In particular at low overall pressures, when the C₂H₆ partial pressure is below the threshold pressure of the phase transition determined by the single component isotherm, a good selectivity of C₂H₆ over CO₂ of 3.24 results. This result seems a bit odd, as the adsorbed amount of C₂H₆ is very low compared to the adsorbed CO₂. However, the molar ratios of C₂H₆/CO₂ in the gas phase are much lower than for the adsorbed state. Thus C₂H₆ is relatively enriched over CO₂ by adsorption.

We conclude that a separation of two gases that possess a two-step isotherm over a flexible MOF is highly unlikely. The gas with the lower threshold pressure will open the pore and considerable amounts of the second gas will be adsorbed in the pore as well. Nevertheless, if the concentrations/pressures of both components are wisely chosen, our data suggest that a separation can be achieved under some specific conditions.

Finally it needs to be highlighted that the np → lp phase transition is a phenomenon governed by thermodynamics. The energy needed for the phase transition must be compensated by the adsorption enthalpy of the respective gases at lp. From our recent molecular dynamics simulations and NMR studies we know that the favoured adsorption sites for CO₂ within this class of fu-MOFs are located in the vicinity of the carboxylate groups connecting to the metal-ion. Thus, the interactions of the guest with the side chains need to be taken into account in order to pass through and reach the adsorption site. Furthermore, the attractive side-chain/side-chain and side-chain/linker interactions favour the pore contraction and need to be compensated to initiate the phase transition. In case of co-adsorption, both components (guests) contribute to the over-all energetics of the np → lp gate-opening phenomenon. It is thus quite conceivable that a cooperative gate opening is possible (as we observed) in case of setting the partial pressures of the two components below the individual phase transition pressures (CO₂/C₂H₆ and CO₂/C₃H₈). If a guest is chosen (N₂) exhibiting low uptake and adsorption enthalpies for the np (experimental evidence) and also (presumably) in the lp state of the material, it will neither assist in the pore opening nor will it significantly co-adsorb. In the case of CO₂/CH₄ experiments, we assume that the adsorption enthalpy of CH₄ is increased in the lp as compared to the np state (increased accessibility of favourable adsorption sites, less hindrance by the side chains to reach adsorption sites). We are aiming to understand the effects reported in this study more quantitatively by focusing on a comprehensive thermodynamic analysis of the co-adsorption process in combination with molecular dynamics simulations in the future. Nevertheless, we suggest some exciting potential functions for flexible MOFs from the current results. For example, it is imaginable that the one gas A of a mixture can work as

---

**Table 2** Selectivity coefficients calculated from the molar fractions of the components of the binary gas mixture in the gas phase and adsorbed on the material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fig.</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Gas mixture</th>
<th>pₑ/kPa</th>
<th>Molar fraction gas phase</th>
<th>Molar fraction adsorbed</th>
<th>Selectivity (CO₂)</th>
<th>Selectivity (co-adsorbate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5(c)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CO₂/N₂</td>
<td>1328.59</td>
<td>0.47 0.53</td>
<td>1.00 0.00</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(d)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CO₂/N₂</td>
<td>1347.56</td>
<td>0.22 0.78</td>
<td>1.18 0.18</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(e)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CO₂/CH₄</td>
<td>1323.98</td>
<td>0.48 0.52</td>
<td>0.84 0.16</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(f)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CO₂/CH₄</td>
<td>1167.08</td>
<td>0.24 0.76</td>
<td>0.79 0.21</td>
<td>11.57</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(g)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CO₂/C₂H₆</td>
<td>336.52</td>
<td>0.74 0.26</td>
<td>0.68 0.32</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(h)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CO₂/C₂H₆</td>
<td>511.79</td>
<td>0.48 0.52</td>
<td>0.48 0.52</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(i)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CO₂/C₂H₆</td>
<td>630.01</td>
<td>0.86 0.14</td>
<td>0.84 0.16</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data is from the 1st equilibrium point. Equilibration pressure of the gas mixture.

---

**Conclusions**

The results leave a lot of room for discussion and further experiments and theoretical modelling. Below we summarize our findings (I–IV) regarding the adsorption of binary gas mixtures on fu-MOFs of the type [Zn₂(fu-bdc)₂(dabco)]ₙ and suggest some conclusions that might hold true for other flexible MOFs.

(I) The presence of N₂ is not affecting the CO₂ uptake in fu-MOFs. The results found for 2 and 3 show that the phase transition cannot be shifted to lower pressures and N₂ does not assist in the pore expansion process.

(II) N₂ is not adsorbed in the pore after the CO₂ threshold pressure is surpassed and the np → lp phase transition occurred.

(III) More polar guests, with stronger adsorption enthalpies at the adsorption sites and more favourable interactions with the pendant side chains can help inducing a preliminary CO₂ induced phase transition. Additionally, CH₄ can travel into the pore with the adsorbent and the CH₄ uptake is strikingly higher than during single component adsorption when only the np is present.

(IV) Adsorbates that show stepped isotherms (gate opening behaviour) can induce strong cooperative effects with CO₂. In the presence of C₂H₆ and C₃H₈, the CO₂ adsorption is strongly increased below the CO₂ phase transition pressure.
a switching gas. The second gas B can undergo a reaction or cause a signal inside the framework, only if a certain partial pressure of gas A is set. The obvious necessity of fine-tuning the chosen flexible framework could be done by choosing a suitable linker-functionalization as it is offered by our library of fu-MOFs including mixed-component (or multivariate) fu-MOFs (with different metal ions, linkers and functionalized side chains). Such systems may be tailored to operate at different temperature and pressure regimes and feature guest-specific and adjustable gate-opening properties.
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