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Preparation of electrode for electric double layer capacitor from electrospun lignin fibers

Abstract: Lignin-based activated carbon fibers (ACFs) were prepared by electrospinning of hardwood acetic acid lignin (HW-AAL) solution followed by thermostabilization, carbonization, and steam activation. The thermostabilization process was able to be remarkably shortened from 38 h to 3 h with hexamethylenetetramine (hexamine) in binary solvents, AcOH/CCl₄ (8/2), when compared with conventional thermostabilization processes. The resultant ACFs possessed higher specific surface area (2185 m² g⁻¹) than those from commercial activated carbon and electrospun lignin fibers without hexamine. These ACFs also exhibited good electrical capacitance (133.3 F g⁻¹ at a current density of 1 A g⁻¹) as electrodes of electric double layer capacitor (EDLC) are efficient not only due to their large surfaces area but also due to their porous structure with well-developed micropores (diameter: 0.5–1.3 nm). High energy density and power density of this EDLC (42 Wh kg⁻¹ and 91 kW kg⁻¹, respectively) were also achieved.

Keywords: electric double layer capacitor, electrospinning, lignin-based activated carbon fibers, pore size distribution, surface area

DOI 10.1515/hf-2014-0262
Received September 26, 2014; accepted January 16, 2015; previously published online February 19, 2015

Introduction

Innovative technologies with bio-based products can reduce the dependence on fossil resources (Bozell and Petersen 2010). Cellulose and lignin are the most and second abundant components of plant biomass, respectively. Lignin as a by-product of pulp and paper industry is mainly burned for energy recovery (Calvo-Flores and Dobado 2010). For sustainable production processes based on renewable resources, lignin utilization is one of the key not only for energetic purposes but also as a source of chemicals and plastics. Lignin is promising for development of carbonaceous value-added functional materials such as activated carbons (Suhas et al. 2007), carbon fibers (CFs) (Kadla et al. 2002; Kubo and Kadla 2005) and activated carbon fibers (ACFs) (Babel and Jurewicz 2008; Rosas et al. 2009). ACFs contain micropores, which are directly exposed to the surface of the fiber, while in activated carbons, macropores and mesopores are formed within the cell wall. Fast adsorption/desorption of adsorbate molecules is typical for ACFs (Inagaki 2009). ACFs could be prepared from hardwood and softwood acetic acid lignins (HW-AAL and SW-AAL, respectively) by melt spinning followed by thermostabilization, carbonization, and steam activation after pretreatments (Uraki et al. 2001), which have a high potential as adsorbents.

Recently, ACFs were tested as electrode material for electric double layer capacitor (EDLC) (Liu et al. 2007; Xu et al. 2007). EDLC is an energy storage device, and could be an alternative to secondary battery like lithium ion battery (Simon and Gogotsi 2008) because of its advantages: high power density, short charging time, long cycle life, and environmental friendliness (Bose et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2012). However, the disadvantage of EDLC is its low energy density with 4–5 Wh kg⁻¹, which is approximately 1/10 the energy density of the secondary batteries (Burke 2007). EDLC stores electric energy in an electric double layer formed by physical sorption of electrolyte ions onto carbonaceous electrode. Accordingly, one possible method to improve energy density is to develop electrode materials with higher capacitance, which will be achieved by the preparation of carbon materials with larger surface area and suitable pore size for accumulation and transportation of more electrolytes (Inagaki et al. 2010). In addition, organic electrolytes may also enhance energy density because its potential window (0–3 V) is wider than those of aqueous electrolytes (0–1 V) (Zhang and Zhao 2009; El-Kady et al. 2012).
There are a few reports on EDLC preparation from lignin-based carbonaceous electrode (Lai et al. 2014; Ruiz-Rosas et al. 2014). The EDLC with a remarkably high capacitance of 202 F g⁻¹, measured at a current density of 0.05 A g⁻¹, could be fabricated with aqueous electrolyte, but the energy density (less than 6 Wh kg⁻¹) is low due to the limited window potential.

Therefore, an objective of the present study is to prepare EDLC electrode with a large capacitance and a high energy density from HW-AAL. The following strategy was followed to obtain carbonaceous material with large surface area: electrospun fibers of HW-AAL (Feng and Aorigele 2009) should be the source for ACFs. However, it requires a long time to thermostabilize the fibers as a process step of ACFs preparation. Thereby, novel electrospun fibers should be developed from a mixture of HW-AAL and hexamethylenetetramine (hexamine), which can be easily converted to thermostabilized fibers within 3 h. Furthermore, EDLC should be assembled with the resultant ACFs and organic electrolyte, triethylmethylammonium tetrafluoroborate (TEMABF₄)/propylene carbonate (PC). This article reports the preparation process and electrochemical properties of the EDLC.

Materials and methods

The flow diagram of ACF preparation and EDLC assembly is shown in Figure 1. HW-AAL was isolated from birch wood chips (white birch, Betula platyphylla Sukachev var. japonica Haraj) by atmospheric acetic acid pulping (Uraki et al. 1995). HW-AAL was dissolved in AcOH or binary solvents of AcOH/CCl₄ (weight ratio, 8:2), and then a different solvent (AcOH). Specifically, a volume of introduced water in milliliters is designated at the end of ACF samples (e.g., LACF-2-105 stands for 105 ml H₂O consumption in the activation process).

The specific surface area was calculated from N₂ adsorption isotherms at -196°C was monitored on a surface area analyzer (Autosorb-1, Quantachrome, Tokyo, Japan). The specific surface area was calculated from N₂ adsorption isotherms in the range of the relative pressure (P/P₀) from 0.02 to 0.30 according to the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) model (Fitzer and Müller 1975).

EDLC of symmetric two-electrode cell was assembled with the LACF-2 or commercially available activated carbon powder (AC powder, Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) as a reference material, sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) as a binder, and conductive carbon black (CB, Super P conductive, 99+%, Alfa Aesar, Heysham, England), at the weight ratio of 85:10:5. Each sample together with CB was added to 2% of aqueous CMC solution, and then the mixture was ultrasonicated by an ultrasonic washer (5510R, Aesar, Heysham, England), at the weight ratio of 85:10:5. Then, the mixture was coated on Al foil, and the Al foil was dried at 105°C overnight. The coated Al foil was cut into a circle with a diameter of 16 mm (circle sheets), and the sheets were kept in an oven at 105°C before the assembling process. The solid weight on the Al foil was calculated by the difference between the weights before and after deposition of specimens, and two sheets with less than 0.1 mg of weight difference were used in a pair for EDLC assembly. Herein, 1 M TEMABF₄/PC served as electrolyte; cellulose paper (Mitsubishi paper Mills Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) was used as separator. The electrochemical properties were evaluated by means of an electrochemical workstation (Autolab PGSTAT302N FRA32M, Metrohm Autolab B.V., Tokyo, Japan). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was monitored with a potential window from 0 to 3 V at different scan rates.
from 0.01 to 0.1 V s⁻¹, and specific capacitance was calculated from cyclic voltammogram according to Equation (1) (Qu and Shi 1998). Herein, we used an instantaneous value, \( C_{1.5} \) (approximate value of real specific capacitance) at intermediate value in the potential range:

\[
C_{1.5} = \frac{4I}{mV/t}
\]

where \( I \) is the current formed at the potential of 1.5 V, \( V/t \) is the potential scanning rate, and \( m \) refers to the total weight of the materials on two electrodes. Galvanostatic charge/discharge (GCD) measurements were carried out at a current density of 1 A g⁻¹ within the voltage range of 0–3 V. The specific capacitance, \( C \), for a single electrode was calculated from the GCD curves by Equation (2) (Qu and Shi 1998):

\[
C = \frac{\delta q}{m \Delta V / \Delta t}
\]

where \( q \) is the applied current, CMC and CB, and \( \Delta V/\Delta t \) is the slope obtained by fitting a straight line to the discharge curve over the range from 1.8 V to 1.35 V (60–45% of \( V_{\text{max}} \), where \( V_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum value of the operating potential window). The energy density, \( E \), was estimated by using Equation (3) (Kim et al. 2013):

\[
E = \frac{1}{8} CV_{\text{max}}^2
\]

The power density, \( P \), was estimated at certain constant current \( i \), according to Equation (4) (Kim et al. 2013):

\[
P = \frac{i(V_{\text{max}} - V_{\text{drop}})^2}{2mV_{\text{drop}}}
\]

where \( V_{\text{drop}} \) is the voltage drop at the beginning of the discharge. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) experiments were carried out at open circuit potential with a sinusoidal signal with amplitude of 10 mV over a frequency range of 100 kHz–1 Hz.

### Results and discussion

**Electrospinning of HW-AAL and thermostabilization of the fibers**

HW-AAL with the weight average molecular weight (\( M_w \)) of 7851 and the polydispersity (\( M_w/M_n \)) of 4.6 was dissolved in AcOH to prepare a homogeneous solution as a dope at a concentration range of 30–40%. Figure 2 clearly shows that electrospun fibers were obtained from the solution at 35% and 40% concentrations at an applied voltage of 38 kV; thus, a relatively high concentration was required for the spinning. Diameters of the HW-AAL fibers from 35% and 40% solutions were 1.30 and 1.51 μm, respectively (Table 1). Therefore, the solution with a higher concentration gave thicker fibers.

Because of the thermal fusibility of HW-AAL and its fibers, the electrospun fibers were subjected to the thermostabilization as a second process for preparation of CFs, which generated infusible fibers. In general, it was performed by heating the fibers gradually to about 250°C in an air atmosphere (Kubo et al. 1998). Unfortunately, the HW-AAL fibers were melted during the heating even at relatively slow heating rate of 0.3 and 0.5°C min⁻¹. The product morphologies are also shown in Figure 2. The thermostabilized fibers could possibly be obtained only at the heating rate of 0.1°C min⁻¹, which would have needed a 38-h treatment time, which is not acceptable in an industrial process. Accordingly, these HW-AAL fibers were not suitable as a precursor of CFs. However, such a very slow thermostabilization process would lead to fine thermostabilized fibers with an average diameter of 0.87 μm (Figure 2d).

Thermostabilization of precursory fibers for CFs was carried out by crosslinking, and this process has to be accelerated by the introduction of a crosslinker into the lignin molecules. Hexamine was attempted for this purpose as it is decomposing to formaldehyde, which is a crosslinker for phenol-formaldehyde resin and ammonia under acidic conditions (Martin et al. 2006). When hexamine was mixed with HW-AAL/AcOH solution, the resultant solution was not suited to electrospinning because of the high viscosity of the solution.

Hence, HW-AAL and hexamine were dissolved in the binary solvent AcOH/CCl₄ and suppressed the viscosity increment because the viscosity of CCl₄ (0.97 mPa·s, 20°C) is smaller than that of AcOH (1.21 mPa·s, 20°C), and the acidity of the solution was weaker than with AcOH alone. Expectedly, HW-AAL could be successfully electrospun from such a mixture solution at a concentration of 35% as shown in Figure 3. Table 1 summarizes the spinning conditions and the average diameter of the obtained fibers, where missing values in average diameter shows unsuccessful electrospinning. The average diameter of electrospun fibers were increased with increasing hexamine concentration. These results indicate that a larger amount of hexamine contributes to bigger molecular bundles.

Spun fabrics (fiber mat) containing 75% and 10% of hexamine could be easily thermostabilized by heating in air up to 250°C at a heating rate of 2°C min⁻¹ followed by stabilization at this temperature for 1 h. However, the fabrics with 5% hexamine were partly melted during this process. Thus, thermostabilization time was remarkably shortened from 38 h to less than 3 h by the addition of hexamine. The average diameter of fibers was also decreased by this thermostabilization from 3.8 to 3.7 μm for the fibers with 75% hexamine, from 5.2 to 3.5 μm for those with 10% hexamine as shown in Tables 1 and 2. The rate of diameter decrement with 75% hexamine was lower, which is surprising but might be explained by the following hypothesis. The lignin fibers can be divided into completely...
Figure 2: Microscopic images of electrospinning products at different concentrations of HAL/AcOH solution, (a) 30 wt%; (b) 35 wt%; (c) 40 wt%, and thermostabilization products at different heating rates, (d) 0.1°C min⁻¹; (e), 0.3°C min⁻¹; (f), 0.5°C min⁻¹ (precursor, electrospun fiber derived from 35 wt% HAL/AcOH solution).

Table 1: Electrospinning conditions and average diameter of electrospun fibers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Solution conc. (%)</th>
<th>Hexamine content (%)</th>
<th>Avg. diameter (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HW-AAL/AcOH</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW-AAL/AcOH</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.30±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW-AAL/AcOH</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.51±0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW-AAL/AcOH</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW-AAL/AcOH/CCl₄</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.51±2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW-AAL/AcOH/CCl₄</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.80±1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW-AAL/AcOH/CCl₄</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.17±2.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

crosslinked and thermally stable inside part and poorly crosslinked thermally unstable outside part, which is due to the higher surface area as the reaction sites are increasing exponentially at the surface area. The hexamine concentration is lower in the outer part than that in the inner part. The fiber has a kind of core-shell structure. If the shell of fibers with 10% hexamine is thicker than that with 75% hexamine, with both fibers having almost the same diameter of the core, then it is possible that fibers with 10% hexamine seemingly shrinks more than those with 7.5% hexamine.

Carbonization and activation of thermostabilized HW-AAL fibers

The thermostabilized HW-AAL electrospun fibers with hexamine were converted to CFs by carbonization at
The LCF-2 prepared with 10% of hexamine were, in turn, activated with steam at 900°C for 1 h to prepare LACF-2. The average diameter of LACF-2-105 was 1.4 μm, which was significantly decreased by the carbonization and activation. Additionally, LACF-2-180 (SEM images not shown here) was also prepared, and it exhibited the similar morphology with an average diameter of 1.3 μm.

### Surface area and pores of CFs and ACFs

Specific surface area of HW-AAL-based CFs and ACFs were calculated from N\textsubscript{2} adsorption isotherms by the BET method, and their pore size distribution were measured from N\textsubscript{2} adsorption by QSDFT. Results are listed in Table 3. The specific BET surface area (expressed at standard temperature and pressure) of LCF-1 was 532 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1}, while that of LCF-2 was 1287 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1}. The larger surface area of LCF-2 can be attributed to porous morphology of electrospun fibers from the mixture. The surface area of LCF-2 was almost comparable to that of commercial AC powder (1434 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1}) in spite of not being activated. Furthermore, this value was larger than CFs derived from electrospun fibers of synthetic polymers previously reported [PAN, 11.4-340.9 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1}].

---

**Table 2**: Diameter of the resultant fibers after heat treatment at 250°C (heating rate of 2°C min\textsuperscript{-1}) for 1 h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Hexamine content (%)</th>
<th>Avg. diameter (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermostab. fibers</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.72±2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermostab. fibers</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.49±2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCF-2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.53±1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCF-2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.73±1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LACF-2-105</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.42±0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LACF-2-180</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.34±1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 3**: SEM images of lignin-based fibrous products at 10 wt% of hexamine content, (a) electrospun fibers; (b) thermostabilized fibers; (c) LCF-2; and (d) LACF-2-105.
Similarly, steam-activated LCF-2 (LACF-2-105 and LACF-2-180) also have much larger surface area (2185 and 2447 m² g⁻¹, respectively) than the activated LCF-1 (LACF-1-105, 979 m² g⁻¹). Both values of LACF-2 are higher than that of ACF derived from electrospun fibers of PAN (850–1230 m² g⁻¹) (Kim and Yang 2003). From t-plot, the internal surface area, as an adsorption site, of both LCF-2, was much larger than that of commercial AC powder, suggesting that LACF-2 mainly consists of micro- and mesopores. Figure 5a obtained by the calculation based on the QSDFT method clearly shows that LACF-2 is comprised of pores in the diameter range from 0.5 nm to 3.0 nm, which are well developed, compared with that of LACF-1 (0.5 nm to 1.2 nm). Specifically, the pore size distribution of LACF-2-105 is rich in the range of 0.5–1.4 nm, while that of LACF-2-180 is converted to a larger region of 1.4–3.0 nm as shown in Figure 5b. On the other hand, the AC powder had a large pore volume of 1.39 ml g⁻¹, but Figure 5 and the external surface area shows that most pores consist of macropores that are not involved in adsorption of guest molecules. This difference between LACF-2 and AC powder might affect the accumulation of the electrolyte ions, when EDLC is assembled with these materials. Thus, these results can be safely interpreted that electrospun fibers from a mixed solution of HW-AAL and hexamine in a binary solvent system contribute not only to the reduced time for thermostabilization but also to the increase in the surface area and pores amount of ACFs.

**EDLC assembly and its electrochemical properties**

EDLC was assembled with grinded LACF-2 or AC powder together with CB, CMC as a binder, and with an organic

![Figure 4: Magnified SEM images of ACFs prepared from (a) a single solvent system (LACF-1-105) and (b) a binary solvent system (LACF-2-105).](image)

**Table 3:** Surface area and pore parameters of carbonaceous materials and the properties of assembled EDLC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Activation yield (%)</th>
<th>Specific surface area (m² g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total pore volume (ml g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Avg. pore diam. (nm)</th>
<th>Internal surface area (m² g⁻¹)</th>
<th>External surface area (m² g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Specific capacitance (F g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Intrinsic resistance (Ω)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCF-2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1287</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LACF-2-105</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>2185</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1775</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>133.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LACF-2-180</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>2447</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1278</td>
<td>1169</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCF-1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LACF-1-105</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC powder</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1434</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>1103</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated by the BET model.
*Calculated based on QSDFT model.
*Calculated according to t-plot method.
*Calculated by GCD method.
electrolyte TEMABF₄/PC. These constituents for EDLC were assembled in a two-electrode symmetrical cell. The electrochemical property of the resultant EDLCs were evaluated by CV, GCD, and EIS, as presented in Figures 6–8, respectively.

All CV profiles were monitored at a potential window from 0 to 3 V at different scan rates of 0.01, 0.05, and 0.1 V s⁻¹. The cyclic voltammogram of EDLC_LACF-2-105 shows a nearly rectangular shape, and calculated capacitance values from 135 F g⁻¹ at the scan rate of 0.1 V s⁻¹ to 143 F g⁻¹ at the scan rate of 0.01 V s⁻¹ are almost identical (Figure 6a). These results indicate that the EDLC has a good capacitive behavior and high-scan-rate stability. On the other hand, the EDLC_AC_powder showed a lower value of 74.8 F g⁻¹ at a scan rate of 0.05 V s⁻¹ (Figure 6b). Even LACF-2-180 had a larger surface area than LACF-2-105, which might provide more adsorption sites for electrolyte ions, it only has a low capacitance of 69.2 F g⁻¹. This huge difference is because LACF-2-105 has more abundant pores distributed in the range of 0.5–1.3 nm than LACF-2-180, which is in agreement with the finding that pores less than 1 nm lead an anomalous but significant increase in carbon capacitance (Chmiola et al. 2006). Because the solvated electrolyte ions become highly distorted when they are squeezed through such small pores, and such distortion would allow closer approach of the ion center to the electrode surface, and this improves the capacitance.

From GCD measurement, the profile of EDLC_LACF-2-105 and EDLC_LACF-2-180 shows symmetrical triangles comprised of almost linear lines, and this triangle shape was repeatedly shown until 100 times even at a high current density of 1 A g⁻¹ (Figure 7a and b). These results also revealed the effective formation of electric double layer. The specific capacitance of LACF-2105 from GCD was calculated as 133.3 F g⁻¹ (Figure 7a), which was superior to EDLC_LACF-2180 (63.5 F g⁻¹).
Figure 7: GCD profiles of (a) LACF-2-180, (b) LACF-2-105, and (c) AC powder-based EDLC under a current density of 1 A g⁻¹.

(Figure 7b) and EDLC_{ACpowder} (65.2 F g⁻¹) (Figure 7c). It was also comparable to those assembled with different ACFs reported previously (Inagaki et al. 2010). Energy density indicates how much energy an EDLC stores, while power density is an indication for the speed of the electric charge release. These values were calculated from the specific capacitance, according to Equations (3) and (4), to compare the EDLC_{LACF-105} with that of EDLC_{ACpowder}. EDLC_{LACF-105} has an energy density of 42 Wh kg⁻¹ and a power density of 91 kW kg⁻¹. EDLC_{ACpowder} has an energy density of 20 Wh kg⁻¹ and a power density of 102 kW kg⁻¹. As mentioned earlier, the high performance of EDLC_{LACF-105} is attributable to the large surface area and proper pore size distribution.

Figure 8: Nyquist plots with comparisons between (a) LACF-2-180- and LACF-2-105-based EDLCs (with same intrinsic resistance and different polarization resistance); (b) AC powder and LACF-2-105-based EDLCs (with different intrinsic resistance and polarization resistance).

Nyquist plots of EDLC_{LACF-2} and EDLC_{ACpowder} are presented in Figure 8 in a frequency range from 100 kHz to 1 Hz. Both profiles show one semicircle at the
The profile of $\text{EDLC}_{\text{LACF-2-105}}$ displays a relatively insufficient mobility of electrolyte ions compared to $\text{EDLC}_{\text{LACF-2-180}}$. A polarization resistance, as a measure of electrolyte mobility for adsorption and desorption rates of the electrolyte into/from the pores of carbonaceous electrode, can be obtained from the diameter of the semicircle at the high-frequency region (Liu et al. 2008). The polarization resistance of LACF-2-105-based EDLC was larger than that of LACF-2-180 and AC powder-based EDLC, thus, the adsorption and desorption rates of the electrolyte on LACF-2-105 are slower than those on LACF-2-180 and AC powder. In addition, the line length of slope at the angle of 45° in a low-frequency region means diffusion resistance in the pore (Figure 9) (Liu et al. 2008). The length in the profile of $\text{EDLC}_{\text{LACF-2-105}}$ is also longer than that of $\text{EDLC}_{\text{LACF-2-180}}$ and $\text{EDLC}_{\text{AC powder}}$, indicating the higher diffusion resistance of $\text{EDLC}_{\text{LACF-2-105}}$. The insufficient mobility of the electrolyte is probably caused by the smaller pore size distribution of LACF-2-105 (0.5–1.3 nm) compared with that of LACF-2-180 (1.3–3.0 nm) and AC powder (macropores).

Conclusions

Easily thermostabilized lignin-based electrospun fibers were successfully prepared from a mixed solution of HW-AAL and hexamine in a binary solvent system. This solution system contributed not only to reduce the thermostabilization time but also to develop more small pores on the ACFs surface. Accordingly, the resultant ACFs from the fibers have a larger surface area (2185 m² g⁻¹) and better developed micropores and smaller number of mesopores than other carbonaceous samples. This ACF (LACF-2-105)-based EDLC had a satisfactory electrochemical performance, namely, a relatively high capacitance (133.3 F g⁻¹ at a current density of 1 A g⁻¹), an energy density of 42 Wh kg⁻¹, and a power density of 91 kW kg⁻¹. The good performance is probably due to the large surface area and highly developed pores in the range of 0.5–1.3 nm, although this pore size distribution also resulted in high polarization and diffusion resistance.

The EDLCs from the isolated lignins as presented here offer a very attractive prospect for energy storage devices, though further research is needed in this field.
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