**Tubulanus tamias** sp. nov. (Nemertea: Palaeonemertea) with Two Different Types of Epidermal Eyes
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Based on specimens collected subtidally (~10 m in depth) in Tomioka Bay, Japan, we describe the palaeonemertean *Tubulanus tamias* sp. nov., which differs from all its congeners in body coloration.

In molecular phylogenetic analyses based on partial sequences of the nuclear 18S and 28S rRNA genes and histone H3, as well as the mitochondrial 16S rRNA and cytochrome c oxidase subunit I genes, among selected palaeonemertean species, *T. tamias* nested with part of the congeners in *Tubulanus*, while the genus as currently diagnosed appears to be non-monophyletic. Molecular cloning detected polymorphism in 28S rDNA sequences in a single individual of *T. tamias*, indicating incomplete concerted evolution of multiple copies. *Tubulanus tamias* is peculiar among tubulans in having 9–10 pigment-cup eyes in the epidermis on either side of the head anterior to the cerebral sensory organs, and remarkably there are two types of eyes. The anterior 8–9 pairs of eyes, becoming larger from anterior to posterior, are completely embedded in the epidermis and proximally abutting the basement membrane; each pigment cup contains bundle of up to seven, rod-shaped structure that resemble a rhabdomeric photoreceptor cell. In contrast, the posterior-most pair of eyes, larger than most of the anterior ones, have an optical cavity filled with long cilia and opening to the exterior, thus appearing to have ciliary-type photoreceptor cells. The size and arrangement of the eyes indicate that the posterior-most pair of eyes are the remnant of the larval (or juvenile) eyes.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The palaeonemertean genus *Tubulanus* Renier, 1804 contains 34 valid species of marine, benthic forms (Fernández-Álvarez and Anadón, 2013; Gibson, 2014) that often have characteristic body coloration. Four species have been reported from Japanese waters: *T. capistratus* (Coé, 1901); *T. ezoensis* Yamaoka, 1940; *T. punctatus* (Takakura, 1898); and *T. roretzi* Senz, 1997. *Tubulanus lucidus* Iwata, 1952 has a mid-dorsal blood vessel, a character uncommon in Palaeonemertea s.str. (i.e., non-hubrechtellid forms that do not produce a pilidium larva); this species appears to belong to Hubrechtella or a related genus, rather than to *Tubulanus* (Kajihara, 2007).

During a faunal survey around Tomioka, Kyushu, Japan, we collected specimens of an undescribed species of *Tubulanus* having eyes. Of ~110 described species in Palaeonemertea s.str., this is the seventh species known to have definitive eyes. The main aims of this paper are (1) to describe the species from Tomioka as new to science, and (2) to infer the phylogenetic position of the species among other select palaeonemertean species for which sequences are available in GenBank. We discuss the possible developmental pattern of eyes in this species based on the observation of adult morphology. We sequenced part of the 16S, 18S, and 28S rDNA, as well as histone H3 and cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) genes to confirm the generic placement, and in the course of this analysis discovered polymorphism of 28S rDNA sequences, which we also report here.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sampling and morphological observation**

Three anterior fragments of nemerteans were obtained with a Smith-McIntire grab sampler on 25 November 2009 from muddy-sand sediment at 9.7 m depth at Tomioka Bay (32°31′42″N, 130°02′15″E), Kyushu, Japan, by the research boat *Seriola* of the Amakusa Marine Biological Laboratory, Kyushu University. The fragments were anaeasthetized in a MgCl₂ solution isotonic to seawater; the posterior portions of the two of the fragments were fixed and preserved in 100% EtOH for DNA extraction. Fragments for histological observation were fixed in Bouin’s solution for 24 h and then preserved in 70% EtOH. They were dehydrated in 100% EtOH for DNA extraction. Fragments for histological observation were fixed in Bouin’s solution for 24 h and then preserved in 70% EtOH. They were dehydrated in 100% EtOH, cleared in xylene, embedded in paraffin wax (melting point 56–57°C), and sectioned at 9 or 14 μm thickness. Sections were stained using the Mallory trichrome method (Gibson, 1994). Terminology for morphological characters is based largely on Sundberg et al. (2009); the character matrix is available online as a supplementary file (Table S1). The ratio of the epidermal thickness to the body diameter in the brain and intestinal regions was calculated as the index *E* (*b*) and *E* (*i*), respectively, following Kajihara (2006). Sections are deposited in the Hokkaido University Museum, Sapporo, Japan (ZIHU).
Table 2. Taxa included in the phylogenetic analysis, with GenBank accession numbers and source.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>18S</th>
<th>28S</th>
<th>H3</th>
<th>16S</th>
<th>COI</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ingroup</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callinera grandis (Bergendal, 1903)</td>
<td>JF293067</td>
<td>HQ856881</td>
<td>JF277709</td>
<td>JF277570</td>
<td>HQ848626</td>
<td>Andrade et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carinina ochracea Sundberg et al., 2009</td>
<td>JF293050</td>
<td>HQ856896</td>
<td>JF277753</td>
<td>JF277631</td>
<td>HQ848627</td>
<td>Andrade et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carinina plecta Kajihara, 2006</td>
<td>EU495307</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>EU849493</td>
<td>Sundberg et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carinoma hamanako Kajihara et al., 2011</td>
<td>JF293047</td>
<td>HQ856883</td>
<td>JF277714</td>
<td>JF277600</td>
<td>HQ848628</td>
<td>Andrade et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carinoma tremaphoros Thompson, 1900</td>
<td>JF293049</td>
<td>HQ856865</td>
<td>JF277713</td>
<td>JF277602</td>
<td>HQ848630</td>
<td>Andrade et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalothrix bipunctata Bürger, 1892</td>
<td>KF935279</td>
<td>KF935335</td>
<td>KF935931</td>
<td>KF935447</td>
<td>KF935501</td>
<td>Kvist et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalothrix filiformis (Johnston, 1828)</td>
<td>JF293054</td>
<td>HQ856842</td>
<td>JF277743</td>
<td>JF277594</td>
<td>HQ848610</td>
<td>Andrade et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalothrix hongkongiensis Sundberg et al., 2003</td>
<td>JF293057</td>
<td>HQ856839</td>
<td>JF277739</td>
<td>JF277591</td>
<td>HQ848614</td>
<td>Andrade et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalothrix ruftorins (Johnston, 1837)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>HQ856841</td>
<td>JF277741</td>
<td>JF277592</td>
<td>HQ848604</td>
<td>Andrade et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubulanus annulatus (Montagu, 1804)</td>
<td>JF293060</td>
<td>HQ856901</td>
<td>JF277717</td>
<td>JF277599</td>
<td>HQ848622</td>
<td>Andrade et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubulanus ezoensis Yamaoka, 1940</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>ABB854620</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubulanus ellucisoides (Coe, 1989)</td>
<td>JF293062</td>
<td>HQ856900</td>
<td>JF277708</td>
<td>JF277595</td>
<td>HQ848625</td>
<td>Andrade et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubulanus polymorphus Renier, 1804</td>
<td>JF293061</td>
<td>HQ856899</td>
<td>JF277716</td>
<td>JF277598</td>
<td>HQ848621</td>
<td>Andrade et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubulanus punctatus Takakura, 1898</td>
<td>JF293063</td>
<td>HQ856894</td>
<td>JF277748</td>
<td>JF277597</td>
<td>HQ848624</td>
<td>Andrade et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubulanus sextlineatus (Griffin, 1898)</td>
<td>JF293064</td>
<td>HQ856895</td>
<td>JF277747</td>
<td>JF277596</td>
<td>HQ848623</td>
<td>Andrade et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubulanus tamias sp. nov. (holotype)</td>
<td>LCO42092</td>
<td>ABB854621</td>
<td>LCO42094</td>
<td>LCO42091</td>
<td>LCO42093</td>
<td>present study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outgroup

| Novocrania anomala (Müller, 1776) | DQ279934 | DQ279949 | JF509710 | DQ280024 | —          | Thollesson and Norenburg (2003) |
| Terebratalia transversa (Sowerby, 1846) | JF509725 | JF509729 | JF509711 | JF509720 | JF509715 | Andrade et al. (2012) |

Phylogenetic analysis

To assess the phylogenetic position of the new species, a maximum-likelihood (ML) analysis and Bayesian inference (BI) were carried out using sequences from 20 palaeonemertean species (including the new species and T. ezoensis), as well as two brachiopod species as outgroups, which are available in public databases (Table 2). Sequences were aligned by gene by using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) implemented in MEGA ver. 5.2.2 (Tamura et al., 2011) with default settings. Alignment-ambiguous regions were removed by using BMGE ver. 1.1 (Criscuolo and Gribaldo, 2010); the 16S, 18S, and 28S alignments were processed with the “-t DNA” option, while H3 and COI were processed with the “-t CODON” option. The lengths of the resulting sequence alignments were 1644 nt (18S), 1112 nt (28S), 327 nt (H3), 357 nt (16S), and 457 nt (COI).
H. Kajihara et al. 657 nt (COI); the genes were concatenated for phylogenetic analyses by using MEGA ver. 5.2.2.

The ML analysis was conducted in RAxML ver. 8 (Stamatakis, 2014) under the GTR+G model; for 16S, 18S, and 28S, the data were partitioned by gene; for H3 and COI by codon position. Nodal support values were estimated by bootstrapping with 1000 pseudoreplicates. RAxML was called as follows (except the options for input and output file names, as well as the partition file name): raxmlHPC-PTHREADS-AVX -T 5 -f a -x 12345 -p 12345 -#1000 -m GTRGAMMA.

BI was conducted by using MrBayes ver. 3.2.4 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003; Altekar et al., 2004), with two independent Metropolis-coupled analyses, each using four Markov chains of 10,000,000 generations. Trees were sampled every 100 generations. Run convergence was assessed by using Tracer ver. 1.6 (Rambaut et al., 2014). The equilibrium samples (after 25% of burn-in) were used to generate a 50% majority-rule consensus tree. For BI, jModeltest2 (Darriba et al., 2012) was used to determine the most suitable substitution model for each gene partition under the Bayesian information criterion, with the following settings: number of substitution schemes = 3; including models with equal/unequal base frequencies (+F); including models with/without a proportion of invariable sites (+I); including models with/without rate variation among sites (+G) (nCat = 4); optimized free parameters (K) = substitution parameters + 29 branch lengths + topology; base tree for likelihood calculations = ML tree; tree topology search operation = NNI. The optimal models were K80 + I + G for 18S; and GTR + I + G for 16S, 28S, H3, and COI.

RESULTS

**Taxonomy**

*Tubulanus tamias* sp. nov.  
(Figs. 1–6)

**Material examined.** Holotype, female, ZIHU 4430, serial transverse sections 9 μm thick, five slides. Allotype, male, ZIHU 4431, serial transverse sections, 14 μm thick, three slides. Paratype, sex unknown, ZIHU 4432, unsectioned anterior body fragment, preserved in 70% EtOH.

**Description.** *External features.* Paratype largest among three body fragments observed, measuring about 10 mm long, 0.6 mm in wide; holotype 8 mm long; allotype 5 mm long. Body white in basement color, decorated with numerous stripes and bands (Fig. 1A). Head demarcated from body, not wider than trunk, bluntly rounded anteriorly; white near anterior tip, posteriorly changing to olive color in a narrow, yellow transitional zone; with a dark olive mid-dorsal stripe. Black ocelli arranged in row on each side of head, from ventral margin near tip, postero-dorsally along lateral edge to dorso-lateral margin (Fig. 1B, C). Neck with dark orange ring completely encircling body; just posterior to neck ring, white mass visible in deeper portion of epidermis on both sides, corresponding to brain.

Body with seven olive-colored longitudinal stripes in deep epidermis, beginning close behind neck ring: three dorsal, single lateral on each side, and two ventral (Fig. 1D).

---

**Table 3.** Nucleotides showing polymorphism and their positions in the aligned 2252-base sequences of 28S rDNA from the holotype (AB854621 and AB854622) and the paratype (AB854623 and AB854624) of Tubulanus tamias sp. nov. Alignment of the four sequences were carried out by CLUSTAL W (Thompson et al., 1994) implemented in MEGA v.5.2.2 (Tamura et al., 2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>558</th>
<th>639</th>
<th>705</th>
<th>706</th>
<th>1605</th>
<th>1851</th>
<th>1852</th>
<th>1853</th>
<th>1870</th>
<th>1871</th>
<th>1873</th>
<th>1874</th>
<th>1877</th>
<th>1878</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB854621 (holotype)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB854622 (holotype)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB854623 (paratype)</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB854624 (paratype)</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Type of variation: i, intra-individual; s, intra-specific.
In shallower epidermis, narrow, light brown, longitudinal line runs above mid-dorsal olive stripe; dark orange longitudinal lines run above dorsal and ventral olive stripes on both sides.

Dark brown bands present at more or less regular intervals in posterior portion of body, alternating thick and thin. Body tends to constrict at thick bands when worm contracts. Side organs present at second band (Fig. 1A). The dark brown bands often interrupt the longitudinal stripes.

**Body wall.** Epidermal non-cellular inclusions absent. Epidermis of anterior body without intra-epithelial muscle fiber network. In brain region, thickness of epidermis/lateral body diameter > 0.1; \(E(b) = 0.11; \ E(i) = 0.06\). Dermis forms distinct zone between epidermis and body-wall circular muscle layer (Fig. 2A). Thickness of dermis less than half of epidermal height (Fig. 2A). Muscle processes (or radial muscles) extend into epidermis in cephalic region (Fig. 2A). Muscles organized in outer circular and inner longitudinal layers; diagonal muscles not found; inner circular muscle layer present around rhynchocoel and alimentary canal (Fig. 2B). Muscle cross between body-wall outer circular muscle layer and these “inner” circular muscles not found. Longitudinal muscle plate poorly developed between rhynchocoel and foregut, but posteriorly conspicuous between rhynchocoel and intestine (Fig. 2C). Transverse muscle fibers present above mouth (Fig. 2D). Parenchyma barely distinguishable, except as membranes enclosing various body organ systems.

**Proboscis apparatus.** Proboscis pore subterminal. Basophilic glandular cells present in rhynchodaeum (Fig. 3A). Rhynchocoel musculature consists of circular muscles except in brain region, where inner longitudinal muscles also present (Fig. 2D); circular muscle layer not extremely thick posteriorly, but posterior rhynchocoel chamber (about 1 mm in length) present (Fig. 3B–H). Rhynchocoel not reaching hind end of body. Proboscis composed of three regions (anterior, middle, and posterior, in retracted state). Anterior region short (about 500 \(\mu m\) long), with epithelium largely consisting of acidophilic components (Figs. 2D, 4A), two proboscis nerves, circular muscle layer, longitudinal muscle layer, and thin endothelium; posteriorly increasing basophilic glandular cells in epithelium, gradually leading to main, middle region. Middle region with bilaterally symmetrical epithelium (Fig. 4B), two proboscis nerves, circular and longitudinal muscle layers, and delicate endothelium; epithelium consists predominantly of basophilic glandular cells, thicker laterally, thinner dorsally and ventrally; thin epithelium wider dorsally than ventrally, resulting in proboscis lumen (in retracted state) shaped like golf tee or narrowly pleated mushroom. Posterior region short, with epithelium containing acidophilic cells; proboscis nerves and circular muscles inconspicuous in light microscopy; with longitudinal muscles and thin endothelium (Fig. 4C); posteriorly leading to proboscis retractor muscle (Fig. 3B). Pseudocnidiae or proboscis armature not found.

**Alimentary system.** Mouth opens just behind brain. Esophagus absent. Stomach histologically not differentiated; gradually leading to intestine. Intestinal cecum absent. Intestinal diverticula absent. Intestine with sphincters (Fig. 4D), up to 30 \(\mu m\) thick, probably corresponding to position of epidermal constrictions arranged at regular intervals.

**Circulatory system.** Cephalic vasculature, forming blood lacunae in front of cerebral ganglia, consists of single main channel abutting rhynchodaeum on each side, sparsely connected by dorsal commissures; main blood lacunar channel ventrally connected to each other just behind proboscis.
insertion, forming U-shape in cross section; posteriorly, U-shaped lacuna immediately branches to lateral vessel on each side just anterior to mouth; in brain region, transverse muscle fiber bundle frequently penetrates lateral vessel (Fig. 2D); each lateral vessel extends further backward and abuts rhynchocoel and alimentary canal. No muscle fibers found lateral-to-lateral vessel in light microscopy. Mid-dorsal vessel, vascular plexus in foregut region, and rhynchocoelic blood vessel all absent.

Nervous system. Cerebral ganglia and lateral nerve cords situated between epidermal basement membrane and body-wall outer circular muscle layer. Single dorsal cerebral commissure (Fig. 4E), about 10 μm thick; ventral cerebral commissure 40 μm thick. Pair of nerve bundles (about 12 μm thick) extend medio-anteriorly from anterior surface of ventral ganglia (Fig. 4E), running along proboscis insertion, eventually reaching proboscis nerves. Cerebral ganglia with distinct outer neurilemma, but no inner neurilemma. No statocyst in brain. Four large nerves in head region absent. Single mid-dorsal nerve extends from dorsal cerebral commissure, running posteriorly between epidermal basement membrane and body-wall outer circular muscle layer; occasionally extends nerve fibers innervating rhynchocoel wall. Posterior junction of lateral nerve cords unknown. No neurochord cells in brain or lateral nerve cords. Myofibrillae not found in lateral nerve cords. Pair of buccal nerves present (Fig. 2D).

Sensory system. Nine to 10 pairs of epidermal pigment-cup ocelli present on both sides of head anterior to cerebral organs. Two types of eyes: anterior eight to nine pairs of eyes abut connective tissue of basement membrane proximally (Fig. 3A), each containing bundle of rod-shaped structures (up to seven per eye cup) (Fig. 5); the posterior-most pair of eyes situated near brain, distally within epidermis, with cavity of pigment cup densely ciliated and reaching exterior (Fig. 4F). Apical organ absent. Typical basophilic glands absent, but numerous basophilic glandular cells aggregated in basal portion of epidermis and rhyn-
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Cephalic sensory organ represented by short ciliated canal in epidermis closely behind brain (Fig. 4G). Side organ present on each side (Fig. 6A), just behind nephridiopore.

Excretory system. Excretory collecting tubule extends from middle stomach region to stomach–intestine junction, occasionally branched (or convoluted) (Fig. 6B), terminating in single nephridiopore on each side (Fig. 6C). No circular muscles found lateral to excretory canal. Nephridial gland absent. Glandular components in excretory tubules absent.

Reproductive system. Sexes separate. Single gonad situated dorsolaterally to intestine, arranged in row on each side. Testis simple, not bilobed (Fig. 6D). Gonopore situated dorsally (Fig. 6E).

Etymology. The specific name is a noun in the nominative singular, after the name of the chipmunks genus, Tamias Illiger, 1811 (Rodentia: Sciuridae), alluding to the characteristic longitudinal stripes on the body.

Remarks. Tubulanus tamias sp. nov. differs from its 34 congeners in body coloration and markings (Table S2). Only T. rhabdotus Corrêa, 1954 and T. frenatus (Coe, 1904) are similar to T. tamias in having a pale basement body color with both longitudinal and transverse dark markings. Tubulanus tamias has seven stripes (three dorsal, a single lateral on each side, and two ventral), whereas T. frenatus has three (mid-dorsal and lateral) stripes and T. rhabdotus has only two (lateral). The pattern of stripes and rings is similar between T. tamias and T. cingulatus (Coe, 1904), but the ground color is pale and markings are dark in T. tamias, while the converse is the case in T. cingulatus.

Tubulanus roretzi Senz, 1997 was described based on fixed material collected in Japanese waters (details about the locality and habitat are not known). Body coloration in the living state in this species is thus unknown. In internal anatomy, however, T. roretzi clearly differs from T. tamias because it has (1) a complete body-wall inner circular muscle layer (vs incomplete in T. tamias), (2) muscle crosses between the body-wall inner and outer circular muscle layers both dorsally and ventrally (vs absent in T. tamias), and (3) rhynchocoel vessels (vs absent in T. tamias).

28S rDNA polymorphism

Two different haplotypes were detected in each of the holotype and one paratype for which sequences were determined (Table 3). Among the aligned 2252-base sequences, there were 14 variable sites, of which three varied only between the individuals, and 11 varied within and between the individuals. In the holotype, this intra-individual variation was found at positions 705 and 706, and between 1870 and 1878 (the 5′ end of the aligned sequences is site 558 in Table 3), corresponding to the D2 and D7 regions (Gillespie et al., 2006), respectively. In the paratype, intra-individual variation occurred at positions 1851 to 1853 (D7 region).

Molecular phylogeny

Topologically, the resulting ML tree (Fig. 7, ln L = -23771.502465) and BI tree (Fig. S1) were exactly the same, with T. tamias appearing as sister to a well-supported clade (100% bootstrap value [BS], and 1.00 posterior probability [PP]) formed by T. punctatus, T. sexlineatus (Griffin, 1898), and T. rhabdotus. The clade formed by T. tamias, T. punctatus, T. sexlineatus, and T. rhabdotus (with 99% BS and 1.00 PP) was sister to a poorly supported clade that includes Carinina plecta Kajihara, 2006, Callinera grandis Bergendal, 1903, and Tubulanus pellucidus (Coe, 1895),
Fig. 7. Phylogeny resulting from a maximum-likelihood analysis (ln L = -23771.502465). Numbers near nodes indicate bootstrap support values (≥ 60%) and posterior probability in Bayesian analysis (≥ 0.95).

with the latter two being sister to each other (87% BS, 0.99 PP). These tubulanids formed a clade supported with 83% BS and 0.99 PP, which was sister to a strongly supported clade (100% BS, 1.00 PP) comprised of T. annulatus (Montagu, 1804), T. ezoensis, and T. polymorphus Renier, 1804. While these tubulanids formed a well-supported clade (100% BS, 1.00 PP), our results thus indicate that the genus Tubulanus is non-monophyletic.

The six species of Cephalothrix included in the present analyses formed a monophyletic group (100% BS, 1.00 PP), which was sister to the aforementioned tubulanids, while the support values for the clade containing these two groups were low. This clade turned out to be sister to the tubulanid Carinina ochracea Sundberg et al., 2009, although its branch support was not significant. Our analyses thus failed to resolve the phylogenetic position of C. ochracea, but suggested that the family Tubulanidae is unlikely to be monophyletic.

**DISCUSSION**

**28S rDNA polymorphism**

To our knowledge, this study is the first to report intra-individual polymorphism in 28S rDNA in the Metazoa, although Carranza et al. (1996) demonstrated such polymorphism in the 18S rDNA gene in a triclad flatworm and attributed this to incomplete concerted evolution. Concerted evolution of repetitive DNA sequences homogenizes multiple copies of ribosomal genes in the genome so that they are identical (Elder and Turner, 1995; Liao, 1999). Sonnenberg et al. (2007) analysed 230 fragments of the D1–D2 region of 28S rDNA among 158 species of animals belonging to Annelida, Mollusca, Arthropoda, Nematoda, and Chordata, and observed 15 fragments with a single ambiguous position, six fragments with two such positions, and four with three ambiguities. Sonnenberg et al. (2007) concluded that, on average, far fewer than 0.1% of the sequence positions surveyed were polymorphic but did not confirm actual intra-individual polymorphism by means such as molecular cloning. We cannot explain the incomplete concerted evolution observed in T. tamias, but if the tandem repeat units of the nuclear rDNA complex were located on two different chromosomes in this organism, this might have contributed to the intra-individual polymorphism.

**Two different types of ocelli**

Eyes are rare in palaeonemerteans. Among ~110 valid species in Palaeonemertea s. str., only the following six have been reported to have eyes: Cephalothrix signata (Hubrecht, 1879) (Wijnhoff, 1913); Carinesta tubulanoides Gibson, 1990; Cephalothrix alba Gibson and Sundberg, 1992; Balionemertes australiensis Sundberg et al., 2003; Huebrectia desiderata (Kennel, 1891) (Bürger, 1895); and Tubulanus riceae Ritger and Norenburg, 2006 (summarized in Chernyshev, 2011). The adult ocelli so far known in palaeonemerteans are pigment-cup type, embedded in epidermis, basally abutting the basement membrane (Wijnhoff, 1913; Gibson, 1990; Gibson and Sundberg, 1992; Sundberg et al., 2003; Ritger and Norenburg, 2008); however, previous literature does not mention about the structure of the photoreceptor in the optical cavity, thus it has been unknown whether it is of ciliated or rhabdomeric type. Döhren et al. (pers. comm.) however have recently found in TEM observations that the eyes in some palaeonemertean planuliform larvae are situated in the epidermis and composed of ciliated photoreceptor cells, although provisional eyes have never been reported in larvae of Tubulanus (Iwata, 1960; Norenburg and Stricker, 2002; Chernyshev, 2011).

In the present study, we illustrated that T. tamias possesses nine to ten pairs of epidermal, pigment-cup eyes, (1) the anterior eight to nine pairs of which are located in the base of the epidermis, resting upon the basement membrane, with the optical cavity containing numerous, rod-shaped structures that resemble rhabdomeric photoreceptor cells (Fig. 5), and that (2) the posterior-most pair are situated near the surface of the epidermis, with the optical cavity opening to the exterior and filled with cilia (Fig. 4F). We speculate that (1) the anterior eyes are formed additively from posterior to anterior in the post-planuliform stage, and (2) the posterior-most pair are remnants of planuliform larval eyes, taking into account the evidences that (1) overall, the eyes become progressively smaller from posterior to anterior, and (2) some of the epidermal eyes in palaeonemertean planuliform larvae with ciliated photoreceptor cells have
optical cavities that are open to the exterior (Döhren et al., pers. comm.). In some other spiralian taxa, the larval eyes (with ciliary photoreceptors in some cases) precede adult eyes (with rhabdomeric photoreceptors), either degenerating or persisting to be modified into adult eyes; those kinds of transitions are known in Annelida (Holborow and Laverack, 1972; Eakin and Hermans, 1988; Bartolomaeus, 1992a, 1993; Blumer, 1997; Arendt et al., 2004) and Mollusca (Salvini-Plawen, 1980, 1982; Bartolomaeus, 1992b; Blumer, 1996, 1998). The posterior-most pair of eyes in the adult Tubulanus tamias may also undergo such modification of larval eyes.

On the other hand, we cannot rule out the possibility that the posterior-most eyes in T. tamias may represent eyes of juvenile (instead of larval) stage, as Döhren and Bartolomaeus (2007) reported that in the heteronemertean Lineus viridis (Müller, 1774), the adult eyes are rhabdomeric, while the juvenile ones are ciliary. That no larva in Tubulanus has been reported to have eyes (Iwata, 1960; Norenburg and Stricker, 2002; Chernyshev, 2011) may come in favor of the possibility in which the posterior-most eyes in T. tamias are actually the juvenile eyes, instead of larval ones.

Non-monophyly of Tubulanus and Tubulanidae

As has been already indicated in Andrade et al. (2012) and Kvist et al. (2014), the genus Tubulanus is likely to be paraphyletic with respect to Callinera. In this paper, we could have established a new genus for the clade comprised of T. punctatus, T. rhadbotus, T. sexlineatus, and T. tamias, and transferred T. pellucidus to Callinera, thereby made the name Tubulanus applied only to the clade containing its type species T. polymorphus. As the time being, however, we leave the systematic revision of Tubulanidae to future studies with expanded taxon sampling, placing our new species in Tubulanus, since it perfectly matches the traditional taxon concept of the genus.
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