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Collimator for Variable Sensitivity and Spatial Resolution Without the Need for Exchange

Naoki Kubo, Katsutoshi Tsuchiya, Tohru Shiga, Shinichi Kojima, Atsuro Suzuki, Yuichiro Ueno, Keiji Kobashi, and Nagara Tamaki

Abstract—A new design of collimator is proposed that has variable sensitivity and spatial resolution, eliminating the need for exchanging collimators in a gamma camera. Using Monte Carlo simulations, the present article evaluates the shielding of undesirable gamma rays in a parallel-hole collimator. It consists of a number of layers of rectangular holes. These layers consist of alternately stacked fixed and movable collimators. In high-resolution mode, the movable collimators are shifted by half the aperture pitch along the diagonal direction. The first collimator (type A) has 50 layers with fixed thicknesses of 1.2 mm. The second collimator (type B) has 25 layers with a thickness of 1.0 mm on the object side and 25 layers with a thickness of 1.4 mm on the opposite side. The third collimator (type C) has 20 layers with non-uniform thicknesses. The ratios of the maximum artificial peak to the main-peak are calculated for point-source responses. The ratios for types A, B, and C collimators are 0.78, 0.08, and 0.03, respectively. The same performance for shielding undesirable gamma rays is achieved in the type C collimator as for a conventional collimator.

Index Terms—Collimators, High-resolution, Monte Carlo simulation.

I. INTRODUCTION

A collimator is a key mechanical component of a gamma camera [1] for radioactive source imaging for medical applications. It provides an abundance of information for evaluation of the severity of diseases, prognosis, and therapeutic effects [2]. There needs to be a one-to-one correspondence between the direction of emission of gamma rays from a radioactive source and its point of detection [3]. For this purpose, one type of parallel-hole collimator is composed of many precisely aligned holes (channels) formed of lead or tungsten. The collimator allows only those gamma rays traveling directly along the long axis of the holes to pass. Gamma rays traveling in other directions are absorbed by the septa between the holes. Collimators essentially determine the spatial resolution and sensitivity of a gamma camera system. Therefore, several collimators are used for imaging with varying sensitivity and spatial resolution. A high-resolution collimator has a large number of small holes, and therefore has low sensitivity. This kind of collimator is used when the total number of emitted gamma rays is large enough to tolerate the low sensitivity. That depends on the level of radioactivity and the image acquisition time. A high-sensitivity collimator has large holes and consequently low spatial resolution. It is used for dynamic imaging when short frame times are required and the reduced spatial resolution is acceptable [4]. In addition, handheld cameras equipped with parallel-hole collimators have emerged in medical fields such as surgery and pre-surgery sentinel node and thyroid scintigraphy [5–6]. For such applications, collimator exchange is necessary. If this exchange process could be eliminated, the risk of collimator damage in an accidental fall and the time required for the exchange would be avoided. This contributes to safety. We propose a new collimator design with variable sensitivity and spatial resolution that eliminates the need for exchange. Using Monte Carlo simulations, the present article evaluates the shielding of unwanted gamma rays in the collimator.

II. DESIGN CONCEPT

The design is a parallel-hole collimator consisting of a number of layers of multiple rectangular holes, sketched in Fig. 1.
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These layers represent alternately stacked fixed and movable collimators. In the normal mode, the fixed and movable apertures are aligned along the axial direction of the holes, as in a conventional parallel-hole collimator.

In high-resolution mode, the movable collimators are shifted by half the aperture pitch along the diagonal direction, as indicated in Fig. 2. Consequently, the aperture area is decreased by a factor of four. The septa in this mode have gaps similar to a wire-mesh collimator [7–12]. During use, the margin and sides of the collimator are shielded with a cover.

Fig. 2. (a) Diagram of the collimator in high-resolution mode. (b) Top view, showing that the movable collimators are shifted by half the aperture pitch along the diagonal. (c) The side view indicates that the septa now have gaps similar to those of a wire-mesh collimator.

III. METHODS

A. Collimator shape in normal mode

Assume a collimator with a field of view (FOV) of 240 × 240 mm² for a small head gamma camera. The holes, rectangular in shape, had an area of 3.0 × 3.0 mm², a septal thickness of 0.2 mm, and a length of 60 mm. The geometric efficiency of the collimator was given by the formula:

\[
g = \left(\frac{K \cdot d^2}{a \cdot (d + t)}\right)^2,
\]

where \(g\) is the number of gamma rays that pass through the holes divided by the total number emitted by the subject. The width of a hole is \(d\), the length is \(a\), the septal thickness is \(t\), and \(K = 0.282\) for square holes [13]. The geometric efficiency of the collimator in normal mode was \(1.8 \times 10^{-4}\). This performance was similar to that of a general-purpose collimator.

B. Collimator shape in high-resolution mode

Three collimator configurations were considered. The first (type A) was a collimator with 50 layers having fixed thicknesses of 1.2 mm. The second collimator (type B) had 25 layers with thicknesses of 1.0 mm on the object side, and 25 layers with thicknesses of 1.4 mm on the opposite side. The third configuration (type C) had 20 layers with thicknesses listed in Table 1 determined by a computerized random number generator. Each layer thickness \((l_t)\) was then calculated from the formula:

\[
l_t = 3.00 + 1.50r_i,
\]

where \(-1 \leq r_i \leq 1\) are random number from the uniform distribution. Each thickness was normalized to 60-mm hole length of the collimator.

TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer Number</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The layers correspond to fixed and movable collimators that were alternately stacked. The movable collimators were shifted by half the aperture pitch along the diagonal direction. The rectangular holes had an area of 1.4 × 1.4 mm², as illustrated in Fig. 3. The geometric efficiency in high-resolution mode was \(3.4 \times 10^{-5}\).

![Diagram of the collimator in high-resolution mode](image)

C. Simulation using a point source

The performance of the proposed collimator was confirmed by Monte Carlo simulations, using the EGS4 computer code. The number of gamma rays was 10 million, emitted from a point source of ⁹⁹mTc (140 keV) located at the center of the
collimator. The distance from the source to the collimator surface was 0.001 cm. The collimator material was lead. The efficiency of the detector was assumed to be 100%. With regard to the interactions of photons with the material, Compton scattering, coherent scattering, and the photoelectric effect were all included. In addition, a simulation was performed with a point source located in the corner of a hole of the type C collimator.

D. Analysis of artificial peaks

To evaluate the shielding of undesirable gamma rays, the ratio of the maximum artificial peak to the main peak was calculated.

E. Spatial resolution of the collimator having non-uniform layer thicknesses

For source-to-collimator distances of 0.001, 10 and 20 cm, simulations were performed with a point source located at the center of the type C collimator. Full width at half maximum (FWHM) and full width at tenth maximum (FWTM) were measured directly from the profiles using linear interpolation.

IV. RESULTS

The point-source responses at the center of types A, B, and C collimators in high-resolution mode are plotted in Figs. 4, 5 and 6, respectively. Fig. 7 shows the point-source response in the corner of a hole of type C. The ratios of the maximum artificial peak to the main peak for types A, B, and C collimators with a FOV of 240 × 240 mm² were 0.78, 0.08, and 0.03, respectively.
The widths of the main peak under the point source (source to
collimator distance of 0.001 cm) were nearly equal to the hole
size in high-resolution mode. In Fig. 8, FWHM and FWTM
increased monotonically with increasing source-to-collimator
distance.

![Graph showing spatial resolution vs. source-to-collimator distance]

Fig. 8. Geometric resolution of a type C collimators with source-to-collimator
distance. FWHM = full width at half maximum. FWTM = full width at tenth
maximum.

V. DISCUSSION

A new collimator has been designed for variable sensitivity
and spatial resolution. The optimum thicknesses of this
collimator have been evaluated. The design eliminates the need
for collimator exchange in a gamma camera, thereby avoiding
collimator damage, exchange time, and the need to move the
camera head. In sentinel node surgery using a handheld camera,
radiopharmaceutical uptake is used to search in the
general-purpose sensitivity mode initially. Next, a
high-resolution mode is employed for detailed image
acquisition, without moving the camera head from the target
field. The radioactive dynamic range is broad in dynamic
acquisition with bolus injection using a conventional gamma
camera [14]. Therefore, some count loss can occur when
measuring high radioactivity using a conventional collimator.
In contrast, with a variable sensitivity and resolution collimator,
the high-resolution (lower sensitivity) mode can be used in high
radioactivity to prevent any count loss.

To reduce the weight, the wire-mesh collimator was
introduced. It is made from a series of wire grids [7–12].
Generally, a conventional collimator is overspecified in terms
of its path length in the material composing the collimator [8].
Thus, a wire-mesh collimator successfully competes with a
parallel-hole collimator. The newly proposed multilayer
collimator in high-resolution mode is similar to the wire-mesh
collimator. As a result, this study is consistent with previous
reports [7–12].

The septal penetration is set to 0.05 in most conventional
collimators [15]. Penetrating gamma rays significantly reduce
contrast and image quality. In this study, artificial peaks should
include gamma rays transmitted through septa and also traveled
along free space without encountering septa. Artificial peaks in
high-resolution mode, as seen in Fig. 6 and 7, in the
non-uniform thickness condition were less than 0.05. The effect
of artificial peaks should be similar to that of penetrating
gamma rays in conventional collimators. The source
positional-dependence for shielding undesirable gamma rays
was not observed in Fig. 6 and 7. Thus, the same performance
was thus achieved for shielding undesirable gamma rays by
type C as by a conventional collimator.

For a fixed layer thickness of 1.2 mm, several artificial peaks
occur. As seen in Fig. 9(a), the path β arises in addition to the
normal path α. However, this is not the case for collimators
with non-uniform thicknesses, as Fig. 9(b) shows.
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Fig. 9. (a) Ray paths for a collimator having uniform layer thicknesses. Path β
causes an artificial peak in the point-source response. Path α is desirable. (b)
Ray paths for a collimator having non-uniform thicknesses. Path β no longer
arises.

No space was left between layers in this simulation. In
actuality, spaces between layers are necessary. However, these
spaces are small enough that the results of the simulations are
not expected to differ much from the actual conditions.

In the present study, multiple rectangular apertures were used
because pixel-based gamma cameras have emerged for imaging
[16–19]. With this type of camera, pixel matching to the
collimator holes can be achieved using a collimator with
multiple rectangular apertures.

In high-resolution mode, the movable collimators are shifted
by half the aperture pitch in two directions. The mechanism can
be as simple as sliding, and can be realized by an electric relay
switch or by manual sliding on rails with a stopper. A multileaf
collimator for radiotherapy could use these movable
collimators.

The collimator manufactured by Acrorad Co. Ltd. (Tokyo,
Japan) had a thickness of 10 mm, which comprised a stack of
100 pieces of 0.1-mm-thick tungsten mesh sheets, each having
1.2 × 1.2 mm² square openings [20]. Thus, a layered collimator
as type A, B and C will be realized by the use of tungsten mesh
sheets.

The shielding performance of a type C collimator in
high-resolution mode was similar to that of a conventional
collimator. The relation between the spatial resolution and the
source-to-collimator distance was similar to that for a
conventional collimator in Fig. 8 [21]. That relation has been
previously reported for a wire-mesh collimator [8]. The results
are acceptable for clinical applications. Equally, the
performance of a type C collimator would be acceptable.
In the present study, only Monte Carlo simulations were carried out. The simulations were limited and that the possibility of significant artificial peaks still exists. Further simulations would be necessary. However, in the future, we plan to experimentally evaluate the performance of the newly designed collimator.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

A collimator with variable sensitivity and spatial resolution has been designed that eliminates the need for exchanging collimators. The performance of this collimator in high-resolution mode has been confirmed by Monte Carlo simulations. The results are suitable for clinical applications.
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