Validation of stratospheric and mesospheric ozone observed by SMILES from International Space Station
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Abstract. We observed ozone (O₃) in the vertical region between 250 and 0.0005 hPa (~12–96 km) using the Superconducting Submillimeter-Wave Limb Emission Sounder (SMILES) on the Japanese Experiment Module (JEM) of the International Space Station (ISS) between 12 October 2009 and 21 April 2010. The new 4 K superconducting heterodyne receiver technology of SMILES allowed us to obtain a one order of magnitude better signal-to-noise ratio for the O₃ line observation compared to past spaceborne microwave instruments. The non-sun-synchronous orbit of the ISS allowed us to observe O₃ at various local times. We assessed the quality of the vertical profiles of O₃ in the 100–0.001 hPa (~16–90 km) region for the SMILES NICT Level 2 product version 2.1.5. The evaluation is based on four components: error analysis; internal comparisons of observations targeting three different instrumental setups for the same O₃ 625.371 GHz transition; internal comparisons of two different retrieval algorithms; and external comparisons for various local times with ozonesonde, satellite and balloon observations (ENVISAT/MIPAS, SCISAT/ACE-FTS, Odin/OSIRIS, Odin/SMR, Aura/MLS, TELIS). SMILES O₃ data have an estimated absolute accuracy of better than 0.3 ppmv (3 %) with a vertical resolution of 3–4 km over the 60 to 8 hPa range. The random error for a single measurement is better than the estimated systematic error, being less than 1, 2, and 7 %, in the 40–1, 80–0.1, and 100–0.004 hPa pressure range.
regions, respectively. SMILES O$_3$ abundance was 10–20% lower than all other satellite measurements at 8–0.1 hPa due to an error arising from uncertainties of the tangent point information and the gain calibration for the intensity of the spectrum. SMILES O$_3$ from observation frequency Band-B had better accuracy than that from Band-A. A two month period is required to accumulate measurements covering 24 h in local time of O$_3$ profile. However such a dataset can also contain variation due to dynamical, seasonal, and latitudinal effects.

1 Introduction

Diurnal variations of O$_3$ were observed from the upper troposphere up to the lower thermosphere by the Superconducting Submillimeter-Wave Limb-Emission Sounder (SMILES) from the Exposed Facility of the Japanese Experiment Module (JEM) on the International Space Station (ISS) between 12 October 2009 and 21 April 2010. The ISS has a non-sun-synchronous circular orbit at altitudes of 340–360 km with an inclination angle of 51.6° to the equator, which allowed us to observe atmospheric composition at different local times.

An overview of SMILES is given in Kikuchi et al. (2010); a summary of SMILES observations for O$_3$ and its isotopologues is given in Kasai et al. (2006), and details on the instrument and its performance are available in JEM/SMILES Mission Plan (2002). A summary of the specifications of SMILES is shown in Table 1. The SMILES instrument employed 4 K submillimeter-wave superconductive heterodyne receivers, and obtained spectra with unprecedented low noise, which is one order of magnitude better performance than previous microwave/submillimeter limb instruments in space.

These unique observations gave us new products, such as the diurnal variation of short-lived radical species in the stratosphere and mesosphere. SMILES observations provided vertical abundance profiles of O$_3$, H$_2$O, H.$^3$Cl, H.$^3$Cl, ClO, HOCl, HO$_2$, H$_2$O, BrO, HNO$_3$, O$_3$ isotopologues, CH$_3$CN, and H$_2$O, as well as ice clouds, winds, and temperature from the stratosphere to the lower thermosphere.

The JEM/SMILES mission is a joint project of the National Institute of Information and Communications Technology (NICT) and the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA). In this paper, we assess the O$_3$ vertical profiles for the SMILES NICT Level-2 (L2) version 2.1.5 product, which used the version 007 calibrated Level-1b (L1b) spectra. Hereafter, we denote SMILES NICT L2 product version 2.1.5 as “SMILES”. We also use “SMILES(NICT)” to denote this product when we compare to the SMILES operational L2 products, “SMILES(JAXA)”. The SMILES operational products are provided by JAXA, and the owners of the operational product are both JAXA and NICT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (ISS orbit)</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orbit</td>
<td>Inclination angle 51.6°; non-sun-synchronous orbit with altitude 340–360 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbit duration</td>
<td>About 91 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latitude coverage</td>
<td>38°S–65°N (nominal)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (data sampling)</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement geometry</td>
<td>Limb scan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan altitude</td>
<td>~20–120 km (geometric altitude)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of samples</td>
<td>1630 scans per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal data sampling</td>
<td>103 scans per orbit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical sampling interval</td>
<td>0.056° (about 2 km)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (instrument)</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency range</td>
<td>624.32–625.52 GHz (Band-A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>625.12–626.32 GHz (Band-B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>649.12–650.32 GHz (Band-C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna field-of-view</td>
<td>0.089° (HPBW) (3 km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver system</td>
<td>SIS mixers and HEMT amplifiers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrometers</td>
<td>Acousto Optical Spectrometers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency resolution</td>
<td>1.0–1.2 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel separation</td>
<td>0.8 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System noise temperature</td>
<td>315–350 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration time</td>
<td>0.47 s (single spectrum)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The structure of the paper is as follows: SMILES O$_3$ observation characteristics are shown in Sect. 2, which includes the instrumental configuration and observation sampling pattern (Sect. 2.1), the retrieval algorithm (Sect. 2.2), and O$_3$ observation characteristics from error analysis (Sect. 2.3). The internal SMILES comparisons, Sect. 3, consists of two parts. First, in Sect. 3.1, we present the comparison of three different instrumental receiver configurations for the same O$_3$ 625.371 GHz transition spectral measurements to evaluate the instrumental uncertainty and characteristics. Second, in Sect. 3.2, we describe the comparison of two different retrieval algorithms applied to the same SMILES 625.371 GHz O$_3$ spectra. The external comparisons are shown in Sect. 4. The comparison with ozonesonde measurements is provided in Sect. 4.2; Sect. 4.3 gives the comparison with satellite observations from ENVISAT/MIPAS, SCISAT/ACE-FTS, Odin/OSIRIS, Odin/SMR, and Aura/MLS; and Sect. 4.4 shows the comparison with balloon born measurement TELIS. These observations were performed at various different local times. Finally, an example of the diurnal variation of O$_3$ from SMILES is shown in Sect. 5.
Table 2. Summary of the SMILES L1b products and associated L2 products. Two L2 processing chains from NICT and JAXA are denoted as SMILES(NICT) and SMILES(JAXA), respectively. The data product described in this paper is shown in bold below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level-1b products</th>
<th>Level-2 products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>005 – Released in Nov 2009.</td>
<td>– SMILES(NICT) v2.0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– The first L1b product.</td>
<td>– SMILES(JAXA) v1.2(005-06-0032)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006 – Released in Feb 2011.</td>
<td>– SMILES(JAXA) v1.1(005-06-0150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Modification of frequency calibration algorithm for the spectrometer.</td>
<td>– No SMILES(NICT) product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Modification of ISS altitude information.</td>
<td>– SMILES(JAXA) v1.3(006-06-0200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Improvement of time synchronization between ISS and SMILES clocks.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>007 – Released in Aug 2011.</td>
<td>– SMILES(NICT) v2.1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Improvement of gain nonlinearity calibration.</td>
<td>– SMILES(JAXA) v2.0(007-08-0300)1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Improvement of AOS response functions based on on-orbit comb measurements.</td>
<td>– SMILES(JAXA) v2.1(007-08-0310)1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008 – Released in Dec 2012.</td>
<td>– New versions2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Improvement of tangent height information.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Improvement of frequency calibration.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Modification of gain nonlinearity calibration.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 There is no difference between SMILES(JAXA) v2.0 and v2.1 for the O3 product.
2 Both SMILES(NICT) and SMILES(JAXA) plan to develop new versions of their products using L1b v008.

2 SMILES O3 characteristics: observation, retrieval, and error

2.1 SMILES O3 observation

We performed the validation analysis for the main O3 \(^{16}O^{16}O^{16}O\) observation at the transition frequency 625.371 GHz for \((J_a, K_a, K_c) = (15, 6, 10) - (15, 5, 11)\), while SMILES observed other kinds of O3, such as O3 isotopologues (asym-17-O3, asym-18-O3, sym-17-O3, sym-18-O3) and several vibrationally excited state O3 transitions. Details of the SMILES O3 observations are shown in Kasai et al. (2006).

SMILES has three different instrument (receiver) configurations for observing the 625.371 GHz O3 transition. One of the purposes for this was to evaluate the characteristics of the receiver systems by comparing results from the same 625.371 GHz O3 observation. The targeted 625.371 GHz O3 transition is allocated in two frequency regions Band-A (624.32–625.52 GHz) and Band-B (625.12–626.32 GHz). SMILES employed two Acousto Optical Spectrometers (AOSs) with a bandwidth of 1.2 GHz, which are denoted as AOS1 and AOS2 in this paper. The combinations of the two frequency bands (A and B) and two spectrometers (AOS1 and AOS2) resulted in three different instrumental setups for the 625.371 GHz O3 measurements; that is, (1) Band-A with AOS1, (2) Band-A with AOS2, and (3) Band-B with AOS2. The Band-B observation was always performed with the spectrometer AOS2. During each measurement, two out of the three SMILES frequency bands were observed simultaneously, i.e., A + B, C + B, and C + A. We do not use Band-C (649.12–650.32 GHz) to retrieve the O3 vertical profile.

Figure 1 shows the number of SMILES O3 observations for each day of the mission by 5° latitude bins. For several specific periods, the ISS rotated 180° around its yaw axis, and thus the observation latitude range was shifted to southern high latitudes. Relatively high sampling density is shown at both ends of the latitudinal range where the orbit changes from the ascending to descending phase. In each orbit there was a period when the ISS solar array wing (solar paddle) disturbed the observation line-of-sight (LOS) of SMILES, which rendered the observed data useless. This decreases the sampling density as shown by the dark blue X shapes in Fig. 1. The decrease in number of measurement was typically 4.5–8.4% (of the daily 1630 scans) during October 2009 to April 2010; however, in December 2009 the measurement decreased by 48%.

2.2 SMILES O3 retrieval procedure

Vertical profiles of the O3 volume mixing ratio (VMR) for SMILES v2.1.5 are derived from the L1b version 007 calibrated spectra. A summary of the SMILES L1b products and associated L2 products are shown in Table 2.

The retrieval algorithm is based on the least-squares method with a priori constraint (e.g., Rodgers, 2000). Detailed algorithm description for the version 2.0.1 series of the SMILES NICT L2 processing can be found in Baron et al. (2011). Briefly, the forward model consists of a clear-sky radiative transfer model and the numerical instrument functions of SMILES. For submillimeter-wave limb observations from space, continuum absorptions due to H2O and dry air become one of the dominant opacity sources in the lower stratosphere. The SMILES continuum absorptions model was made based on a model described in Pardo et al. (2001).
The dry air continuum absorption coefficient was increased by a factor of 20% from the original formula, in order to give a better agreement with the theoretical models (e.g., Boissoles et al., 2003) in the SMILES frequency range.

The version 2.0.1 series of the NICT L2 processing focuses on analysis in the middle stratosphere and the mesosphere. We used the O3 spectra with only 570 MHz bandwidth, in the frequency region of 625.042–625.612 GHz, instead of using the full 1.2 GHz bandwidth of the AOS in order to obtain a better fit of the spectral baseline and to stabilize the retrieval procedure. Such a reduction in the spectral bandwidth results in the removal of information coming from the wing of the O3 line, and thus it degrades the sensitivity to O3 at lower altitudes such as the upper troposphere.

First of all, we performed the correction of the tangent height information before retrieving all other Jacobians such as O3 profiles. The LOS elevation angles (i.e., tangent heights of the limb measurements) were corrected for each spectrum by deriving the information from the pressure-induced spectral linewidth of the O3 line. The performance of LOS elevation angle retrieval using the O3 transition is discussed in Baron et al. (2011).

Second, the O3 profiles were retrieved including following parameters as additional variables: temperature, HCl, HNO3, HOCl, H2O, and a linear baseline of the spectrum. An offset for the LOS elevation angle was again set as a variable at this step in order to obtain a better fit on the measurement. We used a priori information for O3, H2O, temperature, and pressure from the analysis of the Goddard Earth Observing System Model version 5.2 (GEOS-5.2) (Rienecker et al., 2008). The inversion grid is 3 and 4 km-steps for 16.5–61.5 km, and 65–81 km, respectively, with additional 86, 92, and 100 km levels.

Figure 2 shows an example of the SMILES O3 retrieval. The version 2.1.5 of NICT L2 processing uses the SMILES measurements for which tangent heights are within 15–110 km, and three of them are shown in the plot as examples. The retrieved O3 profile from this single scan measurement is shown in the middle panel with information on the 1σ retrieval error and vertical resolution. Averaging kernels (right panel in Fig. 2) describe the sensitivity of the retrieved O3 abundance to the true state. Their vertical spread is used as an indication of the vertical resolution of the retrievals. It is 3–4 km, 4–6 km, and 6–10 km at 50–0.2 hPa, 0.2–0.02 hPa and 0.02–0.001 hPa, respectively.

The measurement response is the sum of the elements of each averaging kernel row, where low values indicate high contributions from the a priori state to the retrieved information. We assessed the quality of retrieval by using the following quantities: goodness of the fit based on the chi-square statistics $\chi^2$ after the retrieval, averaging kernels, and the $m$: measurement response (Rodgers, 2000). The $\chi^2$ used in the SMILES NICT processing is the summation of the squared and variance weighted residuals in the measurement space and the null space after they are normalized by the number of measurements and retrieval parameters (see Eq. 2 given by Baron et al., 2011). A typical $\chi^2$ of the SMILES v2.1.5 O3 product is 0.6–0.8; being smaller than unity is because of the overestimation of the measurement noise (Baron et al., 2011). Hereafter, we consider $\chi^2 \leq 0.8$ as the data selection threshold to remove bad-fitted scans. The condition for $m$ is also set to be larger than 0.8. This gives the sensitivity range of the SMILES O3 from a single scan as 100–0.001 hPa (~16–90 km).

2.3 Error analysis of SMILES O3 vertical profile

Two components are important to explaining the SMILES systematic error: one is the uncertainty in the forward model parameterization, and the other is the uncertainty of the calibration of L1b spectra. We estimated such systematic errors for the single scan profiles by the perturbation method (Rodgers, 2000; Kasai et al., 2006; Baron et al., 2011), which takes the difference of two O3 profiles that are retrieved from two different cases of the simulated spectra: ones simulated with a perturbed forward model and the other ones with the original forward model used in the SMILES v2.1.5 processing. The measurements were simulated using the Band-B characteristics with five randomly selected O3 reference profiles from the GEOS-5.2 data for the equatorial daytime conditions.

The error sources and their perturbation parameters are summarized in Table 3. The uncertainty in the spectroscopic parameters includes the target O3 line and also other species. The uncertainty related to the SMILES instrument functions is given by the SMILES instrument team, for example, Ochiai et al. (2012), Mizobuchi et al. (2012) and Sato et al. (2012).
The NICT v2.1.5 processing uses simplified instrumental functions regarding the antenna field-of-view (FOV) drift during data integration of one spectrum at each tangent point (0.47 s) and the effect from the image side-band signal. The SMILES antenna FOV drifts about a half of its half-power-beam-width (HPBW) beam size during 0.47 s; however, the forward model assumes an antenna response pattern with an instantaneous single-FOV pointing at each tangent height for the observed spectra. This makes an underestimation of the HPBW of the effective antenna response pattern. For the image side-band signal treatment, the NICT v2.1.5 processing did not take this into account because its impact was thought to be negligible for the main target vertical ranges.

The error from the uncertainty of the registered tangent height information is not included as an explicit error source in the presented error analysis because these are retrieved in the processing. However, since the O₃ retrieval was carried out based on this retrieved tangent height information, errors on the O₃ retrieval can be introduced if any errors exist in the tangent height retrievals. Such an error propagation is considered in our error analysis simulations.

Figure 3 shows the estimated systematic errors for the NICT v2.1.5 O₃ retrieval. The same analysis for the Band-A configuration was performed and we got almost the same results as Band-B. Total systematic error, labeled as “RSS_total” in Fig. 3, was calculated as a root-sum-square (rss) of all the considered error factors. The negative sign means that the v2.1.5 processing underestimated the O₃ profile. On the plot, only the error sources with an impact larger than 5% of the total rss error are shown (which confirms that the image side-band signal can be neglected in the stratosphere). The largest error source is the air pressure broadening coefficient (“o3g”) followed by its temperature dependence (“o3n”) and the antenna FOV drift treatment (“antscan”). The uncertainty on the air pressure broadening coefficient can bias the O₃ retrieval by more than 5% in the stratosphere. The nonlinearity in the gain correction (“cal2”) was estimated by assuming 20% uncertainty in the gain compression factor, yielding an error of 0.1 ppmv (~1.8%) in the stratosphere. The total systematic error was estimated to be about 3–8% in the stratosphere with this being 3.8% at the peak of the O₃ profile. It should be noted that we estimated the errors for only the direct effects on O₃ spectrum and profiles, and did not estimate the second-order effects, such as an error of temperature profile.

For the mesosphere (pressure ≤~ 0.2 hPa), the uncertainty in the AOS response function becomes one of the dominant sources of the systematic error (5–10%). This is because the O₃ linewidth becomes comparable or narrower than the FWHM (Full Width Half Maximum) of the AOS response function. For comparison, the measurement noise (O₃ error due to statistical noises of the SMILES measurement) and the smoothing error (error introduced in the inversion analysis) from a single scan are also shown in the Fig. 4. These two errors can be considered as the random error of the O₃ profile, and are much smaller than the systematic error in the stratosphere. The measurement noise error is kept very low compared to the systematic errors, even smaller than 1% of the retrieved O₃ profile, at 50–1 hPa. This emphasizes the importance of understanding the systematic error budget of the SMILES O₃ product. For the upper mesosphere the random error dominates the total error budget, which implies...
that averaging of profiles is required to obtain an improved signal-to-noise ratio.

3 Internal comparisons within various SMILES O3 products

3.1 Comparison between two different observational configurations

As described in Sect. 2.1, SMILES has three configurations for observing the O3 625.371 GHz transition. The observation configuration set of Band-A (AOS1) + Band-B (AOS2) (denoted as A+B mode hereafter) measured the same spectrum within the same air mass with nearly the same instrumental front-end characteristics (antenna characteristics, antenna scanning pattern, the optical characteristics). Comparing the O3 profiles retrieved from the two bands under the A+B configuration helps in assessing the difference of the instrumental characteristics of each receiver and the spectrometer, which are the most important instrumental characteristics for estimating the gain calibration accuracy.

Figure 5 shows the difference between the calibrated radiances of the Band-A (AOS1) and Band-B (AOS2) spectra during the SMILES observation period. The residual clearly shows the variations along the observation period as shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 5. The brightness temperature difference was small in October 2009 (daily average of the rms (root mean square) difference was as small as 0.3 K), and sharply increased in December (average rms was ~0.8 K). Such characteristics may be explained by the change of the AOS operational configuration: the thermal control system of the AOS spectrometers was switched off at the end of October 2009 for a longer lifetime. The gain calibration of the SMILES L1b radiance spectra version 007 uses the calibration parameters based on the observations performed early October 2009. It is likely that the change in the AOS characteristics before and after thermal control was switched off introduced a significant change in the parameters for the nonlinearity gain calibration. This issue will be investigated in the future using the next version of the L1b data in which it is planned to implement nonlinearity gain calibration parameters evaluated with consideration of the different conditions of the AOS thermal control.

Figure 6 shows the comparison between O3 profiles observed with Band-A (AOS1) and Band-B (AOS2) using the A+B measurements. The data are from the latitudinal range 30° S–30° N in December 2009. The center and right panels show the mean of the absolute and relative differences, respectively. Note the relative difference is defined as the ratio to the reference O3 profile, which is the mean of two compared profiles. In this subsection we focus on the results for SMILES(NICT) profiles, and the results for SMILES(JAXA) will be discussed in Sect. 3.2.

The O3 VMRs of SMILES(NICT) Band-A are significantly (~0.4 ppmv, or 5% at 8.3 hPa level) larger than those of Band-B. In the error analysis presented in Sect. 2.3, we do not find any error source which can reproduce such
Table 3. Systematic errors and their perturbations considered in this study. For each error source, the corresponding label in Fig. 3 is indicated in the parentheses. The resulting error values at the O3 peak level (8.3 hPa or 36 km) are given in the right column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error source</th>
<th>Perturbation</th>
<th>Error on O3 at 8.3 hPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spectroscopic parameters of O3 625.371 GHz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line intensity (O3sg)</td>
<td>1 %</td>
<td>1.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air pressure broadening, γ (O3g)</td>
<td>5 %</td>
<td>-2.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature dependence, n, of O3g (O3n)</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>-1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact from other species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl35Cl-625.901 GHz γ (HC35n)</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td>0.01 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl35Cl-625.901 GHz n (HC35n)</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>0.01 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl35Cl-625.964 GHz γ (HC37n)</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td>0.02 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl35Cl-625.964 GHz n (HC37n)</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>0.01 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3v13g-625.051 GHz γ (O3v13g)</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td>0.01 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3v13g-625.051 GHz n (O3v13g)</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>0.01 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3g-625.091 GHz γ (O318g)</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td>0.01 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3g-625.091 GHz n (O318g)</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>0.01 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry air continuum (DRY)</td>
<td>20 %</td>
<td>-0.05 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental functions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image side-band (SSB)</td>
<td>See below</td>
<td>-0.08 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOS response function width (AOS)</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>-0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna FOV drift (ANTSCAN)</td>
<td>See below</td>
<td>-1.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonlinearity gain correction (CAL2)</td>
<td>20 %</td>
<td>1.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (RSS_total)</td>
<td>3.8 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Difference between the cases considering the realistic rejection rate for the image side-band signal and an ideal one.
2 Perturbation added on the FWHM of the response function.
3 Difference between the cases with and without considering the drift of the antenna FOV during 0.47 s.
4 Perturbation added on the gain compression factor.

Fig. 5. Difference between the calibrated O3 spectra of Band-A and Band-B from the SMILES L1b version 007. Top panel shows an averaged radiance over ten spectra for a tangent height around 30 km observed with Band-A, and the difference from that of Band-B (an average of ten differences calculated from each A–B pair). Ten scans were selected from the equatorial region measurements (30° S–30° N) on 31 December 2009. The horizontal axis is the frequency offset from 625.371 GHz. Bottom panel contour plot represents the temporal change of the radiance difference around the tangent height of 30 km. The blank region in the lower panel is the dates when SMILES was not operated in the A + B configuration.

Fig. 4. Estimated systematic and random errors due to the model parameters and calibration error for the SMILES O3 (Band-B) profile. Total systematic error is shown in a black profile. The red dashed profile represents the measurement noise error for a single scan, and the blue line with star symbols is the smoothing error. Total systematic error is from Fig. 3.

significant differences between Band-A and Band-B processing. This indicates that there are unimplemented error sources (or imperfect modeling of gain calibration uncertainty) in our analysis and/or the considered perturbation was underestimated. We consider that the actual difference between Band-A and Band-B O3 profiles is most likely due to the gain calibration uncertainty of the L1b spectrum being amplified by the LOS elevation angles (tangent heights) correction procedure of SMILES(NICT) processing. The LOS elevation angles retrieved from the coincident Band-A and Band-B measurements differ by ~0.006° (300 m) for tangent heights around 30–35 km. This 300 m error propagates in the O3 VMR retrieval which uses again the L1b spectrum with gain calibration errors, and finally results in such significant VMR differences between the O3 profiles from Band-A and Band-B. This issue will be further discussed in Sect. 3.2.2 by comparing the Band-A–Band-B discrepancies of NICT and JAXA L2 processing.

The seasonal and latitudinal changes in the differences between SMILES(NICT) v2.1.5 O3 profiles from Band-A and Band-B are shown in Fig. 7. The A–B difference in the O3 profiles at 8.3 hPa is very small in October 2009. This is consistent with the difference in the L1b spectral radiance shown in Fig. 5. Some of the seasonal behavior of the O3 Band-A and Band-B difference, such as a large change during December 2009, follows the trend in the system noise tempera-
ture of the SMILES instrument. This suggests that the instrumental characteristics have no small effect on the observed difference in O₃. Interestingly, the difference in the O₃ profiles becomes smaller when SMILES was in the Southern Hemisphere observation mode, which is not consistent with the trend of the system noise temperature. Further investigations regarding to the sensitivity of O₃ retrieval to the instrumental characteristics are now under way using the newly calibrated L1b spectra 008.

3.2 Comparison with JAXA-processed SMILES O₃ profiles

3.2.1 Major differences in the O₃ retrieval algorithms

We performed a comparison of the NICT-processed SMILES v2.1.5 O₃ profiles with those retrieved by the JAXA L2 processing version 2.0 (007-08-0300). These two L2 data products are denoted as SMILES(NICT) and SMILES(JAXA), respectively, in this section.

Both L2 products are retrieved from the same version of the SMILES spectra (L1b 007), use the same principal retrieval algorithm (i.e., the least-squares method with regularization based on a priori constraints), and use the same instrumental functions in the forward model except for the antenna FOV drift and image side-band signal treatments (as discussed in Sect. 2.3). The major differences in these processors which have the possibility of causing significant impacts on O₃ retrieval results for SMILES(NICT) and SMILES(JAXA) are as follows:

1. Forward model radiative transfer:
   - O₃ spectroscopic parameters: the two L2 processings use the almost same parameters for the γ (2.31 MHz hPa⁻¹) of the O₃ line, but the temperature dependence (n) of the γ is different. SMILES(NICT) and SMILES(JAXA) used 0.73 (based on the parameter used in the Aura/MLS data processing) and 0.78 (based on the HITRAN 2008 database, Rothman et al., 2009), respectively.
   - Continuum model in the submillimeter-wave region: SMILES(NICT) uses the continuum model based on the work by Pardo et al. (2001) with an empirical scaling as described in Sect. 2.2, while SMILES(JAXA) uses the Liebe-93 model (Liebe et al., 1993) with a scaling factor of 1.34.

2. Forward model instrumental function:
   - Drift of SMILES antenna FOV: the SMILES(NICT) takes a single instantaneous FOV pointing at each tangent height, whereas the SMILES(JAXA) uses a more realistic antenna pattern by convolving the drift of the antenna FOV during the data integration of a spectrum at one tangent height.

3. Retrieval setups:
   - Inversion approach and the spectral bandwidth used in the retrieval: the SMILES(NICT) v2.1.5 processor is based on a sequential inversion approach for each major retrieval parameter. It first retrieves the tangent height information and
then O$_3$ and temperature. Both retrieval steps for the tangent heights and O$_3$ VMRs employ a 570 MHz bandwidth spectral region centered at 625.371 GHz. The SMILES(JAXA) processor uses the full spectral range of AOS bandwidth, 1.2 GHz, and retrieves all physical parameters simultaneously.

- **Tangent height retrieval:** SMILES(NICT) retrieves the LOS elevation angles for each tangent height of the limb scan measurement and corrects them prior to the O$_3$ retrieval, while SMILES(JAXA) retrieves a single offset parameter for the LOS elevation angle.

- **Temperature a priori and its retrieval:** a priori profiles and vertical correlations for O$_3$ are averaged. Middle panel: the daily mean difference in the tropics respectively. Tsys here is the daily average of band-averaged temperature of the receiver (Tsys). Tsys of the Band-A with A OS

- **Hydrostatic equilibrium condition:** SMILES(JAXA) processor uses the hydrostatic equilibrium condition to correct the pressure profile every time after the temperature profile is retrieved. In contrast, the SMILES(NICT) processing does not employ the hydrostatic equilibrium condition. The reason for this is to avoid propagation of errors originating in the temperature retrieval. As shown in Baron et al. (2011), retrieving the tangent heights independently and representing the retrieved VMR profiles on pressure levels significantly reduced the impacts of the pressure errors on the O$_3$ retrieval.

- **A priori profiles and vertical correlations for O$_3$:** SMILES(NICT) uses a priori information based on the GEOS-5.2 analysis with a 3 km correlation length in the vertical grid, while SMILES(JAXA) uses data from the monthly, latitudinally and day–night separately averaged MLS v2.2 product with near-zero correlations.

### 3.2.2 Comparison of the SMILES(NICT) and the SMILES(JAXA) O$_3$ profiles

As shown in Fig. 6, both SMILES(NICT) and SMILES(JAXA) O$_3$ profiles have discrepancies between those retrieved from the coincident measurements of Band-A and Band-B. The A–B discrepancy in the SMILES(JAXA) O$_3$ is smaller than that in SMILES(NICT), but still not negligible.

In the differences found between SMILES(NICT) and SMILES(JAXA) profiles, the negative values below the O$_3$
peak (∼10 hPa) and the positive values above indicate a significant error due to a bias from the tangent height retrieval. When the LOS elevation angle correction of SMILES(NICT) retrieval is turned off before O₃ retrieval, the Band-A–Band-B discrepancy on SMILES(NICT) O₃ was same as that of SMILES(JAXA) as shown in Fig. 6. This means that the SMILES(NICT) O₃ retrieval algorithm enhanced the error on O₃ retrieval (at maximum 5% in the stratospheric region) through its way of applying the tangent height correction. The root cause of such an error amplification is considered to be the uncertainty in the gain calibration. This Band-A–Band-B difference is expected to be reduced in the next version of the SMILES(NICT) L2 product by using the improved gain calibration L1b spectra (version 008).

We performed the SMILES(NICT)–SMILES(JAXA) comparisons for three instrumental subsets: (1) O₃ observed in Band-A with AOS1 (2) Band-A with AOS2, and (3) Band-B with AOS2, in order to examine the effects of the different radiometer bands and different spectrometers, separately.

Figure 8 shows the mean absolute and relative differences in absolute and relative amplitudes between the SMILES(NICT) and SMILES(JAXA) O₃ profiles for the three instrumental configurations. The data were collected from the March 2010 observations at the equatorial region (30° S–30° N). The number of scans used for the comparisons was ∼2000, ∼5200, and ∼7900 for the cases (1), (2), and (3), respectively.

The overall trends in the differences between the SMILES(NICT) and SMILES(JAXA) O₃ products were the same for three instrumental subsets. As shown in Fig. 3, the difference at the O₃ maximum is sensitive to the differences of the antenna drifting model and the pressure broadening parameter. The systematic bias between 2 and 0.01 hPa, where SMILES(NICT) shows smaller VMRs than those of SMILES(JAXA), is quite likely explained to be due to the difference in the tangent height corrections of both retrieval algorithms. The oscillation in the difference in the middle/upper mesosphere is considered to be due to several reasons including the difference in the temperature profile and tangent height correction. The large difference below 20 hPa is considered to be due to the difference in the spectral bandwidth used in the retrieval and the submillimeter-wave continuum model.

Looking into the details of band and AOS dependencies of the O₃ differences in Fig. 8, the largest difference could be found for the case of Band-A with AOS2 (i.e., when SMILES observed O₃ with the Band-C + A configuration). The relative difference is 12% at 8.3 hPa. When Band-A is used with AOS1 (A + B configuration), the difference became slightly smaller (10%) at 10 hPa than that of the C + A case. The Band-B (always observed with the AOS2) O₃ has the best agreement between the SMILES(NICT) and SMILES(JAXA) products around 10 hPa, although it still differs by ∼5%. Considering that the SMILES(NICT)–SMILES(JAXA) difference is strongly affected by the gain calibration errors, our comparisons suggest that the gain calibration accuracy seems to be better for Band-B. A small impact of the AOS is found for the Band-A retrievals in the stratosphere.

We investigated the impact of the different approaches for the tangent height correction and the hydrostatic equilibrium constraint between SMILES(NICT) and SMILES(JAXA). Figure 9 shows the change in the SMILES(NICT)–SMILES(JAXA) difference when we turned off the tangent height correction before the O₃ retrieval, and also including the hydrostatic equilibrium condition in the SMILES(NICT) processing. Without the tangent height correction, the altitude where the maximum SMILES(NICT)–SMILES(JAXA) difference exists becomes slightly higher at ∼3–5 hPa, which corresponds to the steepest slope in O₃ VMR profile. The difference then goes to zero around the 1 hPa level, and at the altitudes higher than 0.5 hPa the new SMILES(NICT) profile shows larger O₃ VMRs than SMILES(JAXA), which is the opposite trend to that shown in the original SMILES(NICT)–SMILES(JAXA) compositions. When we applied the hydrostatic equilibrium condition, the discrepancy between the SMILES(NICT) and the SMILES(JAXA) O₃ profiles increased in the mesosphere (pressures lower than 1 hPa). This demonstrates that the difference in the temperature profile amplifies the difference in O₃ retrieval through the application of the hydrostatic equilibrium: differences in the temperature profile induce differences in the pressure profile, and then propagate to the differences in O₃ VMR. The SMILES(NICT) v2.1.5 processor does not employ the hydrostatic equilibrium constraint in order to avoid such error amplifications.

Finally, the seasonal and latitudinal changes in the SMILES(NICT)–SMILES(JAXA) difference are shown in Fig. 10. The top panel shows the seasonal evolution of the
daily averaged differences at 8.3 hPa from the equatorial region. The SMILES(NICT)–SMILES(JAXA) difference for the Band-B O3 retrieval stayed relatively small compared to the Band-A products during the entire SMILES observation period. In the Sect. 3, we noted that the Band-A and Band-B difference for the SMILES(NICT) product is smaller when ISS rotated 180° (Fig. 7). The latitudinal variation resembles the pattern of the previously shown inter-band difference of Band-A and Band-B that has a larger discrepancy at the equatorial latitudes.

4 External comparisons

4.1 Methodology of comparisons

The comparison of the two O3 profile datasets were performed by finding pairs of the coincident measurements, using a methodology which is based on the works by Dupuy et al. (2009), von Clarmann (2006), and Chauhan et al. (2009). We set a horizontal distance of within 300 km on the measurement location as a criteria for selecting a pair of coincident measurements between SMILES and other satellite/balloon-borne instruments. A 3 h threshold for the measurement time difference was also applied except for the comparisons with the ACE-FTS and ozonesonde measurements, for which a 12 h criteria was used because of their more sparse measurements.

The data quality selection criteria for the SMILES dataset was as follows:

- the measurement response \( m \geq 0.8 \), and

- the goodness of fit \( \chi^2 \leq 0.8 \).

The \( \chi^2 \) and \( m \) were applied for the retrieved ozone abundance at the every tangent height of one scan retrieval scheme. A total of 90% of the data was left after the flaggings. We also applied a certain data quality selection for the compared instruments based on the recommendation from each data processing team. A summary of the coincidences for each comparison dataset is given in Table 4.

The ozonesonde measurements have a vertical resolution of about 50–100 m. The vertical resolutions for the satellite measurements are about 1.0–2.0 km, 2.5–6.0 km, 2.7–3 km, 3–4 km for OSIRIS, MIPAS, SMR (Jégou et al., 2008), MLS (Froidevaux et al., 2008), and ACE-FTS, respectively. Direct comparisons are applied for MLS, SMR, MIPAS, and ACE-FTS since the vertical resolutions and sampling intervals are comparable with that of SMILES. We applied a vertically smoothing triangle function as shown in Eq. (1), using the width of SMILES averaging kernel, for the ozonesonde and Odin/OSIRIS datasets.

The smoothing function is

\[
\chi_{\text{smooth}}(p_i) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} w_j (p_j^{\text{raw}} - p_i) \cdot x^{\text{raw}}(p_j^{\text{raw}})}{\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} w_j (p_j^{\text{raw}} - p_i)},
\]

where \( x_{\text{smooth}}(p_i) \) is the smoothed volume mixing ratio for the high-vertical resolution measurement at pressure \( p_i \), \( x^{\text{raw}} \) is the original VMR of the high-resolution profile, \( w_j \) is
the associated weight (function of $p_j^\text{raw} - p_i$), and $n_i$ is the number of grid points from the high-resolution measurements which exist within the SMILES vertical resolution-width layer centered at $p_i$. Once the vertical resolutions are adjusted, we interpolated the O$_3$ VMR profiles into a reference vertical grid which was generated on a pressure coordinate with intervals of $\sim 3$ km. The interpolation of VMRs was done by using a linear interpolation with respect to the logarithm of the pressure levels.

The mean absolute difference, $\Delta_{\text{abs}}$, at the pressure level, $p$, between the coincident O$_3$ profiles was calculated using

$$\Delta_{\text{abs}}(p) = \frac{1}{N(p)} \sum_{i=1}^{N(p)} |x_i(p) - x_c(p)|,$$

(2)

where $N(p)$ is the number of coincidences at $p$, and $x_i(p)$ and $x_c(p)$ are the VMRs at $p$ for SMILES and the comparison instrument, respectively. The mean relative difference in percent was calculated by using the mean of two O$_3$ profiles as a reference,

$$\Delta_{\text{rel}}(p) = \frac{1}{N(p)} \sum_{i=1}^{N(p)} \frac{x_i(p) - x_c(p)}{\bar{x}(p)} \times 100,$$

(3)

where the reference ($\bar{x}(p)$) is

$$\bar{x}(p) = \frac{1}{2} (x_i(p) + x_c(p))$$

(4)

except for the comparison with ozonesonde. The reference for the ozonesonde comparison was set as equal to the ozonesonde measurement, i.e., $\bar{x} = x_{\text{sonde}}$. This is because we consider that below 30 km the ozonesonde measurement technique is more reliable than that of SMILES (or any satellite-based remote sensing).

4.2 Ozone sondes

An ozonesonde is a balloon-borne instrument measuring the atmosphere in situ from the ground to $\sim 35$ km, where the balloon bursts. They are launched from each ozonesonde station about once a week and measure the profile of O$_3$, total pressure, temperature, and humidity. The vertical resolution of an ozonesonde profile is about 50–100 m.

We used the ozonesonde data available from the World Ozone and Ultraviolet Data Center (WOUDC) (http://www.woudc.org/) and the Southern Hemisphere Additional Ozone sondes (SHADOZ) project (http://croc.gsfc.nasa.gov/shadoz/) (Thompson et al., 2003) for the dates from 12 October 2009 to 21 April 2010. We used the data from three types of ozonesonde instruments: the carbon-iodine ozonesonde (CI) (Kobayashi and Toyama, 1966), Brewer–Mast (BM), (Brewer and Milford, 1960), and the electrochemical concentration cell (ECC) (Komhyr et al., 1995). These instruments have basically the same principle, which is to measure O$_3$ by using an electrochemical reaction cell containing a cathode (made of platinum) and an anode (made of platinum, silver or activated carbon) in a solution of potassium iodide (KI) (Kerr et al., 1994). According to Harris et al. (1998), the precisions of the three ozonesonde types are within $\pm 3\%$, while systematic biases compared to other O$_3$ sensing techniques are smaller than $\pm 5\%$ between the tropopause and $\sim 28$ km. Above 28 km, precision depends on the type of ozonesonde. For example, the bias is $-15\%$ at 30 km for the BM ozonesonde and $\pm 5\%$ for the ECC one. In addition, the precision for the ECC ozonesonde depends on the manufacturer and the concentration of the solution of KI. For example, an ozonesonde with 1.0 % KI solution and a full buffer has a 5 % larger O$_3$ VMR than that with 0.5 % KI and a half buffer, and has a 10 % larger one than that with 2.0 % KI and no buffer (Smit et al., 2007). With the criteria of $\pm 12$ h and $\pm 300$ km, 159 and 133 coincidences were found for the comparison between SMILES Band-A and Band-B, as shown in Table 4. The ozonesonde stations where the coincidences were found are listed in Table 5 and plotted in Fig. 11.

The results are shown in Fig. 12. Two SMILES observation bands were treated separately. The plot shows that the $\pm 1$ standard deviation of $\Delta_{\text{rel}}$ is $\pm 10\%$ for both SMILES and ozonesondes. The difference between the mean absolute difference is less than $5\%$ for the CI and BM sondes and less than $1\%$ for the ECC sondes.

4.3 Satellite-borne instruments

We performed the comparisons with Aura/MLS, SCISAT/ACE-FTS, ENVISAT/MIPAS, Odin/OSIRIS, and Odin/SMR, which observe O$_3$ at various local times as shown in Table 4.

4.3.1 Aura/MLS

The Aura satellite was launched on 15 July 2004 into a sun-synchronous orbit at 705 km altitude, with an ascending equator crossing time of 13:45 (Schoeberl et al., 2006). Its orbit is near-polar with a 98° inclination, and the daily Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) measurements cover the latitudinal range from about 22° S to 22° N. MLS measures temperature and trace gas profiles (O$_3$, H$_2$O, HNO$_3$, HCl, etc.) using thermal emission data (day and night scans) from the upper troposphere to the mesosphere. MLS performs each
Table 4. Summary of the comparison datasets and the coincidences criteria applied in this study. Local time of the equator crossing is shown for satellites with a sun-synchronous orbit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>Equator crossing</th>
<th>Data version</th>
<th>SMILES Band</th>
<th>Latitude range</th>
<th>No. of coincidences</th>
<th>Criteria [h]</th>
<th>Obs. altitude range and retrieval grid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aura/MLS</td>
<td>1:45 a.m./1:45 p.m.</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>70° S–68° N</td>
<td>20583</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>±300 (215–0.02 hPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE-FTS</td>
<td>Sunset/sunrise</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>38° S–67.9° N</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>±12</td>
<td>±300 (5–110 km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:00 a.m./10:00 p.m.</td>
<td>V4O_O3_202</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>61° S–66° N</td>
<td>2980</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>±300 (44–44 km, 1 km grid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V5O_O3_220</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>61° S–66° N</td>
<td>5544</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>±300 (44–44 km, 1 km grid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odin/OSIRIS</td>
<td>6:00 a.m./6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>71° S–67° N</td>
<td>1623</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>±300 (5–64.5 km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SearsMART</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>71° S–67° N</td>
<td>1355</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>1 km grid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odin/SMR</td>
<td>6:00 a.m./6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>67° S–67° N</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>±300 (∼7–47 km, ∼1.5 km grid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>72° S–67° N</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>∼50–70 km, ∼5 km grid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMILES (JAXA)</td>
<td>Variable 2.0 (2.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>70° S–65° N</td>
<td>72673</td>
<td>All data</td>
<td>∼100 hPa-0.0001 hPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>70° S–65° N</td>
<td>79364</td>
<td>∼3 km grid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TELIS</td>
<td>12:45 p.m. (L1b)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>65° N–67° N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>±200 (∼14–34 km, ∼1.5 km grid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozoneonde</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>65° N–67° N</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>±12</td>
<td>±300 (0–30 km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>55° S–52° N</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>±1</td>
<td>∼50–100 m grid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

limb scan and related calibration in 25 s, and obtains ∼3500 vertical profiles a day (Waters et al., 2006). The MLS data processing algorithms are based on the optimal estimation method, as explained by Livesey et al. (2006). MLS uses spectral bands centered near 118, 190, 240, and 640 GHz, as well as 2.3 THz, and obtains standard Level-2 O3 profiles from the 240 GHz spectral region (Livesey et al., 2006).

The altitude range of a retrieved MLS O3 profile for version 3.3 (hereafter v3.3) is represented on a pressure grid encompassing 37 levels, equally spaced on a log scale from 1000 to 1 hPa (e.g., 1000, 825, 681, 562, 464, 383, 316, 261, 215, 178, 147, 121, and 100 hPa for the first 13 levels), and including 18 levels (on a grid coarser by a factor of two) above 1 hPa (Livesey et al., 2011).

We used the MLS v3.3 O3 product for the comparisons. Several MLS v2.2 validation studies have been published, e.g., Froidevaux et al. (2008), Dupuy et al. (2009), Chauhan et al. (2009), Jiang et al. (2007), and Livesey et al. (2008). According to Froidevaux et al. (2008), MLS v2.2 data exhibit differences of about 5–8% over the stratosphere and lower mesosphere compared to other satellite datasets, ozonesondes, lidars, and ground-based microwave instruments. According to Dupuy et al. (2009), a comparison between MLS v2.2 and the ACE-FTS version 2.2 O3 updated product shows 0 to 10% difference between 12 and 43 km (∼2 hPa) and 10 to 25% difference between 43 and 60 km. Validation of MLS v3.3 data is currently in progress but shows very small (1 to 2%) differences versus the MLS v2.2 data for most of the stratosphere (Livesey et al., 2011). However, vertical profile O3 oscillations have become pronounced mainly at low latitudes in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere; this issue is currently being studied further by the MLS team, with improvements expected for the next data version. For the purposes of this work and the comparisons versus SMILES stratospheric O3 data, the use of either MLS v2.2 or v3.3 data would result in very similar conclusions; the main difference has to do with the finer (by a factor of two) vertical retrieval grid for the v3.3 data.

We performed the comparisons using MLS and SMILES profiles within ±300 km and ±1 h, as mentioned in Sect. 4.1. We also used the MLS data screening recommendations from the MLS team (see Livesey et al., 2011). We used the data that satisfy the conditions for each profile, such that “Status” field is even, “Quality” > 0.6, and “Convergence” < 1.18. After data screening, we obtained 20 583 and 16 546 coincidences versus MLS profiles for the SMILES Band-A and Band-B retrievals, respectively.

The results are shown in Fig. 13. The relative differences between SMILES and MLS are −11 to +3% between 40 and 2 hPa (∼22–45 km). The Band-B profile is very close
Table 5. Summary of the ozonesonde stations used in the presented comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>No. of coincidences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Band-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legionowo</td>
<td>52.4° N, 20.97° E</td>
<td>POL</td>
<td>PIMWM</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Bilt</td>
<td>52.1° N, 5.18° E</td>
<td>NLD</td>
<td>KNMI</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentina Obs.</td>
<td>51.93° N, 10.25° W</td>
<td>IRL</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hohenpeissenberg</td>
<td>47.8° N, 11.02° E</td>
<td>DEU</td>
<td>DWD</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapporo</td>
<td>43.06° N, 141.3° E</td>
<td>JPN</td>
<td>JMA</td>
<td>CI and ECC*</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madrid/Barajas</td>
<td>40.47° N, 3.65° W</td>
<td>ESP</td>
<td>INME</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankara</td>
<td>39.95° N, 32.88° E</td>
<td>TUR</td>
<td>TSMS</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallops Island</td>
<td>37.93° N, 75.48° W</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>NASA-WFF</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tateno/Tsukuba</td>
<td>36.06° N, 140.1° E</td>
<td>JPN</td>
<td>JMA</td>
<td>CI and ECC*</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isfahan</td>
<td>32.51° N, 51.43° E</td>
<td>IRN</td>
<td>MDI</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naha</td>
<td>26.21° N, 127.7° E</td>
<td>JPN</td>
<td>JMA</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong Obs.</td>
<td>22.31° N, 114.2° E</td>
<td>HKG</td>
<td>HKO</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alajuela</td>
<td>9.98° N, 84.21° W</td>
<td>CRI</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paramaribo</td>
<td>5.81° N, 55.21° W</td>
<td>SUR</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuala Lumpur</td>
<td>2.73° N, 101.7° E</td>
<td>MYS</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nairobi</td>
<td>1.27° S, 36.8° E</td>
<td>KEN</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natal</td>
<td>5.49° S, 35.33° W</td>
<td>BRA</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakutosek (Java)</td>
<td>7.50° S, 112.6° E</td>
<td>IDN</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascension Island</td>
<td>7.98° S, 14.42° W</td>
<td>GBR</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Réunion</td>
<td>21.06° S, 55.48° E</td>
<td>REU</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>SHADOZ</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadmeadows</td>
<td>37.69° S, 114.9° E</td>
<td>AUS</td>
<td>ABM</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie Island</td>
<td>54.5° S, 159.0° E</td>
<td>AUS</td>
<td>ABM</td>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CI-type ozonesondes were used until 24 November 2009 and ECC-type ozonesondes were used from 2 December 2009.

Fig. 11. Ozone stations where coincidences were found in this study. Red dots are observation points where coincidences were found between ozonesondes and both Band-A and Band-B. Green stars are those where comparisons were between ozonesondes and Band-A. Blue squares are those for the comparison between ozonesondes and Band-B.

to the MLS one (within 1 % difference) around 8–10 hPa (where the stratospheric peak in O₃ VMR exists), while the SMILES Band-A product is larger than that of MLS by +3 % (~0.2 ppmv). Above 45 km, the relative differences are negative and worse than ~10 %. The vertical trend of the difference is roughly similar to that of the SMILES internal comparison between SMILES(NICT) and SMILES(JAXA) (Fig. 8); but in detail one can observe that the amplitude of the difference in the SMILES(NICT)–MLS comparison decreases from ~0.6 to ~0.2 ppmv (from 1 to 0.1 hPa) while the SMILES(NICT)–SMILES(JAXA) comparison showed a constant ~0.1 ppmv difference in that pressure range. In Sect. 3.2, we discussed that the difference of SMILES and SMILES(JAXA) most likely comes from the impact of the different tangent height correction procedures. The result shown in Fig. 13 (which has a different vertical trend compared to the SMILES(NICT) and SMILES(JAXA) comparison) means that the difference between SMILES(NICT) and MLS data at higher altitudes is not solely due to the tangent height correction issue. One potential error source that could explain this difference is the uncertainty in the modeling of the SMILES AOS response function. Indeed, if we compare MLS with the SMILES(NICT) Band-A data for the different AOSs, AOS1 and AOS2, in Fig. 14, we find that the SMILES(NICT)–MLS difference is not exactly the same at 1 hPa for AOS1 and AOS2 (~0.5 versus ~0.65 ppmv).

The more significant difference shown at ~10 hPa in Fig. 14 is due to the effect of uncertainty in the nonlinearity gain calibration. The result is consistent with what we learned from the SMILES(NICT)–SMILES(JAXA) comparison shown in Fig. 8, that is the SMILES O₃ profile obtained with Band-A AOS2 tends to have larger VMR at 10–8 hPa compared to that obtained with Band-A AOS1. Note that the differences between AOS1 and AOS2 are more moderate than those inferred in Fig. 8. This is because this result is calculated with the coincident pairs from all latitudes.
Fig. 12. Left panel: mean O₃ VMR values for SMILES and ozonesonde measurements (solid lines). The SMILES measurements for Band-A (red line) and Band-B (blue line) are compared separately. Dashed lines represent the associated 1σ standard deviations for each dataset. Numbers of coincident pairs are indicated at the right side of each panel. Middle panel: mean absolute difference for observed O₃ between SMILES and ozonesonde calculated by Eq. (2). The comparisons for Band-A and for Band-B measurements are shown with the red solid and blue dashed profiles, respectively. Right panel: mean relative difference for observed O₃ between SMILES and ozonesonde calculated by Eq. (3).

Fig. 13. Comparison between SMILES and MLS O₃ profiles. See Fig. 12 for the plot format.
while Fig. 8 was created using using only equatorial data, where larger differences exist between the AOSs (as shown in Fig. 10).

Improvements in the AOS response function parameterization are targeted for the next version of SMILES L1b calibration. It will be interesting to see how this changes the comparisons versus MLS at high altitudes.

The seasonal and latitudinal variation of the relative difference at 8.3 hPa is shown in Fig. 15. The coincident pairs were divided into 2-day and 10°-latitude pixels, and the median value of the relative differences were calculated for each pixel. Only the pixels where we had more than five coincident pairs are shown. Similar to the results shown in the SMILES internal comparison section, the relative differences are largest in the tropics. For Band-A (both AOS1 and AOS2 were combined), the SMILES (NICT) and MLS difference was +10 to +15% (note that the result shown in Fig. 13 is a global and seasonal average). Results from Band-B show a similar latitudinal and seasonal dependence as those from Band-A. Some abnormal pixel differences are observed for 60° S in the middle of February, when SMILES observed high southern latitudes (69° S).

### 4.3.2 SCISAT/ACE-FTS

The Canadian-led science mission, the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE) on the SCISAT satellite, was launched on 12 August 2003. The ACE satellite moves along an orbit inclined at 74° to the equator at 650 km altitude (Bernath et al., 2005). The ACE satellite has two instruments: the ACE Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS) (Bernath et al., 2005) and the Measurement of Aerosol Extinction in the Stratosphere and Troposphere Retrieved by Occultation (ACE-MAESTRO) (McElroy et al., 2007). These observe the vertical profiles of O3 and a myriad of other trace gas constituents, temperature, and atmospheric extinction by aerosols.

The ACE-FTS measures the absorption of solar infrared radiation (750–4400 cm⁻¹) with a high resolution of 0.02 cm⁻¹. It observes sunrise and sunset about 30 times (15 + 15) per day and measures from cloud top to ~ 150 km with a vertical resolution of about 3–4 km. The latitude range...
covered by ACE-FTS extends from 85° S to 85° N, as given in Bernath et al. (2005).

The retrieval method is based on the Levenberg–Marquardt nonlinear least-squares method. Detailed information is given in Boone et al. (2005). The O$_3$ vertical profiles are obtained from observed O$_3$ spectra in the frequency region of 829 cm$^{-1}$, 923 cm$^{-1}$, 1027–1168 cm$^{-1}$, 2149 cm$^{-1}$, and 2566–2673 cm$^{-1}$. The retrieved data for O$_3$ have a vertical profile range from ∼10 km to > 90 km with 1 km spacing after interpolation (Boone et al., 2005).

We compared the SMILES v2.1.5 data (Band-A and Band-B) and the ACE-FTS version 3.0 data. The latest data version of ACE-FTS (version 3.0) is being validated including comparisons with the previous version (version 2.2 O$_3$) (Waymark et al., 2011). ACE-FTS O$_3$ (version 3.0) profiles are improved compared to the v2.2 update profiles, with a 5–10 % decrease in VMR above 40 km.

Comparison results between ACE-FTS and SMILES (Band-A and Band-B) are shown in Fig. 16. Criteria are set as 300 km and ±12 h to obtain a sufficient number of coincidences. 308 and 122 coincidences were obtained for SMILES Band-A and Band-B, respectively. The SMILES O$_3$ profiles have smaller VMRs at all heights except at 10 hPa for the Band-A data. There is a difference of −15 to −3 % for Band-B, and +1 % for Band-A at pressures of 40–1 hPa. The magnification of the difference is more significant than that of MLS. This is mainly due to a larger observation time difference (12 h) in the coincidence search.

### 4.3.3 ENVISAT/MIPAS

The Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS) is a mid-infrared emission spectrometer, which was a core payload of the European ENVironmental SATellite (ENVISAT) launched on 1 March 2002 (Fischer et al., 2008). ENVISAT moved at an altitude of 800 km and had a sun-synchronous orbit with 98.55° inclination. The descending equator crossing time was 10:00.

MIPAS observed five mid-infrared spectral bands within the frequency range 685 to 2410 cm$^{-1}$ (14.6–14.15 μm) with a resolution of 0.0625 cm$^{-1}$ (Cortesi et al., 2007). From 6 July 2002 to 26 March 2004, MIPAS scanned 17 tangent altitudes from 6 to 68 km with 3–8 km resolution. The spectral resolution was 0.025 cm$^{-1}$. At the end of March 2004, excessive anomalies observed in the interferometer led to temporary discontinuation. However, it started again in a new operation mode from January 2005. In this operational mode, MIPAS scanned at a reduced spectral resolution (0.0625 cm$^{-1}$) and finer altitude grid. The latitudinal observation coverage was from 87° S to 89° N. In the latter mode, MIPAS had about 95 scans per orbit and conducted about 14.3 orbits per day around the Earth. Thus, about 1360 vertical profiles were recorded in a day.

An L2 process has two kinds of retrieval data: operational data and scientific data (Fischer et al., 2008). The operational data are generated by ESA and contain the vertical profiles of temperature and six trace gases. However, several types of scientific data for trace gases exist that are not included in the ESA operational data. In this study, we used version V4O$_3$-202 of the MIPAS scientific data product, which is
generated by Institut für Meteorologie und Klimaforschung (IMK) at Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) (von Clarmann et al., 2009). This data product was retrieved using a Tikhonov-type regularization with a smoothing constraint (Steck and von Clarmann, 2001).

MIPAS IMK-AAF (The Institute for Meteorology and Climate Research, the Atmospheric Aerosol Research) version V3O_O3_7 data were compared with lidars, FTIR, balloon-borne instruments, and two satellite instruments (HALOE and POAM III) by Steck et al. (2007). According to that study, the mean relative differences for all instruments are between ±10% above 18 km and 20 to 30% below 18 km. In addition, the precision is 5–10% between ~20 and 55 km, and the accuracy is 15–20% between 20 and 55 km. The first version of the reduced spectral resolution L2 data product, version V4O_O3_202, was compared with measurement data obtained by lidars, ozonesonde data, and satellite instruments during the Measurements of Humidity in the Atmosphere and Validation Experiments (MOHAVE) 2009 campaign (Stiller et al., 2012). According to Stiller et al. (2012), the differences between the MIPAS O3 mean profile and mean profiles of other instruments were within ±0.3 ppbv below 30 km. These MIPAS O3 profiles have a positive bias up to +0.9 ppbv at 37 km. Between 50 and 60 km, a −0.5 ppbv difference is found in the comparison between MIPAS profiles and ACE-FTS version 2.2 O3 profiles. However, the ACE-FTS version 2.2 O3 data have a positive bias from 45 to 60 km, as mentioned in Sect. 4.3.2. The positive MIPAS O3 bias around 37 km has been largely reduced in the V5O_O3_220 version. The current status of the MIPAS data comparisons are reported by Laeng et al. (2012).

We performed the comparisons with ±300 km in a great circle and ±1 h, as mentioned in Sect. 4.1. With these criteria, 2485 and 2980 coincidences with MIPAS version V4O_O3_202 profiles were found for Band-A and Band-B, respectively. The results are shown in Fig. 17. Comparison with MIPAS confirms the result of the SMILES validation with MLS and ACE-FTS that SMILES ozone mixing ratios are low, except for the Band-A at the 10 hPa level. It is shown that the absolute difference has a local minimum of −1.2 ppbv around 3–4 hPa (about 40 km). This can be explained by the fact that the version of MIPAS data considered has a positive bias at these altitudes. If this localized bias of +0.9 ppbv for MIPAS (Stiller et al., 2012) is taken into account, the difference between SMILES and MIPAS (V4O) becomes −0.3 ppbv at the 3–4 hPa level. Comparison with the other instruments used in this study, however, suggest that the bias of MIPAS at this altitude is more likely about +0.5 ppbv only and that the value of +0.9 ppbv as determined from the MOHAVE intercomparisons might not be representative for the wider range of atmospheric conditions encountered in this study. The comparison with the MIPAS V5O_O3_220 dataset is shown in Fig. 18. We found better agreement at altitudes below 3 hPa, while differences remain large at 2–3 hPa.

4.3.4 Odin/OSIRIS

Odin (Murtagh et al., 2002) is a scientific mission led by Sweden partnered with France, Canada, and Finland, and was launched on 20 February 2001. Odin is in a circular, 620 km altitude, sun-synchronous and near-terminator orbit.
Fig. 18. Same with Fig. 17 but using MIPAS V5O_O3_220 dataset.

with the ascending node near 18:00 LT (local time). Its orbit is near-polar with an inclination of 97.8°, so the maximum coverage of the orbit plane ranges from 82.2° N to 82.2° S. Two types of instruments are mounted on Odin: the Sub-Millimetre Radiometer (SMR) and the Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imager System (OSIRIS) (Llewellyn et al., 2004). They observe the molecules linked to O3 depletion, such as O3, HNO3, NO, NO2, ClO, BrO, H2O, HO2, H2O2, OCIO, CO, HDO, and N2O. OSIRIS measures limb-scattered sunlight within the wavelength range of 280–800 nm with a spectral resolution of approximately 1 nm. For the retrieval of ozone, OSIRIS performs a vertical limb scan with a 1 km vertical field-of-view over the altitude range of 7–65 km. Nominally OSIRIS generates approximately 30 O3 profiles per orbit over the sunlit hemisphere. However, two times a year 60 profiles are generated when Odin flies near the orbital terminator. These times occur in late February to early March and through September and October. We used the latest version (version 5.07) of the O3 data products processed at the University of Saskatchewan (Saskatoon, Canada). The O3 abundance in this product was retrieved with the SaskMART Multiplicative Algebraic Reconstruction Technique (Degenstein et al., 2009) and the SASKTRAN radiative transfer model (Bourassa et al., 2007). This technique uses the Chappuis and Hartley–Huggins absorption bands measured within the limb-scattered spectra. This retrieval algorithm obtains the O3 profiles from the cloud top to 60 km. In Degenstein et al. (2009), they compared the retrieved OSIRIS O3 with coincident retrievals made using measurements from the Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment (SAGE) II. Their results show that the relative difference between the two datasets is less than 2 % between 18 and 53 km. The standard deviation of the relative difference is approximately 5 % between 20 and 50 km, while the results show more than a 10 % low bias above 53 km and 10 % high bias below 18 km.

We performed the comparisons with ±300 km in a great circle and ±1 h, as mentioned in Sect. 4.1. With those criteria, 1623 and 1355 coincidences were found for Band-A and Band-B, respectively. The results are shown in Fig. 19. The SMILES Band-B data shows satisfactory agreement within a 0–±2 % relative difference at the 20–10 hPa range. Below and above this range, the difference amplitude increases to −15 % at 60 and 2 hPa levels.

4.3.5 Odin/SMR

The Sub-Millimetre Radiometer (SMR) is the second instrument on board the Odin satellite. The Odin satellite is described in Sect. 4.3.4. Odin/SMR observes thermal emission at the atmospheric limb using four channels between 486 and 581 GHz. The measured receiver noise temperatures are ~ 3000 K for the submillimeter channels (Murtagh et al., 2002). Stratospheric O3 is measured in two bands centered at 501.8 and 544.6 GHz. Measurements in this mode were performed on every third day starting at the beginning of this mission and since 2007 on every other day. The atmosphere is scanned from about 8 to 70 km with a vertical scan speed of 0.75 km s−1 and up to 1000 vertical profiles are obtained per measurement day (Merino et al., 2002; Urban et al., 2005).

In this study, we used the latest official version of the O3 data product, Version 2.1 (here after Chalmers-v2.1), which
is produced at the Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden. The Chalmers-v2.1 \( \text{O}_3 \) data were retrieved from a weak \( \text{O}_3 \) line near 501.5 GHz. The retrieval is based on the OEM method (Rodgers, 2000). The 501.8 GHz v-2.1 L2 product provides stratospheric \( \text{O}_3 \) data in the \( \sim 12–60 \) km range with \( 2.5–3.5 \) km vertical resolution and single-profile precision of about \( 20 \% \). The systematic error is estimated to be smaller than \( 0.75 \) ppmv (Urban et al., 2005, 2006).

Jones et al. (2007) compared three versions of the SMR \( \text{O}_3 \) data (Chalmers-v2.1, v1.2, and v2.0) to MIPAS measurements. The results of the comparison between MIPAS and Chalmers-v2.1 are similar to the older versions in the altitude range from 25 to 45 km (less than \( 10 \% \) relative difference and \( 0.4 \) ppmv absolute difference), while the comparison shows the smallest differences between 19 and 25 km (0.25 ppmv and \( \sim 5–7 \% \)), compared to the older versions. The relative difference is about \( 25 \% \) near the \( \text{O}_3 \) peak. Jones et al. (2007) also made comparisons with ozonesondes. These results are similar between 25 and 35 km (\( \pm 0.5 \) ppmv and approximately \( \pm 10 \% \)), but Chalmers-v2.1 shows small differences (of \( \sim 0.3 \) ppmv or less than \( 20 \% \) above 17 km) to MIPAS below 25 km.

We made the comparisons within a \( \pm 300 \) km great circle and with a time difference of \( \pm 1 \) h as mentioned in Sect. 4.1. According to Urban et al. (2005), it is recommended to use only data with measurement response larger than \( \sim 0.9 \) and zero for the profile quality flag. With these conditions, 999 and 843 coincidences were found for SMILES Band-A and Band-B, respectively. The results are shown in Fig. 20, which depict a different feature from all the previous comparisons described in this section.

SMR Chalmers-v2.1 and SMILES Band-B show an excellent agreement in the mean relative difference to within \( 0–7 \% \). Relative differences increase with altitude and the largest values are found at the upper end of the vertical range (50–1 hPa). Differences with Band-A of up to \( 10 \% \) are found close to the ozone peak altitude.

With this SMILES-SMR comparison, the mismatch between the mean of absolute and relative differences are clearly illustrated. For example, the mean difference at 2 hPa is almost \( 0 \) ppmv in the absolute difference but is \( \pm 5 \% \) in the relative one. Reminding our definition for the mean calculation of absolute and relative differences (Eqs. 2, 3), such a feature can be explained if SMILES tends to have a relatively smaller \( \text{O}_3 \) volume mixing ratio compared to SMR (i.e., negative absolute difference) when either or both instruments measured a large \( \text{O}_3 \) abundance (then, the relative difference is still negative but the amplitude becomes smaller). Figure 21 shows the correlation between the measured \( \text{O}_3 \) volume mixing ratios and the corresponding absolute difference of SMILES-SMR comparison. It clearly shows that the SMR measured \( \text{O}_3 \) abundance is distributed over a much wider range compared to that of SMILES, which is due to the lower sensitivity of the Odin/SMR instrument. Actually, this is also shown in the standard deviation of the SMR \( \text{O}_3 \) profile in the left panels of Fig. 20.

4.4 Balloon-borne instruments, TELIS

TELIS (TERahertz and submillimeter LiJmb Sounder) is a stratospheric balloon-borne cryogenic heterodyne spectrometer. The instrument utilizes state-of-the-art
superconducting heterodyne technology and allows limb sounding of the upper troposphere and stratosphere with 1.5–2 km altitude resolution. TELIS has three frequency channels: a tunable 1.8 THz channel (Suttiwong et al., 2009) using a superconducting hot-electron bolometer (HEB) mixer with high sensitivity, a 480–650 GHz channel (de Lange et al., 2010) based on superconducting integrated receiver (SIR) technology, and a highly compact 500 GHz channel. The instrument has participated in three scientific campaigns in Kiruna, Sweden, in Winter 2009, 2010, and 2011 as a payload of the MIPAS-B gondola.

The TELIS Level-1 data product consists of radiometric calibrated limb spectra, together with the geolocation information, the sideband ratio and the antenna beam profile. During flight, a short-term linear calibration approach is employed. An on-board blackbody unit is used as a hot signal reference and the signal from pointing into deep space is used as a cold signal reference. Nonlinearities present in the TELIS intermediate frequency(IF) signal chain are characterized via gas cell measurements on ground and are corrected for in the measured spectra in the radiometric calibration process. The sideband ratio as well as the antenna beam profiles of each channel have been characterized in laboratory measurements and so far have been found to be stable over time and during in-flight conditions.

The retrieval code PILS (Profile Inversion for Limb Sounding) is currently used for TELIS L2 data processing (Xu, J.). The forward model is based on the line-by-line program GARLIC (Generic Atmospheric Radiation Line-by-line Infrared Code) that is a modern Fortran reimple-

The retrieval is performed on an altitude grid discretized to a tangent spacing, and coarser steps above 32.5 km. In Fig. 22, the TELIS retrieval result and the corresponding averaging kernel are shown. Two SMILES profiles are taken for comparison due to the close geolocation and time match. Large discrepancies occur above 34 km due to the limited information obtained by the TELIS instrument above the observing altitude. Apart from that, a rather good agreement between SMILES and TELIS is found between 16 and 31 km.

4.5 Summary of the O$_3$ VMR profiles comparison

We compared the SMILES O$_3$ VMR profiles (in Band-A and Band-B) with SMILES(JAXA) datasets, ozonesonde datasets, five satellite-borne instrument datasets, and one balloon-borne instrument dataset. The overall profiles of the
absolute and relative differences are shown in Figs. 23 and 24 for Band-A and Band-B, respectively. Absolute and relative differences were calculated by Eqs. (2) and (3), respectively. Total systematic error, from the error analysis in Sect. 2.3, is shown as the dark gray region.

There is a clear difference between SMILES O$_3$ in Band-A and Band-B as shown in Sect. 3. The result of validation with ozonesonde clearly shows that Band-B has better performance in the 60–6 hPa (18–32 km) region. This fact suggests that the nonlinearity correction on the radiance calibration of Band-B is better than that of Band-A. The difference between ozonesones and SMILES O$_3$ in Band-B is less than 3 % (0.1 ppmv) in the 60–6 hPa (18–32 km) region. The O$_3$ SMILES v2.1.5 of Band-B is better than that of Band-A for the absolute values of the scientific discussion.

From here, we discuss mainly SMILES Band-B O$_3$. These SMILES O$_3$ profiles agreed well with other measurements in the altitude region 50–8 hPa to within about 10 % and 0.5 ppmv as shown in Fig. 24. However, SMILES O$_3$ is lower than other measurements at pressure less than 6 hPa (32 km). The differences between the other satellite observations are about 10–30 % and increase with height. Although it was known that the MIPAS O$_3$ profiles have positive biases (+0.9 ppmv at a maximum) around 37 km (Stiller et al., 2012), the SMR O$_3$ dataset has about 5 to 7 % negative biases between $\sim$ 20 and $\sim$ 40 km (Jones et al., 2007), and ACE-FTS has a small positive bias left (private communication after Dupuy et al., 2009), SMILES O$_3$ was absolutely lower than all other measurements above 6 hPa (32 km). This negative bias above 6 hPa (32 km) mainly arises from the tangent height determination problem, which mostly originated in the uncertainties in the nonlinearity gain calibration. Uncertainties in the spectroscopic parameters and the response function of the AOS spectrometer also affect the errors in the O$_3$ retrieval as described in Sect. 2.3. These uncertainties...
of the spectral calibration, tangent height, and instrumental function of the spectrometer are planned to be improved in the L1b version 008 spectra.

In summary, the absolute value of the SMILES Band-B O$_3$ profile is scientifically useful in the altitude range between 60 and 6 hPa (18–32 km). Above 6 hPa (32 km), the precision is good enough but the absolute value might be 10–30% lower than the true value.

5 SMILES O$_3$ diurnal variation

Figure 25 shows SMILES O$_3$ observation locations on a latitude vs. local solar time (LST) grid between 12 February and 12 April 2010. The latitude range is limited to the equatorial region (20°S–20°N). The colors indicate the date in the two month period when each measurement was taken. A period of approximately two months is needed to obtain a homogeneous sampling of data at each geolocation for 24 h. We can see two problems from Fig. 25, where (1) the data sampling is not completely homogeneously distributed, and (2) the two-month period brings dynamical, seasonal, and latitudinal variations, particularly to stratospheric ozone.

The diurnal variation of O$_3$ from SMILES Band-B is shown in Fig. 26 for the stratosphere and mesosphere for the same period as Fig. 25 (20°S–20°N). The SMILES profiles were binned into one-hour bins by LST as well as 1° latitude bins and then averaged, since the number of the observations in one bin is not the same. As in Fig. 25 the LST is shifted to place midnight in the center of the x-axis. Throughout the day the stratospheric O$_3$ layer is continuous, showing no significant variation with LST. The inhomogeneous sampling of the atmospheric composition is clearly shown.

Above 0.5 hPa in the mesosphere, SMILES observes increasing O$_3$ concentrations during the night. Reaching as high as 90 km, the secondary mesospheric O$_3$ maximum is clearly shown. At 0.001 hPa, the peak maximum of O$_3$ reached to 6.5 ppmv between 01:00 and 04:00 LST At 0.01 hPa the systematic error is about 0.2 ppmv. The mesospheric diurnal variation of O$_3$ was significantly larger than error amount.

6 Conclusions

We performed observations of the ozone (O$_3$) in the height region of 250–0.0005 hPa at various local times using the Superconducting Submillimeter-Wave Limb-Emission Sounder on the Japanese Experiment Module on the International Space Station between 12 October 2009 and 21 April 2010. SMILES performed the O$_3$ spectral observations at 625.371 GHz with one order of magnitude better signal-to-noise ratios than past space-based microwave instruments due to the use of new 4 K heterodyne receiver technology. The SMILES O$_3$ product (NICT L2 version 2.1.5) processed from the Band-A and Band-B measurements used the calibrated spectra, L1b version 007.

We assessed the SMILES O$_3$ product version 2.1.5 by error analysis, internal comparisons between three different instrumental setups for the O$_3$ 625.371 GHz transition, comparison between the two different algorithms for the same SMILES O$_3$ observation, and comparison with ozonesondes, with other satellite observations by ENVISAT/MIPAS, SCISAT/ACE-FTS, Odin/OSIRIS, Odin/SMR, and Aura/MLS for various local times, and with TELIS balloon observations.

Error analysis: the results of the error analysis for SMILES v2.1.5 showed that the altitude sensitivity of the single scan measurement ranges from ~16 to ~90 km (~100–0.001 hPa) with a vertical resolution of 3–10 km. The retrieval error due to the measurement noise is very low,
smaller than 1% of the retrieved O₃ VMRs, at ~20–50 km (40–1 hPa). The systematic error is estimated to be about 3–8% in the stratosphere and increases to 10% in the mesosphere. In the mesosphere the spectral noise becomes a more dominant error source than the model parameters, which implies that averaging of the profiles is required to have a better signal-to-noise ratio.

**SMILES internal comparisons:** a comparison of the different instrumental setups for the 625.371 GHz O₃ observation was performed. It was clearly shown that SMILES O₃ has different performance in the Band-A and Band-B. The reason is that there is a calibration nonlinearity problem left in the L1b spectrum. This affects especially lower stratospheric O₃. This problem is still under the investigation in the L1b version 008.

The consistency check between the two different retrieval proceedings showed a better agreement for the O₃ profile from Band-B. The inconsistency between Band-A and Band-B O₃ is at the maximum 10% (at 8.3 hPa) in the equator conditions for December 2009 measurements. For any scientific studies which require uncertainties better than this level, we recommend it is better to use the Band-B O₃ product instead of merging the data from the two bands.

**External comparisons:** the difference between ozonesonde and SMILES O₃ vertical profiles was within ±8% at 40–8 hPa, showing a better agreement for the O₃ retrieved from Band-B than that from Band-A. SMILES O₃ also agreed well with satellite measurements to within 10% below 6 hPa (32 km). SMILES O₃ was 10–20% smaller than all other satellite measurements above 6 hPa. This negative bias becomes larger with altitude, and can be explained by the error from retrieved tangent height. We retrieve the tangent heights from ozone spectrum, and the origin of error is presumably coming from the uncertainty of the gain calibration of L1b spectrum.

The next version of L1b data (version 008) will include an improvement in the gain calibration. The NICT SMILES data processing team will use the new calibrated measurements, L1b 008, for the new L2 data processing, L2 v3.0.0. We confirm that the negative bias of O₃ at upper altitude profiles had been certainly improved from the preliminary analysis. Also the improvement of the quality of retrievals in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (not only O₃ but also humidity and ice cloud) is also considered in this new L2 processing by using a wider bandwidth of the measurement spectra.

**Summary of the validation of SMILES v2.1.5 O₃:** SMILES v2.1.5 O₃ data are scientifically useful over the range 60 to 8 hPa with an accuracy of better than 0.3 ppmv with vertical resolution of 3–4 km. The random error for a single measurement is kept lower than the estimated systematic errors at stratosphere, being ~1% in the 40–1 hPa pressure region. We recommend the use of the SMILES O₃ values for pressures less than 6 hPa only for the variation discussion and no absolute value discussion because of the negative bias (10–30%) in this region.

**Diurnal variation of O₃ in the stratosphere and mesosphere:** an example of the diurnal variation of stratospheric and mesospheric O₃ vertical profiles (100–0.001 hPa) for SMILES v2.1.5 was shown for the SMILES observation period. SMILES observations have unique sampling patterns, which should be carefully considered in the discussion of the diurnal variation.

SMILES v2.1.5 products are available to users from the website, http://smiles.nict.go.jp/pub/data/index.html.
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