Mathematical model for self-propelled droplets driven by interfacial tension
Ken H. Nagai, Kunihito Tachibana, Yuta Tobe, Masaki Kazama, Hiroyuki Kitahata, Seiro Omata, and Masaharu Nagayama

Citation: The Journal of Chemical Physics 144, 114707 (2016); doi: 10.1063/1.4943582
View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4943582
View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/144/11?ver=pdfcov
Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in
Numerical study on the effects of non-dimensional parameters on drop-on-demand droplet formation dynamics and printability range in the up-scaled model
Phys. Fluids 24, 082103 (2012); 10.1063/1.4742913

Self-propelled motion of a fluid droplet under chemical reaction

Spontaneous mode-selection in the self-propelled motion of a solid/liquid composite driven by interfacial instability

Numerical simulations of interfacial instabilities on a rotating miscible magnetic droplet with effects of Korteweg stresses
Phys. Fluids 17, 042101 (2005); 10.1063/1.1870017

A model for large deformation of an ellipsoidal droplet with interfacial tension
J. Rheol. 47, 659 (2003); 10.1122/1.1562152
Mathematical model for self-propelled droplets driven by interfacial tension

Ken H. Nagai,1 Kunihito Tachibana,2 Yuta Tobe,2 Masaki Kazama,2 Hiroyuki Kitahata,3 Seiro Omata,4 and Masaharu Nagayama5,6,a)
1School of Materials Science, Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Nomi, Ishikawa 923-1292, Japan
2Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Ishikawa 920-1192, Japan
3Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Chiba University, Chiba 263-8522, Japan
4Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Ishikawa 920-1192, Japan
5Research Institute for Electronic Science, Hokkaido University, Hokkaido 060-0812, Japan
6CREST, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Tokyo 102-0076, Japan

(Received 28 December 2015; accepted 26 February 2016; published online 18 March 2016)

We propose a model for the spontaneous motion of a droplet induced by inhomogeneity in interfacial tension. The model is derived from a variation of the Lagrangian of the system and we use a time-discretized Morse flow scheme to perform its numerical simulations. Our model can naturally simulate the dynamics of a single droplet, as well as that of multiple droplets, where the volume of each droplet is conserved. We reproduced the ballistic motion and fission of a droplet, and the collision of two droplets was also examined numerically. © 2016 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4943582]

I. INTRODUCTION

In far-from-equilibrium systems, it is possible that the symmetry of isotropic systems breaks spontaneously, e.g., spontaneous motion in biological systems.1–3 Such self-propelled phenomena, which are also called “active matter,”4 have recently gained extensive attention, as there is expected to exist general aspects in active matter. Spontaneous motion driven by inhomogeneity in interfacial tension is an area of study relating to active matter.5–16 For example, alcohol droplets placed on water move spontaneously while exhibiting deformations to their shape.17,18 Since such systems are relatively simple, it is possible to perform experiments under a broad parameter space; therefore, such a setting provides a preferable experimental framework for investigations of general aspects.

One of the authors has constructed a model for the motion of a disk driven by interfacial tension inhomogeneity.19 Although it was possible to reproduce and analyze the experimental results for the motion of a camphor disk by use of their model, the same approach is not applicable to the motion of droplets due to the rigid body assumption of the disk. In particular, droplet shape deformations are not negligible, since the coupling between the shape and motion plays an important role in the self-motion of the droplet as has been reported in previous works.17,18,20–25 Several studies have already been made for the modeling of a deformable self-propelled droplet.26–33 However, in the case of multiple droplets, the individual volumes are not precisely maintained in these models; hence the droplets exchange mass. Since the experimental system we consider here is macroscopic, such volume changes are usually very slow when compared with the time of motion of the droplets; therefore, these models have difficulties in analyzing the dynamics of multiple droplets. What is more, not only the motion of single objects but also the collective dynamics of active matter has recently attracted attention;34–38 thus a theoretical model to analyze the dynamics of multiple droplets is needed. In this article, we propose a model, based on a naturally constructed Lagrangian form, for the spontaneous motion of a deformable droplet driven by interfacial tension. Not only the motion of a single droplet but also the fission, fusion, and reflection of multiple droplets can be reproduced using our model. Moreover, the proper volume of each droplet is conserved.

II. EXPERIMENT

We first explain the experimental setup which we model.17 A schematic diagram of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1(a). Two hundred milliliters of aqueous solution of 1-pentanol (2.2 vol. %) was poured into a Petri dish with a diameter of 24 cm, and then a droplet of 1-pentanol was placed on the aqueous phase at 20°C. To observe the motion of the droplet, the shadowgraph method was used with a digital video camera (iVIS HV30; Canon, Japan).39

Figures 1 and 2 show the results of the experiment. A droplet with a volume of 10 μl moved ballistically as shown in Fig. 1(b). Alcohol diffuses onto the water surface from the alcohol droplet and the concentration distribution becomes asymmetric due to the deformation of the droplet. Therefore, the interfacial tension of water in front of the droplet split into smaller droplets, as shown in Fig. 1(c). Fig. 2 shows the interaction between two droplets that were
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FIG. 1. Experimental setup and characteristic motion of a droplet. (a) Experimental setup for observing the motion of an alcohol droplet on an aqueous phase (1-pentanol solution with a concentration of 2.2 vol. %). The shadowgraph method was used to observe the motion of the droplet. (b) Ballistic motion of the alcohol droplet with a volume of 10 $\mu$l. The outlines of the droplet per 1 s are shown in the left figure. The droplet moved upward. The right figure is a snapshot of the droplet. (c) Fission of a droplet (300 $\mu$l). The outlines of the droplets are shown per 0.67 s. The droplets moved upward. The same color represents the outline at the same time. The droplet elongated before splitting into smaller droplets.

In Fig. 3. Although the droplet had a lens-like shape as in Fig. 3(a), the thickness of the droplet is supposed to be so thin that we consider the system in which a droplet is located on a flat water surface as in Fig. 3(b). Actually, the thickness of the droplet is calculated to be around 1 mm considering the surface tension and density difference. The hydrodynamic flow may affect the motion of the droplet, but we only consider the effect of the tension gradient at the droplet as a driving force in the present framework for simplicity. In our model, the $x$-$y$ plane corresponds to the water surface, which we assume is confined to a domain $\Omega$. The height of the droplet from the water surface is $u(t, x, y)$, where $u$ is exactly 0 except for the area under the droplet, and interfacial tensions at the alcohol-air, water-air, and alcohol-water interfaces are $\gamma_a$, $\gamma_w$, and $\gamma_{wa}$, respectively. The total interfacial energy in the system is then given by the equation

III. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

To model the dynamics of alcohol droplets, we first constructed a mathematical model for the motion of a single droplet. The schematic illustration of the system is shown in Fig. 3. Although the droplet had a lens-like shape as in Fig. 3(a), the thickness of the droplet is supposed to be so thin that we consider the system in which a droplet is located on a flat water surface as in Fig. 3(b). Actually, the thickness of the droplet is calculated to be around 1 mm considering the surface tension and density difference. The hydrodynamic flow may affect the motion of the droplet, but we only consider the effect of the tension gradient at the droplet as a driving force in the present framework for simplicity. In our model, the $x$-$y$ plane corresponds to the water surface, which we assume is confined to a domain $\Omega$. The height of the droplet from the water surface is $u(t, x, y)$, where $u$ is exactly 0 except for the area under the droplet, and interfacial tensions at the alcohol-air, water-air, and alcohol-water interfaces are $\gamma_a$, $\gamma_w$, and $\gamma_{wa}$, respectively. The total interfacial energy in the system is then given by the equation

FIG. 2. Collision of two droplets of approximately the same size. The droplets moved upward. The outlines of the droplets are shown per 0.067 s. The same color represents the outline at the same time. (a) Two droplets with a small approach angle bounced off each other. (b) Front-on collision of two droplets. Droplets fused into a single droplet.

FIG. 3. (a) Schematic illustration of the actual shape of a lens-shaped alcohol droplet. (b) Schematic illustration considered in our model. A droplet is placed on a flat water surface ($x$-$y$ plane). The height of the droplet from the $x$-$y$ plane is $u(x, y)$ and the alcohol concentration under the droplet is $v_0$. The interfacial tensions of the alcohol-air, water-air, and alcohol-water interfaces are $\gamma_a$, $\gamma_w$, and $\gamma_{wa}$, respectively. The quantity $\gamma_w$ depends on the concentration of alcohol on the water surface, $v(x, y)$.
We consider thin droplets, where gravity has a large effect. Therefore, the following gravitational energy of a droplet, $U_1$, is added to the Lagrangian:

$$U_1 = \int_{\Omega} \left( \gamma_a \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2} + \gamma_w \chi_{u>0} + \gamma_w \chi_{u=0} \right) \, d\bar{x},$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left( \gamma_a \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2} + \gamma_w \chi_{u>0} + \gamma_w (1 - \chi_{u=0}) \right) \, d\bar{x},$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left( \gamma_a \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2} + \gamma_w - \gamma_w \right) \chi_{u>0} \, d\bar{x} + \int_{\Omega} \gamma_w \, d\bar{x},$$

where $\chi_{u=0}$ and $\chi_{u>0}$ denote the characteristic functions of their set,

$$\chi_{u=0} = \begin{cases} 0 & (u > 0), \\ 1 & (u = 0), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\chi_{u>0} = \begin{cases} 1 & (u > 0), \\ 0 & (u = 0). \end{cases}$$

We consider thin droplets, where gravity has a large effect. Therefore, the following gravitational energy of a droplet, $U_2$, is added to the Lagrangian:

$$U_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho g u^2 \, d\bar{x},$$

where $\rho$ is the density difference between alcohol and water, and $g$ is the acceleration of gravity. Assuming $\partial u / \partial t(x)$ is proportional to the flow speed of the alcohol, the kinetic energy of the system can be expressed as

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sigma \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 \chi_{u>0} \, d\bar{x},$$

where $\sigma$ is a proportional coefficient. If the droplet has the large volume under the gravity, we can regard the height of the droplet as fixed constant because the gravitational energy is much larger than the interfacial energy. Therefore, the kinetic energy can be rewritten as

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \sigma u_0 \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 \chi_{u>0} \, d\bar{x},$$

where $u_0$ is the height of the droplet. Assuming $|\nabla u|^2 \ll 1$, the Lagrangian of the system is described as follows:

$$L(u) = K - U_1 - U_2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( - \gamma_a |\nabla u|^2 - 2(\gamma_a + \gamma_w - \gamma_w) \right) \chi_{u>0} \, d\bar{x}$$

$$- \rho g u^2 + \sigma u_0 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 \chi_{u>0} \, d\bar{x} - \int_{\Omega} \gamma_w \, d\bar{x}. \quad (7)$$

The first variation of the action integral corresponding to Eq. (7) under the volume constraint is calculated as

$$J_n(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( \sigma u_0 \left| \frac{u - u_{n-1}}{h} + u_{n-2} \right|^2 \chi_{u>0} + \frac{|u - u_{n-1}|^2}{h^2} + \gamma_a |\nabla u|^2 + \Gamma(v) \chi(u) + \rho g u^2 \right) \, d\bar{x}, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\Gamma = 2(\gamma_a + \gamma_w - \gamma_w), \quad (17)$$

and $\chi(u)$ is a smooth continuous function on $0 < u \leq \epsilon$,

$$\chi(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & (u > \epsilon), \\ 0 & (u = 0). \end{cases}$$

It has been proved that $u_n$ obtained by minimizing $J_n$ under the volume constraint is an approximate solution to Eqs. (8)-(10). Here, we remark that the volume constraint is not complicated in our approach, since the solution with the constraint is proportional to that without it. \(^{44,45}\)
IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The following parameters were used in our numerical simulation, $\epsilon = 0.01$, $h = 3.5 \times 10^{-3}$, $\sigma = 2.0$, $u_0 = 1.0$, $\alpha = 2.0$, $\gamma_0 = 5.5$, $\rho = 2.0$, $g = 9.8$, $\gamma_{wa} = 1.56$, $\gamma_0 = 6.0$, $\gamma_1 = 1.0$, $\beta = 10.0$, $d_v = 0.3$, $k_1 = 1.0$, $k_2 = 64.0$, and $v_0 = 2.8$. The results of numerical simulation are shown in Fig. 4(a). The droplet deformed spontaneously while continuing moving, and the simulations yielded a concentration distribution of alcohol ($\nu$) that was higher in the rear of the droplet.

When there are more than one droplet, we can define $J_n$ for each droplet since $u$ is identically zero outside the droplet. By minimizing each $J_n$, the dynamics of the multiple droplets can be obtained. Redefining the set of $J_n$ for each time step, we can simulate the splitting and fusion of the droplets. Actually, when a large droplet ($V = 2.0$) was placed as the initial condition, the droplet elongated and then split into multiple droplets, as shown in Fig. 4(b). This numerical result corresponds well to the experimental result in Fig. 1(b).

We also investigated the interaction between two droplets using this model. Figures 4(c-1) and 4(c-2) show the fusion and repulsion of two droplets, respectively. At the initial state, there were two droplets at the mirror-symmetric positions across the center line. The approach angle, which is defined as the angle of the direction of the droplet motion from the center line, was varied. The direction of motion is calculated as

$$\frac{\int_{\Pi} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \, d\bar{x}}{\int_{\Pi} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \, d\bar{x}},$$

where $\Pi$ is the area including only one droplet. As shown in Fig. 4(c-1), two droplets with small approach angle ($7\pi/180$) reflected and moved apart. On the other hand, when the approach angle was large ($\pi/9$), the droplets fused into a single droplet, after which the droplet continued moving upward (Fig. 4(c-2)). It should be noted that the fusion is observed without inertia term ($\sigma = 0$, data not shown).

V. SUMMARY

In summary, we proposed a model obtained from variational principles for the motion of alcohol droplets driven by interfacial tension inhomogeneity. Using our model, the dynamics of multiple droplets can be calculated with the volume constraints. We reproduced ballistic motion and fission of a droplet with our model. The interaction of two droplets was also analyzed.

In the present model, we have added the dissipation term. However it is introduced phenomenologically, and the derivation from more fundamental equation such as Navier-Stokes equation and estimation from the experimental results are remained as future study.
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APPENDIX: DETAILS OF CALCULATIONS

In this appendix, the derivations of Eqs. (8)-(10) in the main text and the discrete Morse flow are explained.

1. Derivation of main equations

First, the derivations of Eqs. (8) and (9) are explained. We set

\[ J(u) = \int_0^\tau L(u) \, dt. \]  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

To calculate the first variation of this functional, we perturb using functions from the admissibility set,

\[ K_V := \left\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \tilde{R}) : u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega, \int_{\Omega} u dx = V \right\}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

where \( \Omega = \{ (x, t) | x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T) \} \).

In particular, for any test function \( \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \cap \{ u > 0 \} ; \tilde{R}) \), we introduce the perturbation \( u_\epsilon \) as

\[ u_\epsilon = V \frac{u + \epsilon \varphi}{V + \epsilon \Phi}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

where

\[ \Phi(t) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, y, t) \, dx. \]  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

Then \( u_\epsilon \) belongs to \( K_V \),

\[ \int_{\Omega} u_\epsilon \, dx dy = \frac{V}{V + \epsilon \Phi} \int_{\Omega} (u + \epsilon \varphi) \, dx = V, \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{as } \epsilon \to 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (A5)

Since \( u \) is a stationary point of the functional, the following relation is satisfied:

\[ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{dJ}{d\epsilon}(u_\epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{J(u_\epsilon) - J(u)}{\epsilon} = 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (A7)

Therefore,

\[ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{J(u_\epsilon) - J(u)}{\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\gamma_s}{2\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_r} \left\{ V \left( \frac{\nabla u + \epsilon \nabla \varphi}{V + \epsilon \Phi} \right)^2 - |\nabla u|^2 \right\} \, d\tilde{x} \]

\[ - \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\sigma u_\epsilon}{2\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_r} \left( (u_t + \epsilon \Phi)(V + \epsilon \Phi) - (u + \epsilon \varphi)(V + \epsilon \Phi) \right)^2 \chi_{u > 0} \, d\tilde{x} \]

\[ - \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_r} \left( R(u) \chi_{u > 0} - R(u) \chi_{u > 0} \right) \, d\tilde{x} \]

\[ - \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\rho}{2\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_r} \left( g(u + \epsilon \varphi)^2 V - g u^2 + (V + \epsilon \Phi)^2 \right) \, d\tilde{x} \]

\[ = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\gamma_s}{2\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_r} \left( 2V^2 \epsilon u \nabla \varphi + 2\epsilon \Phi \nabla u |\nabla u|^2 + o(\epsilon) \right) \, d\tilde{x} \]

\[ - \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\sigma u_\epsilon}{2\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_r} \left( 2V^2 g u \epsilon \nabla \varphi - g u^2 \epsilon V \Phi + o(\epsilon) \right) \, d\tilde{x} \]

\[ = \int_{\Omega_r} \left[ \gamma_s (\nabla u \nabla \varphi - \frac{1}{V} \Phi |\nabla u|^2) - \sigma u_0 (u_t \varphi_t - \frac{1}{V} u_t (u \Phi)_t) \chi_{u > 0} + \rho \left( g u \varphi - \frac{1}{V} g u^2 \Phi \right) \right] \, d\tilde{x} \]

\[ = 0, \]  \hspace{1cm} (A8)
Therefore, we obtain the following weak formulation for Eq. (8) by using Lagrange multiplier $\lambda$:

$$\int_{\Omega_{e} \cap \{ u > 0 \}} (\gamma_a \nabla u \nabla \varphi - \sigma u_0 \varphi + \rho g u \varphi - \lambda \varphi) \, d\tilde{z} = 0,$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} (\gamma_a \nabla^2 u + \sigma u_0 \varphi + \rho g u \varphi) \, d\tilde{x}.$$  

Therefore, we obtain the following weak formulation for Eq. (8) by using Lagrange multiplier $\lambda$:

$$\int_{\Omega_{e} \cap \{ u > 0 \}} (\gamma_a \nabla u \nabla \varphi - \sigma u_0 \varphi + \rho g u \varphi - \lambda \varphi) \, d\tilde{z} = 0,$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} (\gamma_a \nabla^2 u + \sigma u_0 \varphi + \rho g u \varphi) \, d\tilde{x}.$$  

Therefore, Eq. (8) is derived.

### 2. Derivation of equation on boundary

Here, the derivation of Eq. (10) is explained. For simplicity, we set $\gamma_s = \sigma = \rho = u_0 = 1$. For any $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_e; \mathbb{R})$, the map,

$$\tilde{z} = \tau_e(\tilde{z}) := \tilde{z} + \varepsilon \tilde{\eta}(\tilde{z}),$$

where

$$\tau_e : \Omega \times (0, \tau) \rightarrow \Omega \times (0, \tau),$$

is used to define a volume-preserving domain perturbation,

$$u_e = \frac{V}{V_e} u(\tau_e^{-1}(\tilde{z})).$$

In this case, the Jacobian of $\tau_e$ is

$$|D\tau_e| = 1 + \varepsilon \text{div}\tilde{\eta} + o(\varepsilon),$$

and we write

$$V_e = \int_O u(\tau_e^{-1}(\tilde{z})) \, d\tilde{z}.$$  

Then

$$V_e = \int_O u(\tau_e^{-1}(\tilde{z})) \, d\tilde{z}$$

and the perturbation has the volume constraint property. Next, we record the following relation:

$$\frac{\partial u_e}{\partial z_i}(\tilde{z}) = \frac{V}{V_e} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_j}(\tau_e^{-1}(\tilde{z})) \frac{\partial (\tau_e^{-1})}{\partial z_i}(\tilde{z})$$

$$= \frac{V}{V_e} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_j}(\tau_e^{-1}(\tilde{z}))(D\tau_e)_{ji}^{-1}(\tau_e^{-1}(\tilde{z}))$$

$$= \frac{V}{V_e} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_j}(\tau_e^{-1}(\tilde{z}))$$

$$\times \left( \delta_{ij} - \varepsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{\eta}}{\partial z_i}(\tau_e^{-1}(\tilde{z})) + o(\varepsilon) \right),$$

where $\delta_{ij}$ denotes the Kronecker delta function. Calculating the inner variation, one has

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( J(u_e) - J(u) \right) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{V^2}{V_e} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_j} - \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial \eta_j}{\partial \tilde{z_i}} \right)^2 \left( 1 + \varepsilon \text{div}\tilde{\eta} - |\nabla u| \right) \, d\tilde{z}$$

$$+ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \left( R(u(\tau_e))((1 + \varepsilon \text{div}\tilde{\eta}) - R(u)) \right)_{u > 0} \, d\tilde{z} + \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{V^2}{V_e} g u^2(1 + \varepsilon \text{div}\tilde{\eta}) - gu^2 \right) \, d\tilde{z}$$

$$- \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{V^2}{V_e} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tilde{z_i}} - \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial \eta_j}{\partial \tilde{z_i}} \right)^2 \left( 1 + \varepsilon \text{div}\tilde{\eta} - |\nabla u| \right) \, d\tilde{z}$$

$$+ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \left( \gamma_{wa}(\nu(\tau_e))((1 + \varepsilon \text{div}\tilde{\eta}) - \gamma_{wa}(v)) \right) \, d\tilde{z}$$

$$= 0.$$  

(A21)
Using the expression for the Laprange multiplier, one has

\[
\int_{\Omega_c\cap(u \neq 0)} \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial \eta_j}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \eta_j}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \eta_j}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \eta_j}{\partial t} - \frac{u_t^2}{2} \div \eta - \lambda u \div \eta \right\} d^2 \nabla u^2 \div \eta + \\
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_c\cap(u \neq 0)} \div (R(v) \eta) d^2 + \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_c\cap(u \neq 0)} \div (\gamma_{wa}(v) \eta) d^2 = 0.
\]

which, upon defining

\[
\nabla_h u = \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right), \quad (A27)
\]

\[
\nabla_h u = \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right), \quad (A28)
\]

can be written as

\[
\int_{\Omega_c\cap(u \neq 0)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot \eta) (\nabla \cdot \eta) - (\nabla \cdot \eta) (\Delta u - u_{xx} - \lambda u) \right\} d^2 + \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_c\cap(u \neq 0)} \div (R(v) \eta) d^2 + \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_c\cap(u \neq 0)} \div (\gamma_{wa}(v) \eta) d^2 = 0.
\]

We also note the following relation:

\[
\frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot \eta) (\nabla \cdot \eta) - (\nabla \cdot \eta) (\Delta u - u_{xx} - \lambda u) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_c\cap(u \neq 0)} \div (R(v) \eta) d^2
\]

so that, upon using Green’s theorem, we obtain

\[
\int_{\Omega_c\cap(u \neq 0)} \left\{ \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot \eta) (\nabla \cdot \eta) - (\nabla \cdot \eta) (\Delta u - u_{xx} - \lambda u) \right] \right\} d^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_c\cap(u \neq 0)} \div (R(v) \eta) d^2 + \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_c\cap(u \neq 0)} \div (\gamma_{wa}(v) \eta) d^2
\]

equality 0.
where \( \vec{v} \) is the outer normal vector on \( \Omega_r \cap \{ u > 0 \} \). Since \( \vec{v} = -\nabla u / |\nabla u| \), one has
\[
\int_{\Omega_r \cap \partial \{ u > 0 \}} \left( -|\nabla u|^2 + u_t^2 + R(v) \right) (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n}) \, dS = 0,
\]
for \( \forall \vec{n} \in C^1_0(\Omega_r; \mathbb{R}^3) \), (A32)
and hence the following condition holds on the free boundary:
\[
|\nabla u|^2 - (u_t)^2 = R(v) \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega_r \cap \partial \{ u > 0 \}.
\]
(A33)

3. Discrete Morse flow

The discrete Morse flow builds a sequence of weak approximations to approximating elliptic problems by minimizing \( J_p(u) \) under the volume constraint condition and obtains a solution to original problems (8)-(10) by taking the discretized parameter \( h \) to zero.\(^6\)

For example, considering the functional,
\[
H_h(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left( u - 2u_{n-1} + u_{n-2} \right)^2 \, d\Omega,
\]
and using perturbations \( u_{e} = u + e \phi(x) \) where \( \phi \in C_0^\infty(u > 0) \), we compute the Euler-Lagrange equation,
\[
\frac{d}{de} H_h(u_e)|_{e=0} = 0,
\]
(A35)
\[
\int_{\Omega} \left( u - 2u_{n-1} + u_{n-2} \phi + \nabla u \nabla \phi \right) \, d\Omega = 0.
\]
(A36)

Formally taking \( h \to 0 \) in the above equation yields
\[
\int_{\Omega} \left( u_{e\phi} + \nabla u \nabla \phi \right) \, d\Omega = 0, \quad (\forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(u > 0)),
\]
(A37)
which is a weak formulation for the wave equation (inside the free boundary),
\[
u_{tt} = \Delta u \quad \text{in} \quad \{ u > 0 \}.
\]
(A38)

Therefore, by successively minimizing \( H_h(u) \), we can construct a sequence of functions approximating the weak solution to the above wave equation.