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Study Design: Retrospective study.

Purpose: To obtain information useful in establishing treatment guidelines by evaluating baseline clinical features and treatment outcomes of patients with spinal cord astrocytoma (SCA).

Overview of Literature: The optimal management of SCA remains controversial, and there are no standard guidelines.

Methods: The study included 20 patients with low-grade and 13 with high-grade SCA surgically treated between 1989 and 2014. Patients were classified according to the extent of surgical resection. Survival was assessed using Kaplan–Meier plots and compared between groups by log-rank tests. Neurological status was defined by the modified McCormick scale and compared between groups by Mann–Whitney U tests.

Results: Surgical resection was performed for 19 of 20 low-grade (95%) and 10 of 13 high-grade (76.9%) SCA patients. Only nine patients (27.3%) underwent gross total resection, all of whom had low-grade SCA. Of all patients, 51.5% showed deteriorated neurological status compared to preoperative baseline. Median overall survival was significantly longer for low-grade SCA than that for high-grade SCA (91 months, 78% at 5 years vs. 15 months, 31% at 5 years; \( p=0.007 \)). Low-grade SCA patients benefited from more aggressive resection, whereas high-grade SCA patients did not. Multivariate analysis revealed histology status (hazard ratio [HR], 0.30; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.09–0.98; \( p<0.05 \)) and postoperative neurological status (HR, 0.12; CI, 0.02–0.95; \( p<0.05 \)) as independent predictors of longer overall survival. Adjunct radiotherapy had no significant impact on survival rate. However, a trend for increased survival was observed with radiation cordotomy (RCT) in high-grade SCA patients.

Conclusions: Aggressive resection for low-grade and RCT for high-grade SCA may prolong survival. Preservation of neurological status is an important treatment goal. Given the low incidence of SCA, establishing strong collaborative, interdisciplinary, and multi-institutional study groups is necessary to define optimal treatments.
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Introduction

Intramedullary spinal cord tumors are rare neoplasms that account for only 2%–4% of all central nervous system tumors [1,2]. Among them, spinal cord astrocytomas (SCAs) represent only 6%–8% of spinal cord tumors [3-5].
Of all SCAs, 7%–30% are malignant [3,6,7]. However, optimal management guidelines for this neoplasm have yet to be defined. The survival benefits of radical or gross total resection (GTR) of low-grade astrocytomas are inconsistent in the literature [4,5,8-10]. For high-grade SCAs, many authors have proposed limited resection because of their infiltrating nature, lack of distinct cleavage planes, and overall poor prognosis regardless of therapeutic intervention [10-14]. The aim of this study was to obtain prognostic information useful for establishing management guidelines by examining the clinical records of SCA patients treated at Hokkaido University Hospital.

Materials and Methods

The clinical outcomes of SCA patients treated from 1989 to 2014 at Hokkaido University Hospital were reviewed. Data were collected from clinical, radiographic, operative, and pathological reports. All study participants provided informed consent, and the study design was approved by our institutional ethics review board.

The degrees of resection were classified as GTR, subtotal resection (STR), partial resection (PR), or biopsy. GTR was defined as the complete removal of the lesion intraoperatively and/or the postoperative absence of the lesion on T1-weighted gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. STR was defined as the removal of ≥90%, PR as resection of <90%, and biopsy as resection of a minimal amount of tumor tissue for the purpose of diagnosis. We applied a modified McCormick classification (Table 1) [15] to assess neurological function before surgery and at the date of death or final follow-up.

The patients were divided into two groups based on the pathological tumor grade: a low-grade SCA group (World Health Organization [WHO] grades I and II) and a high-grade SCA group (grades III and IV).

1. Statistical analyses

In the low-grade SCA group, we compared the change in neurological function after surgery using the Mann–Whitney U test between the GTR or STR group, and the PR or biopsy group. In the high-grade SCA group, the change in neurological function after surgery was compared between the GTR, STR, or PR group and the biopsy group. Overall survival (OS) and 5-year survival were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method [16] and compared among groups by the log-rank test. OS was defined as the time from diagnosis to death or the censored date of the last follow-up. Univariate analyses were performed to determine the effect of various patient, tumor, and treatment variables on OS. Multivariate Cox proportional hazard models were used to calculate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to investigate independent predictors of OS rate. All variables were assessed in a stepwise multivariate model that required a \( p < 0.1 \).

Table 1. Modified McCormick classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| I     | - Neurologically normal  
       | - Gait normal  
       | - Normal professional activity |
| Ib    | - Tired after walking several kilometers  
       | - Running is impossible, or moderate sensorimotor deficit significantly affect the involved limb  
       | - Moderate discomfort in professional activity |
| II    | - Presence of sensorimotor deficit affecting function of involved limb  
       | - Mild to moderate gait difficulty  
       | - Severe pain or dysesthetic syndrome impairs  
       | - Quality of life  
       | - Independent function and ambulation maintained |
| III   | - More severe neurological deficit  
       | - Requires cane and/or brace for ambulation or maintains significant bilateral upper-extremity impairment  
       | - May or may not function independently |
| IV    | - Severe neurological deficit  
       | - Requires wheelchair or cane and/or brace with bilateral upper-extremity impairment  
       | - Usually not independent |
for variable entry and retention. *p*<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using Ekuseru-Toukei 2015 (Social Survey Research Information Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

## Results

### 1. Patient cohort

Thirty-three consecutive SCA patients were included in the analysis. Five (15.2%) had pilocytic astrocytoma (WHO grade I), 15 (45.5%) WHO grade II astrocytoma, nine (27.3%) anaplastic astrocytoma (WHO grade III), and four (12.1%) glioblastoma (GBM; WHO grade IV). The median age of low-grade SCA patients was 36 years (mean, 38.9 years) and 55% were female. The median age of high-grade SCA was 52 years (mean, 42.6 years) and 69.2% were male. Patients with high-grade SCA were older, but not significantly so (*p*=0.61). The median duration of follow-up was 91 months (range, 2–261 months) for low-grade SCA and 15 months (range, 2–174 months) for high-grade SCA.

### 2. Tumor characteristics

Low-grade SCAs most frequently occurred in the cervicothoracic spinal cord (40%), followed by the cervical and thoracic (25%), and thoracolumbar (10%) regions, whereas high-grade SCAs occurred most frequently in the thoracic spinal cord (38.5%), followed by thoracolumbar (30.8%), cervical (23.1%), and cervicothoracic (7.7%) regions (Fig. 1).

### 3. Treatment

Surgical resection was the most common treatment in the entire cohort (87.9%), with the remaining patients receiving only biopsy for diagnosis. In patients with low-grade SCA, GTR was performed most often (45%), followed by PR (30%), STR (20%), and biopsy (5%). In contrast, no high-grade patient received GTR; the most common procedures were PR (69.2%), followed by biopsy (23.1%), and STR (7.7%).

Postoperatively, the majority of patients with SCA received radiotherapy or chemotherapy (63.6%). Of those with low-grade SCA, 15% received chemotherapy and 25% radiotherapy. One low-grade SCA patient exhibited malignant transformation and underwent radiation cordotomy (RCT). All high-grade SCA patients received radiation therapy, nine following chemotherapy, and four received RCT.

### 4. Pre operative and postoperative neurological status

Preoperative neurological status according to the modified McCormick scale was grade I in four patients, grade Ib in seven, grade II in 14, grade III in three, and grade IV in five patients. Modified McCormick scale at final follow-up was grade I in three patients, grade Ib in three, grade II in eight, grade III in six, and grade IV in 13 patients. Eleven patients with low-grade SCA (55%) and six patients with high-grade SCA (46.2%) showed deterioration of neurological function at final follow-up (Tables 2, 3). In low-grade patients, neurological function after surgery was more likely to be improved or unchanged in patients receiving GTR or STR compared to those receiving PR or biopsy (*p*=0.054). In the high-grade group, however, neurological deteriorated was significant after GTR, STR, or PR compared to biopsy (*p*=0.041).

### 5. Survival outcomes

Median survival for all SCA patients was 53 months (62% at 5 year) (Fig. 2A). Median survival was significantly longer for low-grade SCA patients than for high-grade SCA patients (91 months, 78% at 5 years vs. 15 months, 31% at 5 years; *p*=0.007) (Fig. 2B). Low-grade SCA patients who underwent GTR or STR had a significantly higher survival rate than those who underwent PR or biopsy (177 vs. 80 months, 91% vs. 54% at 5 years; *p*=0.016).
For high-grade SCA patients, however, there was no significant difference in median survival time between the resection group (receiving GTR, STR, or PR) and the biopsy group (14.5 months vs. 23 months, 26% vs. 50% at 5 years; \( p = 0.44 \)) (Fig. 3B). Therefore, surgical resection did not impact median survival of patients with high-grade SCA. Median survival of SCA patients receiving RCT was higher than that of patients not receiving RCT (38 vs. 10 months, 50% vs. 19% at 5 years), although the difference did not reach significance \( (p=0.30) \) (Fig. 3C) as only 4 patients received RCT.

Univariate analysis of variables affecting OS (Table 4) revealed that low-grade histology was significantly related to longer survival \( (HR, 0.22; 95\% \, CI, \, 0.07–0.70; \, p=0.01) \) while a poorer final follow-up modified McCormick scale grade was associated with significantly shorter survival

---

**Table 2. Summary of patients of low-grade spinal cord astrocytoma at the final follow-up**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative MMS</th>
<th>MMS at the final follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ib</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MMS, modified McCormick scale.

---

**Table 3. Summary of patients of high-grade spinal cord astrocytoma at the final follow-up**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative MMS</th>
<th>MMS at the final follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ib</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MMS, modified McCormick scale.

---

**(Fig. 3A).** For high-grade SCA patients, however, there was no significant difference in median survival time between the resection group (receiving GTR, STR, or PR) and the biopsy group \( (14.5 \, \text{months vs. 23 months, 26\% vs. 50\% at 5 years; } p=0.44) \) (Fig. 3B). Therefore, surgical resection did not impact median survival of patients with high-grade SCA. Median survival of SCA patients receiving RCT was higher than that of patients not receiving RCT. Univariate analysis of variables affecting OS \( (Table \, 4) \) revealed that low-grade histology was significantly related to longer survival \( (HR, \, 0.22; \, 95\% \, CI, \, 0.07–0.70; \, p=0.01) \) while a poorer final follow-up modified McCormick scale grade was associated with significantly shorter survival.
However, tumor resection rate was not significantly associated with OS improvement in SCA patients. Multivariate stepwise analysis revealed that tumor histology (HR, 0.30; 95% CI, 0.09–0.98; \( p < 0.05 \)) and final follow-up modified McCormick scale grade (HR, 0.12; 95% CI, 0.02–0.95; \( p < 0.05 \)) were independent predictors of OS in SCA patients (Table 5).

Table 4. Univariate analysis of various patient, tumor, treatment, and outcome characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>( p )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (≥39 yr vs. &lt;39 yr)</td>
<td>1.62 (0.51–5.15)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male vs. female)</td>
<td>2.11 (0.63–7.06)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion: incl. or not incl. cervical spinal cord</td>
<td>1.05 (0.34–3.26)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor characteristics: low-grade SCA vs. high-grade SCA</td>
<td>0.22 (0.07–0.70)</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative MMS: I, II vs. III, IV</td>
<td>0.55 (0.16–1.83)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final follow-up MMS: I, Ib, II vs. III, IV</td>
<td>0.09 (0.01–0.74)</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resection rate: resection vs. biopsy</td>
<td>0.39 (0.10–1.47)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation therapy: yes vs. no</td>
<td>2.46 (0.73–8.22)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy: yes vs. no</td>
<td>2.37 (0.76–7.41)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR, hazard ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; incl., including; SCA, spinal cord astrocytoma; MMS, modified McCormick scale.

*Significant difference.

Table 5. Multivariate Cox proportional hazard models for patients with SCA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>( p )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor characteristics: low-grade SCA vs. high-grade SCA</td>
<td>0.30 (0.09–0.98)</td>
<td>0.0489*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final follow-up MMS: I, Ib, II vs. III, IV</td>
<td>0.12 (0.02–0.95)</td>
<td>0.0443*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCA, spinal cord astrocytoma; HR, hazard ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; MMS, modified McCormick scale.

*Significant difference.
**Discussion**

The best management strategy for patients with SCA remains controversial, and there are currently no universally accepted treatment guidelines. As described in previous studies, the most significant predictor of SCA survival is pathological grade [4,5,12]. Similarly, in the present study, patients with low-grade SCA had a significantly better prognosis than those with high-grade SCA and appeared to benefit more, both in terms of survival and neurological outcome, from aggressive surgical resection.

The survival benefits of surgical resection are inconsistent across studies [4,17-22]. Some studies recommended aggressive resection [19,21-23], while others concluded that biopsy alone yielded better prognosis [4,5,8,24]. McGirt et al. [21] reported that radical resection was associated with a trend for longer survival of patients with anaplastic SCA but not for those with GBM multiforme. Furthermore, patients with anaplastic SCA who underwent radical resection had a higher OS rate than those who underwent subtotal resection. On the other hand, Minehan et al. [20] concluded that the extent of tumor resection did not impact OS of SCA patients; and in fact, there was a trend for poorer OS in patients who underwent more aggressive resection compared to those who underwent biopsy alone. The impact of resection may depend strongly on baseline tumor grade. In our cohort, patients with low-grade SCA who underwent GTR or STR showed significantly longer median survival than those who underwent PR or biopsy. In contrast, median survival was longer after biopsy than tumor resection in high-grade SCA patients, although the difference did not reach significance. A similar impact of tumor grade was observed for neurological function. There was a trend for patients with low-grade SCA to benefit neurologically from more extensive resection (GTA or STR) compared to patients receiving PR or biopsy. On the other hand, in patients with high-grade SCA, the resection (GTR, STR, or PR) to avoid neurological deterioration and decrease in survival rates may not be appropriate, because the OS rate after these procedures was poorer compared with biopsy alone.

In the present series, four high-malignancy SCA patients received RCT, including one patient with low-grade astrocytoma showing malignant transformation. Although there was no significant difference in OS between patients receiving RCT and those not (p=0.30), the median survival of patients who underwent RCT was almost 5-fold longer and 5-year survival more than double. Thus, RCT may be justified in some cases of highly malignant tumors, at least for tumors located below the thoracic level [22,25].

High tumor grade has consistently been shown to decrease OS of patients with SCA, including in this study, with tumor grade being a significant variable in multivariate analysis (HR, 0.30; 95% CI, 0.09–0.98; p<0.05) [6,17,22,24]. Furthermore, our study revealed that postoperative neurological status was the most significant factor predicting better prognosis (HR, 0.12; 95% CI, 0.02–0.95; p<0.05). In our cohort, 51.5% showed neurological deterioration compared to preoperative baseline, consistent with previous studies reporting a postoperative neurological decline in 21%–64% of patients [17,21,22,24,26]. Therefore, preventing neurological deterioration after treatment may improve SCA survival rate. In previous studies, tumor dissemination was also deemed to be a significant factor predictive of shorter survival [10,14,21,24], but this was not considered in the current study.

There are two limitations to this study. First, it is retrospective in nature so we can make no conclusions on causality. Prospective studies are required to determine whether treatments such as radiotherapy or chemotherapy are effective for SCA. Another limitation is the relatively small sample size due to the rarity of SCA. Multicenter studies, including prospective trials, are clearly needed.

**Conclusions**

SCA is an uncommon and successful treatment remains difficult. For low-grade SCA, patients who undergo GTR or STR obtained better OS. On the other hand, treatments for patients with high-grade SCA, including surgery, radiation therapy, or chemotherapy, were unsatisfactory. Multivariate analysis for patients with SCA revealed high-grade histology and neurological status in final follow-up to be significant predictors of poor survival.

Prospective studies are required to define prognostic factors and establish the optimal treatment for SCA. However, the incidence of these lesions is low; hence, strong collaborative, interdisciplinary, and multi-institutional study groups are necessary.
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