



Title	Socially induced reproductive synchrony in a salmonid: an approximate Bayesian computation approach
Author(s)	Koizumi, Itsuro; Shimatani, Ichiro K.
Citation	Behavioral ecology, 27(5), 1386-1396 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arw056">https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arw056</a>
Issue Date	2016
Doc URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2115/66985">http://hdl.handle.net/2115/66985</a>
Rights	This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in Behavioral Ecology following peer review. The version of record Behavioral Ecology, Volume 27, Issue 5, 1 January 2016, Pages 1386–1396 is available online at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arw056">https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arw056</a> .
Type	article (author version)
Additional Information	There are other files related to this item in HUSCAP. Check the above URL.
File Information	Koizumi-MS-final.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

1 **Socially induced reproductive synchrony in a salmonid: an approximate Bayesian**  
2 **computation approach**

3  
4

5 **Running title: Socially induced reproductive synchrony**

6  
7  
8

9 Itsuro Koizumi<sup>1</sup> and Ichiro K Shimatani<sup>2</sup>

10  
11

12 1. Faculty of Environmental Earth Science, Hokkaido University, N10W5 Sapporo,  
13 Hokkaido 060-0810, Japan. +81 11 706 2250

14 2. Department of Statistical Modeling, The Institute of Statistical Mathematics, 10-3  
15 Midori-cho, Tachikawa, Tokyo 190-8562, Japan. +81 50 5533 8500

16  
17

18 \* Corresponding author: Itsuro Koizumi, Faculty of Environmental Earth Science,  
19 Hokkaido University, N10W5 Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0810, Japan.

20 Email: itsuro@ees.hokudai.ac.jp

21  
22  
23

24 **Abstract**

25

26 Reproductive synchrony is a widespread phenomenon found in many taxa, including  
27 plants and corals. However, compared to synchrony caused by environmental cues,  
28 knowledge of socially induced reproductive synchrony is limited, partly due to the  
29 difficulty of experimentally manipulating and/or making detailed behavioral  
30 observations of populations in the wild. In this study, we developed a novel modeling  
31 framework combining an individual-based model, a hierarchical Bayesian model, and  
32 an approximate Bayesian computation (ABC) to elucidate socially induced reproductive  
33 synchrony. This method was applied to time-series redd (i.e., spawning nests) count data  
34 in 30 wild populations of stream-dwelling Dolly Varden charr. The model with  
35 reproductive synchrony explained all the redd count data, whereas the null model,  
36 which did not include the synchrony, failed to reproduce the observed data in several  
37 populations. In addition, our models suggest that Dolly Varden should be able to adjust  
38 spawning by up to a week following other females to produce synchrony. No significant  
39 correlation was observed between reproductive timing and environmental factors,  
40 suggesting that the major cue for the synchrony was social rather than environmental.  
41 The presence of reproductive synchrony within but not among local populations  
42 suggests that predator satiation is not the main driver of the synchrony; rather, other  
43 mechanisms must exist in the Dolly Varden, such as induced monogamy or polygamy,  
44 or avoidance of nest superimposition. This study has demonstrated the effectiveness of  
45 using individual-based and hierarchical modeling together with an ABC parameter  
46 estimation method in behavioral ecological studies.

47

48 **Keywords:** breeding synchrony, individual-based modelling, hierarchical Bayesian

49 modeling, time-series count data, spawning aggregation, synchronous spawning

50

51 **Introduction**

52

53 Most organisms in temperate or arctic regions exhibit reproductive seasonality so that  
54 offspring are born when food resources are most abundant (Munro et al. 1990).

55 Reproduction, however, is often more aggregated in time than would be expected from  
56 seasonality alone, a phenomenon called reproductive synchrony (Ims 1990a). Prominent  
57 examples include mass spawning of corals, mast seeding of plants, and outbreaks of  
58 cicadas (Hoppensteadt and Keller 1976; Harrison et al. 1984; Kelly and Sork 2002). The

59 adaptive significance of such large-scale synchrony is generally considered to be  
60 predation satiation, which occurs so predators cannot consume all prey because vast  
61 amounts of prey have emerged at the same time (Darling 1938; Janzen 1971). Proximate

62 cues include environmental factors, such as temperature, precipitation, and lunar cycles  
63 (Hoppensteadt and Keller 1976; Harrison et al. 1984; Kelly and Sork 2002). At smaller

64 scales, reproductive synchrony caused by social interactions is also of particular interest  
65 because internal factors, such as social or pheromonal cues, may influence the

66 reproduction of conspecific individuals (French and Stribley 1987; Jovani and Grimm  
67 2008). Reproductive synchrony could have significant ecological and evolutionary

68 consequences, for example, by mediating population dynamics or mating behavior (Ims  
69 1990a; Mendoza-Cuenca and Macías-Ordóñez 2010; Plot et al. 2012). However, many

70 important aspects of reproductive synchrony are not fully understood, such as the

71 relative importance of internal and external factors and the adaptive significance and its  
72 consequences. Furthermore, most knowledge of reproductive synchrony comes from a

73 relatively small number of animal and plant species, such as tropical reef species (e.g.,

74 corals, fishes, crabs), mast seeding plants, and some primates (Kelly and Sork 2002; Craydon

75 2004; Baird et al. 2009; Clark et al. 2012).

76

77 Compared to environmentally induced synchrony, socially induced reproductive  
78 synchrony has been demonstrated much less in wild populations. Because experimental  
79 manipulation and determination of social cues are generally difficult, inductive analyses  
80 from observed patterns have often been used (Ims 1990a). For example, when  
81 individuals who are in close proximity reproduce more synchronously than those who  
82 are further apart, socially induced synchrony will be inferred (Sillero-Zubiri et al. 1998;  
83 Evans et al. 2009). However, this can be applied only to sedentary species or species  
84 with breeding nests, such as birds and some mammals. In addition, spatial analysis  
85 cannot separate social cues from local environmental cues (spatial autocorrelation).  
86 Another inductive method is to show the lack of correlation between candidate  
87 environmental factors and reproductive timing (Ims 1990a), but this rather passive  
88 approach has the potential to be misleading because it overlooks unmeasured  
89 environments. Inductive analyses are also generally challenging because socially  
90 induced synchrony has a tendency to result in weak patterns. Unlike mast seeding or  
91 coral spawning, patterns of socially mediated reproduction are often ambiguous because  
92 the effects of social stimuli narrowly focus around a focal individual rather than an  
93 entire population. Such weak temporal clusters of reproduction could also result from  
94 stochasticity alone, especially when the population size is small (e.g., Henson et al.  
95 2010; see also a simulation result below).

96

97 As an alternative to inductive analyses, individual-based models can be used to  
98 investigate underlying mechanisms for observed patterns (Grimm and Railsback 2005).

99 In fact, this approach has been used successfully to model individual-based synchronous  
100 behaviors, such as flocks of birds, schools of fishes, and swarm of soldier crabs (Wood  
101 and Acland 2007; Gunji et al. 2012; Attanasi et al. 2014; Murakami et al. 2014; Calovi  
102 et al. 2015), as well as reproduction (Jovani and Grimm 2008). In these studies,  
103 individuals are given a kind of autonomy, where they are assumed to choose each  
104 behavior depending on social interactions with other individuals (e.g., follow the leader  
105 or neighbor(s)) with stochastic variability. Even in such simple models, however, global  
106 patterns formed by the models are often not directly compared with observational data,  
107 or statistical techniques have not been fully utilized. For example, optimization of  
108 unknown parameters is frequently overlooked, and the degree of fit of the proposed  
109 models is not quantitatively evaluated. This is primarily because the data on individual  
110 behavior are not independent due to synchronicity, meaning that statistical models  
111 requiring independence of observations (e.g., generalized linear models) are not directly  
112 applicable. In addition, including social interactions makes the derivation of the  
113 likelihood function, one of the most essential parts in statistical modeling, exceedingly  
114 difficult.

115

116 The approximate Bayesian computation (ABC) is a promising tool for linking statistical  
117 models to real data in such situations (Beaumont et al. 2002; Beaumont 2010; Sunnåker  
118 et al. 2013). Instead of calculating the exact likelihood, the ABC method approximates  
119 it by numerical simulations and comparisons with the observed data. Thus, ABC has the  
120 potential to be applied to a broad range of complex models (Sunnåker et al. 2013).  
121 However, until recently, ABC has been used primarily in population genetics studies  
122 (Beaumont et al. 2002; Beaumont 2010). In recent years, its use has been expanded to

123 other disciplines, such as ecology (e.g., Jabot and Chave 2009), but not yet to behavioral  
124 ecology. Despite the considerable potential and solid mathematical foundations of ABC,  
125 there still remain some challenges, such as choosing appropriate models and summary  
126 statistics (Sunnåker et al. 2013). Further applications and improvements of the  
127 technique are therefore needed.

128

129 The aims of the present study are (1) to investigate whether socially induced  
130 reproductive synchrony exists in a stream fish; (2) to estimate ecologically relevant  
131 parameters such as the strength of social interactions; (3) to discuss ultimate factors  
132 causing the reproductive synchrony, if any; and (4) to evaluate our new modeling  
133 framework, which combines an individual-based model (Grimm and Railsback 2005), a  
134 hierarchical Bayesian model (Royle and Drazio 2008), and the approximate Bayesian  
135 computation (Beaumont 2010), for behavioral studies. To this end, we first conducted  
136 intensive field studies and obtained detailed reproductive datasets for a stream-dwelling  
137 salmonid in northern Japan. We then developed individual-based reproductive models  
138 with social interactions (i.e., the synchrony model) and without them (i.e., the null  
139 model), which also incorporated stochasticity, non-uniform reproductive schedules, and  
140 observation processes. Simulated patterns from the alternative models were compared  
141 with the observational data and the parameters were estimated by the modified version  
142 of the ABC method proposed by Nakagome et al. (2013).

143

144

145 **Materials and methods**

146



147 Study system

148 We investigated reproductive synchrony in the metapopulation of Dolly Varden charr  
149 *Salvelinus malma* in the upper Sorachi River system, central Hokkaido, Japan (Koizumi  
150 2011). The upper Sorachi River (470 km<sup>2</sup> watershed area above the Kanayama  
151 Reservoir) consists of more than a hundred small tributaries (< 500 m in length, 0.5-3.0  
152 m in width, 0.01-0.5 m<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> in water discharge) that directly flow into the larger  
153 mainstem (5-30 m in width, 1.0-10 m<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> in discharge), generating significant habitat  
154 heterogeneity between tributaries and the mainstem (Koizumi and Maekawa 2004).  
155 Dolly Varden spawn only in small tributaries and the uppermost reaches of large  
156 tributaries or the mainstem (Koizumi et al. 2006a). They form a metapopulation  
157 structure with each tributary used as a local habitat. Genetic composition and  
158 demography are partially independent in each tributary (Koizumi and Maekawa 2004;  
159 Koizumi et al. 2006b, 2008). Therefore, local tributary populations are considered as  
160 good spatial replicates. There are several fish species inhabiting the Sorachi River, such  
161 as white-spotted charr *Salvelinus leucomaenis* and freshwater sculpin *Cottus nozawae*  
162 (Koizumi et al. 2012). However, Dolly Varden dominate small tributaries (Koizumi et al.  
163 2006a, 2012) and, thus, spawning nests (called “redds” in salmonid literature) in  
164 tributaries are unambiguously determined as Dolly Varden redds.

165

166 Redd counts survey

167 Of the more than a hundred small tributaries, we chose 30 (Supplementary Fig. S1 and  
168 Table S1) for the breeding survey based on the following criteria: relatively easy to  
169 access, whole tributary survey possible (i.e., from the headwater to the junction with the  
170 mainstem), and easy to observe spawning redds due to shallow depth, low velocity, and

171 low cover habitat. Only one tributary (T54) had a small erosion control dam, which was  
172 106 m upstream from the junction, and we surveyed this reach. In three long tributaries  
173 (IK, T51, T59.5) and in one branch of a tributary (T13b), we surveyed only core  
174 spawning sites in the uppermost reaches.

175

176 To evaluate reproductive timing we conducted a standard redd count procedure in  
177 salmonids (Gallagher et al. 2007) from late August to the end of December 2007,  
178 covering the entire spawning season. Surveys were conducted every 3-5 days for most  
179 of the breeding period in each tributary until two weeks after the final redd was  
180 observed. Occasional visits in a reference tributary confirmed that no spawning  
181 occurred after January. Since identifying spawning redds can sometimes be difficult  
182 (Gallagher et al. 2007), we carefully evaluated the procedure in advance  
183 (Supplementary Appendix A). When we observed spawning behavior (i.e., construction  
184 of redds, often accompanied with multiple males), we recorded them as redds on the  
185 same day.

186

187

188 Modeling procedures

189 Our modeling combined individual-based modeling (Grimm and Railsback 2005),  
190 hierarchical Bayesian modeling (Royle and Drazio 2008), and approximate Bayesian  
191 computation (Sunnåker et al. 2013) approaches. Hierarchical Bayesian modeling  
192 integrates different levels of models into a single statistical framework by constructing a  
193 system model and observation model: the former represents a biological process we are  
194 interested in, whereas the latter represents a sampling process. These models are

195 integrated into a hierarchy under the Bayesian framework to jointly estimate each of the  
196 parameters (Royle and Drazio 2008). We used an individual-based model for the system  
197 model and an ABC technique for parameter estimation.

198

199 We developed two alternative system models: reproduction models with synchrony (i.e.,  
200 with social interaction) and without synchrony (i.e., null models). We examined the  
201 evidence of socially induced reproductive synchrony by testing which model better  
202 explained the observed patterns in 30 local populations of Dolly Varden. Both models  
203 have the following breeding parameters, i.e., the number of spawning females ( $N$ ), the  
204 mean spawning date ( $\mu$ ), and its variance ( $\sigma$ ) in each population, whereas the synchrony  
205 model has another main parameter, a synchrony coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) that determines the  
206 strength of social interactions. We also constructed observation models for redd counts  
207 to deal with stochasticity and incomplete field data (i.e., variations in the number of  
208 redds a female produces and unequal intervals of field surveys).

209

#### 210 *Reproduction schedule and null model*

211 Suppose that in population  $k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, K = 30$ ) there are  $N_k$  females and that the best  
212 spawning date of female  $i$ ,  $d_i$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, N_k$ ) is a random sample from the normal  
213 distribution of mean  $\mu_k$  and variance  $\sigma_k^2$ ;

$$214 \quad d_i \sim N(\mu_k, \sigma_k^2). \quad (1)$$

215 The assumption of a normal distribution reflects both physiological and environmental  
216 effects on reproductive schedules. This was supported by the redd counts summed over  
217 all the tributaries, which fit the normal distribution well. The best spawning date is the  
218 most appropriate timing of oviposition in terms of egg ripeness. Intensive hatchery

219 programs for salmonids (i.e., artificial breeding) revealed that fertilization success  
220 quickly drops 1-2 weeks after ovulation (Craik and Harvey 1984; Brooks et al. 1997).  
221 This also indicates that females can flexibly change the spawning date for a week or two,  
222 which we modeled as a result of social interactions in the synchrony model described  
223 below.

224

225 Female  $i$  is assumed to construct  $b_i$  redds according to the categorical distribution,

$$226 \quad b_i \sim \text{Categorical}(B_1, B_2, B_3), \quad (2)$$

227 in which  $B_h$  ( $h = 1, 2, 3$ ) refers to the probability that a female produces  $h$  redds. Based  
228 on a detailed observational study of stream Dolly Varden (Kitano 1995), we set  $B_1 = 0.1$ ,  
229  $B_2 = 0.6$ , and  $B_3 = 0.3$ . Thus, one female produced  $1 \times 0.1 + 2 \times 0.6 + 3 \times 0.3 = 1.8$  redds  
230 on average. This system model was used as a null model.

231

### 232 *Reproduction model with synchrony*

233 In order to add socially induced synchrony in spawning behaviors, we assumed that in  
234 day  $d$ , all females in population  $k$  with  $d_i = d$  spawn (if they have not spawned yet); after  
235 that, female  $j$  with later  $d_j$  ( $d_i < d_j$ ) may spawn synchronously with probability

$$236 \quad \exp(-(d_i - d_j)^2 / 2a_k^2). \quad (3)$$

237 In other words, if the best spawning dates are close, female  $j$  tends to go to spawn with  
238 other females. Therefore, the adjustment of spawning timing can be considered to be  
239 socially induced synchrony. In this paper, we called  $a_k$  the “synchrony coefficient.” This  
240 system model contains four parameters ( $N_k, \mu_k, \sigma_k, a_k$ ) for each population.

241

242 Figure 1 illustrates examples of redd count data simulated by the synchrony model and

243 the null model with different population sizes (i.e., 300 females vs. 20 females). In the  
 244 null model, redd counts nearly follow the normal distribution when the population size  
 245 is large (Fig. 1a). This smooth fitting is deformed to a heavily fluctuating pattern when  
 246 synchrony is included (Fig. 1b). Similar patterns are often observed in natural  
 247 populations (Petersen and Hess 1991; Plot et al. 2012). However, even in the null model,  
 248 random sampling from the normal distribution, together with the variation caused by the  
 249 number of redds produced by each female, often exhibits fluctuation when the  
 250 population size is small (Fig. 1c). Thus, although the synchrony amplifies fluctuations  
 251 (Fig. 1d), such patterns can be produced without synchrony.

252

#### 253 *Observation model for redd counts*

254 Suppose that in population  $k$  redd counts were conducted on day  $D_1^k, \dots, D_{T_k}^k$ . On day  
 255  $D_t^k$  ( $t = 1, \dots, T_k$ ) we expect to observe redds produced between  $D_{t-1}^k$  and  $D_t^k$ . Let  $d_i'$  be  
 256 the actual spawning date of female  $i$  ( $d_i' \leq d_i$  because of synchrony). The observed  
 257 number of redds,  $O_t^k$ , can be written as

$$258 \quad O_t^k = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} b_i \cdot 1(D_{t-1}^k < d_i' \leq D_t^k), \quad (4)$$

259 in which  $1(\cdot)$  is the indicator function;  $= 1$  if the inside parenthesis is true or  $= 0$  if false.

260 Since we did not know the actual start dates of spawning, we set  $D_0^k = D_1^k - 5$ .

261 Although arbitrary, few individuals spawn in mid-August (I. Koizumi, personal  
 262 observation), few redds were found on the initial dates, and this setting affected the  
 263 results little.

264

#### 265 *Parameter estimation for null model*

266 For simplicity, because the expected redd counts is given by  $1.8N_k$ , we estimated the  
267 number of females ( $N_k$ ) by  
268 {the observed redd counts in population  $k$ }/1.8  
269 and rounded to an integer. For the other parameters ( $\mu_k, \sigma_k$ ), denoting as

270 
$$P_t^k = \int_{D_{t-1}^{D_t}} f_N(x; \mu_k, \sigma_k^2) dx \quad (t = 1, \dots, T_k), \quad (5)$$

271 and assuming that  $\{O_t^k\}$  are random samples from the multinomial distribution  
272  $(N_k, P_1^k, \dots, P_{T_k}^k)$ , we conducted the maximum likelihood method. This simplified  
273 estimation did not reflect the stochasticity caused by equation (2), but practically, this  
274 estimation provided almost equal results to those when the ABC method described  
275 below was applied to the null model. Hence, we show only the results using the  
276 likelihood estimation.

277

278 *Approximate Bayesian computation (ABC) and approximate kernel Bayesian (AKB)*  
279 *algorithm*

280 Because the derivation of a likelihood equation is difficult for the synchrony model, we  
281 applied the ABC method for estimating parameters (i.e.,  $N_k, \mu_k, \sigma_k, a_k$ ). In general, the  
282 algorithm of the ABC method is summarized as follows (Sunnåker et al. 2013):

- 283 (1) Calculate summary statistic(s) from observational (real) data.  
284 (2) Given a certain model (synchrony model in the present case), perform simulations  
285 many times, each with a set of parameter(s) drawn from the prior distribution(s)  
286 arbitrary assumed (e.g. uniform distribution).  
287 (3) Calculate summary statistic(s) for each simulation.  
288 (4) Decide for each simulation whether its summary statistic(s) is sufficiently close to

289 that of the observed data.

290 (5) Approximate the posterior distribution(s) of parameter(s) associate with accepted  
291 simulations.

292

293 Summary statistics are generally used for comparing simulated and observational data  
294 because simulated data consists of time-series vectors and are rarely “sufficiently close”  
295 to the observed data. Thus, the choice of summary statistics is the first problem with the  
296 ABC method (Sunnåker et al. 2013). The second problem is that, in general, even when  
297 summarized, most of the simulated data are far from the real data, and most of the  
298 parameter values are discarded. Therefore, we need a huge number of simulations (e.g.,  
299 100,000 or greater), which constrains calculations. The third problem is that there is no  
300 established criterion for determining what is “sufficiently close.”

301

302 To overcome these problems, Fukumizu et al. (2013) introduced a new method, and  
303 Nakagome et al. (2013) applied this to a population genetics study. The method is called  
304 the kernel-ABC algorithm, or the approximate kernel Bayesian algorithm; hereafter, we  
305 call it the AKB algorithm. In this method, weights are given to sampled parameters  
306 depending on the closeness between the real and simulated data, with adjustment by the  
307 ridge regression. Fukumizu et al. (2013) proved that the weighted sum over all the  
308 sampled parameters converges to the posterior mean when the number of simulations  
309 increases. Unlike most of the ABC methods, the AKB algorithm uses all the sampled  
310 parameters from the prior distribution and, therefore, does not necessarily require so  
311 many simulations. In summary, the AKB algorithm solves the second and the third  
312 problems of the ABC method.

313

314 *Summary statistics*

315 We used the following four summary statistics:

316  $S_1$ : Number of redds  $S_1^k = \sum_{t=1}^{T_k} O_t^k$ . (6)

317 This is primarily used to estimate the number of females ( $N_k$ ).

318  $S_2$ : The sample mean over spawning dates  $S_2^k = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T_k} D_t^k O_t^k}{S_1^k}$ . (7)

319 This is primarily used to estimate the mean spawning dates ( $\mu_k$ ). Because redds were  
320 produced between  $D_{t-1}^k$  and  $D_t^k$ , this statistic is expected to be later than the true mean.

321 If synchrony actually occurred,  $S_2^k$  becomes earlier.

322  $S_3$ : The standard deviation (SD) of spawning dates  $S_3^k = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T_k} (D_t^k - S_1^k)^2 O_t^k}{S_1^k}}$ . (8)

323 This is primarily used to estimate the SD of spawning dates ( $\sigma_k$ ).

324  $S_4$ : Auto-covariance of time-lag =1.  $S_4^k = \sum_{t=F^k}^{E^k-1} (O_t^k - \bar{O}_t^k)(O_{t+1}^k - \bar{O}_{t+1}^k) / (E^k - F^k - 2)$

325 (9)

326 This statistic quantifies the degree of fluctuations and is used for estimating the  
327 synchrony coefficient ( $a_k$ ). Because our model is not stationary, and  $O_t^k$ s are expected to  
328 take large values around  $\mu_k$ , the auto-covariance formula for stationary time-series

329 (subtract  $m$  instead of  $\bar{O}_t^k$  where  $m$  refers to the overall mean) is not applicable. Instead,

330 equation (9) subtracts the moving average from  $t - 1$  to  $t + 2$  for pair  $(t, t + 1)$ .  $F^k$  and  $E^k$

331 is the first and the last day when non-zero redd count was obtained, respectively. These



332 were for excluding zeros before and after the spawning period, which otherwise  
333 decreased the value of  $S_4$  and weakened the power for quantifying the fluctuations  
334 produced by the synchrony.

335

336 The quantification of fluctuations by summary statistic  $S_4$  can be seen in the simulated  
337 patterns in Figure 1;  $S_4$  decreased from -6.3 (a) to -116.7 (b) if synchronicity operated.  
338 On the other hand, if the population size was small,  $S_4$  only slightly decreased from  
339 -0.71 (c) to -0.83 (d).

340

341 *Prior distribution and AKB-algorithm*

342 Prior distributions and the details about the AKB-algorithms are summarized in  
343 Supplementary Appendix B. Hereafter, the estimate of a parameter derived by the AKB  
344 algorithm is called as the AKB estimate. We also performed a conventional  
345 ABC-method for comparative purposes, and the method and its results are briefly  
346 summarized in Supplementary Appendix C.

347

348 *Goodness-of-fit*

349 In order to check if the model using AKB estimates actually explains the data, we used  
350 another summary statistic that was not used in the AKB algorithm. Let

351 
$$H_t^k = |O_{t+1}^k - O_t^k| \quad (t = 1, \dots, T_k - 1) \quad (10)$$

352 be the difference in the number of redds between two consecutive surveys. We sorted  
353 them from largest to the smallest (let the sorted  $H_t^k$ 's be  $(H_{(1)}^k, \dots, H_{(T_k-1)}^k)$ ) and  
354 accumulated them;

355 
$$G_s^k = \sum_{u=1}^s H_{(u)}^k. \quad (11)$$

356 We also calculated these statistics for simulated data using AKB estimates and  
357 computed their 2.5 and 97.5 percentiles for each  $u$ . If  $G_s^k$ 's for the real data were all  
358 inside the percentiles, the model was evaluated as adequately explaining real data. The  
359 same goodness-of-fit was conducted for the null model. Note that  $G_{T_k-1}^k$  is equal to the  
360 descriptive statistics used in Henson et al. (2010) for quantifying synchrony.

361

#### 362 *Accuracy of AKB estimates*

363 In order to check if AKB estimates were close to the true parameter values, we  
364 simulated redd counts using given parameter values 100 times and then applied the  
365 AKB algorithm and checked if the AKB estimates obtained were close to the true  
366 values.

367

368 We also simulated redd count data by the null model (without synchrony) and applied  
369 the AKB algorithm. Then, the AKB estimates of  $a$  should be close to 0. Thus, this  
370 simulation provides the range of synchrony coefficient that can be explained by the null  
371 model.

372

#### 373 *Environmental factors and synchrony among populations*

374 Synchronous spawning might also have been triggered by environmental cues. In  
375 salmonids, which are stream-dwelling poikilothermic species, the main environmental  
376 factors affecting spawning activities are changes in photoperiod, water temperature, and  
377 water discharge (Jonsson 1991). Photoperiod changes gradually throughout the breeding

378 season and can affect reproductive seasonality, but this cannot be a proximal factor for  
379 reproductive synchrony. Therefore, we examined the effects of temperature and  
380 discharge on the daily spawning activities of Dolly Varden in each of 30 local  
381 populations.

382

383 We used the data on ambient temperature and precipitation recorded in one of the  
384 meteorological stations within the study area as surrogates of water temperature and  
385 discharge, respectively. Since the study area is not spatially widespread (< 10-20 km;  
386 Supplementary Fig. S1), local differences should be small (e.g., correlation coefficients  
387 of daily precipitation among three meteorological stations within the study area were >  
388 0.92 ( $P < 0.0001$ )).

389

390 We first applied the Poisson regression to the number of redds observed (dependent  
391 variable) and the accumulated precipitation (mm) and changes in temperature  
392 (maximum minus minimum temperature) during the consecutive surveys (independent  
393 variables). In addition, since there were many zero data especially in the beginning and  
394 end of breeding season, we used the zero-inflated Poisson (ZIP) regression (Zeileis et al.  
395 2008) with the same dependent and independent variables. These regression analyses  
396 were performed using statistical R software version 2.15.2 (R Development Core Team  
397 2012).

398

399 This exploratory analysis did not reflect the normally distributed redd counts with  
400 different means and SDs. If an environmental factor influenced the breeding activity,  
401 differences between the observed redd counts and the expectations from the null model

402 (residuals) should be correlated with the environmental data. We thus calculated the  
403 correlation coefficients between residuals and the precipitation or temperature data  
404 described above.

405

406 If some environmental factors affected the breeding activity, synchrony among local  
407 populations would be expected. We therefore calculated pairwise cross-correlation  
408 coefficients for redd counts over the 30 local populations: the mean value and the 95%  
409 confidence interval were calculated with 1000 bootstrap resampling (Bjørnstad et al.  
410 1999). Because observation dates differed, we adjusted redd count data to every 5-day  
411 interval from September 1 to December 24 and computed correlation coefficients.

412

413 In addition, since only reproductive seasonality should produce a certain level of  
414 synchrony, we have checked whether the observed cross-correlations could be produced  
415 without taking environmental data into account. For this purpose, we simulated redd  
416 count data by the synchrony model using the AKB estimates 1000 times, calculated  
417 cross-correlations and compared them with the observed values. If the observed value  
418 exceeds the upper 95% over the simulated data, effects of some environmental cues are  
419 suggested. We used population pairs for T7-T7.5-T8-T9, T16-T17, and T50-T50.5  
420 because these pairs were spatially close and had exactly the same observation dates.

421

## 422 **Results**

423

424 General description of spawning activity

425 The spawning season of Dolly Varden lasted 3 to 4 months and peaked in mid-October  
426 (Fig. 2a; Supplementary Fig. S2). A total of 1206 spawning redds (934 completed redds  
427 and 272 direct spawning behavior) were observed from the 30 tributaries. On average,  
428 40 redds were observed in each tributary (range: 9-108), which is equivalent to 22 (=  $40/1.8$ )  
429 females (range: 5-60 females). Spawning periods overlapped widely and  
430 continued for long periods ( $> 3$  months) for most of the tributaries (Supplementary Fig.  
431 S2). Multiple spawning aggregations were often observed in some tributaries (the left  
432 column in Fig. 3; Supplementary Fig. S2), similar with the patterns produced by the  
433 synchrony model (Fig. 1b, d). In addition, gathering of mature adults (10-20  
434 individuals) were often observed in some pools of the tributaries before such spawning  
435 aggregations.

436

#### 437 Synchrony model vs. null model

438 Table 1 summarizes values of the four summary statistics, the estimates of parameters in  
439 the null model and the synchrony model for the 12 populations that had relatively large  
440 numbers of redds ( $\geq 40$ ) (those for all the 30 populations are shown in Supplementary  
441 Table S2). For mean spawning dates ( $\mu_k$ ), the null model exhibited earlier mean  
442 spawning dates than the observed means, and when the synchrony was included, the  
443 estimates tended to become later, as mentioned in the *Summary Statistics* section. The  
444 AKB estimates for the number of females were almost the same as  $S_1/1.8$ .

445

446 The goodness-of-fit test revealed that the synchrony model explained all the patterns  
447 observed, but the null model failed for four (33%) populations (Table 1, at the 90%  
448 level), meaning significantly more aggregation and fluctuations in the reproductive

449 timing. As demonstrated in Fig. 1, the null models often produced fluctuating redd  
450 counts that were visually indistinguishable from those simulated by the synchrony  
451 models. However, the summary statistic  $S_4$  successfully differentiated the two patterns  
452 and  $G$ -values in equation (11) showed sufficient fit for the synchrony model but  
453 insufficiency for the null model (the top and the middle rows in Fig. 3). In contrast, for  
454 the populations where the null models were not rejected, although the observed redd  
455 counts looked fluctuated, the values of  $S_4$  were not so low and the null models produced  
456 similar patterns (e.g., the bottom row in Fig. 3).

457

#### 458 Accuracy of AKB estimates

459 When we simulated redd count data using the AKB estimates in Table 1 and applied the  
460 AKB algorithm to the simulated data 100 times for the above four populations where  
461 the null models were rejected, we obtained values around the true value (Supplementary  
462 Fig. S3), and the the SDs of these estimates were small, ranging from 1.15 to 1.28.

463

464 When the AKB algorithm was applied to count data simulated by the null model, most  
465 of AKB estimates were 1-3 and less than 5% exceeded the AKB estimates for the  
466 observed data (Supplementary Fig. S3), except for T20 that had relatively small  
467 synchrony coefficient (2.74).

468

#### 469 Degree of spawning synchrony

470 Figure 4 illustrates how the synchrony operated on the breeding activity. The mean over  
471 the above four populations (i.e., null models were rejected) was 4.00, and under this  
472 degree of synchrony, 50% probability that a female synchronizes spawning was 4-5

473 days before her best spawning date (Fig. 4a), and almost all females went to spawn 3  
474 days before the best spawning date (on the assumption that some other females spawned  
475 every day). The average over the 30 populations was 2.77 (Supplementary Table S2),  
476 and this synchrony coefficient also involves spawning of almost all females before the  
477 best spawning date (Fig. 4). If  $a < 1.0$ , few females go to spawn before the best spawning  
478 date (Fig. 4), although we found only one such population (Supplementary Table S2).

479

480 Environmental factors and synchrony among populations

481 Neither the Poisson nor ZIP regressions showed clear effects of precipitation or  
482 temperature on the spawning activity of the 30 local populations of Dolly Varden  
483 (Supplementary Table S3). Poisson regression coefficients for precipitation were  
484 significantly positive in 2 populations (2/30 = 6.7%), significantly negative in 6  
485 populations (20%), and non-significant in 22 populations (73.3%). However, none were  
486 significant after Bonferroni correction (i.e.,  $P = 0.05/30 = 0.0017$ ). The regression  
487 coefficients for temperature were significantly positive in 6 populations (20%),  
488 significantly negative in 2 populations (6.7%), and non-significant in 22 populations  
489 (73.3%). In this case, only 2 were significant after Bonferroni correction. ZIP regression  
490 analysis showed similar results, although the populations showing significance and the  
491 directions of the regression coefficients were often different (Supplementary Table S3).

492

493 We further investigated the effects of precipitation and temperature by residual analysis.  
494 However, no significant effects were detected in any of the 30 populations, in which  
495 some populations showed positive values whereas others showed negative ones (Fig. 5).

496

497 The overall level of synchrony in breeding activity was positive among the 30  
498 populations, but relatively low (mean  $r = 0.276$ , 95% bootstrap CI = 0.200-0.357,  
499 Supplementary Fig. S4). We further analyzed the data with the synchrony models taking  
500 the seasonality into account. For the three groups of spatially adjacent populations with  
501 the same observation dates (T7-T7.5-T8-T9, T16-T17, and T50-T50.5), the observed  
502 cross-correlations were not high (mean  $r = 0.258$ ) and were all within confidence  
503 intervals obtained by 1000 times simulations (Fig. 6).

504

505 These results collectively showed low or non-consistent environmental effects on  
506 breeding activity and also low breeding synchrony between and among the populations  
507 inhabiting the 30 tributaries studied.

508

509

## 510 **Discussion**

511

512 Our models suggested the existence of socially induced reproductive synchrony in some  
513 local populations of Dolly Varden. Lack of environmental effects and/or synchrony  
514 among local populations further supported the notion that synchronous spawning was  
515 triggered by social interactions. As far as we know, this is the first study that applies  
516 ABC to animal behavior and also that suggests socially induced reproductive synchrony  
517 in stream fish. So far, reproductive synchrony by social stimuli has been indicated  
518 mostly in mammals and birds from which we can obtain direct evidence of complex  
519 social interactions (French and Stribley 1987; Sillero-Zubiri et al. 1998; Jovani and  
520 Grimm 2008; Henson et al. 2010, but see Plot et al. 2012). Our approach, using



521 individual-based modeling and statistical techniques that link models with field data,  
522 explored a methodological framework that can be applied to a broad range of species,  
523 especially when direct observations of social interactions and mating behavior are  
524 difficult.

525

526 ABC framework in behavioral ecology

527 We combined three modelling frameworks (i.e., an individual-based model, a  
528 hierarchical Bayesian model, and ABC) that are well established in their own fields  
529 (Grimm and Railsback 2005; Royle and Drazio 2008; Beaumont 2010). Since socially  
530 induced synchrony is triggered by surrounding individuals, individual-based modeling  
531 was an appropriate method to use. Hierarchical modelling was also necessary because  
532 field data usually contain stochastic processes, which were incorporated in the  
533 observation model. The advantage of ABC, then, was to be able to jointly estimate the  
534 parameters even though the derivation of the likelihood is practically impossible. These  
535 modelling frameworks were highly compatible since many essential ideas are shared  
536 with one another, such as simulation techniques and Bayes theorem. Since animal  
537 behavior is an individual-level phenomenon, our approach could be effective for a wide  
538 range of behavioral studies, especially when a global pattern can be generated by  
539 individual behaviors (e.g., Wood and Acland 2007; Jovani and Grimm 2008; Attanasi et  
540 al. 2014; Murakami et al. 2014; Calovi et al. 2015).

541

542 We demonstrated the importance of setting an appropriate null model for investigating  
543 relatively weak patterns of socially induced reproductive synchrony (see also, Henson et  
544 al. 2010). Intermittent breeding patterns are often used as an indication of socially

545 induced synchrony (Petersen and Hess 1991; Plot et al. 2012), but such patterns can be  
546 generated by stochasticity alone. Appropriate summary statistics helped us to judge  
547 which data required the synchrony and which did not. In addition, a synchrony index is  
548 often tested against the null hypothesis that individuals breed randomly in time  
549 (Marsden and Evans 2004). Reproductive timing, however, is often normally-distributed  
550 (Munro et al. 1990) and, therefore, calculation of deviations from a normal distribution  
551 is required.

552

553 Our study showed that the synchrony model was not always necessary to explain the  
554 field data and that the degree of synchrony differed over local populations. The  
555 sufficient fit of the null model for some populations may be because the presence of  
556 breeding synchrony is conditional or environmentally dependent. Obviously, it is  
557 difficult to time breeding with other individuals when population density is low (e.g.,  
558 less than 10 females spawned in some populations, Supplementary Table S2). Also,  
559 breeding synchrony may be beneficial only in certain situations, such as when breeding  
560 areas are limited or when the operational sex ratio is high (see below). It is also possible  
561 that there simply was not enough statistical power due to the small numbers of redd  
562 counts in some tributaries. Note that non-rejection of the null model does not  
563 necessarily mean the absence of reproductive synchrony; all the data were also  
564 explained by the synchrony model. A more intensive survey, such as everyday census,  
565 would increase detection power. However, there is a trade-off between collecting data  
566 intensively in fewer populations or collecting that data extensively in multiple  
567 populations but with lower quality. Nonetheless, we were able to detect significant  
568 synchrony in multiple populations. In addition, the strengths of social interaction could

569 be evaluated by the synchrony coefficients  $a$  even when the synchrony models were  
570 statistically differentiated from the null models. Importantly, the synchrony coefficients  
571 indicate that breeding timing can be adjusted for up to 7 days by the synchrony, which is  
572 consistent with known degree of plasticity in reproductive timing in salmonids (Craik  
573 and Harvey 1984; Brooks et al. 1997).

574

575 Reproductive synchrony in fishes

576 Synchronous mass spawning is well known in some marine fishes, such as coral reef  
577 fishes and intertidal spawners (Yamahira 1996; Craydon 2004; Byrne and Avise 2009).  
578 These are mostly associated with lunar or tidal cycles, and the adaptive significance  
579 may be predation satiation (several hypotheses in Craydon 2004). Socially induced  
580 synchrony is also suggested in a damselfish based on intermittent spawning patterns  
581 similar with Fig. 1 (Petersen and Hess 1991). In this case, the adaptive mechanism is  
582 proposed as avoiding filial cannibalism, which we also discuss later.

583

584 Spawning aggregations or group spawning are also reported in many freshwater fishes,  
585 such as cyprinids, lampreys, and salmonids (Sakai 1995; Blanchfield and Ridgway  
586 1997; Jang and Lucas 2005). However, surprisingly few studies have focused  
587 specifically on reproductive synchrony, including the predation satiation hypothesis,  
588 which seems particularly possible in sea-run salmonids by bear predation (Quinn et al.  
589 2014). In freshwater (not sea-run) salmonids, two studies indicate reproductive  
590 synchrony where 60-90% of spawning occurred within a few days or weeks  
591 (Blanchfield and Ridgway 1997; Sato and Harada 2008). They do not discuss the  
592 possible effects of social interactions; rather an environmental factor (e.g., precipitation,

593 temperature) was proposed as the proximate driver (Blanchfield and Ridgway 1997).  
594 Interestingly, however, the gathering of mature individuals in some pools or near  
595 spawning sites was also observed before the synchronous spawning (Blanchfield and  
596 Ridgway 1997; T. Sato, personal communication; see also White 1930), indicating  
597 some social interactions. Overall, it would be fruitful to reexamine the breeding ecology  
598 of fishes in terms of reproductive synchrony for better understanding of its evolutionary  
599 significance and ecological consequences (Ims 1990a).

600

601 The major adaptive significance of breeding synchrony is generally believed to be  
602 predation satiation (Darling 1938; Janzen 1971). However, this is unlikely in the  
603 populations of Dolly Varden. Ims (1990b) has demonstrated theoretically that predation  
604 satiation would not be achieved when breeding occurs synchronously within but  
605 asynchronously among local populations. In such cases, mobile predators efficiently  
606 consume local prey appearing at different times in different areas. This may be exactly  
607 the case for Dolly Varden in the Sorachi River. Blakiston's fish owls *Bubo blakistoni*  
608 were observed at different times and in different tributaries preying on spawning Dolly  
609 Varden (I. Koizumi, personal observation).

610

611 Blanchfield and Ridgway (1997) hypothesized that breeding synchrony in salmonids  
612 occurs to avoid sexual harassment or egg cannibalism by satellite males. Some males  
613 actively urge females to spawn, sometimes by displaying aggressive behavior (Garner et  
614 al. 2010). Similarly, some satellite sneaker males eat eggs right after oviposition  
615 especially when the density of sneakers is high (Maekawa and Hino 1990). Synchrony  
616 generally reduces the operational sex ratio (OSR) so that each spawning female is

617 surrounded by fewer males (Ims 1988). Thus, synchronous breeding may be a female  
618 strategy to reduce harassment and/or filial cannibalism by inducing more monogamous  
619 mating. Another potential benefit of synchronous breeding in salmonids is to reduce  
620 redd superimposition (Blanchfield and Ridgway 1997). When spawning areas are  
621 limited within streams, females dig nests where other females have spawned, which can  
622 cause egg death in old nests (van den Berghe and Gross 1989). Therefore, by spawning  
623 simultaneously with other females, they can avoid redd superimposition.

624

625 We also propose that the breeding synchrony in Dolly Varden may relate to female  
626 choice. Synchronous breeding generally decreases OSR but could also result in male  
627 monopolization when dominant males access multiple females (Ims 1988). In fact,  
628 breeding groups were often formed within limited stream reaches (e.g., less than 50 m, I.  
629 Koizumi, personal observation) and also perfect synchronous egg depositions among  
630 females seems difficult. On days when we observed synchronous breeding events, many  
631 males were active within limited breeding reaches, which could result in strong sexual  
632 selection. Among the large number of competing males, females can directly (via  
633 female choice) or indirectly (via male-male competition) mate with the best males. This  
634 can be referred to as induced polygamy by females, the opposite strategy discussed in  
635 the previous paragraph (i.e., induced monogamy). These alternative hypotheses could be  
636 separated by genetic parentage analysis to see if dominant males monopolize  
637 reproductive success or if subdominants fertilize eggs due to reduced operational sex  
638 ratios.

639

640 **Conclusion**

641

642 This study demonstrated the efficiency of combining intensive field data, modeling and  
643 parameter estimation for the study of animal behavior. Elementary statistical techniques  
644 such as correlations and generalized linear models do not always work because they  
645 require the independence of data while synchrony inevitably produces non-independent  
646 time-series data. Our results have shown the importance of intensive field studies  
647 covering large numbers of populations and frequent surveys: otherwise, we would have  
648 missed variations among the populations in rejection/acceptance of the null model,  
649 environmental effects, and values of the synchrony coefficients. In addition, our study  
650 has demonstrated the importance of adequate setting of a null model; it is not difficult to  
651 make a complex model that can explain given data even when a simpler model can  
652 explain the data. Feedbacks between field studies and modeling together with finding a  
653 suitable null model will advance the studies of behavioral ecology.

654

655

## 656 **Acknowledgements**

657

658 We appreciate three anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments on the earlier  
659 version of this manuscript. This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS fellows  
660 and the ISM Cooperative Research Program (26-IMS, CRP-2008) to IK. Data were  
661 collected in a non-invasive manner (i.e., no fish were sampled), and no part of the study  
662 violated ethical laws or the welfare of animals.

663

664 **References**

665

666 Attanasi A, Cavagna A, Del Castello L, Giardina I, Grigera T, Jelić A, Melillo S, Parisi  
667 L, Pohl O, Shen E, Massimilan V. 2014. Information transfer and behavioural  
668 inertia in starling flocks. *Nature Physics*. 10:691-696.

669 Baird AH, Guest JR, Willis BL. 2009. Systematic and biogeographical patterns in the  
670 reproductive biology of scleractinian corals. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst*. 40:551–  
671 571.

672 Beaumont MA, Zhang W, Balding DJ. 2002. Approximate Bayesian computation in  
673 population genetics. *Genetics*. 162:2025–2035.

674 Beaumont MA. 2010. Approximate Bayesian computation in evolution and ecology.  
675 *Ann Rev Ecol Evol Syst*. 41:379–406.

676 Bjørnstad ON, Ims RA, Lambin X. 1999. Spatial population dynamics: analysing  
677 patterns and processes of population synchrony. *Trends Ecol Evol*. 14:427-432.

678 Blanchfield PJ, Ridgway MS. 1997. Reproductive timing and redd site use by  
679 lake-spawning brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). *Can J Fish Aquat Sci*.  
680 54:747-756.

681 Brooks S, Tyler CR, Sumpter JP. 1997. Egg quality in fish: what makes a good egg?  
682 *Rev Fish Biol Fish*. 7:387–416.

683 Byrne R, Avise J. 2009. Multiple paternity and extra-group fertilizations in a natural  
684 population of California grunion (*Leuresthes tenuis*), a beach-spawning marine  
685 fish. *Mar Biol*. 156:1681-1690.

686 Calovi DS, Lopez U, Schuhmacher P, Chaté H, Sire C, Theraulaz G. 2015. Collective  
687 response to perturbations in a data-driven fish school model. *J R Soc Interface*.  
688 12:20141362

689 Clarke PMR, Henzi SP, Barrett L. 2012. Estrous synchrony in a nonseasonal breeder:  
690 adaptive strategy or population process? *Behav Ecol*. 23:573–581.

691 Craik JCA, Harvey SM. 1984. Egg quality in rainbow trout: the relation between egg  
692 viability, selected aspects of egg composition and time of stripping. *Aquaculture*.  
693 40:115–134.

694 Craydon J. 2004. Spawning aggregations of coral reef fishes: characteristics,  
695 hypotheses, threats and management. *Oceanogr Mar Biol*. 42:265-302.

696 Darling FF. 1938. *Bird flocks and the breeding cycle*. Cambridge University Press,  
697 Cambridge, UK.

698 Evans EE, Ardia DR, Flux JEC. 2009. Breeding synchrony through social stimulation  
699 in a spatially segregated population of European starlings. *Anim Behav*.  
700 78:671-675.

701 French JA, Stribley JA. 1987. Synchronization of ovarian cycles within and between  
702 social groups in golden lion tamarins (*Leontopithecus rosalia*). *Am J Primatol*  
703 12:469–478.

704 Fukumizu K, Song L, Gretton A. 2013. Kernel Bayes' rule: Bayesian inference with  
705 positive definite kernels. *J Mach Learn Res*. 14:3753-3783.

706 Garner S. R., Bortoluzzi R., Heath D. D., Neff B. D. 2010. Sexual conflict inhibits  
707 female mate choice for MHC dissimilarity in Chinook salmon. *Proc R Soc B*.



708 277:885–894.

709 Gallagher S., Hahn P. K., Johnson D. H. 2007. Redd counts. In: Johnson D. H., Shrier  
710 B. M., O’Neil J. S., Knutzen J. A., Augerot X., O’Neil T. A., Pearsons T. N.,  
711 editors. Salmonid field protocols handbook: techniques for assessing status and  
712 trends in salmon and trout populations. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda,  
713 Maryland. p. 197–234.

714 Grimm V, Railsback SF. 2005. Individual-Based Modeling and Ecology. Princeton, NJ:  
715 Princeton University Press.

716 Gunji YP, Murakami H, Niizato T. 2012. Passively active – actively passive mutual  
717 anticipation in a communicative swarm. In: Simeonov PL, Smith LS, Ehresmann  
718 AC, editors. Integral Biomathics: Tracing the Road to Reality: Springer, Verlag.  
719 p.169-180.

720 Harrison PL, Babcock RC, Bull GD, Oliver JK, Wallace CC, Willis BL. 1984. Mass  
721 spawning in tropical reef corals. *Science*. 223:1186–1189.

722 Henson SM, Hayward JL, Cushing JM, Galusha JG. 2010. Socially induced  
723 synchronization of every-other-day egg laying in a seabird colony. *Auk*. 127:571–  
724 580.

725 Hoppensteadt FC, Keller JB. 1976. Synchronization of periodical cicada emergence.  
726 *Science*. 194:335-337.

727 Ims RA. 1988. The potential for sexual selection in males: effect of sex ratio and  
728 spatiotemporal distribution of receptive females. *Evol Ecol*. 2: 338–352.

729 Ims RA. 1990a. The ecology and evolution of reproductive synchrony. *Trend Ecol*  
730 *Evol*. 5:135–140.

731 Ims RA. 1990b. On the adaptive value of reproductive synchrony. *Am Nat.* 136:485–  
732 498.

733 Jabot F, Chave J. 2009. Inferring the parameters of the neutral theory of biodiversity  
734 using phylogenetic information, and implications for tropical forests. *Ecol Lett.*  
735 12:239–248

736 Jang M-H, and Lucas MC. 2005 Reproductive ecology of the river lamprey. *J Fish Biol.*  
737 66:499-512.

738 Janzen DH. 1971. Seed predation by animals. *Ann. Rev Ecol Syst.* 2:465–492.

739 Jonsson N. 1991. Influence of water flow, water temperature and light on fish  
740 migration in rivers. *Nordic J Freshw Res.* 66:20-35.

741 Jovani R, Grimm V. 2008. Breeding synchrony in colonial birds: from local stress to  
742 global harmony. *Proc R Soc B.* 275:1557-1564.

743 Kelly D, Sork VL. 2002. Mast seeding in perennial plants: why, how, where? *Annu*  
744 *Rev Ecol Syst.* 33:427–447.

745 Kitano S. 1995. Intrapopulation variation in growth and reproduction of fluvial dolly  
746 varden. Doctoral thesis, Hokkaido University. (in Japanese)

747 Koizumi I. 2011. Integration of ecology, demography and genetics to reveal population  
748 structure and persistence: a mini review and case study of stream-dwelling Dolly  
749 Varden. *Ecol Freshw Fish.* 20:352-363.

750 Koizumi I, Maekawa K. 2004. Metapopulation structure of stream-dwelling Dolly  
751 Varden charr inferred from patterns of occurrence in the Sorachi River basin,  
752 Hokkaido, Japan. *Freshw Biol.* 49:973-981.

753 Koizumi I, Hasegawa K, Kishi D. 2012. Do small tributaries function as refuges from  
754 flood? A test in a salmonid-dominated mountainous river. *Ecol Freshw Fish.*  
755 21:165-167.

756 Koizumi I, Yamamoto S, Maekawa K. 2006a. Female-biased migration of  
757 stream-dwelling Dolly Varden in the Shiisorapuchi River, Hokkaido, Japan. *J*  
758 *Fish Biol.* 68:1513-1529.

759 Koizumi I, Yamamoto S, Maekawa K. 2006b. Decomposed pairwise regression  
760 analysis of genetic and geographic distances reveals a metapopulation structure  
761 of stream-dwelling Dolly Varden charr. *Mol Ecol.* 15:3175-3189.

762 Koizumi I, Yamamoto S, Nomoto K, Maekawa K. 2008. Synchrony in local population  
763 dynamics of stream-dwelling Dolly Varden: do genetically similar groups show  
764 similar demography? *Popul Ecol.* 50:367-377.

765 Maekawa K, Hino T. 1990. Spawning tactics of female Miyabe charr (*Salvenilus*  
766 *malma miyabei*) against egg cannibalism. *Can J Zool.* 68:889–894.

767 Marsden AD, Evans KL. 2004. Synchrony, asynchrony, and temporally random  
768 mating: a new method for analyzing breeding synchrony. *Behav Ecol* 15:699-700.

769 McClintock MK 1971. Menstrual synchrony and suppression. *Nature.* 229:244–245.

770 Murakami H, Tomaru T, Nishiyama Y, Moriyama T, Niizato T, Gunji YP. 2014.  
771 Emergent runaway into an avoidance area in a swarm of soldier crabs. *PLoS ONE.*  
772 9:e97870.

773 Munro AD, Scott AP, Lam TJ, editors. 1990. Reproductive Seasonality in Teleosts:  
774 Environmental Influences. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Publishing.

775 Mendoza-Cuenca L, Macías-Ordóñez R. 2010. Female asynchrony may drive  
776 disruptive sexual selection on male mating phenotypes in a *Heliconius* butterfly.  
777 Behav Ecol 21:144-152.

778 Nakagome S, Fukumizu K, Mano S. 2013. Kernel approximate Bayesian computation  
779 in population genetic inferences. Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol. 12:667-678.

780 Petersen CW, Hess HC. 1991. The adaptive significance of spawning synchronization  
781 in the Caribbean damselfish *Stegastes dorsopunicans* (Poey). J Exp Mar Biol Ecol.  
782 151:155–167.

783 Plot V, de Thoisy B, Blanc S, Kelle L, Lavergne A, Roger-Bérubet H, Tremblay Y,  
784 Fossette S, Georges J-Y. 2012. Reproductive synchrony in a recovering  
785 bottlenecked sea turtle population. J Anim Ecol. 81:341–351.

786 Quinn TP, Cunningham CJ, Randall J, Hilborn R. 2014. Can intense predation by bears  
787 exert a compensatory effect on recruitment in a Pacific salmon population?  
788 Oecologia. 176: 445-456.

789 R Development Core Team (2012). R: a language and environment for statistical  
790 computing. Vienna (Austria): R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available  
791 from: <http://www.R-project.org/>.

792 Royle JA, Dorazio RM. 2008. Hierarchical Modeling and Inference in Ecology: The  
793 Analysis of Data from Populations, Metapopulations and Communities. Academic  
794 Press, Boston, MA.

795 Sakai H. 1995. Life-histories and genetic divergence in three species of *Tribolodon*  
796 (Cyprinidae). Mem Fac Fish Hokkai Univ. 42:1-98.

797 Sato T, Harada Y. 2008. Synchronous female spawning and male mating behaviour in  
798 a land-locked population of Japanese charr *Salvelinus leucomaenis japonicus*.  
799 *Zool Sci.* 25:766-772.

800 Sillero-Zubiri C, Johnson PJ, Macdonald DW. 1998. A hypothesis for breeding  
801 synchrony in Ethiopian wolves (*Canis simensis*). *J Mammal.* 79:853-858.

802 Sunnåker M, Giovanni Busetto A, Numminen E, Corander J, Foll M, Dessimoz C.  
803 2013. Approximate Bayesian computation. *PloS Comput Biol* 9:e1002803.

804 van den Berghe EP, Gross MR. 1989. Natural selection resulting from female breeding  
805 competition in a Pacific salmon (Coho: *Oncorhynchus kisutch*). *Evolution.*  
806 43:125–140.

807 Wood AJ, Acland GJ. 2007. Evolving the selfish herd: emergence of distinct  
808 aggregating strategies in an individual-based model. *Proc R Soc B.* 274:1637–  
809 1642.

810 White HC. 1930. Some observations on the eastern brook trout (*S. fontinalis*) of Prince  
811 Edward Island. *Trans Am Fish Soc.* 60:101-108.

812 Yamahira K. 1996. The role of intertidal egg deposition on survival of the puffer,  
813 *Takifugu niphobles* (Jordan et Snyder), embryos. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol.*  
814 198:291-306.

815 Zeileis A, Kleiber C, Jackman S. 2008. Regression models for count data in R. *J Stat*  
816 *Softw.* 27: 1–25.

817

818

819 **Figure legends**

820

821 Fig. 1

822 Examples of redd count data simulated by the synchrony model (b, d) and the null  
823 model without synchrony (a, c) when a population size is large (300 females, a, b) and  
824 small (20 females, c, d). Date was calculated taking 1 September as day 1. Observations  
825 are made every 3 days. The thin lines indicate a normal distribution of the best  
826 spawning dates for this population (mean = 60, SD = 15). The values of summary  
827 statistic  $S_4$  (auto-covariance of time-lag = 1, measuring the degree of synchrony) are  
828 indicated for each data.

829

830 Fig. 2

831 (a) Daily number of redds (spawning nests) observed during the spawning season of  
832 stream-dwelling Dolly Varden in 30 tributaries of the Sorachi River, Hokkaido, Japan,  
833 (b) mean (solid line), highest and lowest (dashed lines) daily ambient temperature, and  
834 (c) daily precipitation in the study area.

835

836 Fig. 3

837 The observed redd counts (first column), an example of redd counts simulated by the  
838 synchrony model (second column) and the null model (third column), and the  
839 goodness-of-fit by equation (19) for the synchrony model (fourth column) and the null  
840 model (fifth column) for population T7 (the top row), T9 (the middle row) and T10a  
841 (the bottom row).

842

843 Fig. 4

844 The synchrony curve (a) and the cumulative probability that the females do not  
845 synchronize (b) when  $a = 4.00$  (the mean over the four populations where the null  
846 model was rejected),  $a = 2.77$  (the mean over all the 30 populations) and  $a = 1.00$  (an  
847 example of very weak synchrony).

848

849 Fig. 5

850 Correlation coefficients of residual redd counts and environmental factors (i.e.,  
851 precipitation and temperature).

852

853 Fig. 6

854 Tests of the synchrony for the three groups of eight spatially adjacent populations. Black  
855 circles indicate the observed cross-correlation coefficients, and minus symbols indicate  
856 the 2.5, 50 and 97.5 percentiles (from the bottom to the top) over 1000 simulations.

857

858

859

860 Table 1. Summary statistics, parameter estimates by null model and synchrony model for 12 local populations.

861

Populations	T7	T7.5	T8	T9	T10a	T10	T11	T20	TS	T25	T28	IK
Summary statistics												
Number of redds $S_1$	63	58	40	49	108	72	67	46	47	76	101	63
Mean spawning date $S_2$	10/15	10/13	10/22	10/12	10/5	10/8	10/17	10/11	10/20	10/26	10/24	10/13
SD over spawning date $S_3$	14.98	15.63	11.28	13.47	21.75	13.63	19.28	19.95	16.55	18.40	18.45	21.12
Auto-covariance $S_4$	-7.08	-1.58	-2.48	-5.66	-1.47	-0.92	-0.91	-1.41	-1.77	-1.67	-9.58	-0.85
Null model												
$S_1/1.8$	35	32	22	27	60	40	37	26	26	42	56	35
Mean spawning date $\mu_k$	10/13	10/11	10/20	10/10	10/4	10/6	10/17	10/14	10/18	10/23	10/21	10/10
SD $\sigma_k$	14.34	15.02	11.09	13.25	25.42	13.58	22.66	27.57	16.03	18.20	17.88	21.79
Synchrony model (AKB-estimate)												
Number of females $N_k$	34	32	22	27	60	40	37	26	26	42	56	35
Mean spawning date $\mu_k$	10/15	10/12	10/22	10/12	10/3	10/6	10/15	10/9	10/19	10/24	10/24	10/10
SD $\sigma_k$	14.78	15.68	11.30	13.41	22.26	13.84	19.06	20.1	16.42	18.23	18.43	21.40
Synchronicity coefficient $a_k$	4.51	2.26	2.69	4.57	1.97	1.59	0.91	2.74	2.36	1.76	4.19	1.42
Rejection of null model <sup>a)</sup>	**			**				*			**	

a) \*\*: 5%, \*: 10%

862