



Title	Formation processes of sea ice floe size distribution in the interior pack and its relationship to the marginal ice zone off East Antarctica
Author(s)	Toyota, Takenobu; Kohout, Alison; Fraser, Alexander D.
Citation	Deep Sea Research Part II Topical Studies in Oceanography, 131, 28-40 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2015.10.003
Issue Date	2015-10-24
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/67364
Rights	©2015, Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Rights(URL)	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Type	article (author version)
Additional Information	There are other files related to this item in HUSCAP. Check the above URL.
File Information	floesize_man_rev2.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

1 **Title:**

2 **Formation processes of sea ice floe size distribution in the interior pack**
3 **and its relationship to the marginal ice zone off East Antarctica**

4

5 **Authors:**

6 **Takenobu Toyota^{1*}, Alison Kohout², and Alexander D. Fraser^{1,3}**

7

8

9

10

11 Submitted to Deep Sea Research II special volume on SIPEX-2

12

13 Affiliation

14 1*: Institute of Low Temperature Science, Hokkaido University

15 N19W8, Kita-ku, Sapporo, 060-0819, Japan

16 *corresponding author (toyota@lowtem.hokudai.ac.jp)

17 Tel: +81-11-706-7431 Fax: +81-11-706-7142

18 2: National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Resources,

19 10 Kyle St Riccarton, Christchurch, New Zealand 8011

20 (Alison.Kohout@niwa.co.nz)

21 3: Antarctic Climate & Ecosystems Cooperative Research Centre,

22 University of Tasmania, Private Bag 80, Hobart 7001, Tasmania, Australia

23 (adfraser@utas.edu.au)

24

25 **Abstract**

26 To understand the behavior of the Seasonal Ice Zone (SIZ), which is composed of sea
27 ice floes of various sizes, knowledge of the floe size distribution (FSD) is important. In
28 particular FSD in the Marginal Ice Zone (MIZ), controlled by wave-ice interaction,
29 plays an important role in determining the retreating rates of sea ice extent on a global
30 scale because the cumulative perimeter of floes enhances melting. To improve the
31 understanding of wave-ice interaction and subsequent effects on FSD in the MIZ, FSD
32 measurements were conducted off East Antarctica during the second Sea Ice Physics
33 and Ecosystems eXperiment (SIPEX-2) in late winter 2012. Since logistical reasons
34 limited helicopter operations to two interior ice regions, FSD in the interior ice region
35 was determined using a combination of heli-photos and MODIS satellite visible images.
36 The possible effect of wave-ice interaction in the MIZ was examined by comparison
37 with past results obtained in the same MIZ, with our analysis showing: 1) FSD in the
38 interior ice region is basically scale invariant for both small- (< 100 m) and large- (> 1
39 km) scale regimes; 2) although fractal dimensions are quite different between these two
40 regimes, they are both rather close to that in the MIZ; and 3) for floes < 100 m in
41 diameter, a regime shift which appeared at 20-40 m in the MIZ is absent. These results
42 indicate that one role of wave-ice interaction is to modulate the FSD that already exists
43 in the interior ice region, rather than directly determine it. The possibilities of floe-floe
44 collisions and storm-induced lead formation are considered as possible formation
45 processes of FSD in the interior pack.

46

47 Key words: Sea ice; Floe size distribution; Ice melting; Scale invariance

48 **1. Introduction**

49 Sea ice plays an important role in the polar climate system, due largely to its reduction
50 of heat transfer from ocean to atmosphere and its high reflectance of solar radiation.
51 Therefore the behavior of the sea ice extent particularly in the SIZ has a significant
52 impact on the climate variability in the surrounding regions. Since the SIZ is composed
53 of numerous ice floes with various sizes, FSD is an important parameter which controls
54 the behavior of the SIZ. From a dynamical standpoint it is closely related to the
55 deformation process of the ice cover, while thermodynamically it affects the melting
56 rates of sea ice because smaller floes absorb heat more efficiently from the surrounding
57 seawater than larger floes (Rothrock and Thorndike, 1984). As for melting effects, it is
58 suggested that FSD also contributes to the rapid decreasing trend in the Arctic summer
59 sea ice extent (Asplin et al., 2012). According to their results, large expanses of open
60 water introduce long fetch in the Arctic Ocean, leading to the storm-induced ice breakup,
61 which accelerates the melting process. The effect of FSD on melting rate was shown to
62 be significant for ice floes smaller than about 30 m (Steele, 1992).

63 To predict the retreat rates of the extent of the SIZ on a global scale, it is important
64 to understand the melting processes in the MIZ, which is an outer fringe of the interior
65 ice pack area. The MIZ is characterized by individual ice floes at typically lower ice
66 concentration and vigorous wave-ice interaction that plays an important role in
67 determining the FSD due to wave-induced flexural failure of ice (Squire, 2007; Squire
68 and Moore, 1980; Wadhams et al., 1988). As a storm can induce wave-ice interaction
69 even in the interior ice pack region in the Antarctic seas (Kohout et al., 2014), in this
70 study we refer to the MIZ and the interior ice region as regions with comparatively

71 lower and higher ice concentration, respectively (Fig.4). Since relatively small ice floes
72 are dominant in the MIZ, FSD is a controlling factor of the melting processes. Given
73 that FSD in the MIZ is determined by the interplay of penetrating waves with the
74 preexisting sea ice, it is an important issue to clarify the FSD in the interior ice region
75 and the effect of wave-ice interaction on the formation processes of FSD in the MIZ.

76 Recent studies revealed that FSD in the MIZ has a different regime for floes smaller
77 than a few tens of meters (d_t m) compared with larger floes (Lu et al., 2008; Toyota et
78 al., 2006, 2011). The cumulative number distribution, $N(d)$, defined as the number of
79 floes per unit area with diameters no smaller than d , was found in both regimes to
80 follow the power law, $N(d) \propto d^{-\alpha}$, indicating that FSD for both regimes is basically
81 scale invariant. Yet the exponent α was shown to be quite different between these
82 regimes. Whereas for $d > d_t$ α often exceeded 2, for $d < d_t$ α took significantly lower
83 values ranging from 0.7 to 1.5 depending on the distance from the ice edge (Lu et al.,
84 2008; Matsushita, 1985; Toyota and Enomoto, 2002; Toyota et al., 2006, 2011). This
85 indicates that wave-ice interaction plays an important role in determining the FSD in the
86 MIZ. It follows that understanding wave-ice interaction is requisite for the prediction of
87 the retreating rate of sea ice extent on a global scale.

88 On the other hand, it was shown in earlier studies focusing on FSD in the interior ice
89 region that $N(d)$ follows a power law for floes larger than about 100 m and α often
90 exceeds 2, similar to the case of $d > d_t$ in the MIZ (e.g., Holt and Martin, 2001;
91 Rothrock and Thorndike, 1984; Weeks et al., 1980). However, since measurements of
92 FSD for floes smaller than about 100 m are sparse, the properties of FSD covering a
93 wide range of floe sizes in the interior ice region is not yet fully understood. Although

94 Steer et al. (2008) showed for floes in the interior ice region of the Weddell Sea in the
95 melting season that FSD for $d < 20$ m had a different regime from that for $d > 20$ m, it is
96 likely that FSD for smaller floes was much more affected by melting than by dynamical
97 processes. Besides, field observations of wave activities in the MIZ have been very
98 limited (Liu et al., 1991; Squire and Moore, 1980; Wadhams et al., 1988), with no
99 concurrent observation of FSD made so far. Therefore, it still remains unclear how
100 waves produce FSD in the MIZ, and how this differs from that in the interior ice region
101 through wave-ice interaction, which may be one of the possible factors that has
102 hampered the accurate prediction of sea ice extent retreat in numerical sea ice models
103 (Holland et al., 2006).

104 To improve the understanding of the formation processes of FSD in the SIZ through
105 wave-ice interaction, we planned the concurrent observations of wave activity and FSD
106 from the Australian R/V “Aurora Australis” off Wilkes Land, East Antarctica during
107 SIPEX-2 in late winter 2012. In this experiment, wave activity was observed using five
108 buoys equipped with accelerometers on stable ice floes in the MIZ (see Kohout et al.,
109 2014 for details). Since logistical reasons limited helicopter operations to only two
110 interior ice regions about 250 km from the ice edge due to weather conditions (Fig.1),
111 however, in this study we focus on FSD in the interior ice region by combining
112 heli-photo data with MODIS channel 1 visible, 250 m resolution satellite images.
113 Instead of direct measurements, we examine the effect of wave-ice interaction on FSD
114 by comparing this study with previous results obtained in the MIZ off Wilkes Land in
115 2007 (Toyota et al., 2011) on the assumption that FSD is almost the same in the same
116 region and in the same season. The result obtained from the buoys is used to interpret

117 our analytical result of FSD. Ice thickness data were also obtained along the ship track
118 with a video system (Toyota et al., 2004) to test theoretical studies that show ice
119 thickness is by far the most important factor in determining the scattering and break-up
120 of sea ice (Kohout and Meylan, 2008; Meylan, 2002).

121 The major purpose of this study is to i) detail the properties of floe size distribution
122 in the interior ice region, ii) speculate on the effects of wave-ice interaction on FSD in
123 the MIZ by comparing the results with those obtained previously in the MIZ of the same
124 region, and iii) improve the understanding of the formation process of FSD in the MIZ.
125 In all analyses, the property of scale invariance will be emphasized. The formation
126 processes of FSD in the interior ice region will also be discussed based on the data
127 obtained and the meteorological reanalysis dataset (ERA-Interim). To support our
128 discussion, additional observational evidence from the expedition will be documented.

129

130 **2. Data**

131 During the SIPEX-2 expedition, FSD was produced from heli-borne camera photos and
132 MODIS satellite images for the interior ice region. Ice thickness along the ship track
133 was also monitored with a video system. Here the heli-borne photos, ice thickness video
134 system, and the analytical procedure to obtain FSD from the MODIS satellite images
135 will be outlined.

136 **2.1 Helicopter observation**

137 The SIPEX-2 expedition was conducted from the Australian icebreaker R/V “Aurora
138 Australis” for the period from September 15 to November 16, 2012 off East Antarctica.
139 The expedition was an interdisciplinary project, including physical oceanography, sea

140 ice physics, chemistry and biology (Meiners et al., this issue). The ice concentration in
141 the study region from AMSR-E is shown in Fig 2. During this expedition, the ship
142 navigated within the sea ice zone from September 23 to November 10. Floe size
143 observations were conducted with a heli-borne digital camera (GoPro) in the two
144 interior ice regions, both located about 250 km inward from the ice edge: around
145 63.74°S 119.70°E on September 25 and around 63.86°S 115.69°E on November 5. The
146 tracks of the ship and helicopter and ice concentrations on those days are shown in Fig.1
147 and Fig.2, respectively. During the observations, the weather was clear and there was
148 only a small amount of cloud. Around these areas the dominant floe size was larger than
149 a few km and floes smaller than 100 m were only seen between large floes. In addition
150 to a heli-borne camera, an approximate FSD, unsuitable for quantitative analysis, was
151 recorded every minute with a forward-looking camera installed on the upper deck of the
152 ship. According to this measurement, the dominant floe sizes in the MIZ were about 2-3
153 m, 5-6 m, and 10-20 m in the zones of 0-70 km, 70-100 km, 100-190 km from the ice
154 edge (61.0°S 122.0°E), respectively.

155 A heli-borne digital camera, installed on the step of the helicopter, took the photos
156 of the ice conditions directly below the helicopter every five seconds along each flight
157 track with a fish-eye lens (view angle: 170 degrees) to cover a broad area. During the
158 flights, the position and altitude were recorded every 10 seconds with GPS (Garmin,
159 GPSMAP196) with a nominal accuracy of < 15 m. The helicopter flew at several stable
160 altitudes around 400 m and 600 m on September 25 and around 800 m and 1100 m on
161 November 5. The fish-eye lens distortion was corrected using PC software (Adobe
162 Photoshop Elements 11). To determine the scale of each image, the ship's hull was

163 embedded into an image at an altitude of 789 m on November 5. The pixel scale was
164 then determined for each image. Since the dominant floe size was much larger (> 1 km)
165 than the camera view area (~ 1 km) in this region, suitable images were limited.
166 Therefore, two representative images, which contain a sufficient number of individually
167 distinguishable floes, were selected for each flight. Since the number of the images is
168 limited, we should keep it in mind that the result obtained is a case study. The width,
169 length, and altitude of each image are summarized in Table 1. From this table, the
170 horizontal resolution is estimated to be between 0.6 m and 0.8 m for each image. The
171 total area of the four images amounted to 12.4 km².

172

173 **2.2 Ice thickness**

174 Ice thickness measurements were conducted with a downward-looking video camera
175 installed on the ship's rail that continuously recorded the ice conditions along the ship's
176 hull. Post-cruise, the video images were downloaded to PC and ice thickness was
177 measured with the PC software 'Micro Analyzer' (Japan Pola Digital Co.) for each ice
178 floe that was overturned alongside of the hull. The scale was determined by lowering a
179 measuring stick onto the ice surface while the ship was stationary, following Toyota et
180 al. (2004). The measurement error is less than a few centimetres. In this way, ice
181 thickness data were obtained for three hours per day while the ship was navigating. The
182 hourly mean ice thickness distribution is shown in Fig.3. However, it should be noted
183 that this method is designed basically for the measurement of undeformed ice thickness.
184 The most deformed ice, which is hard to overturn, is beyond the measuring capability of
185 this method, and snow depth is also included in ice thickness because it is sometimes

186 hard to determine the boundary between snow and sea ice. Even so, since the thickness
187 of undeformed ice is related to the strength of sea ice, the obtained data provide useful
188 information to interpret FSD. A total of 1784 ice thickness measurements along the ship
189 track were made and the average thickness was 0.59 ± 0.25 (s.d.) m.

190

191 **2.3 MODIS satellite imagery**

192 Daily MODIS/ Aqua or Terra satellite images with a nominal horizontal resolution of
193 250 m were used to analyze large ice floes in the interior ice region near the observation
194 area. MODIS Level 1B Channel 1 visible imagery was projected to a polar
195 stereographic projection of 250 m resolution, covering the region 60.00°S to 66.66°S,
196 110.00°E to 127.90°E (750 km x 875 km) (Fig.4). Since MODIS images are subject to
197 the presence of cloud, we selected three images (September 24, October 4, and
198 November 5) where the observation area was mostly cloud free and suitable for analysis.
199 Then, to examine regional properties of floe size distribution, four sectors (A, B, C, and
200 D in Fig.4) were extracted from each image for the analysis of the properties of
201 individual ice floes in each sector. The position of each sector was semi-flexible so that
202 it could contain as many floes as possible and avoid clouds. Therefore, the area of each
203 sector changes somewhat for each day (Table 2). The rationale for selecting each sector
204 is as follows: sectors B and C were selected to view the spatial variation of FSD while
205 moving westward across the sea ice area relatively close to the MIZ, and sectors A and
206 D were selected to examine the sea ice properties further south in the deep inner pack.
207 Fortunately, the selected dates of September 24 and November 5 nearly coincided with
208 the days when the heli-borne measurements were conducted, allowing the combination

209 of both datasets for each day which produced a wider range of floe sizes: from about 4
210 m to about 10 km. Additional sampling from three repeats over the period provides
211 evidence of the stability of FSD in the interior region at almost the same distance from
212 the ice edge.

213

214 **3. Image processing**

215 Analysis was essentially the same for the two datasets of photography and satellite
216 imagery, with the image processing technique developed by Toyota et al. (2006). Each
217 ice floe was extracted according to its brightness, and then its area (A), perimeter (P),
218 and maximum/ minimum caliper diameters (d_{\max}/d_{\min}) were measured using the PC
219 software Image-Pro Plus ver.4.0 (Media Cybernetics Co.). In this study, floe size (d) is
220 evaluated as the diameter of a circle that has the same area as that of the floe:
221 $d = \sqrt{4A/\pi}$. We adopted our definition because of its simplicity in calculation. While
222 other definitions were used in past studies, such as mean caliper diameter (d_{mc} : the
223 average of caliper diameters in all orientations) following Rothrock and Thorndike
224 (1984) and Lu et al. (2008) and the side of the square that has the same area as that of
225 the floe (Steer et al., 2008), it was proved that these definitions of floe size are highly
226 correlated (Rothrock and Thorndike, 1984).

227 In this analysis, the key is to precisely determine the edge of individual ice floes.
228 The details are described by Toyota et al. (2006, 2011). Grey areas caused by nilas
229 rafting which sometimes appeared between floes in the interior ice region was carefully
230 excluded because such sea ice cannot be regarded as an independent ice floe. Excluded
231 from the analysis were those floes which:

- 232 1) are intersected by the boundary of the image;
233 2) have an area less than 30 pixels; or
234 3) have aspect ratios (d_{max}/d_{min}) exceeding 5.

235 Criterion (2) was included to examine the shape property of ice floes. According to
236 this, the lower limits of the floe size are estimated about 4 m for photography and 1545
237 m for satellite imagery (Tables 1 and 2). Criterion (3) was included because extremely
238 distorted ice floes are unsuitable for the definition of floe size. The fraction of excluded
239 floes by this criterion is only 0.1% and 0.6% for heli-photos and MODIS images,
240 respectively, and thus does not affect the result significantly. An example demonstrating
241 this analytical process for photography and satellite imagery is shown in Fig. 5. Floes
242 that appear to be identifiable but left unanalyzed in Fig.5 are mostly those which we
243 judged not to be independent or have unclear outlines when we magnified them. If
244 failure in identifying floes may occur, this effect is considered to be biased to smaller
245 floes due to the horizontal resolution of the images. To reduce subjectivity, we repeated
246 the analysis twice for all the images. Consequently, the total number of ice floes
247 analyzed amount to 4,247 for photography and 8,994 for satellite imagery.

248

249 **4. Results**

250 The extracted floes shown in Fig.5 demonstrate that FSD appears to be significantly
251 different between MODIS images and heli-borne photos. Whereas several large floes
252 and a number of relatively small floes are coexisting with a spacing of a few kilometers
253 between long linear leads in the MODIS images, a broader size distribution is present
254 more tightly in a smaller area in the photos taken from the helicopter. The shape of

255 individual floes also appears to be different between these two datasets. Floes in the
256 heli-photo look somewhat more rounded than those in the MODIS images. These
257 features suggest a difference in formation processes between these scales.

258 To show these different properties from statistics, the FSD was expressed as the
259 cumulative number distribution $N(d)$, defined by the number of floes per unit area with
260 size no smaller than d , following past studies (e.g. Rothrock and Thorndike, 1984). The
261 results are shown in Fig. 6 for heli-photos, where $N(d)$ obtained individually at two
262 different times are averaged together for each day, and in Fig. 7 for the MODIS images,
263 where the results obtained at the four sectors are all averaged together for each day. In
264 both cases, the graphs are drawn only for the range where d is larger than the lower limit
265 and $N(d) > 5$. The latter condition for the upper limit was introduced because the upper
266 few samples tend to have extremely large sizes. It is found in both figures that while a
267 slight deviation from a straight line is found especially for MODIS images, $N(d)$
268 basically behaves like $d^{-\alpha}$. This indicates that floe size distribution is basically scale
269 invariant over a wide range of 4 m to 10 km. However, the exponent takes significantly
270 different values between two datasets. The exponent α for the heli-photos (hereafter,
271 referred to as R_S , where S denotes small scale) is estimated by the least squares method
272 for $10 < d < 60$ m, where the effect of upper truncation (shown later) is small, to be
273 1.41 ± 0.09 for September 25 and 1.27 ± 0.10 for November 5, with a significance
274 level of 95%. For MODIS images (hereafter, referred to as R_L , where L denotes large
275 scale) α is estimated to be 3.10 ± 0.46 for September 24, 2.93 ± 0.35 for October 4,
276 and 2.90 ± 0.34 for November 5. A notable feature, observed in the past results in the
277 MIZ, is that for floes less than 100 m (R_S) a clear transition size exists at which α

278 changes significantly (Toyota et al., 2006, 2011). Such a feature cannot be seen in Fig. 6.
279 Although α has a decreasing trend for floes larger than about 70 m in one of the two
280 lines in Fig. 6a, it is likely that this comes from the upper floe size truncation caused by
281 the limited area (Burroughs and Tebbens, 2001).

282 To see this effect more clearly, the lines of the upper truncated power law,
283 $M(d) = A \cdot (d^{-\alpha} - d_{top}^{-\alpha})$, fitted to the mean data following the General Fitting
284 Function (GFF) method of Burroughs and Tebbens (2001), and the underlying power
285 law, $N(d) = A \cdot d^{-\alpha}$, are also drawn in Figure 6, where d_{top} is the floe size of upper
286 truncation and was given as 175 m for Fig.6a and 333 m for Fig.6b from observation.
287 The estimated exponents α are 1.40 for Fig.6a and 1.27 for Fig.6b, close to the values
288 obtained from the least square method above. It is shown from this figure that both lines
289 for the underlying power law fit with the observed lines well, indicating that the
290 decreasing trends in the observed cumulative number distribution can be explained well
291 by the truncation effect. We applied this method also for R_L . Figure 7 shows that overall
292 upper truncated power law fits with the observed cumulative number distribution and
293 the estimated exponents α , 3.09 for Fig.7a, 2.92 for Fig.7b, and 2.89 for Fig.7c, are
294 close to the values obtained from the least square method. These results indicate that
295 FSD is basically scale invariant over both ranges of R_S and R_L .

296 The geometry of ice floes is also an important part of wave-ice interaction process,
297 as shown by Meylan (2002), and provides useful information on formation processes of
298 ice floes. Here the floe geometry is examined from the ratio of maximum (d_{max}) and
299 minimum (d_{min}) caliper diameters. The results are plotted for individual floes in Fig. 8.
300 It is shown that while they are correlated well for both R_S and R_L , the correlation is

301 much more remarkable for R_S (correlation coefficient = 0.98) than for R_L (0.83). On
302 average the aspect ratio (d_{max}/d_{min}) is estimated as 1.84 ± 0.52 (sd) for R_S and 1.93 ± 0.64
303 for R_L . It is interesting to note in Table 3 that the aspect ratio for R_S takes almost the
304 same values as that for the MIZ of other seasonal ice zones. This suggests that the floe
305 formation process may be common among these regions. A somewhat smaller value
306 (~ 1.63) for the MIZ off Wilkes Land, which is rather close to 1.5-1.6 for multi-year ice
307 (Hudson, 1987), might be explained by the higher wave activity off Wilkes Land,
308 induced by stronger intensity of the cyclone system in winter, compared with the other
309 regions (Jones and Simmonds, 1993). It is plausible that higher wave activity increases
310 the roundness of floes through collision processes, but not as much as expected for
311 multi-year ice which experiences significant amount of collision between floes in the
312 interior ice pack.

313 Next, we examine the temporal variation of the FSD for R_L during the observation
314 period based on MODIS images on Sep 24, Oct 04, and Nov 05. As shown earlier, the
315 exponent α averaged for all the sectors was almost constant during the period. However,
316 the pattern of FSD is highly variable within each sector. As an example, the variation of
317 ice conditions within sector B is shown in Fig.9. It is seen that the pattern of FSD
318 changed drastically and decreased somewhat in size with time. The mean floe size of
319 sector B decreased from 3169 m on Sep 24 to 2759 m on Oct 04 and 2406 m on Nov 05.
320 Corresponding to this temporal change, the slope for sector B and D became steeper on
321 Nov 05 (Fig.7). On the other hand, the slopes for sector A and C became gentler,
322 resulting in almost the same slope when averaged over all four sectors. The mean floe
323 size averaged for all four sectors decreases from 3235 m on Sep 24, to 2782 m on Oct

324 04, and remains at 2780 m on Nov 05. Storm events in which the wave significant
325 height exceeded two meters occurred once between Sep 24 and Oct 04 and six times
326 between Oct 04 and Nov 05 (Kohout et al., this issue), which does not necessarily
327 correspond to the change of mean floe size. This is possibly because this result does not
328 correspond to the exact temporal evolution of FSD due to the advection of the sea ice
329 area. Even so, it is interesting to note that although the pattern and mean size of FSD
330 changed drastically on a local scale (< 100 km), it was kept almost constant on a larger
331 scale (~ 400 km) in the interior ice region. This is consistent with the result of Holt and
332 Martin (2001) which showed that the exponent α of FSD in the interior ice region of
333 the Arctic Ocean (horizontal scale > 300 km) was not affected by the passage of storms,
334 although the mean floe size decreased.

335 The above results are summarized in Table 3, including past results obtained from
336 the MIZ of the Sea of Okhotsk, the Weddell Sea, and off Wilkes Land for comparison.
337 In Table 3, for convenience the results obtained for the regimes of $d < d_t$ and $d > d_t$ in
338 the past studies are listed in the column R_S and R_L , respectively.

339 The characteristics are summarized as follows:

- 340 1) For both R_S and R_L , FSD is basically scale invariant.
- 341 2) The exponent α is much less than 2 for R_S , while around 3 for R_L . Both
342 values are rather close to those found in the MIZ in the past observation;
- 343 3) For floes less than about 100 m (R_S) a regime shift which appeared in the
344 MIZ from the past observations does not occur in the interior ice region;
- 345 4) On average the aspect ratio of individual ice floes is not significantly
346 different between R_S (1.84) and R_L (1.93);

347 5) For R_L , the exponent α of FSD averaged for all sectors was nearly stable
348 during the period although it varied significantly within each sector.

349 Points 1 and 2 are important because as Rothrock and Thorndike (1984) pointed out,
350 if α for R_S is larger than 2, total area of floes would become infinite. Points 2 suggests
351 that the formation processes of FSD are different for R_L and R_S , which will be discussed
352 in the next section. There may be a possible effect of failure to identify all floes
353 especially for MODIS images (Fig.5b). However, considering that this effect seems to
354 be biased to smaller floes, the real α for R_L would have rather larger values than our
355 estimates. Therefore, we do not consider that this effect can alter our result essentially.
356 Although Perovich and Jones (2014) pointed out that a constant decrease in floe size
357 due to lateral melting can cause a decrease in α for smaller floes, we consider that this
358 effect is small because our observation was conducted in late winter with the air
359 temperature ranging mostly from -20 to -5°C before significant melting began.

360 It is interesting to note in Table 3 that α for R_S takes a somewhat smaller value for
361 thicker sea ice, suggesting that the strength of sea ice is related to α . Point 3 indicates
362 that the regime shift which appeared for $d < 100$ m in the MIZ is closely related to wave
363 activities and that wave-ice interaction plays an important role in determining the
364 transition size d_t . The detail will be discussed in the next section. Point 4 means that
365 floes are not of a circular shape but usually distorted. This seems reasonable when we
366 consider the obvious effects of swell break-up in the interior tend to have some
367 anisotropy in the aspect ratio (e.g. Worby et al., 1998). Point 5 suggests that the
368 statistics of FSD in the interior ice region may be maintained on a large scale.

369

370 **5. Discussion**

371 **5-1. Formation processes**

372 We now examine the formation processes for the individual scales of R_S and R_L in the
373 interior ice region. Firstly, we discuss it for R_S from geometric properties (Table 3). It is
374 noticeable in Fig.5a that a number of ice floes are closely packed and some floes are
375 fractured into halves or quarters, apparently due to collision with neighboring floes.
376 Since storm-induced waves can penetrate into the interior ice region (Kohout et al.,
377 2014), it might be possible that the fracturing was induced by waves or swells. However,
378 considering that the surrounding large ice floe was not broken, fracturing due to
379 collisions is more probable. Of interest is that the fractal dimension of this regime
380 (1.3-1.4) is close to the value of 1.31 for a typical fractal geometry known as the
381 Apollonian gasket. A key geometric feature of the Apollonian gasket is that each circle
382 is in contact with the three surrounding circles at any scale, which is similar to the
383 appearance of Fig. 5a. This suggests that the major formation process of FSD for R_S
384 relate to the collisions between floes.

385 If the given probability of a break-up process by collision is independent of scale, it
386 is natural that the floe size distribution produced becomes scale invariant. Toyota et al.
387 (2011) attempted to explain how the fractal dimension α for R_S in the MIZ is
388 determined through wave-ice interaction by introducing a “fragility” parameter, f , which
389 represents the likelihood of break-up as a function of ice strength relative to wave
390 activity, and correlated α with f . Our result suggests that a similar concept can be
391 applied to R_S in the interior ice region. In this case, fragility is considered to represent
392 the likelihood of break-up due to collision between floes. If this is true, there is no

393 reason for producing a regime shift for R_S in the interior ice region where wave activity
394 is usually quite small. This explains point 3 presented in the previous section. The slight
395 difference in α between Sep 25 (1.41) and Nov 05 (1.27) may be explained by the
396 difference in mean ice thickness (0.37 m and 0.79 m, respectively). It is plausible that
397 thicker ice has tougher strength and tends to reduce the break-up of ice floes, resulting
398 in a lower fractal dimension.

399 Of interest is that these values of α are close to that for R_S obtained in the MIZ
400 (Table 3). The floe geometry (aspect ratio) in the interior ice region was also shown to
401 be close to that in the MIZ, although the floes in the MIZ off Wilkes Land have a
402 somewhat more rounded shape. This means that the original form of the FSD for R_S in
403 the MIZ was already created in the interior ice region before wave-ice interaction
404 influences the MIZ significantly. It is consistent with the idea that the more rounded
405 floes in the MIZ off Wilkes Land might be attributed to the more vigorous wave activity
406 compared with other MIZs and the interior ice region.

407 Next we discuss the formation process for R_L in the interior ice region. A notable
408 feature in Fig.9 is a number of long linear leads are running between floes with a
409 spacing of 1 to > 10 km in various directions. Since the spacing of leads almost
410 coincides with the floe size analyzed, it is natural to think that occurrence of such leads
411 is relevant to the formation of FSD for this regime. If the deep water approximation is
412 applied, a wave length of 10 km corresponds to a period of 125 seconds ($=$
413 $\sqrt{g/2\pi \cdot 10^4}$), where g is acceleration due to gravity. Given that wave activity is
414 usually quite small at such a long period in the region more than 200 km inward from
415 the ice edge (Squire and Moore, 1980), it is unlikely that wave activity is responsible for

416 the lead formation on this scale unless storm-induced waves are involved. Kohout et al.
417 (this issue) showed that storm-induced waves can penetrate into the interior ice region
418 and create ice breakup even when the wave height becomes quite small. But as a major
419 factor we consider that dynamic failure due to deformation processes of sea ice should
420 work efficiently for initially formed cracks and lead formation, as shown by Erlingsson
421 (1988) and Schulson and Hibler (1991). Schulson and Hibler (1991) suggested that the
422 enhancement mechanism of initially formed cracks due to compressive forcing can
423 produce a scale invariant pattern of leads on a scale larger than tens of kilometers,
424 which may explain the scale invariant property of FSD for R_L to some extent.

425 Besides these effects, here we point out the effect of wind for the lead formation. As
426 discussed by Coon and Evans (1977), the elastic property of sea ice is insufficient to
427 induce ice cracking through wind forcing. Even so, there is a possibility that wind is
428 involved in the development of leads. As an example, Fig.10 shows a MODIS image on
429 October 23, 2012, with the wind pattern obtained from the European Centre for
430 Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Interim Re-analysis (ERA-Interim) dataset ($1.5^\circ \times$
431 1.5° , four times per day). This figure demonstrates that major linear leads are aligned in
432 parallel with a spacing of a few to 30 km normal to the wind direction, showing the
433 relevance between wind and lead formation. In the magnified figure we find a number
434 of cracks are running in various directions, possibly caused by wind with various
435 directions in the past.

436 The possible wind effect is considered as follows. Initially, we start with one large
437 ice floe ($>$ a few km) which is formed by the aggregation of a number of relatively
438 small ice floes ($<$ 1 km) with various thickness and/or sizes. When a strong wind blows

439 over this region associated with the passage of a cyclonic system, breakup may occur
440 between small floes due to the penetration of storm-induced waves and/or the dynamic
441 effect of deformation. Suppose that the ice thickness is significantly different between
442 neighboring broken floes (Fig.11). Since the wind forcing at the upper surface would
443 make little difference between aggregating small floes, a different ocean drag forcing
444 acts on the bottom surface between these floes and consequently differential ice velocity
445 is produced, which works to increase the lead width between these small floes (Fig.11).
446 Since the spatial variation of strong winds accompanying a cyclonic system usually
447 occurs at a scale larger than 100 km, the leads would appear linearly with a scale of a
448 few tens of kilometers. It is likely that the change of wind direction associated with the
449 passing of cyclonic systems induces various multi-directional cracks and leads. A lead
450 development event induced by wind that occurred during the expedition will be
451 documented in the next section. Thus, when wind works efficiently to develop leads, it
452 is possible that wind also plays a role in forming FSD in R_L . In this case, the scale
453 invariant property may be produced by a combination of the flexural fracture due to the
454 penetration of storm-induced waves (Kohout, 2014), the reconnection of separated ice
455 floes, and the break-up due to floe-floe collisions. The fact that the aspect ratio of this
456 regime is not significantly different from that in the R_S regime (Table 3) suggests that
457 break up due to collision works effectively as well.

458 Finally, we discuss the role of wave-ice interaction in forming FSD in the MIZ. To
459 see the difference between the two datasets more clearly, the combined figures for Sep
460 24/25 and November 05 are shown in Fig.12. In the figures thick solid lines denote the
461 averaged data for each dataset. It should be kept in mind that the difference in the study

462 area between the two datasets is not taken into account. If this effect is included, the line
463 for R_S will be shifted somewhat downward in Fig.12. Even so, the meeting point of two
464 extended lines with different slopes lies at around 1 km. Thus it is found that the major
465 formation process and properties of floe size distribution in the interior ice region
466 differs for floe sizes above and below 1 km. The value of α for R_S in the interior ice
467 region is almost unchanged in the MIZ and the major difference between the MIZ and
468 the interior ice region is the presence of a regime shift at $d = 20\text{-}40$ m. These facts
469 suggest that the major role of wave-ice interaction is in creating a transition size (d_t) of
470 20-40 m in the MIZ by modulating the floe size distribution for $d > d_t$ in the interior ice
471 region. As a modulating process, the break-up of floes due to flexural forcing by waves
472 would work effectively. Considering that α for $d > d_t$ in the MIZ is rather close to that
473 for R_L in the interior ice region, it is possible that there may be a similarity in the
474 formation processes between these regimes and that the major role of wave-ice
475 interaction is to enhance them.

476 So why is the modulating process limited to larger floes ($d > d_t$) and what
477 determines d_t ? Concerning the response of sea ice to swell, it was shown from
478 theoretical studies that when the ice floe size is smaller than 100 m, flexural failure
479 becomes difficult for any period or amplitude of swell (Fox and Squire, 1991; Higashi
480 et al., 1982; Meylan and Squire, 1994). And Mellor (1986) theoretically derived the
481 minimum ice length at which flexural failure will occur as a function of Young's
482 modulus, Poisson's ratio, and ice thickness of sea ice. Here the minimum ice length for
483 wind-induced fracture is estimated as 20-40 m, corresponding approximately to d_t , and
484 is independent of the degree of wave activity. This explains why α for R_S in the interior

485 ice region remains unchanged even if the MIZ boundary expands poleward due to
486 melting in the MIZ and that d_t is commonly seen in the MIZ of the SIZ. In theoretical
487 studies, Toyota et al. (2006 and 2011) hypothesized that flexural failure by ocean swell
488 plays an essential role in producing a regime shift. Our observational result that a
489 regime shift was absent for floes less than 100 m in the interior ice region seems to
490 support their hypothesis implicitly.

491

492 **5-2. Ice cracking induced by wind**

493 For the period October 26 to November 4, the R/V “Aurora Australis” was completely
494 stuck in a thick (5-6 m), large (> 1 km) sea ice floe at around 65°S 117°E (Fig.1). Then
495 with the passing of a cyclonic system near this area from northwest to southeast, a
496 persistent southerly wind increased in strength from 5 m/s at midnight to 20 m/s at
497 15:00 (local ship time) on November 4, according to the wind data recorded on the ship.
498 With the ship pointing north (340 degrees), the wind was blowing from stern to bow. At
499 14:30, when the southerly wind speed reached nearly 18 m/s, a linear crack running in
500 the east-west direction, normal to the wind direction suddenly appeared in sight about
501 800 m ahead of the ship. Images taken from the top of the ship showed that the lead
502 became prominent at 15:30. On the following day (Nov 5), the width of the lead was
503 estimated as 770 m at 13:38 from heli-borne imagery. Taking into account that the wind
504 direction turned from southerly to westerly at 03:30 (local time) on Nov 5, the opening
505 rate of the lead can be estimated to be 1.8 cm/s (= 770 m / 12 hours). During the
506 opening of the lead, the average speed of the southerly wind was 10.4 m/s. While stuck
507 in the ice, the ocean condition was calm. Although due to lack of the observational data

508 we cannot say assuredly what caused the ice crack initially, it might be possible that
 509 associated with the passage of a storm over the open sea area north of the region on
 510 November 3 to 4, dynamic failure due to the deformation processes of sea ice, as shown
 511 by Erlingsson (1988) and Schulson and Hibler (1991), or breakup due to the penetration
 512 of storm-induced waves, as shown by Kohout et al. (this issue), were involved in the
 513 crack event. After the lead developed, our ship repeatedly rammed the ice for about 1.5
 514 days and eventually escaped from the thick floe at 11:04 on November 6.

515 Based on the above data, we attempt to estimate the ice conditions. According to the
 516 discussion in the previous section, ice thickness is expected to be significantly different
 517 between the ice floe on the other side of the lead (F_1) and the ice floe where R/V
 518 “Aurora Australis” became stuck (F_2). Now we suppose a steady condition in which the
 519 drag forcings of air-ice (τ_a) and ice-ocean (τ_w) are balanced (Fig.11). Although the
 520 internal stress between ice floes usually plays an important role in the balance equation
 521 in the ice pack region, we set this assumption because wind with almost uniform speed
 522 and direction was blowing over this region on a scale of a few hundreds of kilometers
 523 during the event, and so the differential velocity between floes which causes the internal
 524 stress is considered to be relatively small. From the force balance equation ($\tau_a = \tau_w$),
 525 Eq.1 is derived based on the assumption of no ocean current and U_a (wind speed) $\gg V_i$
 526 (ice velocity):

$$527 \quad V_i = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_a \cdot C_{Da}}{\rho_w \cdot C_{Dw}}} \times U_a \quad (1)$$

528 where ρ_a and ρ_w are densities of air and seawater, respectively. C_{Da} and C_{Dw} are
 529 drag coefficients between air-ice and ice-ocean, respectively. Here we assume that C_{Da}

530 is common between F_1 and F_2 and relative ice velocity is produced by the difference in
 531 C_{Dw} between F_1 and F_2 . Ice velocity for F_1 and F_2 can be described as follows:

$$532 \quad V_{i1} = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_a \cdot C_{Da}}{\rho_w \cdot C_{Dw1}}} \times U_a, \quad V_{i2} = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_a \cdot C_{Da}}{\rho_w \cdot C_{Dw2}}} \times U_a \quad (2)$$

533 In Eq.2 we set $C_{Dw2} = k C_{Dw1}$, and 3×10^{-2} was given to $\sqrt{\frac{\rho_a \cdot C_{Da}}{\rho_w \cdot C_{Dw1}}}$ as a typical

534 Nansen number for Antarctic sea ice (Lepparanta, 2005). Then Eq. 3 can be derived:

$$535 \quad 1 - 1/\sqrt{k} = (V_{i1} - V_{i2})/U_a \times 1/(3 \times 10^{-2}). \quad (3)$$

536 By substituting observed values of 10.4 m/s and 1.8 cm/s for U_a and $V_{i1} - V_{i2}$ in Eq.3,
 537 $k=1.13$, i.e. $C_{Dw2} = 1.13 \times C_{Dw1}$ is obtained. According to Lu et al. (2011), the
 538 ice-water drag coefficient C_{Dw} for ridged ice is a function of ice concentration and the
 539 spacing and depth of ridge keels. According to their results, for IC= 90 % and the same
 540 keel spacing, $k = 1.13$ is achieved when the keel depth for F_2 is about 1.5 times greater
 541 than that for F_1 . Considering that in reality the internal stress among ice floes may work
 542 additionally to reduce the ice motion, it is deduced that this estimation provides the
 543 minimum variation of the ice conditions. Given the considerable variation of mean ice
 544 thickness depending on ice floes in this region, as shown to be 1.4 to 3.6 m obtained
 545 from autonomous underwater vehicles during this expedition by Williams et al. (2015)
 546 and 0.6 to 2.2 m obtained from drilling during the SIPEX expedition in 2007 by Worby
 547 et al. (2011), this estimate seems plausible and suggests that lead development induced
 548 by wind may contribute to the formation process of floe size distribution for R_L .
 549 Paradoxically, we might have been able to escape from the thick ice because the floe
 550 was significantly thicker than the surrounding ice floes.

551

552 **6. Conclusion**

553 To elucidate the properties of FSD in the interior ice region and its relationship to FSD
554 in the MIZ, the observation of FSD was conducted in the interior ice region off East
555 Antarctica in late winter 2012, using a helicopter-borne digital camera. Heli-photos
556 were used for the analysis of floes smaller than 100 m and MODIS images were also
557 used for floes larger than 1 km. By combining these two datasets, we obtained the
558 properties of FSD in the interior ice region over a wide range in this area. Ice thickness
559 data were obtained along the ship's track with a video system. These data were used to
560 interpret the properties of FSD. The likely impact of wave-ice interaction on FSD was
561 examined by comparing the result of this study with the past result obtained in the MIZ
562 of the same region. As a result, it was revealed that:

563 (a) For both floe size regimes (< 100 m and > 1 km) FSD is shown to follow a power
564 law, $N(d)=\beta \cdot d^{-\alpha}$, indicating that both are basically scale invariant.

565 (b) However, the values of the exponent α , corresponding to fractal dimension, are quite
566 different between these two regimes: 1.3-1.4 for floes < 100 m and 2.9-3.1 for floes > 1
567 km. These values are both rather close to those of two regimes obtained for sea ice floes
568 in the MIZ in the past studies.

569 (c) The regime shift which was found at a floe size of 20-40 m in the MIZ is absent in
570 the interior ice regions.

571 (d) Based on the observational evidence, the major formation process of FSD in the
572 interior ice region is deduced to be the break-up due to collision between floes for $d <$
573 100 m and lead formation possibly induced by ice deformation, penetrating waves and

574 wind for $d > 1$ km.

575 Among these results, point (b) indicates that the original form of FSD in the MIZ is
576 already created in the interior ice region. Therefore it is deduced that the role of
577 wave-ice interaction is to modulate the FSD that already exists in the interior ice region
578 until the boundary size of the two regimes decreases down to 20-40 m rather than to
579 create a new FSD in the MIZ. If this is the case, point (c) supports the hypothesis
580 proposed by Toyota et al. (2006 and 2011) that the transition size is closely related to
581 the minimum length of sea ice that can cause flexural failure due to ocean wave (Mellor,
582 1986). Point (d) indicates that the behavior of ice floes is dynamic even in the interior
583 ice region where wave-ice interaction is usually quite small.

584 Finally, our results suggest that the FSD in the MIZ of the SIZ is closely related to
585 that of the interior ice region via wave-ice interaction. It should also be kept in mind
586 that this is speculative and that continuous observations of the evolution of the FSD
587 should be undertaken to determine if this is true. This means that to understand the
588 formation process of FSD in the MIZ, we need expanded studies to clarify the behavior
589 of sea ice floes across the whole seasonal ice zone, including wind-ice interaction,
590 wave-ice interaction, and ice thickness distribution. Since the FSD in the MIZ is
591 potentially one of the controlling factors of retreating rates of sea ice extent on a global
592 scale, further investigation is required to understand the polar climate system.

593

594

595 **Acknowledgments**

596 The authors deeply thank all the crew and scientists of R/V “Aurora Australis” for their
597 support during SIPEX-2. Special thanks are given to the chief scientist, Dr. K. Meiners
598 for his dedicated leadership. Discussion with Prof. K. Golden, Dr. R. Massom and Prof.
599 H. Mitsudera and proof reading by Dr. Guy Williams are also acknowledged. Comments
600 by two anonymous reviewers were very helpful to improve the manuscript. Image
601 processing was carried out using Image Pro Plus ver.4.0. This work was supported
602 partly by FY 2011 Researcher Exchange Program between Japan Society for the
603 Promotion of Science and the Royal Society of New Zealand, partly by JSPS
604 KAKENHI 24-510001 and 25-03748 [Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research], and partly
605 by a New Zealand Foundation of Research Science and Technology Postdoctoral award
606 to A.K., the Marsden Fund Council, administered by the Royal Society of New Zealand,
607 NIWA through core funding under the National Climate Centre Climate Dynamics
608 programme, the Antarctic Climate and Ecosystems Cooperative Research Centre and
609 the Australian Antarctic Science project 4073.

610

611 **References**

- 612 Asplin, M.G., R. Galley, D.G. Barber, S. Prinsenberg, 2012. Fracture of summer
613 perennial sea ice by ocean swell as a result of Arctic storms. *Journal of Geophysical*
614 *Research*, 117, C06025, doi:10.1029/2011JC007221.
- 615 Coon, M.D., Evans, R.J., 1977. On wind-induced cracking of sea-ice sheets.
616 *Journal of Glaciology*, 18(78), 152-154.
- 617 Burroughs, S.M., Tebbens, S.F., 2001. Upper-truncated power laws in natural
618 systems. *Pure Applied Geophysics* 158, 741-757.
- 619 Erlingsson, B., 1988. Two-dimensional deformation patterns in sea ice.
620 *Journal of Glaciology*, 34(118), 301-308.
- 621 Fox, C., Squire, V.A., 1991. Strain in shore fast ice due to incoming ocean waves and
622 swell. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 96 (C3), 4531-4547.
- 623 Higashi, A., Goodman, D.J., Kawaguchi, S., Mae, S., 1982. The cause of the breakup of
624 fast ice on March 18, 1980 near Syowa station, East Antarctica. *Proc. 4th*
625 *Symposium on Polar Meteorology and Glaciology*, National Institute of Polar
626 *Research*, Tokyo, Japan, pp. 222-231.
- 627 Holland, M.M., Bitz, C.M., Tremblay, B., 2006. Future abrupt reductions in summer
628 Arctic sea ice. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33, L23503,
629 doi:10.1029/2006GL028024.
- 630 Holt, B., Martin, S., 2001. The effect of a storm on the 1992 summer sea ice cover of
631 the Beaufort, Chukchi, and East Siberian seas. *Journal of Geophysical Research*
632 106 (C1),1017-1032.
- 633 Hudson, R.D., 1987. Multiyear sea ice floe distribution in the Canadian Arctic Ocean.

634 Journal of Geophysical Research 92(C13), 14,663-14,669.

635 Jones, D.A., I. Simmonds, 1993. A climatology of Southern Hemisphere extratropical
636 cyclones. *Climate Dynamics*, 9, 131-145.

637 Kohout, A.L., Meylan, M.H., 2008. An elastic plate model for wave attenuation and ice
638 floe breaking in the marginal ice zone. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 113,
639 C09016, doi:10.1029/2007JC004434.

640 Kohout, A., M.J.M. Williams, S.M. Dean, and M.H. Meylan, Storm-induced sea-ice
641 breakup and the implications for ice extent, *Nature*, 509, 604-607, 2014.

642 Kohout, A., M.J.M. Williams, T. Toyota, J. Lieser, J. Hutchings. In situ observations of
643 wave-induced ice floe breakup, *Deep-Sea Research II*, this issue.

644 Lepparanta, M., 2005. *The Drift of Sea Ice*, Praxis Publishing, Germany, pp.266.

645 Liu, A.K., Holt, B., Vachon, P.W., 1991. Wave propagation in the marginal ice zone:
646 model predictions and comparisons with buoy and synthetic aperture radar data,
647 *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 96(C3), 4605-4621.

648 Lu, P., Li, Z. J., Zhang, Z. H., Dong, X. L., 2008. Aerial observations of floe size
649 distribution in the marginal ice zone of summer Prydz Bay. *Journal of Geophysical*
650 *Research* 113, C02011, doi:10.1029/2006JC003965.

651 Lu, P., Li, Z., Cheng, B., Lepparanta, M., 2011. A parameterization of the ice-ocean drag
652 coefficient, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116, C07019,
653 doi:10.1029/2010JC006878.

654 Matsushita, M., 1985. Fractal viewpoint of fracture and accretion. *Journal of the*
655 *Physical Society of Japan* 54 (3), 857-860.

656 Meiners, K. SIPEX-2, a study of sea ice physical, biogeochemical and ecosystem

657 processes off East Antarctica during spring 2012. *Deep Sea Research II*, this issue.

658 Mellor, M., 1986. The mechanical behavior of sea ice. In: Untersteiner, N. (Eds.),
659 *Geophysics of Sea Ice*, Springer, New York, USA, pp.165-281.

660 Meylan, M.H., 2002. Wave response of an ice floe of arbitrary geometry. *Journal of*
661 *Geophysical Research* 107(C1), 10.1029/2000JC000713.

662 Meylan, M.H., Squire, V. A., 1994. The response of ice floes to ocean waves. *Journal of*
663 *Geophysical Research* 99 (C1), 891-900.

664 Perovich, D.K., Jones, K.F., 2014. The seasonal evolution of sea ice floe size
665 distribution. *Journal of Geophysical Research Oceans*, 119, 8767-8777,
666 doi:10.1002/2014JC010136.

667 Rothrock, D. A., Thorndike, A.S., 1984. Measuring the sea ice floe size distribution.
668 *Journal of Geophysical Research* 89 (C4), 6477-6486.

669 Schulson, E.M., W.D. Hibler, III, 1991. The fracture of ice on scales large and small:
670 Arctic leads and wing cracks. *Journal of Glaciology*, 37(127), 319-322.

671 Squire, V.A., Moore, S.C., 1980. Direct measurement of the attenuation of ocean waves
672 by pack ice. *Nature* 283, 365-368.

673 Squire, V.A., 2007. Of ocean waves and sea-ice revisited. *Cold Regions science and*
674 *Technology*, 49, 110-133.

675 Steele, M., 1992. Sea ice melting and floe geometry in a simple ice-ocean model.
676 *Journal of Geophysical Research* 97(C11), 17,729-17,738.

677 Steer, A., Worby, A.P., Heil, P., 2008. Observed changes in sea-ice floe size distribution
678 during early summer in the western Weddell Sea. *Deep-Sea Research Part II*, 55,
679 933-942.

680 Toyota, T., Enomoto, H., 2002. Analysis of sea ice floes in the Sea of Okhotsk using
681 ADEOS/AVNIR images. Proc. 16th Int. Symposium on Ice, International
682 Association for Hydro-Environment Engineering and Research, Dunedin, New
683 Zealand, pp. 211-217.

684 Toyota, T., Kawamura, T., Ohshima, K.I., Shimoda, H., Wakatsuchi, M., 2004.
685 Thickness distribution, texture and stratigraphy, and a simple probabilistic model
686 for dynamical thickening of sea ice in the southern Sea of Okhotsk. Journal of
687 Geophysical Research 109, C06001, doi: 10.1029/2003JC002090.

688 Toyota, T., Takatsuji, S., Nakayama, M., 2006. Characteristics of sea ice floe size
689 distribution in the seasonal ice zone. Geophysical Research Letters 33, L02616,
690 doi:10.1029/ 2005GL024556.

691 Toyota, T., Haas, C., Tamura, T., 2011. Size distribution and shape properties of
692 relatively small sea-ice floes in the Antarctic marginal ice zone in late winter.
693 Deep-Sea Research II, 58, 1182-1193.

694 Wadhams, P., Squire, V.A., Goodman, D.J., Cowan, A.M., Moore, S.C., 1988. The
695 attenuation rates of ocean waves in the marginal ice zone. Journal of Geophysical
696 Research 93 (C6), 6799-6818.

697 Weeks, W.F., Tucker, W.B., Frank, M., Fungcharoen, S., 1980. Characteristics of
698 surface roughness and floe geometry of sea ice over the continental shelves of the
699 Beaufort and Chukchi Seas. In: Prichard, R.S. (Ed.), Sea ice processes and models,
700 University of Washington Press, Seattle, USA, pp. 300-312.

701 Williams, G., Maksym, T., Wilkinson, J., Kunz, C., Murphy, C., Kimball, P., Singh, H.,
702 2015. Thick and deformed Antarctic sea ice mapped with autonomous underwater

703 vehicles. *Nature Geoscience*, 8, 61-67.

704 Worby, A.P., R.A. Massom, I. Allison, V.I. Lytle, P. Heil, 1998. East Antarctic sea ice:
705 A review of its structure, properties and drift. In *East Antarctic Sea Ice: Physical*
706 *processes, interactions and variability, Antarctic Research Series*, 74, 41-67, AGU.

707 Worby, A.P., Steer, A., Lieser, J.L., Heil, P., Yi, D., Markus, T., Allison, I., Massom,
708 R.A., Galin, N., Zwally, J., 2011. Regional-scale sea-ice and snow thickness
709 distributions from in situ and satellite measurements over East Antarctica during
710 SIPEX 2007. *Deep-Sea Research II*, 58, 1125-1136.

711

712

713 **Figure captions**

714 Figure 1. Map showing cruise track (thin line) and heli tracks (thick lines) for the
715 SIPEX-2 expedition with the ice edge locations (broken lines) and the frame of
716 MODIS images used for this study shown. Solid squares show the positions of
717 heli-photos used for analysis and the star shows the position where R/V
718 “Aurora Australis” became stuck (see Section 5.2 for details).

719 Figure 2. Ice concentration maps from AMSR-E off Wilkes Land,
720 as of (a) September 25 and (b) November 5, 2012. The approximate areas of
721 Fig. 1 are shown with thick white lines.
722 (Data source: <http://iup.physik.uni-bremen.de:8084/amsr2/>)

723 Figure 3. Ice thickness distribution along the ship track obtained from the video system.
724 Hourly averaged data are shown by color.

725 Figure 4. MODIS image showing the locations of four sectors (A-D) as of
726 September 24, 2012. The frame of the image corresponds to the square in Fig. 1.

727 Figure 5. An example showing the process to extract ice floes from (a) a camera photo
728 image taken from the helicopter at 5:14 on Nov 5 and (b) MODIS image on
729 Sep 24. For each case, upper figure shows original video image with each ice
730 floe outlined in red after the process of determining ice edges; and lower figure
731 shows extracted floes to be measured. For (a) the area is 1933 m x 2254 m and
732 630 ice floes are included for analysis. For (b) the area is 131 km x 126 km and
733 838 ice floes are included for analysis.

734 Figure 6. Cumulative number distribution $N(d)$ for heli-photos on (a) Sep 25 and
735 (b) Nov 5, respectively. In both figures, black broken lines denote the upper

736 truncated power law, $M(d) = A \cdot (d^{-\alpha} - d_{top}^{-\alpha})$, fitted to the mean data,
 737 following the GFF method of Burrough and Tebbens (2001), where
 738 $A = 1.49 \times 10^8$, $\alpha = 1.40$, and $d_{top} = 175$ m for (a) and $A = 2.02 \times 10^7$,
 739 $\alpha = 1.27$, and $d_{top} = 333$ m for (b). Black solid lines denote the underlying
 740 power law, $N(d) = A \cdot d^{-\alpha}$. If the floe size measurement was not
 741 upper-truncated, these lines would be the cumulative number distribution.

742 Figure 7. Cumulative number distribution $N(d)$ for MODIS images on (a) Sep 24,
 743 (b) Oct 4, and (c) Nov 5, respectively. In each figure the locations of A, B, C,
 744 and D are shown in Fig.4. In all figures, black broken lines denote the upper
 745 truncated power law, $M(d) = A \cdot (d^{-\alpha} - d_{top}^{-\alpha})$, fitted to the mean data,
 746 following the GFF method of Burrough and Tebbens (2001), where
 747 $A = 11.63 \times 10^{12}$, $\alpha = 3.09$, $d_{top} = 16.2$ km for (a), $A = 2.09 \times 10^{12}$,
 748 $\alpha = 2.92$, $d_{top} = 13.4$ km for (b), and $A = 1.39 \times 10^{12}$, $\alpha = 2.89$,
 749 $d_{top} = 12.0$ km for (c). Black solid lines denote the underlying power law,
 750 $N(d) = A \cdot d^{-\alpha}$. If the floe size measurement was not upper-truncated, these
 751 lines would be the cumulative number function.

752 Figure 8. Scatter plot between d_{max} and d_{min} for (a) Heli-photo; (b) MODIS image with
 753 regression lines obtained from the least square method.

754 Figure 9. MODIS images of sector B on September 24, October 04, and November 05,
 755 showing temporal evolution of floe size distribution.

756 Figure 10. One example showing the relationship between crack alignments and wind
 757 patterns. (a) MODIS image on October 23. (b) Magnified figure of the square
 758 in (a). (c) Wind field obtained from ERA-Interim reanalysis on October 22.

759 The wind field shown is the daily mean (00, 06, 12, 18UTC) on this day.

760 The area of MODIS image (a) is also shown by a square.

761 Figure 11. Schematic pictures illustrating the process of lead development induced by
762 wind. Note that ice thickness is significantly different between F_1 and F_2 .

763 Figure 12. Combined cumulative floe size distribution obtained from heli-photo and
764 MODIS images on (a) September 24/25 and (b) November 05.

765