



Title	Nature of Streaky Structures Observed with a Doppler Lidar
Author(s)	Yagi, Ayako; Inagaki, Atsushi; Kanda, Manabu; Fujiwara, Chusei; Fujiyoshi, Yasushi
Citation	Boundary-layer meteorology, 163(1), 19-40 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-016-0213-2
Issue Date	2016-12-07
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/67786
Rights	"The final publication is available at link.springer.com ".
Type	article (author version)
Additional Information	There are other files related to this item in HUSCAP. Check the above URL.
File Information	BLM_2017_text.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

1 Nature of Streaky Structures Observed with a Doppler Lidar

2

3 Ayako Yagi • Atsushi Inagaki • Manabu Kanda •

4 Chusei Fujiwara • Yasushi Fujiyoshi

5

6 Received: DD Month YEAR/ Accepted: DD Month YEAR

7

8 **Abstract** Observations using a three-dimensional scanning coherent Doppler lidar in an
9 urban area revealed the characteristics of streaky structures above a rough,
10 inhomogeneous surface for a high-Reynolds-number flow. The study focused on two
11 points: (1) the frequency of occurrence and conditions required for the presence of
12 streaky structures, and (2) the universal scaling of the spacing of streaky structures (λ).
13 The horizontal snapshots of the radial velocity were visually classified into six groups:
14 Streak, Mixed, Fishnet, No streak, Front, and Others. The Streak category accounted for
15 more than 50% of all possible flows and occurred when the horizontal wind speed was
16 large and the atmospheric stratification was near-neutral. The spacing (λ) was estimated
17 from the power spectral density of the streamwise velocity fluctuations along the
18 spanwise direction. The spacing λ decreased with an increase in the local velocity
19 gradient. Furthermore, it was revealed that the local velocity gradient normalized by the
20 friction velocity and the boundary-layer height (z_i) comprehensively predicts λ/z_i
21 under various experimental and environmental conditions, in terms of the scale of
22 motion (i.e., indoor and outdoor scales), thermal stratification (i.e., from weakly
23 unstable to stable stratification), and surface roughness (i.e., from flat to very rough
24 surfaces).

25

26 **Keywords** Doppler lidar • Roll vortices • Spacing of streaky structures • Streak

27

28 **1 Introduction**

29 We investigate streaky structures within the urban surface layer observed by a three-
30 dimensional scanning coherent Doppler lidar. Although roll vortices and streaks have
31 similar streaky patterns along the streamwise direction, they are explicitly distinguished
32 in terms of the driving force: roll vortices require buoyancy whereas streaks do not. Roll
33 vortices have largely been observed within the mixed layer due to the deformation of
34 the Bénard cell convection by vertical shear and have been examined via numerical
35 simulations (Deardorff 1972; Sykes and Henn 1989), aircraft observations (Grossman
36 1982; Müller et al. 1999), and satellite photographs (Walter 1980).

37 In contrast, streaks, mainly investigated in the field of fluid dynamics, are
38 considered to be packets of hairpin vortices within the neutral boundary layer (Adrian et
39 al. 2001). Hairpin vortices are stretched along the streamwise direction by wind shear
40 associated with the mean velocity gradient (Zhou et al. 1999). Recently, streaks within
41 the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) have been reported (Drobinski and Foster 2003;
42 Drobinski et al. 2004; Hutchins and Marusic 2007; Inagaki and Kanda 2010), and a
43 large-eddy simulation (LES) study of Drobinski and Foster (2003) demonstrated that
44 streaks contribute to the maintenance of surface stress and momentum flux.

45 Past studies have indicated that streaks and roll vortices in the outer layer affect the
46 turbulence near the surface. Hutchins and Marusic (2007) found large streaky structures
47 with low- and high-speed regions in the logarithmic and lower wake regions above a
48 flat surface under neutral stratification, which were termed “superstructures”. The

49 superstructures were not merely superimposed as a low-wavenumber mean shift into the
50 near-wall region, but rather appeared to actively modulate the production of near-wall
51 scales. Similar “superstructure”-type coherent structures (Hutchins and Marusic 2007)
52 have been observed over very rough surfaces in an outdoor urban-scaled model
53 experiment (Inagaki and Kanda 2010) and a wind-tunnel experiment (Takimoto et al.
54 2013). Intermittent strong upward motion from the cavity, termed “flushing”, frequently
55 occurs when low-speed streaks pass above the canopy (Inagaki et al. 2012; Takimoto et
56 al. 2013). In weakly unstable stratification, roll vortices coexist with streaks (Moeng
57 and Sullivan 1994; Khanna and Brasseur 1998; Castillo et al. 2011), in which roll
58 vortices affect the locations of ejections and sweeps associated with streaks in the
59 surface layer (Khanna and Brasseur 1998; Castillo et al. 2011). For the modelling of
60 turbulent fluctuations near the surface, the temporal (spatial) distribution of the velocity
61 of roll vortices and streaks is important. Marusic et al. (2010) and Mathis et al. (2011)
62 proposed a mathematical model to predict near-wall turbulence, given only large-scale
63 information related to streaks from the outer layer.

64 Coherent turbulent structures within the ABL have been visualized by remote
65 sensors, which can resolve the instantaneous image of turbulent structures within the
66 ABL over several kilometres. The understanding of the spatial characteristic of flow
67 fields has been improved by recent pioneering studies. For example, Weckwerth et al.
68 (1997) conducted radar observations in east-central Florida and analyzed the
69 environmental conditions under which several types of turbulent patterns are likely to
70 occur. In addition, the spatial characteristics of roll vortices (e.g., wavelength and
71 direction of roll vortices) were quantified using 13 cases. Doppler lidar has been used
72 widely to investigate coherent turbulences within the ABL (Drobinski et al. 1998, 2004;

73 Newsom et al. 2008; Iwai et al. 2008; Fujiyoshi et al. 2009; Fujiwara et al. 2011)
74 because it is the only tool that can directly observe the spatial snapshots of streaky
75 structures in the ABL. Träumner et al. (2015) made an observations using a dual-
76 Doppler lidar technique in a rural area, with the observed flow fields visually classified
77 into four groups, and the streamwise and spanwise integral scales of coherent structures
78 are investigated.

79 The observations of streaky structures in an urbanized area are important for the
80 following two reasons: first, from a scientific perspective, the urban boundary-layer
81 flow has a high Reynolds number over a rough and inhomogeneous surface, which
82 cannot be reproduced in the wind tunnel. Therefore, observations of the urban boundary
83 layer have the potential to improve our understanding of the turbulent boundary layer.
84 Second, from the perspective of urban air quality, it has been observed that streaky
85 structures develop not only above a flat surface in indoor experiments but also above
86 the very rough surface of the urban boundary layer (Drobinski et al. 1998; Newsom et al.
87 2008; Fujiyoshi et al. 2009). In addition, LES described by Inagaki et al. (2012)
88 demonstrated that the streaky structures in the surface layer are coupled with “flushing”
89 events, suggesting that the ventilation in the urban area is strongly affected by the
90 streaky structures. To assess the diffusion of air pollution, moisture, and heat within the
91 building canopy, it is desirable to clearly understand the nature and characteristics of
92 streaky structures in the urban surface layer. However, to date, only a few long-term
93 Doppler lidar investigations of urban environments have been performed.

94 In this study, we investigate streaky structures, including roll vortices and streaks,
95 within the urban boundary layer. Specifically, we determine atmospheric conditions
96 under which streaky structures appear, and quantify their spacing, λ . We conducted a

97 three-month investigation (from 25 September 2012 to 31 December 2012) using a
98 Doppler lidar, a sonic anemometer, and a multi-weather sensor in the urbanized area of
99 Tokyo, Japan. We sampled various kinds of flow fields: coherent flows (e.g., Bénard
100 cell convection, roll vortices, and streaks) and non-coherent flows. Through the
101 classification of all possible flow patterns, the atmospheric conditions required for the
102 occurrence of streaky structures were clearly specified. The spacing λ was quantified
103 and then comprehensively examined in a non-dimensional approach, with reference to
104 previous LES and wind-tunnel experiments.

105

106 **2 Instrumentation**

107 A Doppler lidar was used to measure the spatial velocity distribution, and a weather
108 station and sonic anemometer used for supplementary monitoring of the flow
109 environment, as shown in Fig. 1a. The specifications and roles of each instrument are
110 described below. The Doppler lidar system (a three-dimensional scanning coherent
111 Doppler lidar, LR-02A, Mitsubishi Electric Co., Tokyo, Japan) was installed on the
112 rooftop of a building at the Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan at a height of
113 55 m above the ground. The Doppler lidar was operated at a wavelength of 1.54 μm
114 with a pulse repetition frequency of 4000 Hz, the laser pulse energy and laser pulse
115 width were 0.5 mJ per pulse and 213 ± 10 ns, respectively. The detection range was
116 from 325 m to 4275 m, with a range resolution of 50 m in the radial direction. The
117 radial velocity and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) were obtained by averaging 1000 pulses.
118 Plan Position Indicator (PPI) and Range Height Indicator (RHI) scans were conducted
119 at scan speed of 4.5°s^{-1} and 5.1°s^{-1} , respectively. The azimuth resolutions of PPI
120 and RHI scans were 1.1° and 1.2° , which were determined using the pulse repetition

121 frequency, the number of averaged pulses, and the scan speed. The Doppler lidar
122 repeatedly conducted a 30-min scan sequence, which included seven PPI scans at the
123 elevation angle of zero, seven RHI scans with an azimuth of 135 °, and two RHI scans
124 with an azimuth of 45 °, which were orthogonal to other RHI scans (Table 1).

125 A weather station (WXT520 weather transmitter, Vaisala Co., Vantaa, Finland) was
126 installed at the same location as the Doppler lidar, and monitored rain intensity, wind
127 speed, wind direction, air temperature, pressure, and relative humidity. In our analysis,
128 the rain intensity was used to identify and remove observations made during rain. A
129 sonic anemometer (CYG81000, R. M. Young Co., Traverse City, MI, USA) was
130 installed on the rooftop of a building at a height of 25 m above the ground, located 500
131 m north-west of the Doppler lidar. Three wind-velocity components and air temperature
132 were recorded using a data logger (GL220, Graphtec Co., Tokyo, Japan), with a
133 sampling rate of 10 Hz, and sonic anemometer measurements used to obtain turbulence
134 statistics, as shown in Sect. 3.4.

135 The Doppler lidar measurement was compared with that of the sonic anemometer in
136 terms of the horizontal wind speed; the relationship was linear, with a correlation
137 coefficient of 0.84. The wind speed measured by Doppler lidar was 2.2 times greater
138 than that measured by the sonic anemometer due to the difference in the measurement
139 height. Apart from the accuracy limitations of the instruments, possible reasons for the
140 discrepancy between these measurements are, (1) the observation height of the Doppler
141 lidar was 30 m higher than that of the sonic anemometer, and (2) the estimated value
142 from the Doppler lidar measurement represented the spatially-averaged wind velocity
143 within a 2025 m radius, while the sonic anemometer provided a point observation.

144

145 **3 Methodology**

146 3.1 Visual Classification of Flow Patterns

147 Past studies have visually classified the flow pattern within the ABL and have attempted
148 to classify the flow pattern inductively based on atmospheric variables. Observations of
149 the flight performance of gulls above the sea by Woodcock (1975) showed that the
150 presence of cell-like ascending convective motions is related to wind speed and air
151 temperature differences between the sea and atmosphere. Aircraft observations above
152 the sea by Grossman (1982) indicated that roll vortices and random cells can be
153 categorized according to the atmospheric stability expressed by the ratio of the sub-
154 cloud-layer height to the Obukhov length. A LES study by Sykes and Henn (1989)
155 demonstrated that the ratio of the friction velocity to the convective velocity is an
156 important parameter that can be used to determine the formation of roll vortices. These
157 studies have focused on the occurrence conditions of coherent flow fields based on
158 knowledge of convective flow dynamics. Träumner et al. (2015) visually classified the
159 flow pattern into coherent, periodic, and homogeneous patterns; the homogeneous
160 pattern does not include clear characteristic structures in the flow and is a
161 complementary class to the previous classifications. Here, we also visually classified the
162 pattern of radial velocity distributions into six groups as detailed below.

163 Horizontal snapshots of each flow pattern were visually classified into six
164 categories: Streak, Mixed, Fishnet, No streak, Front, and Others. Figure 2 and Table 2
165 show horizontal snapshots of each group and the criteria used for the visual
166 classification. We used three indices for the visual classification, the first of which was
167 the convergence line. On passage of a cold front, a clear convergence line could be seen
168 (e.g., Fig. 2e). The second index was the shape of the boundary between positive and

169 negative radial velocities; if the boundary was a straight line, e.g., the categories Streak
170 (Fig. 2a) and No streak (Fig. 2d), wind direction was considered to be almost
171 homogeneous. The third index was the homogeneity of the spatial pattern of radial
172 velocity, as seen in the categories Streak, Mixed (Fig. 2b), and Fishnet (Fig. 2c). The
173 categories Streak and Mixed had a streaky pattern, and the category Fishnet had a cell-
174 like pattern (Fujiwara et al. 2011). The distinction between the categories Streak and
175 Mixed was made using the second index, with the boundaries of positive and negative
176 radial velocities being a straight line for the category Streak and a wave-like line for the
177 category Mixed. The category No streak was a one-directional flow, without any
178 specific coherent structures. The category Front (Fig. 2e) had a clear convergence line
179 within the observational area. The category Others (Fig. 2f–l) had neither a streaky nor
180 a cell-like pattern, with a distorted boundary between positive and negative radial
181 velocities. For example, the flow with low wind speed during nighttime and the flow
182 with gravity waves were included in the category Others. Although the category Others
183 in Fig. 2f resembled the category Mixed in Fig. 2b, in terms of the shape of the
184 boundary between the positive and negative radial velocities, it can be categorized
185 differently because the category Mixed in this case had streaky patterns along the wind
186 direction, while the category Others did not. It is noted that the objectivity of these
187 visual classifications was evaluated using statistical parameters, which simplified the
188 visual criteria as shown in Appendix 1.

189 We did not classify snapshots, which included many errors, i.e., the categories Rain
190 and Error; the category Rain corresponded to snapshots during rain, which were
191 observed by the weather station. The category Error corresponded to snapshots whose

192 error percentage for the 2025-m radius was larger than 10% without any precipitation.

193 The judgement of error in each grid was made based on the SNR value.

194

195 3.2 Vertical Distribution of Horizontal Wind Speed

196 The vertical distribution of horizontal wind speed was calculated using two orthogonal

197 RHI scans (No. 2 and No. 3 in Table 1) as follows,

$$198 \quad U_{ew}(z) = -\overline{v_{r1}(r, \theta_{el})/\cos \theta_{el}} \sin \theta_{az1} + \overline{v_{r2}(r, \theta_{el})/\cos \theta_{el}} \cos \theta_{az2}, \quad (1)$$

$$199 \quad U_{ns}(z) = \overline{v_{r1}(r, \theta_{el})/\cos \theta_{el}} \cos \theta_{az1} + \overline{v_{r2}(r, \theta_{el})/\cos \theta_{el}} \sin \theta_{az2}, \quad (2)$$

200 where U_{ew} and U_{ns} are the east-west and the north-south velocity components,

201 respectively, z is the height, v_r is the radial velocity, r is the radial distance, θ_{el} is the

202 elevation, θ_{az} is the azimuth angle from the north, the over bar is the spatial average for

203 each 50 m in height, and the subscripts (1 and 2) of v_r and θ_{az} correspond to the first

204 and second scan. In this estimation, the contribution of vertical velocity to the radial

205 velocity was neglected. Therefore, we used only v_r at lower elevations ($\theta_{el} < 60^\circ$ or

206 $120^\circ < \theta_{el}$) where the contribution of vertical velocity was sufficiently small. The

207 horizontal velocity and wind direction were calculated from U_{ew} and U_{ns} . This mean

208 wind profile was used to obtain the boundary-layer height (z_i) and the velocity gradient

209 $(\Delta U/\Delta z)$.

210

211 3.3 Boundary-layer Height

212 The boundary-layer height z_i was estimated using the vertical profile of the SNR and

213 the wind profile estimated in Sect. 3.2. Three different physical boundaries were used to

214 determine z_i , the boundary of aerosol density, the cloud base, and the prominent

215 maximum peak of the horizontal wind speed. First, we estimated the boundary of
 216 aerosol density and the cloud base using the SNR, which decreased at the top of the
 217 ABL (Figs. 3a, c) and abruptly increased at the cloud base (Figs. 3b, d). The decrease in
 218 SNR at the top of the ABL and the increase at the cloud base (Barlow et al. 2011) were
 219 extracted using the Haar wavelet (Cohn and Angevine 2000). Figure 3c and d shows the
 220 calculated Haar-wavelet coefficient of the averaged SNR profile of five vertical scans
 221 observed for 30 min. From the peak of the Haar coefficient, the boundary of aerosol
 222 density and the cloud base were extracted as z_i . If the horizontal wind speed had a
 223 positive peak (boundary between positive and negative wind velocity gradient) below z_i ,
 224 which was first defined by the Haar-wavelet coefficient, then z_i was redefined by the
 225 peak of horizontal wind speed (Fig. 3e, f).

226

227 3.4 Turbulence Statistics

228 Turbulence statistics were calculated using the wind velocity and air temperature, which
 229 were measured by the sonic anemometer, as follows,

$$230 \quad u_* = \sqrt{-\overline{u'w'}}, \quad (3)$$

$$231 \quad T_* = -\overline{w'T'}/u_*, \quad (4)$$

$$232 \quad L = (k (g/T)T_*)/u_*^2, \quad (5)$$

233 where u is the streamwise velocity component, w is the vertical velocity, T is the air
 234 temperature, u_* is the friction velocity, T_* is the air temperature scale, L is the Obukhov
 235 length, k is the von Kármán constant (0.4), and g is the acceleration due to gravity (9.8
 236 m s⁻¹). The over bar and the prime are the temporal averages for 30 min and the
 237 fluctuation from the averaged value, respectively.

238

239 3.5 Spacing of Streaky Structures

240 The spacing λ was estimated from the power spectral density of the streamwise velocity
241 component fluctuation (\tilde{u}') along the spanwise direction. First, \tilde{u}' was estimated from
242 the radial velocity as follows,

$$243 \quad v_r'(r, \theta) = v_r(r, \theta) - \overline{v_r(r, \theta)}, \quad (6)$$

$$244 \quad \tilde{u}'(r, \theta) = v_r'(r, \theta) / \cos \theta, \quad (7)$$

245 where r is the radial distance, θ is the azimuth angle from the wind direction, v_r is the
246 radial velocity, which was observed by PPI scans at the elevation angle of zero, v_r' is the
247 radial velocity fluctuation, and $\overline{v_r}$ is the radial velocity component of the spatial mean
248 horizontal velocity, which was estimated using the velocity azimuth display method
249 (Browning and Wexler 1968). The coordinate of \tilde{u}' was transformed from the original
250 polar coordinate ($50 \text{ m} \times 1.1^\circ$) to the rectangular coordinate ($50 \times 50 \text{ m}$). The power
251 spectral density was calculated along the spanwise direction, and the spacing λ was
252 determined from the peak of the power spectral density, and the 30-min averaged
253 spacing λ was used in the results.

254 The estimation of \tilde{u}' in Eqs. 6 and 7 is applicable for the cases of homogeneous
255 wind direction, such as the category Streak (Fig. 2a), but not for inhomogeneous wind
256 directions such as the categories Front (Fig. 2e) and Others (Fig. 2f-1), although only the
257 category Streak was the target of our analysis. In addition, the area for which θ is close
258 to 90° cannot be used for the estimation of \tilde{u}' because the radial velocity predominantly
259 consists of the spanwise component rather than the streamwise component with $\theta = 90^\circ$.
260 Therefore, the areas for analysis were selected as rectangles of $3200 \text{ m} \times 1000 \text{ m}$ (Fig.

261 4). The orientation of the rectangular areas was set as x and y , the local coordinates of
262 the rectangle, and was parallel to the streamwise and spanwise directions of the mean
263 wind estimated by the velocity azimuth display method, respectively. The location of
264 the rectangular areas was determined to avoid the deformation of estimated \tilde{u}' due to
265 large θ and also to consider the azimuth resolution. The degree of deformation of \tilde{u}'
266 was evaluated by comparing it with the distribution of the actual streamwise velocity
267 fluctuation (u') based on an urban LES dataset, which simulated the flow field above
268 the same urban geometry as the present experimental site (Huda et al. 2016). In the
269 selected rectangular areas, the correlation coefficient of \tilde{u}' and u' was more than 0.9,
270 and the deformation of \tilde{u}' did not significantly influence the estimation of the spacing λ .
271 Although the azimuthal resolution became lower with distance in the radial direction, in
272 the selected area it was smaller than the spatial resolution in the radial direction.
273 Although there was a discontinuity in the radial velocity distribution at the line at the
274 start and end of PPI scans (e.g., Fig. 2f), we confirmed that there were almost no
275 difference in the estimated values with and without this discontinuous line in the
276 rectangular areas, which are seen in the leeward or windward sides of the Doppler lidar
277 in the same scan sequence.

278 The 30-min averaging time of the spacing λ was determined based on the spectral
279 gap in the ABL that separated it from the synoptic variation (Stull 1988). Although it
280 was also a concern that the spacing λ may be affected by the changing horizontal wind
281 speed and the wind direction during the averaging time, we confirmed that there was
282 almost no correlation between the spacing λ and the varying wind speed and wind
283 direction during the averaging period, which was quantified as a standard deviation
284 among the respective averaging times.

285 Figures 4c and d show the agreement of the estimated spacing λ and the visually
286 expected spacing of streaky patterns of \tilde{u}' in two cases. In the later discussion, we cite
287 the previous studies listed in Table 3. The previous studies (e.g., Lin et al. 1997;
288 Takimoto et al. 2013) provided length scales determined at the point where a two-point
289 correlation had the minimum peak, not the spacing λ estimated by the spectrum. We
290 transformed the length scales into the spacing λ using the relationship of the length
291 scale and the spacing λ mentioned in Appendix 2. It is noted that the relationships
292 shown in Figs. 7–9 are robust, irrespective of the definition of the spanwise scale of the
293 streaks, i.e., the minimum peak of a two-point correlation and the spectral peak used
294 herein.

295

296 **4 Results**

297 4.1 Occurrence Condition of Flow Patterns

298 The occurrence frequencies of the flow patterns in autumn and winter were statistically
299 examined; the category Streak dominates more than half of all possible flows in both
300 seasons: 59% in autumn and 51% in winter. The rate of coherent flows, which includes
301 the categories Streak, Mixed, and Fishnet, was 73% throughout the two seasons. This
302 percentage was higher than that reported by Träumner et al. (2015), which was based on
303 dual Doppler lidar observations above a rural area. In their results, coherent flow fields
304 accounted for 53–65% of all flows. One possible reason for this difference between the
305 two sites can be attributed to the influence of regional distributions, such as seasonal
306 wind, local circulations, and mesofronts. Another possibility is the influence of surface
307 conditions, in such a way that large vertical wind shear above an urbanized area is more
308 readily associated with the category Streak than is the case above a rural area. In

309 addition, it is relatively difficult to accomplish a stable stratification in an urban area
310 due to the anthropogenic heat emission and large heat capacity of buildings (Clarke
311 1969), which decrease the frequency of occurrence of the category No streak, as
312 explained below.

313 Träumner et al. (2015) clarified the diurnal variation in the frequency of occurrence
314 of the two types of flow fields, with and without coherent structures, and showed that
315 more than 70% of flow fields that contain structures appeared during daytime. Figure 5
316 shows the diurnal variation in the six flow patterns and in the average heat flux ($\overline{w'T'}$).
317 Coherent flows (i.e., the categories Streak, Mixed, and Fishnet) have different
318 tendencies in the number of occurrences; the category Streak appears throughout the
319 entire day, while in contrast, the categories Mixed and Fishnet mainly appear only
320 during the daytime when $\overline{w'T'}$ is positive. The category No streak mostly appears at
321 night when $\overline{w'T'}$ is negative. These results indicate that the heat flux is an important
322 factor in explaining the occurrence condition of each flow pattern.

323 Figure 6 shows the relationship between horizontal wind speed U at the height of the
324 Doppler lidar and the stability parameter $-z_i/L$ for each flow. The value of U was
325 estimated by the velocity azimuth display method (Browning and Wexler 1968), and
326 each plot used an averaged value for 30 min. In the cases of unstable stratification
327 ($-z_i/L > 0$, Fig. 6a), the boundary between the categories Fishnet and Mixed well
328 matched the limit for random cells reported by Grossman (1982). Moreover, the
329 boundary between the categories Mixed and Streak also matched the limit for roll
330 vortices, proposed by Grossman (1982). Although $-z_i/L$ strongly affects the flow
331 pattern, U is also an important parameter used to determine the occurrence condition of
332 flow fields. In strongly unstable stratification ($-z_i/L > 20$), the category Fishnet

333 appeared for a smaller U than did the category Mixed. In weakly unstable stratification
334 ($0 < -z_i/L < 5$), the categories No streak and Others occurred under a smaller U , and in
335 stable stratification (Fig. 6b), the category Streak appeared in near-neutral conditions
336 with a larger U , as expected. With more stable conditions, the share of the category
337 Streak decreased, and non-coherent flow structures (i.e., the categories No streak and
338 Others) emerged.

339

340 4.2 Spacing of Streaky Structures

341 This section analyzes the spacing of streaky structures under conditions of differing
342 scales of motion, thermal stratification, and surface roughness. This is accomplished by
343 compiling the measurements from various experiments and flow environments,
344 reproduced by three additional experiments, which are listed in Table 3, and the present
345 Doppler lidar measurements. Doppler-lidar observations are discriminated for three
346 meteorological conditions; DL and DL_cloud cases observed under conditions with or
347 without clouds at the top of the boundary layer, and a DL_typhoon case observed during
348 typhoons. LES_flat and LES_city represent the results of LES for a flat surface (Lin et
349 al. 1997) and real urban geometry (Huda et al. 2016) under neutral stratification,
350 respectively. WT_cube represents the results of the wind-tunnel experiment for various
351 surface geometries, including flat surface and three types of cubical arrays (Takimoto et
352 al. 2013). These classes are used in Figs. 7–9.

353 Figure 7 shows the relationships between the spacing λ and meteorological variables
354 such as the stability ($-z_i/L$), the horizontal wind speed (U), and the local velocity
355 gradient ($\Delta U/\Delta z$); the last obtained from the vertical distribution of the horizontal wind
356 speed, as mentioned in Sect. 3.2.

357 The dual-Doppler lidar observations described by Newsom et al. (2008)
358 demonstrated that the spacing λ becomes smaller when conditions become more stable.
359 Although the same trend is shown in Fig. 7a, plots that are near-neutral are scattered,
360 suggesting that other parameters are required to determine the spacing λ under neutral
361 stratification.

362 The velocity gradient may be a relevant parameter that affects the length scale of
363 streaky structures. The wind-tunnel experiment for neutral stratification of Takimoto et
364 al. (2013) demonstrated that the velocity gradient was strongly correlated with the
365 aspect ratio of streaky structures. The relationship between the spacing λ and $\Delta U/\Delta z$
366 (Fig. 7c) is very clear, regardless of both stability and surface type, with the spacing λ
367 becoming smaller with increasing $\Delta U/\Delta z$. Even plots during a typhoon followed this
368 relationship well. LES_flat and LES_city simulations also show the same trend. The
369 wind speed U cannot account for the spacing λ as well as vertical shear (Fig. 7b), as is
370 apparent from the outlier for the typhoon case.

371 WT_cube results were very different from the real scale data, apparently due to the
372 limitation of the dimensional approach. Below we introduce the non-dimensional
373 parameters used to prescribe λ universally.

374

375 4.3 Scaling of the Spacing of Streaky Structures

376 The spacing λ and $\Delta U/\Delta z$ were normalized into λ/z_i and $(\Delta U/\Delta z)/(u_*/z_i)$ using
377 global variables, i.e., u_* and z_i . The parameter u_*/z_i can be interpreted as a bulk
378 gradient of the Reynolds stress for the entire boundary layer, with the dimensions of
379 velocity gradient. As for the length scale, Lin et al. (1997) simulated a neutrally-
380 stratified boundary layer using LES and revealed that there was a linear relationship

381 between λ/z_i and z/z_i , which provides the maximum size of the spacing λ as a function
382 of z_i .

383 Although there are two possibilities for the relevant length scales of coherent
384 structures in the surface layer: the surface-layer height and z_i , we used z_i as a
385 representative length scale based on the concept of a “top-down mechanism”, in which
386 the impinging detached eddies from the outer layer onto the surface leads to the
387 generation of small-scale eddies and upscale transfer of energy near the surface (Hunt
388 and Morrison 2000). Detached eddies in the ABL and a neutral shear boundary layer
389 may have different origins; however, the surface process referred to above strongly
390 adjusted the impinging detached eddies to the local equilibrium state at the surface, with
391 the loss of their original characteristics but the retention of the scale equal to z_i . It has
392 been suggested that the streaky structures in the surface layer are affected by z_i -scale
393 outer-layer eddies under weakly unstable stratification (Khanna and Brasseur 1998,
394 Castillo et al. 2011).

395 As seen in Fig. 8, all of the plots for wind-tunnel experiments were converted to a
396 single line using the non-dimensional velocity gradient (the spurious correlation
397 between λ/z_i and $(\Delta U/\Delta z)/(u_*/z_i)$ is discussed in Appendix 3). This demonstrates
398 that the relationship between λ/z_i and $(\Delta U/\Delta z)/(u_*/z_i)$ is universal regardless of
399 stability, surface roughness, and the scale of motion. Plots for the DL_cloud case,
400 shown in Figs. 7 and 8, were relatively scattered compared with the other plots, and
401 may be because z_i in the case of the DL_cloud case was determined by the height of
402 cloud base.

403

404 5 Discussion

405 Here, we provide a physical interpretation of the new scaling parameter
406 $(\Delta U/\Delta z)/(u_*/z_i)$ for λ/z_i (Sect. 4.3, Fig. 8). In Sect. 5.1, we discuss the height
407 variation of λ/z_i based on previous studies. Although the observation height of the
408 Doppler lidar was constant, the relative height of the PPI scans at the zero elevation for
409 the boundary layer varied with z_i . In addition, we cited previous studies, which provide
410 the height variation in the spacing λ (Lin et al. 1997; details are shown in Table 3). In
411 Sect. 5.2, the discussion is focused on the main topic, the physical interpretation of
412 $(\Delta U/\Delta z)/(u_*/z_i)$.

413

414 5.1 Height Variation of the Spacing of Streaky Structures

415 The spacing λ is expected to change vertically. The increase of λ/z_i with z/z_i has been
416 demonstrated by LES studies (Khanna and Brasseur 1998; Lin et al. 1997; Huda et al.
417 2016) and wind-tunnel experiments (Tomkins and Adrian 2003). In Fig. 9, previous
418 data for neutrally stratified flows with variable height are compiled, together with the
419 current Doppler lidar data for various stratified flows, onto the map of λ/z_i versus z/z_i .
420 Although an increase of λ/z_i with z/z_i is apparent for individual Doppler lidar, LES,
421 and wind-tunnel data, the different experiments (i.e., Doppler lidar, LES and wind
422 tunnel) are still plotted apart from each other. This is because the scaling of z/z_i does
423 not take into account the effect of the velocity gradient, as shown in Fig. 7c.

424

425 5.2 Physical Interpretation of the New Scaling

426 The non-dimensional parameter $(\Delta U/\Delta z)/(u_*/z_i)$ was further transformed according to,

427
$$(k(\Delta U/\Delta z)/(u_*/z_i))^{-1} = (z/z_i)((\Delta U/\Delta z)/(u_*/kz))^{-1} = (z/z_i)\phi_m^{-1}. \quad (8)$$

428 Interestingly, Eq. 8 shows that our new scaling is a product of the non-dimensional
429 height z/z_i , i.e., the normalization used in the previous studies (Sect. 5.1, Fig. 9) and
430 the inverse of the shear function ϕ_m^{-1} , which is a Monin-Obukov universal function.
431 Note here that ϕ_m in the new scaling can be applied to any height, and is not limited to
432 a constant-flux layer. Considering the relationship between λ/z_i and z/z_i (Fig. 9), the
433 different experiments (i.e., Doppler lidar, LES, and wind tunnel) are still plotted apart
434 from each other; in contrast, in the relationship between λ/z_i and $(z/z_i)\phi_m^{-1}$ (Fig. 8),
435 the plots follow a single line. It was clearly shown that the regression line of the cases
436 of the Doppler lidar (DL, DL_cloud, and DL_typhoon) were distinct from the λ profiles
437 for the other studies (LES_flat, LES_city) in Fig. 9, while the regression line of the
438 Doppler lidar in Fig. 8 was closer to the values of the other studies. The difference
439 between Figs. 8 and 9 clearly indicates that the modification by ϕ_m^{-1} is effective and
440 essential, and the physical importance of ϕ_m^{-1} as a modification factor is two-fold. The
441 first is the modification of local shear for different atmospheric stabilities, as is the aim
442 of the original Monin-Obukov theory. The second is the dependency of local shear on
443 the height. Although the value of ϕ_m^{-1} might be unity within a constant flux layer, our
444 equation aims to be applied beyond the range of this layer in which the value of ϕ_m^{-1}
445 could be varied from unity. This is supported by the fact that an improvement from Figs.
446 8 to 9 is clear for neutral cases.

447

448 **6 Concluding Remarks**

449 Streaky structures in the urban surface layer were investigated based on a three-month
450 observational dataset based on the Doppler lidar. It was demonstrated that the streaky
451 flow patterns were more common than other flow patterns in their frequency of

452 occurrence (e.g., cell-like and homogeneous patterns). Although the streaky structures
453 occurred with a large horizontal wind speed and near-neutral stratification, the spacing
454 λ varied from case to case. The most effective control parameter of the spacing λ was
455 the velocity gradient, rather than the horizontal wind speed and stability, as shown in
456 Fig. 7.

457 Finally, the discussion was expanded into the non-dimensional scaling of the
458 spacing λ . The non-dimensional velocity gradient $(z/z_i)\phi_m^{-1}$ succeeded the scaling of
459 λ/z_i for various categories of stability, surface roughness, and scales of motion (Fig. 8).
460 The new scaling associated the morphology of streaky structures with the velocity
461 gradient, as one of the most basic statistics. In addition, $(z/z_i)\phi_m^{-1}$ was a mixture of
462 inner-layer and outer-layer scaling, although streaky structures were observed in the
463 inner layer. This supports the validity of the top-down mechanism (Hunt and Morrison
464 2000).

465 Although the origination of the detached eddies is not clearly understood, the
466 detached eddies are considered to follow an outer-layer scaling (Hunt and Morrison
467 2000; Hattori et al. 2010; Inagaki et al. 2010). Several types of coherent structures in the
468 outer layer have been reported according to the surface roughness and heat flux. In the
469 neutral boundary layer, the existence of very-large-scale motions has been reported
470 (Kim and Adrian 1999), and Hutchins and Marusic (2007) observed superstructures
471 over flat surfaces, Newsom et al. (2008) reported streaky structures having
472 characteristics similar to superstructures over rough surfaces in terms of their aspect
473 ratio (e.g., longitudinal over spanwise length). In a weakly unstable boundary layer, roll
474 vortices develop regardless of the surface roughness (Khanna and Brasseur 1998;
475 Castillo et al. 2011). However, the spacing of streaky structures in the inner layer was

476 scaled by $(z/z_i)\phi_m^{-1}$, regardless of the scale of motion, thermal stratification, and
477 surface roughness. This implies that the morphology of streaky structures of the inner
478 layer is more strongly prescribed by the local shear than the morphology of the outer-
479 layer eddy. Simultaneous observations of streaky structures at different layers are highly
480 recommended to clarify the interaction of inner- and outer-layer flow dynamics.

481

482 **Appendix 1 Investigation of Objectivity of the Visual Classification**

483 To evaluate the objectivity of the visual classification, we calculated the statistics of the
484 radial velocity distribution, which simply expresses the characteristics of the visual
485 criteria in terms of (1) the convergence line, (2) the shapes of the boundary between
486 positive and negative radial velocity, and (3) the homogeneity of the spatial pattern of
487 radial velocity distribution. Characteristic (1) was expressed using the bulk convergence
488 normalized by the horizontal velocity ($convergence/U$); $convergence$, which is the
489 sum of the radial velocity in a circle with a radius of 2025 m divided by the length of
490 the circle. Characteristic (2) was expressed as the variance of the wind direction
491 estimated by the velocity azimuth display method for each radius from 325 to 2025 m.
492 The variance was calculated as the magnitude of a vector that was composed of unit
493 vectors, with the angle of wind direction for each radius. Characteristic (3) was
494 expressed by the standard deviation of the radial velocity fluctuation in a circle with a
495 radius of 2025 m. This fluctuation was calculated as the difference between the radial
496 velocity and the radial component of the mean wind speed estimated by the velocity
497 azimuth display method. Figure 10 shows scatter plots for a combination of the radial
498 velocity statistics coloured according to a visual classification. As expected, the
499 $convergence/U$ of the category Front was much larger than the other classes (Fig.

500 10a). Furthermore, the plots in Fig. 10b are clustered for each category of the visual
501 classification. The plots of σ_θ and $\sigma_{v_r'}$ for each category agree with the criteria in the
502 visual classification. The categories Streak, Mixed, and Fishnet had a larger $\sigma_{v_r'}$ than
503 those of No streak and Others. In addition, the categories Streak and No streak had a
504 smaller σ_θ than those of Mixed, Fishnet, and Others. This visual classification was
505 supported by the objective statistics of the flow pattern. Figure 10c is the same as Fig.
506 10b, but only the category Streak is plotted, and the cases that were used for the analysis
507 of the spacing λ are highlighted using different symbols. The plots used for the analysis
508 of the spacing λ are distributed around the upper left of the figure, in which there is
509 almost no contamination by the plots for the other categories. This demonstrated that
510 the cases used for the analysis of the spacing λ include only typical streaky flow
511 patterns, which were classified as the category Streak.

512

513 **Appendix 2 Relationship between the Spacing of Streaky Structures Calculated by** 514 **the Power Spectrum Density and Length Scales Calculated by a Two-point** 515 **Correlation**

516 The spacing λ was calculated by the power spectrum density as mentioned in Sect. 3.5.
517 However, the past studies cited in this paper (Table 3) calculated the length scale using
518 a two-point correlation. Therefore, we introduced a function to convert the length scale,
519 which is estimated from the two-point correlation, to the spacing λ , based on the
520 database of the Doppler lidar and LES_city. The spacing λ and the length scale of
521 LES_city were calculated from the streamwise velocity fluctuation in an area of the
522 same size as that observed by the Doppler lidar. Figure 11 shows the relationships of the

523 non-dimensional spacing λ and the length scales from the two-point correlation for the
524 cases of Doppler lidar and LES_city. The case of the Doppler lidar represents the ABL,
525 which is affected by buoyancy, while that of LES_city represents the turbulent
526 boundary layer, which is driven by only shear. The length scale $l_{minpeak}$ is the
527 separation distance from the minimum peak of the two-point correlation. It shows a
528 linear relationship and follows a single line regardless of the Doppler lidar or LES.
529 Because the choice of the intercept of the regression line, i.e., zero or the best-fit value,
530 made little difference to the estimation of the spacing λ , the regression line without the
531 intercept was used in this study, assuming that both the spacing λ and $l_{minpeak}$ become
532 zero simultaneously.

533

534 **Appendix 3 Investigation of the Spurious Correlation in Fig. 8**

535 Some readers may observe that the correlation between λ/z_i and $(\Delta U/\Delta z)/(u_*/z_i)$ in
536 Fig. 8 is a spurious correlation (Pearson 1896) due to the same denominator (z_i) being
537 present in both parameters. Hence, the validity of the scaling in Sect. 4.3 is discussed
538 here. Figure 12 shows the same plots as shown in Fig. 8, but the colour of the plots
539 represents the value of z_i . In the spurious correlation, the distance from the origin of the
540 coordinate to each plot is inversely proportional to the value of z_i , whereas the
541 equivalent distance of the plots in Fig. 12 is not proportional to the value of z_i
542 especially in LES_flat, LES_city, and WT_cube. This supports the validity of the
543 scaling in Sect. 4.3.

544

545 **Acknowledgements** This study was financially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 25249066
546 and 26420492 and partially supported by the Joint Usage/Research Center for Interdisciplinary Large-
547 scale Information Infrastructures and High-performance Computing Infrastructure in Japan. We

548 appreciate the data processing support provided by Mr. Tatsuhiro Watanabe of the Institute of Low-
549 Temperature Science at Hokkaido University and the helpful explanation regarding the specifics of the
550 Doppler lidar by Mr. Taiji Harada of Mitsubishi Electric Corporation. We also thank Mr. Jiro Ariba and
551 Ms. Anqi Li, who assisted with observational data analysis.

552

553 **References**

- 554 Adrian RJ, Balachandar S, Lin ZC (2001) Spanwise growth of vortex structure in wall turbulence. *KSME*
555 *Int J* 15:1741–1749
- 556 Barlow JF, Dunbar TM, Nemitz EG, Wood CR, Gallagher MW, Davies F, O'Connor E, Harrison RM
557 (2011) Boundary layer dynamics over London, UK, as observed using Doppler lidar during
558 REPARTEE-II. *Atmos Chem Phys* 11: 2111–2125
- 559 Browning KA, Wexler R (1968) The determination of kinematic properties of a wind field using Doppler
560 radar. *J Appl Meteorol* 7:105–113
- 561 Castillo MC, Inagaki A, Kanda M (2011) The effects of inner- and outer-layer turbulence in a convective
562 boundary layer on the near-neutral inertial sublayer over an urban-like surface. *Boundary-Layer*
563 *Meteorol* 140:453–469
- 564 Cohn SA, Angevine WM (2000) Boundary layer height and entrainment zone thickness measured by
565 lidars and wind-profiling radars. *J Appl Meteorol* 39:1233–1247
- 566 Deardorff JW (1972) Numerical investigation of neutral and unstable planetary boundary layers. *J Atmos*
567 *Sci* 29:91–115
- 568 Drobinski P, Brown RA, Flamant PH, Pelon J (1998) Evidence of organized large eddies by ground-
569 based Doppler lidar, sonic anemometer and sodar. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol* 88:343–361
- 570 Drobinski P, Foster RC (2003) On the origin of near-surface streaks in the neutrally-stratified planetary
571 boundary layer. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol* 108:247–256
- 572 Drobinski P, Carlotti P, Newsom RK, Banta RM, Foster RC, Redelsperger JL (2004) The structure of the
573 near-neutral atmospheric surface layer. *J Atmos Sci* 61:699–714
- 574 Fujiyoshi Y, Yamashita K, Fujiwara C (2009) Detection of organized airflow in the atmospheric
575 boundary layer and the free atmosphere using a 3D-scanning coherent Doppler lidar. *International*
576 *Symposium on Photoelectronic Detection and Imaging* 17–19 June 2009, Beijing China, pp 738204–
577 738204
- 578 Fujiwara C, Yamashita K, Nakanishi M, Fujiyoshi Y (2011) Dust devil-like vortices in an urban area
579 detected by a 3D scanning Doppler lidar. *J Appl Meteorol Clim* 50:534–547
- 580 Grossman RL (1982) An analysis of vertical velocity spectra obtained in the BOMEX fair-weather, trade-
581 wind boundary layer. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol* 23:323–357

582 Hattori Y, Moeng CH, Suto H, Tanaka N, Hirakuchi H (2010) Wind-tunnel experiment on logarithmic-
583 layer turbulence under the influence of overlying detached eddies. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol*
584 134:269–283

585 Huda AN, Inagaki A, Kanda M, Onodera N, Aoki T (2016) Large-eddy simulation of the gust index in an
586 urban area using the lattice Boltzmann method. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol* (in revision)

587 Hunt JC, Morrison JF (2000) Eddy structure in turbulent boundary layers. *Eur J Mech B-Fluid* 19:673–
588 694

589 Hutchins N, Marusic I (2007) Evidence of very long meandering features in the logarithmic region of
590 turbulent boundary layers. *J Fluid Mech* 579:1–28

591 Inagaki A, Kanda M (2010) Organized structure of active turbulence over an array of cubes within the
592 logarithmic layer of atmospheric flow. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol* 135:209–228

593 Inagaki A, Castillo MCL, Yamashita Y, Kanda M, Takimoto H (2012) Large-eddy simulation of coherent
594 flow structures within a cubical canopy. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol* 142:207–222

595 Iwai H, Ishii S, Tsunematsu N, Mizutani K, Murayama Y, Itabe T, Yamada I, Matayoshi N, Matsushima
596 D, Weiming S, Yamazaki T, Iwasaki T (2008) Dual-Doppler lidar observation of horizontal
597 convective rolls and near-surface streaks. *Geophys Res Lett* 35:14

598 Khanna S, Brasseur JG (1998) Three-dimensional buoyancy- and shear-induced local structure of the
599 atmospheric boundary layer. *J Atmos Sci* 55:710–743

600 Kim KC, Adrian RJ (1999) Very large-scale motion in the outer layer. *Phys Fluids* 11: 417–422

601 Lin CL, Moeng CH, Sullivan PP, McWilliams JC (1997) The effect of surface roughness on flow
602 structures in a neutrally stratified planetary boundary layer flow. *Physics of Fluids* 9:3235–3249

603 Marusic I, Mathis R, Hutchins N (2010) Predictive model for wall-bounded turbulent flow. *Science*
604 329:193–196

605 Mathis R, Hutchins N, Marusic I (2011) A predictive inner–outer model for streamwise turbulence
606 statistics in wall-bounded flows. *J Fluid Mech* 681:537–566

607 Moeng CH, Sullivan PP (1994) A comparison of shear- and buoyancy-driven planetary boundary layer
608 flows *J Atmos Sci* 51:999–1022

609 Müller G, Brümmel B, Alpers W (1999) Roll convection within an arctic cold-air outbreak: interpretation
610 of in situ aircraft measurements and spaceborne SAR imagery by a three-dimensional atmospheric
611 model. *Mon Weather Rev* 127:363–380

612 Newsom R, Calhoun R, Ligon D, Allwine J (2008) Linearly organized turbulence structures observed
613 over a suburban area by dual-Doppler lidar. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol* 127:111–130

614 Pearson K (1896) *Mathematical contributions to the theory of evolution.*—On a form of spurious
615 correlation which may arise when indices are used in the measurement of organs. *Proc Roy Soc Lond*
616 60:489–498

617 Stull RB (1988) *An introduction to boundary layer meteorology.* Springer Science & Business Media

- 618 Sykes RI, Henn DS (1989) Large-eddy simulation of turbulent sheared convection. *J Atmos Sci* 46:1106–
619 1118
- 620 Takimoto H, Inagaki A, Kanda M, Sato A, Michioka T (2013) Length-scale similarity of turbulent
621 organized structures over surfaces with different roughness types. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol*
622 147:217–236
- 623 Tomkins CD, Adrian RJ (2003) Spanwise structure and scale growth in turbulent boundary layers. *J Fluid*
624 *Mech* 490:37–74
- 625 Träumner K, Damian T, Stawiarski C, Wieser A (2015) Turbulent structures and coherence in the
626 atmospheric surface layer. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol* 154:1–25
- 627 Walter BA (1980) Wintertime observations of roll clouds over the Bering sea. *Mon Weather Rev*
628 108:2024–2031
- 629 Weckwerth TM, Wilson JW, Wakimoto RM, Crook NA (1997) Horizontal convective rolls: Determining
630 the environmental conditions supporting their existence and characteristics. *Mon Weather Rev*
631 125:505–526
- 632 Woodcock AH (1975) Thermals over the sea and gull flight behavior. *Boundary-Layer Meteorol* 9: 63–68
- 633 Zhou J, Adrian RJ, Balachandar S, Kendall TM (1999) Mechanisms for generating coherent packets of
634 hairpin vortices in channel flow. *J Fluid Mech* 387:353–396