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Abstract

Speech feature extraction algorithms have become popular. Speech features can be used for various applications: biometric recognition, speech recognition, speaker identification, and so on. In these applications, a good speech feature can be obtained using Mel frequency cepstrum Coefficients (MFCC), Linear Predictive Coding (LPC), Time varying LPC (TVLPC), Perceptual Linear Predictive (PLP) among others. This thesis focuses on the use of TVLPC among feature extraction algorithms to improve the robustness of automatic speech recognition (ASR) systems against various multiplicative and additive noises. Time varying speech features (TVSF) are implemented in ASR with the aim of improving the recognition accuracy on a number of small set of reference speech databases. The significance of the study is based on the fact that both additive and multiplicative noises cause great performance degradation of ASR systems, thereby limiting the speech recognition accuracy in real environments. For this reason, feature correction, feature compensation and normalization approaches are considered in order to improve the robustness of a speech recognition system.

The performance degradation is partly due to statistical mismatch between trained acoustic model of clean speech features and noisy testing speech features. For the purpose of reducing the feature-model mismatch, corrective, compensation as well as normalization techniques are employed both during training and testing of speech features.

In order to achieve improved system performance, normalization in modulation
spectrum domain is used to remove non-speech components over a certain frequency range using running spectrum analysis (RSA) as a band pass filter. In comparison to other noise reduction techniques used in this study on robust speech recognition, the RSA filter has an advantage due to its adaptable parameters, that is, the first and second pass band frequencies can easily be adjusted accordingly. In addition, speech feature enhancement using dynamic range adjustment (DRA) is utilized. The enhancement is aimed at correcting the difference between clean and noisy speech features by normalizing amplitude of speech features. For the purpose of channel normalization, cepstrum mean subtraction (CMS) is used in this study.

Two alternative time varying speech features (TVSF) methods are being proposed and compared with conventional Mel frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC) features for noisy speech recognition.

The first experimental study shows that fast Fourier transform (FFT) based Mel frequency cepstrum coefficients (MFCCs) with directly converted time varying linear prediction (TVLPC) based MFCCs, which in this study is defined as time varying speech features (TVSF), shows a competitive recognition accuracy performance to that of FFT based MFCCs alone.

In the second experimental study, robustness of speech recognition is further improved by applying mel filtering and logarithmic transformations to short time windowed time varying coefficients before converting to cepstrum coefficients in place of direct-converted TVLPC speech features. Results show that RSA produces better performance than DRA and CMS/DRA on both similar pronunciation phrases and phrases uttered by elderly persons. Experimental study shows that the use of time varying speech features (TVSP) can produce improved speech recognition accuracy even if there is a mismatch between the training and testing data sets.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Background

Speech is one of the most effective modes of interaction between humans or between human and a machine. In addition, it is the most natural and convenient method of interaction. A speech signal constitutes infinite information. Digital processing of speech signal is very important for real time and precise automatic voice recognition technology. Proliferation of such information devices as personal computers, smart-phones, tablet devices, etc., has enabled voice command (VC) to be a desirable feature in human-to-machine interaction. Voice-controlled applications have many practical uses including communication, business location, daily life navigation, among others. In recent times speech processing has found its applications in health care, telephony, military and people with disabilities, among other fields. Today these speech signals are also used in biometric recognition technologies and communicating with machines.

Although the speech communication technology between human and computer is experiencing a revolutionary progress in the information industry, speech recognition is a challenging and interesting problem in and of itself. It is one of the most integrating ar-
eas of machine intelligence, since humans do a daily activity of speech recognition. For this reason, the digital signal processing such as feature extraction and feature matching are the latest study issues of voice signal. In order to extract valuable information from the speech signal, speech data needs to be pre-processed and analysed. The basic method used for extracting the features of the voice signal is to find the mel frequency cepstral coefficients. In order to extract such features, the key stages and their main purpose are considered:

(a) Voice Activity Detection: the accurate detection of speech endpoints is important to improve the recognition accuracy of automatic speech recognition (ASR) system.

(b) Feature Extraction: in order to find some statistically relevant information from incoming data, it is important to have mechanisms for reducing the information of data segment in the audio signal into a relatively small number of parameters, or features. Therefore, the purpose of feature extraction is to convert speech waveform to some other type of representation for further analysis and processing. The extracted information is known as feature vector. The usefulness of the extracted feature vector largely depends on both its information content and noise sensitivity in short frames. High information content and low noise sensitivity could potentially lead to features with high discrimination and robustness, respectively.

(c) Feature Enhancement: speech feature enhancement techniques tend to suppress the noise which corrupts the speech signal. These systems are based on techniques which intend to recover the clean speech signal by enhancing the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). The performance depends upon the type of noise which corrupts speech and the information that is required about noise. Four main types
of methods are used for speech enhancement:

(i) Noise Subtraction: this method assumes that noise and speech are uncorrelated and additive. In the spectral subtraction approach, the power spectrum of clean speech is obtained by subtracting the noise power spectrum from the spectrum of noisy speech. The method assumes that the noise varies so that the noise estimation obtained during an approximately stationary instance can be used for suppression.

(ii) Filtering: traditional adaptive filtering techniques have been used for speech enhancement, but more for speech transmission than for recognition purposes. Unless the noise is stationary and perfectly known, adaptive filtering technique must usually be done iteratively.

(iii) Use of Markov Models: hidden Markov models (HMM) decomposition is a method which makes it possible to separate speech from additive noise. The recognition of a noisy utterance can therefore be carried out by extending the classical Viterbi decoding algorithm to a search in the state space defined by the two models. This method is rather computationally demanding.

(iv) Speech Mapping: speech enhancement can be viewed as the process of transforming noisy speech into clean speech by some kind of mapping. For instance, spectral mapping has been implemented by a set of rules obtained by vector quantization techniques. It is also possible to implement arbitrarily complex space transformations via connectionist neural networks. Simple models such as multi-layer perceptions have been trained on learning samples to realize a mapping of noisy signals to noise-free speech which has been tested with success in an auditory preference test with human listeners.
In this thesis, noise subtraction and filtering is applied.

(d) Modeling Techniques: The objective of modeling technique is to generate speaker models using speaker specific feature vector. The speaker modeling technique is classified into two: speaker recognition and speaker identification. The speaker identification technique automatically identifies who is speaking on basis of individual information integrated in speech signal. The speaker recognition is also divided into two parts; that is speaker dependant and speaker independent. In the speaker independent mode of the speech recognition the computer should ignore the speaker specific characteristics of the speech signal and extract the intended message. On the other hand, in case of speaker recognition, the machine should extract speaker characteristics in the acoustic signal [1].

The following are some of the modeling which can be used in speech recognition process depending on application [2]; the acoustic-phonetic approach, pattern recognition approach, template based approach, dynamic time warping, knowledge based approach, statistical based approach, learning based approach, the artificial intelligence approach and stochastic approach.

Some of these approaches are highlighted as follows:

(i) Acoustic-phonetic approach: this approach is based on the theory of acoustic phonetics and postulates [3–5]. The earliest approaches to speech recognition were based on finding speech sounds and providing appropriate labels to these sounds. This is the basis of the acoustic phonetic approach [6]. Which assumes that there exist finite, distinctive phonetic units (phonemes) in a spoken language and that these units are broadly characterized by a set of acoustics properties that are manifested in the speech signal over time.
(ii) Pattern recognition approach: the pattern-matching approach [7] [8] [9] involves two essential steps namely; pattern training and pattern comparison. The essential feature of this approach is that it uses a well formulated mathematical framework and establishes consistent speech pattern representations, for reliable pattern comparison, from a set of labelled training samples via a formal training algorithm. A pattern recognition that has been developed over the last two decades has received much attention and been applied widely to many practical pattern recognition problems [10]. A speech pattern representation can be in the form of a speech template or a statistical model (e.g., a Hidden Markov model or HMM) and can be applied to a sound (smaller than a word), a word, or a phrase. In the pattern-comparison stage of the approach, a direct comparison is made between the unknown speeches (the speech to be recognized) with each possible pattern learned in the training stage in order to determine the identity of the unknown according to the goodness of match of the patterns. The pattern-matching approach has become the predominant method for speech recognition in the last six decades [11]. Speech recognition is a special case of pattern recognition. Figure 1.1 below shows the processing stages involved in a typical speech recognition system using this model. There are two phases in supervised pattern recognition, such are training and testing. The process of extraction of features relevant for classification is common to both phases. During the training phase, the parameters of the classification model are estimated using a large number of class ideal models (training data). During the testing or recognition phase, the features of a test pattern (test speech data) are matched with the trained model of each and every class. The test pattern is declared to belong to that class whose model matches the test pattern best.
(iii) Template based approaches: In template based approaches matching [12] unknown speech is compared against a set of pre-recorded words (templates) in order to find the best Match. This has the advantage of using perfectly accurate word models. Template based approach [13] to speech recognition have provided a family of techniques that have advanced the field considerably during the last six decades. The underlying idea is that a collection of prototypical speech patterns are stored as reference patterns representing the dictionary of candidates’ words. Recognition is then carried out by matching an unknown spoken utterance with each of these reference templates and selecting the category of the best matching pattern. Usually templates for entire words are constructed. This has the advantage that, errors due to segmentation or classification of smaller acoustically more variable units such as phonemes can be avoided. In turn, each word must have its own full reference template; template preparation and matching become prohibitively expensive or impractical as vocabulary size increases beyond a few
(iv) Dynamic Time Warping (DTW): DTW is an algorithm for measuring similarity between two sequences which may vary in time or speed. For instance, similarities in walking patterns would be detected, even if in one video, the person was walking slowly and if in another, he or she were walking more quickly, or even if there were accelerations and decelerations during the course of one observation. DTW has been applied to video, audio, and graphics. Indeed, any data which can be turned into a linear representation can be analysed with DTW. A well known application has been automatic speech recognition, to cope with different speaking speeds. In general, DTW is a method that allows a computer to find an optimal match between two given sequences (e.g. time series) with certain restrictions.

(v) Knowledge based approaches: An expert knowledge about variations in speech is hand coded into a system. This has the advantage of explicit modeling variations in speech; but unfortunately such expert knowledge is difficult to obtain and use successfully. Thus this approach is often judged to be impractical and automatic learning procedure is sought instead. Vector Quantization (VQ) [14] is often applied to automatic speech recognition (ASR). It is useful for speech coders, for efficient data reduction. Since transmission rate is not a major issue for ASR, the utility of VQ lies in the efficiency of using compact codebooks for reference models and codebook searcher in place of more costly evaluation methods.

(vi) Statistical based approaches: These are approaches in which variations in speech are modelled statistically, using automatic, statistical learning procedure typically the Hidden Markov Models, or HMM. The approaches represent the current state of the art. The main disadvantage of statistical models is that they must take prior
modeling assumptions which are answerable to be inaccurate, handicapping the system performance. In recent years, a new approach to the challenging problem of conversational speech recognition has emerged, holding a promise to overcome some fundamental limitations of the conventional Hidden Markov Model (HMM) approach [15, 16]. This new approach is a radical departure from the current HMM-based statistical modeling approaches.

(vii) The artificial intelligence approach: the artificial intelligence approach attempts to mechanize the recognition procedure according to the way a person applies his intelligence in visualizing, analyzing, and finally making a decision on the measured acoustic features. Expert system is used widely in this approach [17].

The HMM is a popular statistical tool for modelling a wide range of time series data. In Speech recognition, HMM has been applied with great success to such problems as speech classification [18]. Therefore, in this study its application is opted for.

The problem of automatic speech recognition in an adverse environment has attracted many researchers’ attention. The main reason is that the performance of existing speech recognition systems, designed on assumption of low noise or low interference, often degrades rapidly in the presence of noise, distortions and articulative effects [19]. Additive noise contaminates the speech signal and changes the data vectors representing speech. For instance, white noise will tend to reduce the dynamic range, or variance of cepstral coefficients within the frame. Similarly, speaking in a noisy environment, where auditory feedback is obstructed by the noise, causes statistically significant articulation variability as the speaker attempts to increase the communication efficiency over the noisy medium. This phenomenon is known as the Lombard effect [20, 21]. These phenomena may produce serious mismatches between the training and recognition conditions that result in degradation in accuracy. Consequently, most efforts in the
filed of noisy speech recognition have been directed towards reducing the mismatch between training and operating conditions. In recent past vocally interactive computers capable of speech synthesis as well as speech recognition have been developed. Almost all speech recognition systems have stored reference patterning of phonemes or words with which the input speech is correlated and the closest phoneme or word is output. Since it is the frequencies with high energy that are to be correlated, the spectrum of the input and reference pattern are correlated rather than the actual waveform.

There are various classifications of speech recognition. One of such classifications is based on recognized object which includes isolated word recognition and continuous speech recognition. In the former, input speech is uttered in isolated words whereas in the latter, speech is uttered continuously thereby making recognition harder. The latter can further be classified into connected word recognition and conversational speech recognition. The former recognises each word but has a limited vocabulary whereas the latter focuses on understanding the sentences and has a large vocabulary. Speech recognition can also be speaker-dependent (in which case the templates have to be changed every time a speaker changes) or speaker-independent (recognises speech irrespective of the speaker) [22]. With isolated speech, single words are used, therefore it becomes easier to recognize the speech. With continuous speech naturally spoken sentences are used, therefore it becomes harder to recognize the speech [23].

In systems with phrase as the reference pattern unit, as the vocabulary size increases, the average comparison time increases just as the size of the reference pattern increases. In systems which have phonemes as reference, the input speech phonemes are compared and with those results combined with a phrase dictionary a phrase is output. In this case when the vocabulary need to be expanded, phrases can be added to the phrase dictionary and the phoneme pattern has to be changed. Hence the memory required and
the comparison time does not increase as much as in the previous case.

Speech is preferred as an input because it does not require training and it is much faster than any other input. Also information can be input while the person is engaged in other activities and information can be fed via telephone or microphone which are relatively cheaper compared to current input systems. But there are several disadvantages in the recognition process. First, speech recognition is a multi-levelled pattern recognition task. Acoustical signals are structured into an hierarchy of units; e.g. phonemes, words, phrases, and sentences. Each level provides additional constraints [23]. Second, the phonemes in reference are recorded in isolation and their spectrum is different from the phonemes in the input speech because they are affected by neighbouring phonemes. The same hindrance occurs when words are stored in reference pattern in continuous speech recognition [24].

1.2 Motivation

Various features, including linear prediction coding (LPC) [25–28], a modification of LPC, called time-varying linear prediction coding (TVLPC) [29], fast Fourier transform (FFT)-based mel frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC) [30–33], among others, have been used to model speech recognition either singularly or collectively in improving speech recognition accuracies in adverse environments.

Of the three feature extraction methods, the use of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients can be considered as one of the standard method for feature extraction [34] based on spectral content of the signal. The use of about 20 MFCC coefficients is common in ASR, even though about 12-13 coefficients are often considered to be sufficient for coding speech [35, 36]. Since the human auditory system is sensitive to time evolution of
the spectral content of speech signal, an attempt is often made to include the extraction of this information, that is, the delta and acceleration as part of feature analysis. These dynamic coefficients are then concatenated with the static coefficients to make the final output of feature analysis representation. Figure 1.2 shows the complete MFCC pipeline.

For LPC of speech, the speech waveform is modelled as the output of an all-pole filter. The waveform is partitioned into several short intervals (10-30 ms) during which the speech signal is assumed to be stationary. For each interval the constant coefficients of the all-pole filter are estimated by linear prediction by minimizing a squared prediction error criterion. In this thesis, however, a modification of LPC, called time-varying LPC, which can be used to analyze nonstationary speech signals is considered. In this method, each coefficient of the all-pole filter is allowed to be time-varying by assuming it is a linear combination of a set of known time functions.

In comparison, FFT based MFCC is a simple and popular feature extraction method commonly used in automatic speech recognition (ASR). However, the most notable
downside of using MFCC is its sensitivity to noise due to its dependence on the spectral form. We, therefore, propose a new two-subsystems approach, in identifying and recognising an isolated word, involving use of both FFT and TVLPC. The steps involved are as shown in Figure 1.3.

The speech feature extraction consists of two subsystems: FFT and TVLPC-based MFCCs. These subsystems yield features which are concatenated and are then trained by HMM.

Conventional FFT based MFCC is fast and has low complexity, but its dependence on the spectral form makes it sensitive to noise. FFT based MFCC with TVLPC based MFCC can accomplish alignment of reference and test speech features by statistical based matching using HMM.

With HMM, any new speech waveform must be trained before it can be added to the reference model. HMM techniques are also more complex than DTW, for example, due to reference speech waveform labelling and due to iterative computation. Although
HMM-based approaches require training [37], for noisy environments, HMM techniques achieve higher accuracy.

In ASR systems, the HMM method has been widely used. The ASR based HMM consists of two different stages, i.e., training and recognition. For the training stage, a lot of real speech data should be prepared. After the training stage has been completed, the system has easily shown high recognition accuracy under low noise circumstances. Recently, since there are several noise reduction methods and speech enhancement methods against any noises, almost all of ASRs using HMM and noise reduction can show higher accuracy of speech recognition rate than that given by a conventional standard HMM based ASR.

In this study, HMMs which are trained by a set of words and phrases are used. Since the ASR using word based HMM is trained with any detail and important information of words, e.g., co-articulation, the performance of this ASR can show high accuracy even in any noisy environments. The challenge, however, is that the training costs of the word based HMM are normally large. This means, in an event that one word is added to HMM speech model database, many persons who utter target keywords several times, are often required. In which case, a prior processing is needed after a large set of speech database is prepared.

In this thesis, an ASR system is developed that uses time varying speech features (TVSF). In the proposed method a combination of the FFT-based MFCC with the TVLPC-based MFCC in a two subsystems feature extraction process using HMM both for training and recognition is considered. The combined inter-frame variations (from FFT-based MFCC) and intra-frame variations (from TVLPC-based MFCC) is envisaged to improve recognition accuracy. The enhanced technology utilizes information in both spectral and periodicity of speech signals to overcome the problem of sensitivity to
First, the voice activity detection (VAD) is improved using the short time energy and zero-crossing rate algorithms. The new proposed approach substantially decreases the effect of additive noises. The endpoint detection accuracy is also improved. Then, the use of running spectrum analysis (RSA), cepstrum mean subtraction (CMS), and dynamic range adjustment (DRA) on the FFT-based MFCC and DRA only on the TVLPC-based MFCC to reduce noise are proposed. The two types of TVLPC-based MFCC involve the use of; a) direct converted TVLPC coefficients and b) a mel filter banks and log transformation TVLCP coefficients. The recognition accuracy with mel filter banks and log transformed TVLCP cepstrum is better than that of the direct converted TVLPC cepstrum. In the final analysis, the mel filtered TVLPC-based MFCC is proposed with HMM be used as a recognizer. The performance of proposed FFT-based MFCC with mel filter banks TVLPC-based MFCC speech feature extraction approach is similar to that of FFT-based MFCC only, however, the recognition accuracy is improved notably under low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).

1.3 Thesis overview

Chapter 1 describes the background of digital processing of speech, study motivation, thesis overview and study contributions.

Chapter 2 introduces the standard method for speech recognition, and the steps involved in conventional FFT-based MFCC. Time varying speech feature (TVSF) algorithm of direct TVLPC and TVSF algorithm of mel based TVLPC are discussed. Definition of proposed feature model, feature classification techniques, fundamentals of voice activity detection, and effects of noise speech are equally introduced.
Chapter 3 discusses the voice activity detection (VAD) method with short term energy, short term autocorrelation and zero-crossing rate (ZCR). The use of a short time energy is proposed. The proposed approach helps to minimize the effect of pulse-noise. The endpoint detection accuracy is increased.

Chapter 4 discusses the influence of additive and multiplicative noises. Modulation spectra and noise circumstances, the running spectrum filter and running spectrum analysis algorithm are discussed. In addition, the limitation of band-pass filtering are highlighted. When speech signal is Fourier transformed, the additive noise can be removed in the frequency component. It is impossible to have the multiplicative noises successfully removed using Fourier transform only. A Fourier transform of a convolved signal makes it a multiplicative signal which should be logarithmically transformed to realize an additive result. The system noise has no time variation factor quite much compared with speech waveform. Therefore, the modulation spectrum of speech usually concentrates its energy into around 0 Hz. Accordingly, the important part for speech recognition can be discriminated from others on the modulation spectrum. In compensating for distortions, CMS is used as normalization method and DRA to minimize the variability of noise feature values. In DRA, each coefficient of a speech feature is adjusted proportionally to its maximum amplitude.

RSA, CMS as normalization method and DRA algorithms are introduced to minimize the variability of noise feature values. In DRA, each coefficient of a speech feature is adjusted proportionally to its maximum amplitude. Use of CMS/DRA is proposed, and RSA for noise reduction. The CMS/DRA method improves the accuracy of HMM efficiently. Band-pass filtering using RSA is used to remove additive noise components on running spectrum domain. Careful consideration is necessary in designing such a filter. Excessive elimination of lower modulation frequency band may cause negative
values in power spectrum and negative values lead to a problem when power spectrum is converted to logarithmic power spectrum for obtaining cepstrum.

Chapter 5 evaluates the performance of the proposed time varying speech features with HMM. Experiments are conducted for the two sets of 3 similar pronounciation phrases, 13 phrases uttered by elderly people and the 142 common Japanese phrases. The accuracy of our approach increases with the number of reference speech waveforms (utterances) available for the reference words. It has been observed that performance of the proposed approach seems to be influenced by two main factors; first by the type of noise and second, by the noise reduction technique being applied. It is also observe that the recognition performance varies depending on the noise levels. It is also noted that models 1 and 3 perform competitively if not slightly better than model 0. This is an indication that 1 and 3 dimensional feature components of TVLPC may be adequate to realize better or slightly better results with our proposed method. By using few components the computation time on the part of TVLPC is reduced.

Chapter 6 compares the proposed time varying speech features to those of the conventional approach. There is a difference in tendency between similar pronunciation phrases and phrases uttered by elderly people. Even though care was taken in designing the RSA band-pass filter there may be unnecessary components, hence its poor performance compared with CMS/DRA. It can cautiously be inferred that the under performance exhibited by our proposed method in some cases could be attributed to discontinuities of acoustic units around concatenation points.

Chapter 7 summaries the above research and gives a conclusion to highlight the research significance. Finally, some possible work for future research are briefly described. It is found that concatenating FFT-based MFCC with the TVLPC-based MFCC to create time varying speech features (TVSF) can improve the speech recognition ca-
pability for some models with HMM implementations. The proposed method may be a simpler solution for speech recognition applications that requires further improvements.

1.4 Summary of contributions

This thesis makes the following contributions:

• By considering the influence of time-varying coefficient gain, frame-by-frame, we confirm the presence of intra-frame variation in each frame. We aim to evaluate the contribution of such variation when appended to features estimated from inter-frame variation. The resulting expression are important since they quantify the dominance of the time-varying component whose contributions to recognition accuracy is the main drive of this study.

• This work demonstrates that time-varying cepstrum combined with features from quasi stationary speech signal do influence the recognition accuracy both on clean speech and under noise conditions. The numerical results indicate the difference between time varying features from direct converted TVLPC coefficients and time varying features estimated by mel filtered TVLPC based MFCC. The difference in theory between the two algorithms is clarified later in the study.

• The numerical performance of speech recognition on similar pronunciation phrases, phrases uttered by elderly persons and common Japanese phrases are evaluated. The recognition results of the proposed approach using both speech feature extraction techniques are documented. Comparing with the conventional approach, fast Fourier Transform based MFCC, the mel filtered FFT based MFCC has demonstrated that the enhanced approach accounts for recognition accuracy and can be
used under low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). The numerical results also indicates that the proposed speech features can obtain a good performance with dynamic range adjustment (DRA) and running spectrum analysis (RSA) as additive noise reduction technique and multiplicative noise reduction technique respectively.

• To the best of the author’s knowledge this work is the first approach that models the inter-frame speech features and intra-frame cepstrum coefficients for similar pronunciation phrases, phrases uttered by elderly people and common japanese phrases respectively.
Chapter 2

Fundamentals of speech recognition

2.1 Standard method for speech recognition

Figure 2.1 shows a diagram of an ASR system that comprises modules for voice activity detection (VAD), feature extraction, feature enhancement, and speech recognition. The figure has been designed based on ideas and concepts derived by reviewing the works of [38–42]. The unknown speech waveform is sampled, processed by these blocks, and later are compared with known waveforms after a similar feature extraction process, to make a recognition decision. The blocks shown in this figure are discussed below and throughout the paper.

FFT-based Mel Frequency Cepstrum (MFC) is a representation of linear cosine transformation of a short-term log power spectrum of speech signal on a non-linear Mel scale of frequency. Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCCs) all together make up an MFC. It may be said cosine transform is one of the variations of the Fourier transform. MFCC extraction is of the type where all the characteristics of the speech signal are concentrated in the first few coefficients [43]. Order of MFCC will correspond to a number of dimensions taken out. Cepstrum is obtained by taking the inverse trans-
form of the logarithm of Fourier transform of signal [44]. In speech recognition, after removal of the low-order component of the cepstrum (\(c_0\) removal, liftering processing, cepstral mean removal), finding the MFCC by the normalization process seems to be a common process. On the other hand, our newly proposed method with TVLPC-based MFCC is both an extension as well as a modification of LPC.

![ASR System Diagram](image)

Figure 2.1: ASR system diagram

### 2.2 Speech feature extraction

The fundamental difficulty of speech recognition is that the speech signal is highly variable due to different speakers, different speaking rates, contents and acoustic conditions [36].

The objective of feature extraction process is to compute discriminative speech features suitable for detection. The feature vector is extracted from original speech signal at the front-end processing of ASR system, which is designed to evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithms on clean and noisy speech. Three speech databases are used...
for evaluation of front-end feature extraction algorithms using a defined Hidden Markov Modelling (HMM) in speech feature training and recognition. In this regard, the aim is to design an easy to build model and obtain robust features to recognize. In order to improve the recognition accuracy, the robustness of parameter of feature vector should be carefully considered.

Mel cepstral coefficients [45] can be estimated by using a parametric approach derived from linear prediction coefficients (LPC), or by using a nonparametric fast Fourier transform (FFT)-based approach or yet still by a combination of both. FFT-based MFCCs typically encode more information from excitation and are more dependent on on high-pitched speech resulting from loud or angry speaking styles. LPC-based MFCC have been found to be more sensitive to additive noise [46] because it ignores the pitch-based harmonic structure seen in FFT-based MFCCs.

The overall performance of the system greatly depends on the feature analysis component of an ASR system. There are humongous compelling and phenomenal ways to describe the speech signal in terms of criterion, or features. Many feature extraction techniques are available, these include linear predictive coefficients (LPCC) [47–50], Mel-frequency cepstrum coefficients (MFCC) [51], perceptual linear predictive (PLP) [52, 53] and time varying linear prediction coefficients (TVLPC) [29]. The MFCC is most popular in ASR because it better expresses the mechanism of human ears. The steps involved in feature extraction using MFCC are as shown in Figure 2.2. The figure shows the key stages from speech data input, pre-emphasis, frame blocking, windowing, fast Fourier transformation, mel filter transformation, log transformation and discrete cosine transformation to realise the mel frequency cepstrum coefficients. The Mel frequency better describes the nonlinear relation that a human ear feels from the frequency of speech signal. This analysis technique uses cepstrum with a nonlinear frequency axis
2.2.1 Conventional FFT-based feature extraction

In order to obtain mel cepstrum, a speech waveform $s$ in time domain $s(n)$ is first windowed with a pre-defined analysis window $w(n)$ and then its DFT $S(k)$ is computed. The magnitude of $S(k)$ is then weighted by a series of mel filter frequency responses whose center frequencies and bandwidth roughly match those of auditory critical band filters [55]. The equation used to convert from linear frequency to Mel frequency is

$$f_{\text{mel}} = 2595 \log_{10}(1 + \frac{f}{700}) \quad (2.1)$$

where $f_{\text{mel}}$ is Mel frequency and $f$ is normal linear frequency. In Mel frequency domain, the concept of hearing is commensurate to frequency. For different frequencies, the
speech signal in corresponding critical-band can make the basilar membrane within the cochlea of the inner ear to vibrate. When the bandwidth of frequency exceed the critical-band, the signal can not be perceived. The change of critical-band is same to that of Mel frequency. Below 1000 Hz, the Mel frequency is linear distribution, and it is logarithm distribution above 1000 Hz. To explain this, Fletcher [56] suggested that the auditory system behaves like a bank of overlapping bandpass filters. These filters are termed “auditory filters”. So a set of bandpass filters can be used to imitate hearing, thereby, condensing the influence of noisy circumstance. According to the different critical-band, the frequency of speech signal is divided into a set of pyramidal bandpass filters (Mel filter-banks). The lower, center, and upper band edges are all consecutive interesting frequencies. The FFT bins (number of acquisition points) are later combined so that each filter has unit weight, assuming a triangular weighting function. First, the height of the triangle is figured out and then each frequencies contribution. The weighted sums of all amplitudes of signals in the same critical-band is the output of a trilateral bandpass filter, and then a vector is obtained from all outputs by logarithmic amplitude compression computation. Finally, the vector is transformed to MFCC parameter by discrete cosine transform (DCT).

(1) Pre-emphasis

Pre-emphasis refers to a system process designed to increase, within a band of frequencies, the magnitude of some (usually higher) frequencies with respect to the magnitude of other (usually lower) frequencies in order to improve the overall signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR). Prior to analysis the input frequency range most susceptible to noise is boosted. It is also referred to as the intentional alteration of the amplitude versus frequency characteristics of the signal to reduce adverse effects
of noise in a communication system or recording system. Therefore, this step processes the passing of signal through a filter which emphasizes higher frequencies. This process results in energy increase of signal at higher frequency.

When a digitized speech signal, \( s(n) \), is passed through a first-order finite impulse response (FIR) filter, it is put into spectrally flatten signal and made less susceptible to finite precision effects later in the signal processing. The fixed first-order system is

\[
H(z) = 1 - 0.97z^{-1} \tag{2.2}
\]

Pre-emphasis is achieved with a pre-emphasis network which is essentially a calibrated filter given by the following equation

\[
s'(n) = s(n) - 0.97s(n - 1) \tag{2.3}
\]

(2) Windowing

Speech is a non-stationary signal where properties change quite rapidly over time. This is a natural and nice aspect but it makes the use of DFT impossible. For most phonemes (i.e. any of the perceptually distinct units of sound in a specified language that distinguish one word from another, for example \( p, b, d, \) and \( t \) in the English words \( pad, pat, bad, \) and \( bat \)) the properties of the speech remain invariant for a short period of time. Thus for a short window of time, traditional signal processing methods can be applied relatively successfully. Often we want to analyse a long signal in overlapping short sections called “windows.” For example, we may want to calculate an average spectrum, or to calculate a spectrogram. Unfortunately we cannot simply cut the signal into short pieces because this will cause sharp discontinuities at the edges of each section. Instead it is preferable to have smooth joins between sections and that is the function of the window.
In speech processing, the shape of the window function is not that crucial but usually some soft window like Hamming, Hanning, triangle, are desirable but not windows with right angles. The reason for this choice is same as in filter design, sideband lobes are substantially smaller and attenuation is substantially greater than in a rectangular window. Figure 2.3 shows four representative windows: Hamming, Hanning, triangular and rectangle to demonstrated the attenuation effects. The figures shows that attenuation for the Hanning window outside the passband is much greater compared to a rectangular one. Moreover, in some

![Figure 2.3: Attenuation of Hamming, Hanning, triangle and rectangle window types](image)

Figure 2.3: Attenuation of Hamming, Hanning, triangle and rectangle window types

analysis the signal is presumed to be 0 outside the window \[57, 58\], hence the rectangular window produces abrupt change in the signal, which usually distorts the analysis as demonstrated in Figure 2.4. The frame step is usually something like 1/2 or a 1/3 (of total samples), which allows some overlap to the frames.
The first window length sample frame starts at sample 0, the next window length sample frame starts at sample half the window length and so on. until the end of the speech file is reached. If the speech file does not divide into an even number of frames, we pad it with zeros so that it does.

Taking an implementation perspective, the windowing corresponds to what is understood in filter design as window-method: a long signal (of speech for instance or ideal impulse response) is multiplied with a window function of finite length, giving finite length weighted (usually) version of the original signal as illustrated in Figure 2.4.

The main purpose of windowing in spectral analysis is to be able to zoom into finer details of the signal as opposed to looking at the whole signal as such. Short Time Fourier Transforms (STFT) are very important in case of speech signal pro-
cessing where the information like pitch or the formant frequencies are extracted by analysing the signals through a window of specific duration. The width of the windowing function relates to how the signal is represented, that is, it determines whether there is good frequency resolution (frequency components close together can be separated) or if there is good time resolution (the time at which frequencies change). A wide window gives better frequency resolution but poor time resolution. A narrower window gives good time resolution but poor frequency resolution. These are called narrowband and wideband transforms respectively.

The next step in the processing is to window each individual frame. If we define the window as \( w(n) \), \( 0 \leq n \leq N - 1 \), then the result of Hanning window, has the form

\[
w(n) = 0.5(1 - \cos\left(\frac{2}{N - 1}\right)) = \text{hav}\left(\frac{2n\pi}{N - 1}\right),
\]  

(2.4)

The ends of the cosine just touch zero, so the side-lobes roll off at about 18 dB per octave [59].

\[
s_w(n) = s'(n)w(n),
\]  

(2.5)

where, \( s_w(n) \) is the signal after windowing.

(3) Fast Fourier transform (FFT)

To convert each frame of \( N \) samples from time domain into frequency domain FFT is used. The Fourier transform is used to convert the convolution of the glottal pulse and the vocal tract impulse response in the time domain [33]. The spectrum is calculated by using DFT at discrete windowed signal \( s_w(n) \) that is achieved by time sampling of a continuous signal \( s(n) \). In this case, \( s_w(n) \) is transformed into
spectrum coefficient by FFT:

\[ S(k) = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} s_w(n)e^{-j\frac{2\pi kn}{N}} \right|, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N - 1 \] (2.6)

(4) Mel filter-banks

The frequencies range in FFT spectrum is very wide and voice signal does not follow the linear scale. Each filter’s magnitude frequency response is triangular in shape and equal to unity (i.e. to 1 ) at the centre frequency and declines linearly to zero at centre frequency of two adjacent filters. Then, each filter output is the sum of its filtered spectral components [33]. Important frequency component on human hearing is stretched in the entire cepstrum. By passing through a Mel filter bank, the number of dimensions of the feature amount of mel frequency cepstrum is reduced and the load of calculation is reduced.

\[ S(k) \text{ is filtered with Mel filter-banks and the logarithm energy } X(m) \text{ is obtained.} \]

\[ X(m) = \ln \left( \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} S(k)H_m(k) \right), \quad 1 \leq m \leq M \] (2.7)

where \( m \) is the number of filters, \( H_m(k) \) is the weighted factor of the \( m^{th} \) filter in the frequency \( K \) and \( X(m) \) is the output of \( m^{th} \) filter.

(5) Discrete Fourier transform (DFT)

Discrete cosine transform (DCT) is the process to invert the log Mel spectrum into time domain using DCT. The result of the inversion is called Mel Frequency Cepstrum Coefficient. The set of coefficients is called acoustic vector. Therefore, each input speech waveform is transformed into a sequence of acoustic vectors.

The MFCC coefficients \( c(l) \) are obtained with DFT.

\[ c(l) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{M}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} X(m) \cos \frac{\pi(2m+1)l}{2M}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq L - 1 \] (2.8)
where $L$ is the total of MFCC vector dimension.

(6) Temporal derivative

The MFCC feature vector describes only the power spectral envelope of a speech frame, but it seems like speech would also have information in the dynamics i.e. what are the trajectories of the MFCC coefficients over time. It turns out that calculating the MFCC trajectories and appending them to the original feature vector increases ASR performance by quite a bit (if we have 13 MFCC coefficients, we would also get 13 delta coefficients, and 13 delta-delta coefficients which would combine to give a feature vector of length 39). Each of the 13 delta features represents the change between frames corresponding to cepstral or energy feature, while each of the 13 double delta features represents the change between frames in the corresponding delta features.

For a short-time cepstral sequence $c(l)[n]$, the delta-cepstral features $\Delta c(l)[n]$ are typically defined as

$$\Delta c(l)[n] = c(l)[n + m] - c(l)[n - m]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

where $n$ is the index of the analysis frames and in practice $m$ is approximately 2 or 3. Similarly, double-delta cepstral features are defined in terms of a subsequent delta-operation on the delta-cepstral features as

$$\Delta \Delta c(l)[n] = \Delta c(l)[n + m] - \Delta c(l)[n - m]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)
2.2.2 TVSF algorithm of direct TVLPC

In this sub section, the theory on the first of the proposed time varying speech features is presented. Figure 2.5 shows proposed speech features with direct converted TVLPC coefficients to cepstrum coefficients. The cepstrum coefficients from the two subsystems are then spliced into a single feature vector as depicted in the same figure.

![Figure 2.5: Feature estimation process with direct converted TVLPC coefficients.](image)

For all-pole signal modeling, the output signal \( s[n] \) at time \( n \) is modelled as a linear combination of the past \( p \) samples and the input \( u[n] \), with \( G \) as a gain constant i.e.,

\[
s[n] = - \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i s[n-i] + Gu[n].
\]  

(2.11)

The method of linear prediction (or linear predictive coding, LPC) is typically used to
estimate the coefficients and the gain factor. In this approach it is assumed that the signal is stationary over the time interval of interest and therefore the coefficients given in the model of Equation 2.11 are constants. In speech, for example, this is a reasonable approximation over short intervals (10 ∼ 30) msec. For the method of time-varying linear prediction, however, the prediction coefficients are allowed to change with time progress [29]. The time-varying model can be represented as

$$s[n] = - \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i[n]s[n-i] + Gu[n].$$  (2.12)

The assumption is that the signal is not stationary in an observed frame. Therefore, the time-varying nature of the coefficient $a_i[n]$ must be specified. We have chosen to model these coefficients as the linear combinations of some known functions of time $u_k[n]$: $$a_i[n] = \sum_{k=0}^{q} a_{ik}u_k[n].$$  (2.13)

In this model, the coefficients $a_{ik}$ are to be estimated from the speech signal, where the subscript $i$ is a reference to the time-varying coefficient $a_i[n]$, the subscript $k$ is a reference to the set of time functions $u_k[n]$ and $q$ is the basis function order. From Equations (2.12) and (2.13), the predictor equation is given by

$$\hat{s}[n] = - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{q} \hat{a}_{ik}u_k[n] \right) s[n-i].$$  (2.14)

and based on (2.12) and (2.14), the predictor error $e[n]$ is defined by

$$e[n] = s[n] - \hat{s}[n].$$  (2.15)

The short-time average prediction squared-error is defined as

$$E_n = \sum_{m} e_n^2(m) = \sum_{m} (s_n(m) - \hat{s}_n(m))^2.$$  (2.16)
The predictor error must be minimized with respect to each coefficient. A model is usually optimized for the data it was trained for. Therefore, the accurate measure of predictor error is significant in model assessment. It minimizes chances of choosing a model that may produce misleading results on testing data. We assumed a constant optimism such that the model that minimized training error was also the model that minimized the true predictor error for our testing data.

Because the number of coefficients increases linearly with number \((q + 1)\), of terms in the series expansion, there is a significant increase in the amount of computation for time-varying LPC as compared with traditional LPC where \((q = 0)\). There are four possible techniques (covariance-power, covariance Fourier, autocorrelation-power, and autocorrelation-Fourier) that can be used for time-varying LPC since there are two methods of summation (covariance and autocorrelation) and two sets of basis functions (power or Fourier series) that can be used for the prediction coefficients [29]. In this study, covariance-power series have been used.

If we assume \(q = 1\), then from (2.14) the following equation can be obtained

\[
\hat{s}[n] = -\sum_{i=1}^{p} (\hat{a}_{i,0}u_0[n] + \hat{a}_{i,1}u_1[n])s[n - i].
\] (2.17)

In addition, assume \(u_0[n] = 1\), and \(u_1[n] = n\), then

\[
\hat{s}[n] = -\sum_{i=1}^{p} (\hat{a}_{i,0} + \hat{a}_{i,1}(n))s[n - i].
\] (2.18)

Although (2.18) cannot represent the various types of time varying models, it is applied for the limited structure of a linearly and slowly time-variations on an AR model in an observed frame. We assume in this paper the above model can be employed for the representation of speech features in a frame.

Using this model, the following speech model is given from (2.18):

\[
\hat{s}[n] = -\sum_{i=1}^{p} \hat{a}_{i,0}s[n - 1] - n \sum_{i=1}^{p} \hat{a}_{i,1}s[n - i].
\] (2.19)
In (2.19), the first part of the right-hand side represents time-invariant factor and thus the second part represents time varying factor. Accordingly, if we can assume the observed speech signal can be represented as $s[n] \rightarrow s_0[n] + ns_1[n]$ where $s_0[n]$ shows a time-invariant factor and $s_1[n]$ shows a time varying factor, then we get

$$H_0^p(z^{-1}) = \frac{1}{1 + a_{1,0}z^{-1} + a_{2,0}z^{-2} + \ldots + a_{p,0}z^{-p}}, \quad (2.20)$$

$$H_1^p(z^{-1}) = \frac{1}{1 + a_{1,1}z^{-1} + a_{2,1}z^{-2} + \ldots + a_{p,1}z^{-p}}, \quad (2.21)$$

where $H_0^p(z^{-1})$ indicates a time invariant transfer function and $H_1^p(z^{-1})$ indicates a time varying transfer function.

### 2.2.3 TVSF algorithm of mel-based TVLPC

In this sub section, the theory on the second of the proposed time varying speech features is presented. Figure 2.6 shows proposed speech features with mel filtered TVLPC based MFCCs. The time varying linear predictive coefficients (TVLPC) are obtained by solving for covariance matrix of linear equations using Cholesky decomposition [61] for the time varying case. It should be mentioned here that in both types of TVSF only up to 12 of the static speech features of TVLPC are considered in this study.

The following stages are involved in estimating mel filtered TVLPC based MFCC speech features:

(i) Solve for covariance matrix of linear equations frame-by-frame without windowing using Cholesky decomposition.

(ii) Normalize the coefficients and therefore discard $c[0]$ coefficients.

(iii) Convert coefficients into filter coefficients using FFT.
(iv) Perform mel-filter bank and logarithmic transformations after obtaining the absolute values of coefficients.

(v) Compute for cepstrum coefficients using inverse FFT (IFFT).

The cepstrum coefficients from the two subsystems are then spliced into a single feature vector as depicted in Figure 2.6.

For all-pole signal modeling, the output signal $y[n]$ at time $n$ is modelled as a linear combination of the past $p$ samples and the input $u[n]$, with $G$ as a gain constant i.e.,

$$y[n] = - \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i y[n - i] + Gu[n]. \quad (2.22)$$

As earlier stated, for the method of time-varying linear prediction, the prediction
coefficients are allowed to change with time progress [29]. Once more, the time-varying model can be represented by

\[ y[n] = - \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i[n] y[n-i] + Gu[n]. \] \hspace{1cm} (2.23)

From equation (5.2), we assume

\[ a_i[n] = a_{1,i} + a_{2,i}(n), \] \hspace{1cm} (2.24)

then

\[ y[n] = - \sum_{i=1}^{p} (a_{1,i} + a_{2,i}(n)) y[n-i] + Gu[n]. \] \hspace{1cm} (2.25)

If

\[ Y(z^{-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y(i) z^{-i}, \]

\[ U(z^{-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u(i) z^{-i}, \] and

\[ \frac{\delta Y(z^{-1})}{\delta z} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y[i](-i) z^{-i-1}; \] \hspace{1cm} (2.26)

then

\[ Y(z^{-i}) = - \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{1,i} z^{-i}. Y(z^{-i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{2,i} z^{-i}. \frac{\delta Y(z^{-i})}{\delta z} + GU(z^{-i}). \]

Subsequently,

\[ (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{1,i} z^{-i}) Y(z^{-1}) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{2,i} z^{-i} \frac{\delta Y(z^{-1})}{\delta z} = GU(z^{-1}). \] \hspace{1cm} (2.27)

Let,

\[ A_1(z^{-1}) Y(z^{-1}) - A_2(z^{-1}) \frac{\delta Y(z^{-1})}{\delta z} = GU(z^{-1}), \] \hspace{1cm} (2.28)

where,
\[ A_1(z^{-1})Y(z^{-1}) \] is the time-invariant component and \[-A_2(z^{-1}) \frac{\delta Y(z^{-1})}{\delta z} \] is the time varying component.

If the time invariant component is the dominant factor, the time invariant component transfer function can be approximately obtained as follows,

\[ A_1(z^{-1})Y(z^{-1}) = GU(z^{-1}), \quad (2.29) \]

\[ H_1(z^{-1}) = \frac{1}{A_1(z^{-1})}, \quad (2.30) \]

However, if the time varying component is the dominant factor, then the time varying component transfer function can be approximately obtained as follows

\[ -A_2(z^{-1}) \frac{\delta Y(z^{-1})}{\delta z} = GU(z^{-1}), \quad (2.31) \]

\[ H_2(z^{-1}) = \frac{1}{A_2(z^{-1})} = \left( \frac{1}{a_{2,1}} \right) \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i=2}^{p} \frac{a_{2,i+1}}{a_{2,1}} z^{-i}}, \quad (2.32) \]

which can be represented as

\[ H_2(z^{-1}) = \frac{b_0}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} b_i z^{-i}}, \quad (2.33) \]

where \( b_0 = \frac{1}{a_{2,1}} \), and \( b_i = \frac{a_{2,i+1}}{a_{2,1}} \).

Converting from frequency to Mel scale is achieved using

\[ mel(f) = \begin{cases} 1125 \ln(1 + \frac{f}{700}) & \text{if } f > 1 \text{kHz} \\ f & \text{if } f < 1 \text{kHz} \end{cases} \quad (2.34) \]

where \( mel(f) \) is the Mel-frequency scale and \( f \) is the linear frequency. The purpose of the Mel-filter bank is to filter the magnitude spectrum that is passed to it and to give an array output called Mel-spectrum. Each of the values in the Mel-spectrum array corresponds to the result of the filtered magnitude spectrum through the individual Mel-filters. The mel filter banks are calculated as
\[ W_{\text{mel}}(k) = \begin{cases} 
0 & k < f(m-1) \\
\frac{k-f(m-1)}{f(m)-f(m-1)} & f(m-1) \leq k \leq f(m) \\
\frac{f(m+1)-k}{f(m+1)-f(m)} & f(m) \leq k \leq f(m+1) \\
0 & k > f(m+1) 
\end{cases} \quad (2.35) \]

where \( W_{\text{mel}} \) represent the triangular weighting function associated with \( k_{th} \) mel band in the mel scale and the Mel-spectrum is given by

\[ Y_i(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} |b_i(k)| \cdot W_{\text{mel}}(n,k) \quad (2.36) \]

where, \( K \) is number of frames, \( k \) is frame index, \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M-1 \) is mel-filter index and \( M \) is number of mel-filters. TVLPC based MFCC features are computed by taking the log of the mel-spectrum \( Y_i(n) \) and computing the inverse fast Fourier transform as

\[ C_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[ \log Y_i(n) \right] e^{\frac{2\pi j}{N} n i} \quad (2.37) \]

### 2.2.4 Influence of TVLPC coefficient gain

We compute the coefficients gain of time-varying components of the proposed approach. In the case of speech features estimated by mel filtered TVLPC based MFCC features, the gain is used as a benchmark in determining whether Equation (5.8) or (5.10) should be used in obtaining features in the proposed method. The same gain serves a purpose of checking the presence of variability in intra-frame coefficients. In addition

\[ \text{if} \log |b_0| > 0, \quad c'_i = c_i \]

\[ \text{otherwise} < 0, \quad c'_i = 0. \quad (2.38) \]
where $c_i$ is the TVLPC based MFCCs features. If gains are mostly large (positive) it confirms that time-varying is dominant and should be considered, otherwise only time invariant features should be considered.

The simulations are based on speech analysed using triangular mel filtered time varying linear predictive coefficients (TVLPC) of an auto-regressive model with pre-emphasis but without windowing. A speech segment, 128 samples (11.6ms) per frame of 7 frames, is extracted from the speech signal of each phrase, post voice activity detection (VAD) using short term energy and zero-crossing rate respectively. The VAD process eliminates silent parts. The time-varying LPC gain for each frame in the speech segment is then computed.

Shown in Figures 2.7, 2.8, and 2.9, are waveforms and time-varying LPC gains based on mel filtered TVLPC coefficients for three Japanese phrases; “genki”, “denki” and “tenki”. This computation process is done with the aim of validating the effectiveness of the proposed approach (i.e., by determining the presence of intra-frame variations) in differentiating similar speech phrases. The top graph in Figure 2.7 shows a waveform for “genki” while shown immediately below is the frame-by-frame tvLPC gain graph.
Figure 2.8: Waveform for 7 frames of a Japanese phrase /denki/ after VAD and pre-emphasis and magnitude spectrum of normalized tvLPC coefficients frame-by-frame.

Figure 2.9: Waveform for 7 frames of a Japanese phrase /tenki/ after VAD and pre-emphasis and magnitude spectrum of normalized tvLPC coefficients frame-by-frame.

Figure 2.8 and Figure 2.9 show the comparative results for “denki” and “tenki” in the same order respectively.
2.3 Proposed feature model

The selection of an adequate feature vector for signal detection and a robust decision rule is a challenging problem that affects the performance of voice activity detector (VAD) working under noise conditions. This entails a need for noise robust speech features. In order to achieve such features, the front-end system should be designed with precise and robust algorithms. In attempting to achieve such features, we begin by defining the feature models.

2.3.1 Definition of models

We formulate thirteen models, models 0 to 12 of feature vectors. In this study, models are formulated as follows: First, model 0 makes use of fast Fourier transform (FFT)-based MFCC only and is referred to as a conventional method. Models 1 to 12 are formulated as follows: FFT based MFCC coefficients consisting of 38-dimensional feature vectors are concatenated with TVLPC based MFCC coefficients. The number of TVLPC-based MFCC coefficients appended to FFT-based MFCC coefficients indicate the proposed model number. For example, appending a single TVLP-based MFCC coefficient to 38 coefficients of FFT-based MFCC make up model 1 and appending 2 TVLPC-based MFCC coefficients to 38 coefficients of FFT-based MFCC make up model 2 and so on. Therefore, in model 12, 12 of TVLPC-based MFCC coefficients are appended to the 38 cepstrum coefficients of model 0. The model definition is as follows: The model 0 is considered as a conventional approach (using FFT-based MFCC features only) and its 38-parameter feature vector consisting of 12 cepstral coefficients (without the zero-order coefficient) plus the corresponding 13 delta and 13 acceleration coefficients is given by \( b_1 b_2 \ldots b_{12} \Delta b_0 \Delta b_1 \ldots \Delta b_{12} \Delta^2 b_0 \Delta^2 b_1 \ldots \Delta^2 b_{12} \) where \( b_i, \Delta b_i \) and
\( \Delta^2 b_i \), are MFCC, delta MFCC and delta-delta MFCC, respectively. As for the proposed models, \( j(j = 1, \ldots, 12) \), we append the intra-frame cepstrum for time-varying coefficients \( [c_1^j, c_2^j, \ldots, c_j^j] \) to the model 0 feature vector depending on the model number as shown in Figure 2.10 and depicted in Figure 2.11. Given that the number of time-varying LP cepstrum coefficients appended to Model 0 represent the model number, it therefore, means that model 0 has none time-varying LP cepstrum coefficient appended to it.

### Figure 2.10: Proposed concatenated speech features, with model 0 as conventional approach.

2.4 Feature classification techniques

Two major steps in ASR are speech feature extraction and feature matching. Speech recognition involves comparing speech features of known and unknown speech signal in order to determine their similarity. According to the specifics of recognition system, speech feature comparison can be done using different approaches [62]. Usually the
early speech recognition systems use the pattern comparison for identification. In the training, all template parameters are extracted from every speech unit by the feature vector sequences of training. In the recognition, the testing speech feature vector is compared with all the pattern parameters. The speech unit in which similarity is highest is output. Because the speech signals are random, the length of time that several utterances for a particular word are pronounced by the same persons are different. Thus, in the pre-processing stage, the utterances must be stretched to same length of time before pattern comparison. In our case, previous researchers in our laboratory aligned the speech parameters into time with linear stretching method. All testing speech signals are stretched to length of the reference template. However, even though stretching has been done, practically speaking, the utterance is nonlinear stretching. The consonants and the transition segments from consonant to vowel keep the fixed lengths with less changes. But the stretching of vowel segments are large. Thus, the linear stretching method can not be aligned so accurately and the result is deficient. Hence, the more state-of-the-art
pattern comparison techniques are considered in this study.

### 2.4.1 Artificial neural networks

Artificial neural networks (ANNs) are used to calculate or approximate functions that may depend on a large number of unknown inputs. Artificial neural networks are represented as systems of "neurons" which are interconnected and send messages to each other. The connections have numeric weights that can be assigned or turned on experience, thus making neural nets adaptive to the inputs and capable of learning. ANNs inspired by biological neural networks i.e. the central nervous systems of animals, in particular the brain, are a family of statistical learning models [63] composed of simple elements operating in parallel. The elements are inspired by biological nervous systems. Like in nature, the network function is determined largely by the connections between elements. We can train a neural network to perform a particular function by adjusting the values of the connections (weights) between elements. Commonly, neural networks are adjusted, or trained, so that a particular input leads to a specific target output. An artificial Neural Network is used as recognition and identification method. The network has varying neurons input $n$, which receive input of front-end feature extraction system. Number of hidden layer varies from 1 to 4 layers and number of neurons in each hidden layer varies from 10 to 50 neurons.

The basic architecture of a general neural network will be divided into three types of layers - input, hidden, and output. The signal will flow stringently in a feed forward direction from input to output.

Neural networks have been trained to perform complex functions in various fields of application including pattern recognition, identification, classification, speech, vision, and control systems. In recent times neural networks have been trained to solve
problems that are difficult for conventional computers or human beings. In some cases, neural network pattern recognition tools (NPR tools) are used. Such tools perform supervised training and testing [64].

### 2.4.2 Hidden Markov model method

According to Juan and colleagues [45], in speech, like in pattern recognition, the main objective is not to obtain extremely representative models, but to eliminate recognition errors. The hidden Markov model (HMM) [8, 65–67] is where the speech signal can be well characterized as a double parametric random processes. One process is used to describe the statistical method of characterizing the spectral properties of the short-time nonstationary signal (or instantaneous character of signals), while the other process is used to describe the process of how a short-time stationary signal is made to transition to the next short-time stationary signal, as well as dynamic character of the speech signal. Based on the double random processes, HMM approach can identify the short-time stationary speech signals of difference parameter. It can also follow the process of transition between these speech signals.

The human’s process of speech also is a double stochastic processes. The speech signal is an observable sequence. It is the parameters sequences that the brain makes into phonemes, words or sentences by the grammar and human’s minds. Thus the parameters sequences is unobservable. Many experiments have shown that the HMM approach can describe the processing of phonation of speech signal very accurately.

All parameters of the HMM are defined as follows.

1. \( N \) is the number of states in the model. Although the states are hidden, for many practical applications there is often some physical significance attached to the sates or to sets of states of the model. The individual states are labeled as \( \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \). \( q_i \) is the
state at time $t$.

(2) $M$ is the number of distinct observation symbols in the per state. The observation
symbols are denoted as $V = \{v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_M\}$. The observation sequence is denoted as
$O = \{o_1,o_2,\ldots,o_T\}$. $T$ is the size of observation sequence.

(3) The state-transition probability distribution $A = \{a_{ij}\}$ where

$$a_{ij} = P[q_{i+1} = j|q_i = i] \quad 1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq N$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} = 1$$

(4) the observation symbol probability distribution $B = \{b_j(k)\}$, in which

$$b_j(k) = P[o_t = v_k|q_i = j] \quad 1 \leq K \leq M, 1 \leq j \leq N$$

(5) The initial state distribution $\pi = \{\pi_i\}$ in which

$$\pi_i = P[q_1 = i] \quad 1 \leq i \leq N$$

An HMM can be described with specification of two model parameters $N$ and $M$,
specification of observation symbols, and the specification of the three sets of probability
measures $A$, $B$, and $\pi$. For convenience, we use the compact notation

$$\lambda = (A,B,\pi)$$

With progress in time, the states can be reassigned to each other, it is also possible
to be in the same states. Every observation sequence has corresponding state-transition
probabilities for different states.

2.5 Fundamentals of voice activity detection

A number of noise reduction techniques have been developed to mitigate the effect of
the noise on the automated system performance. However, such systems often require
an estimate of the noise statistics obtainable by means of a precise voice activity detector (VAD) [68]. Speech and non-speech detection is a complex problem that affects numerous applications including mobile communication services [69], real-time speech transmission on the Internet [70] or noise reduction for digital hearing aid devices [71]. For example, a VAD achieves silence compression in modern mobile telecommunication systems reducing the average bit rate by using the discontinuous transmission (DTX) mode.

The different VAD methods include those based on energy thresholds [70], pitch detection [72], spectrum analysis [73], zero-crossing rate [74] [75], periodicity measure [76], or combinations of different features [77]. Figure 2.12 shows the waveforms for a Japanese phrase /genki/ before and after short term energy VAD. The periodicity is more clear after applying VAD. Desirable aspects of VAD algorithms include a good

![Speech Waveform for Japanese phrase /genki/](image1)

![Short Term Energy for Japanese phrase /genki/](image2)

Figure 2.12: Short Term energy contour of a Japanese speech phrase /genki/
decision rule, adaptability to background noise as well as low computational complexity [70]. Most of the VADS that formulate the decision rule on a frame by frame basis normally use decision smoothing algorithms in order to improve the robustness against the noise. The motivations for these approaches are found in the speech production process and the reduced signal energy of word beginnings and endings.

In the robust speech recognition, among many intentions of VAD are the following:

(i) Detecting the speech frame and background noise from the signal of speech frame. The VAD can influence the performance of ASR. If the speech segment is recognized to be noise, then some important speech data are lost and the recognition accuracy is decreased. Similarly, if the noise segment is recognized to be speech, then calculation cost and the error probability of comparison with reference patterns will be raised, the recognition accuracy is also decreased.

(ii) Reducing the computational cost. The computational cost is significant to low performance hardware, mobile device or embedded systems. The VAD can trim the nonspeech segments and abridge the speech coding, then the ASR system can not only improve the recognition performance but also time.

(iii) Some speech recognition algorithms require estimate of the spectrum characteristics of noise. The spectral subtraction (SS), e.g., the spectrum characteristics of noise is estimated with the detected noise.

2.6 Effects of noise on speech

Automatic speech recognition (ASR) is one of the dominant automation serving as a man-to-machine admix for real-world applications. In general, the performance of an
ASR system is usually degraded when there exist environmental mismatches between training and test phases [78]. The main causes of speech variation in real environments are the various kinds of background noises that affect the feature extraction stage in an ASR system [19]. In this sense, the front-end for robust speech recognition requires to reduce redundancy and inconstancy as well as the ability to capture important cues of speech signals, even in noisy environments.

2.6.1 Noise data

The 15 types of noises used in our experiments are based on Signal Processing Information Base (SPIB) noise data measured in field by Speech Research Unit (SRU) at Institute for Perception-TNO, Netherlands, United Kingdom, under the project number 2589-SAM (Feb. 1990) [79]. The names and brief descriptions of the noises are;

1. White Noise: acquired by sampling a high-quality analog noise generator (Wandel Goltermann), which results in equal energy per Hz bandwidth.

2. Pink Noise: acquired by sampling a high-quality analog noise generator (Wandel Goltermann), yielding equal energy per 1/3 octave.

3. HF Channel Noise: acquired from an HF radio channel after demodulation.

4. Speech Babble: acquired by recording samples from 1/2” BK condensor microphone onto digital audio tape (DAT). The source of this babble is 100 people speaking in a canteen. The room radius is over two meters; therefore, individual voices are slightly audible. The sound level during the recording process was 88 dBA.

5. Factory Floor Noise 1: acquired by recording samples from 1/2” BK condensor
microphone onto digital audio tape (DAT). This noise was recorded near plate-cutting and electrical welding equipment.

6. Factory Floor Noise 2: acquired by recording samples from 1/2” BK condensor microphone onto digital audio tape (DAT). This noise was recorded in a car production hall.

7. Cockpit Noise 1 (Buccaneer Jet Traveling at 190 knots): acquired by recording samples from 1/2” BK condensor microphone onto digital audio tape (DAT). The Buccaneer jet was moving at a speed of 190 knots, and an altitude of 1000 feet, with airbrakes out. The sound level during the recording process was 109 dBA.

8. Cockpit Noise 2 (Buccaneer Jet Traveling at 450 knots): acquired by recording samples from 1/2” BK condensor microphone onto digital audio tape (DAT). The Buccaneer was moving at a speed of 450 knots, and an altitude of 300 feet. The sound level during the recording process was 116 dBA.

9. Engine Room Noise (Destroyer engine): acquired by recording samples from microphone onto digital audio tape (DAT). The sound level during the recording process was 101 dBA.

10. Operations Room Background Noise (Destroyer operations): acquired by recording samples from microphone onto digital audio tape (DAT). The sound level during the recording process was 70 dBA.

11. Cockpit Noise 3 (F-16): acquired by recording samples from 1/2” BK condensor microphone onto digital audio tape (DAT). The noise was recorded at the co-pilot’s seat in a two-seat F-16, traveling at a speed of 500 knots, and an altitude of 300-600 feet. The sound level during the recording process was 103 dBA. It was
found that the flight condition had only a minor effect on the noise and, therefore, the reproduced noise can be considered to be representative.

12. Military Vehicle Noise (Leopard): acquired by recording samples from 1/2” BK condensor microphone onto digital audio tape (DAT). The Leopard 1 vehicle was moving at a speed of 70 km/h. The sound level during the recording process was 114 dBA.

13. Military Vehicle Noise (M109): acquired by recording samples from 1/2” BK condensor microphone onto digital audio tape (DAT). The M109 tank was moving at a speed of 30 km/h. The sound level during the recording process was 100 dBA.

14. Machine Gun Noise: acquired by recording samples from 1/2” BK condensor microphone onto digital audio tape (DAT). The weapon used was a .50 calibre gun fired repeatedly.

15. Vehicle Interior Noise (Volvo 340): acquired by recording samples from 1/2” BK condensor microphone onto digital audio tape (DAT). This recording was made at 120 km/h, in 4th gear, on an asphalt road, in rainy conditions.

Performance of ASR systems operating in noisy environments normally declines and non efficient speech/non-speech detection appears to be an important degradation source. 

In most researches of speech recognition, the standard practice is to record speech databases in relatively quiet environments. Thus, the better recognition accuracy can be obtained with the recognition system that speeches are trained or created to reference models in a controlled quite environment. As for the application of speech recognition system, the recognition environment is more complex. Under real (uncontrolled) noise environment, the recognition performance is drastically lower because there is a discrepancy between the feature vectors of the noisy speeches and the reference models,
which are created under controlled environment [80–82]. Figure 2.13 demonstrates the negative effects of noise on speech. The figure shows a segment of clean speech and
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Figure 2.13: The effects of increased babble noise on Japanese speech segment /genki/
effects of babble noise at 20 dB, 10 dB and 0 dB respectively on a Japanese speech phrase /genki/. The deterioration of speech phrase, by amplitude reduction, can easily be observed with increase in noise from 20 dB to 0 dB.

Figure 2.14(a) shows the effects of noise on both spectrum and cepstrum domains on a clean Japanese speech phrase /genki/. Figure 2.14(b), 2.14(c) and 2.14(d) show effects of babble noise in spectrum and cepstrum domains on Japanese speech phrase /genki/ at 20 dB, 10 dB and 0 dB SNR respectively. It can, clearly be seen that, just like in the case of time domain speech waveform, the spectrum domains as well as the cepstrum domains of speech are equally distorted with increase in noise.

Figure 2.15 shows the distributive effects of white noise on speech signal. It shows the power spectrum of a clean Japanese speech phrase /tenki/ and a noisy speech phrase at 10 dB white noise.
Figure 2.14: (a) The waveform, spectrum and cepstrum of clean speech /genki/ (b) Spectrum and Cepstrum with babble noise at 20 dB, (c) Spectrum and Cepstrum with babble noise at 10 dB (d) Spectrum and Cepstrum with babble noise at 0 dB SNR

The result goes to demonstrate the significance of reduction techniques to mitigate the noise effects even in cases where VAD is applied.

The robust noisy speech recognition has been a research focus in the last twenty years, the researches proposed many ways aimed at improving the performance of ASR system. But any perfect solution has not been proposed for robust ASR system. The major influences emanate from a number of factors including the following:

(i) The influence of double articulation. The acoustic feature of speech signal is closely related with the pronunciation. The acoustic features of speech signal...
Figure 2.15: (a) The power spectrum of clean speech /tenki/ (b) power spectrum with white noise at 10 dB SNR

may be made a great deal of different in different contexts and characterizes some language constructions. Moreover, two same utterances may express different meanings.

(ii) The influence of language complexity. The meaning of a sentence is closely related with the contexts and cultural background. Furthermore, the structure of sentence is variation in language grammar. But it is very difficult that the information of context are applied to ASR.

(iii) The influence of variation of pronunciation for speaker himself. For the factors of age, sentiment, health condition, speaking speed and so on, the acoustic features are different between utterances of same word.

(iv) The influence of utterances for different speakers. The utterances between different speakers have big difference, because their vocal cords are different.

(v) The influence of ambient environment. The speech signal can be distorted easily by the noise, reverberation, microphone, transmission channel and many other
environmental related sources of disturbances.

Noise reduction techniques are aimed at reducing the noise and extracting the real speech from the noisy speech. They possibly attempt to increase the acoustic feature of real speech signal, in order to improve the recognition accuracy of ASR system. The enhancement mostly takes place in the cepstral domain as discussed later.
Chapter 3

Voice Activity Detection

3.1 VAD fundamentals

The performance of most of the speech processing systems is degraded by convolutional and environmental noises both of which can jointly be removed, prior to recognition, through feature enhancement techniques [83]. Other techniques include use of Taylor series [84], RASTA [85], and by using admix of RASTA-PLP [86] among many other methods. Despite the much progress made in this research area, a number of technical challenges still inhibit automated systems from meeting the modern applications demands especially in speech recognition [68]. Numerous noise reduction techniques often require an estimate of the noise statistics obtained by means of a precise voice activity detector (VAD).

An important problem in many areas of speech processing is the determination of presence of speech periods in a given signal. This task can be identified as a statistical hypothesis problem whose main purpose is determining to which category or class a given signal belongs. This classification task is non trivial since the increasing level of background noise degrades the classifier effectiveness.
The human’s speech is incohesive. Thus, the ASR system becomes effective once speech is detected. Usually, only the voice activity detection (VAD) algorithm is in execution in order to reduce the computation cost of ASR system, when speech signal is insignificant. Furthermore, the accurate detection of speech begin and endpoints is important in order to improve the recognition accuracy of ASR system. Thus, VAD is a very important technique problem, especially in high ambient noise environments. The accurate endpoint detection of speech is a simple problem in the most favorable environments. Therefore, VADs are frequently used in a number of applications including speech coding, speech enhancement and speech recognition. A precise VAD extracts a set of discriminative speech features from the noisy speech and formulates the decision in terms of well defined rule. In practice, however, one or more problems usually arise that make accurate VAD challenging, particularly in such speech-correlated noise as reverberation and reflection as well as in an uncorrelated (additive which includes both stationary and unstationary) noise. VAD may also prove uneffective in nonstationary environments (e.g., the presence of door slams, irregular road noise, car horns, irregular conversations) coupled with speech interference (as from TV, radio, thermal appliances). Other noise contributing factors are the distortion introduced by such input equipment as microphone (transmitter), distance to the microphone, filter, transmission system when the speech is sent, (e.g., distortion, noise, echo, etc.) and distortions from the recording equipment [87]. In this regard, many VAD methods have been proposed in speech recognition systems.

VAD algorithm typically relies on the short-time energy and zero-crosssing rate [88]. The associated techniques use different features of syllables in the time-domain and have a low computational complexity. In this chapter, we later discuss these kinds of VAD and propose the VAD algorithm for our study experiments.
3.2 Short-time energy algorithm

The first natural division of all signals is into either stationary or non-stationary categories. Stationary signals are constant in their statistical parameters over time. If you look at a stationary signal for a few moments and then wait an hour and look at it again, it would look essentially the same, that is, its overall level would be about the same and its amplitude distribution and standard deviation would be about the same. Rotating machinery generally produces stationary vibration signals. Stationary signals are further divided into deterministic and random signals. Random signals are unpredictable in their frequency content and their amplitude level, but they still have relatively uniform statistical characteristics over time. Examples of random signals are rain falling on a roof, jet engine noise, turbulence in pump flow patterns and cavitation [89].

Speech is produced from a time varying vocal tract system with time varying excitation. As a result, the speech signal is non-stationary in nature. Most of the signal processing tools studied in signals and systems and signal processing assume time invariant system and time invariant excitation, i.e. stationary signal. Since the speech signal is nonstationary in nature, the way stationary signal is processed cannot be used to process a speech signal. Thus a need for a different way of processing speech.

An engineering solution proposed for processing speech was to make use of existing signal processing tools in a modified fashion. To be more specific, the tools can still assume the signal under processing to be stationary. Speech signal may be stationary when it is viewed in blocks of 10 ~ 30 ms. Hence to process speech by different signal processing tools, it is viewed in terms of 10 ~ 30 ms. Such a processing is termed as Short Term Processing (STP).

The speech signal in 10 ~ 30 ms time can be as a quasi-steady signal (as short-time steady state), because the parameters of spectrum and physical characteristics are almost
Therefore, a speech signal can be partitioned into many short frames and each frame is as a detecting unit. According to the energies of speech and nonspeech frame, short-time energy based VAD approach can identify endpoints of any speech signal, because the energy associated with voiced region is large compared to unvoiced region and silence region will have least or negligible energy [91–94]. Thus short term energy can be used for voiced, unvoiced and silence classification of speech.

Short Term Processing (STP) of speech can be performed either in time domain or in frequency domain. The particular domain of processing depends on the information from the speech that we are interested in. For instance, parameters like short term energy, short term zero crossing rate and short term autocorrelation can be computed from the time domain processing of speech. Alternatively, short term Fourier transform can be computed from the frequency domain processing of speech. Each of these parameters give different information about speech that can be used for automatic processing.

The samples of a waveform of input speech signal is defined as $x(m)$, $m$ is the sample index. The short-time square energy of speech signal $E_{sqr}(n)$ is defined as

$$E_{sqr}(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} |x(m)\omega(m-n)|^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

This measurement can in a way be used in distinguishing between voiced and unvoiced segments, since unvoiced speech has significantly smaller short-term energy. For The length of the window a practical choice is $10 \sim 30$ ms that is $160 \sim 320$ samples for sampling frequency 16kHz. This way the window will include a suitable number of pitch periods so that the result will be neither too smooth nor too detailed. The short-time average amplitude $E_{avg}(n)$ is defined as

$$E_{avg}(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} |x(m)|\omega(m-n).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)
The average magnitude calculation does not emphasize large signal levels so much as short-time energy since its calculation does not include squaring.

The short-time logarithm energy $E_{log}(m)$ is defined as

$$E_{log}(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \log[x(m)\omega(m-n)]^2. \quad (3.3)$$

The $\omega(n)$ is the window function which is small width in samples, it represents the frame size $n$. Usually the rectangular, Hamming and Hanning window functions are used in speech signal processing. The rectangular window function is defined as

$$\omega(n) = \begin{cases} 
1 & 0 \leq n \leq N - 1 \\
0 & \text{other}
\end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

The Hamming window function is defined as

$$\omega(n) = \begin{cases} 
0.54 - 0.64\cos\left(\frac{2\pi n}{N-1}\right) & 0 \leq n \leq N - 1 \\
0 & \text{other}
\end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

The Hanning window function is defined as

$$\omega(n) = \begin{cases} 
0.5(1 - \cos\left(\frac{2\pi n}{N-1}\right)) & 0 \leq n \leq N - 1 \\
0 & \text{other}
\end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

The short-time square energy $E_{sqr}(n)$, logarithm energy $E_{log}(n)$, and average amplitude $E_{avg}(n)$ can all embody the signal strength, but their characteristics are different. To embody the dynamic range of amplitude, the $E_{avg}$ is better than $E_{sqr}$ and $E_{log}$. To embody the level difference between unvoiced and consonants, the $E_{avg}$ is worse than $E_{sqr}$ and $E_{log}$. Hence we use the short-time square energy $E_{sqr}$ and hanning window function to detect endpoint in the chapter.
3.3 Short term autocorrelation algorithm

In signal processing, cross-correlation can be used for finding the similarity among the two sequences and refers to the case of having two different sequences for correlation. On the other hand, autocorrelation refers to the case of having only one sequence for correlation. In autocorrelation, the interest is in observing how similar the signal characteristics is with respect to time. Autocorrelation is a mathematical tool for finding repeating patterns, such as the presence of a periodic signal obscured by noise. This is achieved by providing different time lag for the sequence and computing with the given sequence as reference.

The autocorrelation is a very useful tool in case of speech processing. However due to the non-stationary nature of speech, a short term version of the autocorrelation is often needed. The autocorrelation of a stationary sequence $r_{xx}(k)$ is given by

$$r_{xx}(k) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} x(m).x(m+k)$$

(3.7)

The corresponding short term autocorrelation of a non-stationary sequence $s(n)$ is defined as

$$r_{ss} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} s_w(m).s_w(k+m)r_{ss}(n,k) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (s(m)w(n-m).s(k+m).w(n-k+m))$$

(3.8)

where $s_w(n) = s(m).w(n-m)$ is the windowed version of $s(n)$. Thus for a given windowed segment of speech, the short term autocorrelation is a sequence. The nature of short term autocorrelation sequence is primarily different for voiced and unvoiced segments of speech. Hence information from the autocorrelation sequence can be used for discriminating voiced and unvoiced segments.
Applying autocorrelation to a voice segment of speech shows periodicity while applying autocorrelation to unvoiced segment of speech does not show periodicity as shown in Figure 3.1 and Figure 3.2 respectively. The property of the short-time au-

Figure 3.1: Waveform and Autocorrelation of 30 ms Voiced portion of speech /genki/

Figure 3.2: Waveform and Autocorrelation of 30 ms Unvoiced portion of speech /genki/
to correlation to reveal periodicity in a signal is demonstrated. Periodicity can be defined as perpetually spaced frequencies. We note how the autocorrelation of the voiced speech segment retains the periodicity. On the other hand, the autocorrelation of the unvoiced speech segment looks more like noise. In general, autocorrelation is considered as a robust indicator of periodicity.

### 3.4 Zero-crossing rate algorithm

Sometimes, as earlier indicated short-time energy algorithms are not accurate for VAD under noisy conditions. The human’s pronunciation include the unvoiced and voiced. The voiced is produced by the vibration of the vocal chords. The amplitude of voiced is high and periodicity is apparently. The unvoiced is without vibration of the vocal chords, it is produced by the friction, impact or plosive that the suction of air into the mouth. Thus, the short-time energy is lower than that of voiced. It can be identified into nonspeech easily by short-time energy method. The amplitude of unvoiced segment is lower than that of voiced segment, and it is almost same to that of nonspeech segment. Hence, they are very difficult to identify with just our eyes. If the nonspeech and unvoiced segments are zoomed, we discover that the waveform of unvoiced segment fluctuates so quickly around zero level value, and the number of crossing zero level value for nonspeech segment is fewer. The zero crossing rate can help improving the VAD under noisy conditions.

Let $w$ be the window, and $n$ be the sample that the window is centred on, with $N$ being the window size. We denote $\text{sgn}[]$ as the sign number function and $x(n)$ as the discrete audio signal. The zero crossing rate is the rate at which the signal changes i.e.
goes from negative to positive and vice versa. The zero-crossing rate is defined as

\[
ZCR(n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} |\text{sgn}[x(m)] - \text{sgn}[x(m - 1)]| \omega(m - n),
\]

(3.9)

where the \(\text{sgn}[\cdot]\) is symbol function, it is defined as

\[
\text{sgn}[x] = \begin{cases} 
1 & x \geq 0 \\
-1 & x < 0 
\end{cases}
\]

(3.10)

The \(\omega(n)\) usually uses the rectangular window function.

Figures 3.3, 3.4, and 3.5 show the waveform, short-time energy and zero-crossing rate of frames for a clean Japanese speech phrase “genki” respectively.

\[\text{Figure 3.3: Waveform of word of clean speech /genki/}\]

Figure 3.6, Figure 3.7 and Figure 3.8 show the waveform, short-time energy and zero-crossing rate of frames for a noisy Japanese speech phrase “genki” at 10 dB white noise respectively. The number of crossing zero level value can be used to distinguish the endpoint of speech signal. The method is described as zero-crossing rate (ZCR) [95–98].
Figure 3.4: Short-time energy of frames of clean speech phrase /genki/

Figure 3.5: Zero-crossing rate of frames of clean speech phrase /genki/
Figure 3.6: Noisy speech signal at 10 dB

Figure 3.7: Short-time energy of frames of a noisy speech signal at 10 dB SNR
Figure 3.8: Zero-crossing rate of frames of a noisy speech signal at 10 dB SNR
Chapter 4

Noise Reduction

4.1 Robust speech technology

Now some of the robust speech feature enhancements techniques are presented. First the purpose of each technique is stated and later some detail are provided. Spectral subtraction (SS) is usually applied in time domain for noise suppression. Cepstral mean subtraction (CMS) is a normalization approach to compensate for the acoustic channel in cepstrum domain. Dynamic range adjustment (DRA) corrects the difference by normalizing amplitude of speech features in cepstrum domain. Running spectrum filtering (RSF) is a high-pass infinite impulse response (IIR) filter applied in the modulation spectrum domain. Relative Spectral transform (RASTA) and Running spectrum analysis (RSA) are band-pass filters used to remove nonspeech components in modulation spectrum domain.

4.1.1 Subtraction methods

The mean types of noise subtraction techniques are time domain based and cepstrum domain based. Spectrum subtraction is applied in time domain while cepstral mean
subtraction is applied in cepstrum domain.

4.1.1.1 Spectral Subtraction (SS)

Spectrum Subtraction (SS) method assumes that the noise and speech are uncorrelated and additive in the time domain. The method also assumes that the noise characteristics change slowly relative to those of speech signals, such that the noise spectrum estimated during a non-speech period can be used for suppressing the noise contaminating the speech. SS reduces DC components of modulation spectrum which corresponds to power spectrum [99]. The method is simple and efficient for stationary or slowly varying wide-band additive noise, but with some challenges. For example, subtraction techniques cannot be performed in the logarithmic spectrum domain where noise, even uncorrelated with the signal in the time domain, becomes signal-dependent [100]. As reported in [101] that the application of spectral subtraction before mel-filter bank appears to give better result than after mel-filtering. It has also been reported in [102] that the over-estimation or flooring techniques make spectral subtraction a non-linear operation therefore by enhancing noisy speech using spectral subtraction, a signal with better features and less variability is obtained, at the expense of signal distortion.

4.1.1.2 Cepstrum mean subtraction (CMS)

Speech recognition systems often suffer from multiple sources of variability due to corrupted speech signal features [103]. In compensating for distortions, most speech recognizers use normalization methods. Such adaptation algorithms include cepstrum mean subtraction (CMS) [104]. CMS removes channel discrepancies in the cepstral domain [105] by computing the sample mean of the cepstrum vector of an utterance and then subtracting this mean from the cepstrum vector at each frame.
If the signal is in the matrix $X$, you make it zero-mean by removing the average as:

$$X' = X - \text{mean}(X(:,)),$$

(4.1)

CMS is a simple method of reducing noise [106–110] by reducing DC components of modulation spectrum which corresponds to logarithmic power spectrum. White noise is uniformly distributed in a spectrum. After feature extraction, the mel frequency cepstrum coefficient (MFCC) feature vectors are obtained in the cepstral domain. In a long-time range, almost all speech features are changed with the progress of time. On the other hand, the time-invariant noise features in such a range are considered as almost constant. The subtraction of the time-invariant features from noisy speech features result in the reduction of noise components. We assume that a speech waveform is divided into $h$ short frames. $f_i(t)$ is the $i^{th}$ cepstrum dimensional component of the $i^{th}$ frame.

Noise reduction is then executed as Eq. (4.2).

$$f_i'(t) = f_i(t) - \frac{1}{h} \sum_{j=1}^{h} f_j(t)$$

(4.2)

CMS is performed in the running spectrum after removing the phase component by an absolute value conversion and performing a logarithmic conversion.

### 4.1.2 Dynamic range adjustment (DRA)

The difference between the maximum and minimum values of the time varying cepstral trajectory over frame axis is called the dynamic range of cepstrum. This dynamic range of speech energy drastically changes under additive noises by causing a decline in cepstral dynamic ranges. This results in degraded speech recognition performance. For example, adding white noise to a speech waveform degrades the speech waveform hence difficulty to observe compared to clean speech. Dynamic range adjustment (DRA) tends to minimize the variability of noise feature values [111]. In the DRA, each coefficient
of a speech feature vector is adjusted proportionally to its maximum amplitude. This in turn helps reduce noise corruption as well as preserve important speech characteristics.

Dynamic range adjustment (DRA) can be used to compensate for the difference in amplitude using the following normalization [112–114].

\[
f'_i(t) = \frac{f_i(t)}{\arg \max_{j=1,\ldots,h} |f_j(t)|}
\]

DRA makes it possible to obtain similar cepstrum data for clean speech and noisy speech after CMS or RSA. However, the shapes of waveform are kept same to original one.

### 4.1.3 High-pass filtering

Filters are a class of systems which have useful frequency response shapes. A low-pass filter removes all spectral components of an input signal that have frequencies higher than the cut-off frequency. A high-pass filter removes spectral components lower than some cut off frequency. A band-pass filter removes spectral components that occur at frequencies outside a given range: it only lets through components within a band of frequencies.

#### 4.1.3.1 Running spectrum filtering (RSF)

The modulation spectra discussed in this section is based on the similar works done by other researchers elsewhere [115] [116] [117] [118] [119].

For a specific time waveform at the fixed frequency, a modulation spectrum can be calculated that is the Fourier transform data from the specific time waveform. RSF is a noise reduction method that exploits the difference of temporal variability between the spectra of speech and noise signals to remove the noise [120–126]. It is reported that most of the noise energy is distributed in the low-frequency band of the modulation...
spectrum. RSF is similar to relative spectral (RASTA), which is proposed by Hermansky et al. [127–129].

In order to cut-off the effect of input signal, the RSF uses FIR filter instead of IIR filter [130].

The transfer function of FIR filter is

\[ y(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{L} b_k z^{-n} x(t) \]  

(4.4)

Where \( b_k \) is coefficients of filter. In order to get the sharp filter, the order of FIR filter must be very big. In some reported system, the order is usually 240. If the order is big, then the calculation cost is big. Hence, the calculation time is big. The higher order can affect the performance of ASR system.

4.1.4 Band-pass Filters

Band-pass filters are particularly useful for analysing the spectral content of signals. We can use a number of band-pass filters to isolate each frequency region of the signal in turn so that we can measure the energy in each region: effectively computing a spectrum.

4.1.4.1 Relative Spectral (RASTA)

RASTA is that speech signal is filtered by a band-pass filter in each frequency channel, according to time tract of speech parameter. RASTA uses a band-pass filter with a sharp spectral zero at the zero frequency to cut-off slowly changing or steady-state factors in speech spectrum.

RASTA is a technique that applies a band-pass filter to the energy in each frequency sub band in order to smooth over short-term noise variations and to remove any constant offset resulting from static spectral coloration in the speech channel [129]. RASTA
is usually used to logarithm or power spectra. It also can be applied to cepstrum or power spectra, which is transformed through expanding static nonlinear transformation.

RASTA uses an infinite impulse response (IIR) filter \([131–133]\). Its transfer function is

\[
H(z) = G \times \frac{z^{N-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{N-1}{2} - n \right) z^{-n}}{1 - \rho z^{-1}} \tag{4.5}
\]

Usually, the \(N = 5\), \(G = 0.1\), and \(\rho = 0.98\). Then,

\[
H(z) = 0.1z^4 \times \frac{2 + z^{-1} - z^{-3} - 2z^{-4}}{1 - 0.98z^{-1}} \tag{4.6}
\]

The function of conventional IIR filter is

\[
y(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{L} b_k z^{-n} - \sum_{k=1}^{M} a_k x(t) \tag{4.7}
\]

Where \(x(t)\) is input signal, \(y(t)\) is output signal, \(a_k\) and \(b_k\) are coefficients of filter. The IIR filter is also defined as \(L^{th}\)-order difference equation by Eq. (4.7)

\[
y(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} a_k x(t-k) - \sum_{k=1}^{L} b_k y(t-k) \tag{4.8}
\]

It is known that the output value is calculated with current input and last output values. Hence, the effect of steady background noise is still residue after many iterations \([134]\).

4.1.4.2 Running spectrum analysis (RSA)

Both the perceptual and automatic speech recognition (ASR) experiments indicate that some components of modulation spectrum are more important for speech communication than others. In addition, research has shown that the linguistically dominant factors of the speech signal may occupy different parts of the modulation spectrum than do
some non-linguistics factors such as steady additive noise as explained by Noboru et al. [135]. This suggests that a proper processing of modulation spectrum of speech may improve quality of noisy speech. Investigations on possibilities of the modulation spectrum domain for enhancement of noisy speech, by Hermansky et al. [136] and Avendano et al. [137] support dominance of modulation spectrum components in the vicinity of 2-8 Hz in speech communication.

After Fourier transform in the running spectrum, the influence of multiplicative noise is concentrated on the modulation frequency lower than 1 Hz. Some researchers suggest that an important component in speech recognition is present in the range of about 1 to 10 Hz modulation frequency. The RSA feature enhancement techniques is used in order to remove nonspeech components over 15 Hz in modulation spectrum domain thereby emphasizing speech features Hayasaka [116].

The application of RSA for the high frequency components in the modulation spectrum domain is consistent with the theory explained by Ohnuki et al. [138] and by Wada et al. [139]. In their case, modulation frequency range mostly considered is from 0 Hz to 15 Hz. However, such a range is limited by number of frequency components and as such, it is impossible to obtain sufficient resolution in case of few speech feature vectors. However, modulation frequency resolution can be increased by using a given modulation spectrum repeatedly. Assuming that we obtain $M$ speech feature vectors defined as:

$$s_i = [s_{i,1} s_{i,2} s_{i,3} ... s_{i,L}]^T.$$  

(4.9)

where $L$ denotes the number of speech features, $i = 1,...,M$, and $T$ stands for transpose. The speech features are estimated as time varying linear prediction coefficients (TVLPC) based mel-frequency cepstrum components. Instead of the discrete Fourier transform (DFT), the following equation is applied:
\[ P_j(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{pM} \hat{s}_{[i,M],j} e^{-j\pi ki/pM}. \]  

(4.10)

where \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, L \), \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, pM \), and \( \hat{s}_{[i,M],j} \) is the modulation spectrum after RSA. It is equally possible to reduce the components at the required modulation frequencies through the total number of components sampled into the spectrum domain.
Chapter 5

Robust speech feature extraction

5.1 Speech features based on tvLPC

In this section the use of time-varying TVLPC with FFT based MFCC for speech recognition is explained. In order to find some statistically relevant information from incoming data, it is important to have mechanisms for reducing the information of data segment in the audio signal into a relatively small number of parameters, or features. In this part of study, there are two systems for feature extraction. The left side of Figure 2.6 represents the conventional approach based on MFCC [140] [141]. The right side of the same figure are our newly introduced mel filtered TVLPC based MFCC method. We explain how such speech features are obtained.

The following are the stages in estimating mel filtered TVLPC coefficients:

(1) Pre-emphasis: In this stage, the speech signal is subjected to a similar process to the one explained in Equation (1).

(2) Frame Blocking: This processing can be likened to the one in Equation (2). However, in this case, both the frame length and shift length are equal. Typically an autoregressive (AR) model is fit to acoustic data on a per-segment basis (following
application of a smooth window function), thereby enabling piecewise variation in parameter estimates. However, a much flexible alternative is to allow the autoregressive coefficients themselves vary independently of the analysis scale [142]. This idea is aimed at retaining the variation in each frame.

(3) Compute TVLPC coefficients: This is our proposed method of computing TVLPC coefficients referred to in Figure 2.6.

For all-pole signal modeling, the output signal $y[n]$ at time $n$ is modelled as a linear combination of the past $p$ samples and the input $u[n]$, with $G$ as a gain constant that is,

$$y[n] = -\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i y[n-i] + Gu[n].$$

(5.1)

For the method of time-varying linear prediction, the prediction coefficients are allowed to change with time progress [29]. The time-varying model can be represented by

$$y[n] = -\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i[n] y[n-i] + Gu[n].$$

(5.2)

From equation (5.2), we assume

$$a_i[n] = a_{1,i} + a_{2,i}(n),$$

(5.3)

in each frame, the coefficient values $a_{2,i}$ are dependent on $n$ value which is reinitialised (set to zero) at the start of each frame, then

$$y[n] = -\sum_{i=1}^{p} (a_{1,i} + a_{2,i}(n)) y[n-i] + Gu[n].$$

(5.4)

If

$$Y(z^{-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y(i)z^{-i},$$
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\[ U(z^{-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u(i)z^{-i}, \text{ and} \]

\[ \frac{\delta Y(z^{-1})}{\delta z} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y[i](-i)z^{-i-1}, \quad (5.5) \]

then \( Y(z^{-i}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{1,i}z^{-i}Y(z^{-i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{2,i}z^{-i}\frac{\delta Y(z^{-i})}{\delta z} + GU(z^{-i}). \)

Subsequently,

\[
(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{1,i}z^{-i})Y(z^{-1}) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{2,i}z^{-i}\frac{\delta Y(z^{-1})}{\delta z} = GU(z^{-1}). \quad (5.6)
\]

Let,

\[ A_1(z^{-1})Y(z^{-1}) - A_2(z^{-1})\frac{\delta Y(z^{-1})}{\delta z} = GU(z^{-1}), \quad (5.7) \]

where,

\( A_1(z^{-1})Y(z^{-1}) \) is the time-invariant component and \(-A_2(z^{-1})\frac{\delta Y(z^{-1})}{\delta z} \) is the time varying component.

If the time invariant component is the dominant factor, the time invariant component transfer function can be approximately obtained as follows,

\[ A_1(z^{-1})Y(z^{-1}) = GU(z^{-1}), \quad (5.8) \]

if we assume that \( GU(z^{-1}) \) is input and \( Y(z^{-1}) \) is output then

\[ H_1(z^{-1}) = \frac{1}{A_1(z^{-1})}. \quad (5.9) \]

However, if the time varying component is the dominant factor, then the time varying component transfer function can be approximately obtained as follows

\[ -A_2(z^{-1})\frac{\delta Y(z^{-1})}{\delta z} = GU(z^{-1}), \quad (5.10) \]
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\[
H_2(z^{-1}) = \frac{1}{A_2(z^{-1})} = \left( \frac{1}{a_{2,1}} \right) \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i=2}^{p} \frac{a_{2,i+1}}{a_{2,1}} z^{-i}}, \tag{5.11}
\]

which can be represented as

\[
H_2(z^{-1}) = \frac{b_0}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} b_i z^{-i}}, \tag{5.12}
\]

where \( b_0 = \frac{1}{a_{2,1}} \), and \( b_i = \frac{a_{2,i+1}}{a_{2,1}} \).

In this paper, \( b_0 \) represents the frame-by-frame TVLPC gain earlier introduced and whose purpose is to determine whether our TVLPC algorithm is able to retain the intra-frame variations.

(4) Absolute value and mel filter bank transformation: This part explains steps 4 and 5 of the proposed method. To apply mel filter transformation the signal value must be positive. Negative values lead to a problem when modulation spectrum is converted to logarithmic spectrum for obtaining cepstrum.

Converting from frequency to Mel scale is achieved using

\[
\text{mel}(f) = \begin{cases} 
1125 \ln (1 + \frac{f}{700}) & \text{if } f > 1 \text{kHz} \\
f & \text{if } f < 1 \text{kHz},
\end{cases} \tag{5.13}
\]

where \( \text{mel}(f) \) is the Mel-frequency scale and \( f \) is the linear frequency. The purpose of the Mel-filter bank is to filter the magnitude spectrum that is passed to it and to give an array output called Mel-spectrum. Each of the values in the Mel-spectrum array corresponds to the result of the filtered magnitude spectrum.
through the individual Mel-filters. The mel filter banks are calculated as

\[ W_{\text{mel}}(k) = \begin{cases} 
0 & k < f(m-1) \\
\frac{k-f(m-1)}{f(m)-f(m-1)} f(m-1) \leq k \leq f(m) \\
\frac{f(m+1)-k}{f(m+1)-f(m)} f(m) \leq k \leq f(m+1) \\
0 & k > f(m+1),
\end{cases} \] (5.14)

where \( W_{\text{mel}} \) represent the triangular weighting function associated with \( k_{th} \) mel band in the mel scale and the Mel-spectrum is given by

\[ Y_i(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} |b_i(k)| W_{\text{mel}}(n, k), \] (5.15)

where, \( K \) is number of frames, \( k \) is frame index, \( n = 0, 1, 2, ..., M-1 \) is mel-filter index and \( M \) is number of mel-filters.

(5) Logarithmic transformation and IFFT: The logarithm serves to transform a multiplication into an addition. It is part of the computation of the cepstrum. The function of the log operation is also to compress the data in a way similar to the human auditory system. Since the vector obtained after logarithmic transformation is a complex one, the IFFT cannot undo a previous FFT. Therefore, our complex result has the imaginary part. The absolute value is applied as a sanity check, to ensure the imaginary parts are negligibly small.

TVLPC based MFCC features are computed by taking the log of the mel-spectrum \( Y_i(n) \) and computing the inverse fast Fourier transform as

\[ C_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[ \log Y_i(n) \right] e^{2\pi j ni}. \] (5.16)

The FFT based cepstrum coefficients are later combined with TVLPC cepstrum coefficients to form a single feature vector, as shown in Figure 2.6.
5.1.1 Feature enhancement

Feature enhancement techniques are applied in time series in order to enhance the features. Additive noise components can be removed with band-pass filtering on running spectrum domain. Running spectrum analysis (RSA) reduces more of unnecessary components with band-pass characteristics. It is applied for the high frequency components in the modulation spectrum domain to remove nonspeech components [115] [116]. Dynamic range adjustment (DRA) tends to minimize the variability of noise feature values. In the DRA, each coefficient of a speech feature vector is adjusted proportionally to its maximum amplitude. This in turn helps reduce noise corruption as well as preserve important speech characteristics [111] [113] [114]. Cepstrum mean subtraction (CMS) removes channel discrepancies in the cepstral domain by computing the sample mean of the cepstrum vector of an utterance and then subtracting this mean from the cepstrum vector at each frame. By cepstral mean removal, components corresponding to the characteristics such as stationary acoustic system is removed [109] [110].

5.1.2 Model formulation

Speech feature models are formulated as follows. Five models are formulated, models 0 to 4 of feature vectors. In this study, models are formulated as follows: First, model 0 makes use of FFT based MFCC only and is referred to as a conventional method. Models 1 to 4 are formulated as follows: FFT based MFCC coefficients consisting of 38-dimensional feature vectors are concatenated with TVLPC based MFCC coefficients (of up to a maximum of 4 coefficients, although they can be up to a maximum of 13 cepstrum coefficients). The number of TVLPC based MFCC coefficients appended to FFT based MFCC coefficients represents the proposed model number. For example, appending a single TVLP-based MFCC coefficient to 38 coefficients of
FFT based MFCC result in model 1 and appending 2 TVLP based MFCC coefficients to 38 coefficients of FFT-based MFCC result in model 2 and so on. Therefore, in model 4, 4 of TVLP based MFCC coefficients are appended to the 38 cepstrum coefficients of model 0. The model definition is as follows: The model 0 is considered as a conventional approach (using FFT-based MFCC features only) and its 38-parameter feature vector consisting of 12 cepstral coefficients (without the zero-order coefficient) plus the corresponding 13 delta and 13 acceleration coefficients is given by \[ [b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{12}, \Delta b_0, \Delta b_1, \ldots, \Delta^2 b_{12}] \] where \( b_i \), \( \Delta b_i \) and \( \Delta^2 b_i \) are MFCC, delta MFCC and delta-delta MFCC, respectively. As for the proposed models, \( j (j = 1, \ldots, 4) \), the intra-frame cepstrum for the time-varying coefficients \([c_1^1, c_2^1, \ldots, c_j^1]\) are appended to the model 0 feature vector depending on the model number as follows:

- **Model 0:** \([b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{12}, \Delta b_0, \ldots, \Delta^2 b_{12}]\)
- **Model 1:** \([b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{12}, \Delta b_0, \ldots, \Delta^2 b_{12}, c_1^1]\)
- **Model 2:** \([b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{12}, \Delta b_0, \ldots, \Delta^2 b_{12}, c_1^1, c_2^1]\)
- **Model 3:** \([b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{12}, \Delta b_0, \ldots, \Delta^2 b_{12}, c_1^1, \ldots, c_3^1]\)
- **Model 4:** \([b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{12}, \Delta b_0, \ldots, \Delta^2 b_{12}, c_1^1, \ldots, c_4^1]\).

Given that the number of time-varying LP cepstrum coefficients appended to Model 0 represents the model number, it therefore, means that model 0 has none time-varying LP cepstrum coefficient appended to it.

### 5.1.3 Band-pass specifications of RSA

Running spectrum analysis (RSA) uses a bandpass. It is applied for the high frequency components. The filter characteristics of RSA and its realization on a speech signal
is demonstrated in Figure 2.4 that shows the result of a bandpass filter approximation using Fourier series method and using frequency sampling on rectangular and Hamming windows respectively.

Noise is eliminated while important speech characteristics are retained by applying RSA for the high frequency components in the modulation spectrum domain. This is possible to achieve due to the fact that modulation frequency is invariant from 0 Hz to 15 Hz. Given that modulation spectrum change abruptly around 15 Hz, such an abruptly change tends to cause discontinuity and inhibits accurate elimination of speech features. Therefore, the data out of 0 Hz to 15 Hz range is often discarded \[138\] \[139\].

Table 5.1: RSA band specifications. Types (a), (b) and (c) are of infinite impulse response (IIR) type while Types(d) and (e) are of finite impulse response (FIR) type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RSA Type</th>
<th>1stStopband</th>
<th>1stPassband</th>
<th>2ndPassband</th>
<th>2ndStopband</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study, five types of RSA frequency bands are defined as Type (a) to Type (e). Table 5.1 shows normalized band frequency specifications of the RSA types. Each of the five types has the following frequency bands specified: 1st Stopband frequency, 1st Passband frequency, 2nd Passband frequency and a 2nd Stopband frequency as shown in the same table.

The performance of each set of RSA band-pass specifications is evaluated using
Table 5.2: Average recognition accuracy (%) of elderly persons using fixed-cut-off and gradual-cut-off frequency stop bands of 2nd order RSA pass band on clean speech and on 15 types of noise (from NOISEX-92 database) using conventional approach at 0 dB, 5 dB, 10 dB and 20 dB SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RSA Type</th>
<th>Clean</th>
<th>On 15 Noises</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>speech</td>
<td>0 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>74.62</td>
<td>19.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>73.85</td>
<td>23.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>91.54</td>
<td>23.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>92.31</td>
<td>22.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>93.85</td>
<td>23.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3: Comparative performance in average recognition accuracy (%) of RSA type(c) and type (e) specifications under 15 types of noise at 10 dB and 20 dB SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>RSA Type(c)</th>
<th>RSA Type(e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 dB</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 0</td>
<td>67.44</td>
<td>84.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>67.08</td>
<td>84.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>65.95</td>
<td>84.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

conventional approach both on clean speech and on the 15 types of noises at different signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs) as shown in Table 5.2 and based on the same results, extended experiments were conducted using RSA type (c) and type (e) on proposed approach for models 1 and 2 for validation at 10 dB and 20 dB respectively. Results of the extended experiments shown in Table 5.3 include results for the conventional approach.
(model 0) from Table 5.2 for performance comparison purposes. From the results, it is observed that type (e) shows a better performance.

5.1.4 Simulation parameters and conditions of experiments

The simulation parameters shown in Table 5.4 are used in testing of the two earlier stated speech data sets of similar pronunciation phrases, set (a): /denki/,/genki/ and /’tenki/ and set (b): /kyuu/,/juu/ and /chuu/ as well as the 142 Japanese common speech phrases, designated as speech data set (d). The parameters shown in Table 5.5 are used in testing set (c) phrases uttered by elderly persons. Initially, a database of 40 male speakers is made available for the study. Prior to the commencement of experiments, the initial database is split into two parts, the first part consisting of 30 speakers and is used for the front-end feature extraction and HMM training. The second part consisting of 10 speakers is utilised in the testing stage.

In first and second experiments, 30 male speakers each uttering 3 Japanese similar pronunciation phrases /denki/,/genki/ and /’tenki/ designated as set (a) and another set of 3 Japanese similar pronunciation phrases /kyuu/,/juu/ and /chuu/ both designated as set (b) with utterance of 3 are utilized. The speech sample is 11.025 KHz and 16-bit quantization. FFT based MFCC features are extracted after pre-emphasis and Hanning windowing in the case of FFT based MFCC and without windowing in mel filtered TVLPC based MFCC. In conventional approach the features are converted to 38-dimensional feature vectors. Which features are appended with TVLPC based MFCC features. In both feature extraction subsystems frame length and shift length are 23.2 ms (256 samples) and 11.6 ms (128 samples) respectively.

In third experiment, 30 male speakers each uttering the following 13 Japanese phrases: (1) ohayou, (2) konnichiwa, (3) kombanwa, (4) onamaewa, (5) genki, (6) tanoshiine,
(7) arigato, (8) denki, (9) tenki, (10) kyuu, (11) juu, (12) migi, and (13) hidari with utterance of 3 are utilised for training. Speech sample is 11.025 kHz and 16-bit quantization. Features are extracted after pre-emphasis and Hanning windowing in the case FFT based MFCC and without windowing in the case of mel filtered TVLPC based MFCC. The FFT based features are later concatenated into 38-parameter feature vectors. Frame length and shift length are 23.2 ms (256 samples) and 11.6 ms (128 samples) respectively. In the proposed approach, the time invariant MFCC features are appended with cepstrum of the dominant TVLPC based MFCC component (as explained in Eq. 5.8 and Eq. 5.10 respectively) depending on the model number.

In the fourth experiment, 30 male speakers each uttering 142 Japanese common speech phrases with each phrase repeated 3 times are utilized in feature extraction and training. In testing stage, 10 speakers each uttering 142 words with each word repeated 3 times are utilized. In this experiment, the feature extraction process, concatenation as well as training procedures are similar to the ones earlier explained. The key difference, however, is that the 142 phrases of set (d) include all other speech data set (a): /denki/, /genki/ and /tenki/, set (b): /kyuu/, /juu/ and /chuu/ and set (c) 13 speech phrases uttered by elderly persons, being considered in this study.

/denki/, /genki/ and /tenki/ and set (b): /kyuu/, /juu/ and /chuu/

In these simulations the performance of conventional approach and proposed approach are evaluated on clean speech as well as noisy speech in MATLAB (R2014a) software. The noisy speech is evaluated on DRA, CMS/DRA, RSA and RSA/DRA. Three separate speech databases are utilized, one with 30 speakers for the front-end feature extraction and subsequent HMM training while the other two databases with 10 speakers (one of elderly persons) are utilised in recognition. Independent speakers (not used in the training) are used in HMM recognition in both proposed and conventional approach
Table 5.4: Parameters for 3 Similar Pronunciation phrases and 142 Japanese common speech phrases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Parameter value/type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sampling</td>
<td>11.025 kHz (16-bit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame length</td>
<td>23.2 ms (256 samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift length</td>
<td>11.6 ms (128 samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre emphasis</td>
<td>$1 - 0.97z^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windowing</td>
<td>Hanning window for MFCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature vectors</td>
<td>$b_i(i = 1, \ldots, 12)$, $c_i^1(i = 1, \ldots, 4)$, $\Delta b_i(i = 0, \ldots, 12)$, $\Delta^2 b_i(i = 0, \ldots, 12)$,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVLPC order</td>
<td>$p = 14$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training set</td>
<td>30 male speakers, 3 utterances each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition set</td>
<td>10 male speakers, 3 utterances each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMM states</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>15 types from NOISEX-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>0 dB, 5 dB, 10 dB, 20 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise reduction</td>
<td>DRA, CMS/DRA, RSA, RSA/DRA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

experiments. In the testing stage, 0 dB, 5 dB, 10 dB and 20 dB of each of the 15 types of noises are artificially added to the original speech. In the first stage, the average
Table 5.5: Parameters for 13 phrases uttered by elderly male persons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Parameter value/type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sampling</td>
<td>11.025 kHz (16-bit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame length</td>
<td>23.2 ms (256 samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift length</td>
<td>11.6 ms (128 samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre emphasis</td>
<td>$1 - 0.97z^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windowing</td>
<td>Hanning window for MFCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature vectors</td>
<td>$b_i (i = 1, \ldots, 12), c_i (i = 1, \ldots, 4),$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta b_i (i = 0, \ldots, 12),$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta^2 b_i (i = 0, \ldots, 12),$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVLPC order</td>
<td>$p = 14$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training set</td>
<td>30 male speakers, 13 phrases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 utterances each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition set</td>
<td>10 male speakers, 13 phrases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 utterances each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMM states</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>15 types from NOISEX-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>0 dB, 5 dB, 10 dB, 20 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise reduction methods</td>
<td>DRA, CMS/DRA, RSA, RSA/DRA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

recognition rates for two separate sets of 10 male persons are measured, each uttering 3 Japanese similar pronunciation phrases. In the second stage, we measure the average recognition rates of 10 independent elderly male persons (whose age is estimated to be
above 75 years), uttering 13 phrases and each phrase repeated 3 times, on 4 models at 10 dB and 20 dB as shown in Table 5.8 while in the third stage we measure the average recognition rates of these 10 males uttering 142 Japanese common speech phrases as shown in Table 5.9. In the fourth and last phase we measure the recognition accuracy for the four speech data sets a), b), c) and d) respectively, on clean speech as shown in Table 5.10.

5.1.5 Simulation results and analysis

In this study variation of 1 % is considered to be an improvement from the conventional method based on the fact that our proposed feature extraction has never been tried before.

The simulation results are presented as follows: first, the dominant component of TVLPC cepstrum coefficients is determined by computing the TVLPC gain frame-by-frame on similar pronunciation phrases, second, the results for model 0 on the 15 types of noises are shown, third, the average recognition for the 2 separate speech data sets of the 3 similar pronunciation phrases are computed, fourth, the average recognition accuracy on the 15 types of noise for phrases uttered by elderly persons (above the age of 75 years, approximately) are computed, fifth, the average recognition accuracy on the 15 types of noise for the 142 Japanese common speech phrases are computed and sixth, the recognition accuracy for each of the four speech data sets (a), (b), (c) and (d) on clean speech for models 0 to 4 are computed.

TVLPC gain is computed from the base 10 logarithm of absolute $b_0$ frame-by-frame. Given that $b_0$ is stationary in each frame, the gain serves as the purpose of checking the presence of variability in intra-frame coefficients. A large number of positive values confirm the dominance of time-varying component.
In this study, the aim is to evaluate performance of concatenated inter-frame variation (obtained from quasi-stationary FFT based MFCC coefficients) with intra-frame variation (obtained from TVLPC based MFCC). Observing the dominance of $b_0$ helps in confirming the presence of intra-frame variation.

It is also used as a benchmark in determining whether Equation (5.8) or (5.10) should be used in obtaining features in the proposed method.

The 15 types of noises used in the experiments are based on Signal Processing Information Base (SPIB) noise data measured in field by Speech Research Unit (SRU) at Institute for Perception-TNO, Netherlands, United Kingdom, under the project number 2589-SAM (Feb. 1990) [79] [143].

Experiments for 142 Japanese common speech phrases on models 0 and 1 are conducted on the 15 types of noises with CMS/DRA and RSA to show how the recognition performance varies depending on the noise levels. The performance of each noise type are different as shown in Table 5.11 depending on the noise levels. In signal processing, white noise is a random signal having equal intensity at different frequencies, giving it a constant power. Babble noise is noise encountered when a crowd or a group of people are talking together and is considered as one of the best noises for masking speech. As such, the effectiveness of the proposed method can be evaluated based on the performance of white and babble noises. Therefore, the observed increase in recognition rate under these two noises demonstrates that the method is effective especially for noisy conditions.

5.1.5.1 Simulation results of TVLPC gain

The simulations are based on speech analysed using mel filtered TVLPC of an auto-regressive model with pre-emphasis but without windowing. A speech segment, 128
Figure 5.1: Waveform for 7 frames of a Japanese phrase /genki/ after VAD and pre-emphasis and magnitude spectrum of normalized TVLPC coefficients frame-by-frame.

Figure 5.2: Waveform for 7 frames of a Japanese phrase /denki/ after VAD and pre-emphasis and magnitude spectrum of normalized TVLPC coefficients frame-by-frame.

samples (11.6ms) per frame of 7 frames, is extracted from the speech signal of each phrase, post voice activity detection (VAD) using short term energy (STE) respectively. The VAD process eliminates silent parts. The time-varying LPC gain for each frame in the speech segment is then computed.

Figures 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3, show the waveforms and their corresponding time-varying LPC gain for three Japanese phrases; /genki/, /denki/ and /tenki/. The top graph in Figure 5.1 shows a waveform for “genki” while shown immediately below is the frame-
Figure 5.3: Waveform for 7 frames of a Japanese phrase /tenki/ after VAD and pre-emphasis and magnitude spectrum of normalized TVLPC coefficients frame-by-frame.

Table 5.6: Average recognition accuracy (%) for similar pronunciation phrases /genki/, /denki/ and /tenki/ on 15 types of noise at 10 dB and 20 dB SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>DRA</th>
<th>CMS/DRA</th>
<th>RSA</th>
<th>RSA/DRA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 dB</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
<td>10 dB</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 0</td>
<td>55.56</td>
<td>67.78</td>
<td>58.00</td>
<td><strong>74.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>56.45</td>
<td>70.67</td>
<td>58.00</td>
<td>73.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>56.22</td>
<td>70.45</td>
<td>56.67</td>
<td>71.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td><strong>58.45</strong></td>
<td>68.67</td>
<td><strong>58.44</strong></td>
<td>73.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td><strong>72.00</strong></td>
<td>55.78</td>
<td>71.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

by-frame TVLPC gain graph. Figure 5.2 and Figure 5.3 show the comparative results for /denki/ and /tenki/ in the same order respectively. In all three phrases, the TVLPC gains are mostly positive values. This confirms that the time-varying component is dominant. We can therefore be certain that our algorithm is able to retain the time variation in most of the speech frames and therefore coefficients obtained can be considered in our experiments.
Table 5.7: Average recognition accuracy (%) for similar pronunciation phrases /kyu/, /juu/ and /chuu/ on 15 types of noise at 10 dB and 20 dB SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>CMS/DRA</th>
<th>RSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 dB</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 0</td>
<td>57.78</td>
<td>70.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>57.56</td>
<td>71.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.8: Average recognition accuracy (%) of 13 phrases for elderly male persons on 15 types of noise at 10 dB and 20 dB SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>DRA</th>
<th>CMS/DRA</th>
<th>RSA</th>
<th>RSA/DRA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 dB</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
<td>10 dB</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 0</td>
<td>57.38</td>
<td>76.75</td>
<td>67.33</td>
<td>76.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>57.54</td>
<td><strong>77.18</strong></td>
<td><strong>67.74</strong></td>
<td><strong>76.41</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>56.68</td>
<td><strong>76.82</strong></td>
<td>67.59</td>
<td>75.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>55.79</td>
<td>76.46</td>
<td>67.44</td>
<td>76.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4</td>
<td>56.56</td>
<td><strong>76.98</strong></td>
<td>67.38</td>
<td>76.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.9: Average recognition accuracy (%) for 142 Japanese common speech phrases on 15 types of noise at 10 dB and 20 dB SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>CMS/DRA</th>
<th>RSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 dB</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 0</td>
<td>72.16</td>
<td>87.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>72.03</td>
<td>87.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.1.5.2 Simulation results on clean speech

Table 5.10 shows the comparative recognition results between conventional method and the proposed method for all speech databases (a), (b), (c) and (d) on clean speech.

Table 5.10: Recognition accuracy (%) for 142 Japanese common speech phrases on clean speech

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Data Set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>set(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 0</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>83.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>76.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>73.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4</td>
<td>66.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On average, the proposed method performs better on speech data set (c) with model 1 performing better than other proposed models on data set (a) and (b). On set(a) and (b), results from the proposed method show a decline in performance with increase in appended number of feature components. However, the results pattern show an increase in recognition accuracy with increase in the number of phrases from 3 phrases, 13 phrases and then to 142 phrases in recognition accuracy respectively. Model 3 performs slightly better on set (d) for 142 Japanese common speech phrases at 91.69% than model 0 at 91.55%. The results show that, in the case of 142 Japanese common speech phrases, adding 3 TVLPC based MFCC components to 38 cepstrum coefficients of model 0 can improve the recognition accuracy on clean speech.
Table 5.11: Recognition performance accuracy (%) of the 15 types of noises on 142 Japanese common speech phrases using conventional approach (model 0) at 10 dB and 20 dB SNR of CMS/DRA and RSA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise type</th>
<th>CMS/DRA</th>
<th>RSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 dB</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>62.75</td>
<td>82.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pink</td>
<td>69.79</td>
<td>88.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>75.85</td>
<td>88.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babble</td>
<td>72.46</td>
<td>89.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factory 1</td>
<td>62.25</td>
<td>86.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factory 2</td>
<td>84.23</td>
<td>90.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cockpit 1</td>
<td>68.66</td>
<td>89.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cockpit 2</td>
<td>61.83</td>
<td>84.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DestroEg</td>
<td>83.31</td>
<td>90.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DestrOps</td>
<td>58.87</td>
<td>85.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-16</td>
<td>80.14</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leopard</td>
<td>88.87</td>
<td>91.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M109</td>
<td>80.56</td>
<td>90.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine gun</td>
<td>52.18</td>
<td>77.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volvo 340</td>
<td>80.63</td>
<td>91.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.5.3 Simulation results for 10 speakers and 3 similar phrases (set A)

Simulations were conducted on 3 similar pronunciation phrases /genki/, /denki/ and /tenki/ for models 0 to 4 using 15 types of noise from NOISEX-92 database [143]. The results shown in Table 5.6 indicate that model 3 performs better at 58.45% at 10 dB
DRA compared with model 0 at 55.56%, while model 4 performs better at 20 dB DRA giving 72.00% compared with model 0 at 67.78%. From the same table, model 3 yield 58.44% with CMS/DRA at 10 dB compared to 58.00% of model 0. All models of the proposed approach perform below 74.00% obtained using model 0 with CMS/DRA at 20 dB. Models 1 and 2 show recognition accuracy of 61.78% and 62.64% at 10 dB with RSA respectively compared to model 0 at 61.33%. On the other hand, model 1 yield similar results to model 0, the rest of models performed below the baseline model results of 71.56% at 20 dB RSA. Model 1 and model 3 yield 54.67% and 53.33% recognition accuracy, at 10 dB RSA/DRA respectively compared with model 0 at 52.89%, while model 1 yield 67.11%, and models 2 and 3 yield 66.44% at 20 dB RSA/DRA compared with 66.00% of model 0.

5.1.5.4 Analysis of Set (A) results

Table 5.12 shows the variation in recognition accuracy for similar pronunciation phrases /genki/,/denki/ and /tenki/. The average performance indicators are in comparison with conventional approach (model 0). The positive values indicate good performance while a negative value means poor performance and 0.00% means no change in performance.

From the results, it can be stated that models 1 and 3 are good performers at an average recognition accuracy of 6.45 % and 3.33 % respectively. Further, DRA performs better than the rest of the noise reduction methods applied at an average of 1.22 % and 2.67 % at 10 dB and 20 dB respectively.

5.1.5.5 Simulation results for 10 speakers and 3 similar phrases (set B)

Table 5.7 shows the average recognition results for similar phrases for dataset (b), both conventional approach (i.e. model 0) and the proposed approach (i.e. model). With
Table 5.12: Average performance indicators (%) for similar pronunciation phrases /genki/, /denki/ and /tenki/ on 15 types of noise at 10 dB and 20 dB SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>DRA</th>
<th>CMS/DRA</th>
<th>RSA</th>
<th>RSA/DRA</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 dB</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
<td>10 dB</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
<td>10 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>-1.33</td>
<td>-2.45</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>-2.22</td>
<td>-2.22</td>
<td>-2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.13: Average performance indicators (%) of 13 phrases for elderly male people on 15 types of noise at 10 dB and 20 dB SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>DRA</th>
<th>CMS/DRA</th>
<th>RSA</th>
<th>RSA/DRA</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 dB</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
<td>10 dB</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
<td>10 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>-1.59</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CMS/DRA 20 dB, model 1 yield 71.56 % compared to model 0 at 70.89 %, however, model 0 perform slightly better at 10 dB, yielding 57.78 %, compared to model 1 at 57.56 % . With RSA 10 dB, model 1 performs better at 58.44 %, in comparison with model 0 at 57.33 % . Model 1 yield better results at 86.15 %, compared to model 0 at 85.65 %, at 20 dB.
Table 5.14: Average performance indicators (%) for similar pronunciation phrases /kyu/, /juu/ and /chuu/ on 15 types of noise at 10 dB and 20 dB SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>CMS/DRA</th>
<th>RSA</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 dB</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
<td>10 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.5.6 Analysis of Set (B) results

Table 5.14 shows the performance variation in recognition accuracy for similar pronunciation phrases /kyu/, /juu/ and /chyu/ in comparison to the conventional approach (model 0). From the results it has been shown that model 1 is slightly better at average of 0.90%. It must be pointed out that the indicator is lower than the one shown in Table 5.12 considering that it is based on 4 noise levels and not 8 noise levels as the case is in Table 5.12.

5.1.5.7 Simulation results for 10 speakers and 13 phrases uttered by elderly persons

Table 5.8 shows the average recognition results for elderly persons for both conventional approach (i.e. model 0) and the proposed approach (i.e. models 1 to 4). With DRA at both 10 dB, and 20 dB, model 1 at 57.54%, and 77.18%, is better compared to model 0 at 57.38%, and 67.33%, respectively. With CMS/DRA at 10 dB, and 20 dB, model 1 is better at 67.74% and 76.41% compared to model 0 at 67.33%, 76.31% respectively. With RSA at 10 dB, and 20 dB, model 0 performs better at 67.44%, and 84.92% with the closest model in performance being model 1 at 67.08%, and 84.77%, respectively. Regarding RSA/DRA at 10 dB, models 0 yield 61.51%, followed by model 1 at
61.23%. As for RSA at 20 dB, model 1 performs slightly better at 69.64%, followed by model 0 at 69.59%.

5.1.5.8 Analysis of results for 13 phrases

Table 5.13 shows the performance variation in recognition accuracy for 13 phrases of elderly male people in comparison to the conventional approach (model 0).

Table 5.15: Average performance indicators (%) for 142 Japanese common speech phrases on 15 types of noise at 10 dB and 20 dB SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>CMS/DRA 10 dB</th>
<th>CMS/DRA 20 dB</th>
<th>RSA 10 dB</th>
<th>RSA 20 dB</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results show that model 1 is a good performer with an overall average of 0.36%. CMS/DRA improves the results by an average of 0.21% at 10 dB followed those of DRA with 0.11% at 20 dB.

5.1.5.9 Simulation results for 10 speakers and 142 Japanese common speech phrases

Table 5.9 shows the average recognition results for 142 Japanese common speech phrases. The proposed method performs slightly better than conventional method on CMS/DRA and RSA 20 dB with 87.86% and 86.15% compared to 87.77% and 85.65% respectively.

In the case of similar pronunciation phrases, the proposed approach show positive results on ‘genki”, “tenki” and “denki” with CMS/DRA. while slight improvements are achieved on “kyu”, “juu” and “chuu”, with CMS/DRA at 20 dB and RSA at 10 dB.
Overall performance of the proposed approach shows improvement on 142 Japanese common speech phrases.

### 5.1.5.10 Analysis of results for 142 Japanese common speech phrases

Table 5.15 shows the performance variation in recognition accuracy in comparison to the conventional approach (model 0). The results show that model 1 improves the results by 0.29%.

### 5.1.6 Discussion

In this chapter findings of the experiments are discussed and a comparison is made with other reported methods. The effects of TVLPC coefficients on speech recognition is also shown.

The proposed method was adapted to demonstrate the plausibility of concatenating time invariant and time varying speech features in speech recognition. This was thought to be effective considering that multiple acoustic features of speech signal have been investigated elsewhere and have shown that the accuracy of automatic speech recognition systems can be improved by the combination of different acoustic features [144]. However, unlike in other reported works, not the entire TVLPC cepstrum coefficients are utilized. Instead cepstrum components are added incrementally, model-by-model, in each of the proposed model.

In the study, recognition accuracies are calculated for various cases (4 sets of data, models, and noises types). They have been shown in tables and compared.

Table 6 shows that recognition accuracy increases with the number of reference speech waveforms (utterances) available for the reference words. The increase in recognition accuracy rates with increase in words used for training is as reported by other
researcher elsewhere [145]. In addition, just generically increasing the vocabulary size can improve the accuracy for many common speech words but degrades the recognition rate for less common speech words [146].

Table 5.12 shows model 1 performing well at 6.45 % and with DRA being the best performer among all noise reduction methods while model 4 performing poorly at -10.11 %. Model 4 results seem to suggest that increasing the number intra-frame cepstrum coefficients can result in poor performance particularly when RSA and DRA are combined.

The experiments have also shown the effect of adding TVLPC coefficients for speech recognition in adverse conditions. Table 5.13 shows model 1 to be a good performer at an average of 0.36 %. Equally, Table 5.14 shows model 1 to have a slight improvement in recognition with RSA at 10 dB giving a performance improvement of 1.11 % and an overall improvement of 0.90 % while Table 5.15 shows model 1 being a good performer at 0.29 %. From these results, it has been shown that TVLPC coefficients are good at capturing transitions in plosive phrases /genki/, /denki/ and /tenki/ than on /kyu/, /juu/ and /chuu/. Depending on the pronunciation context and the frequency of such words also affects the accuracy of the system [147]. Therefore, it can stated confidently that the proposed method performs better with models 1 and 3.

Since TVLPC coefficients are good at capturing transition features, it is naturally expected that they may have positive effects for recognition for phonemes with non-stationary features. They can still work for noisy conditions by decomposing the noisy signal into spectral components by means of a spectral transform, a filter bank or logarithmic transform [148].

RSA and DRA are thought to be effective for noisy speech. However, results of a combination of both does not give a good match with expectations. The possible cause
of such low accuracy may be due to the distortion of the speech waveform after noise reduction. RSA reduces more of unnecessary components with band-pass characteristics. As the general dismal performance of the proposed method, it is plausible that the discontinuity at concatenation joints of acoustic units may be the cause, which suggests need for smoothing techniques [149].

5.1.7 Summary

Currently, MFCC and TVLPC are separately used for speech feature extraction with acceptable performance. However, our findings demonstrate that a combination of MFCC and TVLPC shows better performance with model 1 and 3 showing improved recognition results when compared to the conventional and other proposed models.

Models 1 and 3 give an average improvement of 6.45% and 3.33% to the conventional method.

Although models 1 and 3 will require further optimization, our study results suggest that the two models could be used in the front-end feature extraction process in ASR systems.

5.2 Noise suppression in modulation spectrum

Speech recognition systems often suffer from various sources of variability due to corrupted speech signal features. Techniques aimed at both reducing noise corruption as well as preserving important speech characteristics are often required. This section focuses on the use of flexible band-pass RSA FIR filtering scheme in a modulation spectrum domain. Several ASR systems that make use of RSA for noise suppression in continuous speech recognition [150] have been developed before and work successfully. In
the authors’ view, the use of RSA for isolated speech phrases has yet to be elucidated. In addition, the use of effectiveness of higher frequency components of RSA on recognition of isolated word remains unknown. In this paper, the use of MFCC [31] [32] with RSA for noise suppression for isolated word recognition is being proposed.

5.2.1 Feature extraction method

Although removing low-frequency components with a high-pass RSF filter can reduce the noise, the speech spectrum covers a wider frequency range. There is low energy of noise in the high-frequency band. Therefore, it is anticipated that incorporating high-frequency components in HMM training would improve recognition accuracy. Unlike RSF which increases the calculation cost by its order number, proposed wide band-pass RSA is simple and computationally effective. RSF is fixed based on the order number while RSA band-pass can be adapted based on noise level as demonstrated later.

Based on the above, the following way for robust speech recognition can be proposed;

(i) Evaluate SNR at 5 levels of 5 dB, 10 dB, 15 dB, 20 dB, 25 dB, and ∞ respectively.

(ii) According to SNR, the specification of RSA should be incrementally changed in creating different sets of filter banks to include higher order frequency components.

(iii) for (ii), several intra filter bank specifications of RSA should be given and be evaluated.
5.2.2 Signal Analysis

Now the feature extraction method used in the proposed phrase speech recognition system is presented. Shown in Figure 5.4 are the steps involved in obtaining speech features using the conventional approach. In the initial step of the feature extraction, we conduct a pre-emphasis of the sampled speech signal. Every 11.6ms, a Hanning window is applied to pre-emphasized 23.2ms long speech segments. Later the short-term spectrum by Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) is computed. Thereafter, the outputs of 40 overlapping Mel scale triangular filters are computed. For each filter, the output is a sum of weighted spectral magnitudes. Then a linear transformation is performed on the first 13 filters and logarithmic transformation on the 27 filter bank outputs followed by Discrete Cosine Transform which outputs 13 cepstrum coefficients. Since the human auditory system is sensitive to time evolution of the spectral content of speech signal, an attempt is made to include the extraction of delta and delta-delta of static features as part of feature analysis. Lastly these dynamic coefficients with the static coefficients are concatenated to make up the final output of feature analysis representation.

The details of FFT based MFCCs and its computation are discussed in [140] [141] and explained as follows:

1. Pre-emphasis: When a digitized speech signal, \( s(n) \), is passed through a first-order finite impulse response (FIR) filter, it is put into spectrally flatten signal and made less susceptible to finite precision effects later in the signal processing. The fixed first-order system is

\[
H(z) = 1 - 0.97z^{-1}
\]  (5.17)

2. Windowing: Speech is a non-stationary signal where properties change quite rapidly over time. It is preferable to have smooth joins between sections and
that is the function of the window. The frame step is usually something like $1/2$ or a $1/3$ of total samples, which allows some overlap to the frames. The next step in the processing is to window each individual frame. If we define the window as $w(n)$, $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$, then the result of Hanning window, has the form

$$w(n) = 0.5(1 - \cos\left(\frac{2n\pi}{N - 1}\right)) = \text{hav}\left(\frac{2n\pi}{N - 1}\right), \quad (5.18)$$

$$s_w(n) = s'(n)w(n), \quad (5.19)$$

where, $s_w(n)$ is the signal after windowing and $s'(n)$ is pre-emphasized speech signal.

(3) Spectral analysis by fast Fourier transform (FFT): To convert each frame of $N$ samples from time domain into frequency domain FFT is used. The spectrum is calculated by using discrete Fourier transform (DFT) at discrete windowed signal $s_w(n)$ that is achieved by time sampling of a continuous signal $s(n)$. In this case,
\( s_w(n) \) is transformed into spectrum coefficient by FFT:

\[
S(k) = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} s_w(n) e^{-j \frac{2\pi kn}{N}} \right|, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N - 1 \tag{5.20}
\]

(4) Mel filter-bank transformation: The frequencies range in FFT spectrum is very wide and voice signal does not follow the linear scale. Each filter’s magnitude frequency response is triangular in shape and equal to unity (i.e. to 1) at the centre frequency and declines linearly to zero at centre frequency of two adjacent filters. By passing through a Mel filter bank, the number of dimensions of the feature amount of Mel frequency cepstrum is reduced and the load of calculation is equally reduced. \( S(k) \) is filtered with Mel filter-banks and the logarithm energy \( X(m) \) is obtained.

\[
X(m) = \ln \left( \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} S(k) H_m(k) \right), \quad 1 \leq m \leq M \tag{5.21}
\]

where \( m \) is the number of filters, \( H_m(k) \) is the weighted factor of the \( m^{th} \) filter in the frequency \( K \) and \( X(m) \) is the output of \( m^{th} \) filter.

(5) Discrete Cosine transform (DCT): Discrete cosine transform (DCT) is the process to invert the log Mel spectrum into time domain using DCT. The result of the inversion is called Mel Frequency Cepstrum Coefficient (MFCC). The set of coefficients is called acoustic vector. Therefore, each input speech waveform is transformed into a sequence of acoustic vectors. The MFCC coefficients \( c(l) \) are obtained with DFT.

\[
c(l) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{M}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} X(m) \cos \frac{\pi(2m+1)l}{2M}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq L - 1 \tag{5.22}
\]

where \( L \) is the total of MFCC vector dimension.
5.2.3 Band-pass specifications of RSA

In continuous speech recognition RSA is applied for the high frequency component using a band-pass of finite impulse response (FIR) type. The technique helps to eliminate noise while retaining important speech characteristics in the modulation spectrum domain as reported in [138] [139]. RSA has been applied for the frequency components of between 1 Hz and 15 Hz in the modulation spectrum domain. The data out of 1 Hz to 15 Hz range is often discarded. In addition to its use for continuous speech recognition, its merits for the phrase recognition have been found through several evaluations of its filter banks specifications. In this way, all available frequency components in the modulation spectrum domain, except for the one below 1 Hz, are utilised in determining the most robust filter banks. By judicious choices, the following band-pass ranges are selected for evaluation: 1 Hz to 7 Hz, 1 Hz to 15 Hz, 1 Hz to 30 Hz, 1 Hz to 35 Hz and 1 Hz to 40 Hz and each band-pass is evaluated respectively. Table 5.16 shows five types of RSA frequencies band-pass specifications which we have defined as Type (a) to Type (e). For the purpose of this study, Type (a) is designated as a wide bandwidth specification, Type (b) (c), (d) and (e) as narrow bandwidths. Like in conventional FIR filter, each of the bandwidth is designed to have a 1st stop band frequency, a 1st pass band frequency, a 2nd pass band frequency and a 2nd stop band frequency respectively. The range between the 1st pass band frequency and the 2nd pass band frequency represents the number of frequency components to be considered in the respective bandwidth specification. Subsequently, Type (a) has 7 frequency components, Type (b) has 15 frequency components, Type (c) has 30 frequency components, Type (d) has 35 frequency components, while Type (e) has 40 frequency components, respectively. Table 5.17 shows the sub bandwidths specifications within the narrow bandwidths between Types (c) and (d) and between Types (d) and (e). It is aimed to determine the tendency in the
relative improvement of RSA over RSF. Therefore, for the purpose of this study, Types (c1) and (c2) are sub bandwidths between Types (c) and (d) while Types (d1) and (d2) are sub bandwidths between Types (c) and (d), respectively. Type(c1) has 32 frequency components, Type(c2) has 34 frequency components, Type(d1) has 36 frequency components while Type(d2) has 38 frequency components. The implementation is as shown in Figure 5.5.

Table 5.16: RSA band specifications. Type (a) is wide bandwidth, Types (b), (c), (d) and (e) are of narrow bandwidths (FIR) type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RSA Type</th>
<th>1stStopband</th>
<th>1stband-pass</th>
<th>2ndband-pass</th>
<th>2ndStopband</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.4 Simulation parameters and conditions of experiments

The main method used for speech enhancement is filtering. The performance of high-pass RSF IIR filtering with several band-pass RSA FIR filtering banks are compared. The simulation parameters shown in Table 5.18 are used in testing of the isolated Japanese common speech phrases. In our experiments, an initial database of 40 male speakers is made available for the study. Prior to the commencement of experiments, the database is split into two sets, the first set consisting of 30 speakers, each speaker uttering 100 Japanese common speech phrases, and each phrase repeated 3 times, is used for the
Table 5.17: RSA sub band specifications for wide band-pass. Type (c1) and (c2) are sub band-pass for Type (c). Type (d1) and Type (d2) are sub band specifications for Type (d) wide band-pass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RSA Type</th>
<th>1stStopband</th>
<th>1stband-pass</th>
<th>2ndband-pass</th>
<th>2ndStopband</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(c1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.5: Implementation of band-pass RSA FIR filter banks for noise suppression front-end feature extraction and HMM training. The second set consisting of 10 speakers (classified as independent speakers), each speaker uttering 100 phrases and each phrase repeated once is utilised in the testing stage. The speech sample is 11.025 KHz and 16-bit quantization. FFT based MFCC features are extracted after pre-emphasis and Hanning windowing.
Table 5.18: The condition of speech recognition experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Parameter value/type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recognition Task</td>
<td>Isolated 100 phrases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech database (a)</td>
<td>100 Japanese common speech phrases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling</td>
<td>11.025 kHz (16-bit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame length</td>
<td>23.2 ms (256 samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift length</td>
<td>11.6 ms (128 samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre emphasis</td>
<td>$1 - 0.97z^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windowing</td>
<td>Hanning window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech</td>
<td>$b_i (i = 1, \ldots, 12)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature</td>
<td>$\Delta b_i (i = 0, \ldots, 12)$, $\Delta^2 b_i (i = 0, \ldots, 12)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Set</td>
<td>30 male speakers, 100 phrases, 3 utterances each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tested Set</td>
<td>10 male speakers, 100 isolated phrases, 1 utterance each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acoustic Model</td>
<td>32-states isolated word HMMs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>15 types from NOISEX-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>varieties heightSNR</td>
<td>5 dB 10 dB, 15 dB, 20 dB, 25 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtering methods</td>
<td>RSF, RSA,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the simulations, the performance of conventional approach are evaluated under noisy and clean conditions in MATLAB (R2014a) software. In both conditions, high-pass RSF IIR filtering scheme and 9 RSA FIR filters (2 wide bands, and 7 narrow bands) are evaluated. An isolated speech databases of Japanese common speech phrases is utilized. Independent speakers (not used in the training) are used in HMM recognition in the experiments. In the testing stage, 5 dB, 10 dB, 15 dB, 20 dB and 25 dB of the 15 types of noises are artificially added to the original speech. In the first stage, the average recognition rates for 10 speakers is measured, each uttering 100 Japanese common speech phrases on RSF and 9 RSA bandwidth specifications for Type (a) to Type (e) at 5 dB and 10 dB 15 dB, 20 dB and 25 dB SNR as shown in Table 5.19. Table 5.21 shows a summary of the average recognition accuracy for the purpose of critical comparison while Table 5.22 shows the relative improvement in recognition accuracy as performance indicators of individual RSA band-pass specifications compared with a high-pass RSF IIR filtering on Japanese common speech phrases database.

5.2.5 Simulation results and analysis

The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of a system is the ratio of the average signal level to the average noise level at the output, where the noise is any unwanted signal added to the input by the system or from the environment. The influence of 15 noises on various acoustic characteristics of speech utterances is described below. In each experiment simulation, an average recognition rate is used to determine whether RSA performed better than RSF on 5 kinds of noise levels. Analyses are carried out for the speech database. The analysis use RSF and RSA filters and 5 noise levels (at 5 dB, 10 dB, 15 dB, 20 dB and 25 dB) as independent variables. The presentation of results focuses on the performance of RSA on the various acoustic measures. The simulation results are presented
as follows: first, we show the signal-noise-ratio (SNR) results for RSF and RSA for the 15 types of noises on Japanese common speech phrases, then we provide a summary for the average recognition accuracy under the 15 noises and on clean speech. We then compute the relative improvement in recognition accuracy as performance indicators.

The 15 types of noises used in the experiments are based on Signal Processing Information Base (SPIB) noise data measured in field by Speech Research Unit (SRU) at Institute for Perception-TNO, Netherlands, United Kingdom, under the project number 2589-SAM (Feb. 1990) [79] [143].

In this paper the model formulation is as follows: The model uses FFT based MFCC coefficients consists of 38-dimensional feature vectors. The 38-parameter feature vector consisting of 12 cepstral coefficients (without the zero-order coefficient) plus the corresponding 13 delta and 13 acceleration coefficients is given by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    b_1 & b_2 & \ldots & b_{12}
    \Delta b_0 & \Delta b_1 & \ldots & \Delta b_{12}
    \Delta^2 b_0 & \Delta^2 b_1 & \ldots & \Delta^2 b_{12}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( b_i \), \( \Delta b_i \) and \( \Delta^2 b_i \), are MFCC, delta MFCC and delta-delta MFCC, respectively.

The recognition accuracy was evaluated by the average of the 10 independent male speakers. It can be observed from Table 5.19 results that each type of noise has its special effects on the speech signal. In addition, the signal-to-noise rations (SNRs) for various noisy environments can result in different recognition accuracies depending on the type of filter as well as on the number of frequency components in the case of RSA.

Table 5.21 is a summary of the recognition accuracies ON 15 types of noises at 5 dB, 10 dB, 15 dB, 20 dB and 25 dB. The increasing tendency in recognition accuracy from 5 dB to 25 dB is as expected. All RSA Types exhibited 'normal' increase in results from large to small SNRs. RSA Type(d) showed better results than the rest. Among the five noise levels, at 54.04 %, 5 dB was the best. This signifies that the approach is much more effective for large noise than it is for less noisy conditions. Table 5.22 shows the
Table 5.19: Average recognition accuracy (%) for 100 words Japanese common speech phrases on 15 types of noise at 5 dB, 10 dB, 15 dB, 20 dB, and 25 dB SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>RSF 5 dB</th>
<th>10 dB</th>
<th>15 dB</th>
<th>20 dB</th>
<th>25 dB</th>
<th>RSA Type(a) 5 dB</th>
<th>10 dB</th>
<th>15 dB</th>
<th>20 dB</th>
<th>25 dB</th>
<th>RSA Type(b) 5 dB</th>
<th>10 dB</th>
<th>15 dB</th>
<th>20 dB</th>
<th>25 dB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>white</td>
<td>33.20</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>84.30</td>
<td>92.30</td>
<td>95.50</td>
<td>27.80</td>
<td>59.40</td>
<td>79.40</td>
<td>87.90</td>
<td>90.90</td>
<td>35.30</td>
<td>66.80</td>
<td>82.80</td>
<td>91.00</td>
<td>93.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pink 1</td>
<td>28.90</td>
<td>74.00</td>
<td>91.30</td>
<td>94.90</td>
<td>96.90</td>
<td>27.90</td>
<td>68.30</td>
<td>85.50</td>
<td>90.30</td>
<td>91.90</td>
<td>32.10</td>
<td>74.50</td>
<td>89.10</td>
<td>93.40</td>
<td>94.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hfchannel</td>
<td>36.60</td>
<td>74.10</td>
<td>89.00</td>
<td>94.90</td>
<td>96.90</td>
<td>36.40</td>
<td>73.20</td>
<td>87.00</td>
<td>91.10</td>
<td>92.30</td>
<td>45.50</td>
<td>79.70</td>
<td>90.30</td>
<td>93.80</td>
<td>95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>babble</td>
<td>47.20</td>
<td>85.50</td>
<td>94.40</td>
<td>96.90</td>
<td>97.20</td>
<td>51.70</td>
<td>84.10</td>
<td>91.00</td>
<td>92.20</td>
<td>92.80</td>
<td>59.90</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>94.30</td>
<td>95.50</td>
<td>95.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>factory1</td>
<td>33.20</td>
<td>78.40</td>
<td>90.90</td>
<td>95.70</td>
<td>97.20</td>
<td>36.70</td>
<td>77.10</td>
<td>88.50</td>
<td>92.20</td>
<td>92.60</td>
<td>41.70</td>
<td>81.50</td>
<td>90.80</td>
<td>94.30</td>
<td>95.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>factory2</td>
<td>51.80</td>
<td>91.70</td>
<td>97.30</td>
<td>97.30</td>
<td>97.40</td>
<td>56.10</td>
<td>89.70</td>
<td>93.20</td>
<td>93.30</td>
<td>93.20</td>
<td>66.10</td>
<td>93.10</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td>95.20</td>
<td>95.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buccaneer1</td>
<td>29.60</td>
<td>71.80</td>
<td>91.20</td>
<td>94.90</td>
<td>96.90</td>
<td>28.70</td>
<td>69.20</td>
<td>86.80</td>
<td>91.00</td>
<td>93.00</td>
<td>30.80</td>
<td>73.50</td>
<td>90.80</td>
<td>94.40</td>
<td>95.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buccaneer2</td>
<td>33.20</td>
<td>68.80</td>
<td>85.50</td>
<td>93.10</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>63.90</td>
<td>80.70</td>
<td>88.40</td>
<td>91.80</td>
<td>34.70</td>
<td>69.40</td>
<td>85.70</td>
<td>91.60</td>
<td>94.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>destroterengine</td>
<td>50.40</td>
<td>86.30</td>
<td>94.80</td>
<td>96.90</td>
<td>97.10</td>
<td>47.20</td>
<td>82.50</td>
<td>89.40</td>
<td>92.40</td>
<td>92.90</td>
<td>52.90</td>
<td>85.50</td>
<td>92.60</td>
<td>94.80</td>
<td>95.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>destroyerops</td>
<td>48.40</td>
<td>86.40</td>
<td>94.60</td>
<td>96.10</td>
<td>97.10</td>
<td>50.20</td>
<td>84.10</td>
<td>90.40</td>
<td>92.10</td>
<td>92.60</td>
<td>50.40</td>
<td>84.90</td>
<td>92.80</td>
<td>94.70</td>
<td>95.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f16</td>
<td>43.80</td>
<td>86.30</td>
<td>94.50</td>
<td>96.90</td>
<td>97.10</td>
<td>40.80</td>
<td>82.10</td>
<td>90.80</td>
<td>92.80</td>
<td>92.70</td>
<td>48.10</td>
<td>86.90</td>
<td>93.10</td>
<td>95.20</td>
<td>95.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leopard</td>
<td>74.10</td>
<td>96.50</td>
<td>97.40</td>
<td>97.40</td>
<td>97.50</td>
<td>79.00</td>
<td>92.60</td>
<td>93.20</td>
<td>93.20</td>
<td>93.00</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td>94.80</td>
<td>95.50</td>
<td>95.60</td>
<td>95.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m109</td>
<td>50.40</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>96.90</td>
<td>97.40</td>
<td>97.50</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>88.20</td>
<td>92.50</td>
<td>92.90</td>
<td>93.00</td>
<td>63.10</td>
<td>91.50</td>
<td>94.90</td>
<td>95.20</td>
<td>95.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>machinegun</td>
<td>49.00</td>
<td>83.40</td>
<td>78.10</td>
<td>84.30</td>
<td>88.60</td>
<td>59.50</td>
<td>69.50</td>
<td>80.30</td>
<td>83.70</td>
<td>86.80</td>
<td>57.40</td>
<td>68.30</td>
<td>79.40</td>
<td>84.80</td>
<td>89.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volvo</td>
<td>62.70</td>
<td>87.40</td>
<td>96.90</td>
<td>97.20</td>
<td>97.30</td>
<td>72.40</td>
<td>88.60</td>
<td>92.90</td>
<td>93.00</td>
<td>93.10</td>
<td>80.90</td>
<td>93.00</td>
<td>95.70</td>
<td>95.60</td>
<td>95.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.6: Relative performance improvement(%) on common speech phrases using 9 sets of RSA filter banks on 15 types of noises.
Table 5.20: Average recognition accuracy (%) for 100 words Japanese common speech phrases on 15 types of noise at 5 dB, 10 dB, 15 dB, 20 dB, and 25 dB SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>RSA Type(c)</th>
<th>RSA Type(d)</th>
<th>RSA Type(e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>white</td>
<td>33.30</td>
<td>67.30</td>
<td>84.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pink</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>76.10</td>
<td>91.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hfchannel</td>
<td>45.50</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>93.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>babble</td>
<td>63.30</td>
<td>93.80</td>
<td>97.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>factory1</td>
<td>42.50</td>
<td>84.00</td>
<td>93.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>factory2</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>95.90</td>
<td>97.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buccaneer1</td>
<td>30.90</td>
<td>75.90</td>
<td>93.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buccaneer2</td>
<td>33.80</td>
<td>69.00</td>
<td>88.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>destroterengine</td>
<td>52.50</td>
<td>87.80</td>
<td>95.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>destroyerops</td>
<td>52.30</td>
<td>87.90</td>
<td>95.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f16</td>
<td>49.70</td>
<td>69.60</td>
<td>96.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leopard</td>
<td>88.70</td>
<td>98.10</td>
<td>98.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m109</td>
<td>66.50</td>
<td>94.70</td>
<td>98.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>machinegun</td>
<td>58.60</td>
<td>69.10</td>
<td>81.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volvo</td>
<td>85.60</td>
<td>95.90</td>
<td>98.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

relative improvement (%) on 15 types of noises at 5 dB, 10 dB, 15 dB, 20 dB, 25 dB SNR and on clean speech and their average relative improvements are 9 %, 4 %, 1.9 %, 1.3 %, 1.1 %, and 1.3 % respectively. Figure 5.6 shows the performance variation on the 5 levels of noises. From the results, RSA type(a) under performs at 10 dB, 15 dB, 20 dB, 25 dB and ∞ while type(b) under performs at 15 dB, 20 dB, 25 dB and ∞. The general trend in improvement is from 5 dB to ∞ in decreasing order.

5.2.6 Discussion

In this section findings of the experiments are discussed and a comparison to other reported theory is made. The positive effects of increasing the frequency components in RSA as compared to the high-pass RSF IIR filter are shown.

A band-pass filter is a system that reduces the amplitudes of signal components that
Table 5.21: Summary recognition accuracy(%) of Japanese common speech phrases on 15 types of noises at 5 dB, 10 dB, 15 dB, 20 dB and 25 dB SNR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>band-pass</th>
<th>Clean speech</th>
<th>5 dB</th>
<th>10 dB</th>
<th>15 dB</th>
<th>20 dB</th>
<th>25 dB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RSF</td>
<td>44.83</td>
<td>80.44</td>
<td>91.81</td>
<td>95.13</td>
<td>96.44</td>
<td>97.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(a)</td>
<td>46.96</td>
<td>78.17</td>
<td>88.11</td>
<td>91.10</td>
<td>92.17</td>
<td>93.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(b)</td>
<td>52.26</td>
<td>82.23</td>
<td>90.85</td>
<td>93.66</td>
<td>94.64</td>
<td>95.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(c)</td>
<td>53.68</td>
<td>84.57</td>
<td>93.61</td>
<td>96.48</td>
<td>97.59</td>
<td>98.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(c1)</td>
<td>53.99</td>
<td>84.53</td>
<td>93.65</td>
<td>96.45</td>
<td>97.60</td>
<td>98.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(c2)</td>
<td>53.75</td>
<td>84.50</td>
<td>93.67</td>
<td>96.47</td>
<td>97.58</td>
<td>98.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(d)</td>
<td>54.04</td>
<td>84.45</td>
<td>93.74</td>
<td>96.53</td>
<td>97.62</td>
<td>98.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(d1)</td>
<td>53.98</td>
<td>84.65</td>
<td>93.73</td>
<td>96.51</td>
<td>97.57</td>
<td>98.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(d2)</td>
<td>53.91</td>
<td>84.73</td>
<td>93.76</td>
<td>96.51</td>
<td>97.61</td>
<td>98.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(e)</td>
<td>53.80</td>
<td>84.74</td>
<td>93.77</td>
<td>96.51</td>
<td>97.58</td>
<td>98.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

lie outside a given frequency range. It only lets through components within a band of frequencies. Band-pass filters are particularly useful for analysing the spectral content of signals. Therefore, the use of a number of band-pass filters to isolate each frequency region of the signal in turn for the purpose of measuring the energy in each region is applied: effectively, a spectrum can be calculated. In this study, the energy in the regions specified in Tables 5.1 and 5.16 were measured.

The problem of improving the performance of speech recognizers may not only be related to developing new methods of extracting the speech signal from the noise but it may also require consideration of how the spectral properties of speech change under noise conditions.
Table 5.22: Relative improvement(%) of Japanese common speech phrases on 15 types of noises at 5 dB, 10 dB, 15 dB, 20 dB and 25 dB SNR of RSA compared with RSF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>band-pass</th>
<th>Avg(%) for 15 Noises</th>
<th>Clean speech</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 dB</td>
<td>10 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(a)</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>-2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(b)</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(c)</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(c1)</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(c2)</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(d)</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(d1)</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(d2)</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA:Type(e)</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The spectrum of a section of speech signal that is less than one pitch period long will tend to show formant peaks; while the spectrum of a longer section encompassing several pitch periods will tend to show the individual harmonics [151]. The same effect occurs if we use a bank of bandpass filters to perform the spectral analysis. If each filter has a relatively wide bandwidth, then the output follows rapid changes in the input, while if each filter is relatively narrow then each filter smooths out the changes [152]. A wide band, with short-time spectrum, emphasises temporal changes in the signal; while the narrow band, with long-time spectrum, emphasises frequency changes. In the experiments, it can be deduced that the narrow bands yield better results due because they encompass several pitch periods.

In addition to changes in duration and intensity, there are changes that take place
in the distribution of spectral energy over time such as modifications in the patterns of the vowel formant frequencies or in the short-term spectra of speech features under the influence of noise [153]. Including the change in the distribution of spectral energy in the short-term spectra of various segments is advantageous in that it influences the recognition accuracy positively.

A band-pass filter removes spectral components that occur at frequencies outside a given range. In a segmented speech signal the high energy components become more concentrated with increase in noise. In addition, signals long in time tend to be narrow in spectrum. Applying a band-pass filter to a segmented noisy speech signal with a narrow spectrum results in a minimal number of frequency components with reduced amplitudes. These characteristics results in improved recognition accuracy under large noise condition. However, the recognition accuracy on clean speech does not exhibit a much higher improvement than the one obtained under noise conditions.

Most speech databases contain words of different sizes with energies concentrated on different locations in the time domain. Some words are short and simple yet others are long and complex. Applying a narrow band-pass to long and complex words may cause such words to be modified resulting in negative effects. This effect may give rise to much lower recognition accuracy results than expected. On the other hand, application of a wide band-pass often results in both simple and complex words being adequately handled. This in turn results in improved recognition accuracy.

Speech is periodic. The spectral analysis of any periodic signal shows a line spectrum. The spectral components occur only at frequencies which are whole-number multiples of the repetition frequency (harmonics) [152].

In this study, recognition accuracies calculated for 15 noises types have been shown in tables and compared.
In the case of RSA, Table 5.21 shows that recognition accuracy increases with the number of frequencies components applied for the respective noise level. The increase in recognition accuracy rates with increase in frequency components used for training is as reported in [145]. Results seem to suggest that increasing the number frequency components can result in better speech recognition accuracy particularly when RSA is adaptively applied.

5.2.7 Summary

This study presents a novel band-pass RSA filtering scheme using sets of filter banks as a feature enhancement technique. Theoretical analysis indicates the proposed narrow band-pass and wide band-pass schemes are easy to realize and experimental results demonstrate their effectiveness of improving the robustness in automatic speech recognition. The experiments also demonstrate that the width of band-pass scheme for RSA has effects to noise suppressing. When band-pass is chosen reasonably, the algorithm will have significant performance improvement. The improvement of accurate rate (5dB) reaches 9.21% for RSA Type(d) comparing with high-pass RSF IIR filtering scheme.
Chapter 6

Discussion

6.1 Discussion

This chapter discusses findings of the experiments.

The performance of the proposed approach is assumed to be influenced by two main factors: first, the level of noise and second the noise reduction technique being applied on a particular noise. In general, speech recognition improves as signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is increased \[154\]. When people speak in a noisy environment, not only does the loudness(energy) of their speech increase, the pitch and frequency components also change. These speech variations are called the Lombard effect which includes a significant change in spectral tilt \[155\] \[156\] \[157\]. Some experimental studies done elsewhere indicate that these indirect influences of noise have a greater effect on speech recognition than does the direct influence of noises entering microphones \[158\] \[159\] \[19\].

The increase in recognition accuracy rates with increase in words used for training is as reported by other researcher elsewhere \[145\]. In addition, just generically increasing the vocabulary size can improve the accuracy for many common words but degrades the
recognition rate for less common words [146].

In the case of similar pronunciation phrases, the proposed approach show positive results on ‘genki”, “tenki” and “denki” with CMS/DRA. While slight improvements are achieved on “kyu”, “juu” and “chuu”, with CMS/DRA at 20 dB and RSA at 10 dB, overall performance of the proposed approach shows improvement on 142 normal phrases.

Different speakers may pronounce the same word differently in different contexts. This is due to dialectal variations, educational qualifications and emotional conditions and so on.

Mis-recognised words occur due to the absence of all pronunciation variations by all speakers used in training which is the cause of the low performance of the speech recognition system [160].

Vowel compression and expansion are mostly observed which are very difficult to represent in the pronunciation dictionary [161] [162].

The use of TVLPC with FFT-based MFCC for feature extraction on Japanese speech phrases has never been done before.

The proposed method performs slightly better on plosive phases “genki”, “denki” and “tenki” than on “kyu”, “juu” and “chuu”.

It is observed that, in the case of clean speech, models 1 and 3 perform competitively if not slightly better than model 0. This indicates that 1 or 3 dimensional components may be adequate to realise better results with this proposed method. From the results, it has been demonstrated that a single intra-frame cepstrum coefficient may be adequate to improve recognition accuracy for 3 similar pronunciation phrases as well as on speech uttered by elderly persons on clean speech. On the other hand, under similar conditions, 3 intra-frame cepstrum coefficients may be required to improve recognition accuracy in
the case of 142 words. Depending on the pronunciation context and the frequency of that word also affects the accuracy of the system [147]. From the results, it can be inferred that there is a difference in tendency between similar pronunciation phrases and phrases uttered by elderly people. The recognition performance for speech influenced by noise and distortion is particularly degraded when only very clean speech was used to train the speech as was in this case. Secondly, poor performance in some of the proposed models would be attributed to discontinuities of acoustic units around concatenation points [149].

The cause of such low accuracy may be due to the distortion of the speech waveform after noise reduction. RSA reduces more of unnecessary components with band-pass characteristics. As the general dismal performance of proposed method, it is plausible that the discontinuity at concatenation joints of acoustic units may be the cause, which suggests need for smoothing techniques. Careful consideration is necessary in designing such a filter. Excessive elimination of lower modulation frequency band may have caused negative values in power spectrum and negative values lead to a problem when power spectrum is converted to logarithmic power spectrum for obtaining cepstrum.

The challenge, however, is that the training costs of the word based HMM becomes normally large. This means, in an event that one word is added to HMM speech model database, many persons who utter target keywords several times, are often required. In which case, we need a prior processing after a large set of speech database is prepared.

The accuracy of this approach increases with the number of reference speech waveforms (utterances) available for the reference words. It is observed that the proposed approach seems to be influenced by two main factors; first by the type of noise and second, by the noise reduction technique being applied. It is also observed that the recognition performance varies depending on the noise levels. It is also noted that models 1 and 3
perform competitively if not slightly better than model 0. This is an indication that 1 and 3 dimensional components may be adequate to realize better results with this proposed method, although further optimization may be required.

By passing through a Mel filter bank, the number of dimensions of the feature amount of mel frequency cepstrum is reduced and the load of calculation is reduced.

For the technique of speech recognition improvement, the researcher notes there is a possibility of achieving higher accuracy if the best combination of filter with VAD [163] and feature enhancement that incorporates noise and reverberation into audio-logical speech-recognition testing are studied in order to improve predictions of performance in the real world [164].
Chapter 7

Conclusion and Future Work

7.1 Conclusion

In this section an account of the results of this work is provided. In this work an enhanced robust ASR technique that exploits VAD, noise-reduction, and HMM-based processing has been proposed. An attempt has been made to elucidate simulation results from a number of theory and mathematical analysis. Time varying speech features and conventional FFT based MFCC features have been evaluated for a wide range of simulation results. In chapter 2, a standard method of feature extraction as well as feature enhancement has been discussed. The complete pipeline for feature extraction and the steps involved in identifying and recognizing a isolated word has also been shown. The proposed ASR system has equally been discussed. The conventional MFCC feature extraction have been detailed and both the conventional TVLPC feature extraction and the proposed feature estimation process have been explained using direct converted TVLCP-based MFCC as well as feature estimation process using mel filtered TVLPC-based MFCC. In the enhanced proposed method the inter-frame variation are combined with intra-frame variation to realize the time varying speech features. The proposed fea-
ture model definition is equally discussed, showing the concatenation process of inter-frame and intra-frame speech feature vectors. The significance of pattern recognition is highlighted and ANN and HMM coding technologies have been discussed.

In chapter 3 VAD fundamentals covering short-time energy, short term autocorrelation and zero-crossing rate have been discussed. The short-time energy can detect endpoint of voiced speech segment effectively. Short term autocorrelation can find repeating patterns, such as the presence of a periodic signal obscured by noise. The ZCR can detect endpoint of voiceless speech segment effectively. The instantaneous pulse noise can effect detection with short-time energy. This proposed approach that combine use of short-time energy and zero-crossing rate helps improve accuracy of VAD.

In Chapter 4 The influence of additive and multiplicative noises have been discussed and the modulation spectra concept and running spectrum analysis algorithm explained. In this study, explanations have been made that in the time domain a speech signal and environmental noise are additive. When such a signal is Fourier transformed, the additive noise can be removed in the frequency component. It has also been mentioned that it is impossible for the multiplicative noises to successfully be removed using Fourier transform only. A Fourier transform of a convolved signal makes it a multiplicative signal which should be logarithmically transformed to realize an additive result. The Fourier transform data from the specific time waveform is its modulation spectrum. The influence of the additive noise causes the increase in energy. In addition, the system noise has no time variation factor quite much compared with speech waveform. Therefore, the modulation spectrum of speech usually concentrates its energy around or less than 0 Hz.

Accordingly, the important part for speech recognition can be discriminated from others on the modulation spectrum. RSA, CMS and DRA algorithms are introduced
to help minimize the problem of noise. Band-pass filtering using RSA is discussed in greater detail. Additive noise components can be removed with band-pass filtering on running spectrum domain to separate speech from noise. However, our study shows that care should be taken in the design of such a band-pass filter. Excessive elimination of lower modulation frequency band may cause negative values in power spectrum and negative values lead to a problem when power spectrum is converted to logarithmic power spectrum for obtaining cepstrum. However, the use of filter banks to sample a number of higher frequency components in the modulation spectrum help improve recognition accuracy. In compensating for distortions, we have used CMS as normalization method and DRA to minimize the variability of noise feature values. In DRA, each coefficient of a speech feature is adjusted proportionally to its maximum amplitude.

In Chapter 5 Two main experiments have been conducted. Experiment 1 focuses on evaluating the performance of proposed time varying speech features with HMM. In the first experiment simulation results for 3 similar pronunciation phrases, 13 phrases uttered by elderly persons and the 142 normal phrases have been shown. The accuracy of the approach increases with the number of reference speech waveforms (utterances) available for the reference words. In other words, the average recognition accuracy is higher for the 142 normal phrases compared to that of the 3 similar phrases. It has been observed that the proposed approach seems to be influenced by two main factors; first by the type of noise and second, by the noise reduction technique being applied. It has also been observed that the recognition performance varies depending on the noise levels. Overall, the proposed method performs slightly better on plosive phrases “genki”, “denki” and “tenki” than on “kyu”, “juu” and “chuu”. It is also noted that models 1 and 3 perform competitively if not slightly better than model 0. This is an indication that 1 and 3 dimensional components may be adequate to realize better or slightly better results.
with this proposed method. Using few components reduces the computation time on the part of TVLPC. The second experiment focuses on the use of band-pass RSA FIR filtering scheme on FFT-based MFCC. In continuous speech recognition RSA is applied for the high frequency component while eliminating noise and retaining important speech characteristics in the modulation spectrum. In addition, its merits have been found for the phrase recognition. 9 band-pass filter banks were evaluated with varying number of frequency components. The same were compared to the performance of high-pass RSF IIR filtering scheme under 5 noise levels of 5, 10 dB, 15 dB, 20 dB, 25 dB and ∞. The relative improvement (%) on the 15 types of noises were 9 %, 4 %, 1.9 %, 1.3 %, 1.1 %, and 1.3 % respectively on 100 Japanese common speech phrases uttered by 30 male speakers and each phrase repeated three times (9000 waveforms).

In chapter 6, the results obtained from proposed time varying speech features and conventional approach have been evaluated. Even if the proposed method does not require many time varying components for each spoken word or phrase and can use a small number of speech waveforms as references, there is a difference in tendency between similar pronunciation phrases and phrases uttered by elderly people. The tendency shows better results for elderly people compared with similar phrases. It can be inferred that the dismal performance of some cases could be attributed to discontinuities of acoustic units around concatenation points. Even if care may be taken in designing the RSA band-pass filter there may be unnecessary components. It has been found that concatenating FFT-based MFCC with the TVLPC-based MFCC to create time varying speech features (TVSF) can improve the speech recognition capability for some HMM implementations. The proposed method may be a simpler solution for speech recognition applications.

In summary, two kinds of TVLPC features can be realized; the time invariant and
time varying type. Model 1 and model 3 of TVSF algorithm improves the recognition accuracy of ASR. Overall, CMS/DRA approach is better than others in low SNR. Increase in the number of words shows a corresponding increase in recognition accuracy.

7.2 Future work

Although this proposed method has improved the performance of ASR system with time varying speech features, the recognition accuracy is not so high in low SNR. The real environment is sophisticated and extremely unpredictable, an attempt must therefore be made to improve further the recognition accuracy of ASR system in order for such a system to be ideal for practical application.

The VAD algorithm need to be modified and thus improved accuracy of endpoint detection. Use of short-time energy method is limited to detect endpoint in low SNR. Hence, continued research and exploring of new and enhanced technology in order to detect endpoint accurately in low SNR are required. As an immediate alternative, the use of a combination of VAD techniques requires consideration.

Since the recognition accuracy recognition seems to be influenced by the type of noise and possibly the discontinuities of acoustic units around concatenation points, smoothing techniques should be explored. In addition, an attempt should be made to improve the performance of RSA in low SNR by conducting further experiments under various conditions to ascertain the ideal and practical pass-band.

The accuracy increases with an increasingly large reference waveform database. The future work will attempt to find the best compromises between accuracy and computation time. The proposed method is based on the small vocabulary of 3 and 142 normal words. Although models 1 and 3 perform well, on some RSA, it is still low when the
method is applied to small vocabulary of 3 phrases. Hence the need to modify RSA algorithm and an attempt to decrease computation time of TVLCP, with the aim of improving the recognition accuracy.

Further improvement in the feature extraction using TVSF will be sought. Also implementing the proposed approach in other languages such as C/C++ that are much faster than MATLAB will be considered. In addition, a computer with a much faster processing speed would be an important factor considering that speech processing is quite demanding assuming that slow processing may be a contributing factor to low results in some instances.
Bibliography


List of Publications

Peer-reviewed Journal


Peer-reviewed International Conference


**Domestic Conference**
