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**ABSTRACT**

*Introduction:* Prolyl oligopeptidase (prolyl endopeptidase, Prep), a multifunctional protease hydrolyzing -Pro-X- peptide bonds, is highly expressed in the mouse placenta, but the function during development is not known. We explored the possibility of Prep’s involvement in placental differentiation.

*Methods:* We cultured trophoblast stem cells (TSCs) derived from the E6.5 mouse embryo and investigated the detailed expression pattern of Prep during their differentiation. Prep-specific inhibitors were added to the TSC culture, and the effect on the differentiation was assessed by microscopic observation and the expression of marker gene for each placental cell.

*Results:* During TSC differentiation for 6 days, Prep was constantly detected at mRNA, protein, and activity levels, and the protein was found mainly in the cytoplasm. The addition of 30 µM and 10 µM SUAM-14746, a Prep-specific inhibitor, effectively inhibited the differentiation into spongiotrophoblasts (SpTs) and trophoblast giant cells (TGCs), while the TSC viability was not affected. 5 µM SUAM-14746 impaired the differentiation into SpTs, and 1 µM SUAM-14746 exhibited no effects. Another Prep-specific inhibitor, KYP-2047, did not affect the differentiation. We confirmed efficient inhibition of Prep enzymatic activity in TSCs by both inhibitors.

*Conclusion:* The dose-dependent effect of SUAM-14746 on TSCs suggests that Prep plays an important role in the differentiation into SpTs and TGCs in the mouse placenta.

**Keywords:** prolyl endopeptidase, SUAM-14746, placenta, protease
Abbreviations: DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; FGF4, fibroblast growth factor 4; KYP-2047, 4-Phenylbutanoyl-L-Prolyl-2(S)-Cyanopyrrolidine; MCA, 4-methyl-coumaryl-7-amide; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; PI3K, Phosphoinositide 3-kinase; Prep, prolyl endopeptidase; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; S.D., standard deviation; SpT, spongiotrophoblast; SUAM-14746, 3-([4-[2-(E)-Styrylphenoxy]butanoyl]-L-4-hydroxyprolyl)-thiazolidine; SynT, syncytiotrophoblast; TGC, trophoblast giant cell; TSC, trophoblast stem cell
1. **Introduction**

Prolyl oligopeptidase (also known as prolyl endopeptidase, E.C.3.4.21.26; gene symbol *Prep*) is a serine endopeptidase, which cleaves peptides shorter than 30-mer at the carboxyl side of a proline residue [1]. Prep was discovered as an oxytocin-cleaving uterine enzyme in human [2], and is now known to be expressed in various tissues of many species from bacteria to mammals [3]. Prep is related to various physiological events such as learning and memory [4, 5], cell signaling [6, 7], sperm motility [8–10], and cell proliferation and differentiation [11–13], so it is recognized as a multifunctional molecule. We previously reported that the mouse ovary and placenta express *Prep* mRNA at higher levels than other tissues [14, 15], but there are no reports of the function of Prep in the placenta.

The mouse placenta is composed of three layers, maternal decidua, junctional zone, and labyrinth, and three types of cells are known to be functionally important: trophoblast giant cell (TGC), spongiotrophoblast (SpT), and syncytiotrophoblast (SynT). TGC show polyploidy, characteristic of the large nucleus and cytoplasm resulting from endoreduplication [16, 17], and contributes to maintaining pregnancy by synthesizing hormones and growth factors. SpT plays a pivotal role in fetus viability [18], and SynT, a multinuclear cell formed by cell to cell fusion, functions to exchange nutrients between the embryo and the mother [19]. While the normal formation of these cells is important for the mouse placental function, the molecular mechanism(s) responsible for their differentiation is not fully understood [20, 21].

We previously reported that a high level of Prep was localized in SpTs and TGCs of the mouse placenta [14, 15]. Combined with earlier and recent works showing the involvement of Prep in cell differentiation [11, 12], we hypothesized that Prep had an important function in placental differentiation. Since Prep-knockout mice were not lethal and no phenotype was so far reported in the placenta [10, 22–28], the first step to investigate Prep’s role in placental differentiation should be done
with the trophoblast stem cell (TSC) culture system. Mouse TSCs can be maintained as undifferentiated cells in the presence of fibroblast growth factor 4 (FGF4), and by removing it, we can easily induce the differentiation into three trophoblast subtypes, TGC, SpT, and SynT [29]. Therefore, the TSC culture system is an ideal tool for analyzing the function and differentiation of the mouse placenta.

In this study, as an initial step for understanding the function of Prep in the mouse placenta, we induced the TSC differentiation in the presence of a Prep-specific inhibitor, 3-\{4-[2-(E)-Styrylphenoxy] butanoyl\}-L-4-hydroxyprolyl-thiazolidine (SUAM-14746), and investigated whether TSCs successfully differentiated into each type of placental cell. The addition of SUAM-14746 significantly inhibited the differentiation into SpTs and TGCs, which suggests that Prep plays an important role in the mouse placental differentiation into SpTs and TGCs.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. TSC culture, induction of differentiation, and treatment with Prep-specific inhibitors

TSCs derived from the E6.5 mouse embryo were kindly provided by Dr. Satoshi Tanaka [29] and maintained as reported [30]. To induce the differentiation, \(2 \times 10^5\) TSCs were seeded on a 35-mm dish, and FGF4 was removed on the next day. A Prep-specific inhibitor, SUAM-14746 [31] (Peptide Institute, Osaka, Japan) or 4-phenylbutanoyl-l-prolyl-2(S)-cyanopyrrolidine (KYP-2047) [32] (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), was added on the removal of FGF4. An equal volume of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was used as a control. Both inhibitors inhibit Prep activity by binding to the active site and blocking the access of substrates.

2.2. Quantitative reverse-transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis
Total RNAs were prepared and qRT-PCR was performed as previously described [14, 33]. All the data were normalized to *Aip*. The value at day 0 was set to 1.0 in Fig. 2A, and in other figures, the highest value was set to 1.0 in each experiment. Primer sequences are shown in Table 1.

2.3. *Preparation of soluble extract, whole cell extract, and nuclear, cytoplasmic, and membrane fractions*

The cells were suspended in phosphate buffered saline, and the soluble extract was collected by the freeze-thaw method [34]. To prepare the whole cell extract, the cells were mixed with an equal volume of 2× lysis buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.3 M NaCl, 0.5 % sodium deoxycholate, 2% NP-40, and 2 mM ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid) containing 1× proteinase inhibitor (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), incubated on ice for 5 min, and sonicated to shear nucleic acids. After centrifugation, the supernatant was used as the whole cell extract. Nuclear, cytoplasmic, and membrane fractions were prepared as previously described [15]. Protein concentration was measured with a Pierce bicinchoninic acid protein assay reagent kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) according to the manufacturer’s instruction.

2.4. *Western blot analysis*

Western blot analysis was performed as previously described [15], using the purified anti-Prep antibody (52 ng/ml at a final concentration [15]) and the anti-Actb polyclonal antibody (1:1000 dilution, GTX109639, Genetex, Irvine, CA) as primary antibodies. As secondary antibodies, goat anti-chicken IgY antibody (1:10,000 dilution, 103-035-155, Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA) and anti-mouse IgG antibody (1:5,000 dilution, NA934V, GE healthcare, Piscataway, NJ) were used for Prep and Actb, respectively. The signals were detected using Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and quantified by the Image J software [35] to normalize the Prep band intensity to Actb. To confirm the specificity, we also
performed this experiment by using the anti-Prep antibody preincubated with an excess amount of recombinant Prep protein.

2.5. Measurement of Prep enzyme activity

The specific Prep activity toward Suc-Gly-Pro-Leu-Gly-Pro-4-methyl-coumaryl-7-amide (MCA) (Peptide Institute) was measured as previously described [36]. In brief, soluble TSC extracts (3 µg for Fig 2; 30 µg for Figs 4, 6) with or without a Prep-specific inhibitor were reacted in 0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) containing 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol with 0.1 mM substrate in a volume of 500 µl at 37°C for 20 min. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 2.5 ml of 30 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.3) containing 100 mM monochloroacetic acid. Prep activity was assessed by measuring the released fluorophore 7-amo4-methylcoumarin using an excitation wavelength of 370 nm and an emission wavelength of 460 nm.

2.6. Cell viability assay

TSC viability was assessed by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay using MTT Cell Count kit (Nakalai tesque, Kyoto, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations with slight modifications. 0.2×10^5 cells were seeded onto each well of a 24-well plate, and the differentiation was induced with 10 µM or 30 µM SUAM-14746 or DMSO (control). After cultured for 4 or 6 days, the cells were treated with MTT reagent for 3 hr at 37°C. Then, the solubilization solution was added and the cells were incubated at 37°C for overnight. The amount of formazan product was measured using a spectrophotometer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) at 550 nm absorbance.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Results were represented as the average ± standard deviation (S.D.), and their statistical
significance was analyzed by Student’s t test, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s post hoc test or Dunnett’s test. P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Expression of marker genes during TSC differentiation

We first checked the expression of marker genes by qRT-PCR. The genes were Cdx2 for undifferentiated cells, Gcm1 for SynT, Tpbpα for SpT, and Pl1 for TGC. Cdx2 expression was decreased immediately after the induction (Fig. 1A), and Gcm1 expression was increased to reach a peak at day 2, followed by a reduction (Fig. 1B). Tpbpα and Pl1 expression were gradually increased during the differentiation and became the highest at day 6 (Fig. 1C and D). These expression patterns verified that TSC differentiation was successful as in other reports [29, 37, 38].

3.2. Prep expression and enzymatic activity during TSC differentiation

We investigated Prep expression and enzymatic activity during TSC differentiation. By qRT-PCR, Prep mRNA was significantly increased after the induction and reached a peak at day 4 (Fig. 2A). By Western blot analysis with both soluble and whole cell extracts, Prep protein was detected at a constant level during TSC differentiation (Fig. 2B and C). Soluble and whole cell extracts were used because Prep is mainly localized in the cytosol but membrane-bound and nuclear forms also exist in some cell types [12, 15]. In Western blot analysis with TSC extracts, we sometimes detected extra bands at upper and lower positions of a main 73 kDa band, but they were not specific Prep signals as revealed by blotting with pre-absorbed antibody (Fig. 2D). By Western blot analysis with subcellular fractions of TSCs at day 0 and day 6, the Prep signal was observed mainly in the cytoplasm and at lower levels in the membrane fraction, but not in the nucleus (Fig. 2E). The Prep enzymatic activity was detected
during the entire period of TSC differentiation, and the TSC sample at day 4 showed low but
significantly higher activity than that at day 0 (Fig. 2F). These results indicated that Prep mRNA,
protein, and enzymatic activity were all detected at high levels and the Prep protein was mostly
localized in the cytoplasm during TSC differentiation.

3.3. TSC differentiation in the presence of SUAM-14746

To examine a function of Prep in TSC differentiation, we added a Prep-specific inhibitor,
SUAM-14746, at various concentrations, and the cell morphology and marker gene expression were
examined. When we added 30 µM SUAM-14746 and cultured for 4 days, there were many TGC-like
cells that had large cytoplasms and nuclei in the control cells (Fig. 3A center), compared with
undifferentiated cells (Fig. 3A left). However, the number and percentage of the TGC-like cells
dramatically decreased in the presence of 30 µM SUAM-14746 (Fig. 3A right).

We then examined the marker gene expression at day 2 and day 6 by qRT-PCR. With the addition
of SUAM-14746 at 10 µM and 30 µM, Cdx2 expression was not different from the control (Fig. 3B).
Gcm1 expression was increased at day 2, similarly to the control cells, but at day 6, it was decreased in
a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3C). Tpbpa and Pl1 expression were considerably decreased in TSCs
cultured with SUAM-14746 at day 6 compared to the control (Fig. 3D and E). With the addition of 5
µM and 1 µM SUAM-14746, we did not observe any difference in Cdx2 expression between the cells
with the inhibitor and the control cells (Fig. 3F), and Gcm1 expression was decreased in a
dose-dependent manner at day 6 (Fig. 3G). Tpbpa expression was significantly decreased by 5 µM
SUAM-14746 at day 6 (Fig. 3H), and Pl1 expression was not changed by this concentration (Fig. 3I).
1 µM SUAM did not affect the differentiation of any types of cells compared to control.

We checked whether this inhibitor actually inhibited Prep enzymatic activity in TSCs. By the
enzyme assay, Prep activity in TSC extracts collected at day 0 and 6 was greatly decreased by 1-30
µM SUAM-14746 (Fig. 4). Thus, our results demonstrated that SUAM-14746 specifically impaired
the TSC differentiation into SpTs and TGCs.

3.4. Cell Viability in the Presence of SUAM-14746

To test the cytotoxicity of SUAM-14746, we checked the TSC viability by MTT assay. After the differentiation induction for 4 days and 6 days with 10 µM or 30 µM SUAM-14746, we treated the cells with MTT and performed the assay. The viability was not significantly different between the cells with SUAM-14746 and the control cells (Fig. 5). Thus, this inhibitor did not affect the TSC viability.

3.5. TSC differentiation with another Prep-specific inhibitor, KYP-2047

Finally, we examined the effect of another Prep-specific inhibitor, KYP-2047, on TSC differentiation. We induced the TSC differentiation with 60 µM KYP-2047 and collected total RNAs at day 6. Unexpectedly, Tphpα and PII expression were not different between the control cells and TSCs treated with KYP-2047 (Fig. 6A and B), while this inhibitor efficiently decreased Prep activity in TSC extracts (Fig. 6C and D). These data indicated that KYP-2047 did not inhibit the TSC differentiation into SpTs and TGCs.

4. Discussion

During TSC differentiation, Prep mRNA was significantly increased at day 4, whereas the Prep protein amount and enzymatic activity were fairly constant. In addition, we observed a slight but significant increase in Prep activity at day 4, but the Prep protein amount was constant during TSC differentiation. This kind of discrepancy was reported in several studies [3], and is considered to be due to the stability of Prep mRNA, the translational efficiency, rapid turnover of Prep protein, or the existence of endogenous Prep inhibitors [39–41]. Thus, such post-transcriptional regulation of the
Prep gene may be present during TSC differentiation.

We detected the Prep protein in both cytoplasm and membrane fractions but not in the nuclei in TSCs before and after differentiation. While this is consistent with our previous result showing the Prep protein in the cytoplasm and membrane of trophoblast cell lineages within the mouse placenta [15], it is the nuclear Prep that was suggested to play roles in cell differentiation [11, 12]. Considering that the relation of membrane-bound Prep to cell differentiation is not reported and that most Prep was present in the cytoplasm of TSC, the cytoplasmic Prep is likely to function in TSC differentiation.

Of particular interest is that SUAM-14746 had no effects on the initiation of TSC differentiation whereas TSCs did not complete the differentiation into TGCs and SpTs. Because multinuclear SynTs generally accounted for only a small percentage of cells in TSC differentiation, the results indicated that most cells were neither undifferentiated cells nor any of TGCs, SpTs, and SynTs in the presence of SUAM-14746. We do not know what specific differentiation state the cells possessed, but they could have been in a transitory state or had become cell types unrelated to the placenta.

The expression of a SynT marker gene, Gcm1, was significantly decreased by the addition of SUAM-14746 at day 6. However, given that Gcm1 expression was not affected by SUAM-14746 at day 2, this inhibitor probably had no effect on SynT differentiation, and we think that Prep is unlikely to be involved in TSC differentiation into SynTs. The reason why it was decreased at day 6 is unclear but might be due to change in the relative percentage of each cell type during the culture. The detailed mechanism of SynT differentiation will require further investigation.

In contrast, the TSC differentiation into SpTs and TGCs was specifically inhibited by the addition of SUAM-14746 at day 6. The dose-dependent decrease of Tphpα and Pl1 expression supports the specific effect of SUAM-14746. Our previous data demonstrating the Prep localization at higher levels in SpTs and TGCs in the mouse placenta are also consistent with its role in the differentiation into these cells [15]. In addition, several studies reporting Prep’s involvement in cell differentiation [11, 12] support the idea that Prep has a fundamental function via the regulation of various types of cell
differentiation. Collectively, our current data strongly suggest that Prep plays an important role in the 
regulation of placental differentiation into TGCs and SpTs.

Then, by what kind of mechanism does Prep control the TSC differentiation? It is notable that the 
phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt pathway is involved in activation of the Mash2 gene, a key 
factor for SpT differentiation [18, 42, 43]. Because Prep regulates the PI3K/Akt pathway [7], it may be 
involved in some aspect of controlling the pathway promoting SpT differentiation. In the case of TGC 
differentiation, Prep may be related to the regulation of pathways including inositol trisphosphate [6, 
44, 45]. Prep is known to function as an interacting molecule [22, 46–48], and therefore it is plausible 
it controls TSC differentiation through interaction with other proteins.

While SUAM-14746 efficiently inhibited the TSC differentiation into SpTs and TGCs, another 
Prep-specific inhibitor, KYP-2047, had no effect. Since both inhibitors greatly decreased Prep 
enzymatic activity, Prep is likely involved in TSC differentiation as an interacting protein but not as a 
protease. The binding of the two inhibitors may cause different conformational changes in the Prep 
protein with different implications for differentiation. For example, SUAM-14746 may lead to 
dissociation between Prep and its interacting proteins, but KYP-2047 may not result in such changes. 
Therefore, the two inhibitors, SUAM-14746 and KYP-2047, probably have different properties, and it 
is SUAM-14746 that inhibits TSC differentiation into SpTs and TGCs.

In conclusion, a Prep-specific inhibitor, SUAM-14746, specifically impaired the TSC 
differentiation into SpTs and TGCs, which strongly suggests that Prep plays an important role in the 
differentiation of SpTs and TGCs in the mouse placenta. This is the first report to indicate the 
involvement of Prep in placental differentiation.
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Figure legends

Fig. 1. The expression pattern of marker genes for each type of placental cell by qRT-PCR.

qRT-PCR was performed with total RNAs prepared from TSCs at indicated days. The expression level was normalized with that of the Aip gene, and the highest value in each data set was set to 1.0. The graph shows the relative expression of each marker gene after induction of differentiation. All the data are presented as mean ± S.D. from six independent experiments, and the statistical significance was
analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. *\(P < 0.05\), **\(P < 0.001\), ***\(P < 0.0001\), ****\(P < 0.0000001\) compared with day 0. A: Cdx2 for the undifferentiated cell, B: Gcm1 for SynT, C: Tphα for SpT, and D: Pl1 for TGC.

**Fig. 2. Prep expression and enzymatic activity during TSC differentiation.** A: Prep mRNA expression by qRT-PCR. The Prep expression level was normalized with a reference gene, Aip, and the value at day 0 was set to 1.0. All the data are presented as mean ± S.D. from six independent experiments, and were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. †\(P < 0.01\) compared with day 0. Prep mRNA expression significantly increased after the induction, reached a peak at day 4, and decreased thereafter. B, C: Western blot analysis for analyzing the Prep protein amount. 10 µg of soluble extract (B) or whole cell extract (C) from TSCs at indicated days were used. Specific Prep bands are indicated by arrows. The signal intensity was measured using Image J software, and the Prep signal was normalized to Actb. The relative Prep protein amount is shown in the upper graph, and the image below the graph is a representative blot result from three independent experiments. The data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test, but Prep levels did not significantly change during TSC differentiation. D: Western blot analysis for specificity of the anti-Prep antibody. The anti-Prep antibody was pre-absorbed with recombinant Prep protein and used for Western blot analysis with soluble and whole cell extracts from TSCs at day 6. Two or three bands were detected, but only a main band disappeared in each sample with pre-absorbed antibody. The main Prep band is indicated by black arrowheads, and the other bands by white arrowheads. E: Western blot analysis with cytoplasmic, membrane, and nuclear fractions of TSCs. In both TSCs at day 0 and day 6, the Prep signal was mainly detected in the cytoplasmic fraction and at a lower level in the membrane but not in the nucleus. F: Prep enzymatic activity against an MCA substrate, Suc-Gly-Pro-Leu-Gly-Pro-MCA. The data are presented as mean ± S.D. from three independent experiments, and were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. †\(P < 0.01\)
compared with day 0. The activity was significantly increased at day 4.

**Fig. 3. The effects of SUAM-14746 on TSC differentiation.** A: Morphology of TSCs by microscopic observation. Undifferentiated cells (left), control cells at day 4 (middle), and cells with 30 μM SUAM-14746 at day 4 (right) are shown. Many TGC-like cells are observed in the control, but not in the cells treated with the inhibitor. The white bar represents 500 μm. B-E: The marker gene expression in TSCs treated with SUAM-14746 by qRT-PCR. Total RNAs were purified from TSCs treated with 30 μM and 10 μM SUAM-14746 or DMSO (control) for indicated days. There was no difference in Cdx2 expression (B), and Gcm1 expression was significantly decreased at day 6 (C). Tphpα and Pl1 expression were considerably decreased at day 6 (D, E). F-I: The marker gene expression in TSCs with 10 μM, 5 μM, and 1 μM SUAM-14746 for indicated days. 5 μM SUAM-14746 significantly affected the expression of Gcm1 and Tphpα at day 6. The data are presented as mean ± S.D. from three independent experiments, and the statistical significance was analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test to compare the samples with SUAM-14746 to the control. ‡P < 0.05, ‡‡P < 0.01, ‡‡‡P < 0.001, ‡‡‡‡P < 0.0000001 compared to the cells with DMSO.

**Fig. 4. The inhibitory effects of SUAM-14746 on Prep in TSCs.** Soluble extracts of TSCs at day 0 (A) and day 6 (B) were incubated with 30 μM, 10 μM, 5 μM, 1μM SUAM-14746, or DMSO (control), and Prep enzymatic activity was measured. The value of control was set to 100 in both data sets, and relative Prep enzymatic activity is shown. All concentrations of SUAM-14746 efficiently inhibited Prep activity. The data are presented as mean ± S.D. from three independent experiments, and the statistical significance was analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test to compare the samples with SUAM-14746 to the control. ¶P < 0.000000000001, ¶¶P < 0.0000000000000001 compared to the control.
Fig. 5. The effects of SUAM-14746 on TSC viability. 30 µM and 10 µM SUAM-14746 or an equal volume of DMSO (control) was added to the medium at the time of induction. The cells were cultured for 4 or 6 days and subject to the MTT assay. The cell viability was represented by the amount of formazan product which was measured by a spectrophotometer at 550 nm absorbance. The data are averages from three independent experiments, and were analyzed by Student’s t test to compare the sample with SUAM-14746 at the indicated concentration to the control. The addition of SUAM-14746 at both concentrations made no significant difference in the absorbance value from the control cells at day 4 and day 6.

Fig. 6. The effect of 60 µM KYP-2047 on TSC differentiation. A, B: Tpbp and Pl1 expression in TSCs cultured for 6 days and in undifferentiated cells with 60 µM KYP-2047 or DMSO (control) by qRT-PCR. The data are averages from three independent experiments, and were analyzed by Student’s t test to compare the sample with 60 µM KYP-2047 to the control. Unlike SUAM-14746, 60 µM KYP-2047 did not affect the expression of the two marker genes. C, D: The inhibitory effects of 60 µM KYP-2047 on Prep enzymatic activity in TSCs at day 0 (C) and day 6 (D). The data are averages from three independent experiments, and were analyzed by Student’s t test to compare the sample with KYP-2047 to the control. §P < 0.000000000000001 compared to the control.
Table 1 Primers used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse primer</th>
<th>Refseq ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prep</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>GGAATCGATGCTGCTGATTA</td>
<td>CCATCCAGCTTTATGCCTTT</td>
<td>NM_011156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdx2</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>TGGAGCTGGAGAAGGAGTTT</td>
<td>CTGCGGTCTGAAACCAAAT</td>
<td>NM_007673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gcm1</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>AACACCAAAACACAAACCTCC</td>
<td>CAGCTTTTCCTGCTGCTT</td>
<td>NM_008103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tphpa</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>TGGATGCTGAAGCTGAGAGAG</td>
<td>TCCGTCTCTGGTCATTTC</td>
<td>NM_009411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pl1</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>TTGGCCCGAGGTGTAGTATAG</td>
<td>TCGTGGACTTCTCTCGATT</td>
<td>NM_008864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aip</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>GAGGACGGATCCAAAAGC</td>
<td>CTGTGCAGCGTCCGAAAGT</td>
<td>NM_016666</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Fig. 6.**

**Panel A:**
- **Tphα** relative mRNA expression.
- Time (Day): 0 and 6.
- Comparison between undifferentiated and control conditions.

**Panel B:**
- **P11** relative mRNA expression.
- Time (Day): 0 and 6.
- Comparison between undifferentiated and control conditions.

**Panel C:**
- **Relative Prep activity**.
- Day 0.
- Comparison between control and 60μM KYP-2047.

**Panel D:**
- **Relative Prep activity**.
- Day 6.
- Comparison between control and 60μM KYP-2047.