



Title	Investigation of Chromium Removal Efficacy from Tannery Effluent by Synthesized Chitosan from Crab Shell
Author(s)	Hossain, Kaniz Fatima Binte; Sikder, Md. Tajuddin; Rahman, Md. Mostafizur; Uddin, Md. Khabir; Kurasaki, Masaaki
Citation	Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 42(4), 1569-1577 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-017-2435-0
Issue Date	2017-04
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/68651
Rights	The final publication is available at link.springer.com
Type	article (author version)
File Information	Corrected Manuscript- Final - 2.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

1 **Investigation of chromium removal efficacy from tannery effluent by synthesized**
2 **chitosan from crab shell**

3

4 Kaniz Fatima Binte Hossain^{1,2}, Md. Tajuddin Sikder^{2,3,*}, Md. Mostafizur Rahman^{1,2}, Md. Khabir Uddin¹,
5 Maasaki Kurasaki²

6

7 ¹Department of Environmental Sciences, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka-1342, Bangladesh.

8

9 ²Graduate School of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

10

11 ³Department of Public Health and Informatics, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka-1342, Bangladesh

12

13 * Corresponding author. Tel.: 7791045-51 (Ext. 1728), Fax: 880-2779105

14 E-mail address: sikder@ees.hokudai.ac.jp, sikder@juniv.edu, (M.T. Sikder)

15

1 Investigation of chromium removal efficacy from tannery effluents by synthesized chitosan from crab 2 shells

3 Abstract

4 The efficiency of chromium removal from tannery effluents using prepared crab shell chitosan was studied.
5 Adsorption of Cr by chitosan was investigated at various contact times, pH values, adsorbent dosages, and
6 temperatures. The amount of Cr adsorbed under different conditions was evaluated using atomic adsorption
7 spectroscopy (AAS). Subsequently, the changes in the physicochemical parameters were also examined. It was
8 found that the total dissolved solids (TDS), electrical conductivity (EC), and turbidity of the tannery effluent
9 decreased significantly after treatment with crab shell chitosan. No significant changes were found after
10 different soaking times; in most cases, the best adsorption was achieved within the first hour of treatment. On
11 the other hand, the total organic carbon (TOC) and pH increased significantly after treatment with chitosan,
12 possibly owing to dissolution of organic compounds from the adsorbent materials. The concentration of Cr was
13 significantly reduced after treatment with chitosan under different treatment conditions. However, the highest
14 amount of Cr was adsorbed within the first hour of contact. After studying different treatment conditions, it was
15 found that maximum Cr removal from the tannery effluent was achieved at pH 3 (60 °C) using an adsorbent
16 dose of 5 g/100 mL.

17 [Thematic Scheme to be inserted here](#)

18 1. Introduction

19 The tanning industry has been considered a major pollution source, and tannery wastewater is subsequently a
20 potential environmental concern. Tannery wastewater characteristically contains a complex mixture of both
21 organic and inorganic dissolved and suspended solids with high oxygen demand and potentially toxic metal salt
22 residues [1, 2]. Chlorinated phenols and chromium have been found to be closely associated with tannery waste
23 [3, 4]. Pollution by Cr is of considerable concern, as the metal has found widespread use in electroplating, metal
24 finishing, leather tanning, nuclear power plants, and the textile industry [5]. Cr occurs in two relatively stable
25 valence states in aqueous solution, i.e., in the forms of Cr (III) and Cr (VI), which have quite different effects on
26 biological systems. Cr (III) is considered an essential micronutrient for humans, playing a role in the
27 maintenance of normal glucose, cholesterol, and fatty acid metabolism [6]. In contrast, Cr (VI) is highly toxic,
28 as it can diffuse as CrO_4^{2-} or HCrO_4^- through cell membranes [7]. Its acute toxic effects include immediate
29 cardiovascular shock, with later effects on the kidneys, liver, and blood-forming organs [8, 9], and it can
30 damage nerves, the liver, and bones and block the functional groups of essential enzymes [10–13]. Hexavalent
31 chromium is toxic and mutagenic to most organisms and is known to cause cancer; it also causes lung
32 carcinoma in human beings [14]. Exposure to metals like chromium increases the risk of dermatitis, ulcers, nasal
33 septum perforation, and lung cancer [15].

34 The conversion of raw leather to finished leather involves different processes, including disinfecting, soaking,
35 fleshing, dehairing, bathing, tanning, and finishing. The various chemicals used for leather processing are the
36 main sources of chemical pollutants [16]. The Hazaribagh tanneries in Bangladesh follow the practice of chrome
37 tanning, which is used by 80% of tanneries in South Asian and African countries. In traditional chrome tanning

1 practices, only 50–60% of the chromium applied is adsorbed by the leather and the rest is discharged as waste
2 [17]. Most of the industries in the Hazaribagh area do not recover chromium for reuse. Once deposited in the
3 soil, chromium may be virtually permanent [18]. It enters the food chain through consumption of plant material.
4 A high concentration of Cr has been found to be harmful to vegetation. As the chromium concentration in plants
5 increases, several biological parameters are adversely affected. Ultimately, there is loss of vegetation, and land
6 sometimes becomes barren [19].

7 Heavy metals produce undesirable effects, even if they are present in trace quantities. Traditional treatment
8 methods such as chemical precipitation as metal hydroxides, electrodeposition, ion exchange, chemical
9 oxidation or reduction, filtration, electrochemical treatment, application of membrane technology, and
10 evaporation recovery have been applied for metal removal from industrial wastewater [20, 21]. However, these
11 processes have considerable disadvantages including incomplete metal removal, requirement of expensive
12 equipment and monitoring systems, high reagent or energy requirements, or generation of toxic sludge or other
13 waste products that require disposal [22–24]. Sorption, however, seems to be a good alternative. New research
14 has shown effective sorption of heavy metals using agricultural products and by-products, such as Oat biomass,
15 saw dust, rice husk, raw rice bran, Sugarcane bagasse, fly ash, Coconut shell etc. [25].

16 The use of adsorbents containing natural polymers has received great attention, particularly polysaccharides
17 such as chitin and chitosan [26, 27]. Chitosan is a biodegradable, biocompatible, non-toxic biopolymer reported
18 to be an efficient heavy metal scavenger owing to the presence of amino groups [28]. Biosorption is considered
19 an effective method for heavy metal removal as it is cost effective and environmentally friendly.

20 A lot of work has been done in the removal of Cr ions from aqueous solution using chitosan and various
21 modified chitosan [27, 29-37]. Most of the work focused on the removal of Cr using synthetic solutions but not
22 actual water systems. Although the effluent from real industries has a heterogeneous mixture of numerous
23 chemicals with complex interactions between them, there are very few studies regarding these complex water
24 systems. Thus, in this study, the effectiveness of decontamination of tannery wastewater using synthesized
25 chitosan from crab shells was investigated.

26 **2. Materials and Methods**

27 **2.1. Materials**

28 Crab shells were collected from a local market. Dihydrogen phosphate salt and potassium hydrogen phthalate
29 salt were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (India). Distilled water and Milli-Q water were employed throughout
30 this work and all other reagents such as acetic acid, hydrochloric acid, and sodium hydroxide were of analytical
31 reagent grade.

32 **2.2. Methods**

33 **2.2.1. Preparation of chitosan from crab shells**

34 Chitosan was prepared according to the method of Yen et al. (2008) [38] with some modification. The collected
35 crab shells were washed thoroughly with distilled water. At the preconditioning stage, the crab shells were

1 soaked in a 0.05 M acetic acid solution for 24 h. Then, the shells were washed thoroughly with distilled water
2 and dried to remove excess water. Then, the dried shells were demineralized using 0.68 M HCl (1:10 w/v) at
3 ambient temperature (approximately 30 °C) for 6 h. The residue was washed with distilled water until a pH in
4 the 6.5–7.5 range was obtained and then the residue was dried again. Afterwards, the demineralized shells were
5 deproteinized using a 0.62 M NaOH solution (1:10 w/v) at ambient temperature (approximately 30 °C) for 16 h.
6 Then, the residue was washed thoroughly with distilled water until a pH in the 6.5–7.5 range was obtained. The
7 chitin obtained through the above process was deacetylated with 40% NaOH (1:10 w/v) for 1 h at 120 °C. After
8 deacetylation, the chitosan was washed thoroughly with water and then with distilled water until a pH in the
9 range of 6.5–7.5 was obtained. The chitosan was dried, ground, and screened for later use as an adsorbent.

10 **2.2.2. FTIR analysis of synthesized chitosan**

11 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy measurement was performed using an FTIR analyzer
12 (IRPrestige-21, Shimadzu, Japan) to determine the presence of functional groups in the synthesized crab shell
13 chitosan at room temperature. The chitosan was dried thoroughly in an oven at 50 °C and KBr was used to
14 prepare pellets. Two grams of KBr were placed in a mortar and the sample was added (about 1–2%), mixed, and
15 ground into a fine powder. Two stainless steel disks were taken. A piece of pre-cut cardboard was placed on
16 top of one disk that had been stored in a desiccator, and the cut-out hole was filled with the finely ground
17 mixture of chitosan and KBr. The second stainless steel disk was placed on top and the "sandwich" was
18 transferred to the piston of a hydraulic press. The sample pellet was pressed with a pressure of 20000 psi, to
19 prepare a pellet of chitosan-KBr mixture. Then, this film was removed from the hydraulic press, and had
20 become homogeneous and transparent in appearance. When it was ready for FTIR analysis, the analysis was
21 performed in the 4000–400 cm⁻¹ range.

22 **2.2.3. Sample collection**

23 The wastewater samples were collected from Eco-Tan Leathers tannery at Hazaribagh, Dhaka, Bangladesh. The
24 samples were collected from the chrome tanning unit of the industrial unit in clean dry plastic containers; each
25 of them contained 20 L of effluent. Prior to sampling, the containers were washed with a 1 M HNO₃ solution
26 and rinsed thoroughly with distilled water. The containers were also rinsed with sample water before the
27 collection of the water samples. After the collection of wastewater, the pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) were
28 measured in situ. Then, the containers were sealed tightly and preserved for later experiments.

29 **2.2.4. Measurement of physicochemical parameters**

30 The measured physicochemical parameters of the tannery wastewater samples were pH, total dissolved solids
31 (TDS), turbidity, biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), DO, electrical conductivity (EC), salinity, and chemical
32 oxygen demand (COD). The pH and TDS were measured using a pH meter (HM-30P pH Meter) and TDS meter
33 (Hanna, HI 8734), respectively. EC, salinity, DO, and turbidity were measured using an EC meter (CM-31P),
34 salinity meter (Hanna, HI 8734), DO meter (Jenway, 970 DO2 Meter, U.K.), and turbidity meter (Hanna, HI
35 93703), respectively. BOD and COD were measured using the five-day BOD test and closed reflux colorimetric
36 method, respectively. All instruments were calibrated or verified in accordance with the manufacturers'
37 instructions prior to use in the field. If the instrument readings were not within 10% of the calibration standards,

1 then the unit was recalibrated. The probe or the electrode of the instrument was rinsed thoroughly with distilled
2 water and wiped using tissue paper. The probe was placed in the water sample to be measured and the
3 instrument reading was allowed to stabilize. The final reading was recorded.

4
5 The BOD was measured using the five-day BOD test. In order to obtain BOD₅, the DO concentrations in a
6 sample must be measured before and after the incubation period and appropriately adjusted by the
7 corresponding sample dilution factor. This analysis was performed using 300 mL incubation bottles in
8 which buffered dilution water was dosed with sample water and stored for five days in an incubator at 20 °C to
9 prevent DO production via photosynthesis [39]. The BOD₅ was calculated as follows:

10
$$BOD_5 = \frac{(D_0 - D_5)}{P} \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

11 Where, D₀ is the dissolved oxygen (DO) of the diluted solution after preparation (mg/l), D₅ is the DO of the
12 diluted solution after 5 day incubation (mg/l) and P is the decimal dilution factor.

13

14 **2.2.5. Batch adsorption using crab shell chitosan**

15 The adsorption of chromium ions by chitosan was examined at different contact times (1, 2, 5, 6, 12, and 24 h).
16 Five grams of chitosan were soaked in 100 mL of tannery wastewater. Then, the mixture was stirred
17 continuously using a shaking incubator for 1–24 h at room temperature. After incubation for different times, the
18 chitosan was separated from the wastewater by filtering. Then, the physicochemical parameters were measured.
19 Furthermore, adsorption of Cr by chitosan was examined at different adsorbent dosages (1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, and 5 g),
20 pH values ranging from 3 to 8, and temperatures (30, 40, 50, and 60 °C).

21 **2.2.6. AAS analysis**

22 Atomic adsorption spectroscopy (AAS) is one of the most popular analytical techniques used for the
23 determination of metals and metalloids in solution. Five standard solutions of chromium of different
24 concentrations (1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 ppm) were prepared as reference solutions. After filtering the chitosan from the
25 wastewater, 0.05 mL of concentrated HNO₃ was added to each filtrate. The filtrates and raw samples were
26 analyzed using flame AAS (AA-700, Shimadzu, Japan) to determine the amount of chromium adsorbed by
27 chitosan.

28 **2.2.7. TOC analysis**

29 For TOC analysis, TOC vials and microfiber filter papers were combusted in a digital muffle furnace (MF-03,
30 made in Korea) at 450 °C. Then, the filtrates and raw samples were filtered using glass microfiber filter paper
31 (Whatman Schleicher & Schuell Glass Microfibre filters, England) using a filter unit and analyzed to determine
32 the TOC using a potassium hydrogen phthalate solution as the standard solution in the TOC analyzer (TOC-L
33 CNP, Shimadzu). The standard solution was prepared by dissolving 0.21 g of potassium hydrogen phthalate in
34 1000 mL of Milli-Q water.

35 **3. Results**

1 3.1. Physicochemical parameters of tannery effluent

2 The physicochemical parameters of the tannery effluent, such as BOD, color, chromium concentration, DO, EC,
3 odor, pH, salinity, TDS, TOC, turbidity, and COD, were measured. Most of the values of these parameters were
4 above the acceptable limits. The pH was 4.56, whereas the acceptable range is 6.5–9 [40]. The chromium
5 concentration was 1345 mg/L, which is very much toxic for living beings, and the acceptable value is only 2
6 mg/L. The TDS and EC were alarming because the acceptable value for TDS is only 2100 mg/L. The tannery
7 effluent was odorous and bluish, which will affect photosynthesis in water bodies. The physicochemical
8 parameters are shown in Table 1.

9 **Table 1 to be inserted here**

10 3.2. FTIR analysis of chitosan

11 The FTIR spectrum of the synthesized chitosan showed many peaks (Fig. 1). Among them, a characteristic peak
12 at 3305.99 cm^{-1} due to the N-H symmetric stretching vibration indicates the presence of amino ($-\text{NH}_2$) groups.
13 The peak at 1645.28 cm^{-1} due to N-H bending also indicates 1° amines. The peaks at 3643.53 and 3444.8728
14 cm^{-1} are attributed to O-H stretching for an alcohol or phenol. The peak at 1070.49 cm^{-1} indicates C-N
15 stretching for aliphatic amines. Therefore, the FTIR spectrum suggests that chitosan was prepared successfully
16 [41].

17 **Figure 1 to be inserted here**

18 3.3. Changes in physicochemical parameters of the tannery effluent after adsorption by chitosan

19 From the result of physico-chemical properties of tannery effluent after adsorption with synthesized chitosan
20 (Fig 2), it was found that the each parameter (pH, TOC, EC and TDS) was changed significantly ($p < 0.05$) from
21 their respective initial content. In the batch adsorption the pH, adsorbent dose and temperature were 4.56,
22 5g/100ml, and 30°C respectively. The pH (Fig 2a) of the effluent increased to an acceptable limit (8.13-8.72).
23 There was a significant difference between the initial value and the value after treatment for different soaking
24 time (1-24 hr) at 5% level of significance. However, there is no significant change observed within the applied
25 soaking time (1-24 hrs).

26 **Figure 2 to be inserted here**

27 There was a significant change in the Total Organic Carbon after adsorption with synthesized chitosan from the
28 initial TOC value (1302 mgL^{-1}) and was increased to a certain value (up to 1916 mgL^{-1}). A significant difference
29 was found in TOC value with increasing soaking time for adsorption ($p < 0.05$) (Fig 2b). The TDS values after
30 the adsorption with synthesized chitosan showed a significant decrease ($p < 0.05$) from the initial value (Fig 3c).
31 TDS decreased from 65500 to 43600mg/L within one hour of adsorption. After one hour the decrease of TDS
32 was not significant with the TDS at one hour of adsorption.

33 A significant decrease ($p < 0.05$) was observed in EC values from the initial value after adsorption with the
34 synthesized chitosan (Fig 2d). EC decreased from 105.1 to 92.8 mS/cm within two hours of adsorption time.
35 There was no significant difference in residual EC value at 5, 6, 12 and 24 hour of adsorption, compared with
36 the residual EC value of two hours of adsorption time.

1 The turbidity is one of the prominent factor determine water quality. The turbidity after adsorption was
2 significantly changed ($p < 0.05$) from the initial value (47.73 FTU). Turbidity was almost removed by adsorption
3 with synthesized chitosan within two hours (Fig 3). After two hours the decrease of turbidity was not significant
4 with the turbidity value at two hours of adsorption.

5 **Figure 3 to be inserted here**

6 **3.4. Removal of Cr by adsorption onto chitosan**

7 The result of chromium removal by adsorption with crab shell chitosan in different treatment conditions is
8 shown in Figs 4 and 5. In temperature study, adsorption was increased with increasing temperature. The residual
9 chromium concentrations after adsorption with synthesized chitosan at different temperature, are significantly
10 different ($p < 0.05$) from each other. At 60°C chitosan absorbed best and the concentration of chromium was
11 0.2089 mgL^{-1} and in 30°C , the Cr concentration was 1.20 mg/L (Fig 4a).

12 In pH variable study, a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) was observed in residual chromium ions concentration
13 in tannery effluent after adsorption with synthesized chitosan (Fig 4b). Adsorption of chromium onto
14 synthesized chitosan was increased with decreasing pH. Adsorption reached its highest point at pH 3, when the
15 chromium concentration was 0.07 mgL^{-1} , and lowest adsorption at pH 8, when the chromium concentration of
16 the solution was 0.995 mgL^{-1} .

17 **Figure 4 to be inserted here**

18 In dose variable experiment, a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) was found in chromium concentration after the
19 adsorption with synthesized chitosan of different doses. Adsorption of chromium was increased with increasing
20 adsorbent doses. After using 1 g of chitosan, the chromium concentration of the effluent was 1.17 mg/L and
21 using 5 g of adsorbent the chromium concentration was 0.281 mg/L (Fig 5a). With increasing adsorption dose,
22 residual chromium concentrations were significantly decreased ($p < 0.05$).

23 **Figure 5 to be inserted here**

24 The initial concentration of chromium in tannery effluent was 1345 mg/L . A significant decrease of chromium
25 concentration was observed after adsorption with 5 g/100ml of synthesized chitosan (Fig 5b). Chromium
26 concentration decreased to 1.17 mg/L within one hour of adsorption. So the removal efficiency was 99.9%.
27 After one hour of adsorption the chromium concentration decrease was not statistically significant.

28 **4. Discussion**

29 The tanneries in Bangladesh are the source of severe water pollution. They produce both solid and liquid
30 wastes containing high levels of chromium and discharge continuously into the Buriganga River. The pollution
31 in the aqueous environment affects fish and other organisms, and may ultimately affect human beings via the
32 food chain. It has been known that the meat tissue scraped out of raw hides is used as chicken feed after some
33 treatment. The feed may be contaminated with high levels of chromium, which may enter our bodies through the
34 food chain. Therefore, treatment of tannery effluents is a great concern. The batch adsorption study showed that
35 chitosan can be used as an efficient adsorbent for the treatment of tannery effluents. The levels of some

1 physicochemical parameters became acceptable after using the synthesized crab shell chitosan. After the
2 adsorption process, the pH and turbidity reached acceptable values. The values of some parameters (TDS and
3 EC) changed to a good extent, and TOC was increased dramatically. This situation suggests that other treatment
4 methods are also needed after treating with chitosan.

5 After adsorption with synthesized chitosan pH increased to nearly 8 and that is an acceptable value for tannery
6 effluent. The Increase of pH probably caused by excess sodium hydroxide remained with chitosan from the
7 preparation stage. But with increasing adsorption time there was no difference in pH value. There was a
8 significant change in the Total Organic Carbon after adsorption onto synthesized chitosan from the initial TOC
9 value and was increased up to 1916 mgL⁻¹. TOC value was increased with adsorption time. It may be because of
10 dissolution of chitosan in the solution with time.

11 TDS and EC were decreased significantly ($p < 0.05$) from the initial values. The positively charged chromium
12 ions of the solution probably adsorbed on the synthesized chitosan surface, which lead to decrease of dissolved
13 ions in solution and therefore decrease of electrical conductivity. Because, chitosan has been used for the
14 chelation of metal ions in near-neutral solution, the complexation of anions in acidic solution (cationic
15 properties due to amine protonation), the coagulation of negatively charged contaminants under acidic
16 conditions, and for precipitative flocculation at pH above the pKa of chitosan [42]. Most of the adsorption was
17 completed within the first one hour and after one hour of adsorption the change in EC and TDS are not
18 statistically significant.

19 The turbidity after adsorption was significantly changed ($p < 0.05$) from the initial value (47.73 FTU). Turbidity
20 was almost removed (99.3%) by adsorption with synthesized chitosan within 2 hours of adsorption (Fig 3).

21 In the temperature study for chromium removal, the adsorption increased with increasing temperature. A similar
22 result was also found by Adamczuk [43]. The highest rate of chromium adsorption onto chitosan was observed
23 at the highest temperature, 60 °C. A higher temperature increases the reaction rate of adsorption of chromium
24 with the synthesized chitosan.

25 The pH of the aqueous solution is an important controlling parameter in the heavy metal adsorption process, and
26 thus, the role of the hydrogen ion concentration was examined in the batch experiment. In the pH study,
27 adsorption of chromium ions increased with decreasing pH. Adsorption was the highest at pH 3 and the lowest
28 at pH 8. Cr (VI), depending on the pH, is known to exist as anions. At a low pH (below 4), the amine group on
29 chitosan is protonated to varying degrees. It is known that in acidic media, the free amine groups (-NH₂) in
30 chitosan are protonated to form -NH₃⁺ groups. Chitosan with positive charges can adsorb anions by charge
31 neutralization. Stronger attraction between positive and negative charges leads to stronger adsorption. The -
32 NH₃⁺ group on the chitosan is chiefly responsible for Cr (VI) adsorption. Cr (VI) forms stable anions, such as
33 Cr₂O₇²⁻, HCrO₄⁻, CrO₄²⁻, and HCr₂O₇⁻, and the fraction of any particular species is dependent upon the
34 chromium concentration and pH [44]. From the pKa of chitosan, it can be calculated that the extents of
35 protonation are 9%, 50%, 91%, and 99% at pH 7.3, 6.3, 5.3, and 4.3, respectively [45]. This leads to the
36 interaction between -NH₃⁺ functional groups and the chromate anions. With the increase in pH from 5 to 9, the
37 degree of protonation of the adsorbent functional group decreased gradually and, hence, removal decreased.

1 In the dosage experiment, adsorption was carried out with adsorbent dosages ranging from 1 to 5 g of chitosan,
2 while other variables were constant. Removal of chromium ions increased with increasing adsorbent dosage.
3 Five grams of chitosan adsorbed almost all of the chromium ions in the effluent, because a large amount of
4 chitosan provides a larger amount of reaction sites for adsorption [46]. Chromium removal with 1 g of chitosan
5 was significantly different from those of the other treatments.

6

7 The chromium removal efficiency was 99.9% within one hour of batch adsorption with adsorbent chitosan. In
8 this study, increasing adsorption time did not provide any remarkable difference in chromium removal, as the
9 reaction reached its equilibrium point within one hour. So, 5 gm of chitosan has provided enough adsorption site
10 to bind chromium and adsorption time did not affect the adsorption efficiency of chitosan in the effluent. A
11 typical effective adsorbent must acquire a short equilibrium during the treatment with adsorbate. Likewise, the
12 synthesized chitosan here in this study received equilibrium at the first one hour with chromium ions. After the
13 equilibrium achieved, no significant differences were found among the removals in different soaking hours.

14 Yasmeen et al. 2016 synthesized a facile bioadsorbent of chitosan-microcrystalline cellulose composite for the
15 removal of Cr (VI) from tanning effluent and the maximum adsorption was acquired of 5.434 mg/g Cr (VI) in
16 solution [46]. Siraj et al. 2012 obtained 90% total removal of Cr using a fabricated chitosan-charcoal composite
17 at a wide range of adsorbent dose from 5 to 45 g/L [47]. Kumari et al. 2016 reported 64.29 % removal of Cr
18 (VI) using chitosan prepared from shrimp shells [48]. Quaternary ammonium salt modified chitosan magnetic
19 composite adsorbents were able to uptake 166.37 mg/g [35]. The obtained efficiency here in this study with the
20 crab shells derived chitosan was high (99%) in compare with the studies mentioned above and with other
21 identical researches.

22 Adsorption isotherm was analyzed by Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption isotherm. It was found that
23 Langmuir adsorption isotherm correlation coefficient value (R^2) was 0.9537 and for Freundlich adsorption
24 isotherm R^2 value was 0.9888. Thus, the Freundlich model was the most acceptable one to explain the
25 adsorption process of Cr onto Chitosan surface suggesting a multilayer adsorption process. Accordingly, the
26 adsorption process here in this study followed both monolayer (chemisorption) and multilayer (physisorption)
27 adsorption on heterogeneous surfaces. In addition, adsorption kinetics depends on the interaction of adsorbate-
28 adsorbent in aqueous adsorption system and plays an important role in water purification process. Reaction rate
29 and adsorption mechanism can be elucidated by fitting data into both Pseudo first and second order kinetics
30 models. The adsorption of Cr with the synthesized chitosan was highly fitted into the Pseudo Second order
31 kinetic model and thus showed the chemisorption kinetics.

32 Cost-effectiveness and reusability are very important for a synthesized adsorbent in real applications. A facile
33 synthesis method was followed in this study to extract chitosan from crab shells. Crab shells are ubiquitous in
34 nature and are now-a-days commercially derived using simple methods which involve low cost. Reusability of
35 any adsorbent is of great importance in view of sustainability and cost-effectiveness. Several researches have
36 shown multi-reusability property of synthesized chitosan including our previous own work [49-50]. Likewise,
37 the synthesized chitosan in this study also revealed elevated level of reusability. Desorption studies was also

1 carried out for several cycles and sufficiently high desorption (78%) was found for the consecutive five cycles
2 and then decreased slightly after the sixth one.

3 **5. Conclusion**

4 Chitosan was successfully synthesized from available crab shells. Chromium is highly concentrated in tannery
5 effluents, but after treating with crab shell chitosan, the concentration of chromium in the tannery effluent
6 decreased drastically within a short time, and no major difference in chromium concentration was observed as
7 time elapsed. It was observed that the adsorption efficiency increased with decreasing pH and increasing
8 adsorbent dosage and temperature. Chitosan may offer an alternative to traditional coagulants in wastewater
9 treatment, as it is cheaper and easier to extract from crab shells or similar biological samples. Its unique
10 properties together with its availability make chitosan an exciting and promising agent for the adsorption of
11 heavy metals from wastewater.

13 **Acknowledgement**

14 This work was supported by the Department of Environmental Sciences, Jahangirnagar University. The authors
15 are largely indebted to the departmental laboratory and its staffs for their support during this research. In
16 addition, the efforts from the faculty members and other research associates continually took part in useful
17 discussion and direction to conduct this research and thus highly appreciated.

19 **References**

- 20 [1] Nazer, D.W., Al-Sa'ed, R.M., Siebel, M.A.: Reducing the environmental impact of the unhairing
21 deliming process in the leather tanning industry. *J. Clean. Prod.*, 14, 65-74 (2006)
- 22 [2] Banglapedia, National Encyclopedia of Bangladesh. Dhaka: Asiatic Society of Bangladesh, (2006)
- 23 [3] Mwinyihija, M., Strachan, N.J.C., Meharg, A., Killham, K.: Biosensor based toxicity dissection of
24 tannery and associated environmental samples. *J. Am. Leather. Chem. As.*, 100, 381–490 (2005)
- 25 [4] Mwinyihija, M., Strachan, N.J.C., Dawson, J., Meharg, A., Killham, K.: Anecotoxicological approach
26 to assessing the impact of tanning industry effluent on river health. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*,
27 50, 316–324 (2006)
- 28 [5] Rengaraj, S., Yeon, K.H., Moon, S.H.: Removal of chromium from water and wastewater by ion
29 exchange resins. *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 87, 273–287 (2001)
- 30 [6] Stallings, D., Vincent, J.B.: Chromium: a case study in how not to perform nutritional research. *Curr.*
31 *Top. Nutraceutical Res.*, 4(2), 89-112 (2006)
- 32 [7] Sankararamkrishnan, N., Dixit, A., Iyengar, L., Sanghi, R.: Removal of hexavalent chromium using a
33 novel cross linked xanthated chitosan. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 97, 2377–2382 (2006)
- 34 [8] Franklin, R.E., Duis, L., Brown, R., Kemp, T.: Trace element content of selected fertilizers and
35 micronutrient source materials. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 36(11–12), 1591-1609 (2005)
- 36 [9] Gomez, V., Callao, M.P.: Chromium determination and speciation since 2000. *Trac. Trend Anal.*
37 *Chem.*, 25(10), 1006-1015 (2006)
- 38 [10] Nuhog˘lu, Y., Malkoc, E., Gu˘rres, A., Canpolat, N.: The removal of Cu (II) aqueous solutions by
39 *Ulothrixzonata*. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 85, 331–333 (2002)

- 1 [11] Ngah, W.S.W., Endud, C.S., Mayanar, R.: Removal of copper (II) ions from aqueous solution onto
2 chitosan and cross-linked chitosan beads. *React. Funct. Polym.*, 50, 181–190 (2002)
- 3 [12] Nourbakhsh, M.N., Kılıcarıslan, S., İlhan, S., Ozdag, H.: Biosorption of Cr^{6+} , Pb^{2+} and Cu^{2+} ions in
4 industrial wastewater on *Bacillus sp.* *Chem. Eng. J.*, 85, 351–355 (2002)
- 5 [13] Baik, W.Y., Bae, J.H., Cho, K.W., Hartmeier, W.: Biosorption of heavy metals using whole mold
6 mycelia and parts thereof. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 81, 167–170 (2002)
- 7 [14] Yewalkar, S.N., Dhupal, K.N., Sainis, J.K.: Chromium reducing *Chlorella sp.* isolated from disposal
8 sites of paper-pulp and electroplating industry. *J. Appl. Phycol.*, 19, 459–465 (2007)
- 9 [15] Guidotti, T.L., Namara, M.J., Mosses, M.S.: The interpretation of trace element analysis in body fluids.
10 *Indian J. Med. Res.*, 128, 524–532 (2008)
- 11 [16] Asaduzzaman, A.T.M., Nury, S.N., Hoque, S., Sultana, S.: A special project on water and soil
12 contamination for tannery waste: potential impact on public health in Hazaribagh and surroundings,
13 Dhaka, Bangladesh (part iv), *Atlas of urban geology*, 14, 416–443 (2002)
- 14 [17] Rajamanickam, S.V.: A successful and sustainable hazardous waste management technology chromium
15 recovery and reuse system in tanneries of South Asia and Africa. *Pollution prevention/ Waste*
16 *minimization. India*, pp. 81, (2000)
- 17 [18] Okeyode, C., Moshood, I.A.: Elemental compositions of the soil of Ogun- osun river basins
18 development authority Headquarters, Ogun- state, Nigeria. *Indian J. Sci. Technol.*, 3(3), 335–336
19 (2010)
- 20 [19] Dube, B.K., Tewari, K., Chatterjee, J., Chatterjee, C.: Excess chromium alters uptake and translocation
21 of certain nutrients in *citrullus*. *Chemosphere*, 53, 1147–1153 (2003)
- 22 [20] Patterson, J.W.: *Waste Water Treatment Technology, USA: Ann Arbor Science Publishers Inc., Ann*
23 *Arbor*, (1977)
- 24 [21] Chen, H., Yang, C.Y., Chen, C.Y., Chen, C.W.: The chemically crosslinked metal-
25 complexed chitosans for comparative adsorptions of Cu (II), Zn (II), Ni (II) and Pb (II) ions in aqueous
26 medium. *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 163, 1068–1075 (2009)
- 27 [22] Aksu, Z., Go`nen, F., Demircan, Z.: Biosorption of chromium(VI) ions by Mowital B3OH resin
28 immobilized activated sludge in a packed bed: comparison with granular activated carbon. *Process*
29 *Biochem.*, 38, 175–186 (2002)
- 30 [23] Benguella, B., Benaissa, H.: Effects of competing cations on cadmium biosorption by chitin. *Colloid*
31 *Surf. A: Physicochemical Engineering Aspects*, 201, 143–150 (2002)
- 32 [24] Bai, R.S., Abraham, E.: Studies on chromium (VI) adsorption-desorption using immobilized fungal
33 biomass. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 87, 17–26 (2003)
- 34 [25] Sud, D., Mahajan, G., Kaur, M.P.: Agricultural waste material as potential adsorbent for sequestering
35 heavy metal ions from aqueous solutions – A review. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 99, 6017–6027 (2008)
- 36 [26] Zhou, L., Liu, Z., Liu, J., Huang, Q.: Adsorption of Hg(II) from aqueous solution by ethylene diamine-
37 modified magnetic crosslinking chitosan microspheres. *Desalination*, 258, 41–47 (2010)
- 38 [27] Baran, A., Bicak, E., Baysal, S.H., Onal, S.: Comparative studies on the adsorption of Cr (VI) ions on
39 to various sorbents. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 98, 661–665 (2006)

- 1 [28] Kafedjiiski, K., Krauland, A.H., Hoffer, M.H., BernkopSchnu`rch, A.: Synthesis and in vitro evaluation
2 of a novel thiolated chitosan. *Biomaterials*, 26, 819–826 (2005)
- 3 [29] Rojas, G., Silva, J., Flores, J.A., Rodriguez, A., Ly, M., Maldonado, H.: Adsorption of chromium onto
4 cross-linked chitosan. *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 44,31–36 (2005)
- 5 [30] Wan Ngah, W.S., Kamari, A., Fatinathan, S., Ng, P.W.: Adsorption of chromium from aqueous
6 solution using chitosan beads. *Adsorption*, 12,249–257 (2006)
- 7 [31] Malarvizhi, R., Venkateswarlu, Y., Babu, R.V., Begum, S.S.: Studies on removal of chromium (VI)
8 from water using chitosan coated *Cyperus pangorei*. *Water Sci. Technol.*, 62(10),2435-41 (2010)
- 9 [32] Ramasubramaniam, S., Govindarajan, C., Gomathi, T., Sudha, P.N.: Removal of chromium (VI) from
10 aqueous solution using chitosan -starch blend. *Der Pharmacia Lettre*, 4 (1), 240-248 (2012)
- 11 [33] Kocak, N., Sahin, M., Arslan, G., Ucan, H.I.: Synthesis of crosslinked chitosan possessing schiff base
12 and its use in metal removal. *J. Inorg. Organomet. Polymer Mater.*, 22,166–177 (2012)
- 13 [34] Yang, R., Li, H., Huang, M., Yang, H., Li, A.: A review on chitosan-based flocculants and their
14 applications in water treatment. *Water Res.*, 95, 59-89 (2016)
- 15 [35] Li, K., Li, P., Cai, J., Xiao, S., Yang, H., Li, A.: Efficient adsorption of both methyl orange and
16 chromium from their aqueous mixtures using a quaternary ammonium salt modified chitosan magnetic
17 composite adsorbent. *Chemosphere*, 154, 310-318 (2016)
- 18 [36] Tao, X., Li, K., Yan, H., Yang, H., Li, A.: Simultaneous removal of acid green 25 and mercury ions
19 from aqueous solutions using glutamine modified chitosan magnetic composite microspheres. *Environ.*
20 *Pollut.*, 209, 21-29 (2016)
- 21 [37] Yan, H., Yang, L., Yang, Z., Yang, H., Li, A., Cheng, R.: Preparation of chitosan/poly(acrylic acid)
22 magnetic composite microspheres and applications in the removal of copper(II) ions from aqueous
23 solutions. *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 229– 230, 371– 380 (2012)
- 24 [38] Yen, M.T., Yang, J.H., Mau, J.L.: Antioxidant properties of chitosan from crab shells. *Carbohydr.*
25 *Polym.*, 74, 840–844 (2008)
- 26 [39] Clesceri, L.S., Eaton, A.D., Rice, E.W.: Standard Methods for Examination of Water &Wastewater
27 Method 5210B, Washington, DC: American Public Health Association, American Water Works
28 Association, and the Water Environment Association.(2005)
- 29 [40] Bosnic, M., Buljan, J., Daniels, R.P., Rajamani, S.: Pollutants in tannery effluent, United Nations
30 Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) Technical Information on Industrial Processes, Vienna.
31 (2003)
- 32 [41] Orgchem.colorado.edu/Spectroscopy/spectttutor/irchart.pdf
- 33 [42] Guibal, E., Vooren, M.V., Dempsey, B.A., Roussy, J.: A Review of the Use of Chitosan for the
34 Removal of Particulate and Dissolved Contaminants. *Sep. Sci. Technol.*, 41, 2487-2514 (2007)
- 35 [43] Adamczuk: Effective adsorption of chromium (VI) from aqueous solutions using chitosan, Department
36 of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Maria Curie Skłodowska University, Maria Curie
37 Skłodowska Sq. 2, 20-031 Lublin, Poland. (2004)
- 38 [44] Udaybaskar, P., Iyengar, L., Prabhakara, R.A.V.S.: Hexavalent chromium interaction with chitosan. *J.*
39 *Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 39(3),739-747 (1990)
- 40 [45] Dambies, L., Guimon, C., Yiacoumi, S., Guibal, E.: Characterization of metal ion interactions with
41 chitosan by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. *Colloids Surf. A*, 177,203-214 (2001)

- 1 [46] Yasmeen, S., Kabiraz, M.K., Saha, B., Qadir, M.R., Gafur, M.A., Masum, S.M.: Chromium (VI) Ions
2 Removal from Tannery Effluent using Chitosan-Microcrystalline Cellulose Composite as Adsorbent,
3 IRJPAC. Int. Res. J. Pure Appl. Chem., 10(4), 1-14 (2016)
- 4 [47] Siraj, S., Islam, M.M., Das, P.C., Masum, S.M., Jahan, I.A., Ahsan, M.A., Shajahan, A.: Removal of
5 chromium from tannery effluent using chitosan-charcoal composite. Journal of Bangladesh Chemical
6 Society, 25(1), 53-61 (2012)
- 7 [48] Kumari, S., Rath, P., Kumar, A.S.H., Tiwari, T.N.: Removal of hexavalent chromium using chitosan
8 prepared from shrimp shells. Afr. J. Biotechnol, 15(3), 50-54, (2016)
- 9 [49] Sikder, M.T., Mihara, Y., Islam, M.S., Tanaka, S., Saito, T., Kurasaki, M.: Preparation and
10 characterization of chitosan-caboxymethyl- β -cyclodextrin entrapped nano zerovalent iron composite
11 for Cu (II) and Cr (IV) removal from waste water. Chem. Eng. J, 236, 378-387 (2014)
- 12 [50] Sikder, M.T., Tanaka, S., Saito, T., Kurasaki, M.: Synthesis and application of arsenic sorbent using
13 zerovalent iron impregnated chitosan-caboxymethyl- β -cyclodextrin composite beads. J. Env. Chem.
14 Eng., 2(1), 370-376 (2014)

15

1 **Figure legends**

2 **Fig. 1** FTIR spectra of synthesized chitosan.

3 **Fig. 2** Physico-chemical parameter changes after the adsorption with synthesized chitosan from crab shell. (a)
4 pH, (b) TOC, (c) TDS and (d) EC; experimental conditions: temperature- 30° C, adsorbent dose- 5 g/ 100 mL,
5 soaking duration- 6 hrs. Error bars indicate mean ± standard deviation (n=3). Statistical significance was
6 evaluated by t-test: Two sample assuming unequal variances, where * denotes $p < 0.05$

7 **Fig. 3** Turbidity removal from tannery waste with synthesized chitosan from crab shell; experimental
8 conditions: temperature- 30° C, adsorbent dose- 5 g/ 100 mL, soaking duration- 6 hrs. Error bars indicate mean
9 ± standard deviation (n=3). Statistical significance was evaluated by t-test: Two sample assuming unequal
10 variances, where * denotes $p < 0.05$

11 **Fig. 4**(a) Effect of pH; experimental conditions: temperature- 30° C, adsorbent dose- 5 g/ 100 mL, soaking
12 duration- 6 hrs, and (b) effects of temperature; experimental conditions: pH - 4.56, adsorbent dose- 5 g/ 100 mL,
13 soaking duration- 6 hrs on the adsorption of Cr (VI) by synthesized crab shell chitosan. Error bars indicate mean
14 ± standard deviation (n=3). Statistical significance was evaluated by t-test: Two sample assuming unequal
15 variances, where * denotes $p < 0.05$.

16 **Fig. 5**(a) Effect of adsorbent dose; experimental conditions: pH - 4.56, temperature- 30° C, adsorbent dose- 1-5
17 g/ 100 mL, soaking duration- 6 hrs; and (b) effects of soaking time; experimental conditions: pH - 4.56,
18 temperature- 30° C, adsorbent dose- 5 g/ 100 mL, soaking duration- 1-24 hrs, on the adsorption of Cr (VI) by
19 synthesized crab shell chitosan. Error bars indicate mean ± standard deviation (n=3). Statistical significance was
20 evaluated by t-test: Two sample assuming unequal variances, where * denotes $p < 0.05$.









