



Title	A bound for the pressure integral in a plasma equilibrium
Author(s)	Giga, Yoshikazu; Yoshida, Zensho
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 139, 1-20
Issue Date	1992-02
DOI	10.14943/83283
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/68885
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	pre139.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

**A Bound for the Pressure Integral
in a Plasma Equilibrium**

Y. Giga and Z. Yoshida

Series #139. February 1992

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

- # 113: S. Takahashi, On a regularity criterion up to the boundary for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, 23 pages. 1991.
- # 114: T. Nakazi, Sum of two inner functions and exposed points in H^1 , 18 pages. 1991.
- # 115: A. Arai, De Rham operators, Laplacians, and Dirac operators on topological vector spaces, 27 pages. 1991.
- # 116: T. Nishimori, A note on the classification of non-singular flows with transverse similarity structures, 17 pages. 1991.
- # 117: T. Hibi, A lower bound theorem for Ehrhart polynomials of convex polytopes, 6 pages. 1991.
- # 118: R. Agemi, H. Takamura, The lifespan of classical solutions to nonlinear wave equations in two space dimensions, 30 pages. 1991.
- # 119: S. Altschuler, S. Angenent and Y. Giga, Generalized motion by mean curvature for surfaces of rotation, 15 pages. 1991.
- # 120: T. Nakazi, Invariant subspaces in the bidisc and commutators, 20 pages. 1991.
- # 121: A. Arai, Commutation properties of the partial isometries associated with anticommuting self-adjoint operators, 25 pages. 1991.
- # 122: Y.-G. Chen, Blow-up solutions to a finite difference analogue of $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$ in N -dimensional balls, 31 pages. 1991.
- # 123: A. Arai, Fock-space representations of the relativistic supersymmetry algebra in the two-dimensional space-time, 13 pages. 1991.
- # 124: S. Izumiya, The theory of Legendrian unfoldings and first order differential equations, 16 pages. 1991.
- # 125: T. Hibi, Face number inequalities for matroid complexes and Cohen-Macaulay types of Stanley-Reisner rings of distributive lattices, 17 pages. 1991.
- # 126: S. Izumiya, Completely integrable holonomic systems of first order differential equations, 35 pages. 1991.
- # 127: G. Ishikawa, S. Izumiya and K. Watanabe, Vector fields near a generic submanifold, 9 pages. 1991.
- # 128: A. Arai, I. Mitoma, Comparison and nuclearity of spaces of differential forms on topological vector spaces, 27 pages. 1991.
- # 129: K. Kubota, Existence of a global solution to a semi-linear wave equation with initial data of non-compact support in low space dimensions, 53 pages. 1991.
- # 130: S. Altschuler, S. Angenent and Y. Giga, Mean curvature flow through singularities for surfaces of rotation, 62 pages. 1991.
- # 131: M. Giga, Y. Giga and H. Sohr, L^p estimates for the Stokes system, 13 pages. 1991.
- # 132: Y. Okabe, T. Ootsuka, Applications of the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations to the non-linear prediction problem for the one-dimensional strictly stationary time series, 27 pages. 1992.
- # 133: Y. Okabe, Applications of the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations to the linear prediction problem for the multi-dimensional weakly stationary time series, 22 pages. 1992.
- # 134: P. Aviles, Y. Giga and N. Komuro, Duality formulas and variational integrals, 22 pages. 1992.
- # 135: S. Izumiya, The Clairaut type equation, 6 pages. 1992.
- # 136: S. Izumiya, Singular solutions of first order differential equations, 6 pages. 1992.
- # 137: S. Izumiya, W.L. Marar, The Euler characteristic of a generic wave front in a 3-manifold, 6 pages. 1992.
- # 138: S. Izumiya, W.L. Marar, The Euler characteristic of the image of a stable mapping from a closed n -manifold to a $(2n - 1)$ -manifold, 5 pages. 1992.

A Bound for the Pressure Integral in a Plasma Equilibrium

Yoshikazu Giga¹ and Zensho Yoshida²

¹Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan.

²Department of Nuclear Engineering, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan.

Abstract. An interpolation inequality for the total variation of the gradient of a composite function has been derived by applying the coarea formula. A bound for the pressure integral has been studied by establishing an a priori estimate for a solution of the Grad-Shafranov equation of plasma equilibrium. A weak formulation of the Grad-Shafranov equation has been given to include singular current profiles.

1. Introduction

A simple but essential question in the fusion plasma research is how large plasma energy can be confined by a given magnitude of plasma current; cf.[9, 21, 22, 23]. In a magnetohydrodynamic equilibrium of a plasma, the thermal pressure force ∇p is balanced by the magnetic stress $\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}$, where \mathbf{B} is the magnetic flux density, $\mathbf{j} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B} / \mu_0$ is the current density in the plasma and $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ is the vacuum permeability. The plasma equilibrium equation $\nabla p = \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}$ thus relates the pressure and the current. We want to estimate the maximum of the total pressure with respect to a fixed total current. Mathematically this problem reduces to an a priori estimate for the pressure integral with respect to a solution of the equilibrium equation with a given magnitude of current.

Here we assume a simple two dimensional plasma equilibrium. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain. We consider an infinitely long plasma column; Ω corresponds to the cross section of a column containing the plasma. If there is no longitudinal magnetic field, the equilibrium equations are

$$-\Delta \psi = P'(\psi) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\psi = c \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta \psi) dx = \mu_0 I, \quad (1.3)$$

where ψ is the flux function, $P = \mu_0 p$, $P(t)$ is a nonnegative function from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} , $P' = dP(t)/dt$, I is a given positive constant and c is an unknown constant; see section 3.1 for the derivation, and also see [9, 10, 17, 21]. We assume $P' \geq 0$. Since $-\Delta \psi / \mu_0$ parallels the current density, I represents the total plasma current. The total pressure in a unit length of the plasma column is given by integrating p over Ω . In this paper we study a bound for the (poloidal) beta ratio [9, 23], which is define by

$$\beta = \int_{\Omega} p dx / (I^2 \mu_0 / 8\pi) = 8\pi \int_{\Omega} P(\psi) dx / \left(\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta \psi) dx \right)^2. \quad (1.4)$$

A crucial step is to establish an interpolation inequality to estimate the total variation of the gradient of $P(\psi)$ in Ω . Our estimate reads

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla P(\psi(x))| dx \leq 2 \left(P_{max} \int_{\Omega} -\Delta \psi dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} P(\psi(x)) dx \right)^{1/2} \quad (1.5)$$

provided that $-\Delta \psi \geq 0$ in Ω and $\psi = c$ on $\partial\Omega$, and that $P' \geq 0$ with $P(c) = 0$, where c is a constant and P_{max} is the maximum of $P(\psi)$ over Ω . We prove this estimate by using the coarea formula [8, 13]. Using the Hölder and isoperimetric inequalities one obtains the estimate for β :

$$\beta \leq 8/\alpha, \quad (1.6)$$

where $\alpha = S^*/S_0$, S_0 is the area of the support of $P(\psi)$ in Ω and

$$S^* = \int_{\Omega} P(\psi(x)) dx / P_{max}.$$

We include the situation when P is not continuous. In this case the meaning of the equation $-\Delta \psi = P(\psi)$ is not clear. We shall give a meaning for discontinuous P and prove (1.6) for such a P . In section 2 we prove (1.5) and extend it for discontinuous P . In section 3 we briefly review the plasma equilibrium equations (1.1) - (1.3). Together with a mathematical formulations of the equations for discontinuous P , we derive a bound (1.6) for β .

Let us concisely survey related theories. For a given profile of $P(\psi)$, the equilibrium equations (1.1)-(1.3) are regarded as a nonlinear eigenvalue problem. A simple example is to take $P'(\psi) = \lambda \psi^+$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi^+(x) = \max\{\psi(x), 0\}$. Then the boundary of the support of ψ^+ is a free boundary. Analytic aspects of such a free boundary problem were extensively studied by many authors; see e.g. [1, 4, 11, 15, 18, 19, 20] and references therein. In [3] a more general problem including the effect of external control field is studied, while relation of P and ψ is still given by $P'(\psi) =$

$\lambda\psi^+$. In [2] an inverse problem determining P' of (1.1) is studied by measuring $\partial\psi/\partial n$ on $\partial\Omega$. Our a priori estimate (1.6) for β as well as the interpolation inequality (1.5) seems to be new even for a smooth P .

In the physics literature, however, there have been many discussions on the limitation of β . A mostly simple configuration is a circular cross section z-pinch equilibrium (see section 3.1), which was studied by Bennett to show $\beta = 1$ for any (smooth) profile of $P(\psi)$; see Chapter 5 of [9] and Remark 3.5. Studies on a toroidal equilibrium such as a tokamak (e.g. [9, 21]) are of principal importance. The high poloidal beta regime of tokamak equilibria is attracting much interest because of many beneficial reasons for optimizing the fusion plasma confinement. Bound for the poloidal beta was estimated by using approximate equilibrium solutions [5, 6, 22, 23] and numerical calculations [7], however, there is no rigorous conclusion. To study a toroidal plasma equilibrium, one should use a generalized toroidal version of the Grad-Shafranov equation [10, 17] instead of the simplified one (1.1), in order to include the toroidal curvature effect as well as the theta-pinch effect in addition to the z-pinch effect described by (1.1). Our assertion in section 3.2 is restricted to straight z-pinches, however, the method of section 2 may be useful for the analysis of the tokamak problem.

2. An interpolation inequality

Our goal in this section is to estimate the total variation of $\nabla(P(\psi))$ (as a vector-valued measure), where P is monotone and $-\Delta\psi \geq 0$. We first derive the estimate for smooth ψ .

Theorem 2.1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n and c be a constant. Suppose that $P \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $P' \geq 0$ and $P(c) = 0$, and that $\psi \in C^m(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ with*

$$-\Delta\psi \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\psi = c \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where $m \geq 2$ and $m \geq n$. Let P_{max} denote

$$P_{max} = \sup_{x \in \Omega} P(\psi(x)). \quad (2.2)$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla P(\psi(x))| dx \leq 2 \left(P_{max} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta \psi) dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} P'(\psi(x)) dx \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. If $-\Delta \psi \equiv 0$, then $\psi \equiv c$ on Ω , so (2.3) holds with zero for both sides. If $P'(\psi) \equiv 0$ on Ω or $P_{max} = 0$, then either $\psi \equiv c$ or $P \equiv 0$. Again (2.3) holds in this case, so we may assume that both integrals in the right hand side of (2.3) is nonzero. We may also assume that the L^1 norm of $-\Delta \psi$ is finite.

For $K > 0$ denote the set of $x \in \Omega$ for which $|\nabla \psi(x)| > K$ by D . Let E denote the complement of D in Ω . From the definition it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |\nabla P(\psi(x))| dx &= \int_E P'(\psi) |\nabla \psi| dx \\ &\leq K \int_E P'(\psi) dx \leq K \int_{\Omega} P'(\psi) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

since $P' \geq 0$.

By the maximum principle to (2.1), we observe that $\psi \geq c$ on Ω so $0 = P(c) \leq P(\psi) \leq P_{max}$ on Ω . Applying the coarea formula (see e.g. [8, 13]) yields

$$\int_D |\nabla P(\psi)| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathfrak{H}^{n-1}(S_t) P'(t) dt = \int_c^{\psi_{max}} \mathfrak{H}^{n-1}(S_t) P'(t) dt \quad (2.5)$$

with

$$S_t = D \cap L_t, \quad L_t = \{x \in \Omega; \psi(x) = t\}, \quad \psi_{max} = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \psi(x),$$

where \mathcal{H}^{n-1} denotes the $n-1$ dimensional Hausdorff measure. Since $|\nabla\psi| > K$ on D it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_t) &= \int_{S_t} |\nabla\psi| |\nabla\psi|^{-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &\leq K^{-1} \int_{L_t} |\nabla\psi| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi \in C^n(\Omega)$, Sard's theorem [12] implies that L_t is C^n submanifold in Ω for almost every t (a.e. t). Note that $\psi > c$ in Ω and $\psi = c$ on $\partial\Omega$. Thus for $U_t = \{x \in \Omega; \psi(x) > t\}$ we observe $\bar{U}_t \subset \Omega$ for $t > c$. For a.e. $t > c$, L_t is C^n boundary of U_t . Since L_t is t -level set of ψ , $n = \nabla\psi / |\nabla\psi|$ is a unit normal vector field. Applying Green's formula yields

$$\int_{L_t} |\nabla\psi| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \int_{L_t} \nabla\psi \cdot n d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \int_{U_t} (-\Delta\psi) dx, \quad t > c.$$

From $-\Delta\psi \geq 0$ it now follows that

$$\int_{L_t} |\nabla\psi| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \leq \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta\psi) dx.$$

Wrapping up these two estimates we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S_t) \leq K^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta\psi) dx.$$

Applying this estimate to (2.5) yields

$$\int_D |\nabla P(\psi)| dx \leq K^{-1} P_{max} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta\psi) dx, \quad (2.6)$$

where P_{max} is defined in (2.2). Summing (2.4) and (2.6) we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla P(\psi)| dx \leq K \int_{\Omega} P'(\psi) dx + K^{-1} P_{max} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta \psi) dx \quad (2.7)$$

for arbitrary $K > 0$. Taking

$$K = \left[P_{max} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta \psi) dx / \int_{\Omega} P'(\psi) dx \right]^{1/2}$$

in (2.7) yields (2.3).

Q.E.D.

If ψ is not C^2 , one should interpret $-\Delta \psi \geq 0$ in the distribution sense. As well known [16] a nonnegative distribution is a nonnegative Radon measure. Let μ be a finite Radon measure on a bounded domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n . The unique solvability of the Dirichlet problem

$$-\Delta \psi = \mu \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.8a)$$

$$\psi = c \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (c: \text{constant}) \quad (2.8b)$$

is now well known for smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. We solve this problem by using a result of Simader [14] when the boundary is C^1 . Let $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ denote the L^q Sobolev space of order one ($1 < q < \infty$). Let $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ be the subspace $\{u \in W^{1,q}(\Omega); u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$. We denote by $W^{-1,q}(\Omega)$ the dual space of $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ where $1/q = 1 - 1/q'$.

Lemma 2.2 (Theorem 4.6 of Simader [14]). *Let Ω be a bounded domain with C^1 boundary in \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that $1 < q < \infty$. For each $f \in W^{-1,q}(\Omega)$ there is a unique solution $\Phi \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ for $-\Delta \Phi = f$ in Ω . Moreover the mapping from f to Φ is bounded linear from $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ to $W^{-1,q}(\Omega)$, i.e.,*

$$\|\Phi\|_{1,q} \leq C \|f\|_{-1,q} \quad (2.9)$$

with a constant $C = C(\Omega, q, n)$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let Ω be a bounded domain with C^1 boundary in \mathbb{R}^n . For a finite Radon measure μ on Ω there is a unique solution ψ of (2.8a, b) such that $\psi \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ for $1 < r < n/(n-1)$.*

Proof. Observe that $r' > n$ implies $W_0^{1,r'}(\Omega) \subset C(\overline{\Omega})$ by the Sobolev inequality. This yields $\mu \in W^{-1,r}(\Omega)$ by a duality, where $1/r = 1 - 1/r'$. Applying Lemma 2.2 with $f = \mu$ obtains a unique solution ψ by $\psi = \Phi + c$.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 2.4. *Let Ω be a bounded domain with C^1 boundary in \mathbb{R}^n . Let c be a constant. Suppose that $P \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $P' \geq 0$ and $P(c) = 0$. Suppose that $\psi \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ for some r such that $1 < r < n/(n-1)$, and that ψ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta\psi &\geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \text{ (in the distribution sense),} \\ \psi &= c \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Let ψ_{max} be the essential supremum of ψ over Ω . Assume that P and P' are bounded on $[c, \psi_{max}]$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla P(\psi(x))| dx \leq 2 (P_{max} \|-\Delta\psi\|_1)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} P'(\psi(x)) dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $P_{max} = \sup \{P(\sigma); c \leq \sigma \leq \psi_{max}\}$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ denotes the total variation of a measure on Ω .

Proof. We may assume that ψ and P are nonconstants and that $-\Delta\psi = \mu$ is a nonnegative finite Radon measure on Ω . Modifying $P(\sigma)$ for $\sigma > \psi_{max}$ we may assume $\bar{P} = \sup \{P(\sigma); \sigma \geq c\}$ is very close to P_{max} , say $\bar{P} \leq P_{max} + \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

We extend μ outside Ω by zero and define $\mu_l = \mu * \rho_l$, where ρ_l is Friedrichs' mollifier such that ρ_l tends to the delta function as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\psi_l \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ be the solution of (2.8a, b) with $\mu = \mu_l$. Since μ_l is bounded, Lemma 2.2 implies that $\psi_l - c \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ for all $q > 1$. By the Sobolev inequality we see $\psi_l \in C(\overline{\Omega})$. Since μ_l

is smooth, the interior regularity of the Poisson equation implies that $\psi_l \in C^\infty(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.1 yields

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla P(\psi_l)| dx \leq 2 \left(\bar{P} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta \psi_l) dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} P'(\psi_l) dx \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.11)$$

Since $\mu \in W^{-1,r}(\Omega)$ is expressed as

$$\mu = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f_j + g$$

with some $f_j, g \in L^r(\Omega)$ we have $\mu_l \rightarrow \mu$ strongly in $W^{-1,r}(\Omega)$. The inequality (2.9)

thus implies that $\psi_l \rightarrow \psi$ in $W^{1,r}(\Omega)$. We may assume $\psi_l(x) \rightarrow \psi(x)$ and

$\nabla \psi_l(x) \rightarrow \nabla \psi(x)$ for a.e. x by taking a subsequence if necessary. Applying the

Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem yields

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla P(\psi_l)| dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |\nabla P(\psi)| dx,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} P'(\psi_l) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} P'(\psi) dx,$$

since P' is bounded on $[c, +\infty)$. Clearly,

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\Delta \psi_l) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta \psi) dx = \|-\Delta \psi\|_1.$$

Letting $l \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.11) yields

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla P(\psi(x))| dx \leq 2 (\bar{P} \|-\Delta \psi\|_1)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} P'(\psi(x)) dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Since $\bar{P} \leq P_{max} + \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ can be chosen arbitrary, this leads to (2.10).

Q.E.D.

We next extend the inequality (2.9) when a nondecreasing function P is not necessarily continuous. Let us give an interpretation of each integral appeared in (2.9). Instead of the integral $\int_{\Omega} P(\psi) dx$, we consider

$$[P'(\psi)] = \inf \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} P_l'(\psi) dx.$$

Here the infimum is taken over all sequence $P_l \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $P_l' \geq 0$ such that $P_l(\psi) \rightarrow P(\psi)$ in $L^s(\Omega)$ for some $1 \leq s < \infty$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$ and that $(P_l)_{max} \rightarrow \text{ess sup}_{\Omega} P(\psi)$. We say $\{P_l\}$ is an admissible approximation of P if these properties hold. If P is itself C^1 and satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 2.4, P itself is an admissible approximation so for such a P we have

$$[P'(\psi)] \leq \int_{\Omega} P'(\psi) dx.$$

Since $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla P(\psi)| dx$ is the total variation of $\nabla P(\psi)$ on Ω , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla P(\psi)\|_1 &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla P(\psi(x))| dx \\ &:= \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} P(\psi(x)) \nabla \cdot \varphi(x) dx ; \varphi \in C_0^1(\Omega), |\varphi(x)| \leq 1 \text{ on } \Omega \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

it is easy to see

$$\|\nabla P(\psi)\|_1 \leq \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla P_l(\psi)| dx$$

for any admissible approximation $\{P_l\}$ of P since $\sup \liminf \leq \limsup$. We have thus proved the following assertion.

Theorem 2.5. *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4 concerning c , Ω and ψ . Let P be a nondecreasing function on \mathbf{R} with $P(c) = 0$. Then*

$$\| \nabla P(\psi) \|_1 \leq 2 (P_{max} \| -\Delta \psi \|_1)^{1/2} [P'(\psi)]^{1/2} \quad (2.12)$$

provided that $P_{max} = \text{ess sup}_\Omega P(\psi)$ is finite.

Remark 2.6. If $P(\sigma) = \sigma$, the inequality (2.10) is an interpolation inequality

$$\| \nabla \psi \|_1 \leq 2 (P_{max} \| -\Delta \psi \|_1)^{1/2} |\Omega|^{1/2},$$

where $|\Omega|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of Ω .

3. Application to Plasma Physics; Estimate of the Beta Ratio

3.1. Background of the problem

In this section, we describe an application of the interpolation inequality derived in section 2. We study the upper bound of the plasma pressure integral for a given magnitude of plasma current. We consider a plasma equilibrium where the pressure force ∇p is balanced by the magnetic force $j \times B = (\nabla \times B) \times B / \mu_0$. Let us briefly review the physics formulation of the plasma equilibrium equations (1.1)-(1.3).

When a plasma equilibrium has an ignorable coordinate, the equilibrium equation

$$(\nabla \times B) \times B / \mu_0 = \nabla p \quad (3.1)$$

reduces to a simple nonlinear equation, which is called the Grad-Shafranov equation [10, 17]. In this paper, we assume an infinitely long plasma column with $\partial/\partial z = 0$ in the Cartesian coordinates (x_1, x_2, z) . Moreover we consider a simple z-pinch configuration, where the current density vector j has only the longitudinal z component j_z and B has only the transverse x and y components (poloidal field). Since $\nabla \cdot B = 0$, we may write

$$B = \nabla \psi \times \nabla z \quad (3.2)$$

where $\psi = \psi(x_1, x_2)$ is the flux function. We obtain

$$\nabla \times B = (-\Delta\psi)\nabla z = \mu_0 j_z \nabla z. \quad (3.3)$$

Substituting (3.2) and (3.3) into (3.1), we observe that $\nabla\psi$ parallels ∇p so p is constant on each level set of ψ , i.e. $p = p(\psi)$ formally. The equilibrium equation (3.1) now leads to a (nonlinear) elliptic partial differential equation for ψ ;

$$-\Delta\psi = P'(\psi) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (3.4)$$

where $P = \mu_0 p$ and $\nabla P = P'(\psi) \nabla\psi$. We note that the pressure in an equilibrium state should satisfy the relation

$$p(x_1, x_2) = P(\psi(x_1, x_2)) / \mu_0. \quad (3.5)$$

Here, $P(\psi) (\geq 0)$ is an arbitrary function that satisfies the following conditions. First, we assume that $P(\psi)$ is a non-decreasing function, so that

$$P'(\psi(x_1, x_2)) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (3.6)$$

The support Ω_p of $P(\psi(x_1, x_2))$ is the plasma region, which must be contained in Ω .

Therefore, we assume

$$P = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (3.7)$$

The boundary of Ω_p is the plasma free boundary. The boundary condition on ψ is that the normal component of B vanishes on the boundary $\partial\Omega$, which reads, by (3.2),

$$\psi = c (= \text{constant}) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (3.8)$$

We restrict the total current I (a positive constant); by (3.3) the current through the cross section Ω is given by

$$\int_{\Omega} j_z dx = \mu_0^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta\psi) dx = I. \quad (3.9)$$

Our goal in this paper is to construct an a priori estimate with respect to the beta ratio for the solution to (3.4), (3.8), (3.9). For a current carrying plasma column, the (poloidal) beta ratio is define by (1.4); Using the relations (3.5), (3.6) and (3.9), we obtain

$$\beta = 8\pi \|P(\psi)\|_1 / \|\Delta\psi\|_1^2. \quad (3.10)$$

3.2. Mathematical formulation and estimate of the beta ratio

We shall give a meaning of $-\Delta\psi = P'(\psi)$ when a nondecreasing function P is not continuous and ψ is not smooth.

Definition 3.1. Suppose that $\psi \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ for some r , $1 < r < \infty$ and that P is nondecreasing. We say ψ and P satisfy

$$-\Delta\psi = P'(\psi) \text{ in } \Omega$$

if the following properties hold.

- (i) $-\Delta\psi \geq 0$ on Ω in the distribution sense.
- (ii) There is an admissible sequence $\{P_l\}$ such that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta\psi - P_l'(\psi)) \varphi dx = 0$$

for all $\varphi \in \overline{C(\Omega)}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let Ω be a bounded domain with C^1 boundary in \mathbb{R}^n . Let c be a constant. Assume that P is a nondecreasing function on \mathbb{R} . Assume that $\psi \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ for some r , $1 < r < n/(n-1)$ and that ψ satisfies

$$-\Delta\psi = P'(\psi) \text{ in } \Omega \text{ (in the sense of Definition 3.1)} \quad (3.11)$$

$$\psi = c \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Then

$$\|\nabla P(\psi)\|_1 \leq 2 P_{max}^{1/2} \mu_0 I, \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$I = \mu_0^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta\psi) dx = \mu_0^{-1} \|-\Delta\psi\|_1.$$

Proof. We may assume $P_{max} < \infty$. By Definition 3.1 (ii) with $\varphi \equiv 1$ we observe that

$$[P'(\psi)] \leq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} P_l'(\psi) dx = \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta\psi) dx = \|-\Delta\psi\|_1$$

since $-\Delta\psi \geq 0$. The inequality (2.12) yields (3.12).

Q.E.D.

Example 3.3. Let Ω be a unit disk in the plane, i.e.

$$\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2; |x| < 1\}.$$

For $m > 0$ and $0 < R < 1$ we consider

$$\psi(x) = \min \{m, -a \log|x|\}, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Here $a = -m/\log R$ so that ψ is continuous across the circle $|x| = R$. If P is a step function such that

$$\begin{aligned} P(\sigma) &= (a/R)^2 & \text{for } \sigma \geq m, \\ &= 0 & \text{for } \sigma < m, \end{aligned}$$

then ψ and P satisfy $-\Delta\psi = P'(\psi)$ in Ω in the sense of Definition 3.1.

Indeed it is easy to see that $\psi \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ for some r , $1 < r < \infty$, and that

$$-\Delta \psi = (a/R) \delta_R \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where δ_R is the Dirac measure of the circle $|x| = R$, i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi \delta_R = \int_{|x|=R} \varphi ds \quad \text{for } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

We then seek an admissible approximation P_l of P such that Definition 3.1 (ii) holds.

Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ be

$$\begin{aligned} f(\sigma) &= 1 & \text{for } \sigma \geq 0 \\ &= 0 & \text{for } \sigma \leq -1 \end{aligned}$$

such that $f' \geq 0$. If P_l is given by

$$P_l(\sigma) = b f((\sigma - m)l) \quad \text{with } b = (a/R)^2,$$

then P_l is an admissible approximation satisfying (ii). To see this we proceed

$$\int_{\Omega} P_l'(\psi) \varphi dx = b \int_{R/4 < |x| < R} l f'((-a \log |x| - m)l) \varphi dx, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). \quad (3.13)$$

We observe that

$$\int_R^1 l f'((-a \log r - m)l) dr = \frac{R}{a} \int_{-\infty}^0 f'(\tau) d\tau = \frac{R}{a} \quad (3.14)$$

by the change of variables

$$\tau = (-a \log r - m)l.$$

Since $l f' \geq 0$ and the support of the integrand in (3.13) is annulus shrinking to the circle $|x| = R$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$, applying (3.14) to (3.13) yields

$$\int_{\Omega} P_l'(\psi) \varphi dx \rightarrow b \frac{R}{a} \int_{|x|=R} \varphi ds \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $b = (a/R)^2$, $P_l'(\psi)$ satisfies (ii).

For this choice of P and ψ ,

$$\|\nabla P(\psi)\|_1 = (a/R)^2 2\pi R,$$

$$P_{max} = (a/R)^2, \quad \|\Delta\psi\|_1 = (a/R) 2\pi R,$$

so evidently (3.12) holds. There is a possibility that the constant 2 in (3.12) can be replaced by a smaller number. But as this example shows, the constant should be greater than or equal to one.

As an application of Theorem 3.2 we compute the beta ratio β in (3.10) when Ω is a two dimensional bounded domain. We introduce several quantities:

$$S_0 = \text{area of the support of } P(\psi) \text{ in } \Omega,$$

$$S^* = \|P(\psi)\|_1 / P_{max},$$

$$\alpha = S^* / S_0 (\leq 1).$$

Theorem 3.4. *Assume the same hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 concerning Ω , c , P and ψ with $n = 2$. Let β be the beta ratio concerning P and ψ satisfying (3.11). Then*

$$\beta \leq 8\pi(2C_0)^2 / \alpha = 8 / \alpha \tag{3.15}$$

with $C_0 = 1/2\pi^{1/2}$, provided that I is finite.

proof. We may assume $\alpha > 0$. By the Hölder inequality and the isoperimetric inequality (see e.g. [8, 13]) we obtain

$$\|P(\psi)\|_1 \leq (S_0)^{1/2} \|P(\psi)\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \leq (S_0)^{1/2} C_0 \|\nabla P(\psi)\|_1.$$

Theorem 3.2 now yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(\psi)\|_1 &\leq (S_0)^{1/2} C_0 2P_{\max}^{1/2} \mu_0 I \\ &\leq (S_0/S^*)^{1/2} 2C_0 \|P(\psi)\|_1^{1/2} \mu_0 I, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\|P(\psi)\|_1 \leq (2C_0 \mu_0 I)^2 / \alpha.$$

From this it follows the desired estimate for β .

Q.E.D.

Remark 3.5. Concerning the z-pinch equilibrium discussed in this section, there is Bennett's pinch relation (see e.g. [9]), i.e. $\beta = 1$ for every $P(\psi)$ as far as $\psi = \psi(r)$, where $r = |x|$ is the radial coordinate. This relation is easily derived by integrations by parts. We denote a the radius of the circular plasma cross section, i.e. the radius of the support of $P(\psi(r))$. Since $P(\psi(a)) = 0$, integrating by parts yields

$$\int_0^a \frac{dP(\psi(r))}{dr} r^2 dr = -\pi^{-1} \|P(\psi)\|_1. \quad (3.16)$$

On the other hand, by $dP(\psi(r))/dr = P' d\psi/dr$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^a \frac{dP(\psi(r))}{dr} r^2 dr &= \int_0^a P'(\psi) \frac{d\psi}{dr} r^2 dr \\ &= F(a) \left(a \frac{d\psi}{dr}(a) \right) - \int_0^a F(r) \left(\frac{d}{dr} \left(r \frac{d\psi}{dr} \right) \right) dr, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

where we define

$$F(r) = \int_0^r P'(\psi(r)) r dr.$$

Using (1.1), we see that the integrand of the second term on the most right-hand side of (3.17) is equal to $dF(r)^2/2dr$. By (1.3), we obtain

$$2\pi \int_0^a -r^{-1} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r \frac{d\psi}{dr} \right) r dr = 2\pi \int_0^a P'(\psi(r)) r dr = \mu_0 I,$$

which shows

$$F(a) = a \frac{d\psi}{dr}(a) = \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi}.$$

Comparing (3.16) and (3.17), we obtain

$$\|P(\psi)\|_1 = (\mu_0 I)^2 / 8\pi,$$

which implies $\beta = 1$.

In this situation, our estimate (3.15) does not yield the best result, since α can be small for a peaked profile of $P(\psi(r))$. This is because we had to use the Hölder inequality to derive (3.15). Our estimate, however, is useful when we consider a more general configuration.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was motivated by the suggestions of Professor S. Yoshikawa. The authors are grateful to Professor S.-I. Itoh, Dr. S. Tokuda, Dr. T. Tsunematsu and Professor M. Wakatani for their discussions. This work was supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science and Culture (No. 02680003 and No. 03680004).

References

1. Berestycki, H., Brézis, H.: Sur certains problèmes de frontière libre. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **283**, 1091-1094 (1976).
2. Beretta, E., Vogelius, M.: An inverse problem originating from magnetohydrodynamics. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **115**, 137-152 (1991).
3. Blum, J., Gallouet, T., Simon, J.: Existence and control of plasma equilibrium in a tokamak. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **17**, 1158-1177 (1986).
4. Caffarelli, L.A., Friedman, A.: Asymptotic estimates for the plasma problem. Duke Math. J. **47**, 705-742 (1978).
5. Clarke, J.F., Sigmar, D.J.: High-pressure flux-conserving tokamak equilibria. Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 70-74 (1977).
6. Cowley, S.C., Kaw, P.K., Kelly, R.S., Kulsrud, R.M.: An analytic solution of high β equilibrium in a large aspect ratio tokamak. Phys. Fluids B: Plasma Phys. **3**, 2066-2077 (1991).
7. Dory, R.A., Peng, Y.-K. M.: High-pressure flux-conserving tokamak equilibria. Nucl. Fusion **17**, 21-31 (1977).
8. Federer, H.: Geometric measure theory. New York: Springer-Verlag 1969.
9. Freidberg, J.P.: Ideal Magnetohydrodynamics. New York London: Plenum 1987.
10. Grad, H., Rubin, H.: Hydromagnetic equilibria and force-free fields. Proceedings of the Second International Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, United Nations, Geneva, Vol. 31, 1958, 190-197.
11. Laurence, P., Stredulinsky, E.: Convergence of a sequence of free boundary problem associated with the Grad variational problem in plasma physics. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **43**, 547-573 (1990).
12. Milnor, J.W.: Topology from the differentiable viewpoint. Charlottesville: Univ. Press Virginia 1965.
13. Morgan, F.: Geometric measure theory, a beginner's guide. Boston: Academic Press 1988.

14. Simader, C.G.: On Dirichlet's boundary value problem. Lecture Note in Math. 268. New York: Springer-Verlag 1972.
15. Schaeffer, D.G.: Non-uniqueness in the equilibrium shape of a confined plasma. Comm. in Partial Differential Equations 2, 587-600 (1977).
16. Schwartz, L.: Théorie des distributions, 3-rd edition. Paris: Hermann 1966.
17. Shafranov, V.D.: Plasma equilibrium in a magnetic field. Reviews of Plasma Physics. New York: Consultants Bureau 1966, 103-151.
18. Temam, R.: A nonlinear eigenvalue problem: the shape at equilibrium of a confined plasma. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 60, 51-73 (1976).
19. Temam, R.: Remarks on a free boundary value problem arising in plasma physics. Comm. in Partial Differential Equations 2, 563-586 (1977).
20. Temam, R.: Monotone rearrangement of a function and the Grad-Mercier equation of plasma physics. Proceedings of International Meeting on Recent Methods in Nonlinear Analysis, Rome, 1978, 83-98.
21. White, R.B.: Theory of Tokamak Plasmas. Amsterdam Oxford New York Tokyo: North-Holland 1989.
22. Yoshikawa, S.: Toroidal equilibrium of current-carrying plasmas. Phys. Fluids 17, 178-180 (1974).
23. Yoshikawa, S.: Limitation of pressure of tokamak plasmas. Phys. Fluids 20, 706-706 (1977).