



Title	Remarks on nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension
Author(s)	Hayashi, Nakao; Ozawa, Tohru
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 146, 2-10
Issue Date	1992-04
DOI	10.14943/83290
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/68892
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	pre146.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

**Remarks on Nonlinear Schrödinger
Equations in one space dimension**

N. Hayashi and T. Ozawa

Series #146. April 1992

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

- # 120: T. Nakazi, Invariant subspaces in the bidisc and commutators, 20 pages. 1991.
- # 121: A. Arai, Commutation properties of the partial isometries associated with anticommuting self-adjoint operators, 25 pages. 1991.
- # 122: Y.-G. Chen, Blow-up solutions to a finite difference analogue of $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$ in N -dimensional balls, 31 pages. 1991.
- # 123: A. Arai, Fock-space representations of the relativistic supersymmetry algebra in the two-dimensional space-time, 13 pages. 1991.
- # 124: S. Izumiya, The theory of Legendrian unfoldings and first order differential equations, 16 pages. 1991.
- # 125: T. Hibi, Face number inequalities for matroid complexes and Cohen-Macaulay types of Stanley-Reisner rings of distributive lattices, 17 pages. 1991.
- # 126: S. Izumiya, Completely integrable holonomic systems of first order differential equations, 35 pages. 1991.
- # 127: G. Ishikawa, S. Izumiya and K. Watanabe, Vector fields near a generic submanifold, 9 pages. 1991.
- # 128: A. Arai, I. Mitoma, Comparison and nuclearity of spaces of differential forms on topological vector spaces, 27 pages. 1991.
- # 129: K. Kubota, Existence of a global solution to a semi-linear wave equation with initial data of non-compact support in low space dimensions, 53 pages. 1991.
- # 130: S. Altschuler, S. Angenent and Y. Giga, Mean curvature flow through singularities for surfaces of rotation, 62 pages. 1991.
- # 131: M. Giga, Y. Giga and H. Sohr, L^p estimates for the Stokes system, 13 pages. 1991.
- # 132: Y. Okabe, T. Ootsuka, Applications of the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations to the non-linear prediction problem for the one-dimensional strictly stationary time series, 27 pages. 1992.
- # 133: Y. Okabe, Applications of the theory of KM_2O -Langevin equations to the linear prediction problem for the multi-dimensional weakly stationary time series, 22 pages. 1992.
- # 134: P. Avilés, Y. Giga and N. Komuro, Duality formulas and variational integrals, 22 pages. 1992.
- # 135: S. Izumiya, The Clairaut type equation, 6 pages. 1992.
- # 136: S. Izumiya, Singular solutions of first order differential equations, 6 pages. 1992.
- # 137: S. Izumiya, W.L. Marar, The Euler characteristic of a generic wave front in a 3-manifold, 6 pages. 1992.
- # 138: S. Izumiya, W.L. Marar, The Euler characteristic of the image of a stable mapping from a closed n -manifold to a $(2n - 1)$ -manifold, 5 pages. 1992.
- # 139: Y. Giga, Z. Yoshida, A bound for the pressure integral in a plasma equilibrium, 20 pages. 1992.
- # 140: S. Izumiya, What is the Clairaut equation ?, 13 pages. 1992.
- # 141: H. Takamura, Weighted deformation theorem for normal currents, 27 pages. 1992.
- # 142: T. Morimoto, Geometric structures on filtered manifolds, 104 pages. 1992.
- # 143: G. Ishikawa, T. Ohmoto, Local invariants of singular surfaces in an almost complex four-manifold, 9 pages. 1992.
- # 144: K. Kubota, K. Mochizuki, On small data scattering for 2-dimensional semilinear wave equations, 22 pages. 1992.
- # 145: T. Nakazi, K. Takahashi, Hyponormal Toeplitz operators and extremal problems of Hardy spaces, 30 pages. 1992.

Remarks on Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations in one space dimension

NAKAO HAYASHI* AND TOHRU OZAWA **

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering
Gunma University, Kiryu 376, JAPAN

and

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies *,
Université de Paris XI, Bâtiment 211, 91405 Orsay Cedex, FRANCE

Abstract. We consider the initial value problem for nonlinear Schrödinger equations :

$$(†) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 u = F(u, \partial u, \bar{u}, \partial \bar{u}), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

where $\partial = \partial_x = \partial/\partial x$, $F : \mathbb{C}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a polynomial having no constant nor linear terms. Without smallness condition on the data u_0 , it is shown that (†) have a unique local solution in time if u_0 is in $H^{3,0} \cap H^{2,1}$, where $H^{m,s} = \{f \in S'; \|f\|_{m,s} = \|(1+x^2)^{\frac{s}{2}}(1-\Delta)^{\frac{m}{2}} f\|_2 < \infty\}$, $m, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

§1 Introduction. We consider the initial value problem for nonlinear Schrödinger equation :

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 u = F(u, \partial u, \bar{u}, \partial \bar{u}), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

where $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$, $\partial = \partial_x = \partial/\partial x$, u is a complex valued function of $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$, \bar{u} is a complex conjugate of u , and F denotes a complex valued polynomial defined on \mathbb{C}^4 such that

$$(1.2) \quad F(z) = F(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \sum_{\substack{d \leq |\alpha| \leq \rho \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^4}} a_\alpha z^\alpha,$$

where we have used the standard notation for multi-indices. We assume that there exists $a_{\alpha_0} \neq 0$ for some $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+^4$ with $|\alpha_0| = d$, since, as we see below, the lowest degree d of the polynomial F , rather than the highest degree ρ , determines the

** On leave of absence from Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, JAPAN

*** Laboratoire associé au Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique

** Present address: Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060, Japan

character of the problem. The main results in this paper are the following. For notation, see below.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let F be as in (1.2) with $d \geq 3$. Then for any $u_0 \in H^{3,0}$, there exists a unique solution $u(\cdot)$ of (1.1) defined in the interval $[0, T]$, $T = T(\|u_0\|_{3,0}) > 0$ with $T(\theta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$, satisfying*

$$(1.3) \quad u \in C([0, T]; H^{2,0}) \cap C_w(0, T; H^{3,0}).$$

THEOREM 1.2. *Let F be as in (1.2) with $d = 2$. Then for any $u_0 \in H^{3,0} \cap H^{2,1}$, there exists a unique solution $u(\cdot)$ of (1.1) defined in the interval $[0, T]$, $T = T(\|u_0\|_{3,0} + \|u_0\|_{2,1}) > 0$ with $T(\theta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$, satisfying*

$$(1.4) \quad u \in C([0, T]; H^{2,1}) \cap C_w(0, T; H^{3,0}).$$

In the problem (1.1) the difficulty arises from the fact that the nonlinearity F involves the first derivatives ∂u and $\partial \bar{u}$, which could cause the so-called loss of derivatives so long as we make direct use of the standard methods, such as the energy estimates, the space-time estimates, and so on. One way to avoid this difficulty is to impose some conditions on the form of the nonlinearity in order that the worst derivatives should be dropped after integration by parts [4,8,9]. Another way is to restrict the class of the initial data, or equivalently, the function space where we solve the initial value problem. This approach turned out to be successful in the spaces of analytic functions [1,2,5], where the loss of derivatives is absorbed by analyticity.

Recently, an essential progress was made by Kenig, Ponce and Vega [7] by pushing forward the linear estimates associated with the Schrödinger group $\{\exp(\frac{i}{2}t\Delta)\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ and by introducing suitable function spaces where these estimates act naturally. In [7] the following theorems were proved.

THEOREM 4.1 ([7]). *Let F be as in (1.2) with $d \geq 3$. Then for any $u_0 \in H^{\frac{7}{2},0}$ such that $\|u_0\|_{\frac{7}{2},0}$ is sufficiently small, there exists a unique solution $u(\cdot)$ of (1.1) defined in the interval $[0, T]$, $T = T(\|u_0\|_{\frac{7}{2},0}) > 0$ with $T(\theta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$, satisfying*

$$u \in C([0, T]; H^{\frac{7}{2},0}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\int_0^T |\partial^4 u(t, x)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

THEOREM 4.2 ([7]). *Let F be as in (1.2) with $d = 2$. Then for any $u_0 \in H^{\frac{11}{2},0} \cap H^{3,1}$ such that $\|u_0\|_{\frac{11}{2},0} + \|u_0\|_{3,1}$ is sufficiently small, there exists a unique solution $u(\cdot)$*

of (1.1) defined in the interval $[0, T]$, $T = T(\|u_0\|_{\frac{11}{2}, 0} + \|u_0\|_{3,1}) > 0$ with $T(\theta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$, satisfying

$$u \in C([0, T]; H^{\frac{11}{2}, 0} \cap H^{3,1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\int_0^T |\partial^6 u(t, x)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Although we assume no further restriction on the nonlinearity in these theorems, we still need the smallness assumption on the initial data. In fact, in [7] the following natural question is given.

For “large” data u_0 does (1.1) have a local solution ?

Here we have removed the smallness assumption and our results are positive answers to this question in one dimensional case.

Our strategy of attacking the loss of derivatives is based on some observation of the structure of nonlinearity. By differentiating the equation with respect to x a few times, we easily observe the formation of the worst terms in the nonlinearity, which are classified into two categories : The terms which are handled by integration by parts and the terms which are not. Our conclusion in this paper is summarized as follows.

(1) The terms of second category can be absorbed by a gauge transformation.

(2) After the gauge transformation and a few times of differentiation of the equation (twice would suffice), the original equation is always transformed into a new system of equations where the usual energy estimates provide a sufficient method in order that the system proves to be closed with respect to differentiation.

As a result, we need no further restriction on the nonlinearity and on the data concerning the smallness and regularity conditions. A similar technique has been used on the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation [3,5,6], although no simple modification of the gauge transformation of [3,5,6] is fit for the general nonlinearity of the form (1.1).

We note finally that our method depends heavily on the fact that the space dimension is equal to one , while the method in [7] is applicable to higher dimensional case.

We now give notation and function spaces.

Notation and function spaces. For simplicity $\partial_{z_j} \partial_{z_k} \partial_{z_l} F(z) = F_{jkl}$, $\partial_{z_j} \partial_{z_k} F(z) = F_{jk}$, $\partial_{z_j} F(z) = F_j$. We let $L^p = \{f; f \text{ is measurable on } \mathbb{R}, \|f\|_p < \infty\}$, where $\|f\|_{L^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^p dx$ if $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\|f\|_{\infty} = \text{ess.sup}\{|f(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ if $p = \infty$, and we let $H^{m,s} = \{f \in S'; \|f\|_{m,s} = \|(1+x^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} (1-\Delta)^{\frac{m}{2}} f\|_2 < \infty\}$, $m, s \in \mathbb{R}$. For any interval I of \mathbb{R} and a Banach space B with the norm $\|\cdot\|_B$, we let $C(I; B)$ (resp. $C_w(I; B)$) be the space of continuous (resp. weakly continuous) functions from I

to B , and we let $L^p(I; B)$ be the space consisting of strongly measurable B valued functions u defined on I such that $\|u(\cdot)\|_B \in L^p(I)$. Different positive constants will be denoted by the same letter C . If necessary, by $C_j(* \cdots *)$ we denote constants depending on the quantities appearing in parentheses.

§2 Proof of the Theorems. We put

$$G_{\pm}(u) = \exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^x \pm F_2 dy\right) = \exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^x \pm (F_2(u, \partial u, \bar{u}, \partial \bar{u}))(t, y) dy\right).$$

F_2 is written as

$$F_2 = \alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 \bar{u} + \alpha_3 \partial u + \alpha_4 \partial \bar{u} + P(u, \partial u, \bar{u}, \partial \bar{u}),$$

where P is a polynomial having no constant nor linear terms and $\alpha_j (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are constants for $d = 2$ and $\alpha_j = 0$ for $d = 3$. If $\partial u, \partial \bar{u} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$

$$G_{\pm}(u) = \exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^x (\alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 \bar{u} + P) dy + \alpha_3 u + \alpha_4 \bar{u}\right).$$

We let $u = u_1$, $\partial u = u_2$ and $u_3 = G_- \partial^2 u$. Then (1.1) is written as

$$(2.1) \quad i \partial_t u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 u_1 = F(u_1, u_2, \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2).$$

Differentiating (2.1) with respect to x , we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad i \partial_t u_2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 u_2 = F_1 \cdot u_2 + F_3 \cdot \bar{u}_2 + F_2 \cdot G_+ u_3 + F_4 \cdot \overline{G_+ u_3}.$$

We again differentiate (2.2) with respect to x and then multiply the resulting equation by G_- to obtain

$$(2.3) \quad i \partial_t u_3 + \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 u_3 = - \int_{-\infty}^x i \partial_t F_2 dy \cdot u_3 + \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 G_- \cdot G_+ u_3 \\ + G_- (F_{11} u_2^2 + 2F_{13} |u_2|^2 + F_{33} \bar{u}_2^2) + 2F_{12} u_2 u_3 + 2F_{23} \bar{u}_2 u_3 \\ + 2F_{34} G_- \overline{G_+ u_2 u_3} + 2F_{14} G_- \overline{G_+ u_2 \bar{u}_3} + F_{22} G_+ u_3^2 + 2F_{24} \overline{G_+ |u_3|^2} + F_{44} G_- \overline{G_+ u_3^2} \\ + F_1 u_3 + F_3 G_- \overline{G_+ \bar{u}_3} + F_4 G_- \overline{G_+ \partial \bar{u}_3} + F_4 G_- \overline{\partial G_+ \bar{u}_3},$$

where the term involving ∂u_3 has been dropped by means of G_- .

A direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.4) \quad i\partial_t F_2 &= F_{12}i\partial_t u + F_{22}i\partial_t \partial u + F_{23}i\partial_t \bar{u} + F_{24}i\partial_t \partial \bar{u} \\
&= F_{12}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\partial^2 u + F\right) + F_{22}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\partial^3 u + \partial F\right) + F_{23}\left(\frac{1}{2}\partial^2 \bar{u} - \bar{F}\right) + F_{24}\left(\frac{1}{2}\partial^3 \bar{u} - \partial \bar{F}\right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}F_{12}G_+ u_3 + \frac{1}{2}F_{23}\overline{G_+ u_3} + F_{12}F - F_{23}\bar{F} \\
&+ F_{22}(F_1 u_2 + F_3 \bar{u}_2 + F_2 G_+ u_3 + F_4 \overline{G_+ u_3}) - F_{24}(\overline{F_1 u_2} + \overline{F_3 u_2} + \overline{F_2 G_+ u_3} + \overline{F_4 G_+ u_3}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\partial(F_{22}G_+ u_3 - F_{24}\overline{G_+ u_3}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}(F_{122}G_+ u_2 u_3 + F_{222}G_+^2 u_3^2 + F_{223}G_+ \bar{u}_2 u_3 - F_{124}\overline{G_+ u_2 u_3} - F_{234}\overline{G_+ u_2 u_3} - F_{244}\overline{G_+^2 u_3^2}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$(2.5) \quad \partial G_- = -F_2 G_-,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad \partial^2 G_- &= -\partial F_2 \cdot G_- + F_2^2 G_- \\
&= -(F_{12}u_2 + F_{23}\bar{u}_2 + F_{22}G_+ u_3 + F_{24}\overline{G_+ u_3})G_- + F_2^2 G_-,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad F_4 G_- \overline{G_+} \partial \bar{u}_3 &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^x \partial(F_4 G_- \overline{G_+}) dy\right) \partial \bar{u}_3 \\
&= \left(\int_{-\infty}^x ((F_{14}u_2 + F_{34}\bar{u}_2 + F_{24}u_3 G_+ + F_{44}\bar{u}_3 \overline{G_+} + F_4(\overline{F_2} - F_2))G_- \overline{G_+}) dy\right) \partial \bar{u}_3
\end{aligned}$$

if $F_4 G_- \overline{G_+} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$.

We apply (2.4)-(2.7) to (2.3) to obtain, if $F_4 G_- \overline{G_+} \rightarrow 0$ and $F_{22}G_+ u_3 - F_{24}\overline{G_+ u_3} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8) \quad i\partial_t u_3 + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 u_3 &= P_1(u_1, u_2, u_3) \\
&+ \left(\int_{-\infty}^x ((F_{14}u_2 + F_{34}\bar{u}_2 + F_{24}u_3 G_+ + F_{44}\bar{u}_3 \overline{G_+} + F_4(\overline{F_2} - F_2))G_- \overline{G_+}) dy\right) \partial \bar{u}_3,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.8') \quad P_1(u_1, u_2, u_3) = -\left\{\int_{-\infty}^x \left(-\frac{1}{2}F_{12}G_+ u_3 + \frac{1}{2}F_{23}\overline{G_+ u_3} + F_{12}F - F_{23}\bar{F}\right) dy\right\} u_3$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^x F_{22}(F_1 u_2 + F_3 \bar{u}_2 + F_2 G_+ u_3 + F_4 \overline{G_+ u_3}) dy \right\} u_3 \\
& + \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^x F_{24}(\bar{F}_1 \bar{u}_2 + \bar{F}_3 u_2 + \bar{F}_2 \overline{G_+ u_3} + \bar{F}_4 G_+ u_3) dy \right\} u_3 \\
& - \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{2} (F_{122} G_+ u_2 u_3 + F_{222} G_+^2 u_3^2 + F_{223} G_+ \bar{u}_2 u_3 \right. \\
& \quad \left. - F_{124} \overline{G_+ u_2 u_3} - F_{234} \bar{u}_2 \bar{u}_3 - F_{244} \overline{G_+^2 u_3^2}) dy \right\} u_3 \\
& + \frac{1}{2} (F_{22} G_+ u_3 - F_{24} \overline{G_+ u_3}) u_3 + \frac{1}{2} \{ -(F_{12} u_2 + F_{23} \bar{u}_2 + F_{22} G_+ u_3 + F_{24} \overline{G_+ u_3}) + F_2^2 \} u_3 \\
& + G_- (F_{11} u_2^2 + 2F_{13} |u_2|^2 + F_{33} \bar{u}_2^2) + 2F_{12} u_2 u_3 + 2F_{23} \bar{u}_2 u_3 \\
& + 2F_{34} G_- \overline{G_+ u_2 u_3} + 2F_{14} G_- \overline{G_+ u_2 u_3} + F_{22} G_+ u_3^2 + 2F_{24} \overline{G_+ |u_3|^2} \\
& + 2F_{44} G_- \overline{G_+^2 u_3^2} + F_1 u_3 + F_3 G_- \overline{G_+ u_3} - F_4 F_2 G_- \overline{G_+ u_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It is now clear that the usual energy method is applicable to the system of equations (2.1), (2.2) and (2.8). Therefore we study the system of equations (2.1), (2.2) and (2.8) in the function space :

$$X_T = \{U = (u_1, u_2, u_3); u_j \in C([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H^{1,0}), j = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$\| \|U\| \|_{X_T}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u_j(t)\|_{1,0}^2 < \infty\}.$$

We let $V = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ in $X_T(R) = \{U \in X_T; \| \|U\| \|_{X_T} \leq R\}$ and consider the linearized equations of (2.1), (2.2) and (2.8)

$$(2.9) \quad i\partial_t u_1 + \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 u_1 = F(v_1, v_2, \bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2),$$

$$(2.10) \quad i\partial_t u_2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 u_2 = F_1 \cdot v_2 + F_3 \cdot \bar{v}_2 + F_2 \cdot G_+ v_3 + F_4 \cdot \overline{G_+ v_3},$$

$$(2.11) \quad i\partial_t u_3 + \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 u_3 = P_1(v_1, v_2, v_3)$$

$$+ \left(\int_{-\infty}^x ((F_{14} v_2 + F_{34} \bar{v}_2 + F_{24} v_3 G_+ + F_{44} \bar{v}_3 \overline{G_+} + F_4 (\bar{F}_2 - F_2)) G_- \overline{G_+}) dy \right) \partial \bar{u}_3,$$

with the initial data

$$u_1(0, x) = u_0, \quad u_2(0, x) = \partial u_0, \quad u_3(0, x) = G_-(u_0) \partial^2 u_0,$$

where we have used abbreviation such as

$$F_1 = (\partial_{v_1} F)(v_1, v_2, \bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2)$$

on the right hand sides of (2.10) and (2.11). We define the mapping Φ by

$$U = \Phi V,$$

where $U = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ is the solution of (2.9)-(2.11).

By the usual energy estimates and Sobolev's inequality we have

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u_1(t)\|_{1,0} \leq C \cdot (R^d + R^\rho),$$

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u_2(t)\|_{1,0} \leq C \cdot (R^d + R^\rho) (1 + \exp(C \cdot (R^{d-1} + R^{\rho-1}))),$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_3(t)\|_{1,0}^2 &\leq C \cdot (R^d + R^{2\rho-1}) (1 + \exp(C \cdot (R^{d-1} + R^{\rho-1}))) \|u_3(t)\|_{1,0} \\ &\quad + C \cdot (R^{d-1} + R^{2\rho-2}) (1 + \exp(C \cdot (R^{d-1} + R^{\rho-1}))) \|u_3(t)\|_{1,0}^2. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.12) and (2.13) it follows that

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u_1(t)\|_{1,0} + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u_2(t)\|_{1,0} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^2 \|u_j(0)\|_{1,0} + C \cdot (R^d + R^\rho) (1 + \exp(C \cdot (R^{d-1} + R^{\rho-1}))) T. \end{aligned}$$

We apply Gronwall's inequality to (2.14) to obtain

$$(2.16) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u_3(t)\|_{1,0} \leq [\|u_3(0)\|_{1,0} + C_1(R)T] \exp(C_2(R)T),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(R) &= C \cdot (R^d + R^{2\rho-1}) (1 + \exp(C \cdot (R^{d-1} + R^{\rho-1}))), \\ C_2(R) &= C \cdot (R^{d-1} + R^{2\rho-2}) (1 + \exp(C \cdot (R^{d-1} + R^{\rho-1}))). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.15) and (2.16)

$$(2.17) \quad |||U|||_{X_T} \leq \left[\sum_{j=1}^3 \|u_j(0)\|_{1,0} + C_1(R)T \right] \exp(C_2(R)T).$$

We put $U^{(1)} = \Phi V^{(1)}$ and $U^{(2)} = \Phi V^{(2)}$, where $V^{(1)}, V^{(2)} \in X_T(R)$ and $U^{(1)}, U^{(2)}$ are the solutions of (2.9)-(2.11) with the same initial data. Then in the same way as in the proof of (2.17)

$$(2.18) \quad |||U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}|||_{Y_T} \leq C_3(R)T |||V^{(1)} - V^{(2)}|||_{Y_T},$$

where

$$C_3(R) = C \cdot (R^{d-2} + R^{2\rho-1})(1 + \exp(C \cdot (R^{d-1} + R^{\rho-1})))$$

and

$$Y_T = \{U = (u_1, u_2, u_3); u_j \in C([0, T]; L^2), j = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$|||U|||_{Y_T}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u_j(t)\|_2^2 < \infty\}.$$

We note that the closed ball $X_T(R)$ is complete under the metric on Y_T . By (2.17) and (2.18) we see that the mapping Φ leaves $X_T(R)$ invariant and is a contraction in the metric on Y_T provided that T is chosen suitably according to the size of R and that R is chosen according to the size of $\|u_0\|_{3,0}$. By the contraction mapping principle, we see that there exists a unique local solution $U = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ of (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) defined in the interval $[0, T]$, $T = T(\|u_0\|_{3,0}) > 0$ with $T(\theta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$, satisfying

$$(2.19) \quad u_j \in C([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H^{1,0}), j = 1, 2, 3,$$

and

$$F_4 G_- \overline{G_+} \rightarrow 0, \quad F_{22} G_+ u_3 - F_{24} \overline{G_+} \overline{u_3} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty.$$

By uniqueness of solutions we conclude that

$$(2.20) \quad u_2 = \partial u_1, \quad u_3 = G_-(u_1) \partial^2 u_1 \quad \text{on } [0, T],$$

and therefore the unique solution of (1.1) is given by $u = u_1$. Since $\partial u_3 = F_2 G_- \partial^2 u + G_- \partial^3 u$ we have

$$\partial^3 u = G_+ \partial u_3 + F_2 \partial^2 u.$$

Hence by Sobolev's inequality

$$(2.21) \quad \|\partial^3 u\|_2 \leq C_4(\|u\|_{2,0}) \cdot (1 + \|\partial u_3\|_2).$$

From (2.19)-(2.21) it follows that

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; H^{3,0}).$$

This and the integral equation associated with (1.1) imply

$$u \in C([0, T]; H^{2,0}),$$

which when combined with the boundedness of u with values in $H^{3,0}$ implies the weak continuity of u with values in $H^{3,0}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Multiplying both sides of (2.1), (2.2) and (2.8) by x , we have

$$(2.22) \quad i\partial_t x u_j + \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 x u_j = \partial u_j + x(i\partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \partial^2) u_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

where $x(i\partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \partial^2) u_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are understood to be the right hand sides of (2.1), (2.2), (2.8) multiplied by x , respectively. In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we consider the system of equations (2.1), (2.2), (2.8) and (2.22) in the function space

$$X_T = \{U = (u_1, u_2, u_3); u_j \in C([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H^{1,0} \cap H^{0,1}), j = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$\| \|U\| \|_{X_T} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|u_j(t)\|_{1,0} + \|u_j(t)\|_{0,1}) < \infty\}.$$

If we take account of

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^x (F_{12} G_+ u_3 - F_{23} \overline{G_+ u_3}) dy &= \int_{-\infty}^x (F_{12} \partial^2 u - F_{23} \partial^2 \overline{u}) dy \\ &= F_{12} u_2 - F_{23} \overline{u_2} - \int_{-\infty}^x (\partial F_{12} \cdot u_2 - \partial F_{23} \cdot \overline{u_2}) dy \end{aligned}$$

in (2.8') and

$$\|G_\pm\|_\infty \leq \exp(C\{\|u_1\|_{0,1} + \sum_{j=1}^2 (\|u_j\|_{1,0} + \|u_j\|_{1,0}^p)\}),$$

we see that in the same manner to the proof of Theorem 1.1 there exists a unique local solution $U = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ of (2.1), (2.2), (2.8) and (2.22) defined in the interval $[0, T]$, $T = T(\|u_0\|_{3,0} + \|u_0\|_{2,1}) > 0$ with $T(\theta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$, satisfying

$$(2.23) \quad u_j \in C([0, T]; L^2) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H^{1,0} \cap H^{0,1}), \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

and

$$F_4 G_- \overline{G_+} \rightarrow 0, \quad F_{22} G_+ u_3 - F_{24} \overline{G_+ u_3} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty.$$

The rest of the proof of Theorem 2 proceeds in the same way as that of Theorem 1.1, and so we omit it. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

REFERENCES.

1. N.Hayashi, *Global existence of small analytic solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Duke Math J. **60** (1990), 717-727.
2. N.Hayashi, *Global existence of small analytic solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equation II*, preprint (1991).
3. N.Hayashi, *The initial value problem for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the energy space*, Nonlinear Analysis TMA (1992) (to appear).
4. N.Hayashi, *Global existence of small solutions to quadratic nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, preprint (1991).
5. N.Hayashi and T.Ozawa, *On the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Physica D **55** (1992), 14-36.
6. N.Hayashi and T.Ozawa, *Modified wave operators for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, preprint (1991).
7. C.E.Kenig, G.Ponce and L.Vega, *Small solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, preprint (1991).
8. S.Klainerman, *Long-time behavior of solutions to nonlinear evolution equations*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **78** (1982), 73-98.
9. S.Klainerman and G.Ponce, *Global, small amplitude solutions to nonlinear evolution equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), 133-141.