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This paper presents a new topology optimization method based on basis functions for design of rotating machines. In this method, the core shape of a given rotating machine is represented by the linear combination of the basis functions. The shape is then freely deformed by changing the weighting coefficients to the basis functions to find the optimal shape. The proposed method is compared with the conventional shape optimization based on polygon morphing. The former is shown to outperform the latter in both IPM and synchronous motor models.

Index Terms—Topology Optimization, Basis Function, Polygon Morphing, Finite Element Method

I. INTRODUCTION

In the topology optimization of a rotating machine, the core shape is freely deformed allowing appearance and disappearance of flux barriers and magnets etc. without introducing design parameters unlike the conventional parameter optimizations [1]-[3]. It has been shown that simple optimal shapes which are suitable for manufacturing can be obtained by the topology optimization when the material shape is represented through the linear combination of the basis functions [4]. It has been shown that use of the normalized Gaussians as the basis functions is particularly suitable for the topology optimization of rotating machines [5]-[7].

The topology optimization has been carried out mainly aiming at finding novel shapes in the initial design phase. In the following design phases, on the other hand, it is often required to modify the given core shapes for further improvement of performance such as average torque and efficiency. The topology optimization would also be useful for this purpose because the optimal solution can be explored by flexibly deforming the original core shape.

In this paper, we introduce a new topology optimization method based on the basis functions which modifies the given core shapes of rotating machines. In this method, the material attribute [iron, air] in each finite element in the core is determined from the value of the linear combination of the basis functions. The given motor shape is represented by the basis functions. Then, the weighting coefficients are optimized so that the cost function is minimized under the given constraints. The proposed method is applied to optimization of the rotors of an IPM motor and a synchronous reluctance motor (SynRM).

Moreover, the performance of the proposed method is compared with the conventional shape optimization method based on polygon morphing.

II. OPTIMIZATION METHODS

A. Topology Optimization Using Normalized Gaussians

Although any basis functions can be used in the proposed method, we employ here the normalized Gaussians which are schematically shown in Fig.1. The core of a rotating machine is assumed to be subdivided into finite elements. The material attribute $A_e$ of a finite element in the design region are determined from the value of the shape function defined by

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_g} w_i b_i(x)$$

where $w_i$, $x$ and $N_g$ are the weighting coefficient, gravitation center of the finite element and number of basis functions $G_j$, respectively, and $b_i$ denotes the normalized Gaussian function given by

$$b_i(x) = G_i(x) / \sum_{j=1}^{N_g} G_j(x),$$

where $G_i(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-x_0)^2\right)$ is the Gaussian function.

Manuscript received June 27, 2017. Corresponding author: H. Sasaki (e-mail: sasaki@em.ist.hokudai.ac.jp).

Digital Object Identifier (inserted by IEEE).
where \( \sigma^2 \) denotes the variance whose value is set to \( \sigma^2=10^{-6} \) mm\(^2\) in the following examples and \( x_i \) is the center of Gaussian basis \( G_i \). The material attribute \( A_e \) of finite element \( e \) in the design region is determined from the shape function \( \phi \) as follows:

\[
A_e \begin{cases} 
\text{iron} & \phi(x) \geq 0, \\
\text{air} & \phi(x) < 0.
\end{cases}
\]  

(4)

We determine \( N_e, \sigma \) so that the result has smooth boundaries and also satisfactory performance. The influence of these parameters on the optimal solution has been discussed in [6]. We use Real-coded Genetic Algorithm (RGA) for optimization of \( w_i \).

### B. Shape Fitting to Given Model

Fig. 2 shows the flow diagram of the proposed method. In the first phase, we determine \( w_i \) by RGA so that the model shape represented by \( \phi \) is as near to the base model as possible. For this purpose, we solve the optimization problem defined by

\[
N \rightarrow \min.
\]  

(5)

where \( N \) is the number of finite elements which are inconsistent with the base model.

In the second phase, we add random noises to the gene, \( w^i = [w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{N_e}] \), of the individuals in the initial population for free deformation. Then we start the RGA process for topology optimization [6, 7] as described in D.

### C. Shape Optimization Based on Polygon Morphing

In order to verify the merit of the proposed method, we compare it with a conventional shape optimization method applied to the base model. This method is schematically shown in Fig. 3. We assign vertices on the material boundary of the base model. The coordinates of these vertices are chosen as the genes in RGA. Then, we add random noises to the gene of the individual in common with the proposed method. In the process of RGA, we reject the individuals which have either edge crossing or vertex outside the design region. The material attribute in each finite element in the core is determined from the vertex placement; if the gravitation center of a finite element is inside the polygon defined by the vertices, its material attribute is set to air. Note that this method cannot perform the topology optimization because flux barriers do not newly appear or disappear.

### D. Optimization Setting

Fig. 4(a) shows an IPM motor [8], on the basis of which we construct the base models of IPM and SynRM for optimization. By solving (5), the base models are represented by \( \phi \) in (1) as shown in Fig. 4 (b) and (c). These base models of IPM and SynRM have average torques 2.08Nm, 1.28Nm, respectively. For SynRM, the rotor shape shown in Fig. 4 (c) has been widely used as can be seen, e.g., in [9]. Fig. 5 shows the polygons with 14 and 36 vertices arranged to represent the IPM and SynRM.

![Fig. 2 Flow diagram of present method](image)

![Fig. 3 Polygon morphing method](image)

![Fig. 4 IPM motor and base model represented by Gaussian bases](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I. ROTATING MACHINE PARAMETERS</th>
<th>IPM</th>
<th>SynRM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current phase angle [degree]</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current effective value [A]</td>
<td>4.2425</td>
<td>8.4850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of turns [turn]</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual flux density [T]</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
models. The rotating machine parameters and optimization setting are summarized in TABLES. I and II.

The optimization problem is defined by

\[
F = \frac{T_{\text{ave}}}{T_{\text{ave}}^0} \rightarrow \text{max. sub. to } T_{\text{rip}}, N_{\text{ave}} < 2, \quad (6)
\]

where, \(T_{\text{ave}}, T_{\text{rip}}, T_{\text{ave}}^0 \) and \(T_{\text{rip}}^0\) are the average torque, torque ripple and the corresponding values of the IPM motor shown in Fig.4 (a), respectively. The torque ripple is defined by

\[
T_{\text{rip}} = \frac{T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{min}}}{T_{\text{ave}}} \quad (7)
\]

where \(T_{\text{max}}\) and \(T_{\text{min}}\) are the maximal and minimal average torque, respectively. Moreover, \(N_{\text{ave}}\) is the number of separated rotor cores. The FE analysis of magnetic fields by fine mechanical angular interval \(\Delta \theta\) gives rise to long computational time. For this reason, we set \(\Delta \theta = 5\) degrees for the optimization. Because the algorithm almost converges at 200 generations, we stop the RGA process at this point in all the cases.

III. OPTIMIZATION RESULTS

A. Optimization of IPM motor

Fig. 6 shows the resultant rotor shapes of the IPM motor obtained by the topology optimization with Gaussian basis functions and shape optimization based on polygon morphing, which will be referred to as topology and shape optimizations, respectively. The new flux barriers appear near the rotor surface only in (a), while the flux barriers beside the magnet expand by the optimization in a similar way in both (a) and (b). The average torque is improved from 2.08Nm to 2.37Nm and 2.27Nm by the topology and shape optimizations, respectively, from which it is concluded that the former result is about 5% better.

Fig. 7 shows the magnitude of magnetic induction and lines of magnetic flux. There are magnetic saturations between the rotor surface and the small flux barrier in (a). This magnetic saturation would relax the variation of the magnetic induction in the azimuthal direction and suppress the torque ripple. Fig. 8 shows the torque waveforms. The computing time for the fitting is about 7 hours and 2 days for topology and polygon morphing optimizations, respectively, when using Intel Xeon CPU E5-2650 v2 at 2.6GHz (2×8 cores in total).

To consider dependence of the convergent solution on the random seed, we perform the topology optimization for different random seeds. The resultant average torque is 2.43Nm and the standard deviation is 1.19×10^1 Nm. For this results, we conclude that the optimal solutions do not have significant impacts in their performance for different random seeds when starting from the base model.

B. Optimization of SynRM

Fig. 9 shows the resultant rotor shapes of SynRM obtained by the topology and shape optimizations. The width of the flux

---

**TABLE II. PARAMETERS IN OPTIMIZATION.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gaussian bases in Optimization</th>
<th>Polygon Morphing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPM</td>
<td>SynRM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPM</td>
<td>SynRM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of genes</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of individuals</td>
<td>810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of children</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of generations</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum area of flux noised</td>
<td>(1.0 \times 10^{-2} / 5.0 \times 10^{-2})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Base model of IPM motor represented by a polygon
(b) Base model of SynRM represented by polygons
Fig. 5 Shape optimization based on polygon morphing

---

**Fig. 6 Optimized shapes of IPM motor**

- \(T_{\text{ave}} = 2.37\text{Nm}, T_{\text{rip}} = 0.28\)
- \(T_{\text{ave}} = 2.27\text{Nm}, T_{\text{rip}} = 0.21\)

**Fig. 7 Magnetic induction and flux lines in optimized IPM motors**

- (a) Gaussian basis function
- (b) Polygon morphing

**Fig. 8 Torque waveforms of IPM motor**
barriers increases by the optimization in both (a) and (b). The average torque is improved from 1.28Nm to 2.04Nm and 1.79Nm by the topology and shape optimizations, respectively, from which it is concluded that the former result is about 14% better. Fig. 10 shows the magnitude of magnetic induction and lines of magnetic flux. The magnetic fluxes pass through the U-shaped bridges in both resultant rotors. Fig. 11 shows the torque waveforms. The torque of SynRM optimized by the topology optimization is found to be clearly stronger than other two torques.

C. Smoothing of material boundaries

Although smooth material boundaries are preferable for the manufacturing, the topology optimization often gives rise to complicated material boundaries. For this reason, the resultant material boundaries would be made smooth after the optimization process. To investigate the effect of the material smoothing on the torque characteristic, the rotor resulted from the topology optimization is made smooth as shown in Fig. 12. The core shape is represented now by polygons with vertices, marked by red points. The torque waveforms before and after smoothing are comparatively plotted in Fig. 13. It can be seen that there are little differences between the two curves.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proposed a topology optimization method starting from a given core shape. This method can be used for improvement of existing machine models. The topology optimization in which the rotor shape is represented by the Gaussian basis functions outperforms the conventional shape optimization based on polygon morphing for IPM motor and SynRM models. Smoothing of the material boundary in the rotor optimized by the topology optimization gives little changes in the torque characteristics.
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