



Title	Two dimensional representations of uniform algebras
Author(s)	Nakazi, T.; Takahashi, K.
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 226, 1-7
Issue Date	1994-1-1
DOI	10.14943/83373
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/68977
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	pre226.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

Two dimensional representations of
uniform algebras

T. Nakazi and K. Takahashi

Series #226. January 1994

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

- # 196: H. Kubo, Asymptotic behaviors of solutions to semilinear wave equations with initial data of slow decay, 25 pages. 1993.
- # 197: Y. Giga, Motion of a graph by convexified energy, 32 pages. 1993.
- # 198: T. Ozawa, Local decay estimates for Schrödinger operators with long range potentials, 17 pages. 1993.
- # 199: A. Arai, N. Tominaga, Quantization of angle-variables, 31 pages. 1993.
- # 200: S. Izumiya, Y. Kurokawa, Holonomic systems of Clairaut type, 17 pages. 1993.
- # 201: K.-S. Saito, Y. Watatani, Subdiagonal algebras for subfactors, 7 pages. 1993.
- # 202: K. Iwata, On Markov properties of Gaussian generalized random fields, 7 pages. 1993.
- # 203: A. Arai, Characterization of anticommutativity of self-adjoint operators in connection with Clifford algebra and applications, 13 pages. 1993.
- # 204: J. Wierzbicki, An estimation of the depth from an intermediate subfactor, 7 pages. 1993.
- # 205: N. Honda, Vanishing theorem for the tempered distributions, 11 pages. 1993.
- # 206: T. Hibi, Betti number sequences of simplicial complexes, Cohen-Macaulay types and Möbius functions of partially ordered sets, and related topics, 25 pages. 1993.
- # 207: A. Inoue, Regularly varying correlations, 23 pages. 1993.
- # 208: S. Izumiya, B. Li, Overdetermined systems of first order partial differential equations with singular solution, 9 pages. 1993.
- # 209: T. Hibi, Hochster's formula on Betti numbers and Buchsbaum complexes, 7 pages. 1993.
- # 210: T. Hibi, Star-shaped complexes and Ehrhart polynomials, 5 pages. 1993.
- # 211: S. Izumiya, G. T. Kossioris, Geometric singularities for solutions of single conservation laws, 28 pages. 1993.
- # 212: A. Arai, On self-adjointness of Dirac operators in Boson-Fermion Fock spaces, 43 pages. 1993.
- # 213: K. Sugano, Note on non-commutative local field, 3 pages. 1993.
- # 214: A. Hoshiga, Blow-up of the radial solutions to the equations of vibrating membrane, 28 pages. 1993.
- # 215: A. Arai, Scaling limit of anticommuting self-adjoint operators and nonrelativistic limit of Dirac operators, 35 pages. 1993.
- # 216: Y. Giga, N. Mizoguchi, Existence of periodic solutions for equations of evolving curves, 45 pages. 1993.
- # 217: T. Suwa, Indices holomorphic vector fields relative to invariant curves, 10 pages. 1993.
- # 218: S. Izumiya, G. T. Kossioris, Realization theorems of geometric singularities for Hamilton-Jacobi equations, 14 pages. 1993.
- # 219: Y. Giga, K. Yama-uchi, On instability of evolving hypersurfaces, 14 pages. 1993.
- # 220: W. Bruns, T. Hibi, Cohen-Macaulay partially ordered sets with pure resolutions, 11 pages. 1993.
- # 221: S. Jimbo, Y. Morita, Ginzburg Landau equation and stable solutions in a rotational domain, 32 pages. 1993.
- # 222: T. Miyake, Y. Maeda, On a property of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms of half-integral weight, 12 pages. 1993.
- # 223: I. Nakai, Notes on versal deformation of first order PDE and web structure, 34 pages. 1993.
- # 224: I. Tsuda, Can stochastic renewal of maps be a model for cerebral cortex?, 30 pages. 1993.
- # 225: H. Kubo, K. Kubota, Asymptotic behaviors of radial solutions to semilinear wave equations in odd space dimensions, 47 pages. 1994.

Two dimensional representations of uniform algebras

Takahiko Nakazi and Katsutoshi Takahashi

Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University
Sapporo 060, Japan

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46J10, 47A20.

Key words and phrases. Uniform algebra, representation, dilation, contraction of class C_0 .

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Ministry of Education.

Abstract. It is shown that every two dimensional representation of a uniform algebra has a dilation, which extends the result by Paulsen [6]. We also prove some dilation result for a representation of the disk algebra.

1. Introduction. Let $C(X)$ be the algebra of complex-valued continuous function on a compact Hausdorff space X and let A be a uniform algebra on X . Let $L(H)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space H . An algebra homomorphism $\Phi : A \rightarrow L(H)$ is called a representation of A on H if $\Phi(1) = I_H$ and Φ is contractive, i.e., $\|\Phi(f)\| \leq \|f\|$ for all $f \in A$. Two representations $\Phi_1 : A \rightarrow L(H_1)$ and $\Phi_2 : A \rightarrow L(H_2)$ are said to be unitarily equivalent if there exists an unitary operator $U : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ such that $U\Phi_1(f) = \Phi_2(f)U$ for all $f \in A$. For a representation Φ of A on H , a representation $\tilde{\Phi} : C(X) \rightarrow L(K)$ is called a dilation of Φ if $H \subset K$ and $\Phi(f) = P_H\tilde{\Phi}(f)|_H$ for all $f \in A$, where P_H is the orthogonal projection of K onto H . Paulsen [6] showed that every two dimensional representation of A has a dilation in the case where A is the algebra of all functions uniformly approximated on a compact subset X of the complex plane by rational functions with poles off X (see also [5]). In this note we give another proof of the above dilation result (for a general uniform algebra A).

B. Cole (see [1]) showed that for any closed ideal J in a uniform algebra A , the quotient algebra A/J is isometrically isomorphic to an algebra of bounded operators on a Hilbert space H , or equivalently, there is a representation $\Phi : A \rightarrow L(H)$ such that $\|\Phi(f)\| = \|f + J\|$ for all $f \in A$, where $\|f + J\|$ is the quotient norm of the coset $f + J$ of f in A/J . We say a representation Φ of A to be Q -isometric if $\|\Phi(f)\| = \|f + \ker \Phi\|$ for all $f \in A$ and a Q -isometric representation $\tilde{\Phi} : A \rightarrow L(K)$ to be a Q -isometric dilation of a representation $\Phi : A \rightarrow L(H)$ if $H \subset K$ and $\Phi(f) = P_H\tilde{\Phi}(f)|_H$ for all $f \in A$. A Q -isometric representation of A is used by Cole, Lewis and Wermer [2] to generalize Pick's conditions of the interpolation problem for the disk algebra to the case of the uniform algebra A . The result of Cole stated above shows that any representation Φ of A has a Q -isometric dilation. Indeed, by Cole's result, there exists a Q -isometric representation Ψ such that $\ker \Psi = \ker \Phi$. Then the representation $\tilde{\Phi}$ defined by $\tilde{\Phi}(f) = \Phi(f) \oplus \Psi(f)$ ($f \in A$) is a Q -isometric dilation of Φ . It is also follows from our proof of the dilation result (Theorem 1) that if a representation $\Phi : A \rightarrow L(H)$ satisfies $\dim(A/\ker \Phi) = 2$, then Φ has a Q -isometric dilation $\tilde{\Phi} : A \rightarrow L(K)$ which is minimal in the sense that $K = \bigvee_{f \in A} \tilde{\Phi}(f)H$. In Section 3 it is shown that every representation of the disk algebra has a minimal Q -isometric dilation.

2. Two dimensional representations. In this section we prove the following theorem, which extends the result by Paulsen [6].

Theorem 1. *Let $\Phi : A \rightarrow L(H)$ be a representation of A . If $\dim(A/\ker \Phi) = 2$, then Φ has a dilation.*

Using Misra's method [5], we first determine representations $\Phi : A \rightarrow L(H)$ such that $\dim(A/\ker \Phi) = 2$.

Let J be an ideal of A with $\dim(A/J) = 2$. Then

$$(1) \quad J = \{f \in A : f(x) = f(y) = 0\},$$

where x and y are two points in the maximal ideal space $M(A)$ of A , or

$$(2) \quad J = \{f \in A : f(x) = \delta(f) = 0\},$$

where $x \in M(A)$ and δ is a bounded point derivation at x , that is, δ is a bounded linear functional on A such that $\delta(fg) = f(x)\delta(g) + g(x)\delta(f)$ for $f, g \in A$ (see, e.g., [3]).

Lemma 1. *Let $\Phi : A \rightarrow L(H)$ be a homomorphism with $\Phi(1) = I_H$ and assume that $\dim(A/\ker \Phi) = 2$. Then, according as $J = \ker \Phi$ is of the form (1) or (2), $\Phi(f)$ is expressed as*

$$(3) \quad \Phi(f) = \begin{pmatrix} f(x)I_{H_1} & (f(x) - f(y))C \\ 0 & f(y)I_{H_2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } H = H_1 \oplus H_2$$

or

$$(3') \quad \Phi(f) = \begin{pmatrix} f(x)I_{H_1} & \delta(f)C \\ 0 & f(x)I_{H_2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } H = H_1 \oplus H_2$$

for all $f \in A$, where C is a bounded linear operator from H_2 to H_1 .

Proof. Suppose that J is of the form (1). Take functions f_1 and f_2 in A such that $f_1(x) = f_2(y) = 1$ and $f_1(y) = f_2(x) = 0$. Then $\Phi(f_1)$ is idempotent and so

$$\Phi(f_1) = \begin{pmatrix} I & C \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } H = \text{ran } \Phi(f_1) \oplus (\text{ran } \Phi(f_1))^\perp.$$

Since $\Phi(f_1) + \Phi(f_2) = I$ and $f - f(x)f_1 - f(y)f_2 \in J$ for $f \in A$, we have

$$\Phi(f) = \begin{pmatrix} f(x)I & (f(x) - f(y))C \\ 0 & f(y)I \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } H = \text{ran } \Phi(f_1) \oplus (\text{ran } \Phi(f_1))^\perp,$$

for all $f \in A$. For the case where J is of the form (2), take $f_0 \in A$ such that $f_0(x) = 0$ and $\delta(f_0) = 1$, and note that $\Phi(f_0)^2 = 0$ and $f - f(x) - \delta(f)f_0 \in J$ for $f \in A$.

Lemma 2. (cf. [5, the proof of Theorem 2.3]) *Let $C : H_2 \rightarrow H_1$ and $D : K_2 \rightarrow K_1$ be two operators, where H_1, H_2, K_1 and K_2 are Hilbert spaces. If $\|C\| \leq \|D\|$, then*

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} aI_{H_1} & C \\ 0 & bI_{H_2} \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} aI_{K_1} & D \\ 0 & bI_{K_2} \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$

for any scalars a and b .

Proof. If $a = 0$ or $D = 0$, the inequality is clear. So suppose that a and D are nonzero. By considering $(1 + \varepsilon)D$ ($\varepsilon > 0$) instead of D , we can also assume that $\|C\| < \|D\|$. Take any unit vector $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ in $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$ ($y \neq 0$). Since $\|C\| < \|D\|$, there is $y' \in K_2$ such that $\|Cy\| < \|Dy'\|$ and $\|y'\| = \|y\|$. Set $x' = \frac{|a| \|x\|}{a \|Dy'\|} Dy'$. Then $\left\| \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} \right\| = 1$, and we have

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} aI_{H_1} & C \\ 0 & bI_{H_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\| < \left\| \begin{pmatrix} aI_{K_1} & D \\ 0 & bI_{K_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} \right\|,$$

which implies the required inequality.

Let μ be a probability measure on X , and let $H^2(\mu)$ and $[J]_\mu$ denote the closure in $L^2(\mu)$ of A and of an ideal J , respectively. For each $f \in A$, we define an operator S_f^μ on $H = H^2(\mu) \ominus [J]_\mu$ by $S_f^\mu h = P_H(fh)$ for each $h \in H$. Then the map $\Phi^\mu : f \mapsto S_f^\mu$ is a representation of A on H such that $\ker \Phi^\mu \supset J$ and has a dilation $\tilde{\Phi}^\mu : f \mapsto M_f^\mu$, where for $f \in C(X)$, M_f^μ denotes the multiplication operator by f on $L^2(\mu)$. B. Cole (see [1]) showed that for each $f \in A$, there exists a probability measure ν such that $\|S_f^\nu\| = \|f + J\|$.

For $x, y \in M(A)$ and a bounded point derivation δ at x , let

$$\sigma(x, y) = \sup\{|f(y)| : f(x) = 0 \text{ and } \|f\| \leq 1\}$$

and

$$\rho(x, \delta) = \sup\{|\delta(f)| : f(x) = 0 \text{ and } \|f\| \leq 1\}.$$

Lemma 3. (cf. [5, Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1]) *Let $\Phi : A \rightarrow L(H)$ be a homomorphism with $\Phi(1) = I$ such that $\dim(A/\ker \Phi) = 2$, and let C be as in Lemma 1. Then Φ is a representation of A on H if and only if, according as $J = \ker \Phi$ is of the form (1) or (2),*

$$(4) \quad \|C\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(x, y)^2} - 1 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{or} \quad \rho(x, \delta)^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, the equality in (4) holds if and only if $\|\Phi(f)\| = \|f + J\|$ for all $f \in A$.

Proof. By [5, Remark 2], the condition that Φ is contractive is equivalent to the condition that $\|\Phi(f)\| \leq \|f\|$ for all $f \in J_x = \{f : f(x) = 0\}$. Since $\dim(J_x/J) = 1$ by assumption, the latter is equivalent to the condition that $\|\Phi(f)\| \leq \|f + J\|$ for some $f \in J_x \setminus J$. In the case where J is of the form (1), for $f \in J_x \setminus J$, by (3) we have

$$\Phi(f)^* \Phi(f) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & |f(y)|^2(C^*C + I) \end{pmatrix},$$

hence

$$\|\Phi(f)\|^2 = |f(y)|^2(\|C\|^2 + 1) = \sigma(x, y)^2(\|C\|^2 + 1)\|f + J\|^2.$$

Similarly, for the case where J is of the form (2), we have

$$\|\Phi(f)\| = \rho(x, \delta)\|C\|\|f + J\|$$

for $f \in J_x \setminus J$. Hence the first part follows. Also, if $\|\Phi(f)\| = \|f + J\|$ for $f \in J_x \setminus J$, then it follows that Φ is contractive and the equality in (4) holds. Conversely, assume that the equality in (4) holds. By Cole's result, for each $f \in A$, there is a probability measure ν such that $\|f + J\| = \|S_f^\nu\|$. Since the map $\Phi^\nu : g \mapsto S_g^\nu$ is a representation of A such that $\ker \Phi^\nu \supset J$, it follows from the first part and Lemma 2 that $\|S_f^\nu\| \leq \|\Phi(f)\|$. (Note that if $\dim(A/\ker \Phi^\nu) = 1$, then S_f^ν is the operator of multiplication by $f(x)$ or $f(y)$ on the one dimensional space and so $\|S_f^\nu\| \leq \|\Phi(f)\|$.) Therefore $\|f + J\| = \|\Phi(f)\|$ for all $f \in A$.

Corollary 1. *Let J be an ideal of A such that $\dim(A/J) = 2$. Then there is a probability measure μ such that $\|S_f^\mu\| = \|f + J\|$ for all $f \in A$.*

Proof. The ideal J is of the form (1) or (2). Take an $f \in A \setminus J$ such that $f(x) = 0$. By Cole's result, there exists a probability measure μ such that $\|f + J\| = \|S_f^\mu\|$. The map $\Phi^\mu : g \mapsto S_g^\mu$ is a representation of A such that $\ker \Phi^\mu \supset J$. If $\ker \Phi^\mu = J$, then it follows from Lemma 3 (and its proof) that μ is the required measure. On the other hand, if $\ker \Phi^\mu \neq J$, then, since $S_f^\mu \neq 0$, the ideal J is of the form (1) and $S_f^\mu = f(y)$. It follows that $\|f + J\| = |f(y)| (\neq 0)$, hence $\sigma(x, y) = 1$, which means x and y belong to the different Gleason parts of $M(A)$. In this case, by Lemma 3 any representation Φ of A such that $\ker \Phi = J$ satisfies $\|\Phi(g)\| = \|g + J\|$ for all $g \in A$. Therefore we have only to take a probability measure μ such that $\dim(H^2(\mu) \ominus [J]_\mu) = 2$, for example, $\mu = (\nu_1 + \nu_2)/2$, where ν_1 and ν_2 are representing measures of x and y , respectively.

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that $J = \ker \Phi$ is of the form (1). By Lemma 3, $\Phi(f)$ ($f \in A$) is expressed as (3) with $\|C\| \leq \alpha = (\sigma(x, y)^{-2} - 1)^{1/2}$. If $\alpha = 0$, then $C = 0$ and clearly Φ has a dilation, which is unitarily equivalent to the representation,

$$f \mapsto (\Sigma_{1 \leq n \leq d_1} \oplus M_f^{\mu_1}) \oplus (\Sigma_{1 \leq n \leq d_2} \oplus M_f^{\mu_2}),$$

of $C(X)$ on the space $(\Sigma_{1 \leq n \leq d_1} \oplus L^2(\mu_1)) \oplus (\Sigma_{1 \leq n \leq d_2} \oplus L^2(\mu_2))$, where μ_1 and μ_2 are representing measures of x and y , respectively, and $d_i = \dim H_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. So assume $\alpha \neq 0$. Then we can define an operator

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} (I_{H_1} - \alpha^{-2}CC^*)^{1/2} & 0 \\ \alpha^{-1}C^* & 0 \\ 0 & I_{H_2} \end{pmatrix} : H_1 \oplus H_2 \rightarrow H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus H_2.$$

Also, define a representation Ψ of A on $K = H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus H_2$ by

$$\Psi(f) = \begin{pmatrix} f(x)I_{H_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f(x)I_{H_2} & \alpha(f(x) - f(y))I_{H_2} \\ 0 & 0 & f(y)I_{H_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the operator W is isometric and satisfies $\Psi(f)^*W = W\Phi(f)^*$ for $f \in A$. Therefore $\text{ran } W$ is invariant for the algebra $\{\Psi(f)^* : f \in A\}$ and the representation Φ is unitarily equivalent to a representation Ψ_0 of A on $\text{ran } W$ defined by $\Psi_0(f) = P_{\text{ran } W}\Psi(f)|_{\text{ran } W}$. By Corollary 1 and Lemma 3, there exists a probability measure μ such that for $f \in A$, the operator S_f^μ on $H^2(\mu) \ominus [J]_\mu$ is expressed as

$$S_f^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} f(x) & \alpha(f(x) - f(y)) \\ 0 & f(y) \end{pmatrix}$$

(with respect to some orthonormal basis). Also, if ν is a representing measure of x , then S_f^ν is the multiplication operator by $f(x)$ on the one dimensional space. Thus Ψ has a dilation, which is unitarily equivalent to the representation,

$$f \mapsto (\Sigma_{1 \leq n \leq d_1} \oplus M_f^\nu) \oplus (\Sigma_{1 \leq n \leq d_2} \oplus M_f^\mu),$$

of $C(X)$ on $(\Sigma_{1 \leq i \leq d_1} \oplus L^2(\nu)) \oplus (\Sigma_{1 \leq i \leq d_2} \oplus L^2(\mu))$. Hence it follows that Φ has a dilation.

The above argument is also applied to the case where J is of the form (2), if the definition of $\Psi(f)$ is replaced by

$$\Psi(f) = \begin{pmatrix} f(x)I_{H_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f(x)I_{H_2} & \alpha\delta(f)I_{H_2} \\ 0 & 0 & f(x)I_{H_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha = \rho(x, \delta)^{-1} (> 0)$. Thus the proof is completed.

Corollary 2. *If Φ is a representation of A with $\dim(A/\ker \Phi) = 2$, then Φ has a minimal Q -isometric dilation.*

Proof. Let Ψ, Ψ_0 and W be as in the proof of Theorem 1. Then the invariant subspace $K_1 = \bigvee_{f \in A} \Psi(f) \text{ran } W$ of the algebra $\{\Psi(f) : f \in A\}$ generated by $\text{ran } W$ includes the space $\{0\} \oplus H_2 \oplus H_2$, hence the representation of $A : f \mapsto \Psi(f)|_{K_1}$ is a minimal Q -isometric dilation of Ψ_0 . Since Φ is unitarily equivalent to Ψ_0 , it follows that Φ has a minimal Q -isometric dilation. (Note that if $\alpha = 0$, then Φ is Q -isometric by Lemma 3.)

3. Representations of the disk algebra. We consider a minimal Q -isometric dilation of a representation of the disk algebra. In the following, A denotes the disc algebra, i.e., A is the algebra of all continuous functions on the unit circle \mathbf{T} whose Fourier coefficients vanish on the negative integers. Let H^p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) denote the Hardy space on \mathbf{T} , thus H^p is the closure of A in $L^p = L^p(m)$ or the weak*-closure of A in $L^\infty = L^\infty(m)$ as according $p < \infty$ or $p = \infty$, where m is the Lebesgue measure of \mathbf{T} .

We use results from the dilation theory of Sz.-Nagy and Foias [8]. Let T be a contraction (i.e., $\|T\| \leq 1$) on a Hilbert space H . Then, as well known, T can be decomposed as $T = U \oplus T_1$ on $H = H_u \oplus H_1$ where U is a unitary operator on H_u and T_1 is a completely non-unitary contraction on H_1 , that is, T_1 has no nonzero invariant subspace M such that $T_1|_M$ is unitary (see [8, Chap. I, Theorem 3.2]). For a completely non-unitary contraction T on H , the Sz.-Nagy and Foias functional calculus defines the weak*-continuous algebra homomorphism $\Phi_T : f \mapsto f(T)$ from H^∞ to $L(H)$, and T is said to be of class C_0 if Φ_T is not injective (see [8, Chap. III]). If T is of class C_0 , then $T^{*n} \rightarrow 0$ strongly (see [8, Chap. III, Proposition 4.2]), thus T is unitarily equivalent to the (functional model) operator

$$S(M) = P_{H^2(E) \ominus M} S|_{H^2(E)} \ominus M,$$

where $H^2(E)$ is the E -valued Hardy space (E is a Hilbert space), S is the unilateral shift on $H^2(E)$ and M is an invariant subspace of S such that $\bigvee_{n \geq 0} S^n(H^2(E) \ominus M) = H^2(E)$ (see [8, Chap. VI]). Also, since $\ker \Phi_T (\neq \{0\})$ is a weak*-closed ideal in H^∞ , we have $\ker \Phi_T = qH^\infty$ for an inner function q . The following lemma immediately follows from these facts.

Lemma 4. *If T is a contraction on H of class C_0 , then there is a contraction \tilde{T} on $\tilde{H} (\supset H)$ of class C_0 satisfying the following conditions (i), (ii) and (iii): (i) $T^* = \tilde{T}^*|_H$; (ii) $\|f(\tilde{T})\| = \|f + \ker \Phi_T\|$ for all $f \in H^\infty$; (iii) $\tilde{H} = \bigvee_{n \geq 0} \tilde{T}^n H$.*

Proof. We may consider T as the functional model $S(M) = P_{H^2(E) \ominus M} S|_{H^2(E)} \ominus M$. Let $\ker \Phi_T = qH^\infty$, where q is inner. Since $q(S(M)) = 0$, we have $M \supset qH^2(E)$. Define a contraction \tilde{T} on $\tilde{H} = H^2(E) \ominus qH^2(E)$ by $\tilde{T}^* = S^*|_{\tilde{H}}$. Then clearly (i) holds and the condition $\bigvee_{n \geq 0} S^n(H^2(E) \ominus M) = H^2(E)$ implies (iii). Also, \tilde{T} is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum $\bigoplus_{1 \leq n \leq d} S(q)$, where $d = \dim E$ and $S(q)$ is an operator on $H^2 \ominus qH^2$ defined by $S(q)h = P_{H^2 \ominus qH^2}(zh)$ ($h \in H^2 \ominus qH^2$). Therefore, for $f \in H^\infty$, we have

$$\|f(\tilde{T})\| = \|f(\bigoplus_{1 \leq n \leq d} S(q))\| = \|f(S(q))\|,$$

and so $\|f(\tilde{T})\| = \|f + qH^\infty\|$ (see [7]).

For a closed subset K of \mathbf{T} (of measure zero), let $I(K)$ denote the ideal consisting of all functions of A which vanish on K . For each $f \in A$, $\|f + I(K)\| = \|f\|_K$, where

$\|f\|_K = \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in K\}$ (see the proof of [4, p.81, Theorem]). Also, for an inner function q , let $\text{supp } q$ denote the support of q , that is, $\text{supp } q$ is the set of all points on \mathbb{T} for which there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}$ from the open unit disc such that $z_n \rightarrow z$ and $q(z_n) \rightarrow 0$. Thus, if a nonzero function f belongs to $qH^\infty \cap A$, then $f = 0$ on $\text{supp } q$, so it follows that $\text{supp } q$ is of measure zero (see [4, p.52]) and $\bar{q}f$ is equal a.e. to a function in A . Also, the inner function q is analytic at each point on \mathbb{T} which does not belong to $\text{supp } q$. Therefore we have $qH^\infty \cap I(K) = qI(\text{supp } q \cup K)$ for an inner function q and a closed subset K . It is known (see [4, p.85, Theorem]) that J is a non-zero closed ideal of A if and only if $J = qI(K)$ where K is a closed subset of measure zero and q is an inner function such that $\text{supp } q \subset K$.

Lemma 5. *Let J be a closed ideal of A and $J = qI(K)$, where K is a closed subset of measure zero and q is an inner function with $\text{supp } q \subset K$. Then, for all $f \in A$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + J\| &= \max\{\|f + qH^\infty\|, \|f\|_K\} \\ &= \max\{\|f + qH^\infty\|, \|f\|_{K \setminus \text{supp } q}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $f \in A$ and take a measure μ on \mathbb{T} annihilating $J = qI(K)$ such that $\|\mu\| = 1$ and

$$\|f + J\| = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\mu.$$

Since μ annihilates J , the proof of [4, p.85, Theorem] shows that $d\mu = \bar{q}h dm + d\nu$ where $h \in zH^1$ and ν is a measure on \mathbb{T} such that $\text{supp } \nu \subset K$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + J\| &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} f \bar{q} h dm + \int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\nu \\ &\leq \|f + qH^\infty\| \|h\|_1 + \|f\|_K \|\nu\| \\ &\leq \max\{\|f + qH^\infty\|, \|f\|_K\} (\|h\|_1 + \|\nu\|) = \max\{\|f + qH^\infty\|, \|f\|_K\}. \end{aligned}$$

The converse inequality is obvious, so the first equality is proved. For the proof of the second equality, it suffices to show $\|f + qH^\infty\| \geq \|f\|_{\text{supp } q}$. Take any $z \in \text{supp } q$. Then there is a sequence $\{z_n\}$ from the open unit disc such that $z_n \rightarrow z$ and $q(z_n) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, for all $h \in H^\infty$, $\|f + qh\| \geq |f(z_n) + q(z_n)h(z_n)| \rightarrow |f(z)|$, so that $\|f + qh\| \geq \|f\|_{\text{supp } q}$. It follows that $\|f + qH^\infty\| \geq \|f\|_{\text{supp } q}$.

Theorem 2. *If Φ be a representation of the disk algebra A on H , then Φ has a minimal Q -isometric dilation.*

Proof. Let $T = \Phi(z)$. First suppose that T is unitary. Then it follows from the spectral theory of unitary operators that $\ker \Phi = I(\text{supp } T)$, where for an unitary operator U , $\text{supp } U$ denotes the support of the spectral measure of U . (Note that $\text{supp } T$ is of measure zero because $\Phi \neq 0$, and so T is singular.) We also have

$$\|\Phi(f)\| = \|f(T)\| = \|f\|_{\text{supp } T} = \|f + I(\text{supp } T)\|$$

for $f \in A$, hence Φ is Q -isometric.

Next suppose that T is not unitary. The contraction T is decomposed as $T = U \oplus T_1$ on $H = H_u \oplus H_1$, where U is unitary and T_1 is completely non-unitary. If T_1 is not of class C_0 , then $\ker \Phi = \{0\}$. In this case we define $\tilde{\Phi} : A \rightarrow L(H_u \oplus K)$ by

$\tilde{\Phi}(f) = f(U \oplus V)$, where V is the minimal isometric dilation on K of the contraction T_1 . Since V has a unilateral shift summand, $\tilde{\Phi}$ is isometric. It is easy to show that $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a minimal Q -isometric dilation of Φ . If T_1 is of class C_0 , then $\ker \Phi_{T_1} = qH^\infty$ where q is inner and

$$\ker \Phi = I_{\text{supp } U} \cap qH^\infty = qI(K),$$

where $K = \text{supp } U \cup \text{supp } q$, which is of measure zero. By Lemma 4, there exists a contraction \tilde{T}_1 on \tilde{H}_1 satisfying the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) in Lemma 4. Define $\tilde{\Phi} : A \rightarrow L(H_u \oplus \tilde{H}_1)$ by $\tilde{\Phi}(f) = f(U \oplus \tilde{T}_1)$. Then it easily follows from the conditions (i) and (iii) that $P_H \tilde{\Phi}(f)|_H = \Phi(f)$ for all $f \in A$ and $\bigvee_{f \in A} \tilde{\Phi}(f)H = H_u \oplus \tilde{H}_1$. For any $f \in A$, $\|\tilde{\Phi}(f)\| = \max\{\|f\|_{\text{supp } U}, \|f(\tilde{T}_1)\|\}$ and $\|f(\tilde{T}_1)\| = \|f + qH^\infty\|$ by the condition (ii) of \tilde{T}_1 , so it follows from Lemma 5 that $\|\tilde{\Phi}(f)\| = \|f + \ker \Phi\|$. Thus $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a minimal Q -isometric dilation of Φ .

We are informed by the referee that the Ph.D. thesis of Che-Chen Chu "Finite dimensional representation of a function algebra" submitted to University of Houston, 1992 contains the following result stronger than Theorem 1: If $\Phi : A \rightarrow L(H)$ is a homomorphism and $\dim H = 2$, then the cb-norm of Φ is equal to the norm of Φ . However our proof of Theorem 1, which directly constructs the dilation, is different from Chu's one.

References

1. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete normed algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1973.
2. B. Cole, K. Lewis and J. Wermer, Pick conditions on a uniform algebra and von Neumann inequalities, *J. Funct. Anal.* 107(1992), 235-254.
3. T.W. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1969.
4. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1962.
5. G. Misra, Curvature inequalities and extremal properties of bundle shifts, *J. Operator Theory* 11(1984), 305-317.
6. V. Paulsen, K -spectral values for some finite matrices, *J. Operator Theory* 18(1987), 249-263.
7. D. Sarason, Generalized interpolation in H^∞ , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127(1967), 179-203.
8. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foias, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.