



|                  |   |
|------------------|---|
| Title            | Invertible Toeplitz operators and uniform algebras                              |
| Author(s)        | Nakazi, T.; Yamada, M.  |
| Citation         | Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 234, 1-14                   |
| Issue Date       | 1994-3-1  |
| DOI              | 10.14943/83381  |
| Doc URL          | <a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2115/68985">http://hdl.handle.net/2115/68985</a> |
| Type             | bulletin (article)  |
| File Information | pre234.pdf  |



[Instructions for use](#)

**Invertible Toeplitz Operators  
and Uniform Algebras**

**T. Nakazi and M. Yamada**

**Series #234. March 1994**

**HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY**  
**PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS**

- # 206: T. Hibi, Betti number sequences of simplicial complexes, Cohen-Macaulay types and Möbius functions of partially ordered sets, and related topics, 25 pages. 1993.
- # 207: A. Inoue, Regularly varying correlations, 23 pages. 1993.
- # 208: S. Izumiya, B. Li, Overdetermined systems of first order partial differential equations with singular solution, 9 pages. 1993.
- # 209: T. Hibi, Hochster's formula on Betti numbers and Buchsbaum complexes, 7 pages. 1993.
- # 210: T. Hibi, Star-shaped complexes and Ehrhart polynomials, 5 pages. 1993.
- # 211: S. Izumiya, G. T. Kossioris, Geometric singularities for solutions of single conservation laws, 28 pages. 1993.
- # 212: A. Arai, On self-adjointness of Dirac operators in Boson-Fermion Fock spaces, 43 pages. 1993.
- # 213: K. Sugano, Note on non-commutative local field, 3 pages. 1993.
- # 214: A. Hoshiga, Blow-up of the radial solutions to the equations of vibrating membrane, 28 pages. 1993.
- # 215: A. Arai, Scaling limit of anticommuting self-adjoint operators and nonrelativistic limit of Dirac operators, 35 pages. 1993.
- # 216: Y. Giga, N. Mizoguchi, Existence of periodic solutions for equations of evolving curves, 45 pages. 1993.
- # 217: T. Suwa, Indices holomorphic vector fields relative to invariant curves, 10 pages. 1993.
- # 218: S. Izumiya, G. T. Kossioris, Realization theorems of geometric singularities for Hamilton-Jacobi equations, 14 pages. 1993.
- # 219: Y. Giga, K. Yama-uchi, On instability of evolving hypersurfaces, 14 pages. 1993.
- # 220: W. Bruns, T. Hibi, Cohen-Macaulay partially ordered sets with pure resolutions, 11 pages. 1993.
- # 221: S. Jimbo, Y. Morita, Ginzburg Landau equation and stable solutions in a rotational domain, 32 pages. 1993.
- # 222: T. Miyake, Y. Maeda, On a property of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms of half-integral weight, 12 pages. 1993.
- # 223: I. Nakai, Notes on versal deformation of first order PDE and web structure, 34 pages. 1993.
- # 224: I. Tsuda, Can stochastic renewal of maps be a model for cerebral cortex?, 30 pages. 1993.
- # 225: H. Kubo, K. Kubota, Asymptotic behaviors of radial solutions to semilinear wave equations in odd space dimensions, 47 pages. 1994.
- # 226: T. Nakazi, K. Takahashi, Two dimensional representations of uniform algebras, 7 pages. 1994.
- # 227: N. Hayashi, T. Ozawa, Global, small radially symmetric solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations and a gauge transformation, 16 pages. 1994.
- # 228: S. Izumiya, Characteristic vector fields for first order partial differential equations, 9 pages. 1994.
- # 229: K. Tsutaya, Lower bounds for the life span of solutions of semilinear wave equations with data of non compact support, 14 pages. 1994.
- # 230: H. Okuda, I. Tsuda, A coupled chaotic system with different time scales: Toward the implication of observation with dynamical systems, 31 pages. 1994.
- # 231: A. Hoshiga, The asymptotic behaviour of radial solutions near the blow-up point to quasi-linear wave equations in two space dimensions, 8 pages. 1994.
- # 232: Y. Giga, N. Mizoguchi, Existence of periodically evolving convex curves moved by anisotropic curvature, 12 pages. 1994.
- # 233: C. Dohmen, Y. Giga, N. Mizoguchi, Existence of selfsimilar shrinking curves for anisotropic curvature flow equations, 13 pages. 1994.

Invertible Toeplitz Operators

and

Uniform Algebras

by

Takahiko Nakazi \*

and

Masahiro Yamada

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Hokkaido University

Sapporo 060, Japan

\* This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Ministry Education.

AMS Subject Classification(1991) : Primary 47 B 35, 47 A 10

Key Words And Phrases : Toeplitz operator, invertible, uniform algebra, spectrum

Abstract. Toeplitz operators  $T_\phi^M$  are defined on invariant subspaces  $M$  of an arbitrary uniform algebra  $A$ . We give a necessary and sufficient condition of uniformly invertible  $T_\phi^M$  with respect to some family  $\mathcal{F}$  of invariant subspaces  $M$ . This condition is the same to a classical one in case  $A$  is the disc algebra. In special uniform algebras, we can choose a small family, in fact, if  $A$  is a disc algebra then  $\mathcal{F}$  can be a single set. Then this generalizes the Widom-Devinatz theorem. As an application, we study a  $\mathcal{F}$ -union of spectrums of  $\{T_\phi^M ; M \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .

## §1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, let  $C(X)$  be the algebra of complex-valued continuous functions on  $X$ , and let  $A$  be a uniform algebra on  $X$ . Let  $\tau$  be a nonzero complex homomorphism of  $A$  and let  $N_\tau$  be the set of representing measures for  $\tau$  whose support is contained in  $X$  and  $m \in N_\tau$ . The abstract Hardy space  $H^p = H^p(m)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , determined by  $A$  is defined to be the closure of  $A$  in  $L^p = L^p(m)$  when  $p$  is finite and to be the weak\* - closure of  $A$  in  $L^\infty = L^\infty(m)$  when  $p$  is infinite. Put  $H_0^p = \{f \in H^p ; \int f dm = 0\}$ ,  $K_0^p = \{f \in L^p ; \int f g dm = 0 \text{ for all } g \in A\}$  and  $K^p = K_0^p + C$ . Then  $H_0^p \subset K_0^p$  and  $H^p \subset K^p$ . The abstract Hardy spaces sometimes coincide with the concrete Hardy spaces or the concrete Bergman spaces.

A closed subspace  $M$  for  $A$  of  $L^2 = L^2(m)$  is said to be invariant if  $fM$  is contained in  $M$  for all  $f$  in  $A$ .  $\text{lat } A$  denotes the set of all invariant subspaces of  $A$  in  $L^2$ . For  $\phi$  in  $L^\infty$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_\phi^M$  is the operator on  $M$  defined by

$$T_\phi^M(f) = P_M(\phi f)$$

where  $M \in \text{lat } A$  and  $P_M$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $M$ . If  $M = H^2$  then we will write  $T_\phi = T_\phi^M$ . In this paper we are interested in the equivalence of the following four statements.

- (1)  $T_\phi$  is invertible.
- (2) For each  $M \in \text{lat } A$ ,  $T_\phi^M$  is invertible.
- (3) For each  $M \in \text{lat } A$ ,  $T_\phi^M$  is invertible and  $\sup \{\|(T_\phi^M)^{-1}\| ; M \in \text{lat } A\}$  is finite.
- (4)  $\text{ess. inf } |\phi| > 0$  and there exists a function  $g$  in  $(H^\infty)^{-1}$  such that  $\text{Re}(\phi g) \geq \delta$  a.e. for some constant  $\delta > 0$ .

In the four statements, (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) are clear. If (4) is valid, then there exist positive constants  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon_0$  such that  $\|\varepsilon \phi g - 1\|_\infty \leq 1 - \varepsilon_0$ . Hence  $T_{\varepsilon \phi g}^M$  is invertible for any  $M \in \text{lat } A$  and

$$\|(T_{\varepsilon \phi g}^M)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|T_{\varepsilon \phi g}^M - 1\|} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}.$$

Thus  $\sup \{\|(T_\phi^M)^{-1}\| ; M \in \text{lat } A\} < \infty$ . This implies (3). In this paper we will show (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) under a condition:  $H^\infty = H^1 \cap L^\infty$ . This condition is satisfied by five natural examples in §2.

When  $A$  is the disc algebra of Example 1 in §2, Devinatz and Widom (see [4]) showed (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4). Hence the four statements (1)  $\sim$  (4) are equivalent. When  $A$  is the rational function algebra of Example 3 in §2, Abrahamse [1] showed (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) in case the symbols of Toeplitz operators are unimodular. In §4 of this paper, we will show (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) without the condition on the symbols. Hence our result solves the problem which was proposed by Abrahamse [1, p294]. When  $A$  is the subalgebra of the disc algebra of Example 4 in §2, Anderson and Rochberg [2] gave a generalization of the theorem of

Devinatz and Widom. Their definition of Toeplitz operators is different from ours but their results are closed to (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4). In §4, we will study their result in a more general setting. By Corollary 1 in §3, (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) is still true for Toeplitz operators in the Bergman space (see Example 2 in §2) and in the Hardy space of the polydisc (see Example 5 in §2). In Examples 2, 3 and 4, it is known that (1) does not imply (4).

In §5, as an application of the equivalence of (2)  $\sim$  (4) we will study  $\cup \{ \sigma(T_\phi^M) ; M \in \text{lat } A \}$  where  $\sigma(T_\phi^M)$  denotes the spectrum of  $T_\phi^M$ . In this paper, we use the theory of abstract Hardy spaces (see [3] and [6]). It is powerful to study the problem above.

## §2. Concrete examples

(1) Let  $\Delta$  be the unit disc of  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $A'$  be an algebra consisting of all functions with continuous extensions to the closure  $\bar{\Delta}$  of  $\Delta$  which are analytic in  $\Delta$ . Put  $A = A'|X$  and  $X = \partial\Delta$ .  $A$  is called the disc algebra which is a uniform algebra on  $X$ . Suppose  $\tau(f) = \tilde{f}(0)$ , where  $\tilde{f}$  denotes the holomorphic extension of  $f$  in  $A$ , then  $\tau$  is a nonzero complex homomorphism. The normalized Lebesgue measure  $m$  on the unit circle is a representing measure for  $\tau$  and  $N_\tau = \{m\}$ .  $H^2$  is the classical Hardy space and  $H_0^2 = K_0^2$ , sometimes we will write  $H^p = H^p(\Delta)$ .

(2) In (1), put  $A = A'$  and  $X = \bar{\Delta}$ . Suppose  $\tau(f) = f(0)$  and  $m$  is the normalized area measure on  $\Delta$ , then  $m \in N_\tau$  and  $\dim N_\tau = \infty$ .  $H^2$  is the Bergman space.

(3) Let  $D$  be a bounded connected open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  whose boundary consists of  $n + 1$  non-intersecting, analytic Jordan curves and let  $A'$  be an algebra consisting of functions with continuous extensions to the closure  $\bar{D}$  of  $D$  which are analytic in  $D$ . Put  $A = A'|X$  and  $X = \partial D$ .  $A$  is uniform algebra on  $X$  and it is called an annulus algebra when  $D$  is an annulus. Suppose  $\tau(f) = \tilde{f}(t)$ , where  $\tilde{f}$  denotes the holomorphic extension of  $f$  in  $A$  and  $t \in D$ , then  $\tau$  is a nonzero complex homomorphism of  $A$ . If  $m$  is a harmonic measure of  $t$  then  $m$  is the unique logmodular measure of  $N_\tau$  and  $\dim N_\tau = n < \infty$  [6, p116]. Sometimes we will write  $H^p = H^p(D)$ .

(4) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the disc algebra and  $A$  be a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$  which contains the constants and which has finite codimension in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\tau(f) = \tilde{f}(0)$  for  $f \in A$  and  $m$  is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit circle  $\partial\Delta$ , then it is easy to check that  $m$  is a core point of  $N_\tau$ ,  $\dim N_\tau < \infty$  and  $N_\tau$  has a lot of logmodular measures (see [7, p154]).

(5) The unit polydisc  $\Delta^n$  and the torus  $(\partial\Delta)^n$  are cartesian products of  $n$  copies of  $\Delta$  and of  $\partial\Delta$ , respectively.  $A'$  denotes the class of all continuous functions on the closure  $\bar{\Delta}^n$  of  $\Delta^n$  with holomorphic restrictions to  $\Delta^n$ . Let  $A = A'|X$  and  $X = (\partial\Delta)^n$ . This is the so-called polydisc algebra. Let  $m$  be the normalized Lebesgue measure, then  $m$  is a representing measure for  $\tau$  on  $X$  where  $\tau(f) = \tilde{f}(0)$  and  $0 \in \Delta^n$ . Then  $\dim N_\tau = \infty$ .

### §3. The Inversion Theorem

Put  $\mathcal{L} = \{v \in L^\infty; v^{-1} \in L^\infty \text{ and } v \geq 0\}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{vH^2; v \in \mathcal{L}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a subfamily of  $\text{lat } A$ . In [9, Proposition 7], the following theorem was proved when the symbols are unimodular. In this section, we show it for arbitrary symbols using a lifting theorem in a uniform algebra due to the first author and Yamamoto [11, Theorem 2']. In fact we use Theorem 2' in case  $\mu = (\mu_{ij})$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $m$ .

Theorem 1. Let  $\phi$  be a nonzero function in  $L^\infty$ . For each  $M$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  there exists a nonzero positive constant  $\varepsilon(M)$  such that

$$\|T_\phi^M f\|_2 \geq \varepsilon(M)\|f\|_2, f \in M$$

and  $\inf \{\varepsilon(M); M \in \mathcal{F}\} = \varepsilon > 0$  if and only if there exists a function  $g$  in  $H^1 \cap L^\infty$  such that

$$|\phi|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 + |\phi + g|^2 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Proof. If  $\|T_\phi^M f\|_2 \geq \varepsilon\|f\|_2$  for all  $M \in \mathcal{F}$  then it is easy to see that  $|\phi| \geq \varepsilon$  a.e. and for all  $M \in \mathcal{F}$

$$(T_\phi^M)^*(T_\phi^M) \geq \varepsilon^2.$$

Put  $H_\phi^M f = (I - P_M)(\phi f)$  for  $f \in M$ . Since  $(T_\phi^M)^* T_\phi^M + (H_\phi^M)^* H_\phi^M = T_{|\phi|^2}^M$

$$\|H_\phi^M f\|_2 \leq \|(|\phi|^2 - \varepsilon^2)^{1/2} f\|_2, f \in M$$

and hence

$$\sup \{|(H_\phi^M f, g)|^2; g \in M^\perp \text{ and } \int |g|^2 dm \leq 1\} \leq \int (|\phi|^2 - \varepsilon^2) |f|^2 dm$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is an inner product with respect to  $m$ . Thus for  $f \in M$  and  $g \in M^\perp$

$$|\int \phi f \bar{g} dm|^2 \leq \int (|\phi|^2 - \varepsilon^2) |f|^2 dm \int |g|^2 dm. \quad (\text{a})$$

Since  $M = vH^2$  and  $M^\perp = v^{-1}\bar{K}_0^2$  for some  $v \in \mathcal{L}$ , for  $F \in H^2$  and  $G \in \bar{K}_0^2$

$$|\int \phi F \bar{G} dm|^2 \leq \int (|\phi|^2 - \varepsilon^2) |F|^2 v^2 dm \int |G|^2 v^{-2} dm.$$

Hence for  $F \in H^\infty$  and  $G \in \bar{K}_0^\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\text{Re} \int \phi F \bar{G} dm \leq 2|\int \phi F \bar{G} dm| \\ & \leq 2\{\int (|\phi|^2 - \varepsilon^2) |F|^2 v^2 dm\}^{1/2} \{\int |G|^2 v^{-2} dm\}^{1/2} \\ & \leq \int (|\phi|^2 - \varepsilon^2) |F|^2 v^2 dm + \int |G|^2 v^{-2} dm. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{b})$$



Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the maximal ideal space of  $L^\infty$ , then  $\tilde{X}$  is a compact Hausdorff space and  $L^\infty$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $C(\tilde{X})$  by the Gelfand transform. Put  $B$  be the image of  $H^\infty$  by the transform and let  $\tilde{m}$  be the Radonization of  $m$ . Then the measure  $\tilde{m}$  on  $\tilde{X}$  is multiplicative on  $B$  and  $H^p(\tilde{m})$  or  $L^p(\tilde{m})$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $H^p(m)$  or  $L^p(m)$ , respectively, where  $H^p(\tilde{m})$  is the abstract Hardy space determined by  $B$ . The inequality (b) implies that the measure matrices for all  $v \in \mathcal{L}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} v^2(|\phi|^2 - \varepsilon^2) & \phi \\ \bar{\phi} & v^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

are positive on  $H^\infty \times \bar{K}_0^\infty$  as  $A = H^\infty$  and  $K_0 = K_0^\infty$  in [11, p93]. By the absolutely continuous case of the lifting theorem [11, Theorem 2'] and the isomorphism above, there exists a nonzero function  $g$  in  $(K_0^\infty)^\perp \cap L^1 = H^1$  such that the measure matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\phi|^2 - \varepsilon^2 & \phi + g \\ \bar{\phi} + \bar{g} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive on  $L^\infty \times L^\infty$ . Therefore

$$|\phi + g|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \leq |\phi|^2 \quad \text{a.e..}$$

Conversely suppose that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a function  $g$  in  $H^1 \cap L^\infty$  such that  $|\phi|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 + |\phi + g|^2$  a.e.. Then for arbitrary  $v \in \mathcal{L}$

$$\int \phi F \bar{G} dm = \int (\phi + g) F v \cdot \bar{G} v^{-1} dm$$

where  $F \in H^\infty$  and  $G \in \bar{K}_0^\infty$ . Hence from the Schwarz's inequality, (b) and hence (a) follow. This implies  $(T_\phi^M)^*(T_\phi^M) \geq \varepsilon^2 > 0$  for all  $M \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Corollary 1. Suppose  $H^\infty = H^1 \cap L^\infty$ . Let  $\phi$  be a nonzero function in  $L^\infty$ .  $T_\phi^M$  is invertible for every  $M$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\sup \{ \|(T_\phi^M)^{-1}\| ; M \in \mathcal{F} \} < \infty$  if and only if both

- (1)  $\text{ess.inf } |\phi| > 0$  and
- (2) there exists a function  $g$  in  $(H^\infty)^{-1}$  such that  $\text{Re}(\phi g) \geq \delta$  a.e. for some constant  $\delta > 0$ .

Proof. We may assume  $\|\phi\|_\infty = 1$ . Suppose  $\sup \{ \|(T_\phi^M)^{-1}\| ; M \in \mathcal{F} \} < \infty$ , then

$$\|T_\phi^M(f)\|_2 \geq \varepsilon \|f\|_2, \quad f \in M$$

where  $\varepsilon = \{ \sup \|(T_\phi^M)^{-1}\| \}^{-1}$ . By Theorem 1, there exists a function  $g$  in  $H^1 \cap L^\infty = H^\infty$  such that  $|\bar{\phi}|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 + |\bar{\phi} - g|^2$  a.e.. Hence  $\text{ess.inf } |\phi| > 0$ , and

$$\operatorname{Re}\phi g \geq \delta > 0 \text{ a.e. and } \delta = \varepsilon^2/2.$$

Therefore there exist positive constants  $\alpha$  and  $\varepsilon_0$  such that

$$\|a\phi g - 1\|_\infty \leq 1 - \varepsilon_0.$$

This implies  $T_{\phi g}$  is invertible. By hypothesis on  $T_\phi, T_g$  is invertible. Hence  $gH^2 = H^2$  and  $g^{-1} \in L^\infty$  because  $\operatorname{Re}\phi g \geq \delta > 0$  a.e.. Thus  $g^{-1} \in H^2 \cap L^\infty = H^\infty$ .

In Corollary 1, if  $\phi$  is unimodular then (1) and (2) hold if and only if to the distance from  $\phi$  to the invertible elements in  $H^\infty$  is less than one. Results in this section apply to Toeplitz operators in Examples 1, 2 and 5.

#### §4. Some special cases

In this section, assuming that the set of representing measures for  $\tau$  is finite dimensional, we give the inversion theorems. These appear to be more useful than Theorem 1 and Corollary 1. They apply to Toeplitz operators in Examples 3 and 4.

Suppose  $n = \dim N_\tau < \infty$ . Let  $m$  be a core point of  $N_\tau$  and let  $N^\infty$  be the real annihilator of  $A$  in  $L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Then  $\dim N^\infty = n$  (cf. [6, p109]). Set  $\mathcal{E} = \exp N^\infty$ , then  $\mathcal{E}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Put  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{vH^2 : v \in \mathcal{E}\}$  then  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is a subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then it can be shown that Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 are true for  $\mathcal{F}_1$  instead of  $\mathcal{F}$ . These give inversion theorems in Example 4 which are related to [2, Theorems 2 and 3]. If  $n = 0$  then  $\mathcal{E} = \{1\}$  and hence  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{H^2\}$ . Therefore if  $n = 0$  then Corollary 1 shows the equivalence of (1)  $\sim$  (4) in Introduction and gives the theorem of Widom and Devinatz (see [4]).

For any  $v$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . let  $P_v$  be the projection operator which takes  $L^2$  onto  $H^2$  and is self-adjoint as an operator on the weighted Lebesgue space  $L^2(v^2 dm)$ . Define the associated Toeplitz operator  $R_\phi^v$  mapping  $H^2$  to itself by  $R_\phi^v(f) = P_v(\phi f)$ . This definition is due to Anderson and Rochberg [2].  $(\cdot, \cdot)_v$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote the inner products in  $L^2(v^2 dm)$  and  $L^2$ , respectively. Put  $M = vH^2$ . For any  $f$  and  $g$  in  $H^2$ ,

$$(R_\phi^v(f), g)_v = (P^v(\phi f), g)_v = (\phi f, g)_v = (\phi v f, v g) = (P^M(\phi v f), v g) = (T_\phi^M(v f), v g)$$

It is clear that  $R_\phi^v$  is (left) invertible if and only if  $T_\phi^M$  is (left) invertible. Hence Theorem 2 and the remark above Theorem 3 in [2] show the equivalence of (2)  $\sim$  (4) in Introduction when  $A$  is a subalgebra of the disc algebra in Example 4.

If we assume that  $m$  is the unique logmodular measure for  $\tau$  then the linear span of  $N^\infty \cap \log|(H^\infty)^{-1}|$  is  $N^\infty$  (cf. [6, p114]). Choose  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in (H^\infty)^{-1}$  so that  $\{\log|h_j|\}_{j=1}^n$  is a basis in  $N^\infty$ . Put  $u_j = \log|h_j|$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) and  $\mathcal{E}_0 = \{\exp(\sum_{j=1}^n s_j u_j) : 0 \leq s_j \leq 1\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}$ . Put  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{vH^2 : v \in \mathcal{E}_0\}$  then  $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \operatorname{lat} A$ . Note that the unique logmodular measure is a core point of  $N_\tau$  when  $N_\tau$  is finite dimensional.

Abrahamse [1, Part 4] studied  $T_\phi^M$  for simply invariant subspaces  $M$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is a proper subset of the set of simply invariant subspaces.

Theorem 2. Suppose  $N_\tau$  is finite dimensional and  $m$  is a core point of  $N_\tau$ . Let  $\phi$  be a nonzero function in  $L^\infty$ .

(1) For each  $M$  in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  there exists a nonzero positive constant  $\varepsilon(M)$  such that

$$\|T_\phi^M f\|_2 \geq \varepsilon(M)\|f\|_2, \quad f \in M$$

and  $\inf\{\varepsilon(M); M \in \mathcal{F}_1\} = \varepsilon > 0$  if and only if there exists a function  $g$  in  $H^\infty$  such that

$$|\phi|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 + |\phi + g|^2 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

(2) When  $m$  is the unique logmodular measure for  $\tau$ ,  $T_\phi^M$  is left invertible for any  $M$  in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  if and only if there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon$  and a function  $g$  in  $H^\infty$  such that

$$|\phi|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 + |\phi + g|^2 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Proof. It is known that  $H^\infty = H^1 \cap L^\infty$  (cf. [6, p109]). (1) By Theorem 1 it is sufficient to show that if  $\inf\{\varepsilon(M); M \in \mathcal{F}_1\} > 0$  then  $\inf\{\varepsilon(M); M \in \mathcal{F}\} > 0$ . In order to prove it we will show that

$$\inf\{\varepsilon(M); M \in \mathcal{F}\} = \inf\{\varepsilon(M); M \in \mathcal{F}_1\}. \quad (c)$$

If  $v \in \mathcal{L}$  then  $\log v = u + u_1$  where  $u_1 \in N^\infty$  and  $u$  is in the weak\*-closure of  $\text{Re}A$  (cf. [6, p109]). Then  $u = \log |h|$  for  $h$  in  $(H^\infty)^{-1}$  and so  $v = |h|v_1$  where  $v_1 = e^{u_1}$  and  $v_1 \in \mathcal{E}$ . Hence  $M = vH^2 = b(v_1H^2) = bN$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $N \in \mathcal{F}_1$ , where  $b = |h|/h$ . Then  $T_\phi^M = M_b T_\phi^N M_b^*$  where  $M_b$  is a multiplication operator on  $L^2$ . This implies (c) because  $M_b$  is unitary.

(2) By (1) it is sufficient to show that if  $T_\phi^M$  is left invertible for every  $M$  in  $\mathcal{F}_0$ , then for any  $M$  in  $\mathcal{F}_1$

$$\|T_\phi^M f\|_2 \geq \varepsilon(M)\|f\|_2 \quad f \in M$$

and  $\inf\{\varepsilon(M); M \in \mathcal{F}_1\} > 0$ . We will show that

$$\inf\{\varepsilon(M); M \in \mathcal{F}_1\} = \inf\{\varepsilon(M); M \in \mathcal{F}_0\} \quad (d)$$

and

$$\inf\{\varepsilon(M); M \in \mathcal{F}_0\} > 0 \quad (e)$$

If  $v \in \mathcal{E}$  then  $v = |h|v_0$  for some  $h$  in  $(H^\infty)^{-1}$  and some  $v_0 \in \mathcal{E}_0$  by the remark above Theorem 2. By the same argument as in the proof of (1), we can show (d). Suppose  $v_\ell \in \mathcal{E}_0$  and  $M_\ell = v_\ell H^2 \in \mathcal{F}_0$  with  $\varepsilon(M_\ell) \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $v_\ell \in \mathcal{E}_0$ ,  $v_\ell = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^n s_{j\ell} u_j)$  and  $0 \leq s_{j\ell} \leq 1$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). By passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we can assume that  $s_{j\ell}$  converges to a constant  $s_j$  for each  $j$ , and  $|s_j| \leq 1$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). Put  $t = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^n s_j u_j)$  then  $t \in \mathcal{E}_0$  and  $\varepsilon(t) = 0$ . This contradicts that  $T_\phi^{M_t}$  is left invertible. Thus (e) follows.

Corollary 2. Suppose  $N_\tau$  is finite dimensional and  $m$  is a core point of  $N_\tau$ . Let  $\phi$  be a nonzero function in  $L^\infty$ .

(1)  $T_\phi^M$  is invertible for every  $M$  in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\sup\{\|(T_\phi^M)^{-1}\|; M \in \mathcal{F}_1\} < \infty$  if and only if  $\text{ess.inf}|\phi| > 0$  and there exists a function  $g$  in  $(H^\infty)^{-1}$  such that  $\text{Re}(\phi g) \geq \delta$  a.e. for some constant  $\delta > 0$ .

(2) When  $m$  is the unique logmodular measure for  $\tau$ ,  $T_\phi^M$  is invertible for every  $M$  in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  if and only if both  $\text{ess.inf}|\phi| > 0$  and there exists a function  $g$  in  $(H^\infty)^{-1}$  such that  $\text{Re}(\phi g) \geq \delta$  a.e. for some constant  $\delta > 0$ .

Proof. Since for any subset  $S$  of lat  $A$

$$(\inf\{\varepsilon(M); M \in S\})^{-1} = \sup\{\|(T_\phi^M)^{-1}\|; M \in S\},$$

both (1) and (2) are clear by Theorem 2.

If  $\phi$  is unimodular, then (2) of Theorem 2 shows Theorem 4.1 in [1] and (2) of Corollary 2 shows Theorem 4.6. in [1]. Our results apply to more general uniform algebras than that of [1] by [7, p157]. (1) of Corollary 2 does not show Theorem 2 in [2] for general functions  $\phi$  in  $L^\infty$ . However by the remark above Theorem 2 in this paper we can get the invertibility theorems about a family  $\{R_\phi^v, v \in \mathcal{E}_1\}$ .

## §5. Spectrums of selfadjoint Toeplitz operators

$\sigma(T_\phi^M)$  denotes the spectrum of  $T_\phi^M$  for each  $M$  in lat  $A$ . Hartman and Wintner [8] showed  $\sigma(T_\phi) = [\text{ess.inf } \phi, \text{ess. sup } \phi]$  for a real valued function  $\phi$  in  $L^\infty$  where  $A$  is the disc algebra. This theorem is not valid in general. For example, it is not true in Examples 2 and 3. In the case of Example 2, if  $\phi$  is a real valued continuous function on  $\bar{\Delta}$  and  $\phi = 0$  on  $\partial\Delta$  then  $T_\phi$  is compact (cf. [14, p107]). Hence  $\sigma(T_\phi) \neq [\text{ess.inf } \phi, \text{ess. sup } \phi]$ . In the case of Example 3, see [1, p295].

For each  $M$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , let  $\varepsilon(M) = \varepsilon(M, \phi)$  be the maximum of non-negative constants  $\delta$  such that

$$\|T_\phi^M f\|_2 \geq \delta \|f\|_2 \text{ for all } f \in M.$$

Put  $\Sigma_\phi = \{s \in C : \inf[\varepsilon(M, \phi - s), M \in \mathcal{F}] = 0\}$ , then

$$\Sigma_\phi \cup \bar{\Sigma}_\phi \supseteq \bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{F}} \sigma(T_\phi^M).$$

The above two sets sometimes coincide.

Proposition 3. Let  $\phi$  be a function in  $L^\infty$ .

(1) If  $A$  is a uniform algebra in Example 4, then

$$\bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{F}_1} \sigma(T_\phi^M) = \Sigma_\phi \cup \bar{\Sigma}_\phi.$$

(2) If  $N_\tau$  is finite dimensional and  $m$  is the unique logmodular measure, then

$$\bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{F}_0} \sigma(T_\phi^M) = \Sigma_\phi \cup \bar{\Sigma}_\phi.$$

Proof. We have to prove that  $\Sigma_\phi \cup \bar{\Sigma}_\phi \subset \bigcup \{\sigma(T_\phi^M) ; M \in S\}$  for  $S = \mathcal{F}_1$  in (1) and  $S = \mathcal{F}_0$  in (2).

(1) If  $s \notin \bigcup \{\sigma(T_\phi^M) ; M \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$ , then for any  $M \in \mathcal{F}_1$   $T_{\phi-s}^M$  is invertible. By the proof of (1) of Theorem 2, for any  $M \in \mathcal{F}$  there exists  $N \in \mathcal{F}_1$  such that  $T_{\phi-s}^M$  is unitarily equivalent to  $T_{\phi-s}^N$ . Hence for any  $M \in \mathcal{F}$   $T_{\phi-s}^M$  is invertible. By the remark above Theorem 2, for any  $v \in \mathcal{L}$   $R_{\phi-s}^v$  is invertible. By Theorem 2 and the remark above Theorem 3 in [2],  $\inf |\phi - s| > 0$  a.e., and there exists a function  $g$  in  $(H^\infty)^{-1}$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}(\phi - s)g \geq \delta$  a.e. for some constant  $\delta > 0$ . By Corollary 1,  $\sup \{\|(T_{\phi-s}^M)^{-1}\| ; M \in \mathcal{F}\} < \infty$  and hence  $s \notin \Sigma_\phi \cup \bar{\Sigma}_\phi$ .

(2) If  $s \notin \bigcup \{\sigma(T_\phi^M) ; M \in \mathcal{F}_0\}$  then Corollary 1 and (2) of Corollary 2  $s \notin \Sigma_\phi \cup \bar{\Sigma}_\phi$ .

If  $\phi$  is a real valued function in  $L^\infty$  then by Theorem 1

$$[\operatorname{ess. inf} \phi, \operatorname{ess. sup} \phi] \supseteq \Sigma_\phi \supseteq \bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{F}} \sigma(T_\phi^M).$$

The following theorem shows the relations of the three sets above.

Theorem 4. Let  $\phi$  be a real valued function in  $L^\infty$ . Then the following are valid.

(1)  $\Sigma_\phi = [\operatorname{ess. inf} \phi, \operatorname{ess. sup} \phi]$ .

(2) If  $A$  is a uniform algebrs in example (4) then

$$\bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{F}_1} \sigma(T_\phi^M) = [\operatorname{ess. inf} \phi, \operatorname{ess. sup} \phi].$$

(3) If  $N_\tau$  is finite dimensional and  $m$  is the unique logmodular measure for  $\tau$ , then

$$\bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{F}_0} \sigma(T_\phi^M) = [\text{ess.inf } \phi, \text{ess.sup } \phi].$$

Proof. (1) Put  $a = \text{ess.inf } \phi$  and  $b = \text{ess.sup } \phi$ . It is easy to see that  $\Sigma_\phi = \Sigma_\phi \cup \bar{\Sigma}_\phi \subset [a, b]$ . In fact, if  $s$  is a non-real complex number, that is,  $s = x + iy$  and  $y \neq 0$ , then

$$|\phi - s| \geq \varepsilon + |\phi - s + (s - x)| \quad \text{a.e.}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a positive number with  $\varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon\|\phi - x\| \leq y^2$ . Hence  $s \notin \Sigma_\phi$  by Theorem 1. If  $s$  is a real number with  $s < a$  then  $|\phi - s| = |a - s| + |\phi - s + (s - a)|$  a.e. and if  $s > b$  then  $|\phi - s| = |s - b| + |\phi - s + (s - b)|$  a.e.. Hence  $s \notin \Sigma_\phi$  by Theorem 1.

$R(\phi) \subset \Sigma_\phi$  by Theorem 1 where  $R(\phi)$  is the essential range of  $\phi$ . We will prove that  $[a, b] \subset \Sigma_\phi$ . Suppose  $a < s < b$  and  $s \notin \Sigma$ . Then we will get one contradiction. Put  $s_a = \text{ess.sup min } (\phi, s)$  and  $s_b = \text{ess.inf max } (\phi, s)$ , then  $s_a < s < s_b$ . Put

$$E_a = \{x \in X : a \leq \phi(x) \leq s_a\} \text{ and } E_b = \{x \in X : s_b \leq \phi(x) \leq b\}.$$

then  $m(E_a \cup E_b) = 1$  and  $m(E_a \cap E_b) = 0$ . Since  $s \in \Sigma_\phi$ , by Theorem 1 there exist a nonzero function  $g$  in  $H^\infty$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$|\phi - s| \geq \varepsilon + |\phi + g| \quad \text{a.e..}$$

Put  $S_a = \{t \in R : \text{dist}(g(E_a), t) \leq \varepsilon/2\}$  and  $S_b = \{t \in R : \text{dist}(g(E_b), t) \leq \varepsilon/2\}$  where  $R$  is a real line and  $g(E_\ell)$  is an essential range of  $g$  in  $E_\ell$  with  $\ell = a, b$ . Then  $S_a$  and  $S_b$  are compact subsets in  $R$ . If  $S_a \cap S_b$  is essentially nonempty then there exist  $x \in E_a$  and  $x' \in E_b$  such that  $|g(x) - g(x')| \leq \varepsilon$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x') - \phi(x) &= |\phi(x) - \phi(x')| \\ &\leq |\phi(x) + g(x)| + |\phi(x') + g(x')| + |g(x) - g(x')| \\ &\leq |\phi(x) - s| - \varepsilon + |\phi(x') - s| - \varepsilon + \varepsilon \\ &= \phi(x') - \phi(x) - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

because  $\phi(x) \leq s_a < s < s_b \leq \phi(x')$ . This contradiction shows that  $S_a \cap S_b$  is essentially empty. By a theorem of Runge we can show that  $\chi_E \in H^\infty$ . Thus  $m(E_a) = 0$  or 1. This contradiction shows that  $[a, b] \subset \Sigma_\phi$ . (2) and (3) are results of (1) and (2) in Proposition 3 and (1) in this theorem.

Widom [13] proved that  $\sigma(T_\phi)$  is connected for arbitrary symbol  $\phi$  when  $A$  is the disc algebra. This theorem is not valid in Examples 2, 3 and 4. Here we assume that  $A$  is a uniform algebra in Example 3. Abrahamse [1, p295] announced without proof that if  $\phi$  is unimodular then  $\cup\{\sigma(T_\phi^M) ; M \in S\}$  is connected when  $A$  is an annulus algebra.  $S$  denotes the set of simply invariant subspaces.

The universal covering surface of  $D$  is conformally equivalent to the open unit disc  $\Delta$  and an analytic projection map  $\pi$  from  $\Delta$  onto  $D$  can be chosen so that  $\pi(0) = t$ . Abrahamse [1, p294], using his inversion theorem, when  $\phi$  is unimodular and  $\tilde{\phi} = \phi \circ \pi$ , if  $T_\phi^M$  is invertible for each  $M \in S$  then  $T_{\tilde{\phi}}$  is invertible and hence  $\sigma(T_{\tilde{\phi}}) \subset \cup\{\sigma(T_\phi^M) ; M \in S\}$ . The following proposition generalizes the Abrahamse's result to arbitrary symbols. Recall that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a proper subset of  $S$ .

Proposition 5. Suppose  $A$  is a uniform algebra in Example 3. Let  $\phi$  be a nonzero function in  $L^\infty$ . If  $T_\phi^M$  is invertible for each  $M$  in  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . then  $T_{\tilde{\phi}}$  is invertible. Hence

$$\sigma(T_{\tilde{\phi}}) \subset \bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{F}_0} \sigma(T_\phi^M).$$

If  $n = 1$  then  $\sigma(T_{\tilde{\phi}}) = \cup\{\sigma(T_\phi^M) ; M \in \mathcal{F}_0\}$  and hence  $\cup\sigma(T_\phi^M)$  is connected.

Proof. If  $T_\phi^M$  is invertible for each  $M \in \mathcal{F}_0$ , then by Corollary 2 there is  $g \in (H^\infty)^{-1}$  such that  $Re(\phi g) \geq \delta$  a.e. for some constant  $\delta > 0$ . This implies that  $Re(\tilde{\phi} \tilde{g}) \geq \delta$  a.e. and  $\tilde{g} \in H^\infty(\Delta)^{-1}$ . By Corollary 2  $T_{\tilde{\phi}}$  is invertible. If  $n = 1$ , we will show that the converse is valid. Suppose  $T_{\tilde{\phi}}$  is invertible. By Theorem 2, there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon$  and a function  $g$  in  $H^\infty(\Delta)$  such that

$$|\tilde{\phi}|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 + |\tilde{\phi} + g|^2 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

If we can find  $h$  in  $H^\infty$  such that

$$|\tilde{\phi}|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 + |\tilde{\phi} + h|^2 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

then by Theorem 2  $T_\phi^M$  is left invertible for every  $M \in \mathcal{F}_0$ . The same proof of the complex conjugate  $\bar{\phi}$  shows that  $T_\phi^M$  is invertible for every  $M \in \mathcal{F}_0$ .

We will show the existence of such an  $h$  in  $H^\infty$ . We can regard  $\tilde{H}^\infty$  as the closed subalgebra of  $H^\infty(\Delta)$  consisting of those  $f \in H^\infty(\Delta)$  which are invariant under a certain group of conformal maps of  $\Delta$  onto itself. This group, which we shall denote by  $G$ , is in an infinite cyclic group. Put  $S = \{g \in H^\infty(\Delta) : |\tilde{\phi}|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 + |\tilde{\phi} + g|^2 \quad \text{a.e.}\}$  then  $S$  is a convex subset of  $H^\infty(\Delta)$ . We define a group operators  $\{\Phi_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in G}$  on  $H^\infty(\Delta)$  by means of  $\Phi_\gamma h = h \circ \gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in G$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the topology of almost uniform convergence in  $H^\infty(\Delta)$ . Then  $\sigma$  is metrizable, and a normal families argument shows that  $S$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. Since they commute, the fixed point theorem of Markov and Kakutani ([5, p456]), [12, Theorem 2.1]) affirms the existence of  $k$  in  $S$  which is invariant under the group  $\{\Phi_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in G}$ . Hence  $k = \tilde{h}$  for some  $h \in H^\infty$  and

$$|\tilde{\phi}|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 + |\tilde{\phi} + \tilde{h}|^2 \quad a.e..$$

### References

1. M.B.Abrahamse, Toeplitz operators in multiply connected regions, Amer. J. Math. 96(1974), 261-297.
2. J.M.Anderson and R.H.Rochberg, Toeplitz operators associated with subalgebras of the disc algebra, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30(1981), 813-820.
3. K.Barbey and H.König, Abstract analytic function theory and Hardy algebras, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 593, Spriger-Verlag, New York, 1977.
4. A.Devinatz, Toeplitz operators on  $H^2$  spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 112(1964), 304-317.
5. N.Dunford and J.Schwartz, Linear Operators, Vol. I, General theory, Interscience, New York, 1958.
6. T.Gamelin, Uniform Algebras, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs New Jersey, 1969.
7. T.Gamelin and G.Lumer, Theory of abstract Hardy spaces and the universal Hardy class, Adv. Math. 2(1968), 118-174.
8. P.Hartman and A.Wintner, The spectra of Toeplitz's matrices, Amer. J. Math. 76(1954), 867-882.
9. T.Nakazi, Norms of Hankel operators and uniform algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 299(1987), 573-580.
10. T.Nakazi, Norms of Hankel operators and uniform algebras, 2, Tohoku Math. J. 39(1987), 543-555.



11. T.Nakazi and T.Yamamoto, A lifting theorem and uniform algebras, *Trans Amer. Math. Soc.* 305(1988), 79-94.
12. E.L.Stout., Bounded holomorphic functions on finite Riemann surfaces, *Trans Amer. Math. Soc.* 120(1965), 255-285.
13. H.Widom, On the spectrum of a Toeplitz operator, *Pacific. J. Math.* 14(1964), 365-375.
14. K.Zhu, *Operator Theory In Function Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York and Basel, 1990.