



Title	A sufficient condition for the uniqueness of solutions to a class of integro-differential equations
Author(s)	Mikami, T.
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 267, 1-9
Issue Date	1994-10-1
DOI	10.14943/83414
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/69018
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	pre267.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

A sufficient condition for
the uniqueness of solutions
to a class of integro-
differential equations

Toshio Mikami

Series #267. October 1994

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

- # 241 K. Sugano, Note on H-separable Frobenius extensions, 8 pages. 1994.
- # 242 J. Zhai, Some Estimates For The Blowing up Solutions of Semilinear Heat Equations, 11 pages. 1994.
- # 243 N. Hayashi, K. Kato and T. Ozawa, Dilation Method and Smoothing Effect of the Schrödinger Evolution Group, 10 pages. 1994.
- # 244 D. Lehmann, T. Suwa, Residues of holomorphic vector fields relative to singular invariant subvarieties, 26 pages. 1994.
- # 245 H. Kubo, Slowly decaying solutions for semilinear wave equations in odd space dimensions, 30 pages. 1994.
- # 246 T. Nakazi, M. Yamada, (A_2) -Conditions and Carleson Inequalities, 27 pages. 1994.
- # 247 N. Hayashi, K. Kato and T. Ozawa, Dilation Method and smoothing Effect of Solutions to the Benjamin-ono Equation, 17 pages. 1994.
- # 248 H. Kikuchi, Sheaf cohomology theory for measurable spaces, 12 pages. 1994.
- # 249 A. Inoue, Tauberian theorems for Fourier cosine transforms, 9 pages. 1994.
- # 250 S. Izumiya, G. T. Kossioris, Singularities for viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, 23 pages. 1994.
- # 251 H. Kubo, K. Kubota, Asymptotic behaviors of radially symmetric solutions of $\square u = |u|^p$ for super critical values p in odd space dimensions, 51 pages. 1994.
- # 252 T. Mikami, Large Deviations and Central Limit Theorems for Eyraud-Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern Processes, 9 pages. 1994.
- # 253 T. Nishimori, Some remarks in a qualitative theory of similarity pseudogroups, 19 pages. 1994.
- # 254 T. Suwa, Residues of complex analytic foliations relative to singular invariant subvarieties, 15 pages. 1994.
- # 255 T. Tsujishita, On Triple Mutual Information, 7 pages. 1994.
- # 256 T. Tsujishita, Construction of Universal Modal World based on Hyperset Theory, 15 pages. 1994.
- # 257 A. Arai, Trace Formulas, a Golden-Thompson Inequality and Classical Limit in Boson Fock Space, 35 pages. 1994.
- # 258 Y-G. Chen, Y. Giga, T. Hitaka and M. Honma, A Stable Difference Scheme for Computing Motion of Level Surfaces by the Mean Curvature, 18 pages. 1994.
- # 259 K. Iwata, J. Schäfer, Markov property and cokernels of local operators, 7 pages. 1994.
- # 260 T. Mikami, Copula fields and its applications, 14 pages. 1994.
- # 261 A. Inoue, An Abel-Tauber theorem for Fourier sine transforms, 6 pages. 1994.
- # 262 N. Kawazumi, Homology of hyperelliptic mapping class groups for surfaces, 13 pages. 1994.
- # 263 Y. Giga, M. E. Gurtin, A comparison theorem for crystalline evolution in the plane, 14 pages. 1994.
- # 264 J. Wierzbicki, On Commutativity of Diagrams of Type II_1 Factors, 26 pages. 1994.
- # 265 N. Hayashi, T. Ozawa, Schrödinger Equations with nonlinearity of integral type, 12 pages. 1994.
- # 266 T. Ozawa, On the resonance equations of long and short waves, 8 pages. 1994.

A sufficient condition for the uniqueness of solutions
to a class of integro-differential equations

Toshio Mikami
Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University
Sapporo 060
Japan

ABSTRACT

We give a sufficient condition for the uniqueness of solutions to Cauchy problem for a class of integro-partial differential operators related to the stochastic analysis, by way of the large deviations technique.

1. Introduction.

Fix $T > 0$ and $u_0(\cdot) \in C(R^n, R)$, and consider the following PDE (see [13]); for $t \in (0, T)$ and $x \in R^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial u(t, x)/\partial t &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(t, x) \partial^2 u(t, x)/\partial x_i \partial x_j \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n b^i(t, x) \partial u(t, x)/\partial x_i + f(t, x, u(t, x)), \end{aligned} \quad (1.1).$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x).$$

As a uniqueness theorem for (1.1), the following can be proved from [7], p. 140, Corollary 4.2.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $(a^{ij}(t, x))_{i,j=1}^n$ is bounded and nonnegative definite, and that $f(t, x, u)$ is differentiable in u , and that the following holds;*

$$\sup\{(\partial f(t, x, u)/\partial u)/(1 + |x|^2); t \in [0, T], x \in R^n, u \in R\} < \infty, \quad (1.2).$$

$$\sup\{\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |b^i(t, x)|/(1 + |x|); 0 \leq t \leq T, i = 1, \dots, n\} < \infty. \quad (1.3).$$

Then any solutions $u_1(t, x)$ and $u_2(t, x) \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times R^n, R) \cap C([0, T] \times R^n, R)$ to (1.1) coincide with each other if the following holds;

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{-2} \log\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_1(t, x) - u_2(t, x)| + 1\} < +\infty. \quad (1.4).$$

As an example of nonunique solutions to (1.1), the following is known (see also [12]).

Theorem 1.2. ([1], Theorem). Suppose that $n = 1$ in (1.1) and that $a^{11}(t, x) \equiv 1, b^1(t, x) \equiv f(t, x, u) \equiv 0$. Then there exists a solution $u(t, x) \in C^{1,2}((0, \infty) \times R, R) \cap C([0, \infty) \times R, R)$ of (1.1) for which $u(0, x) \equiv 0$, and which is not identically zero for $t > 0$, and for which for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$|u(t, x)| \leq C(\varepsilon) \exp(\varepsilon/t) \quad \text{on } (0, \infty) \times R. \quad (1.5).$$

In this paper, we generalize Theorem 1.1 by considering a class of integro-differential equations. In a special case our equation becomes (1.1), and our result gives a probabilistic proof to Theorem 1.1.

In section 2 we state our results. In section 3 we prove them.

2. Main results.

Let us introduce our integro-differential equation.

Fix $T > 0$ and $u_0(\cdot) \in C(R^n, R)$, and consider the following integro-differential equation; for $t \in (0, T)$ and $x \in R^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial u(t, x) / \partial t = & \sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(t, x) \partial^2 u(t, x) / \partial x_i \partial x_j \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^n b^i(t, x) \partial u(t, x) / \partial x_i + \int_{y \neq x, y \in R^n} [u(t, y) - u(t, x) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) \partial u(t, x) / \partial x_i] \nu_{t,x}(dy) + f(t, x, u(t, x)), \\ u(0, x) = & u_0(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1).$$

In this section we assume the following.

(A.1). $a(t, x) = (a^{ij}(t, x))_{i,j=1}^n$ is a symmetric, nonnegative definite $n \times n$ -matrix. $\nu_{t,x}(dy)$ is a nonnegative Borel measure on $R^n \setminus \{x\}$. $b(t, x) = (b^i(t, x))_{i=1}^n$ is globally Lipschitz continuous in x , uniformly in t . For any $s \geq 0$, there exist strong Markov processes $(X(t), P_{s,x})_{s \leq t, x \in R^n}$ whose infinitesimal generator L_t is given by the following; for any infinitely differentiable function $g; R^n \mapsto R$ with a compact support, $t \geq s$, and $x \in R^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_t g(x) = & \sum_{i=1}^n b^i(t, x) \partial g(x) / \partial x_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(t, x) \partial^2 g(x) / \partial x_i \partial x_j \\ & + \int_{y \neq x, y \in R^n} [g(y) - g(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) \partial g(x) / \partial x_i] \nu_{t,x}(dy) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2).$$

(see [9] and [14]).

(A.2). For any $r > 0$

$$H(r) \equiv \sup\{\langle a(t, x)z, z \rangle + \int_{y \neq x, y \in R^n} [\exp(\langle z, y - x \rangle) - 1 - \langle z, y - x \rangle] \nu_{t, x}(dy); t \in [0, T], x \in R^n, |z| \leq r\} < \infty, \quad (2.3).$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product in R^n .

(A.3.1). $f(t, x, u)$ has a continuous $\partial f(t, x, u)/\partial u$, and

$$C(f) \equiv \sup\{(\partial f(t, x, u)/\partial u)/(1 + |x|); t \in [0, T], x \in R^n, u \in R\} < \infty. \quad (2.4).$$

(A.3.2). $f(t, x, u)$ has a continuous $\partial f(t, x, u)/\partial u$, and

$$\tilde{C}(f) \equiv \sup\{(\partial f(t, x, u)/\partial u)/(1 + |x|^2); t \in [0, T], x \in R^n, u \in R\} < \infty. \quad (2.5).$$

Remark 2.1. From (2.3), for $t \geq s$, and $x \in R^n$,

$$X(t) = x + \int_s^t b(\alpha, X(\alpha)) ds + M^{[c]}(t) + M^{[d]}(t) \quad P_{s, x} - a.s., \quad (2.6).$$

where $M^{[c]}(t)$ and $M^{[d]}(t)$ denote square integrable, continuous and purely discontinuous martingales, respectively (see [10]).

Before we state our main result, let us give some notation. Put

$$C_1 = 2 \sup\{\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} |b(t, x + h) - b(t, x)|/|h|; t \geq 0, x \in R^n\}, \quad (2.7).$$

$$C_2 = n \exp(C_1 T/2), \quad (2.8).$$

$$C(f; r) \equiv \sup\{\partial f(t, x, u)/\partial u; t \in [0, T], |x| \leq r, u \in R\}, \quad (2.9).$$

$$L(r) \equiv \sup\{zr - H(z); z \geq 0\}, \quad (2.10).$$

for $r > 0$.

The following is our main result.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that (A.1)-(A.2) hold, and that $f(t, x, u)$ has a continuous $\partial f(t, x, u)/\partial u$. Then any solutions $u_1(t, x)$ and $u_2(t, x) \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times R^n, R) \cap C([0, T] \times R^n, R)$ to (2.1) coincide with each other if*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} (TL(|x|/(C_2 T)))^{-1} \times \{\log\{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_1(t, x) - u_2(t, x)| + 1\} C(f; |x|) T\} < 1. \quad (2.11).$$

Remark 2.2. For $r > 0$ and $z > 0$,

$$L(r)/r \geq z - H(z)/r. \quad (2.12).$$

Let $r \rightarrow \infty$ and then $z \rightarrow \infty$, we get the following;

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} L(r)/r = \infty. \quad (2.13).$$

As an easy consequence of Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2, we get the following corollary whose proof is omitted.

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that (A.1)-(A.3.1) hold. Then any solutions $u_1(t, x)$ and $u_2(t, x) \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times R^n, R) \cap C([0, T] \times R^n, R)$ to (2.1) coincide with each other if*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{-1} \log \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_1(t, x) - u_2(t, x)| + 1 \right\} < +\infty. \quad (2.14).$$

In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can show that the following is true.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that (A.1)-(A.2) and (A.3.2) hold, and that $\nu_{t,x}(dy) \equiv 0$. Then any solutions $u_1(t, x)$ and $u_2(t, x) \in C^{1,2}((0, T) \times R^n, R) \cap C([0, T] \times R^n, R)$ to (2.1) coincide with each other if*

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{-2} \log \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_1(t, x) - u_2(t, x)| + 1 \right\} < +\infty. \quad (2.15).$$

Remark 2.3. The condition (2.5) and (2.15) are equivalent to (1.2) and (1.4), respectively.

We close this section by the following example.

Example 2.1. Suppose that $n = 1$, that $a(t, x) \equiv 0$, and that $\nu_{t,x}(dy) \equiv \delta_{1+x}(dy)$. Then for $z > 0$,

$$H(z) = \exp(z) - 1 - z, \quad (2.16).$$

and for $r > 0$,

$$L(r) = (1 + r) \log(1 + r) - r. \quad (2.17).$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} C_2 T L(r / (C_2 T)) / L(r) = 1. \quad (2.18).$$

3. Proof of results.

In this section we prove Theorems in section 2.

Before we prove them, let us give the technical result whose proof will be given later.

For $r > 0$, $t \geq s \geq 0$, and $x \in R^n$, put

$$\tau_r \equiv \inf\{t > 0; |X(t)| > r\}, \quad (3.1).$$

$$C_3 = (16H(1)n^2)^{-1}. \quad (3.2).$$

Then the following result can be proved by way of the idea of Freidlin-Wentzell theory (see [3], [5] and also [2] for other approaches).

Lemma 3.1. (I). Suppose that (A.1)-(A.2) hold. Then for any $x \in R^n$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (TL(r/(C_2T)))^{-1} \log P_{0,x}(\tau_r \leq T) \leq -1. \quad (3.3).$$

(II). Suppose that (A.1)-(A.2) hold, and that $\nu_{t,x}(dy) \equiv 0$. Then for any $t > s \geq 0$ and $x \in R^n$,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-2} \log P_{s,x}(\tau_r \leq t) \leq -C_3 \exp(-C_1(t-s))/(t-s) \quad (3.4).$$

(see (2.7)-(2.8) for notation).

Let us first prove Theorem 2.1 from Lemma 3.1, (I).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. For $0 \leq t \leq T$, $x \in R^n$, and $u, v \in R$, put

$$F(t, x : u, v) \equiv \begin{cases} \{f(t, x, u) - f(t, x, v)\}/(u - v) & \text{if } u \neq v, \\ \partial f(t, x, u)/\partial u & \text{if } u = v \end{cases} \quad (3.5).$$

which is continuous from the assumption, and put

$$w(t, x) \equiv u_1(t, x) - u_2(t, x). \quad (3.6).$$

Then $w(t, x)$ satisfies the following integro-differential equation; for $t \in (0, T)$ and $x \in R^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial w(t, x)/\partial t = & \sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(t, x) \partial^2 w(t, x)/\partial x_i \partial x_j + \sum_{i=1}^n b^i(t, x) \partial w(t, x)/\partial x_i \\ & + \int_{y \neq x, y \in R^n} [w(t, y) - w(t, x) \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) \partial w(t, x)/\partial x_i] \nu_{t,x}(dy) \\ & + F(t, x; u_1(t, x), u_2(t, x)) w(t, x), \\ w(0, x) = & 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7).$$

For $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in R^n$, applying the Ito formula for $w(t-s, X(s)) \times \exp(\int_0^s F(t-\alpha, X(\alpha); u_1(\alpha, X(\alpha)), u_2(\alpha, X(\alpha)))d\alpha)$ ($0 \leq s \leq t$), we get the following;

$$\begin{aligned}
w(t, x) &= E_{0,x}[w(0, X(t)) \exp(\int_0^t F(t-\alpha, X(\alpha) \\
&\quad ; u_1(\alpha, X(\alpha)), u_2(\alpha, X(\alpha)))d\alpha); t < \tau_r] \\
&\quad + E_{0,x}[w(t-\tau_r, X(\tau_r)) \exp(\int_0^{\tau_r} F(t-\alpha, X(\alpha) \\
&\quad ; u_1(\alpha, X(\alpha)), u_2(\alpha, X(\alpha)))d\alpha); t \geq \tau_r] \\
&= E_{0,x}[w(t-\tau_r, X(\tau_r)) \exp(\int_0^{\tau_r} F(t-\alpha, X(\alpha) \\
&\quad ; u_1(\alpha, X(\alpha)), u_2(\alpha, X(\alpha)))d\alpha); t \geq \tau_r]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

from (3.7).

Form Lemma 3.1, (I) and (2.11), we get, for $x \in R^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |w(t, x)| \\
&\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T, |y|=r} |w(t, y)| \exp(TC(f; r)) P_{0,x}(T \geq \tau_r) \\
&\rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{as } r \rightarrow \infty).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Q.E.D.

Next we prove Theorem 2.3 from Lemma 3.1, (II).

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Denote by C_4 the quantity on the right hand side of (2.15). Take $t_1 \in [0, T]$ sufficiently small so that

$$C_4 + t_1 \tilde{C}(f) < C_3 \exp(-C_1 t_1) / t_1. \tag{3.10}$$

(see (2.7), (2.8) and (3.2)).

In the same way as in (3.9), we get, for $x \in R^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} |w(t, x)| \\
&\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1, |y|=r} |w(t, y)| \exp(t_1 \tilde{C}(f)(1+r^2)) P_{0,x}(t_1 \geq \tau_r) \\
&\rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{as } r \rightarrow \infty \text{ from Lemma 3.1, (II) and (2.15)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

For $t_1 \leq t \leq \min(2t_1, T)$ and $x \in R^n$, consider $w(t-t_1, x)$ and $P_{t_1, x}(t \geq \tau_r)$ ($r > 0$). Then in the same way as above, we can show that $w(t-t_1, x)$ ($t_1 \leq t \leq \min(2t_1, T)$, $x \in R^n$) is identically zero. Inductively we can show that Theorem 2.3 is true.

Q.E.D.

Before we prove Lemma 3.1, let us prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. For any $t \geq s \geq 0$ and $x \in R^n$, the following holds;

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \leq \gamma \leq t} |X(\gamma)| &\leq (|x| + (t-s) \sup_{s \leq \gamma \leq t} |b(\gamma, o)|) \\ &+ \sup_{s \leq \gamma \leq t} |M^{[c]}(\gamma) + M^{[d]}(\gamma)| \exp(C_1(t-s)/2) \quad P_{s,x} - a.s. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12).$$

(see (2.7) for notation).

Proof. For $\gamma \geq s \geq 0$ and $x \in R^n$

$$\begin{aligned} X(\gamma) &= x + \int_s^\gamma b(\alpha, X(\alpha)) d\alpha + M^{[c]}(\gamma) + M^{[d]}(\gamma) \\ &= x + \int_s^\gamma b(\alpha, o) d\alpha + M^{[c]}(\gamma) + M^{[d]}(\gamma) \\ &\quad + \int_s^\gamma [b(\alpha, X(\alpha)) - b(\alpha, o)] d\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13).$$

$P_{s,x} - a.s.$. By Gronwall's inequality, from (3.13), the proof is over.

Q.E.D.

Finally we prove Lemma 3.1.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. From Lemma 3.2, for any $s \leq t$, $r > 0$ and $x (|x| < r)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{s,x}(\tau_r \leq t) \\ &= P_{s,x}(\sup_{s \leq \gamma \leq t} |X(\gamma)| \geq r) \\ &\leq P_{s,x}(1 \leq (1 - \exp(C_1(t-s)/2)(|x| + (t-s) \sup_{s \leq \gamma \leq t} |b(\gamma, o)|)/r)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \exp(C_1(t-s)/2)/r \sup_{s \leq \gamma \leq t} |M^{[c]}(\gamma) + M^{[d]}(\gamma)|) \\ &\leq P_{s,x}(1 \leq 2^{-1} \exp(C_1(t-s)/2)/r \sup_{s \leq \gamma \leq t} |M^{[c]}(\gamma) + M^{[d]}(\gamma)|) \\ &\quad (\text{for sufficiently large } r > 0) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n P_{s,x}(1 \leq 2^{-1} n \exp(C_1(t-s)/2)/r \sup_{s \leq \gamma \leq t} |M^{[c]}(\gamma)^i + M^{[d]}(\gamma)^i|) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{s \leq \gamma \leq t, |y| \leq r} P_{\gamma,y}(1/2 \leq 2^{-1} n \exp(C_1(t-s)/2)/r \\ &\quad \times |M^{[c]}(t)^i + M^{[d]}(t)^i|) \end{aligned} \quad (3.14).$$

by the strong Markov property of $(X(t), P_{s,x})_{s \leq t, x \in R^n}$ (see [5], p. 151).
Put

$$C_5 \equiv n \exp(C_1(t-s)/2). \quad (3.15)$$

Then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\gamma \in [s, t]$ and $y \in R^n$, and any $R > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\gamma, y}(1 \leq C_5/r |M^{[c]}(t)^i + M^{[d]}(t)^i|) \\ \leq \exp(-rR) E_{\gamma, y}[\exp(RC_5(M^{[c]}(t)^i + M^{[d]}(t)^i)) \\ + \exp(-RC_5(M^{[c]}(t)^i + M^{[d]}(t)^i))] \end{aligned} \quad (3.16).$$

by the exponential Chebychev's inequality (see [5], p. 151), and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\gamma, y}[\exp(RC_5(M^{[c]}(t)^i + M^{[d]}(t)^i))] \\ = E_{\gamma, y}[\exp(RC_5(M^{[c]}(t)^i + M^{[d]}(t)^i) - \int_{\gamma}^t \{a^{ii}(\alpha, X(\alpha))(RC_5)^2 \\ + \int_{z \neq x, z \in R^n} [\exp(RC_5(z_i - x_i)) - 1 - RC_5(z_i - x_i)] \nu_{\alpha, X(\alpha)}(dz)\} d\alpha \\ + \int_{\gamma}^t \{a^{ii}(\alpha, X(\alpha))(RC_5)^2 \\ + \int_{z \neq x, z \in R^n} [\exp(RC_5(z_i - x_i)) - 1 - RC_5(z_i - x_i)] \nu_{\alpha, X(\alpha)}(dz)\} d\alpha)] \\ \leq \exp[(t - \gamma)H(RC_5)] \end{aligned} \quad (3.17).$$

(see [5], p. 148). In the same way as in (3.17),

$$E_{\gamma, y}[\exp(-RC_5(M^{[c]}(t)^i + M^{[d]}(t)^i))] \leq \exp[(t - \gamma)H(RC_5)]. \quad (3.18).$$

From (3.14)-(3.18), we get for $s \leq t$ and $x(|x| < r)$,

$$\log P_{s, x}(\tau_r \leq t) \leq \log(2n) - (t - s)(r/(t - s)R - H(RC_5)). \quad (3.19).$$

Taking the infimum in $R > 0$ on the left hand side of (3.19),

$$\log P_{s, x}(\tau_r \leq t) \leq \log(2n) - (t - s)L(r/((t - s)C_5)). \quad (3.20).$$

From (3.20),

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \{TL(r/(TC_2))\}^{-1} \log P_{0, x}(\tau_r \leq T) \leq -1, \quad (3.21).$$

which shows that (I) is true (see (2.8) for notation).

Let us prove (II). For any $t > s \geq 0$ and $x \in R^n$,

$$(t-s)L(r/((t-s)C_2)) = (16(t-s)H(1)n^2)^{-1} \exp(-C_1(t-s)). \quad (3.22).$$

(3.20) and (3.22) completes the proof.

Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chung, S. Y., Kim, D.: An example of nonuniqueness of the Cauchy problem for the heat equation. *Comm. P.D.E.*, **19**, 1257-1261 (1994)
- [2] Fleming, W. H., Soner, H. M.: *Controlled Markov processes and Viscosity solutions (Application of Math. 25)* Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo: Springer 1993
- [3] Freidlin, M. I.: *Functional integration and partial differential equations (Ann. of Math. Studies 109)* Princeton: Princeton University Press 1985
- [4] Freidlin, M. I.: Random perturbations of reaction-diffusion equations: the quasi-deterministic approximation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **305**, 665-697 (1988)
- [5] Freidlin, M. I., Wentzell, A. D.: *Random perturbations of dynamical systems (Grundlehren Math. Wiss. Bd 260)* Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo: Springer 1984
- [6] Friedman, A.: *Partial differential equations of parabolic type.* Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall 1964
- [7] Friedman, A.: *Stochastic differential equations and applications 1 (Probab. and Math. Stat. 28)* New York: Academic press 1975
- [8] Ikeda, N., Watanabe, S.: *Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes, Second edition.* Amsterdam New York Oxford Tokyo: North-Holland/Kodansha 1989
- [9] Komatsu, T.: Markov processes associated with certain integro - differential equations. *Osaka J. Math.* **10**, 271-303 (1973)
- [10] Meyer, P. A.: *Un cours sur les intégrales stochastiques.* In: Meyer, P. A.: *Séminaire de Probabilités X, Université de Strasbourg 1974/75 (Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 511, pp. 245-400)* Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo: Springer 1976
- [11] Mikami, T.: Some generalizations of Wentzell's lower estimates on large deviations. *Stochastics* **24**, 269-284 (1988)
- [12] Rauch, J.: *Partial differential equations (Grad. Texts in Math. 128)* Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo: Springer 1991
- [13] Smoller, J.: *Shock waves and reaction-diffusion equations (Grundlehren Math. Wiss. Bd 258)* Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo: Springer 1983
- [14] Stroock, D. W.: Diffusion processes with Lévy Generators. *Zeit. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **32**, 209-244 (1975)
- [15] Wentzell, A. D.: Rough limit theorems on large deviations for Markov stochastic processes III. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **24**, 675-692 (1979)