



Title	An outer function and several important functions in two variables
Author(s)	Nakazi, T.
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 322, 1-12
Issue Date	1995-12-1
DOI	10.14943/83469
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/69073
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	pre322.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

**An Outer Function And Several
Important Functions In Two Variables**

Takahiko Nakazi

Series #322. December 1995

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

- #298 A. Higuchi, Lattices of closure operators, 6 pages. 1995.
- #299 S. Izumiya and W-Z. Sun, Singularities of solution surfaces for quasilinear 1st order partial differential equations, 9 pages. 1995.
- #300 D. Lehmann, M. Soares and T. Suwa, On the index of a holomorphic vector field tangent to a singular variety, 14 pages. 1995.
- #301 J. Zhai, Harmonic maps and Ginzburg-Landau type elliptic system, 20 pages. 1995.
- #302 M.-H. Giga and Y. Giga, Geometric evolution by nonsmooth interfacial energy, 15 pages. 1995.
- #303 S. Jimbo and J. Zhai, Ginzburg-Landau equation with magnetic effect: non-simply-connected domains, 21 pages. 1995.
- #304 T. Ozawa, On the nonlinear Schrödinger equations of derivative type, 27 pages. 1995.
- #305 N.H. Bingham and A. Inoue, Jordan's theorem for fourier and hankel transforms, 30 pages. 1995.
- #306 T. Honda and T. Suwa, Residue formulas for singular foliations defined by meromorphic functions on surfaces, 19 pages. 1995.
- #307 J. Yoshizaki, On the structure of the singular set of a complex analytic foliation, 25 pages. 1995.
- #308 A. Arai, Representation of canonical commutation relations in a gauge theory, the Aharonov-Bohm effect, and Dirac Weyl operator, 17 pages. 1995.
- #309 A. Arai and M. Hirokawa, On the existence and uniqueness of ground states of the spin-boson Hamiltonian, 20 pages. 1995.
- #310 S. Izumiya and T. Sano, Generic affine differential geometry of plane curves, 8 pages. 1995.
- #311 N. Kawazumi, On the stable cohomology algebra of extended mapping class groups for surfaces, 13 pages. 1995.
- #312 H.M.Ito and T. Mikami, Poissonian asymptotics of a randomly perturbed dynamical system: Flip-flop of the Stochastic Disk Dynamo, 20 pages. 1995.
- #313 T. Nakazi, Slice maps and multipliers of invariant subspaces, 11 pages. 1995.
- #314 T. Mikami, Weak convergence on the first exit time of randomly perturbed dynamical systems with a repulsive equilibrium point, 20 pages. 1995.
- #315 A. Arai, Canonical commutation relations, the Weierstrass Zetafunction, and infinite dimensional Hilbert space representations of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, 22 pages. 1995.
- #316 Y. Shibukawa, Vertex-face correspondence in elliptic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation, 8 pages. 1995.
- #317 M.-H. Giga and Y. Giga, Consistency in evolutions by crystalline curvature, 16 pages. 1995.
- #318 Wei-Zhi Sun, Shadows of moving surfaces, 19 pages. 1995.
- #319 S. Izumiya and G.T. Kossioris, Bifurcations of shock waves for viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations of one space variable, 39 pages. 1995.
- #320 T. Teruya, Normal intermediate subfactors, 44 pages. 1995.
- #321 M. Ohnuma, Axisymmetric solutions and singular parabolic equations in the theory of viscosity solutions, 26 pages. 1995.

An Outer Function And Several Important Functions In Two Variables

by

Takahiko Nakazi*

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Hokkaido University

Sapporo 060, Japan

***This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Ministry Education.**

AMS Subject Classification (1991) : Primary 32 A 35, 46 J 15 ; Secondary 60 G 25, 47 A 15

Key Words And Phrases : outer function, invariant subspace, extremal problem, prediction theory

Abstract. In one variable, an outer function has several important properties and a function with one of the properties is an outer function. In two variables, the situation is very different and we study these functions.

§1. Introduction

Let m be the normalized Lebesgue measure of the torus T^2 , the distinguished boundary of the open unit bidisc D^2 in the space \mathbf{C}^2 of two-complex variables (z, w) . Let Z be the set of all integers, Z_+ the set of all nonnegative integers, $Z^2 = Z \times Z$ and $Z_+^2 = Z_+ \times Z_+$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $L^p = L^p(T^2, m)$ denotes the Lebesgue space and $H^p = H^p(T^2, m) = \{f \in L^p; \hat{f}(\ell, n) = 0 \text{ if } (\ell, n) \notin Z_+^2\}$, that is, H^p denotes the usual Hardy space on T^2 . Let $H_0^p = \{f \in H^p; \int f dm = 0\}$ and $K_0^p = \{f \in L^p; \hat{f}(\ell, n) = 0 \text{ if } -(\ell, n) \in Z_+^2\}$. Then $K_0^p = \{f \in L^q; \int f g dm = 0 \text{ if } g \in H^q\}$ where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Let \mathcal{H} be the set of all trigonometric polynomials in H^p , $\mathcal{H}_0 = H_0^p \cap \mathcal{H}$ and \mathcal{K}_0 the set of all trigonometric polynomials in K_0^p .

The function h in H^p is called an outer function if

$$\int \log |h| dm = \log \left| \int h dm \right| > -\infty.$$

In this paper we study the properties of an outer function in function theory, operator theory, functional analysis and prediction theory. Outer functions have been studied by W. Rudin [13], K. Izuchi [7], K. Izuchi-Y. Matsugu [8] and R. Cheng [2]. In two variables, an outer function is too strong in some sense. If h is a nonzero function in H^p , then the following inequalities are valid. m_z and m_w denote the normalized Lebesgue measures on the unit circle $T = T_z$ and $T = T_w$. $T^2 = T \times T$ and $m = m_z \times m_w$.

$$\int_{T^2} \log |h| dm \geq \int_T (\log \left| \int_T h dm_z \right|) dm_w, \int_T (\log \left| \int_T h dm_w \right|) dm_z \geq \log \left| \int_{T^2} h dm \right|.$$

For any measurable set $E = E_z$ and $E = E_w$ in T_z and T_w , respectively,

$$\int_{T \times E} \log |h| dm \geq \int_E (\log \left| \int_T h dm_z \right|) dm_w$$

and

$$\int_{E \times T} \log |h| dm \geq \int_E (\log \left| \int_T h dm_w \right|) dm_z.$$

The h is called z -outer for $E = E_w$ if

$$\int_{T \times E} \log |h| dm = \int_E (\log \left| \int_T h dm_z \right|) dm_w$$

and the h is called w -outer for $E = E_z$ if

$$\int_{E \times T} \log |h| dm = \int_E (\log \left| \int_T h dm_w \right|) dm_z.$$

If h is z -outer for T_w , then h is simply z -outer and a w -outer function is defined similarly. If h is z -outer and w -outer, then h is called weakly outer. See [2], [4] and [8] for these definitions. Suppose $h(z, w) = f(z) - g(w)$ where f and g are nonzero functions in $H^p(T)$ and $f \not\equiv g$. Put $E_w = \{\zeta \in T_w; \|f\|_\infty \leq |g(\zeta)|\}$ and $E_z = \{\zeta \in T_z; |f(\zeta)| \geq \|g\|_\infty\}$.

Then h is z -outer for E_w and h is w -outer for E_z . If $\|f\|_\infty \leq |g|$ a.e. on T_w , then h is z -outer and if $|f| \geq \|g\|_\infty$ a.e. on T_z , then h is w -outer. Hence if $|f| = 1$ a.e. on T_z and $|g| = 1$ a.e. on T_w , then h is weakly outer. Moreover if $f(z) - \int g dm_w$ or $\int f dm_z - g(w)$ is outer, then h is outer.

All results in this paper are related to z -outer functions for E_w and w -outer functions for E_z that were just defined above. In Section 3, we study functions whose absolute values have harmonic properties. In Section 4, we study invariant subspaces which are generated by a function. Previously such invariant subspaces were studied in [4], [7] and [8]. We can progress more than they did. In Section 5, we study extreme points of a unit ball of H^1 . Such a research is in [5] and [17]. We give a few new results. Sections 4 and 5 are strongly dependent on Section 3. In Section 6, we study the relation between a prediction error and weight. We get a necessary and sufficient condition for an outer function using that. In Section 2, we give four lemmas which will be used in the latter section.

In this paper, for a subset S in L^p , $[S]_p$ denotes the norm closure of S in L^p if $p \neq \infty$ and the weak star closure if $p = \infty$.

§2. Lemmas

We give lemmas which will be used in this paper. Put

$$H_z^p = \{f \in L^p ; \hat{f}(\ell, n) = 0 \text{ if } \ell < 0\} \text{ and } H_z^p \cap \bar{H}_z^p = \mathcal{L}_w^p,$$

then $H_z^\infty \cap \bar{H}_z^\infty = \mathcal{L}_w^\infty$ is a commutative von Neumann algebra. If \mathcal{E}^w denotes the conditional expectation from L^∞ to \mathcal{L}_w^∞ , then \mathcal{E}^w is multiplicative on H_z^∞ and $H_z^\infty + \bar{H}_z^\infty$ are weak star dense in L^∞ . This implies that H_z^∞ is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra with respect to \mathcal{E}^w . Hence we can use the general theory of an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra in [9].

Let χ_E be a characteristic function. Then $\chi_E \in H_z^\infty$ if and only if $\chi_E = \chi_{T \times G}$ for some measurable set $G \subset T$. \mathcal{L}_w^p is isometrically isomorphic to $L^p(T, m_w)$. In fact, $F \in \mathcal{L}_w^p$ and $F(z, w) = f(w)$ is mapped to $f(w) \in L^p(T, m_w)$. For example, $F(z, w) = \chi_{T \times G}$ is mapped to χ_G . Let $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $F \in \mathcal{L}_w^p$ and $G \in \mathcal{L}_w^q$, then

$$\int_{T^2} FG dm = \int_T fg dm_w$$

where $F(z, w) = f(w)$ and $G(z, w) = g(w)$.

Lemma 1. If F is a function in L^p , then

$$(\mathcal{E}^w F)(z, w) = \int_T F(z, w) dm_z \quad \text{a.e. } w \text{ on } T$$

Proof. We may assume $p = 1$. Put

$$(\Phi F)(w) = \int_T F(z, w) dm_z,$$

then Φ is a norm continuous linear operator from L^1 onto $L^1(T, m_w)$. It is easy to see that $(\mathcal{E}^w F)(z, w) = (\Phi F)(w)$ if F is a polynomial of z, \bar{z}, w and \bar{w} . This implies the lemma.

Lemma 2. Suppose h is a nonzero function in H^p . h is z -outer for $E = E_w$ if and only if

$$[\chi_{T \times E} h H_z^\infty]_p = \chi_{T \times E} H_z^p.$$

Proof. If h is z -outer for E , put $\tilde{h} = \chi_{T \times E} h + \chi_{T \times E^c}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \log |\tilde{h}| dm \\ &= \int_{T \times E} \log |h| dm + \int_{T \times E^c} \log |1| dm \\ &= \int_E (\log |\int_T h dm_z|) dm_w + \int_{E^c} (\log |\int_T 1 dm_z|) dm_w \\ &= \int_T (\log |\int_T \tilde{h} dm_z|) dm_w. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 1

$$\int \log |\tilde{h}| dm = \int \log |\mathcal{E}^w(\tilde{h})| dm > 0.$$

By [9, Corollary 3], $\mathcal{E}^w(\log |\tilde{h}|) \geq \log |\mathcal{E}^w(\tilde{h})|$ a.e.m on T^2 and so by the equality above $\mathcal{E}^w(\log |\tilde{h}|) = \log |\mathcal{E}^w(\tilde{h})|$ a.e.m on T^2 . Thus

$$\int \exp \mathcal{E}^w(\log |\tilde{h}|) dm = \int |\mathcal{E}^w(\tilde{h})| dm$$

and hence by [9, Corollary 5] $[\tilde{h} H_z^\infty]_p = H_z^p$ for $p = 1$. For $p \neq 1$, we can show it similarly if we use the idea in [15]. Since $\chi_{T \times E} \in H_z^p$, this implies the lemma.

Lemma 3. If F is a function in H_z^p , then

$$\int_T F(z, w) dm_w \text{ belongs to } H^p(T_z).$$

Proof. By definition, $\mathcal{E}^z F \in \mathcal{L}_z^p$ and for $\ell \geq 1$

$$\int (\mathcal{E}^z F) z^\ell dm = \int F z^\ell dm = 0$$

because $F \in H_z^p$. Lemma 1 completes the proof.

It is clear that $H_z^p \cap H_w^p = H^p$. The following lemma tells us more.

Lemma 4. If F is in H_z^p and $\chi_{E \times T} F$ is in H_w^p for $m_z(E) > 0$, then F belongs to H^p .

Proof. If $G \subset E$, then $\chi_{G \times T} F \in H_w^p$ because $\chi_{G \times T} \in H_w^p$. Hence for $\ell \geq 1$,

$$\int_G \left(\int_T F(z, w) w^\ell dm_w \right) dm_z = \int (\chi_{G \times T} F) w^\ell dm = 0$$

and so

$$\int_T F(z, w) w^\ell dm_w = 0 \quad a.e. z \text{ on } E.$$

For $\ell \geq 1$, $w^\ell F \in H_z^p$ because $F \in H_z^p$. By Lemma 3, $\int_T F(z, w) w^\ell dm_w \in H^p(T_z)$ and it is zero on a positive measure set E . Thus $\int_T F(z, w) w^\ell dm_w = 0$ a.e. z on T for all $\ell \geq 1$. Hence for all $\ell \geq 1$ and all $n \in Z$

$$\int F w^\ell z^n dm = \int_T z^n \left(\int_T F(z, w) w^\ell dm_w \right) dm_z = 0.$$

Thus F belongs to H_w^p and so H^p .

All results about H_z^p , \mathcal{L}_w^p and \mathcal{E}^w in this section are also true for H_w^p , \mathcal{L}_z^p and \mathcal{E}^z .

§3. Functions which have harmonic properties

In one variable, it is known that each one of the following three properties (A), (B) and (C) is equivalent to that h is outer. Suppose h is a nonzero function in H^p .

(A) If $|h| \geq |g|$ a.e. on T^2 and $g \in H^p$, then $|h| \geq |g|$ on D^2 .

(B) If $|h| = |g|$ a.e. on T^2 and $g \in H^p$, then $|h| \geq |g|$ on D^2 .

(C) If $|h| \geq |g|$ a.e. on T^2 , $g \in H^p$ and $h^{-1}g$ is a real function on T^2 , then $|h| \geq |g|$ on D^2 .

The property (A) implies (B) and (C). We don't know whether (B) implies (A). The property (C) does not imply (A).

Theorem 1. Suppose h is a function in H^p . If h is z -outer and w -outer for some measurable set E with $m_z(E) > 0$, it has the property (A).

Proof. If $|h| \geq |g|$ a.e. on T^2 and $g \in H^p$, then we can write $g = \phi h$ for some ϕ in L^∞ . Since h is z -outer and w -outer for E , by Lemma 2 $[hH_z^\infty]_p = H_z^p$ and $[\chi_{E \times T} h H_w^\infty]_p = \chi_{E \times T} H_w^p$. Hence there exist $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in H_z^∞ and $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in H_w^∞ such that

$$\int |h h_n - 1|^p dm \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\int_{E \times T} |h k_n - 1|^p dm \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies that $\phi \in H_z^p$ and $\chi_{E \times T} \phi \in H_w^p$ because $\phi h \in H_z^p \cap H_w^p$. By Lemma 4, ϕ belongs to $H^p \cap L^\infty = H^\infty$.

By Theorem 1, if h is outer or more generally weakly outer, then h has the property (A) and hence the property (C). Theorem 1 implies that the property (A) or (C) does not imply that h is weakly outer. Under the condition that h is w -outer, we can give a necessary and sufficient condition for the property (C). Note that $h_j(z) = \int_T h(z, w) \bar{w}^j dm_w$ belongs to $H^p(T_z)$ by Lemma 3.

Theorem 2. Suppose h is a z -outer function in H^p . h has the property (C) if and only if the common inner divisor of $\{h_j(w)\}_{j=0}^\infty$ is constant, where $h_j(w) = \int_T h(z, w) \bar{z}^j dm_z \in H^p(T_w)$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. If $g = \phi h$, $g \in H^p$ and $|\phi| \leq 1$ a.e. on T^2 , then ϕ belongs to H_z^p by Lemma 2 as in the proof of Theorem 1. If ϕ is a real function, then $\phi = \phi(w) \in \mathcal{L}_w^\infty$. If the common inner divisor of $\{h_j(w)\}_{j=0}^\infty$ is constant, then for each j

$$\phi(w)h_j(w) = \int_T \phi(w)h(z, w) \bar{z}^j dm_z = \int_T g(z, w) \bar{z}^j dm_z$$

belongs to $H^p(T_w)$ and hence $\phi \in H^\infty(T_w)$. This implies that h has the property (C). Conversely suppose h has the property (C). If $\{h_j(z)\}_{j=0}^\infty$ has a non-constant common inner divisor $q(z)$, put $\phi(z, w) = (\overline{q(w)} + q(z))/2$ on T^2 , then $g = \phi h$ belongs to H^p and $|h| \geq |g|$ a.e. on T^2 . Since $h^{-1}g = \phi$ is a real function on T^2 , by the property (C) of h , $|h| \geq |g|$ on D^2 and hence $\tilde{\phi}(z, w) = g(z, w)/h(z, w)$ is bounded on D^2 . It is easy to see that $\tilde{\phi}$ is holomorphic in each variable separately. By a theorem of Hartogs (cf. [13, p2]), $\tilde{\phi}$ is bounded analytic on D^2 . ϕ is the radial limit of $\tilde{\phi}$ and hence ϕ belongs to H^∞ . This contradicts that q is non-constant.

§4. Functions generating invariant subspaces

If h is a function in H^2 and $[hH^\infty]_2 = H^2$, then h is called a generator. This means that h is a cyclic vector of two multiplication operators S_z and S_w . If h is a generator, then h is outer [13, Theorem 4.4.6]. Not like the situation in one variable, the converse is not true [13, Theorem 4.4.8]. If $[hK_0^\infty]_2 = K_0^2$, then h is called a \perp generator because $K_0^2 = (H^2)^\perp = L^2 \ominus H^2$. This means that h is a cyclic vector of multiplication operators $\{S_z^\ell S_w^m; (\ell, m) \in Z_+^2 \setminus \{0\}\}$. If h is a generator, then h is a \perp generator. However the converse is not true. In one variable, a \perp generator is a generator.

For a nonzero function h in H^2 , put $M_h = [hH^\infty]_2$ then M_h is an invariant subspace in H^2 . Set $M_h^\times = [\cup_{n=0}^\infty \bar{z}^n M_h]_2 \cap [\cup_{n=0}^\infty \bar{w}^n M_h]_2$, then M_h^\times is also an invariant subspace and $M_h \subset M_h^\times \subset H^2$. It may happen that $M_h^\times = M_h$, $M_h^\times = H^2$ or $M_h \subsetneq M_h^\times \subsetneq H^2$.

Set

$$\mathcal{M}(M_h) = \{\phi \in L^\infty ; \phi M \subset H^2\}.$$

In [11], M_h^x and $\mathcal{M}(M_h)$ are studied in some detail. For example, $\mathcal{M}(M_h) = \mathcal{M}(M_h^x)$ and $\mathcal{M}(M_h)$ can be described by using M_h^x . Moreover M_h^x has a simpler structure than M_h .

Proposition 3. Suppose h is a nonzero function in H^2 .

- (1) $[M_h + \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{z}^n w M_h]_2 \cap [M_h + \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{w}^n z M_h]_2 = H^2$ if and only if h is outer.
- (2) $M_h^x = H^2$ if and only if h is weakly outer.
- (3) If h is weakly outer, then h is a \perp generator.

Proof. (2) it is easy to see that $H_z^p = [\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{w}^n H^p]_p$ and $H_w^p = [\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{z}^n H^p]_p$. If h is weakly outer, by Lemma 2 $[hH_z^\infty]_2 = H_z^2$ and $[hH_w^\infty]_2 = H_w^2$. Hence $M_h^x = H^2$. Conversely if $M_h^x = H^2$, then $[hH_z^\infty]_2 \supseteq H^2$ and $[hH_w^\infty]_2 \supseteq H^2$ because $[hH_z^\infty]_2 \cap [hH_w^\infty]_2 = H^2$. Thus $[hH_z^\infty]_2 = H^2$ and $[hH_w^\infty]_2 = H^2$. By Lemma 2, h is weakly outer. (1) Put $B_z^p = [H^p + \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{z}^n w H^p]_p$ and $B_w^p = [H^p + \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{w}^n z H^p]_p$ then B_z^∞ and B_w^∞ are weak-*Dirichlet algebras in L^∞ . If h is outer, by [15] $[hB_z^\infty]_2 = B_z^2$ and $[hB_w^\infty]_2 = B_w^2$. Hence $[hB_z^\infty]_2 \cap [hB_w^\infty]_2 = H^2$. By the definitions of B_z^∞ and B_w^∞ , this implies the 'if' part. The converse can be proved as in the 'only if' part of (2). (3) Since $K_0^2 = wH_z^2 + zH_w^2$, $[hK_0^\infty]_2 = K_0^2$ because $[hH_z^\infty]_2 = H_z^2$ and $[hH_w^\infty]_2 = H_w^2$.

Theorem 4. Suppose h is a nonzero function in H^2 .

- (1) $\mathcal{M}(M_h) = H^\infty$ if and only if h has the property (A).
- (2) $\mathcal{M}(M_h) = H^\infty$ if and only if $[hK_0^\infty]_1 = K_0^1$. In particular, if h is a \perp generator, then $\mathcal{M}(M_h) = H^\infty$.
- (3) If h is z -outer and w -outer for some measurable set E with $m_z(E) > 0$, then $\mathcal{M}(M_h) = H^\infty$.

Proof. (1) Suppose $\mathcal{M}(M_h) = H^\infty$. If $|h| \geq |g|$ a.e. on T^2 and $g \in H^2$, then $\phi = h^{-1}g \in L^\infty$. Since $g = \phi h \in H^2$, by hypothesis ϕ belongs to H^∞ and hence $|h| \geq |g|$ on D^2 . Conversely suppose h has the property (A). If $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(M_h)$ is nonzero and $\|\phi\|_\infty \leq 1$, then $g = \phi h$ belongs to H^2 and so $|h| \geq |g|$ a.e. on T^2 . By the hypothesis on h , $|h| \geq |g|$ on D^2 . As the proof of the 'only if' part of Theorem 2, we can show that ϕ belongs to H^∞ .

(2) By [12, Theorem 7], $\mathcal{M}(M_h) = \bar{Q}_z H_z^\infty \cap \bar{Q}_w H_w^\infty$ and $h = Q_z g_z = Q_w g_w$ where Q_z and Q_w are unimodular in H_z^∞ and H_w^∞ , respectively, and $[g_z H_z^\infty]_2 = H_z^2$ and $[g_w H_w^\infty]_2 = H_w^2$. If $\mathcal{M}(M_h) = H^\infty$, then $Q_z(zH_z^1) + Q_w(wH_w^1)$ is dense in K_0^1 because $(H_z^\infty)^\perp \cap L^1 = zH_z^1$, $(H_w^\infty)^\perp \cap L^1 = wH_w^1$ and $(H^\infty)^\perp \cap L^1 = K_0^1$. Hence $h(zH_z^\infty) + h(wH_w^\infty)$ is dense in K_0^1 because $[g_z H_z^\infty]_1 = H_z^1$ and $[g_w H_w^\infty]_1 = H_w^1$. This implies that $[hK_0^\infty]_1 = K_0^1$ because $zH_z^\infty + wH_w^\infty$ is dense in K_0^∞ . The proof is reversible and hence if $[hK_0^\infty]_1 = K_0^1$ then $\mathcal{M}(M_h) = H^\infty$. (3) is a result of Theorem 1 and (1).

Suppose $h(z, w) = w - g(z)$ and $|g| \leq 1$ a.e. on T . By (3) of Theorem 4, if $E = \{z \in T ; |g(z)| = 1\}$ and $m_z(E) > 0$, then $\mathcal{M}(M_h) = H^\infty$. This is a result of K.Takahashi which was proved in the different method. In fact, he showed a nice,

necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathcal{M}(M_h) = H^\infty$, that is, $\int_T \log(1 - |g|) dm_z = -\infty$.

§5. Extreme points of a unit ball of H^1

In [17], it is noted that if h is an outer function in H^1 , then $h/\|h\|_1$ is an extreme point of a unit ball S of H^1 . In one variable, the converse is also true [3]. However K.Yabuta [16] showed that $\frac{\pi}{4}(z+w)$ is an extreme point of S . Of course, $z+w$ is not outer but $z+w$ is weakly outer. In general, M.Hasumi [6] showed that if h is weakly outer in H^1 , then $h/\|h\|_1$ is an extreme point of S . However the converse is not true by (3) of Theorem 5. (1) of Theorem 5 is due to M.Hasumi [5, Proposition 2]

Theorem 5. Suppose h is a function in S .

- (1) h is extreme point of S if and only if $hK_0^\infty + h\bar{K}_0^\infty + \mathbf{C}$ is dense in L^1 .
- (2) h is an extreme point of S if and only if h has the property (C).
- (3) If h is z -outer and w -outer for some measurable set E with $m_z(E) > 0$, then h is an extreme point of S .

(4) Suppose h is a z -outer function in H^1 . h is an extreme point of S if and only if the common inner divisor of $\{h_j(w)\}_{j=0}^\infty$ is constant where $h_j(w) = \int_T h(z, w) \bar{z}^j dm_z$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. (2) Suppose h is an extreme point of S . Suppose $|h| \geq |g|$ a.e. on T^2 , $g \in H^1$ and $h^{-1}g$ is a real function. Put $\phi = h^{-1}g$, then ϕ is a real function in L^∞ . If ϕ is not constant, then $\|\phi - a\|_\infty \neq 0$ for $a = \int \phi dm$. Put $\psi = (\phi - a)/\|\phi - a\|_\infty$, then ψ is a real function, $\psi h \in S$ and $\int \psi dm = 0$. This contradicts [5, Proposition 1] and hence h has the property (C). Conversely suppose h has the property (C). If h is not an extreme point of S , then by [5, Proposition 1] there exists a non-constant real function ψ in L^∞ such that $\psi h \in S$ and $\int \psi dm = 0$. Put $\phi = \psi/\|\psi\|_\infty$ and $g = \phi h$, then $g \in H^1$ and $|h| \geq |g|$ a.e. on T^2 . This contradicts the property (C) of h . Thus h is an extreme point of S . (3) By Theorem 1, h has the property (A) and so (C). Hence (2) implies that h is an extreme point of S . (4) is a result of Theorem 2 and (2).

§6. Weights of Szegő's theorem

For each nonnegative function $W \in L^1$, put

$$S(W) = \inf_{g \in \mathcal{H}_0} \int_{T^2} |1 - g|^2 W dm.$$

In one variable, G.Szegő [15] showed that

$$S(W) = \exp \int \log W dm.$$

In two variables, the author [10] studied and showed that the quantity is a mixed one of an arithmetic mean and a geometric one of W in some special cases. If $W^{-1} \in L^1$, then

$$S(W^{-1})^{-1} \leq \exp \int \log W dm \leq S(W).$$

For arbitrary $W \in L^1$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S((W + \varepsilon)^{-1})^{-1} \leq \exp \int \log W dm \leq S(W).$$

If $W^{-1} \in L^1$, it is easy to see that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S((W + \varepsilon)^{-1})^{-1} = S(W^{-1})^{-1}$ (This was pointed out by Professor K.Takahashi). In general, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S((W + \varepsilon)^{-1})^{-1} \neq S(W^{-1})^{-1}$ may happen. In this section, we show that the difference is that of outer functions and generators. In one variable,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S((W + \varepsilon)^{-1})^{-1} = \exp \int \log W dm = S(W).$$

Theorem 6. Suppose h is a nonzero function in H^2 .

(1) $h = qg$ for some inner function q and some generator g if and only if

$$S(|h|^2) = \exp \int \log |h|^2 dm.$$

(2) $h = qg$ for some inner function q and some outer function g if and only if

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S((|h|^2 + \varepsilon)^{-1})^{-1} = \exp \int \log |h|^2 dm.$$

Proof. (1) was shown in [10]. (2) For $W \in L^1$, put

$$S^\perp(W) = \inf_{g \in \mathcal{K}_0} \int |1 - g|^2 W dm.$$

The author [9] showed that $S(W^{-1})^{-1} = S^\perp(W)$ when $W \in L^\infty$ and $W^{-1} \in L^1$. R.Cheng [2] showed this for arbitrary $W \in L^1$ with $W^{-1} \in L^1$. Hence for arbitrary $W \in L^1$ $S((W + \varepsilon)^{-1})^{-1} = S^\perp(W + \varepsilon)$ if $\varepsilon > 0$. If $h = qg$ for some inner q and some outer g , then $S^\perp(|h|^2) = S^\perp(|g|^2) = \int |g dm|^2$ because g is a \perp generator by (3) of Proposition 3. By definition of g , $\int |g dm|^2 = \exp \int \log |h|^2 dm$ and hence $S^\perp(|h|^2) = \exp \int \log |h|^2 dm$. By what was just proved above,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S((|h|^2 + \varepsilon)^{-1})^{-1} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S^\perp(|h|^2 + \varepsilon) = \exp \int \log |h|^2 dm$$

because

$$\exp \int \log |h|^2 dm = S^\perp(|h|^2) \leq S^\perp(|h|^2 + \varepsilon) \leq \exp \int \log(|h|^2 + \varepsilon) dm.$$

Conversely if $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S((|h|^2 + \varepsilon)^{-1})^{-1} = \exp \int \log |h|^2 dm$, then $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S^\perp(|h|^2 + \varepsilon) = \exp \int \log |h|^2 dm$. For any $g \in \mathcal{K}_0$, $S^\perp(|h|^2 + \varepsilon) \leq \int |1 - g|^2 (|h|^2 + \varepsilon) dm$ and so $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S^\perp(|h|^2 + \varepsilon) \leq \int |1 - g|^2 |h|^2 dm$. Hence $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S^\perp(|h|^2 + \varepsilon) = S^\perp(|h|^2)$ and so $S^\perp(|h|^2) = \exp \int \log |h|^2 dm$. Now we will show that $|h| = |g|$ for some outer function g in H^2 . For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in Z^2$ put $|\alpha|_r = \alpha_1 - r\alpha_2$ where r is a real number. For $1 < p < \infty$, \mathcal{L}_r^p denotes the space of all $f \in L^p$ whose Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}(\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha \in Z^2$ with $|\alpha|_r \neq 0$. If r is irrational then \mathcal{L}_r^p is trivial but if r is rational then \mathcal{L}_r^p is non-trivial. \mathcal{L}_r^∞ is a commutative von Neuman algebra. Let \mathcal{E}^r denote the conditional expectation from L^∞ to \mathcal{L}_r^∞ . Let $H_r^\infty = \{f \in L^\infty : \hat{f}(\alpha) = 0 \text{ if } \alpha \in Z^2 \text{ and } |\alpha|_r < 0\}$, then $H_r^\infty \cap \bar{H}_r^\infty = \mathcal{L}_r^\infty$, \mathcal{E}^r is multiplicative on H_r^∞ and $H_r^\infty + \bar{H}_r^\infty$ is weak-*dense in L^∞ . That is, H_r^∞ is an extended weak-*Dirichlet algebra with respect to \mathcal{E}^r [8]. By [10, Lemma 1 and (3) of Proposition 1], for any r with $-\infty < r < 0$

$$\exp \int \log |h|^2 dm \geq \left\{ \int \exp \mathcal{E}^r(-\log |h|^2) dm \right\}^{-1} \geq S^\perp(|h|^2).$$

This implies that $\exp - \int \log |h|^2 dm = \int \exp \mathcal{E}^r(-\log |h|^2) dm$ and hence

$\mathcal{E}^r(\log |h|^2) = \int \log |h|^2 dm$ for any r with $-\infty < r < 0$. Thus $(\log |h|^2)^\wedge(\alpha) = 0$ if $|\alpha|_r = 0$ and $-\infty < r < 0$. By [13, p73 and p77] there exists an outer function $g \in H^2$ such that $|h|^2 = |g|^2$ (see [10, Lemma 4]).

References

1. K.Barbey and H.König, Abstract analytic function theory and Hardy algebras, LNM 593, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1977.
2. R.Cheng, Weakly and strongly outer functions on the bidisc, Michigan Math. J. 39(1992), 99-109.
3. K.de Leeuw and W.Rudin, Extreme points and extremum problems in H^1 , Pacific. J. Math. 8(1958), 467-485.

4. R.G.Douglas and K.Yan, On the rigidity of Hardy submodules, *Integral \mathcal{E}_g . Operator Th.* 13(1990), 350-363.
5. M.Hasumi, A remark on extreme points in H^1 on polydiscs, *Bull. Fac. Sci., Ibaraki Univ. Series A. Math.* 3(1971), 25-28.
6. M.Hasumi, Extreme points and unicity of extremun problems in H^1 on polydiscs, *Pacific J. Math.* 44(1973), 523-539.
7. K.Izuchi, Unitary equivalence of invariant subspaces in the polydisk, *Pacific J. Math.* 130(1987), 351-358.
8. K.Izuchi and Y.Matsugu, Outer functions and invariant subspaces on the torus, to appear in *Acta Sci. Math.*
9. T.Nakazi, Extended weak-*Dirichlet algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* 81(1979), 493-513.
10. T.Nakazi, Two problems in prediction theory, *Studia Math.* 78(1984), 7-14.
11. T.Nakazi, Szegő's theorem on a bidisc, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 328(1991), 421-432.
12. T.Nakazi, Multipliers of invariant subspaces in the bidisc, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 37(1994), 193-199.
13. W.Rudin, Proof of a conjecture of Helson, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 17(1968), 727-729.
14. W.Rudin, *Function Theory In Polydiscs*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
15. T.P.Srinivasan and J.K.Wang, Weak-*Dirichlet algebras, *Proc. Internat. Sympos. on Function Algebras (Tulane Univ. 1965)*, Scott-Foresman, Chicago, III., 1966, 216-249.
16. G.Szegő, Beiträge zur Theorie der Toeplitzschen Formen (Erste Mitteilung), *Math. Z.* 6(1920), 167-202.
17. K.Yabuta, Extreme points and outer functions in $H^1(U^n)$, *Tohoku Math. J.* 22(1970), 320-324.