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1. Introduction

Bounding the geometric genus of subvarieties of generic hypersurface started from the following result by H. Clemens [3, Theorem 1.1].

**Theorem 1.1** (H. Clemens). Let $X$ be a generic hypersurface of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Let $C$ be a nonsingular projective curve, and $C \to X$ be a morphism of degree $e$. If $d \geq 2n - 1$, then the genus satisfies $g(C) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot e \cdot (d - 2n + 1) + 1$.

This is generalized by L. Ein [7, Theorem 2.1] to the following form.

**Theorem 1.2** (L. Ein). Let $P$ be a nonsingular projective variety of dimension $n$, $L$ be an ample invertible sheaf which is generated by global sections, and $X \in |L^d|$ be a generic hypersurface. Let $Y$ be a nonsingular complete variety of dimension $l$, and $Y \to X$ be a morphism which is birational to the image.

1. If $d \geq 2n - l$, then the geometric genus $p_g(Y)$ is positive.
2. If $d \geq 2n - l + 1$, then $Y$ is of general type.

The purpose of this paper is to improve the bound in the case when $P$ is a nonsingular projective toric variety. The theorem of L. Ein is stated when a morphism to a projective space is fixed, but we want to state the result independent from an embedding to a projective space. More clearly, we describe the bound in the Picard group using the intersection numbers with invariant curves on the toric variety. Theorem 3.5 is a generalization of Theorem 1.1, and Theorem 3.7 is a generalization of Theorem 1.2. Of course, our bound is not sharp. In the case when $P$ is a projective space, there is a better result by C. Voisin [16, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 1.3** (C. Voisin). Let $X$ be a generic hypersurface of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Let $Y$ be a nonsingular complete variety of dimension $l \leq n - 3$, and $Y \to X$ be a morphism which is birational to the image.

1. If $d \geq 2n - l - 1$, then the geometric genus $p_g(Y)$ is positive.
2. If $d \geq 2n - l$, then $Y$ is of general type.

In the same way as this case, we need another study to approach the sharp bound, but it remains in our case.

By the similar arguments, C. Voisin gives a following results concerning about zero cycles on a generic hypersurface in a projective space [15, Theorem 1.11].

**Theorem 1.4** (C. Voisin). Let $X$ be a generic hypersurface of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$. If $d \geq 2n + 1$, then any two distinct closed points of $X$ are not rationally equivalent.

In Theorem 3.8, we generalize this theorem to the case of toric hypersurfaces, describing the bound in the Picard group by the intersection numbers with invariant curves.
In order to work without fixing an embedding to a projective space, we want to treat a projective toric variety like a projective space. Since a projective toric variety $P$ has similar characters to a projective space, it is appropriate to consider as an ambient space. Based on these study, our results are proved by the same way as the case of a projective space. But, in the toric case, we need another argument about the surjectivity of the multiplications of linear systems on $P$. Although it is clear in projective space case, it is not found a fine condition for the surjectivity in the case of a nonsingular projective toric variety. In Theorem 2.5, we give the condition, when the toric variety is a toric projective space bundle over a projective toric variety on which the condition is known. So our results about hypersurfaces needs certain assumption *-2.2 on the toric variety. Without assuming *-2.2, we can state the results by modifying the bounds, but we omit these, because it is not found a nonsingular projective toric variety which does not satisfy the condition *-2.2 yet.

As an application of the surjectivity of the multiplications of linear systems on $P$, in Theorem 3.2, we treat the infinitesimal Torelli problem on a nonsingular hypersurface in $P$.

2. Multiplications of linear systems on a nonsingular projective toric variety

We consider the following conditions on a nonsingular projective toric variety $P$ of dimension $n$ over a field $k$.

*- 2.1. For any invertible sheaves $L_1$ and $L_2$ which are generated by global sections, the multiplication map

$$H^0(P, L_1) \otimes H^0(P, L_2) \rightarrow H^0(P, L_1 \otimes L_2)$$

is surjective.

*- 2.2. For any $L_1$ generated by global sections and for any ample $L_2$, the multiplication map

$$H^0(P, L_1) \otimes H^0(P, L_2) \rightarrow H^0(P, L_1 \otimes L_2)$$

is surjective.

It is well-known that a projective space $P^n$ satisfies the condition *-2.1. There is a nonsingular projective toric variety which does not satisfy the condition *-2.1. Recently, in [8, Theorem 1], N. Fakhruddin shows that any nonsingular projective toric surface satisfies the condition *-2.2. It is not known that there exists a nonsingular projective toric variety which does not satisfy the condition *-2.2. This problem have been proposed in [14].

We set the following subsets of the Néron-Severi group $\text{NS}(P)$:

$$A^{(l)}(P) = \{ \eta \in \text{NS}(P) \mid (\eta \cdot V(\tau)) \geq l \text{ for } \tau \in \Sigma(n-1) \},$$

$$\text{Nef}(P) = A^{(0)}(P),$$

$$\text{Ample}(P) = A^{(1)}(P),$$

where $\Sigma$ is a fan defining $P$, and $V(\tau)$ is the closure of the torus-orbit corresponding to a $(n-1)$-dimensional cone $\tau \in \Sigma$. 
Remark 2.3. On a complete toric variety, an invertible sheaf is generated by global sections if and only if it is nef [11, Theorem 1.6]. If $P$ is nonsingular projective toric variety, then an ample invertible sheaf is very ample [13, Corollary 2.15], and it is characterized by the toric Nakai criterion [13, Theorem 2.18]. We note that the Picard group $\text{Pic}(P)$ is isomorphic to the Néron-Severi group $\text{NS}(P)$, which is a finitely generated free abelian group.

For a pair of nonnegative integers $(l_1, l_2)$, we consider a condition

\[ *2.4. \text{For any } L_1 \in A^{(l_1)}(P) \text{ and for any } L_2 \in A^{(l_2)}(P), \text{the multiplication map} \]

\[ H^0(P, L_1) \otimes H^0(P, L_2) \longrightarrow H^0(P, L_1 \otimes L_2) \]

is surjective.

The condition $*2.4$ for $(0,0)$ is the condition $*2.1$, and the condition $*2.4$ for $(0,1)$ is the condition $*2.2$. We prove the following statement.

Theorem 2.5. Let $P$ be a toric projective space bundle over a nonsingular projective toric variety $P'$. If $P'$ satisfies the condition $*2.4$ for $(l_1, l_2)$, then $P$ satisfies the condition $*2.4$ for $(l_1, l_2)$.

Corollary 2.6. Let $P$ be a toric variety defined by a splitting fan. Then $P$ satisfies the condition $*2.1$. In particular, any nonsingular projective toric variety with Picard number $2$ satisfies the condition $*2.1$.

Corollary 2.7. Let $P$ be a toric variety produced from a nonsingular projective toric surface by a sequence of toric projective space bundles. Then $P$ satisfies the condition $*2.2$.

Remark 2.8. A toric variety is defined by a splitting fan if and only if it is produced from a projective space by a sequence of toric projective space bundles [1, Section 4]. A nonsingular projective toric variety with Picard number $2$ is a toric projective space bundle over a projective space [10, Theorem 1].

Let $P'$ be a nonsingular projective toric variety defined by a fan $(N', \Sigma')$, and let $\{D'_1, \ldots, D'_s\}$ be the set of all torus-invariant prime divisors on $P'$. Let $E = \mathcal{O}_{P'}(E'_0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{P'}(E'_r)$ be a locally free sheaf defined by torus-invariant divisors $E'_0, \ldots, E'_r$ on $P'$. The projective space bundle $P = P(E) \to P'$ is an equivariant morphism of toric varieties [13, Section 1.7]. If we denote $D_j = \pi^{-1}(D'_j)$ and $E_i = P(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P'}(E'_i))$, then the set of all torus-invariant prime divisors on $P$ is $\{D_1, \ldots, D_s, E_0, \ldots, E_r\}$.

Let $\xi$ be the class of $\mathcal{O}_{P(E)}(1)$ in the Néron-Severi group $\text{NS}(P)$. By the injection $\text{NS}(P') \to \text{NS}(P)$, we have $\text{NS}(P) \cong \text{NS}(P') \oplus \mathbb{Z} : \xi$. The class of $D_j$ in $\text{NS}(P)$ is $[D_j] = \pi^*[D'_j]$, and the class of $E_i$ is $[E_i] = -\pi^*[E'_i] + \xi$.

Lemma 2.9. For $\eta' \in \text{NS}(P')$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set $\eta = \pi^*\eta' + b\xi \in \text{NS}(P)$. Then $\eta$ is in $A^{(i)}(P)$ if and only if $b \geq l$ and $\eta' + b[E'_i]$ is in $A^{(i)}(P')$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$.

Proof. Let $(N, \Sigma)$ be a fan which defines $P$, and let $\rho_j \in \Sigma(1)$ be the 1-dimensional cone corresponding to $D_j$, and let $\tau_i \in \Sigma(1)$ be corresponding to $E_i$. If $\sigma$ is a $(n-1)$-dimensional cone in $\Sigma$, then $\sigma = \rho_{j_1} + \cdots + \rho_{j_{n-r-1}} + \tau_{i_1} + \cdots + \tau_{i_r}$ or $\sigma = \rho_{j_1} + \cdots + \rho_{j_{n-r}} + \tau_{i_1} + \cdots + \tau_{i_{r-1}}$. In the case when $\sigma = \rho_{j_1} + \cdots + \rho_{j_{n-r}} + \tau_{i_1} + \cdots + \tau_{i_r}$, $\sigma' = \rho'_{j_1} + \cdots + \rho'_{j_{n-r}}$ is $(n-r-1)$-dimensional cone in $\Sigma'$, where $\rho'_j$ is the 1-dimensional cone corresponding to $D'_j$. Let $V(\sigma)$ be the closure of the torus-orbit corresponding to $\sigma$. The intersection number $(\eta, V(\sigma))$ is
equal to \((\eta' + b[E'_0], V(\sigma'))\), where \(i \in [0, r]\) is the only integer different from \(i_1, \cdots, i_r\). In the case when \(\sigma = r_j + \cdots + r_{j-r}, \tau_i + \cdots + \tau_{j-r-1}\), the intersection number \((\eta, V(\sigma))\) is equal to \(b\). Then the Lemma is checked by definition.

**Proof of Theorem 2.5.** We use the homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety introduced in [4, Section 1]. The homogeneous coordinate ring is a k-algebra graded by the Néron-Severi group. Let \(S' = k[z'_1, \ldots, z'_r]\) be the homogeneous coordinate ring of \(P'\), where the variable \(z'_j\) has a degree \([D'_j]\) in \(\text{NS}(P')\), and let \(S = k[z_1, \ldots, z_s, y_0, \ldots, y_r]\) be the homogeneous coordinate ring of \(P\) with \(\deg(z_j) = [D_j]\) and \(\deg(y_i) = [E_i]\). By [4, Proposition 1.1], \(H^0(P, \mathcal{L}_h)\) is identified with the degree \([\mathcal{L}_h]\)-part \(S_{[\mathcal{L}_h]}\) of \(S\), and the multiplication

\[H^0(P, \mathcal{L}_1) \otimes H^0(P, \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow H^0(P, \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2)\]

is identified with the multiplication of the polynomial ring \(S\)

\[S_{[\mathcal{L}_1]} \otimes S_{[\mathcal{L}_2]} \rightarrow S_{[\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2]}\]

For \(h = 1, 2\), let \(\mathcal{L}'_h\) be an invertible sheaf on \(P'\) and \(a_h\) be an integer with \([\mathcal{L}_h] = \pi^*[\mathcal{L}'_h] + a_h \xi\). By Lemma 2.9, we have \(a_1 \geq l_1\) and \(a_2 \geq l_2\), and \([\mathcal{L}'_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P'}(a_1 E'_1)] \in A^{(l_1)}(P')\) and \([\mathcal{L}'_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P'}(a_2 E'_2)] \in A^{(l_2)}(P')\) for \(0 \leq i \leq r\).

Let \(z'^{1}_1 \cdots z'^{s}_s, y'^{0}_0 \cdots y'^{r}_r\) be a monomial in \(S_{[\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2]}\). Then we have

\[\sum_{j=1}^{s} d_j[D'_j] - \sum_{i=0}^{r} e_i[E'_i] = [\mathcal{L}'_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}'_2]\]

and \(\sum_{i=0}^{r} e_i = a_1 + a_2\). We can find nonnegative integers \(e_{i,1}\) and \(e_{i,2}\) which satisfy that \(\sum_{i=0}^{r} e_{i,1} = a_1, \sum_{i=0}^{r} e_{i,2} = a_2\) and \(e_i = e_{i,1} + e_{i,2}\).

Since \([\mathcal{L}'_h \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P'}(a_h E'_i)]\) is in \(A^{(l_h)}(P')\) for \(0 \leq i \leq r\),

\[\sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{e_{i,h}}{a_h} ([\mathcal{L}'_h] + a_h [E'_i], V(\tau)) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{e_{i,h}}{a_h} \cdot l_h = l_h\]

for any \(\tau \in \Sigma(\text{dim}(P') - 1)\), hence

\([\mathcal{L}'_h \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P'}(\sum_{i=0}^{r} e_{i,h} E_i)] = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{e_{i,h}}{a_h} ([\mathcal{L}'_h] + a_h [E'_i])\]

is in \(A^{(l_h)}(P')\). (If \(a_h = 0\), then \([\mathcal{L}'_h] \in A^{(l_h)}(P')\).) By the assumption \(+2.4\) for \(P'\), the multiplication

\[S'_{[\mathcal{L}'_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P'}(\sum_{i=0}^{r} e_{i,1} E'_i)]} \otimes S'_{[\mathcal{L}'_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P'}(\sum_{i=0}^{r} e_{i,2} E'_i)]} \rightarrow S'_{[\mathcal{L}'_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}'_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P'}(\sum_{i=0}^{r} e_{i,h} E'_i)]}\]

is surjective, and \(z'^{d_1}_1 \cdots z'^{d_s}_s\) is contained in \(S'_{[\mathcal{L}'_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}'_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P'}(\sum_{i=0}^{r} e_{i,h} E'_i)]}\). So there is a monomial \(z'^{d_1}_1 \cdots z'^{d_s}_s \in S_{[\mathcal{L}'_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}'_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P'}(\sum_{i=0}^{r} e_{i,h} E'_i)]}\) for \(h = 1, 2\), such that

\[(z'^{d_1}_1 \cdots z'^{d_s}_s) \cdot (z'^{d_1}_1 \cdots z'^{d_s}_s) = z'^{d_1}_1 \cdots z'^{d_s}_s .\]

The surjectivity of

\[S_{[\mathcal{L}_1]} \otimes S_{[\mathcal{L}_2]} \rightarrow S_{[\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2]}\]

is proved, because the monomial \(z'^{d_1}_1 \cdots z'^{d_s}_s y'^{e_0}_0 \cdots y'^{e_r}_r\) is contained in \(S_{[\mathcal{L}_h]}\), and

\[(z^{d_1}_1 \cdots z^{d_s}_s y^{e_0}_0 \cdots y^{e_r}_r) \cdot (z^{d_1}_1 \cdots z^{d_s}_s y^{e_0}_0 \cdots y^{e_r}_r) = z^{d_1}_1 \cdots z^{d_s}_s y^{e_0}_0 \cdots y^{e_r}_r .\]
Proposition 2.10. We assume that \(P\) satisfies the condition \(*-2.4\) for \((l_1, l_2)\). For \(L_1 \in A^{(l_1)}(P)\) and \(L_2 \in A^{(l_2+1)}(P)\) and for \(\sigma \in \Sigma\) the multiplication map
\[
H^0(P, L_1) \otimes H^0(P, L_2 \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z) \longrightarrow H^0(P, L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z)
\]
is surjective, where \(Z = V(\sigma)\) is the closure of the torus-orbit corresponding to \(\sigma\), and \(\mathcal{I}_Z\) is the ideal sheaf of \(Z\) in \(P\).

Proof. Let \(F_1\) be the locally free \(\mathcal{O}_P\)-module defined by the exact sequence
\[
0 \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow H^0(P, L_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_P \longrightarrow L_1 \longrightarrow 0.
\]
We want to show \(H^1(P, F_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z) = 0\).

Let \(S = k[z_1, \ldots, z_s]\) be the homogeneous coordinate ring of \(P\). We may assume that \(\sigma\) is generated by the cones corresponding to \(z_1, \ldots, z_l\). Let \(I_Z\) be the homogeneous ideal generated by \(z_1, \ldots, z_l\). Then \(I_Z\) is the coherent \(\mathcal{O}_P\)-module associated to \(I_Z\). Since \(I_Z\) has the Koszul resolution
\[
0 \longrightarrow S([-D_1 - \cdots - D_l]) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq l} S([-D_{j_1} - D_{j_2}]) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq l} S([-D_j]) \longrightarrow I_Z \longrightarrow 0,
\]
we have a resolution of \(I_Z\)
\[
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_P(-D_1 - \cdots - D_l) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq l} \mathcal{O}_P(-D_{j_1} - D_{j_2}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq l} \mathcal{O}_P(-D_j) \longrightarrow I_Z \longrightarrow 0,
\]
by [4, Proposition 3.1]. The vanishing of \(H^1(P, F_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z)\) is shown by the next Lemma.

Lemma 2.11. We assume that \(P\) satisfies the condition \(*-2.4\) for \((l_1, l_2)\), and let \(F_1\) and \(L_2\) be as above. If \(q \geq 2\) or \(q = 1, 0 \leq p \leq 1\), then
\[
H^0(P, F_1 \otimes L_2(-D_{j_1} - \cdots - D_{j_p})) = 0,
\]
for \(1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_p \leq s\).

Proof. First, we consider the case \(q = 1, p = 1\). Since \(L_2(-D_j)\) is in \(A^{(l_2)}(P)\) by [12, Proposition 4.3], we have \(H^1(P, L_2(-D_j)) = 0\). By the assumption \(*-2.4\) for \((l_1, l_2)\), the multiplication
\[
H^0(P, L_1) \otimes H^0(P, L_2(-D_j)) \longrightarrow H^0(P, L_1 \otimes L_2(-D_j))
\]
is surjective. So \(H^1(P, F_1 \otimes L_2(-D_j)) = 0\). In the case \(q = 1, p = 0\), the vanishing of \(H^1(P, F_1 \otimes L_2)\) is proved by the same way.

We assume \(q \geq 2\). By the exact sequence
\[
0 \longrightarrow F_1 \otimes L_2(-D_{j_1} - \cdots - D_{j_p}) \longrightarrow H^0(P, L_1) \otimes L_2(-D_{j_1} - \cdots - D_{j_p})
\]
\[
\longrightarrow L_1 \otimes L_2(-D_{j_1} - \cdots - D_{j_p}) \longrightarrow 0,
\]
\(H^0(F_1 \otimes L_2(-D_{j_1} - \cdots - D_{j_p})) = 0\) is proved by the vanishing theorem of Mustață [12, Corollary 2.5].
Theorem 2.12. Let $P$ be a nonsingular projective toric variety satisfying the condition *-2.4 for $(l_1, l_2)$. For $L_1 \in A^{(l_1)}(P)$ and $L_2 \in A^{(l_2+1)}(P)$ and for $p \in P(k)$, the multiplication map

$$H^0(P, L_1) \otimes H^0(P, L_2 \otimes I_p) \longrightarrow H^0(P, L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes I_p)$$

is surjective.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}_\Delta \subset O_{P \times P}$ be the ideal sheaf of the diagonal subvariety $P = \Delta \to P \times P$, and let $P_p = P$ be the fiber of the first projection $P \times P \xrightarrow{\pi_1} P$ at $p$. Since the restriction of $O_{P \times P} \to O_\Delta$ to the fiber $P_p$ is identified with $O_P \to k = O_p$, we have a surjection $\mathcal{I}_\Delta|_{P_p} \to I_p$, whose kernel is supported on $p$. So the map

$$H^1(P, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes \mathcal{I}_\Delta|_{P_p}) \longrightarrow H^1(P, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes I_p)$$

is an isomorphism, where $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes \mathcal{I}_\Delta|_{P_p}$ is isomorphic to the restriction of $\pi_2^*(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes \mathcal{I}_\Delta$ to the fiber $P_p$. Since $\pi_2^*(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes \mathcal{I}_\Delta$ is flat over $P$, by the upper semicontinuity,

$$\{p \in P(k) \mid H^1(P, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes I_p) \neq 0\}$$

is a closed subset, and it is torus-invariant. If it is not empty, then it contains a torus-invariant point. But it contradicts Proposition 2.10, so $H^1(P, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes I_p)$ is vanish for any $p \in P(k)$. \hfill \Box

3. Ample hypersurfaces on nonsingular projective toric varieties

Let $P$ be a nonsingular projective toric variety of dimension $n$ over an algebraically closed field $k$, and let $\{D_1, \cdots, D_s\}$ be the set of all torus-invariant prime divisors on $P$. For an ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$, let

$$X_\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{L} = \text{Proj}(\text{Sym}_k H^0(P, \mathcal{L})^*)$$

be the universal family of hypersurfaces in $|\mathcal{L}|$.

Remark 3.1. Let $K$ be a field over $k$, and $f : \text{Spec}(K) \to \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{L}$ be a $k$-morphism. The fiber of $X_\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{L}$ at $f$ is denoted by $X_f$. Then $X_f$ is a hypersurface in $P_K = P \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(K)$. Since $P_K$ satisfies the same condition *-2.4 as $P$, we don’t note the definition field of $P$.

First, we prove the infinitesimal Torelli problem under some assumption.

Theorem 3.2. Assume the condition *-2.4 for $P$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample invertible sheaf on $P$, and let $X_f$ be a nonsingular fiber of $X_\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{L}$. If $\mathcal{L} \in -K_P + \text{Ample}(P)$, then the infinitesimal period map

$$H^1(X_f, T_{X_f}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{p=1}^{n-1} \text{Hom}(H^{n-p-1}(X_f, \Omega^p_{X_f}), H^{n-p}(X_f, \Omega^{n-p-1}_{X_f}))$$

is injective.

Proof. We use the Jacobian ring of $X_f$ introduced in [2, Section 10]. By the duality, we prove that the map

$$H^{n-p-1}(X_f, \Omega^p_{X_f}) \otimes H^{p-1}(X_f, \Omega^{n-p}_{X_f}) \longrightarrow H^{n-2}(X_f, \Omega^1_{X_f} \otimes \Omega^{n-1}_{X_f})$$

is surjective for some $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$. 

When $1 \leq p \leq n - 2$, in the long exact sequence
\[ 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{P}^{p+1}((\log X_f)) \longrightarrow \Omega_{P}^{p+1}(X_f) \longrightarrow \Omega_{P}^{p+2}|X_f \otimes N_{X_f/P}^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow \Omega_{P}^{p+3}|X_f \otimes N_{X_f/P}^{\otimes 3} \longrightarrow \cdots \]
\[ \cdots \longrightarrow \Omega_{P}^{n-1}|X_f \otimes N_{X_f/P}^{\otimes n-1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{P}^{n}|X_f \otimes N_{X_f/P}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow 0, \]
the cohomology $H^j(P, \Omega_{P}^{p+1}(X_f))$ and $H^j(X_f, \Omega_{P}^{p+1}|X_f \otimes N_{X_f/P}^{\otimes i})$ is vanish for $i \geq 2$ and $j \geq 1$, because of the vanishing theorem of Bott-Steenbrink-Danilov [2, Theorem 7.1] and the exact sequence
\[ 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{P}^{p+1}|((i-1)X_f) \longrightarrow \Omega_{P}^{p+1}(iX_f) \longrightarrow \Omega_{P}^{p+1}|X_f \otimes N_{X_f/P}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow 0. \]
So there is a surjection
\[ H^0(X_f, \Omega_{P}^{p+1}|X_f \otimes N_{X_f/P}^{\otimes n-p}) \longrightarrow H^{n-p-1}((P, \Omega_{P}^{p+1}(\log X_f))). \]
By the residue map
\[ H^0(P, \Omega_{P}^{n}|(n-p)X_f) \longrightarrow H^{n-p-1}(X_f, \Omega_{X_f}^{p}), \]
we have a natural map
\[ H^0(P, \Omega_{P}^{p}(nX_f)) \longrightarrow H^{p-1}(X_f, \Omega_{X_f}^{p}), \]
where the image of the map is called primitive cohomology in [2, Section 10]. In the case when $p = n - 1$, this is an isomorphism. By the same way, we have a map
\[ H^0(P, \Omega_{P}^{p}(nX_f)) \longrightarrow H^{p-1}(X_f, \Omega_{X_f}^{n}). \]
Since we assume $\Omega_{P}^{p} \otimes L$ is ample, in the long exact sequence
\[ 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{P}^{p}(\log X_f) \otimes \Omega_{P}^{0}(X_f) \longrightarrow \Omega_{P}^{0}(X_f) \otimes \Omega_{P}^{p}(X_f) \longrightarrow \Omega_{P}^{1}(X_f) \otimes N_{X_f/P}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \Omega_{X_f}^{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \]
\[ \cdots \longrightarrow \Omega_{P}^{n-1}|X_f \otimes N_{X_f/P}^{\otimes n-1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{P}^{n}|X_f \otimes N_{X_f/P}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow 0, \]
the cohomology $H^j(P, \Omega_{P}^{0}(X_f) \otimes \Omega_{P}^{p}(X_f))$ and $H^j(X_f, \Omega_{P}^{1}|X_f \otimes N_{X_f/P}^{\otimes i} \otimes \Omega_{X_f}^{n-1})$ is vanish for $i \geq 2$ and $j \geq 1$, so there is a surjection
\[ H^0(X_f, \Omega_{P}^{0}|X_f \otimes N_{X_f/P}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \Omega_{X_f}^{n-1}) \longrightarrow H^{n-2}((P, \Omega_{P}^{0}(\log X_f) \otimes \Omega_{P}^{0}(X_f))). \]
By the surjectivity of the residue map
\[ H^{n-2}((P, \Omega_{P}^{0}(\log X_f) \otimes \Omega_{P}^{0}(X_f)) \longrightarrow H^{n-2}(X_f, \Omega_{X_f}^{1} \otimes \Omega_{X_f}^{n-1}), \]
we have a natural surjection
\[ H^0(P, \Omega_{P}^{0} \otimes \Omega_{P}^{n}(nX_f)) \longrightarrow H^{n-2}(X_f, \Omega_{X_f}^{1} \otimes \Omega_{X_f}^{n-1}). \]
Since we assume $\ast 2, 2$, in the commutative diagram
\[ H^0(P, \Omega_{P}^{0} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n-p}) \otimes H^0(P, \Omega_{P}^{0} \otimes \mathcal{L}^p) \longrightarrow H^0(P, \Omega_{P}^{0} \otimes \Omega_{P}^{0} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) \]
\[ H^{n-p-1}(X_f, \Omega_{X_f}^{p+1}) \otimes H^{p-1}(X_f, \Omega_{X_f}^{p}) \longrightarrow H^{n-2}(X_f, \Omega_{X_f}^{1} \otimes \Omega_{X_f}^{n-1}), \]the top horizontal arrow is surjective, hence the bottom horizontal arrow is surjective. □
The next is a key proposition for our main results, and it is corresponding to [15, Proposition 1.1] in the case of a projective space. To state results, we use the following subset of $\text{NS}(\mathcal{P})$,

$$B(\mathcal{P}) = \{ \eta \in \text{NS}(\mathcal{P}) : \eta \pm [D_i] \in \text{Nef}(\mathcal{P}) \ (1 \leq i \leq s) \}.$$ 

**Proposition 3.3.** Assume the condition $\ast.2.2$ for $\mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{P}$, and let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a base change of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}$ by any étale morphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}$. If $X_f$ is a nonsingular fiber of $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, then $T_{\mathcal{X}|X_f} \otimes N_0|X_f$ is generated by global sections, for any $[L_0] \in B(\mathcal{P})$.

**Proof.** Let $\mathcal{G}$ be defined by

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow H^0(X_f, \mathcal{L}|X_f) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}|X_f \rightarrow 0.$$ 

Since $T_{\mathcal{M},f} \simeq H^0(X_f, \mathcal{L}|X_f)$ and $N_{X_f/P} \simeq \mathcal{L}|X_f$, the sequence is

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{M},f} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow N_{X_f/P} \rightarrow 0.$$ 

By the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rightarrow & T_X \rightarrow T_{X|X_f} \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{M},f} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0 \\
\| & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & T_X \rightarrow T_P|X_f \rightarrow N_{X_f/P} \rightarrow 0,
\end{array}$$

we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow T_X|X_f \rightarrow T_P|X_f \rightarrow 0.$$ 

The global generation of $T_{\mathcal{X}|X_f} \otimes N_0|X_f$ is proved by the followings.

(i) $H^1(X_f, \mathcal{G} \otimes N_0|X_f) \rightarrow H^1(X_f, T_{\mathcal{X}|X_f} \otimes N_0|X_f)$ is injective.

$(H^0(X_f, T_{\mathcal{X}|X_f} \otimes N_0|X_f)) \rightarrow H^0(X_f, T_P|X_f \otimes N_0|X_f)$ is surjective.)

(ii) $\mathcal{G} \otimes N_0|X_f$ is generated by global sections.

(iii) $T_P|X_f \otimes N_0|X_f$ is generated by global sections.

In the commutative diagram

$$H^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_0) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}_0)$$

$$\downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow$$

$$T_{\mathcal{M},f} \otimes H^0(X_f, \mathcal{L}_0|X_f) \rightarrow H^0(X_f, N_{X_f/P} \otimes \mathcal{L}_0|X_f),$$

by the assumption $\ast.2.2$,

$$H^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_0) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}_0)$$

is surjective, and by $H^1(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_0) = 0$,

$$H^0(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}_0) \rightarrow H^0(X_f, N_{X_f/P} \otimes \mathcal{L}_0|X_f)$$

is surjective, hence

$$T_{\mathcal{M},f} \otimes H^0(X_f, \mathcal{L}_0|X_f) \rightarrow H^0(X_f, N_{X_f/P} \otimes \mathcal{L}_0|X_f)$$

is surjective. So

$$H^1(X_f, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}_0|X_f) \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{M},f} \otimes H^1(X_f, \mathcal{L}_0|X_f)$$

is injective, and this implies the injectivity of (i).
In the commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^0(P, L) \otimes H^0(P, L_0 \otimes I_x/P) & \longrightarrow & H^0(P, L \otimes L_0 \otimes I_x/P) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
T_{M,f} \otimes H^0(X_f, L_0|_{X_f} \otimes I_{x/X}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X_f, N_{X_f/P} \otimes L_0|_{X_f} \otimes I_{x/X}),
\end{array}
\]
by the assumption *2.2 and Theorem 2.12,
\[
H^0(P, L) \otimes H^0(P, L_0 \otimes I_x/P) \longrightarrow H^0(P, L \otimes L_0 \otimes I_x/P)
\]
is surjective, and by \(H^1(P, L_0) = 0\),
\[
H^0(P, L \otimes L_0 \otimes I_x/P) \longrightarrow H^0(X_f, N_{X_f/P} \otimes L_0|_{X_f} \otimes I_{x/X})
\]
is surjective, hence
\[
T_{M,f} \otimes H^0(X_f, L_0|_{X_f} \otimes I_{x/X}) \longrightarrow H^0(X_f, N_{X_f/P} \otimes L_0|_{X_f} \otimes I_{x/X})
\]
is surjective. So
\[
H^1(X_f, G \otimes L_0|_{X_f} \otimes I_{x/X}) \longrightarrow T_{M,f} \otimes H^1(X_f, L_0|_{X_f} \otimes I_{x/X})
\]
is injective. Since \(L\) is generated by global sections,
\[
H^1(X_f, L_0|_{X_f} \otimes I_{x/X}) \longrightarrow H^1(X_f, L_0|_{X_f})
\]
is injective, and by the commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^1(X_f, G \otimes L_0|_{X_f} \otimes I_{x/X}) & \longrightarrow & T_{M,f} \otimes H^1(X_f, L_0|_{X_f} \otimes I_{x/X}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^1(X_f, G \otimes L_0|_{X_f}) & \longrightarrow & T_{M,f} \otimes H^1(X_f, L_0|_{X_f}),
\end{array}
\]
the map
\[
H^1(X_f, G \otimes L_0|_{X_f} \otimes I_{x/X}) \longrightarrow H^1(X_f, G \otimes L_0|_{X_f})
\]
is injective. This means (ii).

By the Euler sequence [2, Theorem 12.1]
\[
0 \longrightarrow \Omega_P^{n-s-n} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Omega_P(D_i) \longrightarrow T_P \longrightarrow 0,
\]
\(T_P \otimes L_0\) is generated by global sections, because \(L_0(D_i)\) is generated by global sections. (iii) is proved. \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.4.** Assume the condition *2.2 for \(P\). Let \(L\) be an ample invertible sheaf on \(P\), and let \(X \to M\) be a base change of \(X_0 \to \mathcal{M}_0\) by any étale morphism \(M \to \mathcal{M}_0\). Let \(X_f\) be a nonsingular fiber of \(X \to M\). If \([L] \in -K_P + (n - l - 1)B(P) + A^{(j)}(P)\), then there is a \(L_1 \in A^{(j)}(P)\) such that \(\Omega^{n+l}_{X_f} \otimes L_1^{-1}|_{X_f}\) is generated by global sections, where \(m\) is the dimension of \(M\).

**Proof.** There is \(L_{0,i} \in B(P)\) for \(1 \leq i \leq n - l - 1\) and \(L_1 \in A^{(j)}(P)\) such that
\[
L \otimes \Omega^n_P \simeq L_{0,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{0,n-l-1} \otimes L_1.
\]
Since $T_x|_{X_f} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{0,l}|_{X_f}$ is generated by global sections, 

$$
\Omega_X^{m+l}|_{X_f} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{-1}|_{X_f} \simeq \Omega_X^{m+n-1}|_{X_f} \otimes \bigwedge^{n-l-1} \mathcal{T}_x|_{X_f} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{-1}|_{X_f} \\
\simeq \Omega_{M,f}^{m} \otimes \Omega_X^{n-1} \otimes \bigwedge^{n-l-1} \mathcal{T}_x|_{X_f} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{-1}|_{X_f} \\
\simeq \Omega_{M,f}^{m} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{0,1}|_{X_f} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{0,n-l-1}|_{X_f} \otimes \bigwedge^{n-l-1} \mathcal{T}_x|_{X_f}
$$

is generated by global sections.

Assume the base field $k$ is of characteristic 0. We denote by $X_\xi \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k(\mathcal{M}_\xi))$ the geometric generic fiber of $X_\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\xi$.

**Theorem 3.5.** Assume the condition *2.2 for $P$. Let $C$ be a nonsingular projective curve over $k(\mathcal{M}_\xi)$, and $\iota : C \rightarrow X_\xi$ be a $k(\mathcal{M}_\xi)$-morphism of degree $e$. If $[\mathcal{L}] \in -K_P + (n - 2)B(P) + A^{[1]}(P)$, then the genus satisfies $g(C) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot e \cdot l + 1$.

**Proof.** There is an étale $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\xi$, a proper smooth $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and a $\mathcal{M}$-morphism $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $\iota : C \rightarrow X_\xi$ is identified with the restriction of $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ to the fiber of geometric generic point of $\mathcal{M}$. By Corollary 3.4, there is a $\mathcal{L}_1 \in A^{[1]}(P)$ such that $\Omega_X^{m+1}|_{X_\xi} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{-1}|_{X_\xi}$ is generated by global sections. Since $\iota^*(\Omega_X^{m+1}|_{X_\xi}) \rightarrow \Omega_X^{m+1}|_{C}$ is surjective, $\Omega_C^{m+1}|_{C} \otimes \iota^*(\mathcal{L}_1^{-1}|_{X_\xi})$ is generated by global sections, hence $\Omega_C^{m+1} \otimes \iota^*(\mathcal{L}_1^{-1}|_{X_\xi})$ has a positive degree:

$$
2g(C) - 2 = \text{deg}(\Omega_C^{m+1}) \geq \text{deg}(\iota^*(\mathcal{L}_1|_{X_\xi})) + \text{e} \cdot (\mathcal{L}_1, \iota(C)) \geq e \cdot l.
$$

□

**Corollary 3.6.** Assume the condition *2.2 for $P$. Let $C$ be a nonsingular projective curve over $k(\mathcal{M}_\xi)$, and $C \rightarrow X_\xi$ be a $k(\mathcal{M}_\xi)$-morphism which is birational to the image. If $[\mathcal{L}] \in -K_P + (n - 2)B(P) + A^{[2]}(P)$, then the genus satisfies $g(C) > g$.

**Theorem 3.7.** Assume the condition *2.2 for $P$. Let $Y$ be a nonsingular complete variety of dimension $l \geq 1$ over $k(\mathcal{M}_\xi)$, and $Y \rightarrow X_\xi$ be a $k(\mathcal{M}_\xi)$-morphism which is birational to the image.

1. If $[\mathcal{L}] \in -K_P + (n - l - 1)B(P)$, then the geometric genus $p_g(Y)$ is positive.

2. If $[\mathcal{L}] \in -K_P + (n - l - 1)B(P) + \text{Ample}(P)$, then $Y$ is of general type.

**Proof.** There is an étale $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\xi$, a proper smooth $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and a $\mathcal{M}$-morphism $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $Y \rightarrow X_\xi$ is identified with the restriction of $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ to the fiber of geometric generic point of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $E$ be the exceptional set of $Y \rightarrow X_\xi$. Then

$$
\Omega_X^{m+l}|_{Y \setminus E} \rightarrow \Omega_Y^{m+l}|_{Y \setminus E}
$$

is surjective. If we assume (1), then by Corollary 3.4,

$$
H^0(X_\xi, \Omega_X^{m+l}|_{X_\xi}) \otimes O_{X_\xi} \rightarrow \Omega_X^{m+l}|_{X_\xi}
$$

is surjective. By the commutative diagram

$$
H^0(X_\xi, \Omega_X^{m+l}|_{X_\xi}) \otimes O_{Y \setminus E} \rightarrow \Omega_X^{m+l}|_{Y \setminus E} \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^{m+l}|_{Y}) \otimes O_{Y \setminus E} \rightarrow \Omega_Y^{m+l}|_{Y \setminus E},
$$

is surjective.
$H^0(Y, \Omega_{Y}^{m+1}|_{Y}) \simeq \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{m} \otimes H^0(Y, \Omega_{Y}^{1})$ is not vanish.

If we assume (2), then there is an ample $\mathcal{L}_1$ on $\mathcal{P}$ such that

$$H^0(X_\xi, \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{m+1}|_{X_{\xi}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{-1}|_{X_{\xi}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{\xi}} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{m+1}|_{X_{\xi}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{-1}|_{X_{\xi}}$$

is surjective. By the same argument using the commutative diagram

$$H^0(X_\xi, \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{m+1}|_{X_{\xi}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{-1}|_{X_{\xi}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y\setminus E} \longrightarrow \Omega_{Y}^{m+1}|_{Y\setminus E} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{-1}|_{Y\setminus E}$$

$\Omega_{Y}^{1}|_{Y\setminus E} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{-1}|_{Y\setminus E}$ is generated by global sections. Since $\mathcal{L}_1|_{Y\setminus E}$ separates two points in $Y \setminus E$, the image of the canonical map of $Y$ is $l$-dimensional. 

**Theorem 3.8.** Assume the condition *-2.2* for $\mathcal{P}$. When $[\mathcal{L}] \in -K_{\mathcal{P}} + (n-1)B_{\mathcal{P}} + A_{2(r-1)}(\mathcal{P})$, for any $2r$ distinct closed points $p_1, \ldots, p_r, q_1, \ldots, q_r \in X_\xi(k(\mathcal{M}_\xi))$, $[p_1 + \cdots + p_r]$ and $[q_1 + \cdots + q_r]$ are not rationally equivalent as zero cycles on $X_\xi$.

**Proof.** There is an étale $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_\xi$, and sections $\mathcal{M} \overset{p_i}{\to} \mathcal{X}_\xi, \ldots, \mathcal{M} \overset{q_i}{\to} \mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{M}$ such that Spec$(k(\mathcal{M}_\xi)) \overset{p_i}{\to} X_{\xi}, \ldots,$ Spec$(k(\mathcal{M}_\xi)) \overset{q_i}{\to} X_{\xi}$ is identified with the restriction of $\mathcal{M} \overset{p_i}{\to} \mathcal{X}_\xi, \ldots, \mathcal{M} \overset{q_i}{\to} \mathcal{X}$ to the fiber of geometric generic point of $\mathcal{M}$. By Corollary 3.4, there is a $\mathcal{L}_1 \in A_{2r-1}(\mathcal{P})$ such that $\Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{m+1}|_{X_{\xi}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{-1}|_{X_{\xi}}$ is generated by global sections, and by [5, Theorem 4.2], $\mathcal{L}_1$ is $2r$ points. So

$$H^0(X_\xi, \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{m}|_{X_{\xi}} ) \longrightarrow \bigwedge_{p_1}^m T_{\mathcal{M}_{\xi}} \otimes H^0(X_\xi, \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{m}|_{X_{\xi}} ) \longrightarrow \bigwedge_{p_1}^m T_{\mathcal{M}_{\xi}} \otimes \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{1}|_{p_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{1}|_{p_r}$$

is surjective. Using the duality, the cycle class in $H^{n-1}(X_\xi, \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{n-1}|_{X_{\xi}})$ of a section $\mathcal{M} \overset{p_i}{\to} \mathcal{X}$ is the composition $\psi_p$:

$$\bigwedge_{p_1}^m T_{\mathcal{M}_{\xi}} \otimes H^0(X_\xi, \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{m}|_{X_{\xi}} ) \longrightarrow \bigwedge_{p_1}^m T_{\mathcal{M}_{\xi}} \otimes \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{1}|_{p_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{1}|_{p_r} \simeq k(\mathcal{M}_{\xi})$$

The cycle class of

$$[P_1 + \cdots + P_r - Q_1 - \cdots - Q_r] \in CH^{n-1}(\mathcal{X})$$

in $H^{n-1}(X_\xi, \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{n-1}|_{X_{\xi}})$ is $\psi = \psi_{P_1} + \cdots + \psi_{P_r} - \psi_{Q_1} - \cdots - \psi_{Q_r}$. By the surjectivity of

$$H^0(X_\xi, \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{m}|_{X_{\xi}} ) \longrightarrow \bigwedge_{p_1}^m T_{\mathcal{M}_{\xi}} \otimes \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{1}|_{p_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{1}|_{p_r}$$

there is a section $\omega \in \bigwedge^m T_{\mathcal{M}_{\xi}} \otimes H^0(X_\xi, \Omega_{X_{\xi}}^{m}|_{X_{\xi}} )$ such that $\psi(\omega) \neq 0$. If $[P_1 + \cdots + P_r]$ and $[Q_1 + \cdots + Q_r]$ are rationally equivalent, then we can make $P_1, \ldots, Q_r$ that $[P_1 + \cdots + P_r]$ and $[Q_1 + \cdots + Q_r]$ are rationally equivalent. In this case $\psi$ must be zero. 

**Remark 3.9.** Theorem 3.8 is a generalization of the result of C. Voisin [15, Theorem 1.11], and gives an explicit condition, in the toric case, to the result of N. Fakhruddin [9, Theorem 1]. As in [9, Corollary 2], we have the next corollary.

**Corollary 3.10.** Assume the condition *-2.2* for $\mathcal{P}$. Let $C$ be a nonsingular projective curve over $k(\mathcal{M}_{\xi})$, and $C \to X_{\xi}$ be a $k(\mathcal{M}_{\xi})$-morphism which is birational to the image. If $[\mathcal{L}] \in -K_{\mathcal{P}} + (n-1)B_{\mathcal{P}} + A_{2(r-1)}(\mathcal{P})$, then the gonality satisfies $gon(C) > r$.

**Proof.** There are distinct $2 \cdot gon(C)$ points $p_1, \ldots, p_{gon(C)}, q_1, \ldots, q_{gon(C)}$ on $C$, such that $[p_1 + \cdots + p_{gon(C)}]$ and $[q_1 + \cdots + q_{gon(C)}]$ are linearly equivalent on $C$, hence these are rationally equivalent on $X_{\xi}$. By Theorem 3.8, $gon(C)$ must be larger than $r$. 

□
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