HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Abel-Tauber theorems for Hankel and Fourier transforms and a problem of Boas
Author(s)	Inoue, A.; Kikuchi, H.
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 436, 1-20
Issue Date	1998-11-1
DOI	10.14943/83582
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/69186
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	pre436.pdf

Instructions for use

Hokkaido University Collection of Scholarly and Academic Papers : HUSCAP

Abel-Tauber theorems for Hankel and Fourier transforms and a problem of Boas

A. Inoue and H. Kikuchi

Series #436. November 1998

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

#411 S. Izumiya, D. Pei and T. Sano, The lightcone gauss map and the lightcone developable of a spacelike curve in Minkowski 3-space, 16 pages. 1998.

#412 M. Tsujii, Absolutely continuous invarient measures for piecewise real-analytic expanding maps on the plane, 18 pages. 1998.

#413 J.-P. Brasselet, D. Lehmann, J. Seade and T. Suwa, Milnor classes of local complete intersections, 40 pages. 1998.

#414 T. Suwa, Dual class of a subvariety, 19 pages. 1998.

#415 M. Tsujii, Piecewise expanding maps on the plane with singular ergodic properties, 9 pages. 1998.

#416 T. Yoshida, Categorical aspects of generating functions(I): Exponential formulas and Krull-Schmidt categories, 44 pages. 1998.

#417 K. Yamaguchi, G_2-Geometry of overdetermined systems of second order, 22 pages. 1998.

#418 M. Ishikawa and S. Matsui, Existence of a forward self-similar stagnation flow of the Navier-Stokes equations, 8 pages. 1998.

#419 S. Izumiya, H. Katsumi and T. Yamasaki, The rectifying developable and the spherical Darboux image of a space curve, 16 pages. 1998.

#420 R. Kobayashi and Y. Giga, Equations with singular diffusivity, 45 pages. 1998.

#421 D. Pei and T. Sano, The focal developable and the binormal indicatrix of a nonlightlike curve in Minkowski 3-space, 14 pages. 1998.

#422 R. Kobayashi, J. A. Warren and W. C. Carter, Modeling grain boundaries using a phase field technique, 12 pages. 1998.

#423 T. Tsukada, Reticular Legendrian Singularities, 28 pages. 1998.

#424 A. N. Kirillov and M. Shimozono, A generalization of the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials, 37 pages. 1998.

#425 M. Nakamura, Strichartz estimates for wave equations in the homogeneous Besov space, 17 pages. 1998.

#426 A. Arai, On the essential spectra of quantum field Hamiltonians, 18 pages. 1998.

#427 T. Sano, Bifurcations of affine invariants for one parameter family of generic convex plane curves, 11 pages. 1998.

#428 F. Hiroshima, Ground states of a model in quantum electrodynamics, 48 pages. 1998.

#429 F. Hiroshima, Uniqueness of the ground state of a model in quantum electrodynamics: A functional integral approach, 32 pages. 1998.

#430 J. F. Van Diejen and A. N. Kirillov, Formulas for q-spherical functions using inverse scattering theory of reflectionless Jacobi operators, 33 pages. 1998.

#431 G. Ishikawa, Determinacy, transversality and Lagrange stability, 13 pages. 1998.

#432 T. Yoshida, Categorical aspects of generating functions(II): Operations on categories and functors, 65 pages. 1998.

#433 K. Ito, Loss of convexity of compact hypersurfaces moved by surface diffusion, 20 pages. 1998.

#434 Y. Shimizu, L^∞-estimate of first-order space derivatives of Stokes flow in a half space, 22 pages. 1998.

#435 T. Uemura, Morita-Mumford classes on finite cyclic subgroups of the mapping class group of closed surfaces, 14 pages. 1998.

Abel-Tauber theorems for Hankel and Fourier transforms and a problem of Boas

AKIHIKO INOUE AND HIDEYUKI KIKUCHI

ABSTRACT. We prove Abel-Tauber theorems for Hankel and Fourier transforms. For example, let f be a locally integrable function on $[0,\infty)$ which is eventually decreasing to zero at infinity. Let $\rho = 3,5,7,\cdots$ and ℓ be slowly varying at infinity. We characterize the asymptotic behavior $f(t) \sim \ell(t)t^{-\rho}$ as $t \to \infty$ in terms of the Fourier cosine transform of f. Similar results for sine and Hankel transforms are also obtained. As an application, we give an answer to a problem of R. P. Boas on Fourier series.

1. Introduction and results

As a prototype, we use Fourier cosine transforms to explain our problem. Let f be a locally integrable, eventually decreasing function on $[0,\infty)$ which tends to zero at infinity, and let F_c be its Fourier cosine transform. Let $\rho > 0$ and ℓ be slowly varying at infinity (see below). We are concerned with Abel-Tauber theorems which characterize the asymptotic behavior $f(t) \sim \ell(t)t^{-\rho}$ as $t \to \infty$ in terms of F_c. It turns out that the values $1,3,5,\cdots$ of ρ are exceptional. For $\rho \neq 1,3,5,\cdots$, one can obtain the desired Abel-Tauber theorems using regular variation — or Karamata theory. See Bingham-Goldie-Teugels [BGT, Ch. 4], where references to earlier work by Hardy and Rogosinski, Aljančić, Bojanić and Tomić, Vuilleumier, Zygmund and others are given. However the same theorems do not hold for $\rho = 1,3,5,\cdots$. These exceptional values are related to the power series expansion of the kernel $\cos x$ (see Soni-Soni [SS]).

In [I1], one of the authors showed that one could use Π-variation — or de Haan theory in the terminology of [BGT] — to obtain the desired Abel-Tauber theorem

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 40E05; Secondary 42A16, 44A15.
Key words and phrases. Abel-Tauber theorems, Hankel transforms, Fourier transforms, Fourier series, Π-variation.

for cosine transforms when $\rho = 1$. For theorems of the same type, we refer to [I1] (cosine series and integrals), [I2] (sine series and integrals), [I3] (Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients), and Bingham-Inoue [BI] (Hankel transforms).

In this paper, we consider the remaining exceptional values, e.g., $\rho = 3, 5, \cdots$ for cosine transforms. In fact, as in [BI], we consider those for Hankel transforms from the beginning; the results for cosine and sine transforms follow as special cases. As an application, we give an answer to a problem of R. P. Boas on Fourier series.

We write R_0 for the class of slowly varying functions at infinity, that is, the class of positive measurable ℓ, defined on some neighbourhood of infinity, satisfying

$$\ell(\lambda x)/\ell(x) \to 1 \quad (x \to \infty) \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

For $\ell \in R_0$, the class Π_ℓ is the class of measurable f satisfying

$$\{f(\lambda x) - f(x)\}/\ell(x) \to c \log \lambda \quad (x \to \infty) \quad \forall \lambda > 0$$

for some constant c, called the *ℓ-index* of f. See [BGT] for background.

Let $\nu \geq -1/2$, $t^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}h(t) \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}[0,\infty)$, and h be ultimately decreasing to zero at infinity. We consider the *Hankel Transform*

$$H_\nu(x) := \int_0^{\infty-} h(t)(xt)^{1/2} J_\nu(xt)dt \quad (0 < x < \infty), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\int_0^{\infty-}$ denotes an improper integral $\lim_{M\to\infty} \int_0^M$ and J_ν is the Bessel function

$$J_\nu(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{\nu,j} x^{\nu+2j} \qquad (0 \leq x < \infty)$$

with

$$c_{\nu,j} := \frac{(-1)^j}{2^{\nu+2j} \cdot j! \cdot \Gamma(\nu+j+1)} \qquad (\nu \geq -1/2, \ \ j = 0, 1, \cdots). \tag{1.2}$$

Since the improper integral on the right of (1.1) converges uniformly on each (a, ∞) with $a > 0$, H_ν is finite and continuous on $(0, \infty)$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in (0, \infty)$, we define $\bar{H}_{\nu,n}$ by

$$\bar{H}_{\nu,n}(x) := x^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}+2n} \left\{ H_\nu(1/x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{\nu,j} \int_0^\infty t^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}+2j} h(t)dt \cdot x^{-\nu-\frac{1}{2}-2j} \right\} \tag{1.3}$$

if $\int_0^\infty t^{\nu-\frac{3}{2}+2n} h(t)dt < \infty$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\ell \in R_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\nu \geq -1/2$, $t^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}h(t) \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}[0,\infty)$, and h be ultimately decreasing to zero at infinity, with Hankel transform H_ν. Then*

$$h(t) \sim t^{-\nu-\frac{3}{2}-2n}\ell(t) \qquad (t \to \infty) \tag{1.4}$$

if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty t^{\nu-\frac{3}{2}+2n}h(t)dt < \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \bar{H}_{\nu,n} \in \Pi_\ell \ \textit{with } \ell\textit{-index } c_{\nu,n}. \tag{1.5}$$

Note that Theorem 1 includes results for Fourier cosine and sine transforms, as

$$x^{1/2}J_{-1/2}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\cos x, \qquad x^{1/2}J_{1/2}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\sin x.$$

For $x \in (0,\infty)$, we define $\bar{H}_\nu$ by

$$\bar{H}_\nu(x) := x^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}H_\nu(1/x). \tag{1.6}$$

We will prove Theorem 1 by reducing the problem to the following known result (which corresponds to the case $n = 0$ of (1.4)):

Theorem A ([BI], extending [I1], [I2]). *Let ν, h, H_ν and ℓ be as in Theorem 1. Then*

$$h(t) \sim t^{-\nu-\frac{3}{2}}\ell(t) \qquad (t \to \infty) \tag{1.7}$$

if and only if

$$\bar{H}_\nu \in \Pi_\ell \ \textit{with } \ell\textit{-index } c_{\nu,0}. \tag{1.8}$$

The cosine case $\nu = -\frac{1}{2}$ of Theorem A is due to [I1], the sine case $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ to [I2], and the general case $\nu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ to Bingham-Inoue [BI].

The theorems above treat the boundary cases to the following known Abel-Tauber theorem for Hankel transforms:

Theorem B ([RS], [SS], extending [P], [B]). *Let ν, h, H_ν and ℓ be as in Theorem 1.*

(1) *For $0 < \rho < \nu + \frac{3}{2}$,*

$$h(t) \sim t^{-\rho}\ell(t) \qquad (t \to \infty) \tag{1.9}$$

if and only if

$$H_\nu(x) \sim x^{\rho-1}\ell(1/x)\cdot 2^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{\nu}{2}-\frac{\rho}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\nu}{2}+\frac{\rho}{2})} \qquad (x\to 0+). \tag{1.10}$$

(2) *Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\nu-\frac{1}{2}+2n<\rho<\nu+\frac{3}{2}+2n$. Then* (1.9) *holds if and only if $\int_0^\infty t^{\nu-\frac{3}{2}+2n}h(t)dt<\infty$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} H_\nu(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{\nu,j}\int_0^\infty t^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}+2j}h(t)dt\cdot x^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}+2j} \\ \sim x^{\rho-1}\ell(1/x)\cdot 2^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{\nu}{2}-\frac{\rho}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\nu}{2}+\frac{\rho}{2})} \qquad (x\to 0+). \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

The part (1) of Theorem B is due to Pitman [P], Bingham [B], and Ridenhour-Soni [RS], while the part (2) to Soni-Soni [SS].

We focus on Fourier (cosine and sine) transforms. Let $f\in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}[0,\infty)$ and f be ultimately decreasing to zero at infinity. We write F_{c} for the *Fourier cosine transform* of f:

$$F_{\mathrm{c}}(x) = \int_0^{\infty-} f(t)\cos(xt)dt \qquad (0<x<\infty). \tag{1.12}$$

Similarly, let $g(t)t\in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}[0,\infty)$, and g be ultimately decreasing to zero at infinity. We write G_{s} for the *Fourier sine transform* of g:

$$G_{\mathrm{s}}(x) = \int_0^{\infty-} g(t)\sin(xt)dt \qquad (0<x<\infty). \tag{1.13}$$

Now, at least formally,

$$F_{\mathrm{c}}^{(2j)}(0) = (-1)^j\int_0^\infty t^{2j}f(t)dt, \qquad G_{\mathrm{s}}^{(2j+1)}(0) = (-1)^j\int_0^\infty t^{2j+1}g(t)dt.$$

So for $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we define $\bar{F}_{\mathrm{c},n}$ by

$$\bar{F}_{\mathrm{c},n}(X) := x^{2n}\left\{F_{\mathrm{c}}(1/x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\frac{F_{\mathrm{c}}^{(2j)}(0)}{(2j)!}x^{-2j}\right\} \qquad (0<x<\infty) \tag{1.14}$$

if $F_{\mathrm{c}}\in C^{2n-2}([0,\infty))$. Similarly, for $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we define $\bar{G}_{\mathrm{s},n}$ by

$$\bar{G}_{\mathrm{s},n}(x) := x^{2n+1}\left\{G_{\mathrm{s}}(1/x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\frac{G_{\mathrm{s}}^{(2j+1)}(0)}{(2j+1)!}x^{-2j-1}\right\} \qquad (0<x<\infty) \tag{1.15}$$

if $G_{\mathrm{s}}\in C^{2n-1}([0,\infty))$. Here as usual, $C^m([0,\infty))$ is the class of functions which are of $C^m(I)$-class for some open neighbourhood I of $[0,\infty)$.

Theorem 2. *Let $\ell \in R_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}[0,\infty)$ and f be ultimately decreasing to zero at infinity, with Fourier cosine transform F_{c}. Then*

$$f(t) \sim t^{-2n-1}\ell(t) \qquad (t \to \infty) \tag{1.16}$$

if and only if

$$F_{\text{c}} \in C^{2n-2}([0,\infty)) \quad \textit{and} \quad \bar{F}_{\text{c},n} \in \Pi_\ell \ \textit{with } \ell\textit{-index } \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!}. \tag{1.17}$$

Theorem 3. *Let $\ell \in R_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $g(t)t \in L^1_{\text{loc}}[0,\infty)$ and g be ultimately decreasing to zero at infinity, with Fourier sine transform G_{s}. Then*

$$g(t) \sim t^{-2n-2}\ell(t) \qquad (t \to \infty) \tag{1.18}$$

if and only if

$$G_{\text{s}} \in C^{2n-1}([0,\infty)) \quad \textit{and} \quad \bar{G}_{\text{s},n} \in \Pi_\ell \ \textit{with } \ell\textit{-index } \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!}. \tag{1.19}$$

Remark. In Theorem 2, $F_{\text{c}} \in C^{2n-2}([0,\infty))$ implies that the limit $F_{\text{c}}(0+)$ exists and that F_{c}, with $F_{\text{c}}(0) := F_{\text{c}}(0+)$, is in $C^{2n-2}([0,\infty))$; similarly for the meaning of $G_{\text{s}} \in C^{2n-1}([0,\infty))$ in Theorem 3.

We will prove Theorems 2 and 3 using Theorem 1.

We give an application of Theorem 3 to probability theory. Let X be a real random variable defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. The *tail-sum* of X is the function T defined by

$$T(x) := P(X \le -x) + P(X > x) \qquad (0 \le x < \infty).$$

Note that T is finite and decreases to zero at infinity. Now

$$\{1 - U(\xi)\}/\xi = \int_0^{\infty-} T(x)\sin(x\xi)dx \qquad (0 < \xi < \infty),$$

where U is the real part of the characteristic function of X:

$$U(\xi) := E[\cos(\xi X)] \qquad (\xi \in \mathbb{R})$$

(see [BGT, p. 336]). By Theorem 3, the asymptotic behavior

$$T(x) \sim x^{-2n-2}\ell(x) \qquad (x \to \infty)$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in R_0$ is characterized in terms of U.

We can apply Theorems 1 and A to Question 7.19 of Boas [Bo]. For $f \in L^1[0,\pi]$, we define its *Fourier cosine coefficients* a_n by

$$a_n := \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^\pi f(t)\cos(nt)dt \quad (n = 1, 2, \cdots), \quad := \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\pi f(t)dt \quad (n = 0). \tag{1.20}$$

Similarly, for $g \in L^1[0,\pi]$, we define its *Fourier sine coefficients* b_n by

$$b_n := \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^\pi g(t)\sin(nt)dt \qquad (n = 1, 2, \cdots). \tag{1.21}$$

Theorem 4. *Let $f \in L^1[0,\pi]$ with Fourier cosine coefficients (a_k). We assume that $a_k \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 0$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in R_0$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k \sim \frac{\ell(m)}{m^{2n}} \cdot \frac{1}{2n} \qquad (m \to \infty) \tag{1.22}$$

if and only if

$$f \in C^{2n-2}([0,\pi]) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{f}_n \in \Pi_\ell \ \text{ with } \ell\text{-index } \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!}, \tag{1.23}$$

where

$$\bar{f}_n(x) := x^{2n}\left\{f(1/x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\frac{f^{(2j)}(0)}{(2j)!}x^{-2j}\right\} \qquad (1/\pi \leq x < \infty). \tag{1.24}$$

Corollary. *In Theorem 4, we further assume that (a_k) is decreasing. Then* (1.23) *is equivalent to*

$$a_m \sim \frac{\ell(m)}{m^{2n+1}} \qquad (m \to \infty). \tag{1.25}$$

Theorem 5. *Let $g \in L^1[0,\pi]$ with Fourier sine coefficients (b_k). We assume that $b_k \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in R_0$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} b_k \sim \frac{\ell(m)}{m^{2n+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{2n+1} \qquad (m \to \infty) \tag{1.26}$$

if and only if

$$g \in C^{2n-1}([0,\pi]) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{g}_n \in \Pi_\ell \ \text{ with } \ell\text{-index } \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!}, \tag{1.27}$$

where

$$\bar{g}_n(x) := x^{2n+1}\left\{g(1/x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{g^{(2j+1)}(0)}{(2j+1)!} x^{-2j-1}\right\} \qquad (1/\pi \leq x < \infty). \tag{1.28}$$

Corollary. *In Theorem 5, we further assume that (b_k) is decreasing. Then* (1.27) *is equivalent to*

$$b_m \sim \frac{\ell(m)}{m^{2n+2}} \qquad (m \to \infty). \tag{1.29}$$

Remark. We understand that $L^1[0,\pi]$ consists of equivalence classes with respect to the equivalence relation $f_1 \sim f_2 \Leftrightarrow f_1 = f_2$ a.e. So, e.g., in (1.23), $f \in C^{2n-2}([0,\pi])$ implies that there exists a function in $C^{2n-2}([0,\pi])$ which lies in the equivalence class of f and that we identify the function with f. In particular, if $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| < \infty$, then by [Z, Ch. III, Theorem 3.9] (Theorem of Lebesgue on Cesàro summability) $f \in C([0,\pi])$ and we may assume that $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \cos(kx)$ for $0 \leq x \leq \pi$. Similarly, if $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| < \infty$, then $g \in C([0,\pi])$ and we may assume that $g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \sin(kx)$ for $0 \leq x \leq \pi$.

For (1.26) with $n = 0$, we have the following:

Theorem 6. *Let g, (b_k) and ℓ be as in Theorem 5. We write $\bar{g}(x) := xg(1/x)$ for $x \geq 1/\pi$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} b_k \sim \frac{\ell(m)}{m} \qquad (m \to \infty) \tag{1.30}$$

if and only if

$$g \in C([0,\pi]) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{g} \in \Pi_\ell \quad \text{with } \ell\text{-index } 1. \tag{1.31}$$

See also [I2, Theorem 1.2].

Theorems 4, 5 and 6 treat the boundary cases to the following known results due to Yong [Y]:

Theorem C ([Y]). *Let f, (a_k) and ℓ be as in Theorem 4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2n-1 < \rho < 2n+1$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k \sim \frac{\ell(m)}{m^{\rho-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\rho-1} \qquad (m \to \infty) \tag{1.32}$$

if and only if $f \in C^{2n-2}([0,\pi])$ and

$$f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(2j)}(0)}{(2j)!} x^{2j} \sim \frac{\pi}{2\Gamma(\rho)\cos(\rho\pi/2)} x^{\rho-1}\ell(1/x) \qquad (x \to 0+). \tag{1.33}$$

Theorem D ([Y]). *Let g, (b_k) and ℓ be as in Theorem 5. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2n < \rho < 2n+2$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} b_k \sim \frac{\ell(m)}{m^{\rho-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\rho-1} \qquad (m \to \infty) \tag{1.34}$$

if and only if $g \in C^{2n-1}([0,\pi])$ and

$$g(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{g^{(2j+1)}(0)}{(2j+1)!} x^{2j+1} \sim \frac{\pi}{2\Gamma(\rho)\sin(\rho\pi/2)} x^{\rho-1}\ell(1/x) \qquad (x \to 0+). \tag{1.35}$$

Theorems 4, 5 and 6, together with Theorems C and D, give an answer to [Bo, Question 7.19].

2. Proof of Theorem 1

We note that (1.4) implies

$$\int_0^{\infty} t^{\nu-\frac{3}{2}+2n} h(t)dt < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

So, when proving the equivalence of (1.4) and (1.5), we may assume (2.1).

We define $h_0, \cdots, h_{n-1}$ by

$$h_0(t) := \int_t^{\infty} h(s)s^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}ds \qquad (0 \le t < \infty),$$

$$h_j(t) := \int_t^{\infty} h_{j-1}(s)sds \qquad (0 \le t < \infty, \ \ j = 1, \cdots, n-1).$$

Since h is eventually non-negative, h_j are all eventually decreasing. By Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} h_j(0) &= \int_0^\infty dt_j t_j \int_{t_j}^\infty dt_{j-1} t_{j-1} \cdots \int_{t_1}^\infty h(t_0) t_0^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} dt_0 \\ &= \int_0^\infty dt_0 h(t_0) t_0^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{t_0} dt_1 t_1 \cdots \int_0^{t_{j-1}} t_j dt_j \\ &= \frac{1}{2^j j!} \int_0^\infty h(t) t^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}+2j} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{-\mu} J_\mu(x) = O(1) \qquad (x \to \infty), \\ & \frac{d}{dx}\left\{x^{-\mu} J_\mu(x)\right\} = -x^{-\mu} J_{\mu+1}(x), \\ & x^{-\mu} J_\mu(x) \to c_{\mu,0} \qquad (x \to 0+) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\mu \geq -1/2$ (see Watson [W], pages 199 and 45), we obtain, by integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} H_\nu(x) &= x^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\infty-} h(t) t^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} \left\{(tx)^{-\nu} J_\nu(tx)\right\} dt \\ &= x^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} h_0(0) c_{\nu,0} - x^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}+2} \int_0^{\infty-} h_0(t) t \left\{(tx)^{-\nu-1} J_{\nu+1}(tx)\right\} dt = \cdots \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j x^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}+2j} h_j(0) c_{\nu+j,0} \\ &\qquad + (-1)^n x^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}+2n} \int_0^{\infty-} h_{n-1}(t) t \{(tx)^{-\nu-n} J_{\nu+n}(tx)\} dt \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j x^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}+2j} h_j(0) c_{\nu+j,0} + (-1)^n x^n \int_0^{\infty-} g(t)(tx)^{1/2} J_{\nu+n}(tx) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(t) := t^{-\nu+\frac{1}{2}-n} h_{n-1}(t) \qquad (0 < t < \infty).$$

Since

$$(-1)^j h_j(0) c_{\nu+j,0} = c_{\nu,j} \int_0^\infty t^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}+2j} h(t) dt,$$

we have

$$\bar{H}_{\nu,n}(x) = (-1)^n x^{(\nu+n)+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\infty-} g(t)(t/x)^{1/2} J_{\nu+n}(t/x) dt. \tag{2.2}$$

Now $t^{(\nu+n)+\frac{1}{2}}g(t) \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}[0,\infty)$ and g is eventually decreasing to zero, whence by Theorem A (with ν replaced by $\nu+n$) (1.5) is equivalent to

$$g(t) \sim t^{-\nu-n-\frac{3}{2}}\ell(t) \cdot \frac{(-1)^n c_{\nu,n}}{c_{\nu+n,0}} = t^{-\nu-n-\frac{3}{2}}\ell(t) \cdot \frac{1}{2^n n!} \qquad (t \to \infty)$$

or

$$h_{n-1}(t) \sim t^{-2}\ell(t) \cdot \frac{1}{2^n n!} \qquad (t \to \infty). \tag{2.3}$$

Since h_j is eventually decreasing, $\log\{h_j(t)t\}$ is slowly increasing, whence by the Monotone Density Theorem (see [BGT, §1.7]) (2.3) is equivalent to

$$h_0(t) = \int_t^\infty s^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}h(s)ds \sim t^{-2n}\ell(t) \cdot \frac{1}{2n} \qquad (t \to \infty). \tag{2.4}$$

By assumption, h is eventually decreasing, whence $\log\left\{h(t)t^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$ is slowly increasing. Again by the Monotone Density Theorem, (2.4) is equivalent to (1.4). This completes the proof. □

3. Proofs of Theorems 2 and 3

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $f \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}[0,\infty)$, f be ultimately decreasing to zero at infinity, with Fourier cosine transform F_{c}. If $F_{\mathrm{c}} \in C^{2n-2}([0,\infty))$ and*

$$F_{\mathrm{c}}(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{F_{\mathrm{c}}^{(2j)}(0)}{(2j)!}x^{2j} = O(x^{2n-2}) \qquad (x \to 0+), \tag{3.1}$$

then $\int_0^\infty t^{2n-2}f(t)dt < \infty$. In particular,

$$F_{\mathrm{c}}^{(2j)}(x) = (-1)^j \int_0^\infty t^{2j}f(t)\cos(xt)dt \qquad (0 \le x < \infty,\ \ j = 0, \cdots, n-1).$$

Remark. For the meaning of $F_{\mathrm{c}} \in C^{2n-2}([0,\infty))$, see the remark just after the corollary to Theorem 3.

Proof. We first show that we lose no generality by supposing that f is finite and decreasing on $[0,\infty)$.

Choose X so large that f is finite and decreasing on $[X, \infty)$. Set

$$\tilde{f}(t) := f(X) \qquad (0 \le t < X), \qquad := f(t) \qquad (X \le t),$$

and let $\tilde{F}_c$ be its Fourier cosine transform:

$$\tilde{F}_c(x) := \int_0^{\infty-} \tilde{f}(t)\cos(xt)dt \qquad (0 < x < \infty).$$

Set $D(x) := F_c(x) - \tilde{F}_c(x)$. Then

$$D(x) = \int_0^X \{f(t) - f(X)\}\cos(xt)dt \qquad (0 < x < \infty),$$

and so D can be extended to a function in $C^\infty([0, \infty))$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} D(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{D^{(2j)}(0)}{(2j)!} x^{2j} &= \int_0^X \{f(t) - f(X)\} \left\{ \cos(tx) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{(2j)!}(xt)^{2j} \right\} dt \\ &= O(x^{2n}) \qquad (x \to 0+). \end{aligned}$$

So for F_c to be in $C^{2n-2}([0, \infty))$ and satisfy (3.1) it is necessary and sufficient that $\tilde{F}_c$ has the same properties. Thus we may replace f by $\tilde{f}$ — that is, we may assume that f is finite and decreasing on $[0, \infty)$.

Since $F_c(x) \to F_c(0)$ as $x \to 0+$, we have $\int_0^\infty f(t)dt < \infty$ by [SS, Theorem 20] (with $k(t) = \cos t$). In particular,

$$F_c(x) = \int_0^\infty f(t)\cos(xt)dt \qquad (0 \le x < \infty). \tag{3.2}$$

If $n \ge 2$, then we proceed to the next step. We follow the idea of the proofs of Chan[C, Theorems 1–10]. By (3.1), $F_c(x) - F_c(0) = O(x^2)$ as $x \to 0+$ or, by (3.2),

$$\int_0^\infty f(t)\{1 - \cos(tx)\}\, dt = O(x^2) \qquad (x \to 0+).$$

Since the integrand is non-negative,

$$\int_0^{1/x} f(t)\{1 - \cos(xt)\}\, dt = O(x^2) \qquad (x \to 0+).$$

By [C, Lemma 3] (or directly), $1-\cos(tx) \geq (tx)^2/4$ for $0 \leq tx \leq 1$, whence

$$x^2 \int_0^{1/x} t^2 f(t)dt = O(x^2) \qquad (x \to 0+)$$

or

$$\int_0^{1/x} t^2 f(t)dt = O(1) \qquad (x \to 0+).$$

Thus $\int_0^\infty t^2 f(t)dt < \infty$ and so

$$F_c^{(2)}(x) = (-1)\int_0^\infty t^2 f(t)\cos(xt)dt \qquad (0 \leq x < \infty). \tag{3.3}$$

If $n \geq 3$, then we proceed to the next step. By (3.1),

$$F_c(x) - \left\{F_c(0) + \frac{F_c^{(2)}(0)}{2!}x^2\right\} = O(x^4) \qquad (x \to 0+)$$

or, by (3.3),

$$\int_0^\infty f(t)\left[\cos(tx) - \left\{1 - \frac{(xt)^2}{2!}\right\}\right]dt = O(x^4) \qquad (x \to 0+).$$

Since

$$\cos u - \left(1 - \frac{u^2}{2!}\right) \geq 0 \quad (0 \leq u < \infty), \qquad \geq \frac{u^4}{2 \cdot 4!} \quad (0 \leq u \leq 1)$$

(see [C, Lemma 3]), we have, as $x \to 0+$,

$$\frac{x^4}{2 \cdot 4!}\int_0^{1/x} t^4 f(t)dt \leq \int_0^{1/x} f(t)\left[\cos(tx) - \left\{1 - \frac{(xt)^2}{2!}\right\}\right]dt = O(x^4),$$

whence $\int_0^\infty t^4 f(t)dt < \infty$. Therefore

$$F_c^{(4)}(x) = (-1)^2 \int_0^\infty t^4 f(t)\cos(xt)dt \qquad (0 \leq x < \infty).$$

If $n \geq 4$, then in a similar way we obtain inductively

$$\int_0^\infty t^{2j} f(t)dt < \infty, \qquad F_c^{(2j)}(x) = (-1)^j \int_0^\infty t^{2j} f(t)\cos(xt)dt \quad (0 \leq x < \infty)$$

for $j = 3, \cdots, n-1$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. If (1.16) holds, then $\int_0^\infty t^{2n-2}f(t)dt < \infty$, and so $F_c \in C^{2n-2}([0,\infty))$ and

$$F_c^{(2j)}(0) = (-1)^j \int_0^\infty t^{2j} f(t)dt \qquad (j = 0, \cdots, n-1). \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1 with $\nu = -1/2$, (1.17) follows.

Conversely, if F_c satisfies (1.17), then by [BGT, Theorem 3.7.4] we obtain (3.1), whence, by Lemma 3.1, $\int_0^\infty t^{2n-2}f(t)dt < \infty$ as well as (3.4). Therefore, by Theorem 1 with $\nu = -1/2$, (1.16) follows. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $g(t)t \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}[0,\infty)$, g be ultimately decreasing to zero at infinity, with Fourier sine transform G_s. If $G_s \in C^{2n-1}([0,\infty))$ and*

$$G_s(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{G_s^{(2j+1)}(0)}{(2j+1)!} x^{2j+1} = O(x^{2n-1}) \qquad (x \to 0+), \tag{3.5}$$

then $\int_0^\infty t^{2n-1}g(t)dt < \infty$. In particular,

$$G_s^{(2j+1)}(x) = (-1)^j \int_0^\infty t^{2j+1} g(t)\cos(xt)dt \qquad (0 \le x < \infty, \ \ j = 0, \cdots, n-1).$$

The proof of Lemma 3.2 is quite similar to that of Lemma 3.1; we use [SS, Theorem 20] with $k(t) = \sin t$ as well as [C, Lemma 2] instead of [C, Lemma 3]. We omit the details.

The proof of Theorem 3 is also quite similar to that of Theorem 2; we use Theorem 1 with $\nu = 1/2$ as well as Lemma 3.2 instead of Lemma 3.1. The details are omitted.

4. Proofs of Theorems 4, 5 and 6

Lemma 4.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $g \in L^1[0,\pi]$ with Fourier sine coefficients (b_k). We assume $b_k \ge 0$ for all $k \ge 1$. If $g \in C^{2n-1}([0,\pi])$ and*

$$g(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{g^{(2j+1)}(0)}{(2j+1)!} x^{2j+1} = O(x^{2n-1}) \qquad (x \to 0+), \tag{4.1}$$

then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2n-1} b_k < \infty$. *In particular,*

$$g^{(2j+1)}(x) = (-1)^j \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2j+1} b_k \cos(kx) \qquad (0 \le x \le \pi, \ \ j = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1).$$

Proof. Since g' is bounded on $[0, \pi]$, $g \in \mathrm{Lip}\ 1$ (in the sense of [Bo, pp. 46–47]). By [Bo, Theorem 7.28], we have $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k b_k < \infty$. Therefore,

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \sin(kx), \qquad g'(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k b_k \cos(kx) \qquad (0 \le x \le \pi). \tag{4.2}$$

If $n \ge 2$, then we proceed to the next step. As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we follow the idea of the proofs of [C, Theorems 1–10]. By (4.1), $g(x) - x g^{(1)}(0) = O(x^3)$ as $x \to 0+$, or by (4.2),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \{kx - \sin(kx)\} = O(x^3) \qquad (x \to 0+).$$

Since

$$u - \sin u \ge 0 \quad (0 \le u < \infty), \qquad u - \sin u \ge \frac{u^3}{2 \cdot 3!} \quad (0 \le u \le 1)$$

(see [C, Lemma 2]), we have

$$\frac{m^{-3}}{2 \cdot 3!} \sum_{k=1}^{m} k^3 b_k \le \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_k \{(k/m) - \sin(k/m)\} = O(m^{-3}) \quad (m \to \infty),$$

whence $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^3 b_k < \infty$ and

$$g^{(3)}(x) = (-1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^3 b_k \cos(kx) \qquad (0 \le x \le \pi).$$

If $n \ge 3$, then in a similar way we can show inductively

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2j+1} b_k < \infty, \quad g^{(2j+1)}(x) = (-1)^j \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2j+1} b_k \cos(kx) \quad (0 \le x \le \pi)$$

for $j = 2, \cdots, n-1$. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 5. By [BGT, Theorem 3.7.4], (1.27) implies (4.1), whence by Lemma 4.1,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2n-1} b_k < \infty. \tag{4.3}$$

On the other hand, by partial summation, (1.26) also implies (4.3). Therefore, when proving the equivalence of (1.26) and (1.27), we may assume (4.3), whence $g \in C^{2n-1}([0,\pi])$, and

$$g^{(2j+1)}(x) = (-1)^j \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2j+1} b_k \cos(kx) \qquad (0 \le x \le \pi,\ \ j = 0, \cdots, n-1).$$

Following [SS, pp. 620–621], we define a function h by

$$h(t) := \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k & (t < 1), \\ \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k & (n \le t < n+1,\ \ n = 1, 2, \cdots). \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= -\int_{[0,\infty)} \sin(xt) dh(t) = x \int_0^{\infty-} h(t) \cos(xt) dt \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} x H_{-1/2}(x) \qquad (0 \le x \le \pi) \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

(recall $H_{-1/2}$ from (1.1)). On the other hand, for $j = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} t^{2j} h(t) dt &= \frac{1}{2j+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k \right) \{(n+1)^{2j+1} - n^{2j+1}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2j+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \{(n+1)^{2j+1} - n^{2j+1}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2j+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2j+1} b_k = \frac{(-1)^j}{2j+1} g^{(2j+1)}(0). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

In particular, $\int_0^{\infty} t^{2n-2} h(t) dt < \infty$. Recall $\bar{H}_{-1/2,n}$ from (1.3). By (4.5) and (4.6),

$$\bar{H}_{-1/2,n}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} x^{2n+1} \left\{ g(1/x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{g^{(2j+1)}(0)}{(2j+1)!} x^{-2j-1} \right\}. \tag{4.7}$$

Now (1.26) is equivalent to

$$h(t) \sim \frac{\ell(t)}{t^{2n+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{2n+1} \qquad (t \to \infty),$$

which by Theorem 1 is equivalent to

$$\bar{H}_{-1/2,n} \in \Pi_\ell \ \text{ with } \ell\text{-index } \frac{c_{-1/2,n}}{2n+1} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} \tag{4.8}$$

or to (1.27) by (4.7). This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 6. By [BGT, Theorem 3.7.4], (1.31) implies $g(t)/t \in L^1[0,\pi]$. Therefore, since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sin kx = \frac{\sin\{\frac{1}{2}x(n+1)\}\sin(\frac{1}{2}xn)}{\sin(\frac{1}{2}x)}$$

and $|\sin(\frac{1}{2}x)| \geq x/\pi$ for $(0 \leq x \leq \pi)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k &= \left|\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^\pi g(t)\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sin(kt)dt\right| \\ &= \left|\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^\pi g(t)\frac{\sin\{\frac{1}{2}t(n+1)\}\sin(\frac{1}{2}tn)}{\sin(\frac{1}{2}t)}dt\right| \\ &\leq 2\int_0^\pi \frac{|g(t)|}{t}dt < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k < \infty. \tag{4.9}$$

On the other hand, (1.30) also implies (4.9). So, when proving the equivalence of (1.30) and (1.31), we may assume (4.9), whence $g \in C([0,\pi])$ and

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \sin kx \qquad (0 \leq x \leq \pi).$$

We define h by (4.4). Then (1.30) is equivalent to

$$h(t) \sim \frac{\ell(t)}{t} \quad (t \to \infty). \tag{4.10}$$

On the other hand, by (4.5) and Theorem A with $\nu = -1/2$, (4.10) is equivalent to (1.31). This completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $f \in L^1[0,\pi]$ with Fourier cosine coefficients (a_k). We assume $a_k \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 0$. If $f \in C^{2n-2}([0,\pi])$ and*

$$f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(2j)}(0)}{(2j)!}x^{2j} = O(x^{2n-2}) \qquad (x \to 0+), \tag{4.11}$$

then $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{2n-2}a_k < \infty$. *In particular,*

$$f^{(2j)}(x) = (-1)^j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{2j} a_k \cos(kx) \qquad (0 \le x \le \pi, \ \ j = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1).$$

Proof. Since $f(x)$ approaches $f(0)$ as $x \to 0+$, we have $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k < \infty$ by [Bo, Theorem 7.26]. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.1 (see also the proof of Lemma 3.1), whence we omit the details. □

Proof of Theorem 4. By [BGT, Theorem 3.7.4], (1.23) implies (4.11), whence by Lemma 4.2

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{2n-2} a_k < \infty. \tag{4.12}$$

On the other hand, by partial summation, (1.22) also implies (4.12). Therefore, when proving the equivalence of (1.22) and (1.23), we may assume (4.12), whence $f \in C^{2n-2}([0, \pi])$ and

$$f^{(2j)}(x) = (-1)^j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{2j} a_k \cos(kx) \qquad (0 \le x \le \pi, \ \ j = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1).$$

Following [SS, p. 623], we define a function h by

$$h(t) := \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k & (t < 0), \\ \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k & (n \le t < n+1, \ \ n = 0, 1, \cdots). \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

Then (1.22) is equivalent to

$$h(t) \sim \frac{\ell(t)}{t^{2n}} \cdot \frac{1}{2n} \qquad (t \to \infty). \tag{4.14}$$

Recall $H_{1/2}$ from (1.1). Since

$$f(x) = -\int_{[0,\infty)} \cos(xt) dh(t) = f(0) - x \int_0^{\infty-} h(t) \sin(xt) dt$$

for $0 \le x \le \pi$, we obtain

$$f(0) - f(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} x H_{1/2}(x) \qquad (0 \le x \le \pi). \tag{4.15}$$

First we assume $n = 1$. Then, by Theorem A with $\nu = 1/2$, (4.14) holds if and only if

$$\bar{H}_{1/2}(x) \in \Pi_\ell \text{ with } \ell\text{-index } \frac{c_{1/2,0}}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$$

(recall $\bar{H}_{1/2}$ from (1.6)), which by (4.15) is equivalent to (1.23) with $n = 1$.

Next we assume $n \geq 2$. For $j = 0, 1, \cdots, n-2$,

$$\int_0^\infty t^{2j+1} h(t)dt = \frac{1}{2j+2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{2j+2} a_k = \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{2j+2} f^{(2j+2)}(0). \tag{4.16}$$

In particular, $\int_0^\infty t^{2n-3} h(t)dt < \infty$. Recall $\bar{H}_{1/2,n-1}$ from (1.3). By (4.15) and (4.16),

$$\bar{H}_{1/2,n-1}(x) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} x^{2n} \left\{ f(1/x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(2j)}(0)}{(2j)!} x^{-2j} \right\}. \tag{4.17}$$

By Theorem 1 with $\nu = 1/2$, (4.14) is equivalent to

$$\bar{H}_{1/2,n-1} \in \Pi_\ell \text{ with } \ell\text{-index } \frac{c_{1/2,n-1}}{2n} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2n)!},$$

which by (4.17) is equivalent to (1.23). This completes the proof □

References

[B] N. H. Bingham, *A Tauberian theorem for integral transforms of Hankel type*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **5** (1972), 493–503.

[BGT] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie and J. L. Teugels, *Regular variation*, 2nd ed., Encycl. Math. Appl. **27**, Cambridge University Press, 1989 (1st ed. 1987).

[BI] N. H. Bingham and A. Inoue, *An Abel-Tauber theorem for Hankel transforms*, Trends in probability and related analysis, Proceedings of SAP '96 (eds. N. Kono and N. R. Shieh), World Scientific, Singapore, 1997.

[Bo] R. P. Boas, Jr., *Integrability theorems for trigonometric transforms*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.

[C] L. Y. Chan, *On Fourier series with non-negative coefficients and two problems of R. P. Boas*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **110** (1985), 116–129.

[I1] A. Inoue, *On Abel-Tauber theorems for Fourier cosine transforms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **196** (1995), 764–776.

[I2] A. Inoue, *An Abel-Tauber theorem for Fourier sine transforms*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **2** (1995), 303–309.

[I3] A. Inoue, *Abel-Tauber theorems for Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **211** (1997), 460–480.

[P] E. J. G. Pitman, *On the behaviour of the characteristic function of a probability distribution in the neighbourhood of the origin*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **8** (1968), 422–443.

[RS] J. R. Ridenhour and R. P. Soni, *Parseval relation and Tauberian theorems for the Hankel transform*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **5** (1974), 809–821.

[SS] K. Soni and R. P. Soni, *Slowly varying functions and asymptotic behavior of a class of integral transforms III*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **49** (1975), 612–628.

[Y] C. H. Yong, *On the asymptotic behavior of trigonometric series I*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **33** (1971), 23–34.

[W] G. N. Watson, *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 1944.

[Z] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 1959.

Akihiko Inoue
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Hokkaido University
Sapporo 060, Japan
E-mail: inoue@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

Hideyuki Kikuchi
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Hokkaido University
Sapporo 060, Japan
E-mail: h-kikuti@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp