



Title	Horospherical surfaces of curves in hyperbolic space
Author(s)	Izumiya, S.; Pei, D-H; Sano, T.
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 516, 1-9
Issue Date	2001-1-1
DOI	10.14943/83662
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/69266
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	pre516.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

**Horospherical surfaces of curves in
Hyperbolic space**

Shyuichi IZUMIYA, Dong-he PEI and Takasi SANO

Series #516. January 2001

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

- #486 T. Nakazi, Functions in N_+ with the positive real parts on the boundary, 21 pages. 2000.
- #487 Y. Shibukawa, Classification of the R -operator, 36 pages. 2000.
- #488 A. Inoue, Asymptotic behaviour for partial autocorrelation functions of fractional ARIMA processes, 20 pages. 2000.
- #489 S. Ohtani, Construction of unramified Galois extensions over maximal abelian extensions of algebraic number fields, 14 pages. 2000.
- #490 T. Nakazi and T. Yamamoto, The real part of an outer function and a Helson-Szegő weight, 13 pages. 2000.
- #491 A. Yamagami, On Gouvêas conjecture on controlling the conductor, 11 pages. 2000.
- #492 I. Tsuda and M. Hatakeyama, Making sense of internal logic: Theory and a case study, 10 pages. 2000.
- #493 I. Tsuda, Towards an interpretation of dynamic neural activity in terms of chaotic dynamical systems, 73 pages. 2000.
- #494 T. Mikami, Optimal control for absolutely continuous stochastic processes and the mass transportation problem, 17 pages. 2000.
- #495 M. Arisawa and Y. Giga, Anisotropic curvature flow in a very thin domain, 21 pages. 2000.
- #496 T. Nakazi, Backward shift invariant subspaces in the bidisc, 9 pages. 2000.
- #497 Y. Giga, K. Inui, J. Kato and S. Matsui, Remarks on the uniqueness of bounded solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, 4 pages. 2000.
- #498 Y. Giga, M. Paolini and P. Rybka, On the motion by singular interfacial energy, 21 pages. 2000.
- #499 J. Escher and Y. Giga, On a limiting motion and self-interactions of curves moved by the intermediate surface diffusion flow, 12 pages. 2000.
- #500 I. Tsuda and S. Kuroda, Cantor coding in the hippocampus, 20 pages. 2000.
- #501 M. Tsujii, Fat solenoidal attractors, 20 pages. 2000.
- #502 A. Arai, Ground state of the massless Nelson model without infrared cutoff in a non-Fock representation, 19 pages. 2000.
- #503 Y. Giga, S. Matsui and O. Sawada, Global existence of two-dimensional Navier-Stokes flow with nondecaying initial velocity, 19 pages. 2000.
- #504 A. Inoue and Y. Kasahara, Partial autocorrelation functions of the fractional ARIMA processes with negative degree of differencing, 14 pages. 2000.
- #505 T. Nakazi, Interpolation problem for ℓ^1 and a uniform algebra, 12 pages. 2000.
- #506 R. Kobayashi and Y. Giga, On anisotropy and curvature effects for growing crystals, 38 pages. 2000.
- #507 A. Arai, Instability in the spectral and the Fredholm properties of an infinite dimensional Dirac operator on the abstract Boson-Fermion Fock space, 6 pages. 2000.
- #508 A. Arai, Supersymmetric methods for constructing soliton-type solutions to multi-component nonlinear Schrödinger and Klein-Gordon equations, 22 pages. 2000.
- #509 Y. Tonegawa, Phase field model with a variable chemical potential, 30 pages. 2000.
- #510 Y. Giga, Shocks and very strong vertical diffusion, 11 pages. 2000.

Horospherical surfaces of curves in Hyperbolic space

Shyuichi IZUMIYA

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University,
Sapporo 060-0810, Japan ,

e-mail : izumiya@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

Dong-he PEI

Department of Mathematics, North East Normal University,
Chang Chun 130024, P.R.China

e-mail : northlcd@public.cc.jl.cn

and

Takasi SANO

Faculty of engineering, Hokkaigakuen University,
Sapporo 062-8605, Japan

e-mail : t-sano@cvl.hokkai-s-u.ac.jp

Abstract

We consider the contact between curves and horospheres in Hyperbolic 3-space as an application of singularity theory of functions. We define the osculating horosphere of the curve. We also define the horospherical surface of the curve whose singular points correspond to the locus of polar vectors of osculating horospheres of the curve. One of the main results is to give a generic classification of singularities of horospherical surface of curves.

1 Introduction

In [2, 3] we have applied singularity theory to local differential geometry on hypersurfaces in Hyperbolic space. We have constructed some basic tools for the study of these subjects. These tools work very well for hypersurfaces. The next step is to consider the case for submanifolds with higher codimensions. In this paper we stick to hyperbolic space curves because this is the simplest case with higher codimensions. Here, we study the contact between hyperbolic space curves and horospheres as an application of singularity theory of smooth functions. One of the basic tools we have given in [3] is the notion of horospherical height functions on hypersurfaces. We can also define the horospherical height function of a hyperbolic space curve here. By

1991 Mathematics Subject classification. 53A25, 53A05, 58C27.
Keywords and Phrase. Hyperbolic 3-space, curves, singularities

the aid of the technique of singularity theory on such a function, we can give the definition of osculating horospheres along a hyperbolic space curve (cf., §4). Therefore, we can define the horospherical surface of a hyperbolic space curve as the envelope of osculating horospheres along the curve. The main results in this paper are Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. These gives generic classifications of singularities of horospherical surfaces of hyperbolic space curves. Moreover, we study the geometric meanings of singularities of horospherical surfaces of hyperbolic space curves and give a new invariant $\sigma_h(s)$. We can show that $\sigma_h(s) \equiv 0$ if and only if the curve is located on a horosphere under a certain generic assumption (cf., §4).

This is one of the papers of the authors project on “generic differential geometry“ of submanifolds in Hyperbolic space (cf.,[2, 3]).

All maps considered here are of class C^∞ unless otherwise stated.

Acknowledgments This article has been completed during the first author’s stay at Isaac Newton institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge university 2000 as a participant of the special semester of Singularity theory. The authors would like to thank all staffs of the institute and the organizers of the semester for their kind hospitality and perfect organisation.

2 Basic notions and results

We adopt the Lorentzian model of the hyperbolic 3-space. Let $\mathbb{R}^4 = \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R} (i = 0, 1, 2, 3)\}$ be an 4-dimensional vector space. For any $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \mathbb{R}^4$, the *pseudo scalar product* of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} is defined by

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = -x_0y_0 + \sum_{i=1}^3 x_iy_i.$$

We call $(\mathbb{R}^4, \langle, \rangle)$ *Minkowski space*. We denote \mathbb{R}_1^4 instead of $(\mathbb{R}^4, \langle, \rangle)$. We say that a non-zero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_1^4$ is *spacelike*, *lightlike* or *timelike* if $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle > 0$, $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0$ or $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle < 0$ respectively. For a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}_1^4$ and a real number c , we define *the hyperplane with pseudo normal \mathbf{v}* by

$$HP(\mathbf{v}, c) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_1^4 \mid \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = c\}.$$

We call $HP(\mathbf{v}, c)$ a *spacelike hyperplane*, a *timelike hyperplane* or a *lightlike hyperplane* if \mathbf{v} is timelike, spacelike or lightlike respectively.

We now define *Hyperbolic 3-space* by

$$H_+^3(-1) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_1^4 \mid \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = -1, x_0 \geq 1\}.$$

For any $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3 \in \mathbb{R}_1^4$, we define a vector $\mathbf{x}_1 \wedge \mathbf{x}_2 \wedge \mathbf{x}_3$ by

$$\mathbf{x}_1 \wedge \mathbf{x}_2 \wedge \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{vmatrix} -\mathbf{e}_0 & \mathbf{e}_1 & \mathbf{e}_2 & \mathbf{e}_3 \\ x_0^1 & x_1^1 & x_2^1 & x_3^1 \\ x_0^2 & x_1^2 & x_2^2 & x_3^2 \\ x_0^3 & x_1^3 & x_2^3 & x_3^3 \end{vmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3$ is the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}_1^4 . We can easily show that $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_1 \wedge \mathbf{x}_2 \wedge \mathbf{x}_3 \rangle = \det(\mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2 \ \mathbf{x}_3)$, so that $\mathbf{x}_1 \wedge \mathbf{x}_2 \wedge \mathbf{x}_3$ is pseudo orthogonal to any \mathbf{x}_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

We also define a set $LC_a = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_1^4 \mid \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a} \rangle = 0 \}$, which is called a *closed lightcone* with the vertex \mathbf{a} . We denote that

$$LC_+^* = \{ \mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in LC_0 \mid x_0 > 0 \}$$

and we call it *the future lightcone* at the origin. We can also define the notion of *the past lightcone*. We have three kinds of surfaces in $H_+^3(-1)$ which are given by intersections of $H_+^3(-1)$ and hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}_1^4 . A surface $H_+^3(-1) \cap H(\mathbf{v}, c)$ is called a *sphere*, a *equidistant plane* or a *horosphere* if $H(\mathbf{v}, c)$ is spacelike, timelike or lightlike respectively. Especially we write a horosphere as $HS^2(\mathbf{v}, c) = H_+^3(-1) \cap H(\mathbf{v}, c)$. If we consider a lightlike vector $\mathbf{v}_0 = -1/c\mathbf{v}$, we have $HS^2(\mathbf{v}, c) = HS^2(\mathbf{v}_0, -1)$. We call \mathbf{v}_0 the *polar vector* of $HS^2(\mathbf{v}_0, -1)$.

We now construct the explicit differential geometry on curves in $H_+^3(-1)$. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow H_+^3(-1)$ be a regular curve. Since $H_+^3(-1)$ is a Riemannian manifold, we can reparametrise γ by the arc-length. Hence, we may assume that $\gamma(s)$ is a unit speed curve. So we have the tangent vector $\mathbf{t}(s) = \gamma'(s)$ with $\|\mathbf{t}(s)\| = 1$. In the case when $\langle \mathbf{t}'(s), \mathbf{t}(s) \rangle \neq -1$, then we have a unit vector $\mathbf{n}(s) = \frac{\mathbf{t}'(s) - \gamma(s)}{\|\mathbf{t}'(s) - \gamma(s)\|}$. Moreover, define $\mathbf{e}(s) = \gamma(s) \wedge \mathbf{t}(s) \wedge \mathbf{n}(s)$, then we have a pseudo orthonormal frame $\{\gamma(s), \mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}(s), \mathbf{e}(s)\}$ of \mathbb{R}_1^4 along γ . By standard arguments, under the assumption that $\langle \mathbf{t}'(s), \mathbf{t}(s) \rangle \neq -1$, we have the following *Frenet-Serre type formula*:

$$\begin{cases} \gamma'(s) = \mathbf{t}(s) \\ \mathbf{t}'(s) = \kappa_h(s)\mathbf{n}(s) + \gamma(s) \\ \mathbf{n}'(s) = -\kappa_h(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \tau_h(s)\mathbf{e}(s) \\ \mathbf{e}'(s) = -\tau_h(s)\mathbf{n}(s) \end{cases},$$

where $\kappa_h(s) = \|\mathbf{t}'(s) - \gamma(s)\|$ and $\tau_h(s) = -\frac{\det(\gamma(s), \gamma'(s), \gamma''(s), \gamma'''(s))}{(\kappa_h(s))^2}$.

We can easily show that the condition $\langle \mathbf{t}'(s), \mathbf{t}(s) \rangle \neq -1$ is equivalent to the condition $\kappa_h(s) \neq 0$. Moreover, we can show that the curve $\gamma(s)$ satisfies the condition $\kappa_h(s) \equiv 0$ if and only if there exists a lightlike vector \mathbf{c} such that $\gamma(s) - \mathbf{c}$ is a geodesic. Such a curve is called an *equidistant line*. We can study many properties of hyperbolic space curves by using this fundamental equation. Here, we consider the contact between hyperbolic space curves and horospheres. This is the special subject in hyperbolic differential geometry.

let $\gamma : I \rightarrow H_+^3(-1)$ be a unit speed hyperbolic space curve. We now define a map

$$HS_\gamma : I \times J \rightarrow LC_+^*$$

by $HS_\gamma(s, \theta) = \gamma(s) + \cos \theta \mathbf{n}(s) + \sin \theta \mathbf{e}(s)$. We call HS_γ the *horospherical surface* of γ . We also introduce a hyperbolic invariant $\sigma_h(s) = ((\kappa_h')^2 - (\kappa_h)^2(\tau_h)^2((\kappa_h)^2 - 1))(s)$. The geometric meaning of these subjects will be discussed in §4. Our main result is given as follows:

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow H_+^3(-1)$ be a unit speed hyperbolic space curve with $\kappa_h \neq 0$. Then we have the following:*

- (1) *The horospherical surface HS_γ of γ is singular at (s_0, θ_0) if and only if $\cos \theta_0 = 1/\kappa_h(s_0)$.*
- (2) *The horospherical surface HS_γ of γ is locally diffeomorphic to the cuspidal edge $C \times \mathbb{R}$ at (s_0, θ_0) if $\cos \theta_0 = 1/\kappa_h(s_0)$ and $\sigma_h(s_0) \neq 0$.*
- (3) *The horospherical surface HS_γ of γ is locally diffeomorphic to the swallow tail SW at (s_0, θ_0) if $\cos \theta_0 = 1/\kappa_h(s_0)$, $\sigma_h(s_0) = 0$ and $\sigma_h'(s_0) \neq 0$.*

Here, $C = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid x_1^2 = x_2^3\}$ is the ordinary cusp and $SW = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid x_1 = 3u^4 + u^2v, x_2 = 4u^3 + 2uv, x_3 = v\}$ is the swallow tail.

Moreover, we can assert that the above theorem gives a generic classification of singularities of horospherical surfaces of hyperbolic space curves. Let $\text{Emb}(I, H_+^3(-1))$ be the space of proper embeddings $\gamma : I \rightarrow H_+^3(-1)$ equipped with Whitney C^∞ -topology. The generic classification theorem is given as follows:

Theorem 2.2 *There exists an open and dense subset $\mathcal{O} \subset \text{Emb}(I, H_+^3(-1))$ such that for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}$, the horospherical surface HS_γ of γ is locally diffeomorphic to the cuspidal edge or the swallowtail at any singular point.*

3 Horospherical height functions

In this section we introduce a family of functions on a curve which is useful for the study of invariants of hyperbolic space curves. For a hyperbolic space curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow H_+^3(-1)$, we define a function $H : I \times LC_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $H(s, \mathbf{v}) = \langle \gamma(s), \mathbf{v} \rangle + 1$. We call H a *horospherical height function* on γ . We denote that $h(s) = H_{\mathbf{v}_0}(s) = H(s, \mathbf{v}_0)$ for any $\mathbf{v}_0 \in LC_+^*$. Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow H_+^3(-1)$ be a unit speed hyperbolic space curve with $\kappa_h \neq 0$. Then we have the following:*

- (1) $h(s_0) = 0$ if and only if there exist real numbers λ, μ, η with $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \eta^2 = 1$ such that $\mathbf{v}_0 = \gamma(s_0) + \lambda \mathbf{t}(s_0) + \mu \mathbf{n}(s_0) + \eta \mathbf{e}(s_0)$.
- (2) $h(s_0) = h'(s_0) = 0$ if and only if there exists $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that $\mathbf{v}_0 = \gamma(s_0) + \cos \theta_0 \mathbf{n}(s_0) + \sin \theta_0 \mathbf{e}(s_0)$.
- (3) $h(s_0) = h'(s_0) = h''(s_0) = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{v}_0 = \gamma(s_0) + \cos \theta_0 \mathbf{n}(s_0) + \sin \theta_0 \mathbf{e}(s_0)$ and $\cos \theta_0 = 1/\kappa_h(s_0)$.
- (4) $h(s_0) = h'(s_0) = h''(s_0) = h^{(3)}(s_0) = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{v}_0 = \gamma(s_0) + \cos \theta_0 \mathbf{n}(s_0) + \sin \theta_0 \mathbf{e}(s_0)$, $\cos \theta_0 = 1/\kappa_h(s_0)$ and $\sigma_h(s_0) = ((\kappa_h')^2 - (\kappa_h)^2(\tau_h)^2((\kappa_h)^2 - 1))(s_0) = 0$.
- (5) $h(s_0) = h'(s_0) = h''(s_0) = h^{(3)}(s_0) = h^{(4)}(s_0) = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{v}_0 = \gamma(s_0) + \cos \theta_0 \mathbf{n}(s_0) + \sin \theta_0 \mathbf{e}(s_0)$, $\cos \theta_0 = 1/\kappa_h(s_0)$ and $\sigma_h(s_0) = \sigma_h'(s_0) = 0$

Proof. Since $h(s) = \langle \gamma(s), \mathbf{v} \rangle + 1$, we have

- (a) $h'(s) = \langle \mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{v} \rangle$,
- (b) $h''(s) = \langle \kappa_h(s) \mathbf{n}(s) + \gamma(s), \mathbf{v} \rangle$,
- (c) $h^{(3)}(s) = \langle (1 - \kappa_h^2(s)) \mathbf{t}(s) + \kappa_h'(s) \mathbf{n}(s) + \kappa_h(s) \tau_h(s) \mathbf{e}(s), \mathbf{v} \rangle$,
- (d) $h^{(4)}(s) = \langle (1 - \kappa_h^2(s)) \gamma(s) - 3\kappa_h(s) \kappa_h'(s) \mathbf{t}(s) + (\kappa_h(s) - \kappa_h^3(s) - \kappa_h(s) \tau_h^2(s) + \kappa_h''(s)) \mathbf{n}(s) + (2\kappa_h'(s) \tau_h(s) + \kappa_h(s) \tau_h'(s)) \mathbf{e}(s), \mathbf{v} \rangle$.

The assertion (1) is trivial by definition. By the relation (a), $h(s_0) = h'(s_0) = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} = \gamma(s_0) + \mu \mathbf{n}(s_0) + \eta \mathbf{e}(s_0)$ with $\mu^2 + \eta^2 = 1$. Therefore, we might write $\mu = \cos \theta$ and $\eta = \sin \theta$. By the relation (b), $h(s_0) = h'(s_0) = h''(s_0) = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{v}_0 = \gamma(s_0) + \cos \theta_0 \mathbf{n}(s_0) + \sin \theta_0 \mathbf{e}(s_0)$ and $0 = \langle \kappa_h(s) \mathbf{n}(s) + \gamma(s), \mathbf{v} \rangle = -1 + \cos \theta \kappa_h(s_0)$. This means that the assertion (3) holds. The other assertions also follow from the above relations exactly the same way as the above, we need, however, rather long calculations, so that we omit the detail. \square

4 Invariants of hyperbolic space curves

By the previous section, we recognize that the function $\sigma_h(s) = ((\kappa'_h)^2 - (\kappa_h)^2(\tau_h)^2((\kappa_h)^2 - 1))(s)$ on γ has a special meaning. Here, we try to understand the geometric meaning of this invariant. Let \mathbf{v} be a lightlike vector and \mathbf{w} be a spacelike vector. A hyperbolic space curve given by $HS^2(\mathbf{v}, -1) \cap HP(\mathbf{w}, 0)$ is called a *horocycle*. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1 *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow H_+^3(-1)$ be a unit speed hyperbolic space curve with $\kappa_h \geq 1$. We consider the vector field along γ given by $\mathbf{v}(s) = \gamma(s) + \cos \theta \mathbf{n}(s) + \sin \theta \mathbf{e}(s)$ with $\cos \theta = 1/\kappa_h(s)$.*

(1) *Suppose that $\kappa_h(s) \equiv 1$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\mathbf{v}(s)$ is a constant vector.
- (b) $\tau_h(s) \equiv 0$.
- (c) γ is a part of horocycle.

(2) *Suppose that the set $\{s \in I \mid \kappa_h(s) = 1\}$ consists of isolated points. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\mathbf{v}(s)$ is a constant vector.
- (b) $\sigma_h(s) \equiv 0$.
- (c) γ is located on a horosphere.

Proof. Suppose that $\kappa_h(s) \equiv 1$. Then $\mathbf{v}(s) = \gamma(s) + \mathbf{n}(s)$, so that we have $\mathbf{v}'(s) = \tau_h(s)\mathbf{e}(s)$. Therefore $\mathbf{v}(s)$ is constant if and only if $\tau_h(s) \equiv 0$. For any $s \in I$, we consider the horocycle given by $HS^2(\mathbf{v}(s), -1) \cap \langle \gamma(s), \mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}(s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$. If $\mathbf{v}(s)$ is constant, then $\tau_h(s) \equiv 0$. This means that $\mathbf{e}(s)$ is constant, so that the hyperplane $\langle \gamma(s), \mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}(s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ is also constant. In this case the horosphere $HS^2(\mathbf{v}(s), -1)$ is also constant. Thus the image of γ is a part of horocycle given by $HS^2(\mathbf{v}(s), -1) \cap \langle \gamma(s), \mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}(s) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$. If γ is a part of a horocycle, then it is a hyperbolic plane curve. Therefore we have $\tau_h(s) \equiv 0$. This completes the proof the assertion (1).

We consider the case $\kappa_h(s) \neq 1$. Since $\cos \theta(s) = 1/\kappa_h(s)$, we have

$$\mathbf{v}(s) = \gamma(s) + \frac{1}{\kappa_h(s)}\mathbf{n}(s) \pm \frac{\sqrt{\kappa_h^2(s) - 1}}{\kappa_h(s)}\mathbf{e}(s).$$

Then we have

$$\mathbf{v}'(s) = -\frac{\kappa'_h \pm \kappa_h \tau_h \sqrt{\kappa_h^2 - 1}}{\kappa_h^2}(s)\mathbf{n}(s) - \frac{\tau_h \kappa_h \sqrt{\kappa_h^2 - 1} \pm \kappa'_h}{\kappa_h^2 \sqrt{\kappa_h^2 - 1}}(s)\mathbf{e}(s).$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{v}'(s) \equiv 0$ if and only if $\sigma_h(s) \equiv 0$. The conditions (a) and (b) of (2) are equivalent. By the assumption of (2), the set of points with $\kappa_h(s) \neq 1$ is open and dense subset of I . Therefore, the conditions (a) and (b) of (2) are equivalent at any point of I .

We now consider the horospherical height function $H(s, \mathbf{v})$ on γ . If γ is located on a horosphere $HS^2(\mathbf{v}_0, c)$, we can choose $c = -1$. This means that $H(s, \mathbf{v}_0) \equiv 0$. By the assertion (4) of Proposition 3.1, we have $(\kappa'_h \pm \kappa_h \tau_h \sqrt{\kappa_h^2 - 1})(s) \equiv 0$. This means that the condition (3) implies the condition (2). If $\mathbf{v}(s)$ is a constant vector \mathbf{v}_0 , then γ is located on $HS^2(\mathbf{v}_0, -1)$. \square

Let $F : H_+^3(-1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a submersion and $\gamma : I \rightarrow H_+^3(-1)$ be a regular curve. We say that γ and $F^{-1}(0)$ have *at least k -point contact* for $t = t_0$ if the function $g(t) = F \circ \gamma(t)$ satisfies $g(t_0) = g'(t_0) = \dots = g^{(k-1)}(t_0) = 0$. If γ and $F^{-1}(0)$ have at least k -point contact for $t = t_0$ and satisfies the condition that $g^{(k)}(t_0) \neq 0$, then we say that γ and $F^{-1}(0)$ have *k -point*

- (1) If $k = 2$, then \mathcal{D}_F is locally diffeomorphic to $C \times \mathbb{R}^{r-2}$.
(2) If $k = 3$, then \mathcal{D}_F is locally diffeomorphic to $SW \times \mathbb{R}^{r-3}$.

For the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have the following key proposition.

Proposition 5.2 *Let $H : I \times LC_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the horospherical height function on a unit speed hyperbolic space curve $\gamma(s)$. If h_{v_0} has an A_k -singularity ($k = 2, 3$) at s_0 , then H is a versal unfolding of h_{v_0} .*

Proof. We have

$$H(s, \mathbf{v}) = -v_0 x_0(s) + v_1 x_1(s) + v_2 x_2(s) + v_3 x_3(s),$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3)$, $\gamma(s) = (x_0(s), x_1(s), x_2(s), x_3(s))$ and $v_0 = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2}$, $x_0(s) = \sqrt{x_1^2(s) + x_2^2(s) + x_3^2(s) + 1}$.

Thus we have

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial v_i}(s, \mathbf{v}) = -\frac{v_i}{v_0} x_0(s) + x_i(s),$$

for $(i = 1, 2, 3)$. Therefore, we have the 2-jet of $\frac{\partial H}{\partial v_i}(s, \mathbf{v})$ at (s_0) as follows :

$$-\frac{v_i}{v_0} x_0(s_0) + x_i(s_0) + \left(-\frac{v_i}{v_0} x_0'(s_0) + x_i'(s_0) \right) (s - s_0) + \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{v_i}{v_0} x_0''(s_0) + x_i''(s_0) \right) (s - s_0)^2.$$

We give the proof for $k = 3$ at first. We assume that h_{v_0} has an A_k -singularity at $s = s_0$. In this case we show that the determinant of the 3×3 matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -x_0(s_0) \frac{v_1}{v_0} + x_1(s_0) & -x_0(s_0) \frac{v_2}{v_0} + x_2(s_0) & -x_0(s_0) \frac{v_3}{v_0} + x_3(s_0) \\ -x_0'(s_0) \frac{v_1}{v_0} + x_1'(s_0) & -x_0'(s_0) \frac{v_2}{v_0} + x_2'(s_0) & -x_0'(s_0) \frac{v_3}{v_0} + x_3'(s_0) \\ -x_0''(s_0) \frac{v_1}{v_0} + x_1''(s_0) & -x_0''(s_0) \frac{v_2}{v_0} + x_2''(s_0) & -x_0''(s_0) \frac{v_3}{v_0} + x_3''(s_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

to be nonzero. We denote that

$$\mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0(s_0) \\ x_0'(s_0) \\ x_0''(s_0) \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{b}_i = \begin{pmatrix} x_i(s_0) \\ x_i'(s_0) \\ x_i''(s_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

for $(i=1,2,3)$. Then we have

$$\det A = \frac{v_0}{v_0} \det(\mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{b}_2 \ \mathbf{b}_3) - \frac{v_1}{v_0} \det(\mathbf{a} \ \mathbf{b}_2 \ \mathbf{b}_3) - \frac{v_2}{v_0} \det(\mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{a} \ \mathbf{b}_3) - \frac{v_3}{v_0} \det(\mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{b}_2 \ \mathbf{a}).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(\gamma \wedge \gamma' \wedge \gamma'')(s_0) = (-\det(\mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{b}_2 \ \mathbf{b}_3), -\det(\mathbf{a} \ \mathbf{b}_2 \ \mathbf{b}_3), -\det(\mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{a} \ \mathbf{b}_3), -\det(\mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{b}_2 \ \mathbf{a})).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det A &= \left\langle \left(\frac{v_0}{v_0}, \frac{v_1}{v_0}, \frac{v_2}{v_0}, \frac{v_3}{v_0} \right), (\gamma \wedge \gamma' \wedge \gamma'')(s_0) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{v_0} \langle \gamma(s_0) + \cos \theta_0 \mathbf{n}(s_0) + \sin \theta_0 \mathbf{e}(s_0), \kappa_h(s_0) \mathbf{e}(s_0) \rangle = \frac{1}{v_0} \sin \theta_0. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\det A \neq 0$ under the condition that $\kappa_h \neq 1$. If $\kappa_h(s_0) = 1$, then $\kappa_h'(s_0) = 0$ because $\sigma_h(s_0) = 0$. In this case, however, we have $\sigma_h'(s_0) = 0$. This contradicts to the assumption that h_{v_0} has the A_3 -type singularity at $s = s_0$. Therefore, H is a versal unfolding of h_{v_0} at $s = s_0$.

We now give the proof for the case $k = 2$. In this case we require the 2×3 matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -x_0(s_0)\frac{v_1}{v_0} + x_1(s_0) & -x_0(s_0)\frac{v_2}{v_0} + x_2(s_0) & -x_0(s_0)\frac{v_3}{v_0} + x_3(s_0) \\ -x_0'(s_0)\frac{v_1}{v_0} + x_1'(s_0) & -x_0'(s_0)\frac{v_2}{v_0} + x_2'(s_0) & -x_0'(s_0)\frac{v_3}{v_0} + x_3'(s_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

to be nonsingular. Since B is the first and second column of A , it is nonsingular if $\kappa_h(s_0) \neq 1$. Therefore, we only consider the case when $\kappa_h(s_0) = 1$. In this case we calculate the determinant of the Gram-Schmidt matrix of B which is equal to $2(x_0(s_0) - v_0)/v_0$. It is enough to show that $x_0(s_0) \neq v_0$. In the case when $\kappa_h(s_0) = 1$, we have

$$v_0 = \gamma(s_0) \pm \mathbf{n}(s_0) = \gamma(s_0) \pm (\mathbf{t}'(s_0) - \gamma(s_0))$$

by the Frenet-Serret type formula. It follows from this fact that we have $v_0 = x_0''(s_0)$ or $v_0 = -x_0''(s_0) + 2x_0(s_0)$. If $v_0 = x_0(s_0)$, then we have $x_0(s_0) = x_0''(s_0)$ for both cases. Since $\mathbf{t}(s_0) = \mathbf{n}(s_0) + \gamma(s_0)$, we have $n_0(s_0) = 0$, where $\mathbf{n}(s_0) = (n_0(s_0), n_1(s_0), n_2(s_0), n_3(s_0))$. We can, however, apply a Lorentzian motion to γ that $n_0(s_0) \neq 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The assertion (1) follows from the direct calculation and the Frenet-Serret type formula for hyperbolic space curves. By Proposition 3.1, the discriminant set \mathcal{D}_H of the horospherical height function H of γ is the image of the horospherical surface of γ . It also follows from the assertions (4) and (5) that h_{v_0} has the A_2 -type singularity (respectively, the A_3 -type singularity) at $s = s_0$ if and only if $\cos \theta_0 = 1/\kappa_h(s_0)$ and $\sigma_h(s_0) \neq 0$ (respectively, $\cos \theta_0 = 1/\kappa_h(s_0)$, $\sigma_h(s_0) = 0$ and $\sigma_h'(s_0) \neq 0$). By Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 5.2, we have the assertions (2) and (3). \square

6 Generic properties

In this section we consider generic properties of curves in $H_+^3(-1)$. The main tool is a kind of transversality theorems. Let $\text{Emb}(I, H_+^3(-1))$ be the space of proper embeddings $\gamma : I \rightarrow H_+^3(-1)$ with Whitney C^∞ -topology. We also consider the function $\mathcal{H} : H_+^3(-1) \times LC_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is given by $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + 1$. We claim that $\mathcal{H}_\mathbf{u}$ is a submersion for any $\mathbf{u} \in LC_+^*$, where $\mathcal{H}_\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$. For any $\gamma \in \text{Emb}(I, H_+^3(-1))$, we have $H = \mathcal{H} \circ (\gamma \times \text{id}_{LC_+^*})$. We also have the ℓ -jet extension

$$j_1^\ell H : U \times LC_+^* \rightarrow J^\ell(I, \mathbb{R})$$

defined by $j_1^\ell H(s, \mathbf{v}) = j^\ell h_{v_0}(s)$. We consider the trivialisation $J^\ell(I, \mathbb{R}) \cong I \times \mathbb{R} \times J^\ell(1, 1)$. For any submanifold $Q \subset J^\ell(1, 1)$, we denote that $\tilde{Q} = I \times \{0\} \times Q$. Then we have the following proposition as a corollary of Lemma 6 in Wassermann[5]. (See also Montaldi[4]).

Proposition 6.1 *Let Q be a submanifold of $J^\ell(1, 1)$. Then the set*

$$T_Q = \{ \gamma \in \text{Emb}(I, H_+^3(-1)) \mid j_1^\ell H \text{ is transversal to } \tilde{Q} \}$$

is a residual subset of $\text{Emb}(I, H_+^3(-1))$. If Q is a closed subset, then T_Q is open.

Let $f : (\mathbb{R}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ be a function germ which has an A_k -singularity at 0. It is well-known that there exists a diffeomorphism germ $\phi : (\mathbb{R}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ such that $f \circ \phi(s) = \pm s^{k+1}$. This is the classification of A_k -singularities. For any $z = j^\ell f(0) \in J^\ell(1, 1)$, we have the orbit $L^\ell(z)$ given by the action of the Lie group of ℓ -jets of diffeomorphism germs. If f has an A_k -singularity, then the codimension of the orbit is k . There is another characterisation of versal unfoldings as follows:

Proposition 6.2 *Let $F : (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^r, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ be an r -parameter unfolding of $f : (\mathbb{R}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ which has an A_k -singularity at 0. Then F is a versal unfolding if and only if $j_1^\ell F$ is transversal to the orbit $L^\ell(\widetilde{j^\ell f(0)})$ for $\ell \geq k + 1$.*

Here, $j_1^\ell F : (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^r, 0) \longrightarrow J^\ell(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is the ℓ -jet extension of F given by $j_1^\ell F(s, x) = j^\ell F_x(s)$.

We can prove Theorem 2.2 as a corollary of Proposition 6.1 as follows :

Proof of Theorem 2.2. For $\ell \geq 4$, we consider the decomposition of the jet space $J^\ell(1, 1)$ into $L^\ell(1)$ orbits. We now define a semi-algebraic set by

$$\Sigma^\ell = \{z = j^\ell f(0) \in J^\ell(1, 1) \mid f \text{ has an } A_{\geq 4}\text{-singularity}\}.$$

Then the codimension of Σ^ℓ is 4. Therefore, the codimension of $\widetilde{\Sigma}_0 = I \times \{0\} \times \Sigma^\ell$ is 5. We have the orbit decomposition of $J^\ell(1, 1) - \Sigma^\ell$ into

$$J^\ell(1, 1) - \Sigma^\ell = L_0^\ell \cup L_1^\ell \cup L_2^\ell \cup L_3^\ell,$$

where L_k^ℓ is the orbit through an A_k -singularity. Thus, the codimension of \widetilde{L}_k^ℓ is $k + 1$. We consider the ℓ -jet extension $j_1^\ell H$ of the horospherical height function H . By Proposition 6.1, there exists an open and dense subset $\mathcal{O} \subset \text{Emb}(I, H_+^3(-1))$ such that $j_1^\ell H$ is transversal to \widetilde{L}_k^ℓ ($k = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and the orbit decomposition of $\widetilde{\Sigma}^\ell$. This means that $j_1^\ell H(I \times LC_+^*) \cap \widetilde{\Sigma}^\ell = \emptyset$ and H is a versal unfolding of h at any point (s_0, v_0) . By Theorem 5.1, the discriminant set of H (i.e., the horospherical surface of γ) is locally diffeomorphic to the cuspidal edge or the swallow tail if the point is singular. \square

References

- [1] J. W. Bruce and P. J. Giblin, *Curves and singularities (second edition)*. Cambridge University press (1992)
- [2] S. Izumiya, D -H. Pei, T. Sano and E. Torii, *Evolutes of hyperbolic plane curves*. preprint
- [3] S. Izumiya, D -H. Pei and T. Sano, *Singularities of hyperbolic Gauss maps*. preprint, Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Preprint series N10031-SGT (2000)
- [4] J. A. Montaldi, *On generic composites of maps*. Bull. London Math. Soc., **23** (1991), 81–85
- [5] G. Wassermann, *Stability of Caustics*. Math. Ann., **210** (1975), 43–50