



Title	On blow up rate for sign-changing solutions in a convex domain
Author(s)	Giga, Y.; Matsui, S.; Sasayama, S.
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 594, 1-12
Issue Date	2003-07
DOI	10.14943/83739
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/69343
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	pre594.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

On blow up rate for sign-changing solutions
in a convex domain

Yoshikazu Giga, Shin'ya Matsui, and Satoshi Sasayama

Series #594. July 2003

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

- #568 T. Miyao, Strongly supercommuting self-adjoint operators, 34 pages. 2002.
- #569 J.M. Hwang and K. Yamaguchi, Characterization of Hermitian symmetric spaces by fundamental forms, 10 pages. 2002.
- #570 H. Ishii and T. Mikami, Convexified Gauss curvature flow of bounded open sets in an anisotropic external field: a stochastic approximation and PDE, 37 pages. 2002.
- #571 Y. Nakano, Minimization of shortfall risk in a jump-diffusion model, 10 pages. 2002.
- #572 K. Izuchi and T. Nakazi, Backward shift invariant subspaces in the bidisc, 8 pages. 2002.
- #573 S. Izumiya, D. Pei and M. C. Romero-Fuster, The horospherical geometry of surfaces in Hyperbolic 4-space, 17 pages. 2002.
- #574 S. Izumiya and M. C. Romero-Fuster, The hyperbolic Gauss-Bonnet type theorem, 10 pages. 2002.
- #575 S. Izumiya and S. Janeczko, A symplectic framework for multiplane gravitational lensing, 19 pages. 2002.
- #576 S. Izumiya, M. Kossowski, D. Pei and M. C. Romero-Fuster, Singularities of C^∞ -lightlike hypersurfaces in Minkowski 4-space, 18 pages. 2002.
- #577 S. Izumiya, D. Pei and M. Takahashi, Evolutes of hypersurfaces in Hyperbolic space, 21 pages. 2002.
- #578 Y. Giga, S. Matsui and S. Sasayama, Blow up rate for semilinear heat equation with subcritical nonlinearity, 29 pages. 2002.
- #579 M. Tsujii, Physical measures for partially hyperbolic surface endomorphisms, 71 pages. 2003.
- #580 Y. Giga and K. Yamada, On viscous Burgers-like equations with linearly growing initial data, 19 pages. 2003.
- #581 T. Nakazi and T. Osawa, Spectra of Toeplitz Operators and Uniform Algebras, 9 pages. 2003.
- #582 Y. Daido, M. Ikehata and G. Nakamura, Reconstruction of Inclusions for the Inverse Boundary Value Problem with Mixed Type Boundary Condition, 18 pages. 2003.
- #583 Y. Daido and G. Nakamura, Reconstruction of Inclusions for the Inverse Boundary Value Problem with Mixed Type Boundary Condition and Source Term, 26 pages. 2003.
- #584 M.-H. Giga and Y. Giga, A PDE approach for motion of phase-boundaries by a singular interfacial energy, 19 pages. 2003.
- #585 A.A. Davydov, G. Ishikawa, S. Izumiya and W.-Z. Sun, Generic singularities of implicit systems of first order differential equations on the plane, 28 pages. 2003.
- #586 K. Yamauchi, On an underlying structure for the consistency of viscosity solutions, 12 pages. 2003.
- #587 T. Miyao, Momentum operators with a winding gauge potential, 15 pages. 2003.
- #588 Y. Giga and R. Kobayashi, On constrained equations with singular diffusivity, 35 pages. 2003.
- #589 O. Sawada, On analyticity rate estimates of the solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in Bessel-potential spaces, 13 pages. 2003.
- #590 T. Nakazi, Exposed points and extremal problems in H^1 on a bidisc, 11 pages. 2003.
- #591 Y. Tsai and Y. Giga, A numerical study of anisotropic crystal growth with bunching under very singular vertical diffusion, 9 pages. 2003.
- #592 K. Izuchi, T. Nakazi and M. Seto, Backward shift invariant subspaces in the bidisc II, 17 pages. 2003.
- #593 S. Jimbo, Singular perturbation of domains and Semilinear elliptic equations III, 26 pages. 2003.

Full title: On blow up rate for sign-changing solutions in a convex domain

Short title: Blow up rate

Authors: Yoshikazu Giga^{1*}, Shin'ya Matsui² and Satoshi Sasayama^{3†‡}

Affiliations: ^{1,3}Hokkaido University, Department of Mathematics, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan
²Hokkaido Information University, Department of Information Science, Ebetsu 069-8585, Japan

SUMMARY

This paper studies a growth rate of a solution blowing up at time T of the semilinear heat equation $u_t - \Delta u - |u|^{p-1}u = 0$ in a convex domain D in \mathbf{R}^n with zero-boundary condition. For a subcritical $p \in (1, (n+2)/(n-2))$ a growth rate estimate $|u(x, t)| \leq C(T-t)^{-1/(p-1)}$, $x \in D$, $t \in (0, T)$ is established with C independent of t provided that D is uniformly C^2 . The estimate applies to sign-changing solutions. The same estimate has been recently established when $D = \mathbf{R}^n$ by authors. The proof is similar but we need to establish $L^h - L^k$ estimate for a time-dependent domain because of the presence of the boundary.

*Partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No.14204011, the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science

†Correspondence to: Satoshi Sasayama, Hokkaido University, Department of Mathematics, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

‡JSPS fellow.

E-Mail: stori@f5.dion.ne.jp

Telephone/FAX 81-11-727-3705

On blow up rate for sign-changing solutions in a convex domain

Yoshikazu Giga^{1*}, Shin'ya Matsui² and Satoshi Sasayama^{3†‡}

^{1,3}*Hokkaido University, Department of Mathematics, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan*

²*Hokkaido Information University, Department of Information Science, Ebetsu 069-8585, Japan*

Dedicated to Professor Howard A. Levine on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

SUMMARY

This paper studies a growth rate of a solution blowing up at time T of the semilinear heat equation $u_t - \Delta u - |u|^{p-1}u = 0$ in a convex domain D in \mathbf{R}^n with zero-boundary condition. For a subcritical $p \in (1, (n+2)/(n-2))$ a growth rate estimate $|u(x, t)| \leq C(T-t)^{-1/(p-1)}$, $x \in D$, $t \in (0, T)$ is established with C independent of t provided that D is uniformly C^2 . The estimate applies to sign-changing solutions. The same estimate has been recently established when $D = \mathbf{R}^n$ by authors. The proof is similar but we need to establish $L^h - L^k$ estimate for a time-dependent domain because of the presence of the boundary.

KEY WORDS: blowup solution, rescaled equation, semilinear heat equation, blowup rate

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

This is a continuation of our work [12] on a growth rate estimate of a blowup solution of a semilinear heat equation. We consider the initial-boundary value problem for the semilinear heat equation of the form

$$u_t - \Delta u - |u|^{p-1}u = 0 \quad \text{in } D \times (0, T), \quad (1.1)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D \times (0, T), \quad (1.2)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0 \quad \text{at } t = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

*Partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No.14204011, the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science

†Correspondence to: Satoshi Sasayama, Hokkaido University, Department of Mathematics, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

‡JSPS fellow.

where D is a domain in \mathbf{R}^n and $p > 1$. As well-known this problem admits a local-in-time solution u for a bounded initial data u_0 , *i.e.*, $u_0 \in L^\infty(D)$ under suitable assumptions on D ; however, the solution u may blow up at some T in the sense that $\limsup_{t \uparrow T} \|u\|_\infty(t) = \infty$, where $\|u\|_\infty(t) = \sup_{x \in D} |u(x, t)|$. Our main concern is a growth rate estimate of a blowup solution of (1.1)-(1.3) when p is subcritical in the sense that $1 < p < p_S(n)$ with the Sobolev critical number

$$p_S(n) = \begin{cases} (n+2)/(n-2) & n \geq 3, \\ \infty & n = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

By a solution u of (1.1)-(1.3) we mean that $u \in C^{2,1}(D \times (0, T)) \cap C(\bar{D} \times [0, T])$ solves (1.1)-(1.3) and that u is bounded in $D \times (0, T_1)$ for any $T_1 \in (0, T)$ (if D is unbounded). Of course all blowup solution enjoys this property when $u_0 \in L^\infty(D)$. Our main result in this paper is

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $1 < p < p_S(n)$ and that D is a bounded, C^2 convex domain. Then there exists a constant C depending only on n, p, D and a bound for $T^{1/(p-1)} \|u_0\|_\infty$ such that any solution u of (1.1)-(1.3) fulfills

$$\|u\|_\infty(t) \leq C(T-t)^{-1/(p-1)} \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T). \quad (1.4)$$

In these almost two decades an asymptotic behavior of a blowup solution near blowup point has been well studied. Here we only list some crucial earlier works by Giga and Kohn [9],[10],[11], Filippas and Kohn [5], Herrero and Velázquez [14],[15],[16] Merle and Zaag [21],[22]. As already pointed out by Giga and Kohn [9] the growth estimate like (1.4) is crucial in studying the asymptotic behavior. The bound (1.4) was first proved by Weissler [24] for a radial solution on a ball with rather restrictive initial data. The result was extended by Friedman and McLeod [6] when D is a smoothly bounded, convex domain with initial data satisfying $u_0 \geq 0$, $\Delta u_0 + u_0^p \geq 0$ but for all p including $p \geq p_S(n)$. Their assumption on initial data guarantees that $u \geq 0$ and $u_t \geq 0$ for all $t < T$ so that it excludes all possible oscillation of u in time. For a general initial data including sign-changing data the bound (1.4) was proved by Giga and Kohn [10] under more restrictive assumption of the form $p < (3n+8)/(3n-4)$ for $n \geq 2$ than $p < p_S(n)$. (They also proved (1.4) for all $p \in (1, p_S(n))$ under the assumption $u_0 \geq 0$ so that the solution is positive.) Theorem 1.1 is interpreted as an improvement of their result since we impose no assumption on sign of u_0 and no further restriction on p other than $p < p_S(n)$. Moreover, dependence of C on T and $\|u_0\|_\infty$ is explicit compared with earlier results. Similar result has been proved by the authors [12] for $D = \mathbf{R}^n$. The restriction $p < p_S(n)$ is optimal by the results of Filippas, Herrero and Velázquez [4] for $p = p_S(n)$ and Herrero and Velázquez [17] for a very large p . For a general domain not necessarily convex, (1.4) is proved by Fila and Souplet [3] only for a positive solution and for $p \in (1, 1 + 2/(n+1))$. For a

Neumann problem the bound (1.4) has been recently proved by Ishige and Mizoguchi [18] for a positive solution and for $p \in (1, 1 + 2/n)$. In these two results dependence of C on T and $\|u_0\|_\infty$ is also implicit. By the way the power $-1/(p-1)$ in (1.4) is optimal since we always has the converse inequality with different C ; see Giga [8].

Remark 1.2. The boundedness of D in Theorem 1.1 is unnecessary if D is uniformly C^2 in the sense that ∂D has positive reach (Krantz and Parks [19]) and that all principal curvatures are bounded on ∂D . In particular (1.4) is still valid when D is a half space. (If D is a bounded C^2 domain, then ∂D always has positive reach (Krantz and Parks [19, Theorem 4.4.10])). By the way ∂D has always positive reach if D is a C^2 convex domain and all principal curvatures are bounded on ∂D . See Appendix for the proof.

The basic strategy of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is similar to that in [12] where $D = \mathbf{R}^n$ is studied. We convert the problem to establish a uniform bound for a global solution of the rescaled equation (renormalized equation)

$$\rho w_s - \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla w) + \beta \rho w - \rho |w|^{p-1} w = 0 \quad \text{in } W_a \quad (1.5)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} W_a &= \{(y, s); s > s_0 := -\log T, e^{-s/2}y + a \in D\} = \bigcup_{s>s_0} \Omega_a(s) \times \{s\}, \\ \rho(y) &= \exp(-|y|^2/4), \quad \beta = 1/(p-1), \quad a \in D, \quad \Omega_a(s) = \{y; e^{-s/2}y + a \in D\}. \end{aligned}$$

This equation is obtained from (1.1) by rescaling the variables x, t, u by y, s, w and

$$w = w^a(y, s) = (T-t)^\beta u(a + y\sqrt{T-t}, t).$$

As in [12], Remark 2.1 we may assume $T = 1$ in Theorem 1.1 by scaling so we may assume $s_0 = 0$. By a regularizing effect (cf. [12, §3.3]) we may assume that $u, \nabla u, \nabla^2 u$ and u_t are bounded and continuous on $\bar{D} \times [0, T_1]$ for each $T_1 < T$. The corresponding w now satisfies

$$\begin{cases} w, \nabla w, \nabla^2 w & \text{and } (1+|y|)^{-1}w_s & \text{are bounded and} \\ \text{continuous} & \text{on } \bigcup_{S \geq s \geq 0} \bar{\Omega}_a(s) \times \{s\} & \text{for each } S < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

As in [12, §4] Theorem 1.1 follows from the following uniform bound for w (independent of a).

Theorem 1.3. (Uniform bound) Assume that $1 < p < p_S(n)$ and that D is uniformly C^2 convex domain. Then there exists a constant $R_0 = R_0(n, p, D) > 0$ such that the estimate

$$\|w\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0} \cap \Omega_a(s))} \leq C \quad \text{for } s \geq 0 \quad (1.7)$$

holds for all w satisfying (1.5) (with $s_0 = 0$), (1.6) and the boundary condition

$$w = 0 \quad \text{on } \bigcup_{s>0} \partial \Omega_a(s) \times \{s\} \quad (1.8)$$

with some constant C depending only on n, p, D and a bound for $\|w_0\|_\infty$, where w_0 is the initial value of w . The constant C is independent of $a \in D$. (Here B_R denotes the open ball of radius R centered at the origin of \mathbf{R}^n .)

Indeed, (1.8) implies that $|u(a, t)| \leq C_R(1-t)^{-1/(p-1)}$ by fixing $R < R_0$ (independent of a). Since a is arbitrary, this implies (1.4) with $T = 1$. For a whole space problem we are able to choose R_0 arbitrary [12].

This uniform bound follows from the following integral bound.

Theorem 1.4. (Key integral estimate) Assume that $1 < p < p_S(n)$ and that D is uniformly C^2 convex domain. For each $q \geq 2$ there exists a constant $R_1 = R_1(n, p, q, D) > 0$ such that the estimate

$$(A_{q,R_1}) \quad \sup_{s \geq 0} \int_s^{s+1} \|w(\cdot, \tau); L^{p+1}(\Omega_a^{R_1}(\tau))\|^{(p+1)q} d\tau \leq \hat{C}_q, \quad a \in D$$

holds for all w satisfying (1.5), (1.6) and (1.8) with some constant \hat{C}_q depending only on n, p, D and a bound for $E[w](0)$ and for $\|w_0\|_{BC^2(D)}$, where $\Omega_a^{R_1}(\tau) = \Omega_a(\tau) \cap B_{R_1}$.

Here $BC^m(D)$ denotes the Banach space of all C^m functions on D such that all derivatives up to m -th order is bounded in D . The quantity $E[w](\tau)$ denotes the energy of the equation (1.5) which is defined by

$$E[w](\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega(\tau)} (|\nabla w|^2 + \beta|w|^2) \rho dy - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega(\tau)} |w|^{p+1} \rho dy, \quad (1.9)$$

where $w = w(\cdot, \tau)$.

The way to derive uniform bound from integral estimate is essentially known by Giga and Kohn [10]. Actually, they proved only $(A_{2,R})$ which yields a uniform bound (1.7) but they are forced to assume that $1 < p < (3n+8)/(3n-4)$. To derive (1.7) we apply an interpolation theorem due to Cazenave and Lions [2] and an interior and boundary regularity theorem for linear parabolic equations found in Ladyzhenskaya, Solonnikov and Ural'ceva [20] as discussed by Giga and Kohn [10]. We also need some regularizing effect to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3 as discussed by the authors [12, §4]. Estimate $(A_{q,R})$ for arbitrary $q \geq 2$ enables us to prove (1.7) for all $p \in (1, p_S(n))$.

The integral estimate $(A_{2,R})$ (for all $R > 0$) is obtained by integral identities involving the energy (1.9) as proved by Giga and Kohn [10, Propositions 2.1, 2.2]. For example we have

$$\int_{\Omega_a(s)} |w_s|^2 \rho dy = -\frac{d}{ds} E[w](s) - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\partial\Omega_a(s)} (y \cdot \nu) \left| \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 \rho d\sigma,$$

where ν is the exterior unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega(s)$ and $d\sigma$ is surface area element. If D is convex, then evidently the last term is negative, so we have

$$\int_{\Omega_a(s)} |w_s|^2 \rho dy \leq -\frac{d}{ds} E[w](s).$$

This in particular implies that $E[w](s)$ is decreasing in s . Using another identity obtained by multiplying w with (1.5), we also observe that $E[w](s) \geq 0$ if w is a global solution of (1.5) and (1.8). Thus under the assumption of convexity of D we have

$$0 \leq E[w](s) \leq E[w](0) \quad \text{for } s \geq 0. \quad (1.10)$$

To obtain integral estimate $(A_{q,R})$ for $q > 2$ it is convenient to introduce a localized energy of the form

$$\mathcal{E}_\varphi[w](s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\alpha(s)} \varphi^2 (|\nabla w|^2 + \beta |w|^2) \rho dy - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega_\alpha(s)} \varphi^2 |w|^{p+1} \rho dy,$$

where φ is a cut-off function of a ball. Of course $\mathcal{E}_\varphi[w] = E[w]$ if $\varphi \equiv 1$. Such a localized energy was first introduced by the authors [12]. An argument similar to that in [12] yields bounds for $\mathcal{E}_\varphi[w]$, which is weaker than (1.10) for global energy $E[w]$.

Lemma 1.5. (Bounds for a localized energy) Assume that $p > 1$ and that D is a convex domain. Then there exist positive constants L_1 and L_2 depending only on $n, p, M_j (j = 0, 1, 2)$ and a bound for $E[w](0)$ and for $\|w_0\|_\infty$ such that

$$-L_2 \leq \mathcal{E}_\varphi[w](s) \leq L_1 \quad \text{for all } s \geq 0 \quad (1.11)$$

for all w satisfying (1.5), (1.6) and (1.8) provided that $\varphi \in BC^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq M_0$, $\|\nabla \varphi\|_\infty \leq M_1$, $\|\Delta \varphi\|_\infty \leq M_2$.

We note that the convexity of D is substantially invoked here to prove (1.10) and also (1.11).

For a given ball B_R we fix a cut-off function φ of B_R defined by

$$\varphi(x) = \phi(|x|/R), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

with $\phi \in C^\infty[0, \infty)$ satisfying $\phi(\eta) = 1$ for $\eta \leq 1$, $\phi(\eta) = 0$ for $\eta \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ on $[0, \infty)$; we shall fix such a φ depending on R . As in [12] thanks to a lower bound (1.11) for \mathcal{E}_φ we observe that the following bound in an L^2 -Sobolev space $W^{1,2}$ is sufficient to prove Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 1.6. (Key $W^{1,2}$ -bound) Assume that $1 < p < p_S(n)$ and that D is uniformly C^2 convex domain. For each $q \geq 2$ there exists a constant $R_1 = R_1(n, p, q, D) > 0$ such that the estimate

$$(B_{q,R_1}) \quad \sup_{s \geq 0} \int_s^{s+1} \|w(\cdot, \tau); W^{1,2}(\Omega_a^{R_1}(\tau))\|^{2q} d\tau \leq C_q, \quad a \in D$$

holds for all w satisfying (1.5), (1.6) and (1.8) with some constant C_q depending only on $8, n, p, D$ and a bound for $E[w](0)$ and for $\|w_0\|_{BC^2(D)}$.

It remains to prove $W^{1,2}$ - bound. The basic strategy is a bootstrap argument which is basically similar to the case $D = \mathbf{R}^n$ discussed in [12, §6]. The estimate $(B_{2,R})$ for all $R > 0$ is easily proved by global theory developed by Giga and Kohn [10]; see [12, Proposition 6.1.]. Assume that $(B_{q,2R})$ holds. Then by an upper bound (1.11) for \mathcal{E}_φ we have

$$\|w(\cdot, \tau), W^{1,2}(\Omega_a^R(\tau))\|^2 \leq L_3(1 + \|\varphi w \varphi w_s(\cdot, \tau); L^1(\Omega_a^{2R}(\tau))\|)$$

with a constant $L_3 = L_3(n, p, R, M_1, M_2)$ as in [12, Proposition 6.3.]. We estimate φw - part by $(A_{q,2R})$ and the interpolation theorem due to Cazenave and Lions [2] and φw_s - part by $L^h - L^k$ estimate for a linear parabolic equation for which we should be careful because of the presence of the boundary. This $L^h - L^k$ estimate (Theorem 2.1) is a main technical contribution of this paper. Upper and lower bounds (1.11) for \mathcal{E}_φ enable us to prove $(B_{\tilde{q},R})$ for all $\tilde{q} \in (q, q + 2/(p+1))$. We repeat this procedure infinitely many times to obtain $(B_{q,R})$ for arbitrary $q \geq 2$ with some R and complete the proof of Theorem 1.6. A similar bootstrap argument has been developed by Quittner [23], where he established a global bound for a sign-changing global solution of (1.1)-(1.2) for any smoothly bounded domain. In that case the problem is global in space so there is no need to localize the energy.

In the next section we shall discuss $L^h - L^k$ estimate (Theorem 2.1) for a heat equation in a time-dependent domain which is essential to estimate φw_s - part.

2. HEAT EQUATION IN A TIME DEPENDENT DOMAIN

Our goal in this section is to prove $L^h - L^k$ estimate for the heat equation in W_a . The convexity of the domain is unnecessary. To simplify the notation we set

$$Q_{as_1s_2} = W_a \cap \{s_1 \leq s < s_2\} = \{(y, s) \in W_a; s_1 \leq s < s_2\}$$

and

$$S_{as_1s_2} = \bigcup_{s_1 \leq s < s_2} \partial\Omega_a(s) \times \{s\}.$$

For f defined in $Q_{as_1s_2}$ we define for $\tau \in [s_1, s_2)$

$$\|f\|_k(\tau) = \left(\int_{\Omega_a(\tau)} |f|^k dy \right)^{1/k}, \quad \|f\|_{BC^2}(\tau) = \max_{0 \leq k \leq 2} \sup_{y \in \Omega_a(\tau)} |\nabla^k f(y, \tau)|.$$

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $1 < h, k < \infty$ and $\Lambda > 0$ and that D is a uniformly C^2 domain in \mathbf{R}^n . Then there exists constants $R_*(h, k, n, D) > 0$ and $C_* = C_*(h, k, n, D, \Lambda)$ such that the estimate

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \{ \|w_s\|_k^h(s) + \|\nabla^2 w\|_k^h(s) \} ds \leq C_* \left(\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \|f\|_k^h(s) ds + \|w\|_{BC^2}^h(s_1) \right) \quad (2.1)$$

holds for all $w \in C^{2,1}(Q_{as_1s_2}) \cap C(\bar{Q}_{as_1s_2})$ satisfying

$$w_s - \Delta w = f \quad \text{in } Q_{as_1s_2}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$w = 0 \quad \text{on } S_{as_1s_2}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{supp } w \subset B_{R_*} \times [s_1, s_2) \cap Q_{as_1s_2} \quad (2.4)$$

with $s_2 > s_1 \geq 0$, $s_2 - s_1 \leq \Lambda$. and for all $a \in D$. (In particular constants R_* and C_* are independent of $a \in D$.)

To prove Theorem 2.1 one way would be to use $L^h - L^k$ estimate of $u_t + A(t)u = f$, where $A(t)$ is a time-dependent elliptic operator by changing $\Omega_a(s)$ to D . Although such an estimate is established in an abstract level by Giga, Giga and Sohr [7] and Yamamoto [25], our main purpose is to estimate w_s not u_t for a fixed domain which is not comparable. So we shall prove (2.1) by localizing the problem and by reducing it to a half space $L^h - L^k$ estimate.

For this purpose we recall an $L^h - L^k$ estimate for the heat equation in the whole space \mathbf{R}^n and the half space $\mathbf{R}_+^n = \{(x', x_n); x_n > 0\}$; see e.g. book of Amann [1] or a paper by Giga and Sohr [13].

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^n$ or \mathbf{R}_+^n and that $1 < h, k < \infty$ and $R > 0$. Then there exist constants $C_1 = C_1(h, k, n)$ and $C_2 = C_2(h, k, n, R)$ such that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \{ \|v_t\|_k^h(t) + \|\nabla^2 v\|_k^h(t) \} dt \leq C_1 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|v_t - \Delta v\|_k^h(t) dt + C_2 \|v\|_{BC^2}^h(t_1) \quad (2.5)$$

for all $v \in C^{2,1}(\Omega \times [t_1, t_2]) \cap C(\bar{\Omega} \times [t_1, t_2])$ satisfying $v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [t_1, t_2)$ (if $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$) with $\text{supp } v(t_1) \subset B_R$.

In the literature the last term is often replaced by some Besov norm of $v(t_1)$ which is dominated by $BC^2(\Omega)$ norm by restricting support of $v(t_1)$. The second term $\|\nabla^2 v\|_k^h$ is often replaced by a weaker norm $\|\Delta v\|_k^h$ but it is equivalent for $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^n$ or \mathbf{R}_+^n with the Dirichlet condition by the Calderón - Zygmund inequality.

We shall first establish an $L^h - L^k$ estimate for the heat equation when D is close to a half space. For $\xi \in BC^2(\mathbf{R}^{n-1})$ with $\xi(0) < 0$ let D be the domain of the form

$$D = \{(y', y_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n; y_n > \xi(y')\}.$$

Evidently D contains the origin and $\Omega_0(s) \uparrow \mathbf{R}^n$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\Omega_0(s) = e^{s/2} D = \{e^{s/2} y; y \in D\}.$$

We simply write $Q_{s_1s_2}$ instead of $Q_{0s_1s_2}$.

By the definition we observe that

$$Q_{s_1s_2} = \{(y', y_n, s) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}; y_n > \xi^s(y'), s_1 \leq s < s_2\}$$

with $\xi^s(y') := e^{s/2}\xi(e^{-s/2}y')$. Since $\xi(0) < 0$, the minimum time for $B_R \subset \Omega_0(s)$ defined by

$$s_*(R, \xi) = \inf\{s \geq 0; B_R \subset e^{s/2}D\} \quad (2.6)$$

is finite. If

$$\sup_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} |\nabla \xi| \leq 1, \quad \text{so that} \quad \sup_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} |\nabla \xi^s| \leq 1,$$

we observe, by definition of s_* and geometry, that

$$\sup_{|y'| < R} |\xi^s(y')| \leq (\sqrt{2} + 1)R \quad \text{for} \quad s \in [0, s_*]. \quad (2.7)$$

Thus

$$\sup_{|y'| < R} |\xi^s(y')| \leq e^{(s-s_*)/2}(\sqrt{2} + 1)R \quad \text{for all} \quad s \geq s_*. \quad (2.8)$$

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $1 < h, k < \infty$, $0 < \Lambda, N, R < \infty$. Then there exist constants $\delta = \delta(h, k, n) \in (0, 1)$, $C_3 = C_3(h, k, n)$, $C_4 = C_4(N, \Lambda, R, h, k, n)$ and $C_5 = C_5(h, k, n, R)$ such that

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \{ \|w_s\|_k^h(s) + \|\nabla^2 w\|_k^h(s) \} ds \leq C_3 \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \|f\|_k^h(s) ds + C_4 \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \|w\|_k^h(s) ds + C_5 \|w\|_{BC^2}^h(s_1) \quad (2.9)$$

for all $w \in C^{2,1}(Q_{s_1 s_2}) \cap C(\bar{Q}_{s_1 s_2})$ satisfying (2.2)-(2.4) (with $R_* = R$) provided that $s_2 - s_1 \leq \Lambda$, $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2$, $\sup_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} |\nabla \xi| \leq \delta$, $\sup_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} |\nabla^2 \xi| \leq N$.

Proof. If $s_1 \geq s_*$ where s_* is defined in (2.6), we apply $L^h - L^k$ estimate (2.5) for \mathbf{R}^n (Lemma 2.2) to get (2.9) with $C_4 = 0$ without any restriction on δ . So we may assume that $s_1 < s_*$.

We introduce a time-dependent change of coordinates to make $S_{s_1 s_2}$ flat and time-independent: let $v(x, t) = w(y, s)$ with

$$x_n = y_n - \xi^s(y'), \quad x' = y', \quad t = s.$$

Since $\nabla_{y'} \xi^s(y') = (\nabla \xi)(e^{-s/2}y')$, the Jacobian J of the mapping $(y', y_n) \mapsto (x', x_n)$ fulfills $|J - 1| \leq 1/2$ if $\kappa = \sup_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} |\nabla \xi|$ is small, say $\kappa \leq \delta_0 < 1$, where $\delta_0 = \delta_0(n)$. We shall assume that $\kappa \leq \delta_0$. Then the norms $\|v\|_k(t)$ and $\|w\|_k(s)$ is comparable in the sense that there is a constant $\lambda = \lambda(k, n)$

$$\lambda^{-1} \|w\|_k(s) \leq \|v\|_k(t) \leq \lambda \|w\|_k(s). \quad (2.10)$$

Since w solves (2.2) and (2.3), v solves a linear parabolic equation in $\mathbf{R}_+^n \times (s_1, s_2)$ with $v = 0$ on the boundary. The parabolic equation v solves is easily calculated. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \\ \nabla_{y'} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} + \nabla_{y'} x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\frac{\partial x_n}{\partial s} = -\frac{1}{2}\xi^s(y') + \frac{1}{2}y' \cdot \nabla \xi(e^{-s/2}y'), \quad \nabla_{y'} x_n = -\nabla \xi(e^{-s/2}y').$$

By (2.7) and (2.8) we see that

$$\left| \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial s} \right| \leq C' = C'(\Lambda, R) \quad \text{on} \quad B_R \times [s_1, s_2] \cap Q_{s_1, s_2} \quad (2.11)$$

of $s_2 - s_1 \leq \Lambda$. Thus (2.2) is transformed into

$$v_t = \Delta v + p(x, t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} v + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 2p_j(x, t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_n} v + g(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} v + \tilde{f} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{R}_+^n \times (s_1, s_2)$$

with $|p(x, t)| \leq \kappa^2$, $|p_j(x, t)| \leq \kappa$ ($j = 1, \dots, n-1$), $|g(x, t)| \leq C' + N$, where N is a bound for $|\nabla^2 \xi|$ in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} and $\tilde{f}(x, t) = f(y, s)$. We now apply (2.5) with $\Omega = \mathbf{R}_+^n$ and obtain that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \{ \|v_t\|_k^h + \|\nabla^2 v\|_k^h \} dt \leq C_1 \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\kappa^h \|\nabla^2 v\|_k^h + C'' \|\nabla v\|_k^h + \|\tilde{f}\|_k^h) dt \right) + C_2 \|v\|_{BC^2}^h(t_1)$$

with $C'' = (C' + N)^h$. We take $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ small so that $C_1 \delta^h < 1/2$ to absorb the first term in RHS to LHS if $\kappa < \delta$. By (2.10) and (2.11) we see that

$$\|w_s\|_k(s) \leq \lambda(\|v_t\|_k(t) + C' \|\nabla v\|_k(t)), \quad \|\nabla^2 w\|_k \leq \lambda(1 + \kappa) \|\nabla^2 v\|_k + \lambda N \|\nabla v\|_k.$$

These observations yields (2.1) if we use an interpolation

$$\|\nabla v\|_k \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla^2 v\|_k + C_\varepsilon \|v\|_k$$

for small $\varepsilon > 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Since the principal curvature of ∂D is bounded on ∂D , for a given $\delta > 0$ there exists a small number $r_0 > 0$ such that for any $x_0 \in \partial D$ the hypersurface ∂D in $B_{4r_0}(x_0)$ is represented as the graph $x_n = \xi(x')$ up to rotation with some $\xi \in C^2(\overline{B_{4r_0}(x'_0)})$ satisfying

$$\nabla \xi(x'_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{|x' - x'_0| \leq 4r_0} |\nabla \xi(x')| \leq \delta/2.$$

Here $B_R(x_0)$ is an open ball centered at $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $x_0 = (x'_0, x_{0n})$. Note that $r_0 > 0$ can be taken independent of x_0 . We may assume that D is located in $x_n > \xi(x')$.

Let r_1 be the reach of ∂D . We may assume that $4r_0 \leq r_1$ by taking $r_0 = r_0(\delta)$ smaller so that for any $x \in B_{4r_0}(x_0)$ there is a unique $z \in \partial D$ such that $\text{dist}(x, z) = \text{dist}(x, \partial D)$.

We now take $\delta = \delta(h, k, n)$ as in Lemma 2.3 and fix $r_0 = r_0(\delta, D)$. We divide D into two parts

$$D_1 = \{a \in D; \text{dist}(a, \partial D) \geq 2r_0\}, \quad D_2 = \{a \in D; \text{dist}(a, \partial D) < 2r_0\}$$

and take $R_* = r_0$. If a is not close to ∂D in the sense that $a \in D_1$, then $\overline{B_{R_*}(a)} \times [s_1, s_2] \subset Q_{as_1s_2}$ so one is able to apply $L^h - L^k$ estimate (2.5) for \mathbf{R}^n (Lemma 2.2) to get (2.1). For $a \in D_2$ there is a unique $x_0 \in \partial D$ such that $\eta = \text{dist}(a, x_0) = \text{dist}(a, \partial D)$. By rotation and translation we may assume that $a = 0$ and $x_0 = (0, -\eta)$. We take ξ as above and represent $D \cap B_{4r_0}(x_0)$ by $x_n > \xi(x')$, $x' \in B_{4r_0}(0)$. We extend ξ outside $\bar{B}_{4r_0} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ such that

$$\sup_{x' \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}} |\nabla \xi(x')| \leq \delta.$$

Since $B_{R_*} \times [s_1, s_2] \cap S_{as_1s_1} \subset B_{4r_0}(x_0) \times [s_1, s_2] \cap S_{as_1s_2}$, we are able to apply $L^h - L^k$ estimate in Lemma 2.3. Applying a Poincaré type inequality

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \|w\|_k^h ds \leq C_0 \left(\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \|w_s\|_k^h ds + \|w\|_k^h(s_1) \right)$$

to (2.9) yields (2.1). \square

Using Theorem 2.1 we are able to estimate $w_s \varphi$ term as in [12, Lemma 6.4.]. The only difference is that R should be small so that $2R \leq R_* = R_*(p_1, \theta \tilde{q} \alpha', n, D)$. We also needs a boundary version of a regularizing effect [12, Lemma 6.6.] for the proof; see also Giga and Kohn [10, Proposition 3.4.].

APPENDIX

Lemma A. Let D be a C^2 convex domain in \mathbf{R}^n with $n \geq 2$. Assume that all principal curvatures of ∂D is bounded. Then ∂D has positive reach.

Proof. Let $\kappa > 0$ be a bound of all principle curvatures of ∂D . We shall assert that for each point $x_0 \in \partial D$ there is a ball B of radius $1/\kappa$ contained in D such that $\partial B \cap \partial D = \{x_0\}$. This is an interior ball condition which guarantees the positive reach of ∂D . For $n = 2$ such a property is easily proved since ∂D is a convex curve. For $n \geq 3$ it can be proved by induction on n . If there is $x_0 \in \partial D$, $y_0 \in \partial D$ such that B is tangent to ∂D at x_0 and that $y_0 \in B$ although B is contained in D near x_0 by the rotation of the curvature. Let H be a hyperplane containing x_0 and y_0 . Then $D \cap H$ does not satisfy the interior ball condition (of radius $1/\kappa$) which contradicts the induction assumption for $n - 1$. \square

References

- [1] Amann H. *Linear and Quasilinear Parabolic Problems, Volume I : Abstract Linear Theory*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.
- [2] Cazenave T, Lions PL. Solution globales d'équations de la chaleur semi linéaires. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*. 1984; **9**:955-978.

- [3] Fila M, Souplet P. The blow-up rate for semilinear parabolic problems on general domains. *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* 2001; **8**:473-480.
- [4] Filippas S, Herrero MA, Velázquez JLL. Fast blow-up mechanisms for sign-changing solutions of a semilinear parabolic equation with critical nonlinearity. *Proc. Royal Soc. Lond. A* 2000; **456**:2957-2982.
- [5] Filippas S, Kohn RV. Refined asymptotics for the blowup of $u_t - \Delta u = u^p$. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 1992; **45**:821-869.
- [6] Friedman A, McLeod B. Blowup of positive solutions of semilinear heat equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 1985; **34**:425-447.
- [7] Giga M, Giga Y, Sohr H. L^p estimate for abstract linear parabolic equations. *Proc. Japan. Acad. Ser. A.* 1991; **67**: 197-202.
- [8] Giga Y. Solutions for semilinear parabolic equations L^p and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system. *J. Differential Equations* 1986; **62**:541-554.
- [9] Giga Y, Kohn RV. Asymptotically self-similar blowup of semilinear heat equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 1985; **38**:297-319.
- [10] Giga Y, Kohn RV. Characterizing blowup using similarity variables. *Indiana Univ. Math J.* 1987; **36**:1-40.
- [11] Giga Y, Kohn RV. Nondegeneracy of blowup for semilinear heat equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 1989; **42**:845-884.
- [12] Giga Y, Matsui S, Sasayama S. Blow up rate for semilinear heat equation with subcritical nonlinearity. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* to appear
- [13] Giga Y, Sohr H. Abstract L^p estimates for the Cauchy problem with application to the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains. *J. Functional Anal.* 1991; **102**:72-94.
- [14] Herrero MA, Velázquez JLL. Blow-up profiles in one-dimensional, semilinear parabolic problems. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations.* 1992; **17**:205-219.
- [15] Herrero MA, Velázquez JLL. Flat blow-up in one-dimensional semilinear heat equations. *Differential and Integral Equations.* 1992; **5**:973-997.
- [16] Herrero MA, Velázquez JLL. Blow-up behaviour of one-dimensional semilinear parabolic equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 1993; **10**:131-189.

- [17] Herrero MA, Velázquez JJJ. Explosion de solutions d'équations paraboliques semilinéaires supercritiques. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 1994; **319**:141-145.
- [18] Ishige K, Mizoguchi N. Blow-up behavior for semilinear heat equation with Neumann boundary conditions. *Preprint*.
- [19] Krantz SG, Parks HR. *The Implicit Function Theorem, History, Theory and Applications*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002.
- [20] Ladyzenskaya OA, Solonnikov VA, Ural'ceva NN. *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*. Amer. Math. Soc.: Providence, 1968.
- [21] Merle F, Zaag H. Stability of the blow-up profile for equations of the type $u_t = \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u$. *Duke Math. J.* 1997; **86**:143-195.
- [22] Merle F, Zaag H. Optimal estimates for blowup rate and behavior for nonlinear heat equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 1998; **51**:139-196.
- [23] Quittner P. A priori bounds for global solutions of a semilinear parabolic problem. *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae* 1999; **68-2**:195-203.
- [24] Weissler FB. An L^∞ blowup estimate for a nonlinear heat equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 1985; **38**: 291-296.
- [25] Yamamoto Y. Solution in L^p of abstract parabolic equations in Hilbert spaces. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 1993; **33**: 299-314.