



Title	Invariant Subspaces In The Bidisc And Wandering Subspaces
Author(s)	Nakazi, Takahiko
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 848, 1-10
Issue Date	2007
DOI	10.14943/83998
Doc URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2115/69657">http://hdl.handle.net/2115/69657</a>
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	pre848.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

# Invariant Subspaces In The Bidisc And Wandering Subspaces

By

Takahiko Nakazi\*

\* This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research,  
Japan Society for the Promotion of Science

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary 47 A 15, 46 J 15 ; Secondary  
47 A 20

**Abstract.** Let  $M$  be a forward shift invariant subspace and  $N$  a backward shift invariant subspace in the Hardy space  $H^2$  on the bidisc. We assume that  $H^2 = N \oplus M$ . Using the wandering subspace of  $M$  and  $N$ , we study the relations between  $M$  and  $N$ . Moreover we study  $M$  and  $N$  using several natural operators which are defined by shift operators on  $H^2$ .

## §1. Introduction

Let  $T^2$  be the torus that is the Cartesian product of two unit circles  $T$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $p = 2$  or  $p = \infty$ . The usual Lebesgue spaces, with respect to the Haar measure  $m$  on  $T^2$ , are denoted by  $L^p = L^p(T^2)$ , and  $H^p = H^p(T^2)$  is the space of all  $f$  in  $L^p$  whose Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{f}(j, \ell) = \int_{T^2} f(z, w) \bar{z}^j \bar{w}^\ell dm(z, w)$$

are 0 as soon as at least one component of  $(j, \ell)$  is negative. Then  $H^p$  is called the Hardy space. As  $T^2 = (z, T) \times (w, T)$ ,  $H^p(z, T)$  and  $H^p(w, T)$  denote the one variable Hardy spaces.

Let  $P_{H^2}$  be the orthogonal projection from  $L^2$  onto  $H^2$ . For  $\phi$  in  $L^\infty$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_\phi$  is defined by

$$T_\phi f = P_{H^2}(\phi f) \quad (f \in H^2).$$

A closed subspace  $M$  of  $H^2$  is said to be forward shift invariant if  $T_z M \subset M$  and  $T_w M \subset M$ , and a closed subspace  $N$  of  $H^2$  is said to be backward shift invariant if  $T_z^* N \subset N$  and  $T_w^* N \subset N$ . Let  $P_M$  and  $P_N$  be the orthogonal projections from  $H^2$  onto  $M$  and  $N$ , respectively. In this paper, we assume that  $M \oplus N = H^2$ , that is,  $P_M + P_N = I$  where  $I$  is the identity operator on  $H^2$ . Let

$$A = P_M T_z P_N \text{ and } B = P_N T_w^* P_M.$$

For  $\phi$  in  $H^\infty$

$$V_\phi f = P_M(\phi f) \quad (f \in M)$$

and

$$S_\phi f = P_N(\phi f) \quad (f \in N).$$

Suppose that

$$\mathcal{V} = V_z V_w^* - V_w^* V_z \text{ and } \mathcal{S} = S_z S_w^* - S_w^* S_z.$$

It is known [4] that  $AB \upharpoonright M = \mathcal{V}$  and  $BA \upharpoonright N = \mathcal{S}$ . K.Guo and R.Yang [3] showed that  $AB$  is Hilbert-Schmidt under some mild condition. In this paper, we study  $M$  or  $N$  when  $A, B, AB$  or  $BA$  is of finite rank. K.Izuchi and T.Nakazi [4] described an invariant subspace  $M$  or  $N$  with  $A = 0$  or  $B = 0$ . V.Mandrekar [6], P.Ghatage and V.Mandrekar [2], and T.Nakazi ([7], [8]) described an invariant subspace  $M$  with  $AB = 0$ . K.Izuchi and T.Nakazi [4] and K.Izuchi, T.Nakazi and M.Seto [5] described an invariant subspace  $N$  with  $BA = 0$ .

For a forward shift invariant subspace  $M$ , put

$$M_1 = \ker V_z^*, M_2 = \ker V_w^* \text{ and } M_0 = M_1 \cap M_2.$$

then these are called wandering subspaces for  $M$ . For a backward shift invariant subspace  $N$ , with  $M = H^2 \ominus N$ , put

$$N_1 = [T_z^* M_1]_2, N_2 = [T_w^* M_2]_2 \text{ and } N_0 = N_1 \cap N_2,$$

then these should be called wandering subspaces for  $N$ .

In §2 we decompose and study  $M$  and  $N$  using wandering subspaces  $M_1, M_2, N_1$  and  $N_2$ . In §3 we study  $M$  and  $N$  when  $A$  or  $B$  is of finite rank. For an operator  $K$ ,  $r(K)$  denotes the rank of  $K$ . In §4 we show that  $r(AB) = \dim N_1 \cap N_2$  in general and  $r(BA) = \dim M_1 \cap M_2$  under some mild conditions.

## §2. Wandering subspace

Let  $M$  be a forward shift invariant subspace and  $N$  be a backward shift invariant subspace with  $H^2 = M \oplus N$ . Put

$$M_z^\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f \in M ; z^n f \in M\} \quad \text{and} \quad M_w^\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f \in M ; \bar{w}^n f \in M\}$$

, and

$$N_z^\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f \in N ; z^n f \in N\} \quad \text{and} \quad N_w^\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f \in N ; w^n f \in N\}.$$

In the case of one variable,  $M_z^\infty = N_z^\infty = \{0\}$ . In the case of two variables,  $M_z^\infty$  is also always  $\{0\}$  but  $N_z^\infty$  may not be  $\{0\}$ . In fact, if  $N \supset q_1 H^2(z, T)$  then  $N_z^\infty \supset q_1 H^2(z, T)$  where  $q_1 = q_1(z)$  is one variable inner function.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $N$  be a backward shift invariant subspace and  $M = H^2 \ominus N$ .*

$$(1) \quad M_z^\infty = M_w^\infty = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \oplus T_z^n M_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \oplus T_w^n M_2.$$

$$(2) \quad N = \left[ \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T_z^{*n} N_1 \right]_2 \oplus N_z^\infty = \left[ \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T_w^{*n} N_2 \right]_2 \oplus N_w^\infty.$$

Proof. (1) is well known. (2) If  $f \in N_z^\infty$  then by definition  $z^n f \in N$  for any  $n \geq 1$  and hence  $f$  is orthogonal to  $\left[ \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T_z^{*n} N_1 \right]_2$ . Conversely suppose that  $f$  is orthogonal

to  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T_z^{*n} N_1$ . Since  $f \perp N_1$ ,  $z f$  is orthogonal to  $M_1 + zM$  because  $N_1 = T_z^* M_1$  and  $f \in N$ .

Hence  $z f \in N$ . Since  $f \perp T_z^* N_1$ ,  $z^2 f$  is orthogonal to  $M_1 + zM$  because  $T_z^* N_1 = T_z^{*2} M_1$  and  $z f \in N$ . Hence  $z^2 f \in N$ . By repeating the same argument, we can show that  $z^n f$  belongs to  $N$  for any  $n \geq 1$ . This implies (2).

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $N$  be a backward shift invariant subspace.*

(1)  $N = N_z^\infty$  if and only if  $N = H^2(z, T) \otimes (H^2(w, T) \ominus q_2 H^2(w, T))$  where  $q_2 = q_2(w)$  is a one variable inner function.

(2)  $N = \left[ \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T_z^{*n} N_1 \right]_2$  if and only if for each nonzero  $f$  in  $N$  there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $z^n f \notin N$ .

Proof (1) If  $N = N_z^\infty$  then  $N_1 = 0$  and so  $T_z^* M_1 = 0$ . Hence  $M_1 \subset H^2(w, T)$  and so  $M_1 = q_2 H^2(w, T)$  by a well known theorem of A.Beurling [1]. Therefore  $M = q_2 H^2$  and so  $N = H^2(z, T) \otimes (H^2(w, T) \ominus q_2 H^2(w, T))$ . Conversely if  $M = q_2 H^2$  then  $M_1 = q_2 H^2(w, T)$  and so  $N_1 = T_z^* M_1 = 0$ . (2) is clear by (2) of Theorem 1.

By (1) of Theorem 1, both  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are cyclic subspaces for  $T_z$  and  $T_w$ , that is,

$$\left[ \bigcup_{(n,m) \geq (0,0)} T_z^n T_w^m M_j \right]_2 = M \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2.$$

It may happen that  $\left[ \bigcup_{(n,m) \geq (0,0)} T_z^n T_w^m M_0 \right]_2 = M$  where  $M_0 = M_1 \cap M_2$ . By (2) of Theorem 1, if  $N_z^\infty = \{0\}$  or  $N_w^\infty = \{0\}$  then  $N_1$  or  $N_2$  is a cyclic subspace for  $T_z^*$  and  $T_w^*$ , that is,

$$\left[ \bigcup_{(n,m) \geq (0,0)} T_z^{*n} T_w^{*m} N_j \right]_2 = N \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2.$$

In general,  $N_0$  may not be a cyclic subspace because  $N_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$  may happen. We can ask whether  $T_z^* M_0$  or  $T_w^* M_0$  is a cyclic subspace for  $T_z^*$  and  $T_w^*$  because  $N_1 \supset T_z^* M_0$  and  $N_2 \supset T_w^* M_0$ . However this is not true. If  $M = zH^2$  then  $N = H^2(w, T)$  and  $M_0 = \langle z \rangle$ . Then  $T_w^* M_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$  and  $T_z^* M_0 = \langle 1 \rangle$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $N = H^2(z, T) + H^2(w, T)$ . Then the following (1) ~ (3) are valid.

- (1)  $N_1 = wH^2(w, T)$ ,  $N_2 = zH^2(z, T)$  and  $N_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$ .
- (2)  $\left[ \bigcup_{n \geq 0} T_z^{*n} N_1 \right]_2 = wH^2(w, T)$ ,  $\left[ \bigcup_{n \geq 0} T_w^{*n} N_2 \right]_2 = zH^2(z, T)$  and  $\left[ \bigcup_{(n,m) \geq 0} T_z^{*n} T_w^{*m} N_0 \right]_2 = \langle 0 \rangle$ .
- (3)  $N_z^\infty = H^2(z, T)$  and  $N_w^\infty = H^2(w, T)$

**Example 2.** Let  $N = \mathcal{C}$  and  $M = zH^2 + wH^2$ . Then the following (1) ~ (3) are valid.

- (1)  $N_1 = N_2 = N_0 = \mathcal{C}$ .
- (2)  $\left[ \bigcup_{n \geq 0} T_z^{*n} N_1 \right]_2 = \left[ \bigcup_{n \geq 0} T_w^{*n} N_2 \right]_2 = \left[ \bigcup_{(n,m) \geq (0,0)} T_z^{*n} T_w^{*m} N_0 \right]_2 = N$ .

$$(3) N_z^\infty = N_w^\infty = \langle 0 \rangle.$$

**Example 3.** Let  $N = (H^2(z, T) \ominus q_1 H^2(z, T)) \otimes (H^2(w, T) \ominus q_2 H^2(w, T))$  and  $M = q_1 H^2 + q_2 H^2$  where  $q_1 = q_1(z)$  and  $q_2 = q_2(w)$  are one variable inner functions.

(1)  $M_1 = q_1(H^2(w, T) \ominus q_2 H^2(w, T)) \oplus q_2 H^2(w, T)$  and  $M_2 = q_2(H^2(z, T) \ominus q_1 H^2(z, T)) \oplus q_1 H^2(z, T)$ .

(2)  $N_1 = (T_z^* q_1)(H^2(w, T) \ominus q_2 H^2(w, T))$ ,  $N_2 = (T_w^* q_2)(H^2(z, T) \ominus q_1 H^2(z, T))$  and  $N_0 = \langle (T_z^* q_1)(T_w^* q_2) \rangle$ .

$$(3) \left[ \bigcup_{n \geq 0} T_z^{*n} N_1 \right]_2 = \left[ \bigcup_{n \geq 0} T_w^{*n} N_2 \right]_2 = \left[ \bigcup_{(n,m) \geq (0,0)} T_z^{*n} T_w^{*m} N_0 \right]_2 = N.$$

Proof. (2) and (3) follow from (1). It is known [4] that  $M = q_2 H^2 \oplus q_1 (H^2 \ominus q_2 H^2) = (H^2(z, T) \otimes q_2 H^2(w, T)) \oplus \{q_1 H^2(z, T) \otimes (H^2(w, T) \ominus q_2 H^2(w, T))\}$ . Hence (1) follows.

### §3. $r(A) < \infty$ or $r(B) < \infty$

Recall that  $A = P_M T_z P_N$  and  $B = P_N T_w^* P_M$  (see Introduction). In this section, we are interested in when  $A$  or  $B$  is of finite rank. We know a characterization of  $A = 0$  or  $B = 0$  (see [3]). In fact  $A = 0$  if and only if  $N = H^2$  or  $N = H^2 \ominus q H^2$  where  $q = q(w)$  is a one variable inner function, and  $B = 0$  if and only if  $M = \{0\}$  or  $M = q H^2$  where  $q = q(z)$  is a one variable function. In one variable Hardy space,  $A$  is of rank one for any  $N$  or  $B$  is of rank one for any  $M$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $M$  be a forward shift invariant subspace of  $H^2$  and  $N = H^2 \ominus M$ .

- (1)  $[\text{ran} A]_2 \subseteq M_1$  and  $\ker A = \{f \in N ; T_z f \in N\} \oplus M$ .
- (2)  $[\text{ran} A^*]_2 = N_1$  and  $\ker A^* = \{f \in M ; T_z^* f \in M\} \oplus N$ .
- (3)  $M_1 = [\text{ran} A]_2 \oplus \{\ker A^* \ominus (T_z M \oplus N)\}$ .
- (4)  $M = [\text{ran} A]_2 \oplus (\ker A^* \ominus N)$  and  $N = [\text{ran} A^*]_2 \oplus (\ker A \ominus M)$ .

Proof. (1) By definitions,  $[\text{ran} A]_2 = [PT_z N]_2 \subseteq M_1$  because  $T_z N$  is orthogonal to  $T_z M$  and  $\ker A = \{f \in N ; T_z f \in N\} \oplus M$ . (2) Since  $T_z^* M = T_z^* M_1 \oplus M$ ,  $[\text{ran} A^*]_2 = [T_z^* M_1]_2 = N_1$ . By definition,  $\ker A^* = \{f \in M ; T_z^* f \in M\} \oplus N$ . (3) is clear by (1) and that  $H^2 = [\text{ran} A]_2 \oplus \ker A^*$ . (4) is clear by (1),(2) and that  $H^2 = [\text{ran} A^*]_2 \oplus \ker A$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $M$  be a forward shift invariant subspace of  $H^2$  and  $N = H^2 \ominus M$ .

- (1)  $[\text{ran} A]_2 = M_1 \ominus (M_1 \cap \ker T_z^*)$ .
- (2)  $\ker A^* = (M_1 \cap \ker T_z^*) \oplus T_z M \oplus N$ .

Proof. (1) Since  $T_z N \perp \ker T_z^*$ ,  $T_z N \perp M_1 \cap \ker T_z^*$  and so  $P_M T_z N \perp M_1 \cap \ker T_z^*$ . Hence by (1) of Lemma 1  $[\text{ran} A]_2 \subseteq M_1 \ominus (M_1 \cap \ker T_z^*)$ . If  $f \in M_1$  and  $f \perp \text{ran} A$ , then

$f \perp T_z N$  and so  $T_z^* f \perp N$ . Hence  $T_z^* f \in N \cap M$  because  $T_z^* M_1 \perp M$ . Hence  $T_z^* f = 0$ . (2) is a result of (1) by (2) of Lemma 1.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $M$  be a forward shift invariant subspace of  $H^2$ . Then if  $[\text{ran}A]_2 \neq M_1$  then  $M_1 = [\text{ran}A]_2 \oplus q_2 H^2(w, T)$ .*

Proof. By Lemma 2,  $M_1 \ominus [\text{ran}A]_2 = M_1 \cap \ker T_z^*$  and  $M_1 \cap \ker T_z^* \subset H^2(w, T)$  because  $\ker T_z^* = H^2(w, T)$ . Hence  $w(M_1 \cap \ker T_z^*) \perp zM$  and so  $w(M_1 \cap \ker T_z^*) \subseteq M_1 \cap \ker T_z^*$ . By a theorem of Beurling [1]  $M_1 \ominus [\text{ran}A]_2 = q_2 H^2(w, T)$  for some one variable inner function  $q_2 = q_2(w)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M$  be a nonzero forward shift invariant subspace.*

(1) *If  $r(A) < \infty$  then  $M_1 = \text{ran}A \oplus q_2 H^2(w, T)$  and  $M = q_2 H^2 \oplus \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\text{ran}A) z^j \right\}$*

where  $q_2 = q_2(w)$  is a one variable inner function.

(2) *If  $r(B) < \infty$  then  $M_2 = \text{ran}B^* \oplus q_1 H^2(z, T)$  and  $M = q_1 H^2 \oplus \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\text{ran}B^*) w^j \right\}$*

where  $q_1 = q_1(z)$  is a one variable inner function.

(3) *If  $r(A) < \infty$  and  $r(B) < \infty$  then there exist two inner functions  $q_1 = q_1(z)$  and  $q_2 = q_2(w)$  such that  $q_1 H^2 + q_2 H^2$  is a closed forward shift invariant subspace,  $M \supseteq q_1 H^2 + q_2 H^2$  and  $\dim\{M_1 + M_2\} / \{q_1 H^2(z, T) + q_2 H^2(w, T)\} \leq r(A) + r(B)$ .*

Proof. Since  $\dim M_1 = \infty$  by [7, Theorem 3], if  $r(A) < \infty$  then  $[\text{ran}A]_2 \neq M_1$  and so by Lemm 3  $M_1 = [\text{ran}A]_2 \oplus q_2 H^2(w, T)$  for some one variable inner function  $q_2 = q_2(w)$ . This implies (1). If  $r(B) < \infty$  then  $r(B^*) < \infty$ . Since  $B^* = P_M T_w P_N$ , (1) implies (2). If  $r(A) < \infty$  and  $r(B) < \infty$ , (1) and (2) imply (3) because it is known [4] that  $q_1 H^2 + q_2 H^2$  is closed.

**Corollary 2.** (1) *If  $A = 0$  then  $M = \{0\}$  or  $M = q_2 H^2$  for some one variable inner function  $q_2 = q_2(w)$ .*

(2) *If  $B = 0$  then  $M = \{0\}$  or  $M = q_1 H^2$  for some one variable inner function  $q_1 = q_1(z)$ .*

**Corollary 3.** (1) *If  $0 \leq n \leq \infty$  and  $0 \leq m \leq \infty$ , then there exist invariant subspaces  $M$  and  $N$  such that  $r(A) = n$  and  $r(B) = m$ .*

(2) *If  $r(B) = 0$  then  $r(A) = 0$  or  $r(A) = \infty$ . If  $r(A) = 0$  then  $r(B) = 0$  or  $r(B) = \infty$ .*

Proof. (1) Let  $1 \leq n < \infty$  and  $1 \leq m < \infty$ . Suppose that  $M = z^m H^2 + w^n H^2$ , then  $M_1 = w^n H^2(w, T) + \langle 1, w, \dots, w^n \rangle z^m$  and  $M_2 = z^m H^2(z, T) + \langle 1, z, \dots, z^m \rangle w^n$ . By (1) and (2) of Theorem 2,  $r(A) = n$  and  $r(B) = m$ .

(2) If  $r(B) = 0$ , then by (2) of Corollary 2  $M = \{0\}$  or  $M = q_1 H^2$  where  $q_1 = q_1(z)$  is a one variable inner function. If  $M = \{0\}$  then  $r(A) = 0$  by definition. If  $M = q_1 H^2$



then  $M_1 = q_1H^2(w, T)$  and so if  $r(A) < \infty$  then by (1) of Theorem 2  $M_1 \supseteq q_2H^2(w, T)$  for some one variable inner function  $q_2 = q_2(w)$ . This implies that  $q_1$  is constant. Hence  $M = H^2$  and so  $A = 0$ .

**Corollary 4.** *If  $M = q_1H^2 + q_2H^2$  where  $q_1 = q_1(z)$  and  $q_2 = q_2(w)$  are one variable inner functions, then  $[\text{ran}A]_2 = q_1(H^2(w, T) \ominus q_2H^2(w, T))$  and  $[\text{ran}B^*]_2 = q_2(H^2(z, T) \ominus q_1H^2(z, T))$ . If  $r(A) < \infty$  and  $r(B) < \infty$  then  $r(A) = \deg q_2$  and  $r(B) = \deg q_1$ .*

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $M$  be a forward shift invariant subspace. If  $M$  is of finite co-dimension  $n$  then  $r(A) \leq n$ ,  $r(B) \leq n$  and  $M \supseteq q_1H^2 + q_2H^2$  where  $q_1 = q_1(z)$  and  $q_2 = q_2(w)$  are one variable finite Blaschke products.*

Proof. By the definitions of  $A$  and  $B$ , it is clear that  $r(A) \leq n$  and  $r(B) \leq n$ . The second statement follows from (3) of Theorem 2.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $M$  be a forward shift invariant subspace. Then  $M \supseteq q_1H^2 + q_2H^2$  for some one variable inner functions  $q_1 = q_1(z)$  and  $q_2 = q_2(w)$  if and only if  $[\text{ran}A]_2 \neq M_1$  and  $[\text{ran}B^*]_2 \neq M_2$ .*

Proof. The ‘if’ part is clear by Lemma 3. If  $M \supseteq q_1H^2$  then  $q_1H^2(z, T)$  is orthogonal to  $wM$  and so  $q_1H^2(z, T) \subseteq M_2$ . Hence Lemma 2 implies that  $[\text{ran}B^*]_2 \neq M_2$ . Similarly we can prove that if  $M \supseteq q_2H^2$  then  $[\text{ran}A]_2 \neq M_1$ .

**Proposition 2.**  *$N_1 = [\text{ran}A^*]_2$  and  $N_2 = [\text{ran}B]_2$ . Hence  $\dim N_1 = r(A)$  and  $\dim N_2 = r(B)$ .*

Proof. It is a result of (2) of Lemma 1.

#### §4. $r(AB) < \infty$ or $r(BA) < \infty$

Let  $M$  be a forward shift invariant subspace and  $N = H^2 \ominus M$ . Recall the definitions of  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  in Introduction. It is known [4] that  $AB \upharpoonright M = \mathcal{V}$  and  $BA \upharpoonright N = \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $AB = 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{V} = 0$ , and  $BA = 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{S} = 0$ . We know the characterization of an invariant subspace such that  $AB = 0$  or  $BA = 0$ . In fact, it is known (cf. [6],[7],[8]) that  $AB = 0$  if and only if  $M = qH^2$  for some inner function  $q$ . Recently it was proved (cf. [4],[5]) that  $BA = 0$  if and only if  $N = (H^2(z, T) \ominus q_1H^2(z, T)) \otimes (H^2(w, T) \ominus q_2H^2(w, T))$ ,  $N = (H^2(z, T) \ominus q_1H^2(z, T)) \otimes H^2(w, T)$  or  $N = H^2(z, T) \otimes (H^2(w, T) \ominus q_2H^2(w, T))$ , where  $q_1 = q_1(z)$  and  $q_2 = q_2(w)$  are one variable inner functions. In this section, we study invariant subspaces such that  $r(AB) < \infty$  or  $r(BA) < \infty$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $M$  be a forward shift invariant subspace and  $N = H^2 \ominus M$ .*

(1)  $r(BA) = \dim([P_M T_z N]_2 \cap [P_M T_w N]_2)$ .

(2)  $r(AB) = \dim([P_N T_z^* M]_2 \cap [P_N T_w^* M]_2)$ .

Proof. (1) Since  $[BAH^2]_2 = [B[\text{ran}A]_2]_2$ ,  $r(BA) = \dim((\ker B)^\perp \cap [\text{ran}A]_2)$ . This implies (1) because  $(\ker B)^\perp = [\text{ran}B^*]_2 = [P_M T_w N]_2$  and  $[\text{ran}A]_2 = [P_M T_z N]_2$ . Similarly, (2) can be proved.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $M$  be a forward shift invariant subspace of  $H^2$  and  $N = H^2 \ominus M$ .*

(1) *If  $M_1 \cap \ker T_z^* = \{0\}$  and  $M_2 \cap \ker T_w^* = \{0\}$  then  $r(BA) = \dim M_1 \cap M_2$ .*

(2)  $r(AB) = \dim N_1 \cap N_2$ .

Proof. (1) By (1) and (2) of Lemma 1,  $[\text{ran}A]_2 = [P_M T_z N]_2 \subseteq M_1$  and  $[\text{ran}B^*]_2 = [P_M T_w N]_2 \subseteq M_2$ . By Lemma 2, if  $M_1 \cap \ker T_z^* = \{0\}$  then  $[P_M T_z N]_2 = M_1$  and if  $M_2 \cap \ker T_w^* = \{0\}$  then  $[P_M T_w N]_2 = M_2$ . Hence  $r(BA) = \dim M_1 \cap M_2$  by Lemma 4.

(2) Since  $[P_N T_z^* M]_2 = [P_N T_z^* M_1]_2 = N_1$  and  $[P_N T_w^* M]_2 = [P_N T_w^* M_2]_2 = N_2$ , by Lemma 4  $r(AB) = \dim N_1 \cap N_2$ .

In (1) of Theorem 3, we need the condition :  $M_1 \cap \ker T_z^* = M_2 \cap \ker T_w^* = \{0\}$ . In fact,  $M_1 \cap M_2$  is always not trivial but  $BA$  may be zero.

## References

1. A.Beurling, On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space, Acta Math. 81(1949), 239-255.
2. P.Ghatage and V.Mandrekar, On Beurling type invariant subspaces of  $L^2(\mathbf{T}^2)$  and their equivalence, J.Operator Theory, 20(1988), 31-38.
3. K.Guo and R.Yang, The core function of submodules over the bidisk, in preprint.
4. K.Izuchi and T.Nakazi, Backward shift invariant subspaces in the bidisc, Hokkaido Math.J.XXXV@(2004), 247-254.
5. K.Izuchi, T.Nakazi and M.Seto, Backward shift invariant subspaces in the bidisc U. J.Operator Th. 51(2004), 361-376.
6. V.Mandrekar, The validity of Beurling theorems in polydiscs, Proc. Amer. Math.Soc. 103(1988), 145-148.
7. T.Nakazi, Certain invariant subspaces of  $H^2$  and  $L^2$  on a bidisc, Canad. J. Math. XL(1988), 1272-1280.
8. T.Nakazi, Invariant Subspaces in the bidisc and commutators, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 56(1994), 232-242.
9. T.Nakazi, Homogeneous polynomials and invariant subspaces in the polydiscs, Arch. Math. 58(1992), 56-63.

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Hokkaido University  
Sapporo 060-0810, Japan