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Linear differential relations satisfied by Wirtinger integrals

Humihiko Watanabe

Dedicated to Professor Masaaki Yoshida on his sixtieth birthday.

Abstract. We will derive linear differential relations satisfied by Wirtinger integrals by exploiting classical formulas of Jacobi’s theta functions, forgetting that Wirtinger integrals are related to Gauss hypergeometric functions, although these linear differential relations are related to ones satisfied by Gauss hypergeometric functions.

0. Introduction.

In our recent paper [3] we computed twisted homology and cohomology groups with coefficients in local systems associated to a power product of Jacobi’s four theta functions (see also Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 below). This result naturally leads us to consider the paring of non-vanishing homology and cohomology groups which is expressed as a definite integral by the twisted de Rham theory. Such an integral is identical with the one considered by Wirtinger [4]; we propose to call such an integral, including the original one obtained by him, Wirtinger integral. As is seen in [4] (see also [2]), Wirtinger integrals are the lifts of Gauss hypergeometric functions to the upper half plane. So one can expect that Wirtinger integrals satisfy linear differential relations which come from ones satisfied by Gauss hypergeometric differential equation. In this paper we will derive such linear differential relations for Wirtinger integrals by exploiting classical formulas of Jacobi’s theta functions, forgetting that Wirtinger integrals are related to Gauss hypergeometric functions. Thus our method of derivation of the linear differential relations for Wirtinger integrals developed in this paper, with our previous ones [2] and [3], is regarded to form a part of the reconstruction of the theory of Gauss hypergeometric functions from the viewpoint of the theory of Jacobi’s theta functions.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professor Y. Haraoka for illuminating discussion.

1. Preliminaries and the main result.

In this paper we follow Chandrasekharan’s notation for theta functions ([1]). For \( \tau \in \mathbb{C} \) with \( \text{Im}(\tau) > 0 \), we set \( \Gamma = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} \tau \), \( D = \{ 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \} \), and \( M = \mathbb{C}/\Gamma - D \). Let \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) be complex parameters. Throughout this paper we assume the following conditions for \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \):

\[
\alpha \notin \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}, \quad \beta \notin \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}, \quad \gamma \notin \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}, \quad \gamma - \alpha \notin \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}, \quad \gamma - \beta \notin \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}, \quad \gamma - \alpha - \beta \notin \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha - \beta \notin \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z},
\]

where \( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \) denotes the group of integers and half integers. We set

\[
T(u) = \theta(u)^{2\alpha} \theta_1(u)^{2\gamma - 2\alpha - 2} \theta_2(u)^{2\alpha - 2\gamma} \theta_3(u)^{2 - 2\gamma},
\]

where \( \theta_1(u) \) means \( \theta_1(u, \tau) \). We define

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 33C05; Secondary 14K25, 55N25, 34M45.
Keywords and Phrases. Wirtinger integral, theta function.
Stokes’ Theorem. For $\sigma \in C_k(M, \mathcal{L})$ and $\varphi \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)$, we have

$$\int_{\sigma} T(u) \cdot \nabla \varphi = \int_{\partial \sigma} T(u) \cdot \varphi.$$ 

Let $Z_k(M, \mathcal{L})$ be the group of twisted $k$-cycles with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$, and let $B_k(M, \mathcal{L})$ be the group of twisted $k$-boundaries with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$. We set $H_k(M, \mathcal{L}) = Z_k(M, \mathcal{L})/B_k(M, \mathcal{L})$: the $k$-th twisted homology group with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$. Concerning the twisted homology groups, we have obtained in our paper [3] the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** We have $H_2(M, \mathcal{L}) = H_0(M, \mathcal{L}) = 0$, $H_1(M, \mathcal{L}) \cong C_1 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_3 \oplus C_4$, where $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4$ are the homology classes of the twisted cycles $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4$, respectively, which are given by

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{1}{(1 - e^{4\pi i \gamma})(1 - e^{4\pi i (\gamma - \alpha)})} \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0, +, \frac{1}{2}, 0, - \right),$$

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-4\pi i \beta})(1 - e^{4\pi i (\gamma - \alpha)})} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, 0, +, \frac{1}{2}, 0, - \right),$$

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-4\pi i \beta})(1 - e^{4\pi i (\gamma - \alpha)})} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, 0, +, \frac{1}{2}, 0, - \right),$$

$$\sigma_4 = \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2\pi i \gamma})(1 - e^{4\pi i \alpha})} (l, 0+, -l, 0-),$$

where $l$ and $-l$ are global cycles of $C/\Gamma$ defined by the curves $l(s) = -\frac{\pi}{4} + s$ and $(-l)(s) = -\frac{\pi}{4} - s$ ($0 \leq s \leq 1$), respectively.

Let $D$ be the effective divisor on $C/\Gamma$ given by $D = 2[0] + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1+\pi}{4}$. Let $\Omega_D$ be the sheaf of meromorphic 1-forms on $C/\Gamma$ which are multiples of the divisor $-D$. Let $H^k(M, \mathcal{L})$ be the $k$-th twisted cohomology group with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$. Our result concerning the twisted cohomology groups is as follows ([3]):

**Theorem 1.2.** We have $H^0(M, \mathcal{L}) = H^2(M, \mathcal{L}) = 0$, $H^1(M, \mathcal{L}) \cong H^0(C/\Gamma, \Omega_D)/\nabla(C) = C[\varphi_1] \oplus C[\varphi_2] \oplus C[\varphi_3] \oplus C[\varphi_4]$, where $[\varphi]$ denotes the image of an element $\varphi$ of $H^0(C/\Gamma, \Omega_D)$ by the natural map $H^0(C/\Gamma, \Omega_D) \to H^0(C/\Gamma, \Omega_D)/\nabla(C)$, and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$ are elements of $H^0(C/\Gamma, \Omega_D)$ given by

$$\varphi_1 = \pi \theta_2^0 du, \quad \varphi_2 = \pi \theta_1^0 \theta_2(\tau)^2 du, \quad \varphi_3 = \pi \theta_3^0 \theta_2(\tau) \theta_2(u) du, \quad \varphi_4 = \pi \theta_2^0 \theta_2(\tau) \theta_3(u) du,$$

where $\theta_i$ denotes the theta constant $\theta_i(0)$.

Since $H_1(M, \mathcal{L})$ and $H^1(M, \mathcal{L})$ are dual to each other, we have a natural nondegenerate bilinear form $H_1(M, \mathcal{L}) \times H^1(M, \mathcal{L}) \to \mathbb{C}$. For $[\sigma] \in H_1(M, \mathcal{L})$ and $[\varphi] \in H^1(M, \mathcal{L})$ with
we denote by the four integrals $I_i$ also (2), these functions $I_i$ are single-valued and holomorphic functions of $\tau$ on the upper half plane $H$. We set $\int_H T(u)\varphi_i = I_{ij}$ $(i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$. It is easy to see that, for a fixed $j$, the four integrals $I_{1j}, I_{2j}, I_{3j}, I_{4j}$ are linearly independent over $C$, and that, for a fixed $i$, $I_{i1}, I_{i2}, I_{i3}, I_{i4}$ are linearly independent over $C$. As was shown by Wirtinger [4] (see also [2]), these functions $I_{ij}$'s are related to the Gauss hypergeometric function, which we denote by $F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, z)$: in fact we have, for example,

$$I_{41} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha)}{2\Gamma(\gamma)} \lambda^{\gamma - 1} (1 - \lambda)^{(a + d - a - d)/2} F(\alpha, \beta + 1, \gamma, \lambda),$$

where $\lambda$ denotes the lambda function: $\lambda = \theta_4^a/\theta_4^b$. The other integrals $I_{ij}$ have analogous expressions, too. The main result to be proved in this paper is as follows:

**Theorem 1.3.** The 16 functions $I_{ij}$ $(i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ of the variable $\tau$ satisfy the following system of linear differential equations with coefficients invariant under the action of $\Gamma(2)$ the principal congruence subgroup of level 2:

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{i}{\pi \theta_4^a} \frac{d}{d\tau} \begin{bmatrix} I_{11} & I_{12} & I_{13} & I_{14} \\
I_{21} & I_{22} & I_{23} & I_{24} \\
I_{31} & I_{32} & I_{33} & I_{34} \\
I_{41} & I_{42} & I_{43} & I_{44} \\
\end{bmatrix} = & \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & b_{11} & b_{12} \\
0 & 0 & b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{11} & I_{12} & I_{13} & I_{14} \\
I_{21} & I_{22} & I_{23} & I_{24} \\
I_{31} & I_{32} & I_{33} & I_{34} \\
I_{41} & I_{42} & I_{43} & I_{44} \end{bmatrix},
\end{align*}$$

where $a_{ij}$'s and $b_{ij}$'s are given by

$$a_{11} = \frac{\alpha - \beta - 1}{2} \theta_4^a + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2}, \quad a_{12} = \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{2}, \quad a_{21} = \frac{2\gamma - 2\beta - 3}{2} \theta_4^a,$$

$$a_{22} = \frac{\beta - \gamma + 1}{2} \theta_4^a + \frac{1 - \beta}{2}, \quad b_{11} = \frac{(1 + \alpha - \gamma)(\alpha + 1)}{2(\beta - \alpha)} + \frac{\beta \theta_4^a}{2} + \frac{\alpha \theta_4^b}{2},$$

$$b_{12} = \frac{(1 + \alpha - \gamma)(1 + \beta - \gamma)}{2(\beta - \alpha)}, \quad b_{21} = \frac{\alpha \beta}{2},$$

$$b_{22} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha + \beta - 2\gamma + 2)}{2(\alpha - \beta)} + \frac{\gamma - \alpha - 1}{2} \theta_4^a + \frac{\gamma - \beta - 1}{2} \theta_4^b.$$

The system (1.1) is splitted into the following two systems of differential equations:

$$\frac{i}{\pi \theta_4^a} \frac{d}{d\tau} \begin{bmatrix} I_{1j} \\
I_{2j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{1j} \\
I_{2j} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\frac{i}{\pi \theta_4^a} \frac{d}{d\tau} \begin{bmatrix} I_{3j} \\
I_{4j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\
b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{3j} \\
I_{4j} \end{bmatrix},$$

which are equivalent to the Gauss hypergeometric differential equation. We prove Theorem 1.3 in the next section by making use of classical formulas of theta functions, forgetting that the integrals $I_{ij}$'s are related to the Gauss hypergeometric function.

**Remark.** Note that the differential operator $\frac{i}{\pi \theta_4^a} \frac{d}{d\tau}$ is invariant under the action of the group $\Gamma(2)$. In fact we have $\frac{i}{\pi \theta_4^a} \frac{d}{d\tau} = \lambda(\lambda - 1) \frac{d}{d\lambda}$. 

3
2. Proof of Theorem 1.3 : Derivation of differential relations.

To derive the 16 relations in (1.1), it suffices to prove the following

**Proposition 2.1.** The following formulas hold:

\[(2.1) \quad \frac{i}{\pi \theta_3^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}(T(u) \varphi_1) \equiv a_1 T(u) \varphi_1 + a_2 T(u) \varphi_2 \mod B^1(M, \mathcal{L});\]

\[(2.2) \quad \frac{i}{\pi \theta_3^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}(T(u) \varphi_2) \equiv a_2 T(u) \varphi_1 + a_22 T(u) \varphi_2 \mod B^1(M, \mathcal{L});\]

\[(2.3) \quad \frac{i}{\pi \theta_3^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}(T(u) \varphi_3) \equiv b_1 T(u) \varphi_3 + b_22 T(u) \varphi_4 \mod B^1(M, \mathcal{L});\]

\[(2.4) \quad \frac{i}{\pi \theta_3^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}(T(u) \varphi_4) \equiv b_2 T(u) \varphi_3 + b_22 T(u) \varphi_4 \mod B^1(M, \mathcal{L});\]

where \(B^1(M, \mathcal{L})\) denotes the group of twisted 1-coboundaries with coefficients in \(\mathcal{L}\).

In fact, the 16 relations in (1.1) follows immediately if we integrate each of the four relations in the proposition along suitable cycles.

**Proof of Proposition 2.1.** We prove the formula (2.3) only, since the other formulas are proved similarly. We have

\[(2.5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}(T(u) \varphi_3) = \left[ 2 \frac{\theta_3}{\theta_3} + (2\gamma - 2\alpha - 1) \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_1} - \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_2} \right) \right] T(u) \varphi_3,\]

where \(\theta_3\) denotes \(\frac{\partial \theta_3}{\partial (0, \tau)}\), and \(\theta_1\) denotes \(\frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial (u, \tau)}\), etc. Since the four theta functions \(\theta(u)\) and \(\theta_1(u)\) satisfy the common partial differential equation \(4\pi \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial u^2} = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial u}\), (2.5) is turned to

\[(2.6) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}(T(u) \varphi_3) = \left[ 2 \frac{\theta_3}{\theta_3} + \frac{\gamma - 2\alpha - 1}{4\pi i} \left( \frac{\theta_1'}{\theta_1} - \frac{\theta_2'}{\theta_2} \right) \right] T(u) \varphi_3,\]

where \(\theta_1''(u)\) denotes \(\frac{\partial^2 \theta_1}{\partial u^2}(u, \tau)\). Now we note the following formulas: \(\theta_1''(u)\theta_2(u) - \theta_2''(u)\theta_1(u) = \pi \theta_1^2(\theta(u)\theta_3(u) + \theta_2(u)\theta(u)), \theta_1''(u)\theta_3(u) - \theta_3''(u)\theta_1(u) = \pi \theta_1^2(\theta(u)\theta_1(u) + \theta_3'(u)\theta(u)), \theta_1''(u)\theta_3(u) - \theta_3''(u)\theta_1(u) = \pi \theta_1^2(\theta_1(u)\theta_2(u) + \theta_2(u)\theta_1(u)),\) where \(\theta_1''(u)\) denotes \(\frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial u}(u, \tau)\). Applying these formulas to the right-hand side of (2.6), we have

\[(2.7) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}(T(u) \varphi_3) = \left[ 2 \frac{\theta_3}{\theta_3} - \frac{2\gamma - 2\alpha - 1}{4i} \left( \frac{\theta_1'}{\theta_1} - \frac{\theta_2'}{\theta_2} \right) \left( \frac{\theta'(u)}{\theta(u)} + \frac{\theta'_1}{\theta_1} \right) \right] T(u) \varphi_3,\]
Substituting the formulas:

\[
\frac{\theta_3'(u)}{\theta_3(u)} = \frac{\theta_1'(u)}{\theta_1(u)} + \pi \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta(u)\theta_2(u)}{\theta_1(u)\theta_3(u)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\theta_2'(u)}{\theta_2(u)} = \frac{\theta'(u)}{\theta(u)} - \pi \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta_1(u)\theta_3(u)}{\theta_1(u)\theta_2(u)}
\]

to the right-hand side of (2.7) and making some calculation, we have

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial T} (T(u)\varphi_3) = 2\frac{\theta_3'}{\theta_3} T(u)\varphi_3 + \frac{\theta_2^2}{4i} \left[ -(2\gamma - 2\alpha - 1)\pi \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta(u)\theta_3(u)}{\theta_1(u)\theta_2(u)} \\
+ (2\beta - 2\alpha + 2)\pi \theta_1^2 \frac{\theta(u)\theta_1(u)}{\theta_2(u)\theta_3(u)} - (1 - 2\alpha)\pi \theta_1^2 \frac{\theta_1(u)\theta_3(u)}{\theta(u)\theta_3(u)} \right] \\
\times \left( \frac{\theta'(u)}{\theta(u)} + \frac{\theta'_1(u)}{\theta_1(u)} \right) \theta(u)2^{\alpha - 1}\theta_1(u)2^{\gamma - 2\alpha - 1}\theta_2(u)2^{\beta - 2\gamma + 3}\theta_3(u)2^{-\beta - 1} du \\
+ \pi^2 \theta_2^2 \theta_1^2 \left[ -(2\gamma - 2\alpha - 1)\frac{\theta(u)\theta_2(u)}{\theta_1(u)\theta_3(u)} + (1 - 2\alpha)\frac{\theta_1(u)\theta_3(u)}{\theta(u)\theta_3(u)} \right] T(u)du.
\]

Here we note the equality

\[
-(2\gamma - 2\alpha - 1)\pi \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta(u)\theta_3(u)}{\theta_1(u)\theta_2(u)} + (2\beta - 2\alpha + 2)\pi \theta_1^2 \frac{\theta(u)\theta_1(u)}{\theta_2(u)\theta_3(u)} \\
- (1 - 2\alpha)\pi \theta_1^2 \frac{\theta_1(u)\theta_3(u)}{\theta(u)\theta_3(u)}
\]

\[
= \frac{d}{du} \{ \theta(u)2^{\alpha - 1}\theta_1(u)2^{\gamma - 2\alpha - 1}\theta_2(u)2^{\beta - 2\gamma + 3}\theta_3(u)2^{-\beta - 1} \}.
\]

Applying (2.9) to the right-hand side of (2.8), we have

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial T} (T(u)\varphi_3) = 2\frac{\theta_3'}{\theta_3} T(u)\varphi_3 \\
+ \frac{\theta_2^2}{4i} \left[ \left( \frac{\theta'(u)}{\theta(u)} + \frac{\theta'_1(u)}{\theta_1(u)} \right) \theta(u)2^{\alpha - 1}\theta_1(u)2^{\gamma - 2\alpha - 1}\theta_2(u)2^{\beta - 2\gamma + 3}\theta_3(u)2^{-\beta - 1} \right] \\
+ \frac{\theta_2^2}{4i} \left( \frac{\theta'(u)^2}{\theta(u)^2} - \frac{\theta''(u)}{\theta(u)} + \frac{\theta'_1(u)^2}{\theta_1(u)^2} - \frac{\theta''_1(u)}{\theta_1(u)} \right) \times \theta(u)2^{\alpha - 1}\theta_1(u)2^{\gamma - 2\alpha - 1}\theta_2(u)2^{\beta - 2\gamma + 3}\theta_3(u)2^{-\beta - 1} du \\
+ \pi^2 \theta_2^2 \theta_1^2 \left[ -(2\gamma - 2\alpha - 1)\frac{\theta(u)\theta_2(u)}{\theta_1(u)\theta_3(u)} + (1 - 2\alpha)\frac{\theta_1(u)\theta_3(u)}{\theta(u)\theta_3(u)} \right] T(u)du.
\]

We note that

\[
\frac{\theta'(u)^2}{\theta(u)^2} - \frac{\theta''(u)}{\theta(u)} = -4\pi i \frac{\theta_1'}{\theta_1} + \pi^2 \theta_2^2 \theta_3^2 \frac{\theta(u)^2}{\theta(u)^2},
\]

\[
\frac{\theta'_1(u)^2}{\theta_1(u)^2} - \frac{\theta''_1(u)}{\theta_1(u)} = -4\pi i \frac{\theta_1'}{\theta_1} + \pi^2 \theta_2^2 \theta_3^2 \frac{\theta(u)^2}{\theta(u)^2},
\]

and

\[
d \left( \left( \frac{\theta'(u)}{\theta(u)} + \frac{\theta'_1(u)}{\theta_1(u)} \right) \theta(u)2^{\alpha - 1}\theta_1(u)2^{\gamma - 2\alpha - 1}\theta_2(u)2^{\beta - 2\gamma + 3}\theta_3(u)2^{-\beta - 1} \right) \in B^1(M, \mathcal{L}).
\]
Then the equality (2.10) is turned to

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial T}(T(u)\varphi_3) \equiv 2 \left( \frac{\theta_3}{\varphi_3} - \frac{\theta_1}{\varphi_1} \right) T(u)\varphi_3 + \frac{\pi^2 \theta_2^2 \theta_3^4}{2i} \left[ (1 + \alpha - \gamma) \frac{\theta(u)\theta_2(u)}{\theta_1(u)\theta_3(u)} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{\theta_1(u)^3 \theta_2(u)}{\theta(u)^3 \theta_3(u)} \right] T(u)du \mod B^1(M, \mathcal{L}).
\]

(2.11)

Substituting the equality

\[
\frac{\theta_1(u)^3 \theta_2(u)}{\theta(u)^3 \theta_3(u)} = \frac{\theta_1^2(u) \theta_2(u) \theta_3(u)}{\theta_2^2 \theta_3(u)} - \frac{\theta_2^2(u) \theta_1(u) \theta_2(u)}{\theta(u) \theta_3(u)}
\]

into the right-hand side of (2.11), we have

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial T}(T(u)\varphi_3) \equiv 2 \left( \frac{\theta_3}{\varphi_3} - \frac{\theta_1}{\varphi_1} \right) T(u)\varphi_3 + \frac{\pi^2 \theta_2^2 \theta_3^4}{2i} \left[ (1 + \alpha - \gamma) \frac{\theta(u)\theta_2(u)}{\theta_1(u)\theta_3(u)} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{\theta_1^2(u) \theta_2(u)}{\theta(u)^3 \theta_3(u)} \right] T(u)du \mod B^1(M, \mathcal{L}).
\]

(2.12)

We need the following

**Lemma 2.2.** The following formulas hold:

\[
\frac{\theta(u)\theta_2(u)}{\theta_1(u)\theta_3(u)} du \equiv \frac{\alpha}{\beta - \alpha} \frac{1}{\pi \theta_2^2} \varphi_3 + \beta - \gamma + 1 \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} \frac{1}{\pi \theta_2^2} \varphi_4 \mod B^1(M, \mathcal{L});
\]

(2.13)

\[
\frac{\theta_1(u)\theta_2(u)\theta_3(u)}{\theta(u)^3} du \equiv \left[ \frac{\beta}{\beta - \alpha} \frac{\theta_2^2}{\pi \theta_2^2 \theta_3^2} + \frac{(\alpha - \gamma + 1)\beta}{(1 - \alpha)(\beta - \alpha)} \frac{\theta_2^2}{\pi \theta_2^2 \theta_3^2} \right] \varphi_3 + \frac{(\alpha - \gamma + 1)(\beta - \gamma + 1)}{(1 - \alpha)(\beta - \alpha)} \frac{\theta_2^2}{\pi \theta_2^2 \theta_3^2} \varphi_4 \mod B^1(M, \mathcal{L}).
\]

(2.14)

**Proof of Lemma 2.2.** The formula (2.13) follows immediately from the equality

\[
\omega = (2\beta - 2\gamma + 2)\pi \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta(u)\theta_3(u)}{\theta_1(u)\theta_2(u)} du - (2\beta - 2\alpha)\pi \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta(u)\theta_2(u)}{\theta_1(u)\theta_3(u)} du + 2\alpha\pi \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta_1(u)\theta_2(u)}{\theta(u)\theta_3(u)} du.
\]

Now we see that

\[
\nabla \left( \frac{\theta_2(u)^2}{\theta(u)^2} \right) = -2\pi \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta_1(u)\theta_2(u)\theta_3(u)}{\theta(u)^3} du + \theta_2(u)^2 \frac{2\alpha\pi \theta_2^2 \theta_1(u)\theta_3(u)}{\theta(u)^2} du + (2\alpha + 2\beta - 2\gamma + 2)\pi \theta_2^2 \theta_3(u) \frac{\theta(u)\theta_3(u)}{\theta_1(u)\theta_2(u)} du - 2\beta\pi \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta(u)\theta_2(u)}{\theta_1(u)\theta_3(u)} du
\]

\[
= (\alpha - 1)2\pi \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta_1(u)\theta_2(u)\theta_3(u)}{\theta(u)^3} du + (\alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1)2\pi \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta_2(u)\theta_3(u)}{\theta(u)\theta_1(u)} du
\]

\[
- 2\beta\pi \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta_2(u)^3}{\theta(u)\theta_1(u)\theta_3(u)} du,
\]

(6)
from which it follows immediately that
\[
\theta_2^2 \frac{\theta_1(u) \theta_2(u) \theta_3(u)}{\theta(u)^3} du = \frac{\alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1}{1 - \alpha} \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta_2(u) \theta_3(u)}{\theta(u) \theta_1(u)} du
\]
\[
- \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha} \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta_2(u)^3}{\theta(u) \theta_1(u) \theta_3(u)} du \mod B^1(M, \mathcal{L}).
\]
(2.15)

Substituting the equality
\[
\frac{\theta_2^2 \theta_2(u)^3}{\theta(u) \theta_1(u) \theta_3(u)} = \frac{\theta_2^2 \theta_2(u) \theta_3(u)}{\theta(u) \theta_1(u)} - \frac{\theta_2^2 \theta_1(u) \theta_2(u)}{\theta(u) \theta_3(u)}
\]
into the right-hand side of (2.15), we have
\[
\theta_2^2 \frac{\theta_1(u) \theta_2(u) \theta_3(u)}{\theta(u)^3} du = \frac{\alpha - \gamma + 1}{1 - \alpha} \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta_2(u) \theta_3(u)}{\theta(u) \theta_1(u)} du
\]
\[
+ \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha} \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta_1(u) \theta_2(u)}{\theta(u) \theta_3(u)} du \mod B^1(M, \mathcal{L}).
\]
(2.16)

Now we have
\[
\omega = (2\beta - 2\gamma + 2)\pi \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta(u) \theta_3(u)}{\theta_1(u) \theta_2(u)} du - (2\beta - 2\alpha)\pi \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta_2(u) \theta_3(u)}{\theta(u) \theta_1(u)} du + 2\beta \pi \theta_2^2 \frac{\theta_1(u) \theta_2(u)}{\theta(u) \theta_3(u)} du,
\]
from which it follows immediately that
\[
\frac{\theta_2(u) \theta_3(u)}{\theta(u) \theta_1(u)} du = \frac{\beta - \gamma + 1}{\beta - \alpha} \frac{\theta(u) \theta_3(u)}{\theta_1(u) \theta_2(u)} du
\]
\[
+ \frac{\beta}{\beta - \alpha} \frac{\theta_1(u) \theta_2(u)}{\theta(u) \theta_3(u)} du \mod B^1(M, \mathcal{L}).
\]
(2.17)

Substituting (2.17) into the right-hand side of (2.16), we have the desired equality (2.14), which proves Lemma 2.2.

Let us return to our proof of Proposition 2.1. Substituting the two equalities (2.13) and (2.14) into the right-hand side of (2.12), we have the desired equality (2.3). Proposition 2.1 is thereby proved.
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