



Title	The sharp upper bound of the lifespan of solutions to critical semilinear wave equations in high dimensions
Author(s)	Takamura, Hiroyuki; Wakasa, Kyouhei
Citation	Hokkaido University Preprint Series in Mathematics, 969, 1-18
Issue Date	2010-9-24
DOI	10.14943/84116
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/69776
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	pre969.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

The sharp upper bound of the lifespan of solutions to critical semilinear wave equations in high dimensions

Hiroyuki Takamura* and Kyouhei Wakasa†

Keywords: lifespan, semilinear wave equation, critical exponent, high dimensions

MSC2010: Primary 35L71; Secondary 35B33, 35B44, 35E15

Abstract

The final open part of Strauss' conjecture on semilinear wave equations was the blow-up theorem for the critical case in high dimensions. This problem was solved by Yordanov and Zhang [17], or Zhou [20] independently. But the estimate for the lifespan, the maximal existence time, of solutions was not clarified in both papers.

In this paper, we refine their theorems and introduce a new iteration argument to get the sharp upper bound of the lifespan. As a result, with the sharp lower bound by Li and Zhou [9], the lifespan $T(\varepsilon)$ of solutions of $u_{tt} - \Delta u = u^2$ in $\mathbf{R}^4 \times [0, \infty)$ with the initial data $u(x, 0) = \varepsilon f(x)$, $u_t(x, 0) = \varepsilon g(x)$ of a small parameter $\varepsilon > 0$, compactly supported smooth functions f and g , has an estimate

$$\exp(c\varepsilon^{-2}) \leq T(\varepsilon) \leq \exp(C\varepsilon^{-2}),$$

where c and C are positive constants depending only on f and g . This upper bound has been known to be the last open optimality of the general theory for fully nonlinear wave equations.

*Department of Complex and Intelligent Systems, Faculty of Systems Information Science, Future University Hakodate, 116-2 Kamedanakano-cho, Hakodate, Hokkaido 041-8655, Japan. e-mail : takamura@fun.ac.jp

†The 4th year of the undergraduate, Department of Complex Systems, School of Systems Information Science, Future University Hakodate, 116-2 Kamedanakano-cho, Hakodate, Hokkaido 041-8655, Japan. e-mail : b1007206@fun.ac.jp

1 Introduction

First we shall outline the general theory on the initial value problem for fully nonlinear wave equations,

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u = H(u, Du, D_x Du) & \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n \times [0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = \varepsilon f(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \varepsilon g(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $u = u(x, t)$ is a scalar unknown function of space-time variables,

$$\begin{aligned} Du &= (u_{x_0}, u_{x_1}, \dots, u_{x_n}), \quad x_0 = t, \\ D_x Du &= (u_{x_i x_j}, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad i + j \geq 1), \end{aligned}$$

$f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter. Let

$$\widehat{\lambda} = (\lambda; (\lambda_i), i = 0, 1, \dots, n; (\lambda_{ij}), i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad i + j \geq 1).$$

Suppose that the nonlinear term $H = H(\widehat{\lambda})$ is a sufficiently smooth function with

$$H(\widehat{\lambda}) = O(|\widehat{\lambda}|^{1+\alpha})$$

in a neighbourhood of $\widehat{\lambda} = 0$, where $\alpha \geq 1$ is an integer. Let us define the lifespan $\widetilde{T}(\varepsilon)$ by

$$\widetilde{T}(\varepsilon) = \sup\{t > 0 : \exists \text{ solution } u(x, t) \text{ of (1.1) for arbitrarily fixed } (f, g)\}.$$

When $\widetilde{T}(\varepsilon) = \infty$, the problem (1.1) admits a global in time classical solution, while we only have a local in time solution on $t \in [0, \widetilde{T}(\varepsilon))$ when $\widetilde{T}(\varepsilon) < \infty$. For local in time solutions, one can measure the global stability of a zero solution by orders of ε . Because the uniqueness of the solution of (1.1) may yield that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \widetilde{T}(\varepsilon) = \infty$. Such a uniqueness theorem can be found in Appendix of John [7] for example. For $n = 1$, we have no time decay of solutions even for the free case, so that there is no possibility to obtain any global in time solution of (1.1). In this paper we assume $n \geq 2$ for the simplicity.

In Chapter 2 of Li and Chen [8], we have long histories on the estimate for $\widetilde{T}(\varepsilon)$. The lower bounds of $\widetilde{T}(\varepsilon)$ are summarized in the following table. Let $a = a(\varepsilon)$ satisfy

$$a^2 \varepsilon^2 \log(a + 1) = 1 \quad (1.2)$$

and c stand for a positive constant independent of ε . Then, due to the fact that it is impossible to obtain an L^2 estimate for u itself by standard energy methods, we have

$\tilde{T}(\varepsilon) \geq$	$\alpha = 1$	$\alpha = 2$	$\alpha \geq 3$
$n = 2$	$ca(\varepsilon)$ in general case, $c\varepsilon^{-1}$ if $\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x)dx = 0$, $c\varepsilon^{-2}$ if $\partial_u^2 H(0) = 0$	$c\varepsilon^{-6}$ in general case, $\exp(c\varepsilon^{-2})$ if $\partial_u^b H(0) = 0$ ($b = 3, 4$)	∞
$n = 3$	$c\varepsilon^{-2}$ in general case, $\exp(c\varepsilon^{-1})$ if $\partial_u^2 H(0) = 0$	∞	∞
$n = 4$	$\exp(c\varepsilon^{-2})$ in general case, ∞ if $\partial_u^2 H(0) = 0$	∞	∞
$n \geq 5$	∞	∞	∞

We note that the lower bound in the case where $n = 4$ and $\alpha = 1$ is $\exp(c\varepsilon^{-1})$ in general case in Li and Chen [8]. But later, Li and Zhou [9] improve this part. The remarkable fact is that **all these lower bounds are known to be sharp except for $n = 4$ and $\alpha = 1$** . See Li and Chen [8] for references on the whole history.

Our purpose in this paper is to show this remained sharpness of the lower bound by giving a sharp blow-up theorem for $u_{tt} - \Delta u = u^2$ in $\mathbf{R}^4 \times [0, \infty)$. Including this situation, we consider the initial value problem for semilinear wave equations of the form,

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u = |u|^p & \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n \times [0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = \varepsilon f(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \varepsilon g(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where $p > 1$. Let us define the lifespan $T(\varepsilon)$ by

$$T(\varepsilon) = \sup\{t > 0 : \exists \text{ solution } u(x, t) \text{ of (1.3) for arbitrarily fixed } (f, g)\},$$

where ‘‘solution’’ means the classical one if $p \geq 2$, or the weak one which is the solution of associated integral equations to (1.3) if $1 < p < 2$. Then we have the following Strauss’ conjecture. There exists a critical number $p_0(n)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} T(\varepsilon) = \infty & \quad \text{if } p > p_0(n) \text{ and } \varepsilon \text{ is ‘‘small’’} && \text{(global in time existence),} \\ T(\varepsilon) < \infty & \quad \text{if } 1 < p \leq p_0(n) && \text{(blow-up in finite time).} \end{aligned}$$

As in Section 4 in Strauss [15], $p_0(n)$ is a positive root of the quadratic equation

$$\gamma(p, n) \equiv 2 + (n + 1)p - (n - 1)p^2 = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

That is,

$$p_0(n) = \frac{n + 1 + \sqrt{n^2 + 10n - 7}}{2(n - 1)} \quad (1.5)$$

and one should remark that $p_0(4) = 2$. This number comes from the integrability of a weight function $(1 + |t - |x||)^{(n-1)p/2 - (n+1)/2}$ in the iteration argument. Such a weight function arises from the space-time integration of $(1 + t + |x|)^{(n-1)/2}$ which is a decay of a solution to free wave equation. Note that we have another story for non-compactly supported data, such as $T(\varepsilon) < \infty$ even for the supercritical case $p > p_0(n)$ if the spatial decay at infinity of the data is weak. All the results in this direction are summarized in Takamura, Uesaka and Wakasa [16].

Strauss' conjecture was first verified by John [6] for $n = 3$ except for $p = p_0(3)$. Later, Glassey [4, 5] verified this for $n = 2$ except for $p = p_0(2)$. Both critical cases were studied by Schaeffer [13]. In high dimensions, $n \geq 4$, the subcritical case was proved by Sideris [14]. For the supercritical case, there were many partial results. The final result was given by Georgiev, Lindblad and Sogge [2]. The critical case in high dimensions was obtained by Yordanov and Zhang [17], or Zhou [20] independently. In this way, the open part of the conjecture has been disappeared.

For (1.3), we have precise results on bounds of the lifespan in low dimensions, $n = 2, 3$, by virtue of the positivity of the fundamental solution. Actually we know that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2p(p-1)/\gamma(p,n)} T(\varepsilon) > 0 \quad \text{exists for } l(n) < p < p_0(n), \quad (1.6)$$

where $l(3) = 1$ and $l(2) = 2$. This result was proved by Lindblad [10] for $n = 3$ and by Zhou [19] for $n = 2$. In Lindblad [10], it was also proved that for $n = 2$ and $p = 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} a(\varepsilon)^{-1} T(\varepsilon) > 0 & \quad \text{exists if } \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x) dx \neq 0, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon T(\varepsilon) > 0 & \quad \text{exists if } \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} g(x) dx = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

where $a(\varepsilon)$ is the one in (1.2). For the critical blow-up in low dimensions, the situation is rather complicated because the rescaling argument is no longer applicable. Zhou [18, 19] proved that there exist positive constants c and C independent of ε (Hereafter in this section, we omit this description.) such that

$$\exp(c\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}) \leq T(\varepsilon) \leq \exp(C\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}) \quad \text{for } p = p_0(n). \quad (1.8)$$

In higher dimensional case, $n \geq 4$, it is hard to get the same results as (1.6) and (1.8) because the fundamental solution is no longer positive. Actually, we have

$$c\varepsilon^{-2p(p-1)/\gamma(p,n)+\sigma} \leq T(\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^{-2p(p-1)/\gamma(p,n)} \quad \text{for } 1 < p < p_0(n), \quad (1.9)$$

where $\sigma > 0$ is a small error term. The lower bound in (1.9) was obtained by Di Pomponio and Georgiev [1]. On the other hand, the upper bound in (1.9) is easily obtained by rescaling of the blowing-up solution in Sideris [14] which is stated in the history of Strauss' conjecture. Such an argument can be found in Georgiev, Takamura and Zhou [3]. We note that it is possible to remove σ in (1.9) by assuming that the solution is radially symmetric. See Section 6 in Lindblad and Sogge [11]. They also obtained the same lower bound as the one in (1.8). It is remarkable that, in $n = 4$, Li and Zhou [9] removed the assumption of radial symmetry for the critical case as stated in the history on (1.1). Their success depends on careful analysis in L^2 frame work. Such a method is applicable to this case because the nonlinear term is smooth by the fact that $p_0(4) = 2$.

As for the upper bound in (1.8) for $n \geq 4$, following the proof in Zhou [20] carefully, one can find that $T(\varepsilon) \leq \exp(\exp(C\varepsilon^{-p}))$. Moreover, we point out that $T(\varepsilon) \leq \exp(C\varepsilon^{-p^2})$ is implicitly obtained in Yordanov and Zhang [17] if one follows their proof along with our argument. See Remark 4.1 at the end of this paper. But unfortunately both results are not optimal.

In this paper, we prove the following expected theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $n \geq 4$ and $p = p_0(n)$. Assume that both $f \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ are non-negative, do not vanish identically, and have compact support such as $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x| \leq R\}$, where R is a positive constant. Suppose that the problem (1.3) has a solution $(u, u_t) \in C([0, T(\varepsilon)), H^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbf{R}^n))$ with*

$$\text{supp}(u, u_t) \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times [0, \infty) : |x| \leq t + R\}. \quad (1.10)$$

Then, there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(f, g, n, p, R)$ such that $T(\varepsilon)$ has to satisfy

$$T(\varepsilon) \leq \exp(C\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}) \quad \text{for } 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0, \quad (1.11)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of ε .

Remark 1.1 *The differentiability of $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x, t) dx$ twice in t follows from the assumption on the regularity which is the same as Yordanov and Zhang [17]. See Sideris [14] for details.*

Our success depends on the iteration argument of L^p norm of the solution. This is carried out on the integral inequality of the norm which follows from L^p boundedness of the maximal function via Radon transform by Yordanov and Zhang [17]. After repeating the estimates finitely many times till L^p norm is large enough, we will be able to apply the blow-up theorem for ordinary differential inequality with the best condition only.

2 Blow-up for ODI with a critical balance

We shall start with the following blow-up result for ordinary differential inequality. This lemma is a modified version of Lemma 2.1 in Yordanov and Zhang [17]. The key items are concrete expressions in (2.2) below.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $p > 1$, $a > 0$ and $(p - 1)a = q - 2$. Suppose that $G \in C^2([0, T])$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} G(t) \geq Kt^a & \text{for } t \geq T_0, \\ G''(t) \geq B(t + R)^{-q}|G(t)|^p & \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ G(0) > 0, \quad G'(0) > 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where B, K, R, T_0 are positive constants with $T_0 \geq R$. Then, T must satisfy that $T \leq 2T_1$ provided $K \geq K_0$, where

$$K_0 = \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{q/2}a} \sqrt{\frac{B}{p+1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2a\delta} \right) \right\}^{-2/(p-1)}, \quad T_1 = \max \left\{ T_0, \frac{G(0)}{G'(0)} \right\} \quad (2.2)$$

with an arbitrarily chosen δ satisfying $0 < \delta < (p - 1)/2$.

Proof. We prove this lemma by contradiction. Assume that $T > 2T_1$. First we note that the second and third inequalities in (2.1) yield

$$G'(t) \geq G'(0) > 0, \quad G(t) \geq G'(0)t + G(0) \geq G(0) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Multiplying the second inequality in (2.1) by $G'(t)$ and integrating it over $[0, t]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}G'(t)^2 &\geq B \int_0^t (s + R)^{-q} G(s)^p G'(s) ds + \frac{1}{2}G'(0)^2 \\ &> \frac{B}{(p+1)(t+R)^q} \{G(t)^{p+1} - G(0)^{p+1}\} \\ &\geq \frac{B}{(p+1)(t+R)^q} G(t)^p \{G(t) - G(0)\} \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq 0$. Restricting the time interval to $t \geq G(0)/G'(0)$ and making use of (2.3), we get

$$\frac{1}{2}G(t) - G(0) \geq \frac{1}{2} \{G'(0)t - G(0)\} \geq 0.$$

Hence we obtain

$$G'(t) > \sqrt{\frac{B}{p+1}} \cdot \frac{G(t)^{(p+1)/2}}{(t+R)^{q/2}} \quad \text{for } t \geq \frac{G(0)}{G'(0)}.$$

If $t \geq T_1 (\geq R)$, one can make use of the first inequality in (2.1) to obtain

$$\frac{G'(t)}{G(t)^{1+\delta}} > \sqrt{\frac{B}{p+1}} \cdot \frac{G(t)^{(p-1)/2-\delta}}{(t+R)^{q/2}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{B}{p+1}} \cdot \frac{K^{(p-1)/2-\delta}}{2^{q/2}t^{q/2-a\{(p-1)/2-\delta\}}}$$

for any δ satisfying $0 < \delta < (p-1)/2$. Noticing that $q/2 - a(p-1)/2 = 1$ and integrating this inequality over $[T_1, t]$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \left(\frac{1}{G(T_1)^\delta} - \frac{1}{G(t)^\delta} \right) > \frac{1}{2^{q/2}a\delta} \sqrt{\frac{B}{p+1}} K^{(p-1)/2-\delta} \left(\frac{1}{T_1^{a\delta}} - \frac{1}{t^{a\delta}} \right).$$

Then, one can put $t = 2T_1$ because of $T > 2T_1$. Neglecting $1/G(t)^\delta > 0$ in the left hand side and making use of the first inequality in (2.1) with $t = T_1$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{K^\delta} \geq \left(\frac{T_1^a}{G(T_1)} \right)^\delta > \frac{1}{2^{q/2}a} \sqrt{\frac{B}{p+1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{a\delta}} \right) K^{(p-1)/2-\delta}.$$

This inequality contradicts to the choice of $K \geq K_0$. Therefore we conclude that $T \leq 2T_1$. The lemma is now established. \square

3 Growing up of L^p norm of the solution

In this section, we shall construct an iteration of estimates for L^p norm of the solution. As stated in Remark 1.1, the assumption on the regularity in Theorem 1.1 yields

$$F(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x, t) dx \in C^2([0, T(\varepsilon)],$$

so that we have

$$F''(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |u(x, t)|^p dx = \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}^p.$$

The iteration argument will give us an enough growth of the norm for large time. To this end, we have to start with the following basic frame of the iteration.

Proposition 3.1 *Suppose that the assumption in Theorem 1.1 is fulfilled. Then, there exists a positive constant $C = C(f, g, n, p, R)$ such that $F(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x, t) dx$ for $t \geq R$ satisfies*

$$F''(t) \geq C \int_0^{t-R} \frac{\rho^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} d\rho}{(t-\rho+R)^{(n-1)p/2}} \left(\int_0^{(t-\rho-R)/2} F''(s) ds \right)^p. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. This proposition immediately follows from the combination of two estimates for Radon transformation, (2.14) and (2.21), in Yordanov and Zhang [17]. \square

The next proposition is the basic estimate for the first step of our iteration.

Proposition 3.2 *Suppose that the assumption in Theorem 1.1 is fulfilled. Then, there exists a positive constant $C = C(f, g, n, p, R)$ such that $F(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x, t) dx$ for $t \geq 0$ satisfies*

$$F''(t) \geq C \varepsilon^p (t+R)^{(n-1)(1-p/2)}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. This is exactly (2.5') in Yordanov and Zhang [17]. They employed a special test function. Without such a technique, the easy proof for slightly different data can be found in Rammaha [12], in which the short and simple proof of Sideris' blow-up theorem in high dimensions is given. \square

Remark 3.1 *It is trivial that we can write the same C in Propositions 3.1 and 3.2.*

The main estimate in our iteration is the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3 *Suppose that the assumption in Theorem 1.1 is fulfilled. Then, $F(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x, t) dx$ for $t \geq a_j R$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) satisfies that*

$$F''(t) \geq C_j (t - a_j R)^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} \left(\log \frac{t + (a_j - 2)R}{2(a_j - 1)R} \right)^{(p^j - 1)/(p-1)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Here we set $a_j = 3 \cdot 4^{j-1} - 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} C_j &= \exp \left\{ p^{j-1} \left(\log(C_0 C_1 C_p^{-S(j)}) \right) - \log C_0 \right\} \quad (j \geq 2), \\ C_1 &= \frac{C^{p+1}}{2^{n-2} \cdot 3^{(n-1)p/2} \{n - (n-1)p/2\}^p} \varepsilon^{p^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where C is the one in Propositions 3.1, 3.2, and

$$C_0 = \left\{ \frac{(p-1)C}{2^{n-1+(n+1)p/2} \cdot 3^{np-1} p} \right\}^{1/(p-1)}, \quad C_p = 2^{(n+1)p} p, \quad S(j) = \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{k}{p^k}. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Recall that $1 < p = p_0(n) \leq p_0(4) = 2$ for $n \geq 4$. First we shall show this proposition for $j = 1$. Replacing $F''(s)$ in the right hand side of (3.1) by the lower bound of $F''(t)$ in (3.2), we have

$$F''(t) \geq C^{p+1} \varepsilon^{p^2} \int_0^{t-R} \frac{\rho^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} d\rho}{(t-\rho+R)^{(n-1)p/2}} \left(\int_0^{(t-\rho-R)/2} s^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} ds \right)^p$$

for $t \geq R$. Hence it follows that

$$F''(t) \geq \frac{2^{n-2} \cdot 3^{(n-1)p/2} C_1}{2^{np-(n-1)p^2/2}} \int_0^{t-R} \frac{\rho^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} (t-\rho-R)^{np-(n-1)p^2/2}}{(t-\rho+R)^{(n-1)p/2}} d\rho$$

for $t \geq R$, where C_1 is defined in (3.4). From now on, we restrict the time interval to $t \geq a_1 R = 2R$ and diminish the domain of the ρ -integral to $[0, t-2R]$. Then we have $t-\rho \geq 2R$ in the ρ -integral. We now employ the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Let M and R be positive constants. Then $t-\rho \geq MR$ is equivalent to*

$$(M+1)\{t-\rho - (M-1)R\} \geq t-\rho+R.$$

It is easy to prove this lemma. We omit the proof.

Making use of Lemma 3.1 with $M = 2$ and the relation

$$np - \frac{n-1}{2} p^2 = \frac{n-1}{2} p - 1 \quad (3.6)$$

which is equivalent to (1.4), we obtain

$$F''(t) \geq \frac{2^{n-2} C_1}{2^{(n-1)p/2-1}} \int_0^{t-2R} \frac{\rho^{(n-1)(1-p/2)}}{t-\rho-R} d\rho$$

for $t \geq 2R$. Hence, cutting the domain of the ρ -integral to be an upper half, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F''(t) &\geq C_1(t-2R)^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} \int_{(t-2R)/2}^{t-2R} \frac{1}{t-\rho-R} d\rho \\ &\geq C_1(t-2R)^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} \log \frac{t}{2R} \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq 2R$. Therefore (3.3) is true for $j = 1$.

Next we shall show (3.3) by induction. Assume that (3.3) holds but C_j is unknown except for $j = 1$. Later we look for the relation between C_j and C_{j+1} which yields (3.4). To this end, we restrict the time interval $t \geq a_j R$ to $t \geq (2a_j + 1)R$. Then it follows from (3.1) that

$$F''(t) \geq C \int_0^{t-(2a_j+1)R} \frac{\rho^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} d\rho}{(t-\rho+R)^{(n-1)p/2}} \left(\int_{a_j R}^{(t-\rho-R)/2} F''(s) ds \right)^p$$

for $t \geq (2a_j + 1)R$. Making use of (3.3), we have

$$F''(t) \geq C C_j^p \int_0^{t-(2a_j+1)R} \frac{\rho^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} \{I_j(t, \rho)\}^p}{(t-\rho+R)^{(n-1)p/2}} d\rho$$

for $t \geq (2a_j + 1)R$, where we set

$$I_j(t, \rho) = \int_{a_j R}^{(t-\rho-R)/2} \frac{1}{(s-a_j R)^{(n-1)(1-p/2)}} \left(\log \frac{s+(a_j-2)R}{2(a_j-1)R} \right)^{(p^j-1)/(p-1)} ds.$$

Now we restrict the time interval further to $t \geq 2(a_j + 1)R$ and diminish the domain of the ρ -integral to $[0, t - 2(a_j + 1)R]$. Then we have $t - \rho \geq 2(a_j + 1)R$ in the ρ -integral. We note that one can diminish also the domain of the s -integral to $[a_j(t - \rho - R)/(2a_j + 1), (t - \rho - R)/2]$ because of

$$a_j R \leq \frac{a_j}{2a_j + 1} (t - \rho - R).$$

Since $(s - a_j R)$ in the s -integral is estimated by

$$\frac{a_j}{2a_j + 1} (t - \rho - R) - a_j R = \frac{a_j}{2a_j + 1} (t - \rho - 2(a_j + 1)R),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_j(t, \rho) &\geq \left(\frac{t - \rho - 2(a_j + 1)R}{3} \right)^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} \times \\ &\times \int_{(t-\rho-R)a_j/(2a_j+1)}^{(t-\rho-R)/2} \left(\log \frac{s+(a_j-2)R}{2(a_j-1)R} \right)^{(p^j-1)/(p-1)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it follows from $t - \rho \geq 2(a_j + 1)R$ that the variable in the logarithmic term is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(t - \rho - R)a_j/(2a_j + 1) + (a_j - 2)R}{2(a_j - 1)R} \\ &= \frac{t - \rho + (2a_j - 1)R}{2(2a_j + 1)R} + \frac{t - \rho - (a_j + 3)R}{2(a_j - 1)(2a_j + 1)R} \\ &\geq \frac{t - \rho + (2a_j - 1)R}{2(2a_j + 1)R} + \frac{(a_j - 1)R}{2(a_j - 1)(2a_j + 1)R}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, neglecting the last positive term in the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_j(t, \rho) &\geq \left(\frac{t - \rho - 2(a_j + 1)R}{3} \right)^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} \times \\ &\quad \times \frac{t - \rho - R}{2(2a_j + 1)} \left(\log \frac{t - \rho + (2a_j - 1)R}{2(2a_j + 1)R} \right)^{(p^{j+1}-p)/(p-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (3.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} F''(t) &\geq \frac{CC_j^p}{3^{(n-1)p/2-1}(2a_j)^p} \int_0^{t-2(a_j+1)R} \frac{\rho^{(n-1)(1-p/2)}}{(t - \rho + R)^{(n-1)p/2}} d\rho \times \\ &\quad \times \{t - \rho - 2(a_j + 1)R\}^{(n-1)p/2-1} \left(\log \frac{t - \rho + (2a_j - 1)R}{2(2a_j + 1)R} \right)^{(p^{j+1}-p)/(p-1)} \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq 2(a_j + 1)R$.

Now we restrict the time interval again to $t \geq (2a_j + 3)R$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.1 with $M = 2a_j + 3$ that

$$t - \rho + R \leq (2a_j + 4)\{t - \rho - 2(a_j + 1)R\} \leq 2^2 a_j \{t - \rho - 2(a_j + 1)R\}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} F''(t) &\geq \frac{CC_j^p}{2^{np} \cdot 3^{(n-1)p/2-1} a_j^{(n+1)p/2}} \times \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{t-(2a_j+3)R} \frac{\rho^{(n-1)(1-p/2)}}{t - \rho - 2(a_j + 1)R} \left(\log \frac{t - \rho + (2a_j - 1)R}{2(2a_j + 1)R} \right)^{(p^{j+1}-p)/(p-1)} d\rho \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq (2a_j + 3)R$. This inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} F''(t) &\geq \frac{CC_j^p}{2^{n-1+(n+1)p/2} \cdot 3^{(n-1)p/2-1} a_j^{(n+1)p/2}} \{t - (2a_j + 3)R\}^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} \times \\ &\quad \times \int_{\{t-(2a_j+3)R\}/2}^{t-(2a_j+3)R} \frac{\left(\log \frac{t-\rho+(2a_j-1)R}{2(2a_j+1)R} \right)^{(p^{j+1}-p)/(p-1)}}{t - \rho + (2a_j - 1)R} d\rho \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq (2a_j + 3)R$. Noticing that

$$\frac{p^{j+1} - p}{p - 1} + 1 = \frac{p^{j+1} - 1}{p - 1} \leq \frac{p^{j+1}}{p - 1}$$

and

$$a_j = 3 \cdot 4^{j-1} - 1 \leq 3 \cdot 2^{2j},$$

we obtain

$$F''(t) \geq \frac{CC_j^p}{2^{n-1+(n+1)p/2} \cdot 3^{np-1} \cdot 2^{(n+1)pj}} \{t - (2a_j + 3)R\}^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} \times \\ \times \frac{p-1}{p^{j+1}} \left(\log \frac{t + (6a_j + 1)R}{2(4a_j + 2)R} \right)^{(p^{j+1}-1)/(p-1)}$$

for $t \geq (2a_j + 3)R$. Therefore it follows from $a_{j+1} = 4a_j + 3$ that

$$F''(t) \geq \frac{(p-1)CC_j^p}{2^{n-1+(n+1)p/2} \cdot 3^{np-1}p \cdot (2^{(n+1)p}p)^j} \times \\ \times (t - a_{j+1}R)^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} \left(\log \frac{t + (a_{j+1} - 2)R}{2(a_{j+1} - 1)R} \right)^{(p^{j+1}-1)/(p-1)}$$

for $t \geq a_{j+1}R$.

As a conclusion, if C_j is defined by

$$C_{j+1} = \frac{C_0^{p-1}C_j^p}{C_p^j} \quad (j \geq 1),$$

where C_0 and C_p are defined by (3.5), then (3.3) is valid for all $j \geq 1$. This equality is rewritten as

$$\log C_{j+1} = p \log C_j - j \log C_p + \log C_0^{p-1}.$$

It is clear that C_2 defined by this equality is the one in (3.4). For $j \geq 2$, we have the following concrete expression of $\log C_{j+1}$ inductively.

$$\log C_{j+1} = p^j \log C_1 - \sum_{k=1}^j kp^{j-k} \log C_p + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} p^k \log C_0^{p-1} \\ = p^j \{ \log C_1 - S(j+1) \log C_p + \log C_0 \} - \log C_0.$$

This is exactly (3.4). Therefore Proposition 3.3 is now established. \square

4 Upper bound of the lifespan

In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. The first step is to shift the estimate for $F''(t) = \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}^p$ to the one for $F(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x, t) dx$. One of the key in this section is the assumption on the initial data in Theorem 1.1,

$$F(0) = \varepsilon \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x) dx > 0, \quad F'(0) = \varepsilon \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g(x) dx > 0. \quad (4.1)$$

This yields that $F(t) > 0$ and $F'(t) > 0$ for $t \geq 0$. Because it follows from the support condition (1.10) and Hölder's inequality that

$$F''(t) \geq \{\text{vol}(\mathbf{B}^n(0, 1))\}^{1-p} (t + R)^{-n(p-1)} |F(t)|^p \quad (4.2)$$

for $t \geq 0$, where $\text{vol}(\mathbf{B}^n(0, 1))$ is a volume of a unit ball in \mathbf{R}^n .

Now we start with the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1 *Suppose that the assumption in Theorem 1.1 is fulfilled. Then, $F(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x, t) dx$ for $t \geq \{2(a_j + 2)R\}^2$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) satisfies*

$$F(t) \geq \frac{C_j}{16^j D} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log t\right)^{(p^j-1)/(p-1)} t^{n+1-(n-1)p/2}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $D = 3^2 \cdot 2^{3n-2-3(n-1)p/2}$, a_j and C_j are defined in Proposition 3.3.

Proof. Integrating (3.3) in Proposition 3.3 over $[a_j R, t]$, we have

$$F'(t) \geq C_j \int_{a_j R}^t (s - a_j R)^{(n-1)(1-p/2)} \left(\log \frac{s + (a_j - 2)R}{2(a_j - 1)R}\right)^{(p^j-1)/(p-1)} ds$$

for $t \geq a_j R$. Here we restrict the time interval to $t \geq (a_j + 1)R$ and diminish the domain of the s -integral to $[a_j t / (a_j + 1), t]$. $(s - a_j R)$ in the integral is estimated by

$$\frac{a_j}{a_j + 1} t - a_j R \geq \frac{1}{2} \{t - (a_j + 1)R\}.$$

Also the variable of the logarithmic term is estimated by

$$\frac{a_j t / (a_j + 1) + (a_j - 2)R}{2(a_j - 1)R} = \frac{t + (a_j + 1)R}{2(a_j + 1)R} + \frac{t - (a_j + 1)R}{2(a_j - 1)(a_j + 1)R}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$F'(t) \geq \frac{C_j \{t - (a_j + 1)R\}^{n-(n-1)p/2}}{2^{n-(n-1)p/2} a_j} \left(\log \frac{t + (a_j + 1)R}{2(a_j + 1)R}\right)^{(p^j-1)/(p-1)}$$

for $t \geq (a_j + 1)R$.

Integrating this inequality over $[(a_j + 1)R, t]$, we have

$$F(t) \geq \frac{C_j}{2^{n-(n-1)p/2}a_j} \int_{(a_j+1)R}^t \{s - (a_j + 1)R\}^{n-(n-1)p/2} \times \\ \times \left(\log \frac{s + (a_j + 1)R}{2(a_j + 1)R} \right)^{(p^j-1)/(p-1)} ds$$

for $t \geq (a_j + 1)R$. Similary to the above, we restrict the time interval to $t \geq (a_j+2)R$ and diminish the domain of the s -integral to $[(a_j+1)t/(a_j+2), t]$. $(s - (a_j + 1)R)$ in the integral is estimated by

$$\frac{a_j + 1}{a_j + 2}t - (a_j + 1)R \geq \frac{1}{2}\{t - (a_j + 2)R\}.$$

Also the variable of the logarithmic term is estimated by

$$\frac{(a_j + 1)t/(a_j + 2) + (a_j + 1)R}{2(a_j + 1)R} = \frac{t + (a_j + 2)R}{2(a_j + 2)R}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$F(t) \geq \frac{C_j \{t - (a_j + 2)R\}^{n+1-(n-1)p/2}}{2^{2n+1-(n-1)p}a_j^2} \left(\log \frac{t + (a_j + 2)R}{2(a_j + 2)R} \right)^{(p^j-1)/(p-1)}$$

for $t \geq (a_j + 2)R$.

Restricting the time interval further to $t \geq 2(a_j + 2)R$, we have

$$F(t) \geq \frac{C_j t^{n+1-(n-1)p/2}}{2^{3n+2-3(n-1)p/2}a_j^2} \left(\log \frac{t}{2(a_j + 2)R} \right)^{(p^j-1)/(p-1)}.$$

Note that we may assume $2(a_1 + 2)R \geq 1$ without loss of the generality. Therefore we finally obtain

$$F(t) \geq \frac{C_j t^{n+1-(n-1)p/2}}{2^{3n+2-3(n-1)p/2}a_j^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log t \right)^{(p^j-1)/(p-1)}$$

for $t \geq \{2(a_j + 2)R\}^2 \geq 2(a_j + 2)R$. The proof is now ended by trivial inequality $a_j \leq 3 \cdot 2^{-2} \cdot 4^j$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $j \geq 2$. Define a sequence of time interval $\{I(j)\}$ by

$$I(j) = [\{2(a_j + 2)R\}^2, \{2(a_{j+1} + 2)R\}^2] \quad (4.4)$$

and set

$$K_j(t) = \frac{C_j}{16^j D} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log t \right)^{(p^j-1)/(p-1)}$$

which is the coefficient of $t^{n+1-(n-1)p/2}$ in (4.3). Then it follows from the definition of C_j in (3.4) that

$$K_j(t) = \exp \left\{ p^{j-1} \log L_j(t) - j \log 16 - \log(C_0 D) - \frac{\log(\log \sqrt{t})}{p-1} \right\},$$

where we set

$$L_j(t) = C_0 C_1 C_p^{-S(j)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log t \right)^{p/(p-1)}.$$

In view of the definition of C_1 in (3.4), we have $L_j(t) \geq e$ provided

$$\varepsilon^{p(p-1)} \log t \geq E, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$E = 2 \left(\frac{2^{n-2} \cdot 3^{(n-1)p/2} \{n - (n-1)p/2\}^p \cdot e C_p^{S(\infty)}}{C_0 C^{p+1}} \right)^{(p-1)/p} > 0.$$

Because $S(j)$ is monotonously increasing in j , but converges to a positive constant $S(\infty)$.

From now on, we assume (4.5). Then it follows that

$$K_j(t) \geq \exp \left\{ p^{j-1} - j \log 16 - \log(C_0 D) - \frac{\log(\log\{2(a_{j+1} + 2)R\})}{p-1} \right\}$$

for $t \in I(j)$. We note that the right hand side of this inequality goes to infinity if j tends to infinity. Hence, for K_0 defined in (2.2) with $a = n + 1 - (n-1)p/2 > 0$ and $B = \{\text{vol}(\mathbf{B}^n(0, 1))\}^{1-p} > 0$, there exists an integer $J = J(f, g, n, p, R)$ such that

$$F(t) \geq K_0 t^{n+1-(n-1)p/2} \quad \text{for } t \in I(j)$$

as far as $j \geq J$. Therefore the definition of $I(j)$ implies

$$F(t) \geq K_0 t^{n+1-(n-1)p/2} \quad \text{for } t \geq \{2(a_J + 2)R\}^2.$$

Now we are in a position to apply Lemma 2.1 to our situation with

$$G = F, \quad B = \{\text{vol}(\mathbf{B}^n(0, 1))\}^{1-p}$$

and

$$a = n + 1 - \frac{n-1}{2}p, \quad q = n(p-1)$$

because of (4.2). We note that the condition $(p-1)a = q - 2$ in this setting is equivalent to $p = p_0(n)$. First we set

$$T_0(\varepsilon) = \exp(E\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}),$$

where E is the one in (4.5). Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(f, g, n, p, R)$ such that

$$T_0(\varepsilon) \geq \{2(a_J + 2)R\}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \max \left\{ T_0(\varepsilon), \frac{F(0)}{F'(0)} \right\} \leq \exp(2E\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)})$$

hold for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ because J and $F(0)/F'(0)$ are independent of ε as we see. If the lifespan $T(\varepsilon)$ satisfies $T(\varepsilon) > T_0(\varepsilon)$, then we have

$$F(t) \geq K_0 t^{n+1-(n-1)p/2} \quad \text{for } t \in [T_0(\varepsilon), T(\varepsilon))$$

by definition of $T_0(\varepsilon)$ because such a t satisfies $\varepsilon^{p(p-1)} \log t \geq E$. Lemma 2.1 says that this inequality implies

$$t \leq 2 \max \left\{ T_0(\varepsilon), \frac{F(0)}{F'(0)} \right\} \leq \exp(2E\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}).$$

Taking a supremum over $t \in [T_0(\varepsilon), T(\varepsilon))$, we get

$$T(\varepsilon) \leq \exp(2E\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}) \quad \text{for } 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0. \quad (4.6)$$

The counter case $T(\varepsilon) \leq T_0(\varepsilon)$ is trivial. Therefore (4.6) holds for any cases. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is now completed. \square

Remark 4.1 *It is easy to check that the blow-up condition in Yordanov and Zhang [17] is*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon^{p^2} \log t = \infty.$$

But one can find that their estimate is equivalent to Proposition 3.3 with $j = 1$. Hence, applying the above argument to such an estimate, we have

$$T(\varepsilon) \leq \exp(2\tilde{E}\varepsilon^{-p^2}) \quad \text{for } 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$$

with a different constant $\tilde{E} > 0$ from E . This result is stated in Introduction. The improvement of the upper bound of the lifespan is carried out by our iteration argument.

References

- [1] S.Di Pomponio and V.Georgiev, *Lifespan of subcritical semilinear wave equation*, Asymptotic Anal., **28**(2001), 91-114.
- [2] V.Georgiev, H.Lindblad and C.D.Sogge, *Weighted Strichartz estimates and global existence for semilinear wave equations*, Amer. J. Math., **119**(1997), 1291-1319.
- [3] V.Georgiev, H.Takamura and Y.Zhou, *The lifespan of solutions to nonlinear systems of a high-dimensional wave equation*, Nonlinear Anal., **64**(2006), 2215-2250.
- [4] R.Glassey, *Finite-time blow-up for solutions of nonlinear wave equations*, Math. Z., **177**(1981), 323-340.
- [5] R.Glassey, *Existence in the large for $\square u = f(u)$ in two space dimensions*, Math. Z, **178**(1981), 233-261.
- [6] F.John, *Blow-up of solutions of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions*, Manuscripta Math., **28**(1979), 235-268.
- [7] F.John, "Nonlinear Wave Equations, Formation of Singularities", Pitcher Lectures in Mathematical Sciences, Lehigh University, AMS, 1990.
- [8] T-T.Li and Y.Chen, "Global Classical Solutions for Nonlinear Evolution Equations", Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 45, Longman Scientific & Technical, 1992.
- [9] T-T.Li and Y.Zhou, *A note on the life-span of classical solutions to nonlinear wave equations in four space dimensions*, Indiana Univ. Math.J., **44**(1995), 1207-1248.
- [10] H.Lindblad, *Blow-up for solutions of $\square u = |u|^p$ with small initial data*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **15(6)**(1990), 757-821.
- [11] H.Lindblad and C.D.Sogge, *Long-time existence for small amplitude semilinear wave equations*, Amer. J. Math., **118**(1996), 1047-1135.
- [12] M.A.Rammaha, *Nonlinear wave equations in high dimensions*, Proceeding of The International Conference on Theory and Applications of Differential Equations, Ohio University (1988), 322-326.

- [13] J.Schaeffer, *The equation $u_{tt} - \Delta u = |u|^p$ for the critical value of p* , Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, **101A**(1985), 31-44.
- [14] T.C.Sideris, *Nonexistence of global solutions to semilinear wave equations in high dimensions*, J. Differential Equations, **52**(1984), 378-406.
- [15] W.A.Strauss, "Nonlinear Wave equations", Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 73, AMS, 1989.
- [16] H.Takamura, H.Uesaka and K.Wakasa, *Sharp blow-up for semilinear wave equations with non-compactly supported data*, Hokkaido Univ. Preprint Ser. in Math. #967 (2010).
(<http://eprints3.math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp/view/type/preprint.html>)
- [17] B.Yordanov and Q.S.Zhang, *Finite time blow up for critical wave equations in high dimensions*, J. Funct. Anal., **231**(2006), 361-374.
- [18] Y.Zhou, *Blow up of classical solutions to $\square u = |u|^{1+\alpha}$ in three space dimensions*, J. Partial Differential Equations, **5**(1992), 21-32.
- [19] Y.Zhou, *Life span of classical solutions to $\square u = |u|^p$ in two space dimensions*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser.B, **14**(1993), 225-236.
- [20] Y.Zhou, *Blow up of solutions to semilinear wave equations with critical exponent in high dimensions*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser.B, **28**(2007), 205-212.