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Abstract
We give an explicit formula for the twisted Koecher-Maaß series of the Duke-Imamoglu-Ikeda lift. As an application we prove a certain algebraicity result for the values of twisted Rankin-Selberg series at integers of half-integral weight modular forms.

1 Introduction
It is an interesting problem to give an explicit formula for the Koecher-Maaß series of a Siegel modular form \( F \) for the symplectic group \( \text{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \), and several results have been obtained (cf. Böcherer [B], Ibukiyama and Katsurada [I-K1], [I-K2], [I-K3]). Such explicit formulas are not only interesting in its own right but also have some important applications in the theory of modular forms. For example, we refer to [B-S], [D-I], [Miz]. Now we consider a twist of such a Koecher-Maaß series by a Dirichlet character \( \chi \).

On the other hand, Choie and Kohnen [C-K] introduced a different type of “twist”. For a positive integer \( N \), let \( SL_n, N(\mathbb{Z}) = \{ U \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z}); U \equiv 1_n \mod N \} \) and \( e_N(T) = \# \{ U \in SL_n, N(\mathbb{Z}); T[U] = T \} \). For a primitive Dirichlet character \( \chi \mod N \), the Koecher-Maaß series \( L(s, F, \chi) \) of \( F \) twisted by \( \chi \) is defined to be

\[
L(s, F, \chi) = \sum_T \frac{\chi(2^{[n/2]} \det T)c_F(T)}{e(T)(\det T)^s},
\]

where \( T \) runs over a complete set of representatives of \( SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \)-equivalence classes of positive definite half-integral symmetric matrices of degree \( n \), \( c_F(T) \) is the \( T \)-th Fourier coefficient of \( F \) and \( c(T) = \# \{ U \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z}); T[U] = T \} \). We will sometimes call \( L^*(s, F, \chi) \) the twisted Koecher-Maaß series of the second kind.

On the other hand, Choie and Kohnen [C-K] introduced a different type of “twist”. For a positive integer \( N \), let \( SL_{n,N}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{ U \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z}); U \equiv 1_n \mod N \} \) and \( e_N(T) = \# \{ U \in SL_{n,N}(\mathbb{Z}); T[U] = T \} \). For a primitive Dirichlet character \( \chi \mod N \), the Koecher-Maaß series \( L(s, F, \chi) \) of \( F \) twisted by \( \chi \) is defined to be

\[
L(s, F, \chi) = \sum_T \frac{\chi(\text{tr}(T))c_F(T)}{e_N(T)(\det T)^s},
\]
where $T$ runs over a complete set of representatives of $SL_{n,N}(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalence classes of positive definite half-integral symmetric matrices of degree $n$. In [C-K], Choie and Kohnen proved a meromorphy continuation of $L(s,F,\chi)$ to the whole $s$-plane and a functional equation (cf. Theorem 2.1). Moreover they got a result on the algebraicity of its special values (cf. Theorem 2.2.) We shall call $L(s,F,\chi)$ the twist of the first kind.

In this paper we give explicit formulas for the twisted Koecher-Maaß series of the first and second kinds associated with the Duke-Imamoglu-Ikeda lift and apply them to the study of the special values of the Rankin-Selberg series for half-integral weight modular forms. We explain our main results more precisely. Let $k$ and $n$ be positive even integers such that $n \geq 4$ and $2k-n \geq 12$. For a cuspidal Hecke eigenform $h$ in the Kohnen plus subspace of weight $k-n/1+1/2$ for $\Gamma_0(4)$, let $I_n(h)$ be the Duke-Imamoglu-Ikeda lift of $h$ to the space of cusp forms of weight $k$ for $Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Moreover let $S(h)$ be the normalized Hecke eigenform of weight $2k-n$ for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to $h$ under the Shimura correspondence, and $E_{n/2+1/2}$ be Cohen’s Eisenstein series of weight $n/2+1/2$ for $\Gamma_0(4)$. We then give explicit formulas for $L(s,I_n(h),\chi)$ and $L^*(s,I_n(h),\chi)$ in terms of the twisted Rankin-Selberg series $R(s,h,E_{n/2+1/2},\eta)$ of $h$ and $E_{n/2+1/2}$ and twisted Hecke’s $L$-function $L(s,S(h),\eta')$ of $S(h)$, where $\eta$ and $\eta'$ are Dirichlet characters related with $\chi$. It is relatively easy to get an explicit form of $L^*(s,I_n(h),\chi)$. In fact, by using the same argument as in Ibukiyama and Katsurada [I-K2], we can easily obtain its explicit formula (cf. Theorem 4.1). On the other hand, it seems nontrivial to get that of $L(s,I_n(h),\chi)$ (cf. Theorem 6.1), and we need some explicit formula for a certain character sum associated with a Dirichlet character (cf. Theorem 5.6). Using Theorem 6.1 combined with the result of Choie-Kohnen, we prove certain algebraicity results on $R(s,h,E_{n/2+1/2},\eta)$ at an integer $s = m$ (cf. Theorems 7.1 and 7.2), which were announced in [Ka].

We note that the algebraicity of the special values of such a Rankin-Selberg series at half-integers was investigated by Shimura [Sh3]. However there are few results on the algebraicity of such values at integers. As an attempt, Mizuno and the author [K-M] proved linear dependency of Rankin-Selberg $L$-values of a cuspidal Hecke eigenform belonging to Kohnen plus subspace of half integral weight and the Zagier’s Eisenstein series of weight $3/2$. Our present result can be regarded as a generalization of our previous result.

**Notation** We denote by $e(x) = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1}x)$ for a complex number $x$. For a commutative ring $R$, we denote by $M_{mn}(R)$ the set of $(m,n)$-matrices with entries in $R$. For an $(m,n)$-matrix $X$ and an $(m,m)$-matrix $A$, we write $A[X] = ^tXAX$, where $^tX$ denotes the transpose of $X$. Let $a$ be an element of $R$. Then for an element $X$ of $M_{mn}(R)$ we often use the same symbol $X$ to denote the coset $X \mod aM_{mn}(R)$. Put $GL_m(R) = \{ A \in M_m(R) \mid \det A \in R^\ast \}$, and $SL_m(R) = \{ A \in M_m(R) \mid \det A = 1 \}$, where $\det A$ denotes the determinant of a square matrix $A$ and $R^\ast$ is the unit group of $R$. We denote by $S_n(R)$ the set of symmetric matrices of degree $n$ with entries in $R$. In particular, if $S$ is a subset of $S_n(R)$ with $R$ the field of real numbers, we denote by $S_{\geq 0}$ (resp. $S_{>0}$) the subset of $S$ consisting of positive definite (resp. semi-positive definite)
matrices. The group \( SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) acts on the set \( S_n(\mathbb{R}) \) in the following way:

\[
SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \times S_n(\mathbb{R}) \ni (g, A) \rightarrow gAg \in S_n(\mathbb{R}).
\]

Let \( G \) be a subgroup of \( GL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \). For a subset \( B \) of \( S_n(\mathbb{R}) \) stable under the action of \( G \) we denote by \( B/G \) the set of equivalence classes of \( B \) with respect to \( G \). We sometimes identify \( B/G \) with a complete set of representatives of \( B/G \).

Two symmetric matrices \( A \) and \( A' \) with entries in \( R \) are said to be equivalent with respect to \( G \) and write \( A \sim_G A' \) if there is an element \( X \) of \( G \) such that \( A' = AXA^t \). Let \( \mathcal{L}_n \) denote the set of half-integral matrices of degree \( n \) over \( \mathbb{Z} \), that is, \( \mathcal{L}_n \) is the set of symmetric matrices of degree \( n \) whose \((i,j)\)-component belongs to \( \mathbb{Z} \) or \( \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \) according as \( i = j \) or not.

# 2 Twisted Koecher-Maaß series

Put \( J_n = \begin{pmatrix} O_n & -1_n \\ 1_n & O_n \end{pmatrix} \), where \( 1_n \) and \( O_n \) denotes the unit matrix and the zero matrix of degree \( n \), respectively. Furthermore, put

\[
Sp_n(\mathbb{Z}) = \{ M \in GL_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid J_n[M] = J_n \}.
\]

Let \( l \) be an integer or a half-integer, and \( N \) a positive integer. Let \( I_0^{(n)}(N) \) be the congruence subgroup of \( Sp_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) consisting of matrices whose left lower \( n \times n \) block are congruent to \( O_n \) mod \( N \). Moreover let \( \chi \) be a Dirichlet character mod \( N \). We then denote by \( \mathfrak{M}_l(I_0^{(n)}(N), \chi) \) the space of modular forms of weight \( l \) and character \( \chi \) for \( I_0^{(n)}(N) \), and by \( \mathfrak{E}_l(I_0^{(n)}(N), \chi) \) the subspace of \( \mathfrak{M}_l(I_0^{(n)}(N), \chi) \) consisting of cusp forms. If \( \chi \) is the trivial character mod \( N \), we simply write \( \mathfrak{M}_l(I_0^{(n)}(N)) \) and \( \mathfrak{E}_l(I_0^{(n)}(N)) \), respectively. Let \( k \) be a positive integer, and let \( F(Z) \in \mathfrak{M}_k(Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})) \). Then \( F(Z) \) has the Fourier expansion:

\[
F(Z) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{L}_{n,0}} c_F(T) e^{\operatorname{tr}(TZ)},
\]

where \( \operatorname{tr}(X) \) denotes the trace of a matrix \( X \). For \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \), put \( SL_{n,N}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{ U \in SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \mid U \equiv 1_n \mod N \} \), and for \( T \in \mathcal{L}_{n,0} \) put \( e_N(T) = \# \{ U \in SL_{n,N}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid T[U] = T \} \). For a primitive Dirichlet character \( \chi \) mod \( N \) let

\[
L(s, F, \chi) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{L}_{n,0}/SL_{n,N}(\mathbb{Z})} \frac{\chi(\operatorname{tr}(T))c_F(T)}{e_N(T)(\det T)^s}
\]

be the twisted Koecher-Maaß series of the first kind of \( F \) as in Section 1. The following two theorems are due to Choie and Kohnen [C-K].

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( F \in \mathfrak{E}_k(Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})) \), and \( \chi \) a primitive character of conductor \( N \). Put

\[
\gamma_n(s) = (2\pi)^{-ns} \prod_{i=1}^n \pi^{(i-1)/2} \Gamma(s - (i - 1)/2),
\]

then

\[
L(s, F, \chi) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{L}_{n,0}/SL_{n,N}(\mathbb{Z})} \frac{\chi(\operatorname{tr}(T))c_F(T)}{e_N(T)(\det T)^s}
\]

is entire.
and

$$\Lambda(s, F, \chi) = N^{2s} \tau(\chi)^{-1} \gamma_n(s)L(s, F, \chi) \quad (\text{Re}(s) \gg 0),$$

where $\tau(\chi)$ is the Gauss sum of $\chi$. Then $\Lambda(s, F, \chi)$ has an analytic continuation to the whole $s$-plane and has the following functional equation:

$$\Lambda(k - s, F, \chi) = (-1)^{nk/2} \chi(-1) \Lambda(s, F, \chi).$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $F$ and $\chi$ be as above. Then there exists a finite dimensional $\overline{Q}$-vector space $V_F$ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$L(m, F, \chi) \pi^{-nm} \in V_F$$

for any primitive character $\chi$ and any integer $m$ such that $(n + 1)/2 \leq m \leq k - (n + 1)/2$.

Now let

$$L^*(s, F, \chi) = \sum_{T \in L_n > 0/SL_n(\mathbb{Z})} \frac{\chi(2^{[n/2]} \det T) c_F(T)}{e(T)(\det T)^s}$$

be the twisted Koecher-Maass series of the second kind of $F$ as in Section 1. We will discuss a relation between these two Dirichlet series in Section 5.

### 3 Review on the algebraicity of $L$-values of elliptic modular forms of integral and half-integral weight

In this section, we review on the special values of $L$ functions of elliptic modular forms of integral and half-integral weights. For a modular form $g(z)$ of integral or half-integral weight for a certain congruence subgroup $\Gamma$ of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, let $\mathbb{Q}(g)$ denote the field generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ by all the Fourier coefficients of $g$, and for a Dirichlet character $\eta$ let $\mathbb{Q}(\eta)$ denote the field generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ by all the values of $\eta$.

First let

$$f(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_f(m)e(mz)$$

be a normalized Hecke eigenform in $\mathfrak{S}_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$, and $\chi$ be a primitive Dirichlet character. Then let us define Hecke’s $L$-function $L(s, f, \chi)$ of $f$ twisted by $\chi$ as

$$L(s, f, \chi) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_f(m)\chi(m)m^{-s}.$$
Proposition 3.1. There exist complex numbers $u_{\pm}(f)$ uniquely determined up to $\mathbb{Q}(f)^*$ multiple such that

$$\frac{L(m, f, \chi)}{(2\pi \sqrt{-1})^m \tau(\chi) u_j(f)} \in \mathbb{Q}(f)\mathbb{Q}(\chi)$$

for any integer $0 < m \leq k - 1$ and a primitive character $\chi$, where $\tau(\chi)$ is the Gauss sum of $\chi$, and $j = +$ or $-$ according as $(-1)^m \chi(-1) = 1$ or $-1$.

Corollary. Under the above notation and the assumption, we have

$$L(m, f, \chi) \pi^{-m} \in \mathbb{Q}(f)$$

for any integer $0 < m \leq k - 1$ and a primitive character $\chi$.

We remark that we have $L(m, f, \chi) \neq 0$ if $m \neq k/2$, and $L(k/2, f, \chi) \neq 0$ for infinitely many $\chi$.

Next let us consider the half-integral weight case. From now on we simply write $\Gamma_0(M)$ as $\Gamma_0(4)$. Let

$$h_1(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_{h_1}(m) e(mz)$$

be a Hecke eigenform in $\mathbb{C}[k_{1+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))]$, and

$$h_2(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{h_2}(m) e(mz)$$

be an element of $\mathbb{R}[k_{2+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4))]$. For a fundamental discriminant $D$ let $\chi_D$ be the Kronecker character corresponding to $D$. Let $\chi$ be a primitive character mod $N$. Then we define

$$\tilde{R}(s, h_1, h_2, \chi) = L(2s - k_1 - k_2 + 1, \omega) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_{h_1}(m)c_{h_2}(m)\chi(m)m^{-s},$$

where $\omega(d) = \chi_D^{-k_1-k_2}\chi^2(d)$. We also define $R(s, h_1, h_2, \chi)$ as

$$R(s, h_1, h_2, \chi) = L(2s - k_1 - k_2 + 1, \chi^2) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_{h_1}(m)c_{h_2}(m)\chi(m)m^{-s}.$$

Now let $S(h_1)$ be the normalized Hecke eigenform in $\mathbb{C}[k_1(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))]$ corresponding to $h_1$ under the Shimura correspondence. Then the following result is due to Shimura [Sh3].

Proposition 3.2. Assume that $k_1 > k_2$. Under the above notation we have

$$\tilde{R}(m + 1/2, h_1, h_2, \chi) \frac{L(m, S(h_1))\tau(\chi^2)\pi^{-k_2+1+2m}\sqrt{-1}}{u_-(S(h_1))} \in \mathbb{Q}(h_1)\mathbb{Q}(h_2)\mathbb{Q}(\chi)$$

for any integer $k_2 \leq m \leq k_1 - 1$ and a primitive character $\chi$.
Proof. Let $N$ be the conductor of $\chi$. Put

$$h_2(\chi)(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{h_2}(m)\chi(m)e(mz).$$

Then $h_2(\chi)(z) \in \mathbb{H}_{k_2+1/2}(4N^2, \chi^2)$. We can regard $h_1$ as an element of $\mathfrak{E}_{k_1+1/2}(\Gamma_0(4N^2))$. Then the assertion follows from [[Sh3], Theorem 2].

Corollary. Assume that $c_{h_1}(n), c_{h_2}(n) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then there exists a one-dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-vector space $U_{h_1, h_2}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\tilde{R}(m + 1/2, h_1, h_2, \chi) = c_{h_1}(n) \in U_{h_1, h_2}$$

for any integer $k_2 \leq m \leq k_1 - 1$ and a primitive character $\chi$.

4 Explicit formulas for the twisted Koecher-Maaß series of the second kind of the Duke-Imamoglu-Ikeda lift

Throughout this section, we assume that $n$ and $k$ are even positive integers. Let $h$ be a Hecke eigenform of weight $k - n/2 + 1/2$ for $\Gamma_0(4)$ belonging to the Kohnen plus space. Then $h$ has the following Fourier expansion:

$$h(z) = \sum_{e} c_{h}(e)e(ez),$$

where $e$ runs over all positive integers such that $(-1)^{k-n/2}e \equiv 0, 1 \mod 4$. Let

$$S(h)(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_{S(h)}(m)e(mz)$$

be the normalized Hecke eigenform of weight $2k - n$ for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to $h$ via the Shimura correspondence (cf. [Ko].) For a prime number $p$ let $\beta_p$ be a nonzero complex number such that $\beta_p + \beta_p^{-1} = p^{k+n/2+1/2}c_{S(h)}(p)$. For a non-negative integers $l$ and $m$, the Cohen function $H(l, m)$ is given by $H(l, m) = L_{-m}(1 - l)$. Here

$$L_D(s) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\zeta(2s - 1), & D = 0 \\
L(s, \chi_D) \sum_{a | f} \mu(a)\chi_{D_K}(a)a^{-s}\sigma_{1-2s}(f/a), & D \neq 0, D \equiv 0, 1 \mod 4 \\
0, & D \equiv 2, 3 \mod 4,
\end{array} \right.$$
where the positive integer $f$ is defined by $D = D_K f^2$ with the discriminant $D_K$ of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, $\mu$ is the Möbius function, and $\sigma_s(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^s$. Furthermore, for an even integer $l \geq 4$, we define the Cohen Eisenstein series $E_{l+1/2}(z)$ by

$$E_{l+1/2}(z) = \sum_{c=0}^{\infty} H(l, c) e(cz).$$

It is known that $E_{l+1/2}(z)$ is a modular form of weight $l+1/2$ for $\Gamma_0(4)$ belonging to the Kohnen plus space.

For a prime number $p$ let $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p$ be the field of $p$-adic numbers, and the ring of $p$-adic integers, respectively. We denote by $\nu_p$ the additive valuation on $\mathbb{Q}_p$ normalized so that $\nu_p(p) = 1$, and by $\mathcal{e}_p$ the continuous homomorphism from the additive group $\mathbb{Q}_p$ to $\mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\mathcal{e}_p(a) = e(a)$ for $a \in \mathbb{Q}$. For a p-adic number $c$ put

$$\tilde{\xi}_p(c) = 1, -1 \text{ or } 0$$

according as $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{c}) = \mathbb{Q}_p$, $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{c})/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is quadratic unramified, or $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{c})/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is quadratic ramified. We note that $\tilde{\xi}_p(D) = \chi_D(p)$ for a fundamental discriminant $D$. For a non-degenerate half-integral matrix $T$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, let

$$b_p(T,s) = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \mathcal{e}_p(\text{tr}(TR)) p^{-\nu_p(\mu_p(R)) s}$$

be the local Siegel series, where $\mu_p(R) = [R \mathbb{Z}_p^n + \mathbb{Z}_p^n : \mathbb{Z}_p^n]$. Then there exists a polynomial $F_p(T,X)$ in $X$ such that

$$b_p(T,s) = F_p(T, p^{-s})(1 - p^{-s})(1 - \xi_p(T)p^{n/2-s})^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n/2}(1 - p^{2i-2s})$$

(cf. [Ki1]), where $\xi_p(T) = \tilde{\xi}_p((-1)^{n/2} \det T)$. For a positive definite half integral matrix $T$ of degree $n$ write $(-1)^{n/2} \det(2T)$ as $(-1)^{n/2} \det(2T) = \nu_T f_T^2$ with $\nu_T$ a fundamental discriminant and $f_T$ a positive integer. We then put

$$c_{I_n(h)}(T) = c_h([\nu_T]) \prod_p (p^{k-n/2-1/2} \beta_p) \nu_p(\beta_p) F_p(T, p^{-(n+1)/2} \beta_p^{-1}).$$

We note that $c_{I_n(h)}(T)$ does not depend on the choice of $\beta_p$. Define a Fourier series $I_n(h)(Z)$ by

$$I_n(h)(Z) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{L}_{n \times n}} c_{I_n(h)}(T)e(\text{tr}(TZ)).$$

In [I] Ikeda showed that $I_n(h)(Z)$ is a Hecke eigenform in $\mathfrak{S}_h(Sp_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ and its standard $L$-function $L(s, I_n(h), St)$ is given by

$$L(s, I_n(h), St) = \zeta(s) \prod_{i=1}^{n} L(s + k - i, S(h)).$$

We call $I_n(h)$ the Duke-Imamoglu-Ikeda lift (D-I-I lift) of $h$. 
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Theorem 4.1. Let $\chi$ be a primitive Dirichlet character mod $N$. Then we have

$$L^*(s, F, \chi) = c_n R(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}, \chi) \prod_{j=1}^{n/2-1} L(2s - 2j, S(h), \chi^2)$$

$$+ d_n c_1(n/2) \prod_{j=1}^{n/2} L(2s - 2j + 1, S(h), \chi^2),$$

where $c_n$ and $d_n$ are nonzero rational numbers depending only on $n$.

To prove Theorem 4.1, we reduce the problem to local computations. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ let $(a, b)_p$ the Hilbert symbol on $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Following Kitaoka [Ki2], we define the Hasse invariant $\varepsilon(A)$ of $A \in S_m(\mathbb{Q}_p)^\times$ by

$$\varepsilon(A) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} (a_i, a_j)_p$$

if $A$ is equivalent to $a_1 \cdots a_n$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with some $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$. For $T \in S_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)_c$, put $T^{(0)} = 2^{-1} T, F_p(T, X) = F_p(T^{(0)}, X)$, and so on. Then for non-degenerate symmetric matrices $A$ of degree $n$ with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, we define the local density $\alpha_p(A) = \alpha_p(A, A)$ representing $A$ by $A$ as

$$\alpha_p(A) = 2^{-1} \lim_{a \to \infty} p^{a(-n^2 + n(n+1)/2)} \# A_n(a, A),$$

where

$$A_n(a, A) = \{ X \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)/p^n M_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) \mid A[X] - B \in p^n S_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)_c \},$$

Furthermore put

$$M(A) = \frac{1}{\sum_{A' \in G(A)} \varepsilon(A')}$$

for a positive definite symmetric matrix $A$ of degree $n$ with entries in $\mathbb{Z}$, where $G(A)$ denotes the set of $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalence classes belonging to the genus of $A$. Then by Siegel’s main theorem on the quadratic forms, we obtain

$$M(A) = \kappa_n 2^{2-n} \det A^{(n+1)/2} \prod_p \alpha_p(A)^{-1}$$

where $\kappa_n = 1$ or $2$ according as $n = 1$ or not, and $\kappa_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} \Gamma_C(2i)$ (cf. Theorem 6.8.1 in [Ki2]). Put

$$\mathcal{F}_p = \{ d_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \mid \nu_p(d_0) \leq 1 \}$$

if $p$ is an odd prime, and

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \{ d_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \mid d_0 \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 4, \text{ or } d_0/4 \equiv -1 \text{ mod } 4, \text{ or } \nu_2(d_0) = 3 \}.$$
For \( d \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) put
\[
S_n(Z_p, d) = \{ T \in S_n(Z_p) \mid (-1)^{n/2} \det T = p^{2i}d \mod \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \text{ with some } i \in \mathbb{Z} \},
\]
and \( S_n(Z_p, d) \subset S_n(Z_p) \) for \( x = e \) or \( o \). Put \( L_{n,p}^{(0)} = S_n(Z_p)_{x}^{\times} \) and \( L_{n,p}^{(0)}(d) = S_n(Z_p, d) \cap L_{n,p}^{(0)} \). Let \( t_{n,p} \) be the constant function on \( L_{n,p}^{(0)} \) taking the value 1, and \( \varepsilon_{n,p} \) the function on \( L_{n,p}^{(0)} \) assigning the Hasse invariant of \( A \) for \( A \in L_{n,p}^{\infty} \). We sometimes drop the suffix and write \( t_{n,p} \) as \( t_p \) or \( t \) and the others if there is no fear of confusion. From now on we sometimes write \( \omega = \varepsilon^l \) with \( l = 0 \) or 1 according as \( \omega = \varepsilon \) or \( \epsilon \). For \( d_0 \in F_p \) and \( \omega = \varepsilon^l \) with \( l = 0,1 \), we define a formal power series \( F_{n,p}(d_0, \omega, X, t) \) in \( t \) by
\[
P_{n,p}^{(0)}(d_0, \omega, X, t) = \kappa(d_0, n, l)^{-1} \sum_{B \in L_{n,p}^{(0)}(d_0)} \widetilde{F}_{p}^{(0)}(B, X) \alpha_p(B) \omega(B) t^{p(\det B)},
\]
where
\[
\kappa(d_0, n, l) = \kappa(d_0, n, l)_p = \{(-1)^{n(n+2)/8}((-1)^{n/2}d_0)_2\}^{l/2}.
\]
Let \( F \) denote the set of fundamental discriminants, and for \( l = \pm 1 \), we have
\[
F^{(l)} = \{ d_0 \in F \mid ld_0 > 0 \}.
\]

**Theorem 4.2.** Let the notation and the assumption be as above. Then for \( \text{Re}(s) > 0 \), we have
\[
L^*(s, I_n(h)) = \kappa_n 2^{ns+1-n}
\times \{ \sum_{d_0 \in F^{(l)n/2}} c_h([d_0]) \eta([d_0]) [n/4-k/2+1/4] \prod_{p} P_{n,p}^{(0)}(d_0, t_p, \alpha_p, p^{-s+k/2+n/4+1/4} \chi(p))
\] + \((-1)^{n(n+2)/8} \sum_{d_0 \in F^{(l)n/2}} ((-1)^{n/2}d_0)_2 c_h([d_0]) [n/4-k/2+1/4] \prod_{p} P_{n,p}^{(0)}(d_0, \varepsilon_p, \alpha_p, p^{-s+k/2+n/4+1/4} \chi(p)) \}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( T \in S_n(Z_p)_{x>0} \). Then the \( T \)-th Fourier coefficient \( c_{I_n(h)}(T) \) of \( I_n(h) \) is uniquely determined by the genus to which \( T \) belongs, and, by definition, it can be expressed as
\[
e c_{I_n(h)}(T) = c_h([h_T^{(0)}]) (t_T^{(0)})^{k-n/2-1/2} \prod_{p} \widetilde{F}_{p}^{(0)}(T, \alpha_p).
\]
We also note that
\[
(t_T^{(0)})^{k-n/2-1/2} = \eta([h_T^{(0)}]^{-1/2-n/4+1/4}) (\det T)^{k/2-n/4-1/4}.
\]
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for $T \in S_n(Z_p)_{e > 0}$. Hence we have

$$\sum_{T' \in \mathcal{G}(T)} \frac{c_L(u)(T')}{e(T')} = \det T^{k/2+n/4-1/4} e_T^{(0)} P_{\alpha_p}(T, \alpha_p) \prod_p \frac{\hat{F}_p^{(0)}(T, \alpha_p)}{\alpha_p(T)}.$$ 

Thus, similarly to [I-K1], Theorem 3.3, (1), and [I-K2], Theorem 3.2, we obtain

$$L(s, L_n(h)) = \kappa_n 2^{n-1+n} \sum_{d_0 \in \mathcal{F}(-1)^{n/2}} c_h([d_0]) [d_0]^{n/4-k/2+1/4} \times \left\{ \prod_p P_{n,p}^{(0)}(d_0, e_p, \alpha_p, p^{-s+k/2+n/4+1/4} \chi(p)) \right\}$$

$$+ (-1)^{n(n+2)/8} (-1)^{n/2} d_0 \prod_p P_{n,p}^{(0)}(d_0, e_p, \alpha_p, p^{-s+k/2+n/4+1/4} \chi(p)).$$

This proves the assertion.

Proposition 4.3. Let $d_0 \in \mathcal{F}_p$ and $\xi_0 = \tilde{\xi}(d_0)$. Then

$$P_n^{(0)}(d_0, t, X, t) = \frac{(p^{-1} t)^{\nu_p(d_0)}}{\phi_{n/2-1}(p^{-2}) (1 - p^{-n/2} \xi_0)} \times \frac{(1 + t^2 p^{-n/2-3/2})(1 + t^2 p^{-n/2-5/2} \xi_0^2) - \xi_0 t^2 p^{-n/2-2} (X + 1 + p^{1/2-n/2} + p^{-1/2+n/2})}{(1 - p^{-2} X t^2)(1 - p^{-2} X - t^2) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (1 - t^2 p^{-2i-1} X)(1 - t^2 p^{-2i-1} X^{-1})},$$

and

$$P_n^{(0)}(d_0, e, X, t) = \frac{\xi_0^2}{\phi_{n/2-1}(p^{-2}) (1 - p^{-n/2} \xi_0) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (1 - t^2 p^{-2i} X)(1 - t^2 p^{-2i} X^{-1})}. $$

Proof. Put $H_k = \begin{pmatrix} O & 1_k \\ 1_k & O \end{pmatrix}$, and for $d \in Z_p^*$ put

$$D = \{ x \in M_{2k,n}(Z_p) \mid \det(H_k[x]) \in dp^*Z_p \text{ with some } i \in Z_{\geq 0} \}.$$ 

We then define $Z_{2k}(u, e^t, d)$ as

$$Z_{2k}(u, e^t, d) = \int_D e^t (H_k[x]) \det(H_k[x])^{s-k} dx$$

with $u = p^{-s}$, where $| \cdot |_p$ denotes the normalized valuation on $Q_p$, and $dx$ is the measure on $M_{2k,n}(Q_p)$ normalized so that the volume of $M_{2k,n}(Z_p)$ is 1. Moreover put

$$Z_{2k,e}(u, e^t, d) = \frac{1}{2} (Z_{2k,n}(u, e^t, d) + Z_{2k,n}(-u, e^t, d)).$$
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and

\[ Z_{2k_0}(u, \epsilon^l, d) = \frac{1}{2} (Z_{2k, n}(u, \epsilon^l, d) - Z_{2k}(u, \epsilon^l, d)). \]

Then it is well known that

\[ Z_{2k_0}(u, \epsilon^l, (1) - 1)^{-2n/2} - \nu_p(d_0) d_0 = \phi_n(p^{-1}) \sum_{T \in \mathcal{L}_n, p} \frac{b_p(2^{-\delta_z T}, p^{-k})}{\alpha_p(T)} \epsilon^{\nu_p(\det(T))} \]

for \( d_0 \in \mathcal{F}_p \), where \( x(d_0) = e \) or \( o \) according as \( \nu_p(d_0) \) is even or odd. Recall that

\[ b_p(2^{-\delta_z T}, p^{-k}) = \frac{(1 - p^{-k}) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (1 - p^{-2k + 2i})}{1 - (2^{-\delta_z T} p)^{-k+n/2}} \]

and

\[ f_p(0, T, p^{-k}) = p^{(k/2 + (n+1)/4) \nu_p(\det T) - \nu_p(d_0)} \Phi_0(0, T, p^{-k} + (n+1)/2). \]

Hence we have

\[ Z_{2k_0}(u, \epsilon^l, (1) - 1)^{-2n/2} - \nu_p(d_0) d_0 = \phi_n(p^{-1}) \frac{(1 - p^{-k}) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (1 - p^{-2k + 2i})}{1 - (2^{-\delta_z T} p)^{-k+n/2}} \]

\[ \times p^{(k/2 - (n+1)/4) \nu_p(d_0)} P_n(0, d_0, \epsilon^l, p^{-k} + (n+1)/2, u p^{-k/2 + (n+1)/4}) \]

Let \( T(d_0, \omega, X, t) \) denote the right-hand side of the formula for \( \omega = \epsilon^l \) \((l = 0, 1)\) in the proposition. Then, by [[Sai2], Theorem 3.4 (2)], we have

\[ Z_{2k_0}(u, \epsilon^l, (1) - 1)^{-2n/2} - \nu_p(d_0) d_0 = \phi_n(p^{-1}) \frac{(1 - p^{-k}) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (1 - p^{-2k + 2i})}{1 - (2^{-\delta_z T} p)^{-k+n/2}} \]

\[ \times p^{(k/2 - (n+1)/4) \nu_p(d_0)} T(d_0, \epsilon^l, p^{-k} + (n+1)/2, u p^{-k/2 + (n+1)/4}). \]

(Remark that there are misprints in [Sai2]; the \((q^{-1})_n\) on page 197, lines 9 and 15 should be \((q^{-1})_n\). Hence we have

\[ P_n(0, d_0, \epsilon^l, p^{-k} + (n+1)/2, u p^{-k/2 + (n+1)/4}) = T(d_0, \epsilon^l, p^{-k} + (n+1)/2, u p^{-k/2 + (n+1)/4}) \]

for infinitely many positive integers \( k \). Hence we have

\[ P_n(0, d_0, \epsilon^l, X, t) = T(d_0, \epsilon^l, X, t). \]

\[ \square \]

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.**

Put \( \Omega = \{ \omega_p \} \), and let \( d_0 \in \mathcal{F}(-1)^{n/2} \). Put

\[ P(s, d_0, \Omega, \chi) = \prod_p P_n(0, d_0, \epsilon^l, \alpha_p, p^{-s+k/2+n/4+1/4} \chi(p)). \]
Then by Proposition 4.3, we have

$$P(s, d_0, \{t_p\}, \chi)$$

$$= |d_0|^{-s+k/2+n/4-3/4} \chi(d_0) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2-1} \zeta(2i)L(n/2, \chi_{d_0}) \prod_{i=0}^{n/2} L(2s + 2i - n, S(h), \chi^2)$$

$$\times L(2s - n + 1, S(h), \chi^2) \prod_p \left( (1 + p^{-2s+k-1} \chi(p)^2)(1 + \chi_{d_0}(p)^2 p^{-2s+k-2} \chi(p)^2) - \chi_{d_0}(p) p^{-2s+k-3/2} \chi(p)^2 \beta_p (1 + p^{1/2-n/2} \beta_p^{-1})(1 + p^{-1/2+n/2} \beta_p^{-1}) \right).$$

We note that $L(s, h)$ and $L(s, E_{n/2+1})$ can be expressed as

$$L(s, h) = L(2s, S(h)) \sum_{d_0 \in \mathcal{F}((-1)^{n/2})} \sigma(d_0) |d_0|^{-s} \prod_p (1 - \chi(-1)^{n/2} d_0(p)^{p^n - 2 - 1 - 2s}),$$

and

$$L(s, E_{n/2+1}) = \zeta(2s) \zeta(2s - n + 1) \times \sum_{d_0 \in \mathcal{F}((-1)^{n/2})} L(1 - n/2, \chi_{d_0}) |d_0|^{-s} \prod_p (1 - \chi_{d_0}(p)^n p^{n/2 - 1 - 2s}),$$

and therefore, we easily see that $L(s, h, E_{n/2+1}, \chi)$ can be expressed as

$$L(s, h, E_{n/2+1}, \chi) = L(2s, S(h), \chi^2) L(2s - n + 1, S(h), \chi^2)$$

$$\times \sum_{d_0 \in \mathcal{F}((-1)^{n/2})} |d_0|^{-s} c(|d_0|) \chi(d_0) L(1 - n/2, \chi_{d_0})$$

$$\times \prod_p \left( (1 + p^{-2s+k-1} \chi(p)^2)(1 + \chi_{d_0}(p)^2 p^{-2s+k-2} \chi(p)^2) - \chi_{d_0}(p) p^{-2s+k-3/2} \chi(p)^2 \beta_p (1 + p^{1/2-n/2} \beta_p^{-1})(1 + p^{-1/2+n/2} \beta_p^{-1}) \right)$$

(cf. [Sh1], Lemma 1.) Thus, by remarking the functional equation

$$L(1 - n/2, \chi_{d_0}) = 2^{1-n/2} n^{-n/2} \Gamma(n/2) |d_0|^{(n-1)/2} L(n/2, \chi_{d_0}),$$

we have

$$\sum_{d_0 \in \mathcal{F}((-1)^{n/2})} c_h(|d_0|) |d_0|^{-s+k/2+n/4+1/4} P(s, d_0, \{t_p\}, \chi)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n/2-1} \zeta(2i) \frac{2^{n/2-1} n^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)} L(s, h, E_{n/2+1}; \chi) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2-1} L(2s - 2i + n, S(h), \chi^2).$$

On the other hand, if $d_0 \neq 1$, by Proposition 4.3, we have

$$P(s, d_0, \{t_p\}, \chi) = 0.$$
Thus if $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$, for any $d_0 \in F^{(-1)^{n/2}}$, 

$$P(s, d_0, \{\varepsilon_p\}, \chi) = 0.$$ 

If $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$, by Proposition 4.3, we have 

$$P(s, 1, \{\varepsilon_p\}, \chi) = \zeta(n/2) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2-1} \zeta(2i) \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} L(2s - 2i + 1, S(h), \chi^2).$$ 

Thus the assertion follows from Theorem 4.2. \hfill $\square$

## 5 Relation between twisted K-M series of the first and second kinds

Let $N$ be a positive integer. Let $g$ be a periodic function on $\mathbb{Z}$ with a period $N$, and $\phi$ a polynomial in $t_1, ..., t_r$. Then for an element $u = (a_1 \mod N, ..., a_r \mod N) \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^r$, the value $g(\phi(a_1, ..., a_r))$ does not depend on the choice of the representative of $u$. Therefore we denote this value by $g(\phi(u))$. In particular we sometimes regard a Dirichlet character mod $N$ as a function on $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$.

For a Dirichlet character $\chi \mod N$ and $A \in L_{m>0}$, put 

$$h(A, \chi) = \sum_{U \in SL_m(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})} \chi(\text{tr}(A[U])).$$

As was shown in [[K-M], Proposition 3.3], the twisted Koecher-Maaß series of the first kind of a Siegel modular form can be expressed in terms of $h(A, \chi)$ as stated later. Therefore we shall compute $h(A, \chi)$ in the case where $A$ is an element of $L_{m>0}$. For $A = (a_{ij})_{m \times m} \in S_m(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, put 

$$R_N(A, c) = \{X = (x_{ij})_{m \times m} \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{m} a_{\alpha, \beta} x_{\alpha i} x_{i \beta} - c = 0$$ 

and $\det X - 1 = 0\}$. 

Then we have 

$$h(A, \chi) = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \chi(c) \#(R_N(A, c)).$$

From now on let $p$ be an odd prime number and $F_p$ be the field with $p$-elements. For $S \in S_m(F_p)$ and $T \in S_\nu(F_p)$ put 

$$A(S, T) = \{Y = M_{r, m}(F_p) \mid YS \cdot Y = T\}.$$ 

For an element $S \in S_m(F_p)$ with $m$ even put $\chi(S) = \left(\frac{(-1)^{m/2} \det S}{p}\right)$. 
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Lemma 5.1. Let $S \in S_m(F_p)^\times$. 
(1) Let $T \in S_r(F_p)$ with $m \geq r$. 
(1.1) Let $r$ be even. Then 

$$
\# A(S, T) = p^{rm-r(r+1)/2} (1-\chi(S)p^{-m/2})(1+\chi((-S)\perp T)p^{(r-m)/2}) \prod_{m-r+1 \leq s \leq m-1 \text{ even}} (1-p^{-e}) 
$$

or 

$$
\# A(S, T) = p^{rm-r(r+1)/2} \prod_{m-r+1 \leq s \leq m-1 \text{ even}} (1-p^{-e})
$$

according as $m$ is even or odd. In particular, for $c \in F_p^\times$, we have 

$$
\# A(S, c) = p^{m/2-1}(p^{m/2} - \left(\frac{(-1)^{m/2} \det S}{p}\right))
$$

or 

$$
\# A(S, c) = p^{(m-1)/2}(p^{(m-1)/2} + \left(\frac{(-1)^{(m-1)/2} \det S}{p}\right))
$$

according as $m$ is even or odd. 

(2) We have 

$$
\# A(S, 0) = p^{m/2-1}(p^{m/2} - \left(\frac{(-1)^{m/2} \det S}{p}\right)) + p^{m/2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{m/2} \det S}{p}\right)
$$

or 

$$
\# A(S, 0) = p^{m-1}
$$

according as $m$ is even or odd.

Proof. The assertions (1) and (2) follow from [[Ki1], Theorem 1.3.2], and [[Ki1], Lemma 1.3.1], respectively.

Proposition 5.2. Let $A = a_1 \perp \cdots \perp a_m$ with $a_i \in F_p$. For $c \in F_p^\times$ put 

$$
\mathcal{M}_p(A, c) = \{ Z = (z_{ij}) \in S_m(F_p) \mid \det(Z) = 1 \text{ and } c = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i z_{ii} = 0 \},
$$
and

\[ \gamma_{m,p} = p^{m^2-m(m+1)/2}(1 - p^{-m/2}) \prod_{e=1}^{(m-2)/2} (1 - p^{-2e}) \]

or

\[ \gamma_{m,p} = p^{m^2-m(m+1)/2} \prod_{e=1}^{(m-1)/2} (1 - p^{-2e}) \]

according as \( m \) is even or odd. Then we have

\[ \# R_p(A, c) = \gamma_{m,p} \# M_p(A, c). \]

Proof. Let \( \Phi : GL_m(F_p) \to S_m(F_p) \cap GL_m(F_p) \) be the mapping defined by \( \Phi(X) = X^tX \). Then by Lemma 5.1, we have \( \# \Phi^{-1}(Z) = 2 \gamma_{m,p} \) for any \( Z \in S_m(F_p) \cap SL_m(F_p) \). We note that \( \det X = \pm 1 \) for any \( X \in \Phi^{-1}(Z) \). Hence we have \( \#(\Phi^{-1}(Z) \cap SL_m(F_p)) = \gamma_{m,p} \). Moreover we have

\[ \text{tr}(XAX) = \text{tr}(AX^tX) \]

and hence \( X \in R_p(A, c) \) if and only if \( \Phi(X) \in M_p(A, c) \). This proves the assertion. \( \square \)

We rewrite \( M_p(A, c) \) in more concise form. Let \( p \) be a prime number and \( l \) be a positive integer dividing \( p-1 \). Take an \( l \)-th root of unity \( \zeta_l \) and a prime ideal \( \mathfrak{p} \) of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_l) \) lying above \( p \). Let \( a \) be an integer prime to \( p \). Then we have

\[ \gamma_{\text{l,odd}} = \zeta_l^i \mod \mathfrak{p} \]

for some \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \). The \( l \)-th power residue symbol mod \( p \). In the case \( l = 2 \), this is the Legendre symbol, and we write it as \( \left( \frac{a}{p} \right) \). We note that this definition of the power residue symbol is different from the usual one, and depends on the choice of \( \mathfrak{p} \) and \( \zeta_l \) except the case \( l = 2 \).

\[ \chi = \chi \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left( \frac{a}{p_j} \right)^{j_i}. \]

For two Dirichlet characters \( \chi \) and \( \eta \mod N \) we define \( J_m(\chi, \eta) \) and \( I_m(\chi, \eta) \)

\[ J_m(\chi, \eta) = \sum_{Z \in S_m(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})} \chi(\det Z)\eta(1 - \text{tr}(Z)) \]

and

\[ I_m(\chi, \eta) = \sum_{Z \in S_m(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})} \chi(\det Z)\eta(\text{tr}(Z)). \]
By definition, $J_m(\chi, \eta)$ is an algebraic number. We note that $J_1(\chi, \eta)$ is the Jacobi sum $J(\chi, \eta)$ associated with $\chi$ and $\eta$. We also define $J_m(\chi)$ as $J_m(\chi) = J_m(\chi, \chi)$.

Lemma 5.3. Let $\eta$ be a primitive character mod $p$. Let $c \in F_p$ and $S \in S_l(F_p)$ of rank $r$. Let $S \sim S_0 \oplus O_{l-r}$ with $\det S_0 \neq 0$. Put

$$I_{\eta,S,c} = \sum_{w \in F_p^1} \eta(S[w] + c).$$

Assume that $r$ is odd, and that $\eta^2 \neq 1$. Then

$$I_{\eta,S,c} = p^{-r/2} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right) \frac{\det S_0}{p} \eta(c) \left( \frac{c}{p} \right).$$

Assume that $r$ is even, and that $\eta \neq 1$. Then

$$I_{\eta,S,c} = p^{l-r} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right) \frac{\det S_0}{p} \eta(c).$$

Here we make the convention that $\left( \frac{-1}{p} \right) \frac{\det S_0}{p} = 1$ if $r = 0$.

Proof. We have

$$I_{\eta,S,c} = p^{-r} I_{\eta,S_0,c}.$$

Hence we may assume that $r = l$. Then

$$I_{\eta,S,c} = \sum_{u \in F_p} \eta(u) \# A(S, u - c).$$

Let $l$ be odd. Then by Lemma 5.1,

$$\# A(S, u - c) = p^{l-1/2} \left( p^{l-1/2} + \frac{(-1)^{(l-1)/2} (u-c) \det S}{p} \right).$$

Hence we have

$$I_{\eta,S,c} = p^{l-1/2} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right) \frac{\det S}{p} \sum_{u \in F_p} \eta(u) \left( \frac{u - c}{p} \right).$$

Since $\eta^2$ is nontrivial, we have $I_{\eta,S,c} = 0$ if $c = 0$. If $c \neq 0$, then

$$\sum_{u \in F_p} \eta(u) \left( \frac{u - c}{p} \right) = \left( \frac{-c}{p} \right) \sum_{u \in F_p} \eta(u) \left( \frac{1 - c^{-1}u}{p} \right) = \eta(c) \left( \frac{-c}{p} \right) J(\eta, \left( \frac{c}{p} \right)).$$
Let \( l \) be even. Then

\[
\#A(S, u - c) = (p^{l/2} - \left(\frac{(-1)^{l/2} \det S}{p}\right))p^{l/2 - 1} + p^{l/2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{l/2} \det S}{p}\right) a_0,
\]

where \( a_0 = 1 \) or 0 according as \( u = c \) or not. Hence

\[
I_{\eta, S, c} = p^{l/2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{l/2} \det S}{p}\right) \eta(c).
\]

\[\Box\]

**Corollary.** Let \( d \in F_p^\times \). Then we have

\[
I_{\eta, S, cd} = \eta(d) \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) I_{\eta, S, c}.
\]

**Proposition 5.4.** Let \( \eta \) be a primitive character mod \( p \). For \( Z_1 \in S_{l-1}(F_p) \) and \( z_{1l} \in F_p \), put

\[
I(Z_1, z_{1l}) = \sum_{w \in M_{l-1}(F_p)} \eta\left(\begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & w \\ t_w & z_{1l} \end{pmatrix}\right).
\]

1. Assume that \( l \) is even, and that \( \eta^2 \neq 1 \). Then

\[
I(Z_1, z_{1l}) = p^{(l-2)/2} J(\eta, \left(\frac{\chi}{p}\right)) \left(\frac{(-1)^{l/2} \det Z_1}{p}\right) \eta(\det Z_1 z_{1l}) \left(\frac{z_{1l}}{p}\right).
\]

2. Assume that \( l \) is odd, and that \( \eta^2 \neq 1 \). Then

\[
I(Z_1, z_{1l}) = p^{(l-1)/2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{(l-1)/2} \det Z_1}{p}\right) \eta(\det Z_1 z_{1l}).
\]

**Proof.** We note that

\[
\det\left(\begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & w \\ t_w & z_{1l} \end{pmatrix}\right) = -\text{Adj}(Z_1)[w] + \det Z_1 z_{1l},
\]

where \( \text{Adj}(Z_1) \) is the \((l-1) \times (l-1)\) matrix whose \((i, j)\)-th component is the \((j, i)\)-th cofactor of \( Z_1 \). We also note that \( \det(-\text{Adj}(Z_1)) = (-1)^{l-1}(\det Z_1)^{l-2} \). Thus the assertion follows directly from Lemma 5.3 if \( \det Z_1 \neq 0 \). If \( \det Z_1 = 0 \), then rank\(_{F_p}(Z_1) \leq 1 \), the assertion follows also from Lemma 5.3. \( \Box \)

**Theorem 5.5.** Let \( \chi \) be a primitive character mod \( p \). Let \( l = \text{GCD}(m, p - 1) \), and \( u_0 \) be a primitive \( l \)-th root of unity mod \( p \). Let \( A \in S_m(F_p) \).

1. If \( \chi(u_0) \neq 1 \), then we have \( h(A, \chi) = 0 \).

2. Assume that \( \chi(u_0) = 1 \). Fix a character \( \tilde{\chi} \) such that \( \tilde{\chi}^m = \chi \).

2.1 Let \( m \) be even. Then

\[
h(A, \chi) = \gamma_{m,p} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} A_{m,i,p} \tilde{\chi}(i)(\det A) J_{m-1}(\tilde{\chi}(i)),
\]
where \( A_{m,i,p} = p^{(m-2)/2}(-1)^{m(p-1)/4}J(\chi(i), \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ -1_p \end{smallmatrix} \right)) \).

(2.2) Let \( m \) be odd and assume that \( \chi^2 \neq 1 \). Then

\[
h(A, \chi) = \gamma_{m,p} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} A_{m,i,p}(\det A)J_{m-1}(\chi(i)),
\]

where \( p^{(m-1)/2}(-1)^{(m-1)(p-1)/4} \).

Proof. If \( A = O_m \) then we have \( h(A, \chi) = 0 \). Hence we assume that \( A \neq O_m \).

Then we may assume that \( A = a_1 \cdots a_{m-1} \dagger \) with \( d \neq 0 \). Put

\[
\tilde{M}_p(A, c)
\]

\[
= \{(Z_1, w) \in S_{m-1}(F_p) \times M_{m-1,1}(F_p) \mid \det \left( \begin{array}{cc} Z_1 \\ t & w \end{array} \right) \det \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{w} a_i z_i \right) = 1 \}.
\]

Write \( Z \in S_m(F_p) \) as \( Z = \left( \begin{array}{cc} Z_1 \\ t & w \end{array} \right) \) with \( Z_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p), w \in M_{m-1,1}(F_p), z \in F_p \). Then the mapping \( S_m(F_p) \ni Z \mapsto (c^{-1}Z_1, c^{-1}w) \in S_{m-1}(F_p) \times M_{m-1,1}(F_p) \) induces a bijection from \( M_p(A, c) \) to \( \tilde{M}_p(A, c) \), and hence \( \#M_p(A, c) = \#\tilde{M}_p(A, c) \).

Put

\[
K(A) = \sum_c \#\tilde{M}_p(A, c) \chi(c).
\]

Assume that \( \chi(u_0) \neq 1 \). Then we have

\[
K(A) = \sum_{c \in F_p} \chi(cu_0) \#\tilde{M}_p(A, cu_0).
\]

We note that \( \tilde{M}_p(A, cu_0) = \tilde{M}_p(A, c) \). Hence we have

\[
K(A) = \chi(u_0)K(A).
\]

Hence we have \( K(A) = 0 \).

Assume that \( \chi(u_0) = 1 \). Then we can take a Dirichlet character \( \tilde{\chi} \) such that \( \tilde{\chi}^m = \chi \). First assume that \( \det A = 0 \). Then we may assume that we have \( A = A_0 \dagger 0 \) with \( A_0 \in S_{m-1}(F_p) \). Let \( P_{m-1,m} \) be the set of \((m-1) \times m\) matrices with entries in \( F_p \) of rank \( m-1 \). Then for each \( X_1 \in P_{m-1,m} \) there exist exactly \( p^{m-1} \) elements \( X_2 \in M_{1,m}(F_p) \) such that \( \left( \begin{array}{c} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{array} \right) \in SL_m(F_p) \). Hence we have

\[
h(A, \chi) = p^{m-1} \sum_{X_1 \in P_{m-1,m}} \chi(A_0[X_1]).
\]

Let \( m \) be even. Then we can take an element \( \alpha \in F_p^\times \) such that \( \chi(\alpha) \neq 1 \). Moreover we can take \( U_0 \in GL_m(F_p) \) such that \( \alpha U_0 = \alpha 1_m \) in view of (1.1) of Lemma 5.1. Hence

\[
h(A, \chi) = p^{m-1} \sum_{X_1 \in P_{m-1,m}} \chi(A_0[X_1 U_0]) = \chi(\alpha)h(A, \chi).
\]
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Hence we have $h(A, \chi) = 0$. Let $m$ be odd and assume that $\chi^2 \neq 1$. Then we can take an element $\alpha \in (F_p^\times)^2$ such that $\chi(\alpha) \neq 1$. Moreover we can take $U_0 \in GL_m(F_p)$ such that $U_0^t U_0 = \alpha 1_m$ in view of (1.2) of Lemma 5.1. Thus by the same argument as above we have $h(A, \chi) = 0$. This proves the assertion.

Next assume that $\det A \neq 0$. We may assume that

$$A = 1_{m-1} \perp d$$

with $d = \det A$. Then we have

$$K(A) = \sum_c \# \tilde{M}_p(A, c) \tilde{\chi}(c^{e_m}).$$

Hence we have

$$K(A) = \sum_{(Z_1, w)} \tilde{\chi}(\det \left( \begin{array}{c} Z_1 \\ w \\ d^{-1} (1 - \tr(Z_1)) \end{array} \right)), \tag{*}$$

where $(Z_1, w)$ runs over elements of $S_{m-1}(F_p) \times M_{m-1,1}(F_p)$ such that

$$\det \left( \begin{array}{c} Z_1 \\ t_w \\ d^{-1} (1 - \tr(Z_1)) \end{array} \right) = u^m$$

with some $u \in F_p^\times$, and for such a matrix $\left( \begin{array}{c} Z_1 \\ t_w \\ d^{-1} (1 - \tr(Z_1)) \end{array} \right)$, there exist exactly $l$ elements $u$ of $F_p$ satisfying (*). We have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \left( \frac{v}{p} \right)_l^i = l \text{ or } 0$$

according as $v = u^m$ with some $u \in F_p^\times$ or not. Hence we have

$$K(A) = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \tilde{\chi}(\det \left( \begin{array}{c} Z_1 \\ t_w \\ d^{-1} (1 - \tr(Z_1)) \end{array} \right)), \tag{*}$$

$$\times \left( \det \left( \begin{array}{c} Z_1 \\ t_w \\ d^{-1} (1 - \tr(Z_1)) \end{array} \right) \right)_l$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(i)}(\det \left( \begin{array}{c} Z_1 \\ t_w \\ d^{-1} (1 - \tr(Z_1)) \end{array} \right))$$

Put

$$K(A)_i = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \tilde{\chi}_{(i)}(\det \left( \begin{array}{c} Z_1 \\ t_w \\ d^{-1} (1 - \tr(Z_1)) \end{array} \right))$$

We note that $\tilde{\chi}_{(i)}^2 \neq 1$ for any $i$. Hence by Proposition 5.4 we have

$$K(A)_i = A_{m,i,p} \sum_{Z_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)} \tilde{\chi}_{(i)}^*(\det A) \tilde{\chi}_{(i)}^*(\det Z_1) \tilde{\chi}_{(i)}^*(1 - \tr(Z_1)), \tag{19}$$
where $\bar{\chi}^{*}_{(i)} = \bar{\chi}_{(i)} \left( \frac{2}{p} \right)^{m-1}$. This proves the assertion if $m$ is odd. Assume that $m$ is even. Then it is easily seen that the set $\{ \bar{\chi}_{(i)} \left( \frac{2}{p} \right)^{i} \}_{i=0}^{l-1}$ of Dirichlet characters coincides with $\{ \bar{\chi}_{(i)} \}_{i=0}^{l-1}$. Moreover $\bar{\chi}^{2}_{(i)} \neq 1$ for any $i$. This proves the assertion.

**Theorem 5.6.** Let $N = p_1 \cdots p_r$. Let $\chi$ be a primitive Dirichlet character mod $N$. Let $u_{0,i}$ be a primitive $l_i$-th root of unity mod $p_i$. Let $A \in S_m(F_p)$.

1. If $\chi^{(p_i)}(u_{0,i}) \neq 1$ for some $i$. Then we have $h(A, \chi) = 0$.
2. Assume that $\chi^{(p_i)}(u_{0,i}) = 1$ for any $i$. Fix a character $\tilde{\chi}$ such that $\tilde{\chi}^m = \chi$.

(2.1) Let $m$ be even. Then we have

$$h(A, \chi) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{1}{m} \right)^{(m-1)/4} p_i(m-2)/2 \gamma_{m,p_i}$$

$$\times \sum_{i_0=0}^{l_0-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{l_r-1} \bar{\chi}_{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r)}(\text{det } A) J_m(\bar{\chi}_{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r)}) \left( \frac{\bar{\chi}^*_{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r)}}{N} \right) J_{m-1}(\bar{\chi}_{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r)}).$$

(2.2) Let $m$ be odd, and assume that $\chi^2$ is primitive. Then we have

$$h(A, \chi) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{1}{m} \right)^{(m-1)/4} p_i(m-1)/2 \gamma_{m,p_i}$$

$$\times \sum_{i_0=0}^{l_0-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{l_r-1} \bar{\chi}_{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r)}(\text{det } A) J_m(\bar{\chi}_{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r)}).$$

**Proof.** We note that $J_m(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} J_m(\eta_{(i)}^{(p_i)}, \eta_{(i)}^{(p_i)})$ for primitive characters $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ mod $N$. Moreover $\eta_2^n$ is primitive if and only if $\eta_{(i)}^{(p_i)} \neq 1$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r$. Thus the assertion follows from Theorem 5.5 and [[K-M], Lemma 3.2].

Now we give explicit formulas for $J_m(\chi, \eta)$ and $I_m(\chi, \eta)$.

**Proposition 5.7.** Let $\chi$ and $\eta$ be primitive characters mod $p$. Assume that $\chi^2 \neq 1$. Put $c_m(\chi, \eta) = 1$ or $0$ according as $\chi^m \eta = 1$ or not.

1. Assume that $m$ is odd. Then

$$I_m(\chi, \eta) = c_m(\chi, \eta) \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{(m-1)/2} p^{(m-1)/4} \chi(-1) J_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{\eta}{p} \right), \eta).$$

2. Assume that $m$ is even. Then

$$I_m(\chi, \eta) = c_m(\chi, \eta) \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{m/2} \chi(-1) J_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{\eta}{p} \right), \eta).$$
Proof. By Proposition 5.4, we have

\[
I_m(\chi, \eta) = I'_m \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
p^{(m-1)/2} \left( \frac{(-1)^{(m-1)/2}}{p} \right) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\
p^{(m-2)/2} \left( \frac{(-1)^{(m-2)/2}}{p} \right) J(\chi, \frac{z}{\pi}) & \text{if } m \text{ is even,}
\end{array} \right.
\]

where

\[
I'_m = \sum_{z_{mm} \in F_p} \chi(z_{mm}) \chi(\det Z_1) \left( \frac{\det Z_1}{p} \right) \eta(z_{mm} + \text{tr}(Z_1)) \left( \frac{z_{mm}}{p} \right)^{m-1}.
\]

Then we have

\[
I'_m = \sum_{z_{mm} \in F_p} \chi(z_{mm}) \eta(z_{mm}) \chi(\det Z_1) \left( \frac{\det Z_1}{p} \right) \eta(1 + z_{mm}^{-1} \text{tr}(Z_1)) \left( \frac{z_{mm}}{p} \right)^{m-1}.
\]

Put \( Y_1 = -z_{mm}^{-1} Z_1 \). Then \( \det Y_1 = (-1)^{m-1} z_{mm}^{-1} \det Z_1 \). Hence we have

\[
I'_m = \chi((-1)^{m-1}) \left( \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{p} \right)
\]

\[
\times \sum_{z_{mm} \in F_p} \chi(z_{mm}) \eta(z_{mm}) \sum_{Y_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)^\times} \chi(\det Y_1) \left( \frac{\det Y_1}{p} \right) \eta(1 - \text{tr}(Y_1)).
\]

We have

\[
\sum_{z_{mm} \in F_p} \chi(z_{mm}) \eta(z_{mm}) = p - 1 \text{ or } 0
\]

according as \( \chi m \eta \) is trivial or not. This proves the assertion.

Proposition 5.8. Let \( \chi \) and \( \eta \) be as in Proposition 5.7.

(1) Assume that \( m \) is odd. Then

\[
J_m(\chi, \eta) = \left( \frac{(-1)^{(m-1)/2}}{p} \right) p^{(m-1)/2}
\]

\[
\times \{ J(\chi, \chi^{m-1} \eta) J_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right), \eta) + \eta(-1) I_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right), \eta) \}.
\]

(2) Assume that \( m \) is even. Then

\[
J_m(\chi, \eta) = \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{m/2} p^{(m-2)/2} J(\chi, \left( \frac{s}{p} \right))
\]

\[
\times \{ J(\chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right), \chi^{m-1} \left( \frac{s}{p} \right) \eta) J_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right), \eta) + \eta(-1) I_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right), \eta) \}.
\]
Proof. By Proposition 5.4, we have

\[ J_m(\chi, \eta) = (J'_m + J''_m) \times \begin{cases} \frac{p^{(m-1)/2}}{p^{(m-2)/2}} J(\chi, \left( \frac{z}{p} \right)) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{p^{(m-2)/2}}{p^{(m-1)/2}} J(\chi, \left( \frac{z}{p} \right)) & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases} \]

where

\[ J'_m = \sum_{z_{m,m} \in F_p, z_{m,m} \neq 0, Z_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)} \left( \frac{\det Z_1}{p} \right) \left( \frac{z_{m,m}}{p} \right)^{m-1} \chi(z_{m,m}) \chi(\det Z_1) \eta(1 - z_{m,m} - \text{tr}(Z_1)), \]

and

\[ J''_m = \sum_{Z_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)} \left( \frac{\det Z_1}{p} \right) \chi(\det Z_1) \eta(- \text{tr}(Z_1)). \]

Then we have \( J''_m = \eta(-1)J_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{z}{p} \right), \eta). \) Moreover

\[ J'_m = \sum_{z_{m,m} \in F_p, z_{m,m} \neq 0, Z_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)} \chi(z_{m,m}) \left( \frac{\det Z_1}{p} \right) \left( \frac{z_{m,m}}{p} \right)^{m-1} \chi(\det Z_1) \times \eta(1 - z_{m,m}) \eta(1 - z_{m,m})^{-1} \text{tr}(Z_1)). \]

Put \( Y_1 = (1 - z_{m,m})^{-1} Z_1. \) Then \( \det Y_1 = (1 - z_{m,m})^{-m} \det Z_1. \) Hence we have

\[ J'_m = \sum_{z_{m,m} \in F_p} \chi(z_{m,m}) \left( \frac{z_{m,m}}{p} \right)^{m-1} \left( \frac{1 - z_{m,m}}{p} \right)^{m-1} \chi(1 - z_{m,m})^{-m} \eta(1 - z_{m,m}) \times \sum_{Y_1 \in S_{m-1}(F_p)} \left( \frac{\det Y_1}{p} \right) \chi(\det Y_1) \eta(1 - \text{tr}(Y_1)). \]

This proves the assertion. \( \square \)

**Theorem 5.9.** Let \( \chi \) be a primitive character mod \( p. \)

(1) Let \( m \) be odd, and assume that \( \chi^2 \neq 1. \)

(1.1) Assume that \( \chi^m \neq 1. \) Then

\[ J_m(\chi \left( \frac{z}{p} \right), \chi) = \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{(m-1)/2} \frac{p^{(m-1)/2}}{p^{(m-1)/2}} J(\chi, \left( \frac{z}{p} \right)) J_{m-1}(\chi, \chi) \left( \frac{z}{p} \right)^{i+1}. \]

(1.2) Assume that \( \chi^m = 1. \) Then

\[ J_m(\chi \left( \frac{z}{p} \right), \chi) = p^{m-1} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{i+1} J(\chi, \left( \frac{z}{p} \right)) J_{m-2}(\chi, \chi). \]
(2) Let \( m \) be even.

(2.1) Assume that \( \chi^m \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^{i+1} \neq 1 \). Then

\[
J_m(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \chi) = \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right)^{(m-2)/2} J(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)) J(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \chi^m \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^{i+1}) J_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \chi).
\]

(2.2) Assume that \( \chi^m \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^{i+1} = 1 \). Then

\[
J_m(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \chi) = \chi(1-pm^{-1}) J(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)) J_{m-2}(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \chi).
\]

**Proof.** Let \( m \) be odd. Then, by (1) of Proposition 5.8, we have

\[
J_m(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \chi) = \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right)^{(m-1)/2} p^{(m-1)/2}
\]

\[
\times \{ J(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \chi^m) J_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \chi) + \chi(-1) J_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \chi) \}.
\]

Thus the assertion holds if \( \chi^m \neq 1 \). Assume that \( \chi^m = 1 \). Then by (2) of Proposition 5.8 and (2) of Proposition 5.7 we have

\[
J_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \chi) = \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right)^{(m-1)/2} p^{(m-3)/2} J(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \left( \frac{a}{p} \right))
\]

\[
\times J(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \chi^{m-1}) J_{m-2}(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \chi).
\]

and

\[
I_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \chi) = \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right)^{(m-3)/2} p^{(m-3)/2} (p-1) \chi(-1) \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right)^{i+1}
\]

\[
\times J(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)) J_{m-2}(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \chi).
\]

We note that \( J(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \chi^{m}) = -1, \chi(-1) = 1 \) and

\[
J(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \chi^{m-1}) = J(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i) = \chi(-1) \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right)^i = \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right)^i.
\]

This proves the assertion.

Let \( m \) be even. Then, by (2) of Proposition 5.8, we have

\[
J_m(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \chi) = \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right)^{(m-2)/2} p^{(m-2)/2} J(\chi \left( \frac{a}{p} \right)^i, \left( \frac{a}{p} \right))
\]
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\[
\times \{ J\left( \chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^i, \chi^m \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i+1} \right) J_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \chi) + \chi(-1) J_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \chi) \}.
\]

Thus the assertion holds if \( \chi^m \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i+1} \neq 1 \). Assume that \( \chi^m \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i+1} = 1 \). Then by (1) of Proposition 5.7 and (1) of Proposition 5.8, we have

\[
J_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \chi) = \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{(m-2)/2} p^{(m-2)/2} \times J(\chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \chi^{m-1}) J_{m-2}(\chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i}, \chi),
\]

and

\[
I_{m-1}(\chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \chi) = \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{(m-2)/2} p^{(m-2)/2} J_{m-2}(\chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i}, \chi).
\]

We note that \( J(\chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i}, \chi^m \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i+1}) = -1, \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{i+1} = 1 \) and

\[
J(\chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \chi^{m-1}) = J(\chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i+1}, \chi \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i+1}) = \chi(-1) \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{i+1} = \chi(-1).
\]

This proves the assertion.

\[\square\]

**Corollary.** Let \( \chi \) be a primitive character with an odd squarefree conductor \( N \). Assume that \( \chi^2 \) is primitive. Then the value \( J_m(\chi) \) is nonzero.

**Proof.** The assertion follows directly from the above theorem if \( N \) is an odd prime. In general case, the assertion can also be proved by remarking that \( J_m(\chi) = \prod_{p | N} J_m(\chi(p)) \) and that \( \chi(p)^2 \neq 1 \) for any \( p | N \).

To compare our present result with the result in [K-M], we give the following:

**Proposition 5.10.** Let \( \chi \) be a primitive Dirichlet character mod \( p \). Assume that \( \chi^2 \neq 1 \). Then we have

\[
J(\chi, \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^i) J(\chi, \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^{i+1}) = \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^i \bar{\chi}(4p).
\]

**Proof.** Put

\[
I = \sum_{(z,w) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2} \chi(1 - z - w^2).
\]

Then by using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 5.5, we have

\[
I = J(\chi, \left( \frac{s}{p} \right)^i) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(1 - z) \left( \frac{z(1 - z)}{p} \right).
\]
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\[
J(\chi(\frac{\chi}{p}))J(\chi(\frac{\chi}{p}), \chi(\frac{\chi}{p})).
\]

On the other hand, we have
\[
I = \sum_{(y,w) \in F_2^2} \chi(-y^2 - w^2 + 1/4).
\]

Hence by Lemma 5.3 we have
\[
I = p\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \bar{\chi}(4).
\]

This proves the assertion. \(\square\)

By virtue of the above proposition, we see that Theorem 5.6 coincides with [K-M], Proposition 3.7 in case \(m = 2\).

Now let
\[
F(Z) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{L}_{n>0}/SL_n(\mathbb{Z})} c_F(A)e(\text{tr}(AZ))
\]
be an element of \(\mathbb{R}_k(Sp_n(\mathbb{Z}))\) and let \(\chi\) be a Dirichlet character mod \(N\). Assume \(N \neq 2\). Then by [[K-M], Proposition 3.1], we have
\[
L(s, F, \chi) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{L}_{n>0}/SL_n(\mathbb{Z})} \frac{c_F(A)b(A, \chi)}{c(A)(\det A)^s}.
\]

Thus by Theorem 5.6 we easily obtain:

**Theorem 5.11.** Let \(N, p_i, l_i, u_{0,i}, (i = 1, \cdots, r)\) and \(\chi\) be as in Theorem 5.6, and let \(F\) be an element of \(\mathbb{R}_k(Sp_n(\mathbb{Z}))\).

1. If \(\chi(p_i)(u_{0,i}) \neq 1\) for some \(i\). Then we have \(L(s, F, \chi) = 0\).
2. Assume that \(\chi(p_i)(u_{0,i}) = 1\) for any \(i\). Fix a character \(\tilde{\chi}\) such that \(\tilde{\chi}^n = \chi\).
   2.1 Let \(n\) be even. Then we have
   \[
   L(s, F, \chi) = \prod_{i=1}^r (-1)^{(n-2)(p_i-1)/4} \gamma_{n,p_i}
   \]
   \[
   \times \sum_{i_1=0}^{l_{1}-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{l_{r}-1} \tilde{\chi}(i_{1},...,i_{r})(2^n)J_n(\tilde{\chi}(i_{1},...,i_{r}), \left(\frac{n}{N}\right))J_{n-1}(\tilde{\chi}(i_{1},...,i_{r}))L^*(s, F, \tilde{\chi}(i_{1},...,i_{r})).
   \]
2.2 Let \(n\) be odd, and assume that \(\chi^2 \neq 1\). Then we have
   \[
   L(s, F, \chi) = \prod_{i=1}^r (-1)^{(n-1)(p_i-1)/4} \gamma_{n,p_i}
   \]
   \[
   \times \sum_{i_1=0}^{l_{1}-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{l_{r}-1} \tilde{\chi}(i_{1},...,i_{r})(2^{n-1})J_{n-1}(\tilde{\chi}(i_{1},...,i_{r}))L^*(s, F, \tilde{\chi}(i_{1},...,i_{r})).
   \]
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By Theorems 4.1 and 5.11, we obtain the following.

Theorem 6.1. Let $k$ and $n$ be positive even integers such that $n \geq 4, 2k - n \geq 12$. Let $h(z)$ and $E_{n/2 + 1/2}$ be as in Section 4. Let $N$ be a square free odd integer, and $N = p_1 \cdots p_r$ be the prime decomposition of $N$. For each $i = 1, \cdots, r$ let $l_i = \text{GCD}(n, p_i - 1)$ and $u_{i,i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a primitive $l_i$-th root of unity mod $p_i$.

1) Assume $\chi^{(p_i)}(u_{i}) \neq 1$ for some $i$. Then $L(s, I_n(h), \chi) = 0$.

2) Assume $\chi^{(p_i)}(u_{i}) = 1$ for any $i$. Then

\[ L(s, I_n(h), \chi) = 2^n \chi(2^n) \sum_{i_1=0}^{l_1-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{l_r-1} J(\tilde{\chi}(i_1, \cdots, i_r), \frac{N}{N}) J_{n-1}(\tilde{\chi}(i_1, \cdots, i_r)) \]

\[ \times \{c_{n,N} R(s, h, E_{n/2 + 1/2}, \tilde{\chi}(i_1, \cdots, i_r)) \prod_{j=1}^{n/2} L(2s - 2j, S(h), \tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, \cdots, i_r)}) \]

\[ + d_{n,N} c_h(1) \prod_{j=1}^{n/2} L(2s - 2j + 1, S(h), \tilde{\chi}_{(i_1, \cdots, i_r)}) \}, \]

where $c_{n,N}$ and $d_{n,N}$ are nonzero rational numbers depending only on $n$ and $N$, and $\tilde{\chi}$ is a character s.t. $\tilde{\chi}^n = \chi$.

Remark. In the case $n = 2$, an explicit formula for $L(s, I_2(h), \chi)$ was given by Katsurada-Mizuno [K-M].

7 Applications

Let $h_1$ and $h_2$ be modular forms of weight $k_1 + 1/2$ and $k_2 + 1/2$, respectively, and $\chi$ be a Dirichlet character. In Section 2, we reviewed on the algebraicity of the values $R(m, h_1, h_2, \chi)$ at half integers. We then naturally ask the following question:

Question. What can one say about the algebraicity of $R(m, h_1, h_2, \chi)$ with $m$ an integer?

As an application of Theorem 6.1, we give a partial answer to this question. We note that

\[ R(s, h_1, h_2, \chi) = (1 - 2^{-2s+k_1+k_2-1}\chi^2(2))^{-1} \tilde{R}(s, h_1, h_2, \chi) \]

if the conductor of $\chi$ is odd. Hence it suffices to consider the above question for $R(m, h_1, h_2, \chi)$ with integer $m$ if $k_1 + k_2$ is even.
Let \( k \) and \( n \) be positive even integers such that \( n \geq 4, \ 2k - n \geq 12 \). Let \( h(z) \) and \( E_{n/2+1/2} \) be as in Section 4. For a Dirichlet character \( \chi \) of odd square free conductor \( N = p_1 \cdots p_r \), we define

\[
R^{(\chi)}(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{l_1-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{l_r-1} J(\chi_{(i_1, \ldots, i_r)})(\frac{h}{N}) J_{n-1}(\chi_{(i_1, \ldots, i_r)})
\]

\[
\times R(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}, \chi_{(i_1, \ldots, i_r)}) \prod_{j=1}^{n/2-1} L(2s - 2j, S(h), \chi_{(i_1, \ldots, i_r)}^2),
\]

where \( l_i = \gcd(n, p_i - 1) \) as in Theorem 6.1.

**Theorem 7.1.** There exists a finite dimensional \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector space \( W_{h,E_{n/2+1/2}} \) in \( \mathbb{C} \) such that

\[
\frac{R^{(\chi)}(m, h, E_{n/2+1/2})}{\pi^{mn}} \in W_{h,E_{n/2+1/2}}
\]

for any integer \( n/2 + 1 \leq m \leq k - n/2 - 1 \) and a character \( \chi \) of odd square free conductor such that \( \chi^n \) is primitive.

**Proof.** Put

\[
M^{(\chi)}(s, S(h)) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{l_1-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{l_r-1} J(\chi_{(i_1, \ldots, i_r)})(\frac{h}{N}) J_{n-1}(\chi_{(i_1, \ldots, i_r)})
\]

\[
\times \prod_{j=1}^{n/2} L(2s - 2j + 1, S(h), (\chi_{(i_1, \ldots, i_r)})^2).
\]

Then by Corollary to Proposition 3.1, we have

\[
\frac{M^{(\chi)}(m, S(h))}{\pi^{mn}} \in \mathbb{Q}_{n-}(S(h))^{n/2} \pi^{-n^2/4}.
\]

By Theorem 6.1, we have

\[
L(m, I_n(h), \chi^n) = 2^{mn} \chi(2^n) \{ c_{n,N} R^{(\chi)}(m, h, E_{n/2+1/2}) + d_{n,N} \bar{c}_h(1) M^{(\chi)}(m, S(h)) \}.
\]

Hence by Theorem 2.2, we have

\[
\frac{R^{(\chi)}(m, h, E_{n/2+1/2})}{\pi^{mn}} \in \mathbb{Q} u_1 \otimes \mathbb{Q} V_{I_n(h)} + \mathbb{Q} u_2
\]

with some complex numbers \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \), where \( V_{I_n(h)} \) is the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector space associated with \( I_n(h) \) in Theorem 2.2. This proves the assertion.

By the above theorem, we immediately obtain the following:
By Theorem 1.1, the value \( \text{free conductor} \) proved without using the above method. Don’t know whether the functional equation of the above type can be directly called the Rankin–Selberg integral expression in more general setting, but we have

\[
\text{Corollary. In addition to the notation and the assumption as above, assume that } n \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 4. \text{ Write } N_i = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2}} p_{ij} \text{ with } p_{ij} \text{ an odd prime number, and let } \ell_{ij} = \gcd(p_{ij} - 1, n). \text{ Then the values }
\]

\[
\left\{ \frac{R(m_i, h, E_{n/2+1/2})}{\pi^{m_{i+1}}} \right\}_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{d}{2}} \text{ are linearly dependent over } \mathbb{Q}.
\]

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, the value \( L_{\frac{n}{2}}(S(h)) \) belongs to \( \mathbb{Q} \) for any \( h \). Moreover, by Corollary to Theorem 5.10, \( J_{\frac{n}{2}}(S(h)) \) is non-zero and belongs to \( \mathbb{Q} \). Thus the assertion holds.

As another application of Theorem 7.1, we also have a functional equation for \( R(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}) \). Namely, by Theorem 3.1 we obtain:

\[
\text{Theorem 7.3. Let } h \text{ be as above. Let } \chi \text{ be a primitive character of odd square free conductor } N. \text{ Assume that } n \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 4, \text{ and that } \chi^n \text{ is primitive. Put }
\]

\[
R(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}) = N^{2s} \tau(\chi^n)^{-1} \gamma_n(s) R(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}),
\]

where \( \tau(\chi^n) \) is the Gauss sum, and

\[
\gamma_n(s) = (2\pi)^{-ns} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma(i(s - 1)/2) \Gamma(s - 1)/2).
\]

Then \( R(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}) \) has an analytic continuation to the whole s-plane, and has the following functional equation:

\[
R(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}) = R(-s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}).
\]

Remark. (1) As functions of \( s \), the Dirichlet series \( \{R(s, h, E_{n/2+1/2}; \chi_{ij}(\ell_{ij}))\} \) \( 1 \leq \ell_i, 0 \leq j \leq l_i - 1 \) are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{C} \).

(2) In the case of \( n = 2 \), this type of result was given for \( R(m, h, E_{3/2}) \) with \( E_{3/2} \) Zagier’s Eisenstein series of weight 3/2 by [K-M].

(3) The meromorphy of this type of series was derived in [Sh3] by using so called the Rankin–Selberg integral expression in more general setting, but we don’t know whether the functional equation of the above type can be directly proved without using the above method.
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