



Title	A Highly Active Ir/WO ₃ Catalyst for the Selective Reduction of NO by CO in the Presence of O ₂ or O ₂ + SO ₂
Author(s)	Shimokawabe, Masahide; Niitsu, Mihiro; Inomata, Hironori; Iwasa, Nobuhiro; Arai, Masahiko
Citation	Chemistry Letters, 34(10), 1426-1427 https://doi.org/10.1246/cl.2005.1426
Issue Date	2005-10
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/71360
Type	article
File Information	Chem.Lett.34(10).pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

A Highly Active Ir/WO₃ Catalyst for the Selective Reduction of NO by CO in the Presence of O₂ or O₂ + SO₂

Masahide Shimokawabe,* Mihiro Niitsu, Hironori Inomata, Nobuhiro Iwasa, and Masahiko Arai
 Division of Chemical Process Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8628

(Received July 19, 2005; CL-050935)

Ir/WO₃ catalyst is highly active for the reduction of NO by CO even in the presence of either O₂ or O₂ + SO₂. However, the activity of Ir/WO₃ is fairly lowered by the presence of SO₂ alone. It is believed that the active sites lose their activity by the adsorption of SO₂ but O₂ promotes the desorption of SO₂ from these sites as suggested by TPD, thus the negative effect of SO₂ being suppressed by the coexistence of O₂.

The selective catalytic reduction of NO in oxygen-rich atmosphere has recently attracted extensive attention for removing NO_x emitted from diesel and lean-burn engines. Under lean conditions, certain hydrocarbons have been proved to act as selective reductants,¹⁻³ while CO and H₂ have not been regarded as selective reductant since they are oxidized by O₂ rather than by NO. Furthermore, the catalysts are often deteriorated by the presence of SO₂ in diesel exhaust. Recently, it has been reported that Ir supported on silicate⁴ and ZSM-5^{5,6} can catalyze NO reduction by CO even in the presence of excess oxygen. Hamada et al.⁷⁻⁹ have reported that Ir/SiO₂ showed no NO reduction activity in the absence of SO₂, while the presence of SO₂ drastically promotes NO reduction. This is quite a favorable characteristic for the treatment of diesel exhaust. Previously, the authors have studied the catalytic reduction of NO with CO in the presence of excess O₂ over various supported metal catalysts.¹⁰ The pronounced activity was obtained with Ir/WO₃, Ir/ZnO, and Rh/Al₂O₃ catalysts, among which the first one is the most active. In the present study, the influence of SO₂ on the reduction of NO has further been investigated for the Ir/WO₃ catalyst.

Ir/WO₃ was prepared by an impregnation method. WO₃ support was prepared by a decomposition of (NH₄)₁₀W₁₂O₄₁·5H₂O in air at 773 K for 3 h. WO₃ was impregnated with aqueous solution of H₂IrCl₆ in a rotary evaporator at 343 K. The catalyst was further calcined in air at 773 K for 2 h. Ir loading was 5.0 wt %.

The reaction was carried out in a conventional flow reactor at W/F of 0.06 g s cm⁻³ and at 423–673 K. The reactor was made of 6 mm diameter Pyrex glass tubing in which the catalyst sample of 0.05 g was mounted on loosely packed quartz wool. Prior to the runs, the catalyst was treated in a stream of He at 773 K for

3 h and cooled to the reaction temperature. The reactant gases used were NO (1000 ppm), CO (1%) and O₂ (0–8%) and they were diluted with He. In order to investigate an effect of SO₂, 100 ppm of SO₂ was added to the reactant gas in the transient mode. Unless otherwise stated, all the experiments were carried out using 2% O₂. The concentrations of N₂, N₂O, O₂, CO, and CO₂ in the outflow gas were determined using gas chromatographs (Shimadzu 8A and 6A) with porapak Q and molecular sieve 5A columns. The concentration of NO₂ was monitored using a UV-vis spectrophotometer (Hitachi Model U-1100). TPD experiments of SO₂ adsorbed on Ir/WO₃ and WO₃ were carried out to investigate the desorption behavior of SO₂, using a BEL Japan, Inc., TPD-1-AT detected by Q-MASS detector.

The reactions were run at temperatures of 473–673 K and the reactions were slow at 523 K or below under the conditions used. Table 1 summarizes the values of conversion of NO to N₂ or N₂O, and CO over Ir/WO₃ measured at higher temperatures. The pronounced activity more than 60% NO conversion is observed above 573 K except in the presence of SO₂. The highest value of NO conversion is observed in the reaction of NO–CO without both O₂ and SO₂. CO conversion obtained in the presence of O₂ or O₂ + SO₂ reaches to 100% since the oxidation of CO proceeds very rapidly in the presence of excess O₂. It may be a reason for that the conversion of NO (to N₂ and N₂O) is saturated around 60% above 623 K in the presence of excess O₂. It is found that SO₂ remarkably inhibits the NO–CO reaction, while this reaction is not inhibited by the presence of O₂ or SO₂ + O₂. The values of total NO conversion for NO–CO–O₂ reaction in the presence and absence of SO₂ obtained at 573–673 K are almost identical, while the selectivity values for N₂ and N₂O are different. The selectivity for N₂O increases but that for N₂ decreases to almost the same extent by introducing SO₂. Namely, the coexisting O₂ was able to mask the negative effect of SO₂. Possible mechanisms for the formation of N₂ and N₂O from NO is considered to be the recombination of N atoms adsorbed on adjacent Ir sites (2N_(a) → N₂) and the reaction of an adsorbed N atom and an adsorbed (or gaseous) NO molecule, respectively. Introducing SO₂, which is adsorbed on Ir sites as proved by TPD (Figure 2), would reduce the couples of the adjacent N atoms, thus decreasing the conversion to N₂ but increas-

Table 1. The conversion^a to N₂ and N₂O and of CO in the reaction of NO and CO in the presence and absence of O₂ and/or SO₂ with a 5 wt % Ir/WO₃

Temperature ^b /K	NO + CO			NO + CO + O ₂			NO + CO + SO ₂			NO + CO + O ₂ + SO ₂		
	N ₂	N ₂ O	CO	N ₂	N ₂ O	CO	N ₂	N ₂ O	CO	N ₂	N ₂ O	CO
573	74	7	8	66	15	100	7	6	1	41	42	100
623	80	4	12	53	8	100	10	7	3	49	22	100
673	87	0	11	55	4	100	8	9	4	50	9	100

^aIn %. Conversion to N₂ (or N₂O) = (N₂ (or N₂O) at outlet)/(NO at inlet); conversion of CO = (CO₂ at outlet)/(CO at inlet) in the absence or presence of O₂. ^bReaction temperature.




Figure 1. The relationship between O_2 concentration and the conversions of NO to N_2 and N_2O in the reaction between NO and CO over Ir/ WO_3 at 573 K in the presence and absence of SO_2 .

ing the conversion to N_2O .

Figure 1 shows the relationship between the O_2 concentration and the conversion of NO to N_2 and N_2O in the presence and absence of SO_2 . The conversion to N_2 obtained in the NO–CO reaction without O_2 decrease drastically by introducing SO_2 . When the reaction is carried out in the presence of O_2 between 0.3 and 1%, the conversion to N_2 increases up to 80% while the conversion to N_2O shows less than 15%. When SO_2 is introduced in the NO–CO reaction in the presence of O_2 between 0.3 and 1%, the conversion to N_2 decreases and that to N_2O increases inversely. It is found that the maximum conversion of NO in the absence of SO_2 is obtained at an O_2 concentration around 0.5%. When NO and CO are completely converted to N_2 and CO_2 , respectively, according to an equation, $NO + 10CO + 9/2O_2 \rightarrow 1/2N_2 + 10CO_2$, 0.45% O_2 is the stoichiometric concentration under the present conditions (0.1% NO and 1% CO), which is close to the O_2 concentration for the maximum NO conversion observed. When more than 0.45% O_2 is added, the oxidation of CO may be promoted but this may decrease the NO reduction by CO. Certainly, when the reaction is carried out in the presence of O_2 above 1%, CO conversion steadily shows 100% and conversion to N_2 decreases with increase in O_2 concentration, while conversion to N_2O increases inversely. The conversion to N_2O is further increased by introducing SO_2 . As well known in the literature,^{1–4} 6–16% O_2 and 1–500 ppm SO_2 are usually contained in diesel exhaust. It is seen that Ir/ WO_3 catalyst shows still high activity even in excess O_2 atmosphere, for example, 70% NO conversion for 5% O_2 . It could be considered that the resistance of Ir/ WO_3 to oxidation is very useful for SCR in oxygen-rich atmosphere.

Figure 2 illustrates the TPD curves of SO_2 adsorbed on WO_3 and Ir/ WO_3 . For SO_2 adsorption, the samples were exposed to a stream of 1% SO_2 diluted with He at $50\text{ cm}^3\text{ min}^{-1}$ for 60 min at room temperature. They were flushed by flowing He for 60 min and heated in pure He ($50\text{ cm}^3\text{ min}^{-1}$) at a rate of 10 K min^{-1} (curves 1 and 2) or by flowing pure He for 45 min and further




Figure 2. TPD curves of SO_2 adsorbed on WO_3 and Ir/ WO_3 collected during heating in pure He (1 and 2) and in O_2 (2%) and He (3).

by 2% $O_2 + He$ for 15 min, followed by TPD in the same $O_2 + He$ stream (curve 3). A broad desorption peak is observed around 350 K for the support alone (curve 1). For curve (2), two peaks are seen around 350 and 650 K, which may correspond to desorption of SO_2 adsorbed on WO_3 and Ir species, respectively. When TPD was conducted in the presence of O_2 , a different result was obtained (curve 3); the peak assigned to the desorption from Ir shifts to lower temperature by about 60 K. Namely O_2 can promote the desorption of SO_2 from surface Ir sites and this is a reason for O_2 to remove the negative effect of SO_2 deactivating those sites.

The influence of O_2 and SO_2 on the catalytic reduction of NO with CO has been investigated for an Ir/ WO_3 catalyst, which is highly active for this reduction in the absence of those foreign gases. It is shown that NO can be reduced by CO even in the presence of either O_2 or $O_2 + SO_2$. It is also seen that the catalyst shows high activity even in excess O_2 atmosphere such as 5 to 8% O_2 in the presence and absence of SO_2 . It could be considered that the resistance of Ir/ WO_3 to oxidation is very useful for sulfur free lean-burn engines in addition to diesel exhaust.

References

- 1 Y. Li and J. N. Armor, *Appl. Catal., B*, **1**, L31 (1992).
- 2 M. Iwamoto and H. Hamada, *Catal. Today*, **10**, 57 (1991).
- 3 X. Feng and W. K. Hall, *J. Catal.*, **166**, 368 (1997).
- 4 M. Ogura, A. Kawamura, M. Matsukata, and E. Kikuchi, *Chem. Lett.*, **2000**, 146.
- 5 A. Wang, D. Liang, C. Xu, X. Sun, and T. Zhang, *Appl. Catal., B*, **32**, 205 (2001).
- 6 A. Wang, L. Ma, Y. Cong, T. Zhang, and D. Liang, *Appl. Catal., B*, **40**, 319 (2003).
- 7 T. Yoshinari, K. Sato, M. Haneda, Y. Kintaichi, and H. Hamada, *Catal. Commun.*, **2**, 155 (2001).
- 8 T. Yoshinari, K. Sato, M. Haneda, Y. Kintaichi, and H. Hamada, *Appl. Catal., B*, **41**, 157 (2003).
- 9 M. Haneda, Pusparatu, Y. Kintaichi, I. Nakamura, M. Sasaki, T. Fujitani, and H. Hamada, *J. Catal.*, **229**, 197 (2005).
- 10 M. Shimokawabe and N. Umeda, *Chem. Lett.*, **33**, 534 (2004).