Title	Water diffusion in silica glass through pathways formed by hydroxyls
Author(s)	Kuroda, Minami; Tachibana, Shogo; Sakamoto, Naoya; Okumura, Satoshi; Nakamura, Michihiko; Yurimoto, Hisayoshi
Citation	American mineralogist, 103(3), 412-417 https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2018-6208
Issue Date	2018-03
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/72712
Rights	©2018 Mineralogical Society of America
Type	article (author version)
File Information	Kuroda.pdf

[Instructions for use](#)

Revision 1

Water diffusion in silica glass through pathways formed by hydroxyls

Minami Kuroda¹, Shogo Tachibana^{1,2}, Naoya Sakamoto³, Satoshi Okumura⁴, Michihiko Nakamura⁴ and Hisayoshi Yurimoto^{1,3,5}

¹Department of Natural History Sciences, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

²UTokyo Organization for Planetary and Space Science, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

³Isotope Imaging Laboratory, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 001-0021, Japan

⁴Department of Earth Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

⁵Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, JAXA, Sagamihara 252-5120, Japan

Corresponding author: Minami Kuroda (minami@ep.sci.hokudai.ac.jp)

Abstract

Water diffusion in silicate melts is a fundamental process controlling physical and chemical consequences for magmatism, but mechanisms of diffusion in silicate glasses and melts are not fully understood. In this study, water diffusion experiments in silica glass were performed at temperatures of 650°C–850°C and water vapor pressure of 50 bar, with the aim of improving our understanding of the mechanism of water diffusion in a simple $\text{SiO}_2\text{-H}_2\text{O}$ system, and to construct a general water diffusion model for multi-component silicate glasses. Hydrogen diffusion profiles in silica glass were measured by SIMS (Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry) down to a water concentration of ~10 ppm. Water diffusion profiles indicate that water diffusion becomes slower with decreasing water concentration in silica glass, with the water concentration dependence being greater than in multi-component silicate glasses, particularly at low concentrations (e.g., Doremus 1969, 2000; Zhang and Behrens 2000). A new water diffusion model is proposed for silica glass, where the greater concentration dependence is attributed to the limited number of diffusion pathways in silica glass, formed by breaking Si-O-Si bonds through hydroxyl formation. The model was applied to multi-component silicate glasses, taking into account the effects of metal cations that act as network modifiers by providing additional

31 diffusion pathways for water molecules. The lower water concentration dependence in
32 multi-component silicate glasses and melts is explained by little dependence of the number of
33 diffusion pathways on water concentration because it is controlled extrinsically by network
34 modifier cations. It is concluded that the number of diffusion pathways is an essential controlling
35 factor for water diffusion in silica and silicate glasses.

36

37

Introduction

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

Water is the most abundant volatile component of magmas. It changes various properties of silicate melts, for example, lowering the viscosity and melting temperature. In volcanic systems, water affects the eruption style through degassing and magma fragmentation due to bubble nucleation and growth in over-saturated ascending magma (e.g., Sparks, 1978). Bubble growth in magma is controlled by viscous relaxation and water diffusion, the relative influences of which depend on magma properties such as temperature, pressure, and chemical composition. Water diffusion in silicate melts is thus one of the important basic parameters controlling the physical and chemical aspects of magmatism.

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

The diffusion of water in silicate glasses, as a potential analog of silicate melts, has been studied mainly in silica-rich glasses (e.g. Zhang et al., 2007, and references therein). Doremus (1969, 1995) concluded that water diffusivity in silica glass depends linearly on water concentration at 650°C–1000°C, based on published data (Drury et al., 1962; Drury and Roberts, 1963; Roberts and Roberts, 1964, 1966; Burn and Roberts, 1970). This dependence is consistent with that reported by Behrens (2010) for silica glass at 521°C–1097°C at a total pressure of 2 kbar. Tomozawa and co-workers studied water diffusion in silica glass at relatively low water

vapor pressures (e.g., Wakabayashi and Tomozawa, 1989; Tomozawa et al., 1994, 2001; Davis and Tomozawa, 1996; Oehler and Tomozawa, 2004), and Wakabayashi and Tomozawa (1989) reported that water diffusion is independent of water concentration in silica glass at 400°C–600°C and 0.5 bar. Regarding silica-rich glasses and melts, Doremus (2000) used literature data (Delaney and Karsten, 1981; Karsten et al., 1982; Laphan et al., 1984) to show a linear concentration dependence of water diffusion in rhyolite melts at 650°C–1000°C and a water vapor pressure of 0.7–5.0 kbar. Zhang and Behrens (2000) showed empirically that water diffusion depends exponentially on water concentration in rhyolite glasses and melts over wide ranges of both temperature and pressure (400°C–1200°C and 0.01–8.1 kbar). Water diffusivities in rhyolite melts and silica glass exhibit a single Arrhenius relationship (Ni et al., 2015) even though their properties (e.g., structural relaxation) differ, implying that data on water diffusion in silicate glasses could potentially be applied to silicate melts with caution.

Many diffusion models have been proposed for water diffusion in silicate glasses and melts, but no universal model exists to describe the water diffusion in silicate glasses with various water concentrations and compositions. To elucidate the water concentration dependence of water diffusion in silicate glasses, the present study performed water diffusion experiments in

silica glass consisting only of network-forming SiO_4 tetrahedra. The absence of network modifier cations meant that compositional effects could be ignored.

Experimental and analytical methods

An optical-quality silica glass plate containing ~ 10 ppm (~ 0.0017 mol%) water (SIGMAKOKI Co.), cut into parallelepipeds ($5 \times 3 \times 2$ mm), was used as a starting material for water diffusion experiments. In each experiment a silica glass sample and ultrapure water ($9.7\text{--}11.8$ μL) were sealed in a silica glass tube (with 4 mm and 6 mm inner and outer diameters, and 80 mm length) in air, and heated in a box furnace at temperatures of 850°C , 800°C , 750°C , and 650°C for different periods (Table 1). The amount of water in the sealed tube was adjusted to provide a 50-bar water vapor atmosphere at the set temperatures with complete evaporation of all added water. Experiments were duplicated to confirm results.

Concentration profiles of ^1H and ^{30}Si were measured using a secondary ion mass spectrometer (SIMS; Cameca ims-6f) at Hokkaido University. The silica glass sample was mounted in Bi–Sn alloy, and the polished cross section was coated with a 70 nm gold layer. A 20 nA primary Cs^+ beam was focused to form a $20\text{--}30$ μm diameter spot on the sample. A field

aperture was used to enable the transmission of ions from the central area (10 μm in diameter) of the sputtered region to minimize the hydrogen signal from absorbed water on the polished sample surface. A normal electron flood gun was used for charge compensation in the sputtered area. Profiles were obtained by moving the sample stage in 5 μm steps across the sample surface. Spatial resolution under this analytical setup was 15–20 μm , corresponding to 3–4 sequential steps of the diffusion profile. Several profiles (usually three) were measured for each sample to assess analytical reproducibility. The position of the diffusion surface was determined as being the point from which ^{30}Si counts became constant. In each analytical session, a calibration curve was made to convert the secondary ion count ratio of $^1\text{H}/^{30}\text{Si}$ to the total water content in the glass using synthetic basalt glasses with known water contents (0, 0.98, and 1.26 mol%), synthesized from natural scoria in a piston cylinder apparatus by S. Yoshimura, Hokkaido University. Hauri et al. (2006) reported that calibration lines for SIMS analysis of water content in silicate glasses do not depend on glass compositions. Hydrogen profiles in the silica glass tube used as the capsule were also determined to evaluate the amount of dissolved water in the tube.

Secondary ion images of ^1H and ^{30}Si along the diffusion profile were obtained using an isotope microscope (SCAPS; Stacked CMOS Active Pixel Sensor ion-imaging detector attached

to Cameca ims-1270 instrument) at Hokkaido University (Yurimoto et al., 2003). A 1-nA Cs^+ primary beam was used for homogeneous irradiation of a sample surface of $50 \times 75 \mu\text{m}$ to extract secondary ions.

Results

A typical diffusion profile for a sample heated at 850°C for 25 hours is shown in Fig. 1. The intensity of secondary ^1H decreases from rim to core of the sample, especially rapidly in deeper regions (depth $> 180 \mu\text{m}$). This rapid decrease is confirmed by secondary ion imaging (Fig. 1, inset). The $^1\text{H}/^{30}\text{Si}$ ratio profiles obtained from point analyses and isotopic imaging deeper than $180 \mu\text{m}$ from the surface are consistent (Fig. 1). The rapid decrease in secondary ^1H is therefore not an artefact, but is a feature of diffusive behavior of water in silica glass. No glass crystallization was observed by optical microscopy after the experiments.

The $^1\text{H}/^{30}\text{Si}$ secondary ion intensity ratios were converted to total water concentration in glass using calibration curves produced for each analytical session. Examples of water concentration profiles are given in Fig. 2. A similar degree of water diffusion was observed in the silica glass tube. The total amount of water dissolved in the tube during the diffusion

experiments is estimated to be <1% of the initial water in the system, so dissolution in the tube is negligible in the context of this study.

Discussion

Water diffusion model for silica glass

The measured water diffusion profiles do not fit a one-dimensional, semi-infinite diffusion model with fixed surface concentration and constant diffusion coefficient (Crank, 1975), which are shown with curve (i) in Fig.2. The curve (i) (Fig. 2) was obtained for water concentrations above certain values (0.2–0.3 wt%) and does not represent diffusion profiles at lower water concentrations. Water diffusivity in silica glass thus depends on the water concentration at 650°C–850°C, with diffusion being slower at lower concentrations.

Models with diffusion coefficients having linear or exponential dependence on water concentration (Doremus 1969; Zhang and Behrens 2000s) were applied to silica glass under the same boundary conditions (i.e., one-dimensional, semi-infinite diffusion with a fixed surface concentration; curves (ii) and (iii), Fig. 2), but again these models do not explain the profiles in the low water concentration region. It appears, therefore, that there is a greater concentration

dependence for water diffusion in silica glass than accounted for in previous models proposed for silica and silicate glasses.

Water dissolves in silicate glass as two species, namely molecular water (H_2O_m) and hydroxyls (OH). These interconvert through the following reaction:

where O represents anhydrous oxygen in the glass. The equilibrium constant (K) of reaction (1) is given by

$$K = \frac{X_{OH}^2}{X_{H_2O_m}X_O}, \quad (2)$$

where X_i represents the mole fraction of a single oxygen atom in the species i .

The diffusion coefficient of total H_2O is expressed by the sum of diffusion terms of molecular water and OH (e.g. Ni et al., 2013):

$$D_{H_2O_t} = D_{H_2O_m} \frac{\partial X_{H_2O_m}}{\partial X_{H_2O_t}} + D_{OH} \frac{\partial X_{OH}}{\partial X_{H_2O_t}} \quad (3)$$

The main diffusive species in silicate glasses is considered to be molecular water (e.g., Doremus 1969; Zhang and Behrens 2000) because hydroxyls are less mobile due to chemical bonding with silicon atoms. Doremus (1999) concluded that the inter-conversion reaction (1) can be at equilibrium in silica glass at temperatures of $>650^\circ\text{C}$. When at equilibrium, with molecular

water as the main diffusive species, the diffusion coefficient of total water ($D_{H_2O_t}$) is given by the first term in the product of Equation (3), expressed as a function of the equilibrium constant for the inter-conversion reaction, the total water concentration ($X_{H_2O_t}$), and the diffusion coefficient of molecular water ($D_{H_2O_m}$):

$$D_{H_2O_t} = D_{H_2O_m} \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{16X_{H_2O_t}}{K} \right)^{-1/2} \right). \quad (4)$$

It is proposed here that the diffusivity of molecular water ($D_{H_2O_m}$) is controlled not only by jump frequency and distance, but also by the number of diffusion pathways. Molecular water cannot move easily through a polymerized silica glass network, but if a water molecule reacts with silica glass to form two hydroxyls by breaking a Si–O–Si bond (Equation (1)), the hydroxyls can provide a diffusion pathway as shown in Fig. 3. In this case, the number of diffusion pathways would be proportional to half the hydroxyl concentration and $D_{H_2O_m}$ as follows:

$$D_{H_2O_m} = [Jump\ frequency\ of\ H_2O_m] [Jump\ distance]^2 [Number\ of\ diffusion\ pathways] = \nu d^2 \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right) \frac{X_{OH}}{2}, \quad (5)$$

where ν is the molecular vibration frequency that is assumed to be constant, d is the jump distance, E is the activation energy for the jump of molecular water, R is the gas constant, and T is absolute temperature. The jump distance is expected to be constant in the present experiments because the thermal expansion of silica glass is expected to be <0.01% at 650°C–850°C (Narottam and Doremus 1986). In vacancy diffusion in crystals, the number of diffusion pathways should be replaced with the concentration of point defects (Shewmon, 1989).

By combining all concentration-independent factors into D^* , the diffusion coefficient of molecular water can be given by:

$$D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_m} = D^* \frac{X_{\text{OH}}}{2} \quad (6)$$

The concentration of hydroxyls (X_{OH}) is a function of the total water content (Equation (2)), so $D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_t}$ can be written as a function of K and total water content:

$$D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_t} = \frac{D^* K}{8} \left(\left(1 + \frac{16X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_t}}{K} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{16X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_t}}{K} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right). \quad (7)$$

In the low water concentration case, $D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_t}$ can be approximated as

$$D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_t} \approx \frac{8D^*}{K} X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_t}^2. \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) clearly shows that there should be greater water concentration dependence for $D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_t}$ in silica glass than in models proposed for other silicate glasses and melts, where the

water diffusion coefficient increases linearly or exponentially with concentration (e.g., Doremus, 1969; Zhang and Behrens, 2000). As indicated in the approximation for $D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_t}$, appropriate values for D^* and K cannot be found independently through least squares fitting of experimental diffusion profiles. D^* was estimated using K values from Zhang and Ni (2010), where K was reported for rhyolite glass in the temperature range used in the present study, because there is no reported K value for silica glass, and it could not be determined by spectroscopy here due to the very low abundance of molecular water. The K values (Zhang and Ni, 2010) give a concentration ratio of molecular water to hydroxyls of <0.01 in silica glass samples, which is consistent with the lack of molecular water detected in the present study.

The resulting diffusion profiles, fitted with the diffusion coefficient given by Equation (7) in a one-dimensional, semi-infinite diffusion model with a fixed surface concentration, are shown in Fig. 2. This model for total water diffusion better explains the diffusion profiles over a wider range of water concentration than previous models.

Mean values of D^* , obtained from multiple line analyses of a single sample, are summarized in Table 1. The Arrhenius plot (Fig. 4) of D^* at 850°C – 750°C indicates that D^* satisfies the Arrhenius relationship with an activation energy of 110 ± 27 kJ/mol, consistent with

the activation energy of 60–120 kJ/mol for water diffusion in silicate glasses reported in previous studies (Zhang et al., 2007, and references therein).

The D^* value at 650°C was not included in the determination of activation energy because the diffusion profile at 650°C was less well-defined by the present diffusion model, and the diffusion coefficient at that temperature had a larger uncertainty than those at higher temperatures. This is most likely because equilibrium is not achieved in the inter-conversion reaction (Equation (1)) at 650°C (Doremus, 1999).

Application to water diffusion in silicate glasses

The water diffusion model for silica glass was applied to diffusion in silicate glasses. Metal cations such as Na, K, Mg, and Ca are present in multi-component silicate glasses. Some of these cations act as “network-modifiers” and some act as “compensating ions” along with Al depending on the concentration of Al and metal cations (Greaves and Ngai, 1995). The network-modifier cations break Si–O–Si bonds to form non-bridging oxygen atoms, resulting in the formation of diffusion pathways for molecular water (Fig. 3). Considering the number of

diffusion pathways formed by network-modifier cations, the diffusivity of molecular water in silicate glasses is given by

$$D_{H_2O_m} = \nu d^2 \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right) \left(\frac{X_{OH}}{2} + kX_{NBO}\right), \quad (9)$$

where X_{NBO} represents the molar fraction of non-bridging oxygen atoms generated by metal cations and k is the factor to relate the fraction of diffusion pathway to X_{NBO} . Equation (9) explains the weaker water-concentration dependence of water diffusion observed in multi-component silicate glasses and melts (e.g., Doremus, 1969; Zhang and Behrens, 2000) than in silica glass. At low water concentrations ($\frac{X_{OH}}{2} \ll X_{metal}$) the number of diffusion pathways in silicate glasses ($\frac{X_{OH}}{2} + kX_{NBO}$) is determined primarily by network modifiers, irrespective of water concentration (Fig. 5a). This makes $D_{H_2O_m}$ constant in silicate glasses at low water concentrations (Equation (9); Fig. 5b) and results in an almost linear water concentration dependence of $D_{H_2O_t}$ (Equation (4); Fig. 5c). On the other hand, diffusion pathways in silica glass are formed only by water molecules, as discussed above, and they decrease with decreasing the water concentration (Fig. 5a). Thus, water diffusion in silica glass has greater water concentration dependence because the number of diffusion pathways is intrinsically determined by H_2O itself (Equation (7); Fig. 5c).

227 At higher water concentrations ($\frac{X_{OH}}{2} \gtrsim X_{metal}$), OH also contributes to the formation of
 228 diffusion pathways in silicate glasses (Fig. 5a) and $D_{H_2O_m}$ becomes dependent on water
 229 concentration (Fig. 5b). The water concentration dependence of $D_{H_2O_t}$ becomes stronger than a
 230 simple linear dependence (Fig. 5c), possibly explaining the exponential concentration
 231 dependence of water diffusion observed in rhyolite glasses and melts (e.g. Zhang and Behrens,
 232 2000).

233 Wakabayashi and Tomozawa (1989) reported concentration-independent water diffusion
 234 in silica glass at 400°C–600°C and 0.5 bar. They observed diffusion behavior that seems
 235 inconsistent with the strong water-concentration dependence found in the present study, but can
 236 be explained by OH diffusion in the glass. Equations (7) and (8) indicate that under extremely
 237 low $X_{H_2O_t}$ conditions, the contribution of hydroxyl diffusion may not be negligibly small.
 238 Equation (7) can be rewritten as follows when including the OH diffusion term:

$$D_{H_2O_t} = \frac{D^*K}{8} \left(\left(1 + \frac{16X_{H_2O_t}}{K} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{16X_{H_2O_t}}{K} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) + D_{OH}^* (1 - 2X_{H_2O_t}) \left(4X_{H_2O_t} (X_{H_2O_t} - 1) \left(1 - \frac{4}{K} \right) + 1 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (11)$$

where D_{OH}^* represents water concentration independent OH diffusion. The second product term in Equation (11) is the same as that in Ni et al. (2013). When $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_t}$ is extremely low, Equation (11) indicates that $D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_t}$ can be approximated by D_{OH}^* . The experiments by Wakabayashi and Tomozawa (1989) were performed at an H_2O pressure of 0.5 bar, much lower than the present and previous experiments, and they therefore observed OH diffusion in silica glass rather than molecular water diffusion, as they supposed. Their small water diffusion coefficients are also consistent with OH diffusion.

It is therefore concluded that the water diffusion model proposed here, where diffusivity depends on the number of diffusion pathways, explains the concentration dependence of water diffusion in multi-component silicate glasses.

Implications

This study has demonstrated that diffusion of molecular water in silicate glasses is controlled by the number of diffusion pathways, which in turn is controlled by the concentrations of water and network modifier cations. The structural effect should also be inevitable for diffusion of other molecular species in silicate glasses such as CO_2 and Ar, which also control

physical and chemical properties of ascending magma and are used as an indicator of magma degassing. The diffusion model presented in this study should be applicable to the water concentration dependence of CO₂ and Ar diffusion in silicate glasses (e.g., Behrens and Zhang, 2001; Zhang et al., 2007).

It has been empirically shown that the water diffusion coefficient in silicate glasses increases with decreasing glass viscosity (Persikov et al., 2010). As the number of diffusion pathways formed by hydroxyls and network modifier cations affects the structural properties of silicate glass, the diffusion model proposed here may improve our understanding of the effect of viscosity on water diffusion.

Acknowledgements

We thank Sachio Kobayashi and Jun Kawano for their help with SCAPS analyses and micro-IR analyses, respectively. We also thank Isao Sakaguchi for constructive suggestions on ion-microprobe analysis and the diffusion model. Careful reviews by Bjorn Mysen and an anonymous reviewer are appreciated. This work was supported by Ministry of Education, Sports, Science and Technology KAKENHI grant.

272

273

References

274 Behrens, H. (2010) Ar, CO₂ and H₂O diffusion in silica glasses at 2 kbar pressure. Chemical

275 Geology, 272, 40-48.

276 Burn, I., and Roberts, J.P. (1970) Influence of hydroxyl content on the diffusion of water in silica

277 glass. Physics and Chemistry of Glasses, 11, 106-114.

278 Crank, J. (1975) The Mathematics of Diffusion Second Edition, 414 p. Oxford University Press,

279 Oxford.

280 Davis, K.M., and Tomozawa, M. (1995) Water diffusion into silica glass: structural changes in

281 silica glass and their effect on water solubility and diffusivity. Journal of Non-Crystalline

282 Solids, 185, 202-220.

283 Delaney J.R., and Karsten J.L. (1981) Ion microprobe studies of water in silicate melts.

284 Concentration-dependent water diffusion in obsidian. Earth and Planetary Science Letters,

285 52, 191-202.

286 Doremus, R.H. (1969) The diffusion of water in fused silica. In J.W. Mitchell et al., Eds.,
 287 Reactivity of Solids, p. 667-673. Wiley, New York.

288 Doremus, R.H. (1995) Diffusion of water in silica glass. Journal of Materials Research, 10,
 289 2379-2389.

290 Doremus, R.H. (1999) Diffusion of water in crystalline and glassy oxides: Diffusion-reaction
 291 model. Journal of Material Research, 14, 3754-3758.

292 Doremus, R.H. (2000) Diffusion of water in rhyolite glass: diffusion-reaction model. Journal of
 293 Non-Crystalline Solids, 261, 101-107.

294 Drury, T., and Roberts, J.P. (1963) Diffusion in silica glass following reaction with tritiated
 295 water vapor. Physics and Chemistry of Glasses, 4, 79-90.

296 Drury, T., Roberts, G.C., and Roberts, P.J. (1962) Diffusion of “water” in silica glass. Advances
 297 in glass technology: technical papers of the VI International Congress on Glass, p.
 298 249-255. International Commission on Glass.

299 Greaves, G.N., and Ngai, K.L. (1995) Reconciling ionic-transport properties with atomic
 300 structure in oxide glasses. Physical Review B, 52, 6358-6380.

301 Hauri, E.H., Shaw, A.M., Wang, J., Dixon, J.E., King, P.L., and Mandeville, C. (2006) Matrix
 302 effects in hydrogen isotope analysis of silicate glasses by SIMS. *Chemical Geology*, 235,
 303 352-265.

304 Karsten J.L., Holloway, J.R., and Delaney J.R. (1982) Ion microprobe studies of water in silicate
 305 melts: temperature-dependent water diffusion in obsidian. *Earth and Planetary Science*
 306 *Letters*, 59, 420-428.

307 Laphan K.E., Holloway, J.R., and Delaney J.R. (1984) Diffusion of H₂O and D₂O in obsidian at
 308 elevated temperatures and pressures. *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids*, 67, 179-191.

309 Narottam, P.B., and Doremus, R.H. (1986) *Handbook of Glass Properties*, 414 p. Academic
 310 Press, New York.

311 Ni, H., Xu, Z., and Zhang, Y. (2013) Hydroxyl and molecular H₂O diffusivity in a haploandesitic
 312 melt. *Geochemical et Cosmochemica Acta*, 103, 36-48.

313 Ni, H., Hui, H., and Newman, G.S. (2015) Transport properties of silicate melts, *Reviews of*
 314 *Geophysics*, 53, 715-744.

315 Oehler, A., and Tomozawa, M. (2004) Water diffusion into silica glass at a low temperature
 316 under high water vapor pressure. *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids*, 347, 211-219.

317 Persikov, E.S., Newman, S., Bukhtiyarov, P.G., Nekrasov, A.N., and Stolper, E.M. (2010)
 318 Experimental study of water diffusion in haplobasaltic and haploandesitic melts.
 319 *Chemical Geology*, 276, 241-256.

320 Roberts, G.J., and Roberts, J.P. (1964) Influence of thermal history on the solubility and
 321 diffusion of “water” in silica glass. *Physics and Chemistry of Glasses*, 5, 26-32.

322 Roberts, G.J., and Roberts, J.P. (1966) An oxygen tracer investigation of the diffusion of “water”
 323 in silica glass. *Physics and Chemistry of Glasses*, 7, 82-89.

324 Shewmon, P.G. (1989) *Diffusion in Solids*. 246 p. Wiley-TMS.

325 Sparks, R.S.J. (1978) The Dynamics of bubble formation and growth in magmas: a review and
 326 analysis. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 3, 1-37

327 Tomozawa, M., Li, H., and Davis, K.M. (1994) Water diffusion, oxygen vacancy annihilation
 328 and structural relaxation in silica glasses. *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids*, 179,
 329 162-169.

- 330 Tomozawa M., Kim, D.L., Agrawal, A., and Davis, K.M. (2001) Water diffusion and surface
331 structural relaxation of silica glasses. *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids*, 288, 73-80.
- 332 Wakabayashi, H., and Tomozawa, M. (1989) Diffusion of water into silica glass at low
333 temperature. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*, 72, 1850-1855.
- 334 Yurimoto, H., Nagashima, K., and Kunihiro, T. (2003) High precision isotope micro-imaging of
335 materials. *Applied Surface Science*, 203-204, 793-797.
- 336 Zhang, Y., and Behrens, H. (2000) H₂O diffusion in rhyolitic melts and glasses. *Chemical*
337 *Geology*, 169, 243-262.
- 338 Zhang, Y., and Ni, H. (2010) Diffusion of H, C and O components in silicate melts. *Reviews in*
339 *Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, 72, 171-225.
- 340 Zhang, Y., Xu, Z., Zhu, M., and Wang, H. (2007) Silicate melt properties and volcanic eruptions.
341 *Reviews of Geophysics*, 45, RG4004.

Table 1. Experimental conditions and water-concentration independent term (D^*) of water diffusion coefficients in silica glass

Run #	T (°C)	Time (h)	$D^* (2\sigma) (\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1})$
850-1	850	25	$1.38 (\pm 0.20) \times 10^{-9}$
850-2	850	25	$1.35 (\pm 0.10) \times 10^{-9}$
850_05-1	850	12.5	$1.43 (\pm 0.08) \times 10^{-9}$
850_05-2	850	12.5	$1.45 (\pm 0.24) \times 10^{-9}$
800-1	800	25	$7.15 (\pm 0.70) \times 10^{-10}$
800-2	800	25	$7.28 (\pm 0.64) \times 10^{-10}$
750-1	750	40	$4.78 (\pm 0.50) \times 10^{-10}$
750-2	750	40	$4.73 (\pm 0.50) \times 10^{-10}$
750_05-1	750	20	$4.33 (\pm 0.16) \times 10^{-10}$
750_05-2	750	20	$4.72 (\pm 0.50) \times 10^{-10}$
650-1	650	40	$1.48 (\pm 0.04) \times 10^{-10}$
650-2	650	40	$1.58 (\pm 0.22) \times 10^{-10}$
650_05-1	650	20	$1.22 (\pm 0.48) \times 10^{-10}$
650_05-2	650	20	$6.78 (\pm 0.25) \times 10^{-11}$

Figure 1. Typical water diffusion profile (#850-2; 850°C for 25 h). (a) Secondary ion intensity profiles of ^1H and ^{30}Si . ^1H signals in the region deeper than ~ 220 μm from the surface correspond to background signals. (b) $^1\text{H}/^{30}\text{Si}$ profile (triangles) calculated from the profiles shown in (a), normalized to $^1\text{H}/^{30}\text{Si} = 1$ at the diffusion surface. The inset shows a secondary ion image of ^1H obtained by isotope microscope from the region deeper than ~ 170 μm from the surface, and the $^1\text{H}/^{30}\text{Si}$ profile (thick line) obtained from the ^1H and ^{30}Si images along the depth scale. The $^1\text{H}/^{30}\text{Si}$ ratios of the image analysis are adjusted by the ratio of $^1\text{H}/^{30}\text{Si}$ for the image and point analyses at 180 μm for comparison.

357

Figure 2. Water diffusion profiles in silica glass at 850, 800, 750 and 650 °C, at a water pressure of 50 bar. The profiles are fitted with water diffusion models proposed in previous studies (dashed curves) (see details in the text): (i) concentration-independent water diffusion (Wakabayashi and Tomozawa, 1989), (ii) water diffusion linearly proportional to water concentration (Doremus, 1969), and (iii) water diffusion exponentially proportional to water concentration (Zhang and Behrens, 2000). Fitted curves with the present water diffusion model are shown as solid curves. Water concentrations near the surface of glasses seem to increase with decreasing temperature, which is likely due to the temperature dependence of water solubility in silica glass (Wakabayashi and Tomozawa, 1989)

367

368

(a) Silica glass

(b) Silicate glass

369

370 **Figure 3.** Schematic illustrations of the diffusion mechanism of molecular water in (a) silica
 371 glass and (b) multi-component silicate glass. Diffusion pathways for molecular water are
 372 generated by a hydroxyl formation reaction or network modifier cations.

373
 374 **Figure 4.** Arrhenius plot of D^* for silica glass. Error bars represent two standard deviations of D^*
 375 evaluated from several diffusion profiles in samples heated for different periods. The D^* shows
 376 the Arrhenius relation with the activation energy of 110 ± 27 kJ/mol. The D^* at 650 °C (open
 377 diamond) was not included to determine the activation energy because the error is much larger
 378 compared to those of other plots.

Figure 5. Application of the present diffusion model to water diffusion in silicate glasses, where some metal cations act as network modifiers to form non-bridging oxygen atoms (NBOs). The diagrams show changes in (a) the molar fraction of diffusion pathways normalized to that of NBO (X_{NBO}), (b) the diffusion coefficient of molecular water ($D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{m}}$), and (c) the diffusion coefficient of total water ($D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{t}}$) (solid curves) as a function of the concentration ratio of total water relative to NBO ($X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{t}}/X_{\text{NBO}}$). $D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{m}}$ and $D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{t}}$ are normalized to $D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{m}}$ solely determined by NBOs and $D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{t}}$ at $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{t}}/X_{\text{NBO}} = 1$, respectively. The pathway fraction, $D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{m}}$, and $D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{t}}$ determined solely by NBOs and OH are shown as dashed and double-dotted curves, respectively. $D_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{t}}$ determined by OH is equivalent to the water diffusion coefficient in silica glass in this study. $K=0.41$ (850°C for rhyolite glass (Zhang and Ni, 2010)) and $X_{\text{NBO}}=0.01$ were used for calculation. We confirmed that the values of K and X_{NBO} do not change the plots significantly. k in Equation (9) is assumed to be 1 in this calculation.