Title	Molecular-Genetic Study on a Novel Photoperiod-Insensitive Gene in Soybean [an abstract of entire text]
Author(s)	朱, 江慧
Citation	北海道大学. 博士(農学) 甲第13593号
Issue Date	2019-03-25
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/74382
Туре	theses (doctoral - abstract of entire text)
Note	この博士論文全文の閲覧方法については、以下のサイトをご参照ください。
Note(URL)	https://www.lib.hokudai.ac.jp/dissertations/copy-guides/
File Information	Zhu_Jianghui_summary.pdf



# **Abstract**

Division: Agrobiology Name: Jianghui Zhu

## Molecular-Genetic Study on a Novel Photoperiod-Insensitive Gene in Soybean

Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) is a typical short-day plant. Eleven major genes for flowering have been reported so far. Among them, four maturity genes, E1 to E4, are the main contributors to soybean adaptation to a wide range of latitudes

The floral repressor EI is a possible transcription factor that represses the expression of major soybean FT orthologues FT2a and FT5a. EI expression is up-regulated under long day (LD) conditions under the control of the phytochrome A (phyA) proteins E3 and E4. EI has two homologues, EI-Like-a (EILa) and EILb. Down-regulation of the EIL genes by virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) in a cultivar deficient in the EI gene leads to early flowering and abolishes the night-break response, suggesting that the two EIL genes are also involved in the photoperiod responses of soybean.

Photoperiod-insensitivity in soybean is conditioned by combinations of various alleles at *E1*, *E3*, and *E4*. On the basis of the functions of alleles at the three loci, Xu et al. (2013) classified ILD-insensitive cultivars into three genotypic groups: (group 1) the dysfunction of both *E3* and *E4*; (group 2) the dysfunction of *E1* in combination with that of either *E3* or *E4*; and (group 3) a combination of *e1-as* (hypomorphic allele), *e3*, and *E4*. Because *E4* inhibits flowering under ILD conditions, the group 3 cultivars are predicted to have novel genes that abolish or reduce ILD-sensitivity. One such gene is an early-flowering allele (*FT5a-ef*) at *qDTF-J*, a QTL for days to flowering in linkage group J, which encodes FT5a; early flowering is caused by its increased transcriptional activity or mRNA stability associated with an insertion in the promoter and/or deletions in the 3'-untranslated region (UTR).

In the thesis, I studied a molecular-genetic mechanism of photoperiod-insensitivity in group 3 soybean cultivars introduced from Far-Eastern Russia. Firstly, I identified a novel loss-of-function allele at the *E1Lb* locus as a most likely causal factor for the photoperiod-insensitivity, by the genetic analysis including the association test, fine-mapping and sequence analysis. Secondly, I characterized the function and roles of the loss-of-function allele on flowering under LD conditions, by using near-isogenic lines. I also determined the interaction between the E1 family proteins, E1, E1La and E1Lb, by yeast two-hybrid assay. At last, I surveyed the molecular diversity for the *E1La* and *E1Lb* genes.

# 1. Identification of a novel gene for photoperiod-insensitivity

#### 1.1 Materials

The Far Eastern Russian soybean cultivars Zeika (ZE), Yubileinaya (YU), and Sonata were crossed with the Canadian cultivar Harosoy (L58-266; HA); ZE and YU were also crossed with a Harosoy near-isogenic line for *e3* (PI547716; H-*e3*). The three Russian cultivars have the same genotype as H-*e3* at five maturity loci, *E1*, *E2*, *E3*, *E4*, and *E9* (*e1-as/e2/e3/E4/E9*), but unlike H-*e3* they flower without any marked delay under ILD conditions in comparison with natural daylength (ND) conditions (maximum daylength, 15.2 h) in Sapporo, Japan (43°07′N, 141°35′E).

#### 1.2 Results

Flowering time under the ILD condition in  $F_2$  populations of the H- $e3 \times ZE$  and H- $e3 \times YU$  crosses varied continuously from that of ILD-insensitive parents (45 DAS for ZE and 46 DAS for YU) to the end of light supplementation; 48 H- $e3 \times ZE$   $F_2$  plants were randomly selected and the progeny for flowering time segregation under the ILD condition were tested. Based on the segregation pattern, the 48  $F_2$  plants could be classified into three groups: (1) plants fixed for ILD insensitivity (all  $F_3$  plants tested flowered as ZE did; e/e); (2) those segregating for flowering time (E/e) and (3) those fixed for ILD sensitivity (all  $F_3$  plants tested showed delayed or no flowering; E/E). The number of plants was 8 in e/e, 23 in E/e, and 17 in E/E, in consistence with a monogenic 1:2:1 ratio ( $\chi^2 = 3.81$ , df = 2, p = 0.18), suggesting the involvement of a single recessive gene for ILD insensitivity.

Based on the results of the progeny test,  $16 ext{ F}_2$  plants from the H-e3 imes ZE cross were selected, 8 homozygous for ILD insensitivity (e/e), and 8 homozygous for ILD sensitivity (E/E). Among the SSR markers tested, Satt190 and Sat\_085 in linkage group C1 (chromosome 4; Chr04) showed genotypic variation in complete accordance with the ILD sensitivity. Then the genotypes of the two markers in the whole  $F_2$  plants of H-e3 imes ZE and H-e3 imes YU populations were determined. The two markers were tightly linked to each other with a recombination value of 2.1, and were closely associated with ILD sensitivity. Satt190 and Sat\_085 are located 17.3 Mb from each other in the pericentromeric region of chromosome 4.

To delimit the genomic region of the gene for ILD-insensitivity more precisely, plants with recombination between the two markers (7 from 306 F<sub>2</sub> plants from the H-e3 × ZE and H-e3 × YU crosses and 3 from 492 F<sub>3</sub> plants from the H-e3 × ZE cross) were selected and constructed their graphical genotypes with 11 SSR markers. A comparison of the graphical genotypes with the genotype of ILD-insensitivity estimated by the progeny test revealed that the gene for ILD-insensitivity was located between SSR markers BARC-18g-0889 and BARC-18g-0895. The physical distance between the two markers was 842 kb, and the delimited region contained only 6 annotated genes. RNA-sequencing Atlas in Phytozome v12.1/Glycine max Wm82.a2. v1 indicates that Glyma.04G143000, Glyma.04G143100 and Glyma.04G143200 are expressed

only in flower or root tissues, whereas Glyma.04G143300, Glyma.04G143400 and Glyma.04G143500 are expressed in leaves. I focused on the three genes expressed in leaves as a possible candidate of the gene for ILD-insensitivity that upregulates the two FT genes.

The coding sequences of the three gene candidates, Glyma.04G143300, Glyma.04G143400 and Glyma.04G143500 for H-*e3* and ZE were analyzed. Sequence analysis revealed that ZE and H-*e3* possessed identical sequences for Glyma.04G143400 and Glyma.04G143500, whereas one of cytosines at the 162<sup>th</sup> nucleotide to 164<sup>th</sup> nucleotide from the adenine of the start codon was deleted in the Glyma.04G143300 from ZE. This deletion generated a premature stop codon, and the Glyma.04G143300 from ZE was predicted to encode a truncated protein of 61 amino acids. Glyma.04G143300 is reported as *E1Lb*, one of two homoeologues (*E1La* and *E1Lb*) of floral repressor *E1*. Because the down-regulation of *E1La* and *E1Lb* expressions by VIGS promotes flowering under non-inductive conditions such as LD and night break, I considered the loss-of-function allele of *E1Lb* (designated *e1lb*) as the most probable causal factor for the ILD-insensitivity.

# 2. Characterization of the *e1lb* allele in the control of flowering

### 2.1 Materials

Four sets of NILs, each including one NIL for ILD insensitivity and another for sensitivity, were developed from heterozygous inbred  $F_5$  plants derived from different  $F_2$  plants from the H- $e3 \times ZE$  cross and those from the HA  $\times$  ZE cross. The former two sets of NILs had the recessive e3 allele, whereas the latter two had the dominant E3 allele. These lines, together with parents and an ILD-insensitive NIL of HA for e3 and e4 (PI546043; H-e3e4), were cultivated in a growth chamber (25°C, 20-h daylength) with an average photon flux of 120  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and an R:FR ratio of 2.2 at 1 m below light sources, or in the field under the ILD condition. For comparison, NILs for alleles, e1-nl and e1-as, at e1 (NIL-e1; e2/E3/E4/E9), which were developed from a heterozygous inbred e1-e10 and HA, were included in the evaluation of flowering under the ILD condition.

## 2.2 Results

# 2.2.1 Comparison of flowering time and gene expression among NILs

The allelic effects of E1Lb and e1lb on flowering under the R-enriched LD condition (daylength, 20 h) were evaluated in four sets of NILs. In the two sets of the e3/E4 NILs, each NIL for e1lb flowered at the same or almost the same time as ZE; this was on average 6.7 to 7.6 days earlier than the respective NILs for E1Lb, which flowered at almost the same time as H-e3. Flowering times of the E3/E4 NILs were around 20 days or more later than those of the e3/E4 NILs. e1lb also promoted flowering in the E3/E4 background: each NIL for e1lb flowered around 10 days

earlier than the respective NIL for *E1Lb* and HA. This flowering-promoting effect of *e1lb* versus *E1Lb* under the R-enriched LD condition was smaller than that of *e4* vs. *E4* and that of *e3* vs. *E3*, because H-*e3e4* and H-*e3* flowered, on average, 13 and 25 days earlier than H-*e3* and HA (*E3E4*), respectively.

The effect of *e1lb* vs. *E1Lb* on flowering under FR-enriched ILD condition was also evaluated. *e1lb* induced flowering at 58 DAS or 49 DAS in the *e3/E4* genetic background and at 56 DAS in the *E3/E4* genetic background. All these NILs produced pods of up to 3 cm in length at the end of light supplementation, similar to those of ZE and H-*e3e4*. In contrast, the *e3/E4* NILs for *E1Lb* and H-*e3* flowered around 20 days later, and *E3/E4* NILs for *E1Lb* and HA continued vegetative growth and did not produce any flower buds until the end of light supplementation. Therefore, *e1lb* was sufficient to induce flowering under the ILD condition, irrespective of the *E3* genotype.

The expression levels of E1, two E1L genes, and two FT orthologues were tested in the e3/E4 NILs grown under the R-enriched LD condition. The expression levels of E1 and E1La were similar between the NILs for E1lb and e1lb. While, the expression of E1Lb was significantly down-regulated in the NILs for e1lb. The extremely low expressions of E1Lb gene in NILs for e1lb were considered to be due to nonsense-mediated mRNA decay or different promoter activity. In contrast, the expressions of both FT2a and FT5a were up-regulated in the NILs for e1lb relative to those for E1Lb in both NIL sets. The similar effect of e1lb vs. E1Lb on the expression of FT2a and FT5a was also observed in both sets of E3/E4 NILs. As observed in the e3/E4 NILs for e1lb, the expression levels of FT2a and FT5a were significantly upregulated in the E3/E4 NIL for e1lb.

### 2.2.2 Interaction between the E1 family proteins

A total of six combinations between the E1 family proteins were tested. All of the yeasts except positive control died in the selection medium, indicating that the three E1 family proteins did not interact physically with one another and themselves. These results suggest that the E1 family genes control the FT2a and FT5a expressions independently of one another.

# 3. Molecular diversity of *E1Lb* and its homologue *E1La*

#### 3.1 Materials

A total of 59 ILD-insensitive accessions were surveyed for the *E1Lb* genotype using the allele-specific DNA marker. They included 9 accessions from northern Japan, 26 from North-Eastern China, 13 from Far Eastern Russia, 8 from Ukraine, and 3 from Poland. Fourteen ILD-insensitive accessions, together with H-e3 and Zeika, and eight wild soybean (*Glycine* 

soja) accessions introduced from the Far Eastern Russia were surveyed for sequence variation of E1La and E1Lb.

#### 3.2 Results

### 3.2.1 Survey of the *e1lb* allele in ILD-insensitive soybean accessions

To determine whether or not the deletion in the *E1Lb* gene is region-specific, I surveyed the polymorphism in the ILD-insensitive soybean accessions by using dCAPS marker. In addition to the three Russian cultivars used in the genetic analysis, another two Russian cultivars, Salyut 216 and DYA-1, were found to have the *e1lb* allele, whereas all the other accessions had the functional *E1Lb* allele. I also examined the *E1Lb* genotype for 8 wild accessions introduced from the Far Eastern Russia, because the Russian cultivar Zeika was developed from the crossing between cultivated and wild soybeans. I expected that the *e1lb* allele had been introduced from the wild soybean in Russia. However, there was no wild accession with the *e1lb* allele.

# 3.2.2 Sequence polymorphisms in *E1La*

Eight wild soybean accessions and fourteen ILD-insensitive accessions were surveyed for sequence diversity of *E1Lb*. However, no novel polymorphism was detected.

#### 3.2.3 Sequence polymorphisms in *E1La*

Fourteen ILD-insensitive accessions, together with H-e3 and Zeika, and 8 wild soybean accessions were surveyed for sequence diversity of E1La. Besides the reference sequence of Williams 82 (designated haplotype 1; Hap 1), one haplotype (haplotype 2; Hap 2) in wild soybean accessions, two haplotypes (haplotype 3 and 4; Hap 3 and Hap 4) in cultivated accessions were detected. Compared with Hap 1, Hap 2 contained three SNPs, synonymous and non-synonymous nucleotide substitutions in exon and a SNP in 3'-UTR. Hap 3 contained the same SNPs as Hap 2 at the synonymous nucleotide substitution and the nucleotide substitution in 3 '-UTR. Hap 4 contained the SNP in 3'-UTR as Haplotypes 2 and 3 did.

To confirm whether the non-synonymous substitution in Hap 2 affects the protein structure of E1La, the putative 3D protein structures were constructed with the I-TASSER program. The non-synonymous substitution located in the B3-DNA binding domain of E1La protein. The structure analysis for the wild type and variant type E1La proteins indicated that the nonsynonymous substitution changed the pattern of hydrogen bonds between neighboring amino acids. The change of hydrogen bonding pattern was predicted to result in the structure change in the variant protein. These results suggested that the amino acid substitution detected in E1La Hap2 produced an adverse effect on the DNA-binding ability of E1La to the *cis*-elements in *FT2a* and *FT5a*. A further study is needed to determine the effect of this non-synonymous substitution on flowering in segregating populations.

In this study, I detected a novel loss-of-function allele that resulted from a frameshift mutation at the *E1Lb* locus in the Far-Eastern Russian photoperiod-insensitive cultivars. Genotyping with an allele-specific DNA marker revealed that *e1lb* is a rare and region-specific allele even in early maturing photoperiod-insensitive cultivars. Only Russian cultivars possessed *e1lb*. The *e1lb* allele most likely has neither largely contributed to the diversity of flowering behaviors nor been used widely in current soybean breeding. The *e1lb* allele may thus be useful as a new resource to broaden the genetic variability of soybean cultivars for flowering under LD conditions at high latitudes.

I also discovered a nonsynonymous substitution in the B3-like DNA-binding domain of E1La in wild soybean accessions which changes hydrogen bonding patterns between neighboring amino acids, and thus may influence the function of DNA-binding of E1La.

The loss-of-function allele at E1Lb and the putative missense variant of E1La detected in this study may be useful to better comprehend the network among E1 family genes in the control of soybean flowering. The missense E1La variant can also be used to breed the cultivars toward unexplored regions of higher latitudes for soybean cultivation.