Effect of Oxides and Carbonate on the Reaction of Hematite and Graphite Mixture Obtained by the Mechanical Milling
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Effects of oxides (SiO2 and Al2O3) and Carbonate (CaCO3) on the reaction of hematite and carbon obtained by the mechanical milling were studied. The content of the additives were 3 mass%. The hematite contained the oxide or carbonate was mixed with graphite, and the ratio of hematite to carbon was 80 to 20. Ball milling (alumina ball and vessel) was carried out for 24 h under an argon atmosphere. The reaction rates were measured by TG–DTA and examined the effects of oxides and carbonate on the reaction rate.

The main peak of reaction rate, which corresponds to the successive reaction of Fe3O4–FeO–Fe reduction, was located at 850°C for no-addition sample. When 3 mass% Al2O3 was added to the mixture of hematite and carbon, the temperature of main peak did not change. It was considered that since the vessel and balls were also made of alumina, the effect of alumina already existed in the no-addition sample.

When 3 mass% SiO2 (reagent grade) was added to the mixture, the temperature of main peak was 1000°C, which meant the retardation effect on the hematite and carbon reaction. On the other hand, 3 mass% CaCO3 addition promoted the reaction and the main peak was 790°C, which was about 60°C lower than that of no-addition. Using the results of quartz and CaO addition, the reaction mechanisms for the retardation effect of SiO2 and the acceleration effect of CaCO3 were considered.
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1. Introduction

In the previous study, the mechanical activation on the mixture of hematite and carbon has been studied by authors.1–4) The reaction of the mixture obtained by the ball mill showed a quite high reaction rate. In addition, the reaction temperature decreased with the increase of milling time, which meant the decrease of fuel rate of blast furnace (BF) operation, when the function of decreasing reaction temperature can be applied to the burden of BF. The theoretical explanation for the lower fuel rate can perform by using the Rist model. Figure 1 shows the example of Rist diagram. The slope of the operation line (EA) in the Rist diagram means the ratio of C/Fe which corresponds to the fuel rate (kg-coke/ton-pig). The line EA is written by the condition at which the temperature of reserve zone is 1000°C, while the line moves toward the EA’ when the temperature of reserve zone decreases to 800°C, because the W point related to the Fe–FeO equilibrium is changed to the lower gas composition ( CO/(CO+CO2) ) as shown in Fig. 1.

In Japan, about 100 million tons of crude steel are produced in every year. The energy for the production of iron is significantly large and about 12% to the total energy consumption in Japan. Most of pig iron is made by blast furnace in Japan, and in the view point of the productivity and the efficiency for the reactor of iron production, blast furnace is highest. However, such a blast furnace has further possibility for the increase of the efficiency. Recently, it was reported that the iron ore-carbon composite pellet has a high reaction rate and a low reaction temperature. The authors have explained the mechanism of acceleration using the thermodynamic coupling phenomenon when the interface between iron ore and carbon separates.5,6) On the other hand, the mechanical milling can make a strong contact between iron ore and carbon,4) and the reaction temperature can decrease
significantly. However, the actual burdens such as iron ore and coke contain many kinds of mineral matter and ash which might disturb the grindability of milling operation and the contacting state between iron oxide and carbon.

In this paper, the effects of oxides and carbonate additions (Al₂O₃, SiO₂ and CaCO₃) were investigated for the fundamental study of the actual influence of the gangue of iron ore and the ash of coke.

2. Experimental

Figure 2 illustrates the sample preparation and addition of oxides and carbonate in this experiment. The milling device is the planetary ball mill, in which the movements consist of the revolution and the rotation (Fritsch Pulverisette 6 planetary ball mill). A ceramics (alumina) vessel of 500 cm³ volume and 10 alumina balls with 20 mm in diameter were used in this experiment. The rotating speed was maintained constant at 200 rpm. The weight ratio of powder to balls was 1:5.

The starting materials of graphite and hematite for milling were prepared as follows. The graphite was crushed into a powder (75–500 μm) roughly from the electrode material with a high purity (ash < 100 ppm) and high density (1.77 g/cm³). Reagent grade of hematite (>99%Fe₂O₃) was pressed into a tablet (20 mmφ x 4 mm height) with 19.6 MPa (200 kgf/cm²). Then, the tablet was sintered at 1 000°C for 24 h under an air atmosphere. Finally, the sintered tablet was crushed into the same size as the graphite powder (75–500 μm).

The added oxides and carbonate samples are
(a) 3 mass%Al₂O₃ (reagent grade)
(b) 3 mass%SiO₂ (reagent grade)
(c) 3 mass%SiO₂ (Quartz)
(d) 3 mass%CaCO₃ (reagent grade),
(e) 1.68%CaO
(f) 0% (non-addition).

The amount of addition was basically 3 mass% in the total sample. The quartz was prepared for the sake of comparison with SiO₂ (reagent grade) using a transparent quartz tube which was crushed and sieved into –500 μm. The CaO was prepared from CaCO₃ which was decomposed at 800°C under air atmosphere, and 1.68 mass% CaO was added so as to fix the Ca content (1.2 %Ca). Those oxides and carbonate were once added to hematite sample and ground with an alumina mortar and pestle for about 1 minute.

The ratio of hematite to graphite for the milling was 80:20 which was 50 g hematite includes additives and was 13 g graphite. This ratio and total volume of sample are important to get the uniform result among the different runs. In this paper, the results at 24 h milling were discussed.

The graphite weight was decided based on Eq. (1), and increased to 5%.

\[ \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{C} = 2\text{Fe} + 3\text{CO} \text{ ..................... (1)} \]

Figure 3 shows SEM images of added oxides and carbonate before milling. CaCO₃ is about 10 μm aggregated particle which consisted of 1–2 μm rectangular and smaller particles. SiO₂ consists of a broken and nonuniform particles whose particle size is from 10 μm to sub-micron. Al₂O₃ is a dense and 1–2 μm particle which is aggregated in about 50 μm. These samples were weighed out 3 mass% to the total mixture, and then, mixed with hematite and graphite. Although the samples after milling were observed using SEM, the added sample in the hematite and graphite could...
not distinguish because the apparent shape after milling was almost the same.

Figure 4 shows the gas analysis system for determining the gas composition evolved during the milling. The milling vessel is made of alumina and has a gas tight cap having gas inlet and outlet valves. The samples (hematite, graphite and additives) were put into the vessel, and the vessel was evacuated for the leak test. After a given time, the vessel was substituted for an argon and milling was carried out for a given time.

After milling, the vessel was taken out from the milling device and was set on the gas analysis system as shown in Fig. 4. Before the gas analysis in the vessel was performed, the remained air in the tubing system was evacuated and substituted for an argon. Then, 200 Ncc/min argon was flowed in the by-pass and the leak valve of QMS (Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer) was opened for starting the gas analysis. When the output signal from QMS became stable, the valves of the vessel were opened simultaneously and the bypass was closed. These sequences were the procedure of the gas analysis for the evolved gas during the milling.

On the other hand, the reactivity of the milled sample was examined using TG–DTA–MS (Fig. 5). The heating up condition was programmed for 10°C/min until 1100°C and 30 min hold at 1100°C. The atmosphere was an argon with high purity (>99.999%). The weight of sample mixture was about 17 mg and the measuring crucible was platinum with 5 mmφ in diameter.

### 3. Results and Discussions

#### 3.1. ‘no-addition’ (Hematite-graphite Mixture for Comparison)

‘no-addition’ means the hematite-graphite mixture for comparison and the result is shown in Fig. 6. RTG means the rate of weight loss obtained from the differentiation of the weight loss curve of TG (thermal gravimetry).

The definitions of RTG and TG are as follows:

\[
\text{TG} (%) = \frac{\Delta W}{([O]_0 + [C]_0)} \times 100 \quad \text{......... (2)}
\]

\[
\text{RTG} (%/min) = \frac{d\text{TG}(\%)}{dt} \quad \text{......... (3)}
\]

where \(\Delta W\): weight change of sample \((g) = W_{t1} - W_t\)

\(W_t\): weight of reducible oxygen and fixed carbon \((g)\) at time \(t\), \(([O]_t + [C]_t)\)

\([O]_t\): reducible oxygen \((g)\)

\((= W_{Fe2O3}) \times 16 \times 3/([55.847 \times 2 + 16 \times 3])\)

\([C]_t\): fixed carbon \((g)\) \((= W_{graphite})\)

Fig. 4. Gas analysis system in the vessel after milling.

![Gas analysis system in the vessel after milling.](image)

Fig. 5. TG–DTA–MS measurement for determining the reactivity of sample after milling (Ar atmosphere. Heating rate:10°C/min, 30 min. hold at 1100°C).

![TG–DTA–MS measurement for determining the reactivity of sample after milling.](image)

Fig. 6. Result of TG measurement of no-addition sample (Fe2O3+C) after mechanical milling.

(a) (b)
The RTG profile shows the very narrow width and spike like shape, which means the quite rapid reaction rate.\textsuperscript{1) } Apparently the reaction seems a single step reaction. However, when the background was magnified, another curve appeared as shown in Fig. 6(b). From the analysis of the reaction degree on the TG curve, it was found that the small curve corresponded to the hematite-magnetite reduction (H–M). The main peak (largest one) corresponded to the magnetite-wustite-iron reduction (M–W–Fe).\textsuperscript{1)}

\[
\begin{align*}
H & \rightarrow M : Fe_2O_3 + 1/3C = 2/3Fe_3O_4 + 1/3CO \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (4) \\
M & \rightarrow W : 2/3Fe_3O_4 + 2/3C = 2FeO + 2/3CO \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (5) \\
W & \rightarrow Fe : 2FeO + 2C = 2Fe + 2CO \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (6)
\end{align*}
\]

(in this paper, FeO was expressed as FeO in order to convenience)

There is a possibility of the reaction that the CO\(_2\) is produced especially in the lower temperature range. However, since the mechanism is not clear at this moment, the commonly accepted reactions were listed from Eqs. (4) to (6) in this experiment.

The temperature of H–M reaction was 690\(^\circ\)C and M–W–Fe was 840\(^\circ\)C. The hematite reduction is 11% for total reduction. As the remained M–W–Fe reduction was 89% and this reduction occurred at 850\(^\circ\)C drastically. In this study, according to the comparison with the result of ‘no-addition’, the effects of oxides and carbonate addition on the reactivity were discussed.

3.2. Gas Evolution during Milling

The gas evolution phenomenon has been studied in the previous study.\textsuperscript{2) } It was found that the most of evolved gas was CO which came from the adsorbed oxygen on the surface of carbon. From the difference of total gas evolved, the milling of the hematite and carbon mixture showed a larger gas evolution which meant the existence of reduction reaction during milling. In this study, using the same method, the gas evolution was analyzed and the results were plotted on Fig. 7. When the valves on the cap of vessel were open, the gas in the vessel was exhausted to the argon flow and could be analyzed by QMS. Figure 7(a) and Table 1 show the total gas (CO) evolution (Ncc) and Fig. 7(b) shows the rate of gas evolution (Ncc/min). The total CO gases for the samples of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), Si\(_2\)O\(_3\) and no-addition were almost the same and were about 50 Ncc. On the other hand, the evolved gas of CaCO\(_3\) addition was the largest and 230 Ncc. The difference of gas was 180 Ncc. Since CO\(_2\) gas did not detected, All gas was CO, and the decompositon reaction of calcium carbonate (CaCO\(_3\) \(\rightarrow\) CaO + CO\(_{g}\)) does not need to take into account. Gibb’s free energies of the possible reactions are calculated and shown in Fig. 8.\textsuperscript{7)}

\[
\begin{align*}
(CaCO_3 & \rightarrow CaO_2 + CO_{g}) \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (7) \\
(CaO_2 + C & \rightarrow CaO + CO_{g}) \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (8) \\
(CaCO_3 + C & \rightarrow CaO + 2CO_{g}) \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (9)
\end{align*}
\]

The reaction (7) is thermodynamically impossible reaction. However, the milling of CaCO\(_3\) can form a CaO, which is unstable substance and an intense oxidative one. The reagent CaCO\(_3\) was alone milled and examined by XRD. Figure 9 shows the results of XRD of CaCO\(_3\) after milling in comparison with CaO and CaCO\(_3\) without milling. A small quantity of CaO was observed among the broad CaCO\(_3\) peaks, but the existence of CaO could not con-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added material</th>
<th>Content (mass%)</th>
<th>Evolved gas during milling (Ncc)</th>
<th>Temp. of peak at M–W–Fe reaction ((^\circ)C)</th>
<th>(\Delta T) from the peak of no-addition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CaCO(_3) (reagent)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>230 Ncc</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO (calcined from CaCO(_3))</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si(_2)O(_3) (reagent)</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>50 Ncc</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si(_2)O(_3) (quartz)</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_2)O(_3) (reagent)</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>48 Ncc</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-addition</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>49 Ncc</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. Result of gas analysis in the milling vessel after milling operation.

Fig. 8. Comparison of \(\Delta G^o\) of the CaCO\(_3\) related reactions.
firmed. In the case of milling of mixture consisted of Fe₂O₃, C and CaCO₃, CaO₂ might react with C, which is the reaction (8), as soon as the reaction (7) occurred. The overall reaction can be expressed by the reaction (9).

The evolved gas for CaCO₃ addition was about 180 Ncc in Fig. 7. The weight of CaCO₃ relating to the CO gas evolution can be calculated as 0.4 g based on the Eq. (9). As the initial weight of the added CaCO₃ was 1.5 g, it was considered that 27% of CaCO₃ would react during the milling. Although the extent of reduction reaction of hematite by CO gas evolved is unknown, the percentage would be small. Even if the all of CO gas (180 Ncc) was used for the reduction, the reduction degree was only 3% in maximum.

3.3. Effect of Oxides and Carbonate Addition on the Reaction Rate during Heating Up Experiment

Figure 10 shows the results of 3 mass% of Al₂O₃, SiO₂ and CaCO₃ addition in comparison with the hematite-graph-

![Fig. 9. Results of XRD analysis of CaCO₃ after milling in comparison with CaO and reagent CaCO₃.](image)

![Fig. 10. Comparison of reaction rate (RTG) and weight loss (TG) among no-addition, SiO₂ (reagent), Al₂O₃ and CaCO₃.](image)

![Fig. 11. Comparison of reaction rate (RTG) and weight loss (TG) among no-addition, SiO₂ (reagent) and Quartz.](image)
retardation effect of quartz was smaller than that of reagent SiO2. This retardation mechanism was related a block effect on the contact between hematite and carbon. In the case of reagent SiO2, an adhesion of SiO2 to hematite is significant comparing to quartz. When the hematite particles are covered by SiO2, the contact between hematite and carbon would be disturbed. Only the increasing temperature can modify the poor contact between hematite and carbon. In addition, the two separated peaks of RTG mean the different distance caused by the SiO2 adhesion. On the other hand, adhesion effect of quartz is lower than that of reagent SiO2, and the particle size of quartz are larger than that of reagent SiO2. From these reasons, the peaks of quartz located between no-addition and reagent SiO2. These mechanisms summarized and illustrated in the later section.

Figure 12 shows the comparison of RTG and TG between CaCO3 and CaO. In this case, the content of CaCO3 was 3 mass% and CaO was 1.68 mass%, in which the content of Ca was the same and 1.2 mass%Ca. Since there was some possibility of a catalysis effect of Ca on the gasification reaction, the content of cation was unified in the present experiment. CaO was made through the decomposition of CaCO3 under an air atmosphere. The CaO obtained was examined by XRD and shown in Fig. 9. In Fig. 12, the main peak of CaO addition was 860°C which was 10°C higher than that of no-addition. It was found that the CaO did not have an acceleration effect on the reaction, and CaCO3 alone had an acceleration effect. As mentioned above, the formation of CaO during the milling should have an acceleration effect on the gasification as shown by Eq. (8). In the case of heating up condition, the remained CaCO3 will decomposed around 800°C as expressed by Eq. (10).

\[
\text{(CaCO}_3 \rightarrow \text{CaO} + \text{CO}_2(g)) \quad (10)
\]

Then, the decomposed CO2 gas will react with carbon as expressed by Eq. (11),

\[
\text{(CO}_2 + \text{C} \rightarrow 2\text{CO}(g)) \quad (11)
\]

From this mechanism, it was considered that the reduction reactions by CO gas (Eqs. (12)–(14)) promoted and the main peak of RTG of CaCO3 addition existed at around 800°C.

\[\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 1/3\text{CO} = 2/3\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 1/3\text{CO}_2 \quad (12)\]
\[2/3\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 2/3\text{CO} = 2\text{FeO} + 2/3\text{CO}_2 \quad (13)\]
\[2\text{FeO} + 2\text{CO} = 2\text{Fe} + 2\text{CO}_2 \quad (14)\]

CaCO3 shows the acceleration effect on the reaction. The H–M reaction was 660°C and the M–W–Fe reaction was 790°C, and those were 40°C and 60°C lower, respectively, than that of ‘no-addition’ (Table 1). The temperature changes of RTG peak obtained in this experiment are summarized in Fig. 13. It was found that the most of oxides selected in this experiment had a retardation effect on the reaction between hematite and carbon and only CaCO3 had an acceleration effect.

The detail of acceleration mechanism CaCO3 was investigated in the successive experiments, where the methods of CaO addition were changed. The results will be published elsewhere.

The mechanism of retardation effects of SiO2 on the reaction between hematite and carbon was illustrated in Fig. 14. In the case of CaCO3, the acceleration mechanisms are considered as shown in Fig. 14. During milling operation, milling energy decomposes the CaCO3 and makes an active CaO2 which react with carbon. In this step, the reduction
reaction would not be dominant. During heating up experiment, the decomposition of CaCO$_3$ becomes dominant around 800°C and the gasification reaction by evolved CO$_2$ gas produces CO gas which enhances the reduction reaction of hematite.

4. Conclusions

3 mass%CaCO$_3$, SiO$_2$, and Al$_2$O$_3$ were added to hematite-graphite mixture respectively and the milling was carried out for 24 h. To clarify the effect on the reaction rate, TG–DTA analysis was carried out with a heating up condition (10°C/min, 30 min hold at 1100°C) under an argon atmosphere. The obtained results are as follows:

1. 3 mass% CaCO$_3$ addition enhances the reaction between hematite and carbon, and the temperature of peak of RTG curve was 790°C, which was 60°C lower than that of no-addition.

2. Inversely, 3 mass% SiO$_2$ addition retarded the reaction, and the temperature of peak was 1100°C. On the other hand, 3 mass% quartz had lower retardation effect and the peak temperature was 900°C.

3. 3 mass% Al$_2$O$_3$ addition showed no effect on the reaction.

4. It was found that the gas evolution during milling was not CO$_2$, but CO. Taking into account the result of XRD, the reaction occurring during milling of CaCO$_3$ was as follows:

$$CaCO_3 = CaO_2 + CO(g)$$
$$CaO_2 + C = CaO + CO_2(g)$$
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