Title	Comparison of xenobiotic metabolism in phase I oxidation and phase II conjugation between rats and bird species
Author(s)	Saengtienchai, Aksorn; Ikenaka, Yoshinori; Kawata, Minami; Kawai, Yusuke; Takeda, Kazuki; Kondo, Takamitsu; Bortey-Sam, Nesta; Nakayama, Shouta M. M.; Mizukawa, Hazuki; Ishizuka, Mayumi
Citation	Comparative biochemistry and physiology Part C: Toxicology & pharmacology, 214, 28-35 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2018.08.007
Issue Date	2018-12
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/76244
Rights	© 2018. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Rights(URL)	https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Type	article (author version)
File Information	Comparative biochemistry and physiology Part C_214_28_35.pdf

[Instructions for use](#)

Comparison of xenobiotic metabolism in phase I oxidation and phase II conjugation between rats and bird species

Aksorn Saengtienchai^{a,b}, Yoshinori Ikenaka^{*a,c}, Minami Kawata^a, Yusuke Kawai^d, Kazuki Takeda^a, Takamitsu Kondo^a, Nesta Bortey-Sam^a, Shouta M.M. Nakayama^a, Hazuki Mizukawa^e, Mayumi Ishizuka^a

^aLaboratory of Toxicology, Department of Environmental Veterinary Sciences, Graduate School of Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University, N18 W9, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-0818, Japan

^bDepartment of Pharmacology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kasetsart University, 50 Ngam Wong Wan Rd, Lat Yao, Chatuchak, Bangkok 10900, Thailand

^cWater Research Group, Unit for Environmental Sciences and Management, North-West University, Potchefstroom, South Africa

^dDepartment of Animal and Food Hygiene, Obihiro University of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Inada-cho, Obihiro, Hokkaido, 080-8555, Japan

^eDepartment of Environmental Veterinary Sciences, Graduate School of Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University, N18 W9, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-0818, Japan

*Corresponding Author

Yoshinori Ikenaka

E-mail: y_ikenaka@vetmed.hokudai.ac.jp

TEL: +81-11-706-5102

ABSTRACT

There have been many reports regarding toxic chemicals in birds. Chemicals are mainly metabolized in the liver through phase I oxidation by cytochrome P450 (CYP) and phase II conjugation by conjugated enzymes, such as UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT), sulfotransferase (SULT), glutathione-S-transferase (GST), etc. Xenobiotic metabolism differs among bird species, but little detailed information is available. In the present study, the four-ring polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH), pyrene, was used as a model xenobiotic to clarify the characteristics of xenobiotic metabolism in birds compared with laboratory animals by *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies. Plasma, bile, and excreta (urine and feces) were collected after oral administration of pyrene and analyzed to clarify xenobiotic metabolism ability in chickens and quails. Interestingly, pyrenediol-glucuronide sulfate (PYDOGS) and pyrenediol-diglucuronide (PYDOGG) were present in chickens and quails but not in rats. In addition, the area under the curve (AUC), maximum plasma concentration ($C_{\max}$), and time to maximum plasma concentration ($T_{\max}$) of pyrene-1-sulfate (PYOS) were higher than those of the parent molecule, pyrene, while the elimination half-life ($t_{1/2}$) and mean residence time (MRT) were faster than those of the parent pyrene. With regard to sulfation of 1-hydroxypyrene (PYOH), the maximum velocity ($V_{\max}$) and Michaelis constant (K_m) of rat liver cytosol were greater than those of chicken and quail liver cytosol. Furthermore, $V_{\max}/K_m$ of UGT activity in rat liver microsomes was also greater than those of chicken and quail liver microsomes. Characterization of xenobiotic metabolism revealed species differences between birds and mammals, raising concerns about exposure to various xenobiotics in the environment.

Keywords: birds, species differences, pyrene, conjugated metabolites, kinetics

1. Introduction

Since the 1950s, there have been increasing reports of injuries to wild birds worldwide due to the influence of various xenobiotics, such as dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), coumarin-derived anticoagulant rodenticides, and non-steroidal anti-inflammation drugs (NSAIDs), such as diclofenac (Bowerman et al., 1995; Elliott et al., 1988; Erickson et al., 2004; Norstrom and Hebert, 2006; Prakash et al., 2007). These xenobiotics cause secondary poisoning that could affect and damage the reproductive system, liver, and kidney in scavenging and raptorial birds (Albers et al., 2003; Albert et al., 2010; Erickson et al., 2004; Prakash et al., 2007).

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are a ubiquitous group of several chemically related compounds produced naturally and by human activities. They also persist in the environment and show varied toxic effects on organisms through various actions causing carcinogenic and mutagenic effects. Generally, PAHs enter the environment and animal bodies through various routes, and are usually found as mixtures containing two or more of these compounds (Armstrong et al., 2004). In birds, the mechanism of toxicity is considered to involve interference with the function of cellular membranes as well as with enzyme systems associated with the cell membrane. Toxic effects have been documented mostly in embryos, young birds, and adult birds. There have also been reports of reduced egg production, hatching, and growth (Albers et al., 2003). Although PAHs influence absorption to organic material or degradation in the environment, some are persistent and bioaccumulate in the food chain (Jiang et al., 2011). Wild and domestic birds may be exposed to PAHs and accumulate them in their bodies. Xenobiotics, including PAHs, are generally absorbed and distributed in the body, and are metabolized based by phase I oxidation (mainly enzymes as cytochrome P450s) and phase II conjugation enzymes, such as UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT), sulfotransferase (SULT), and glutathione-S-transferase (GST). The more water-soluble metabolites are excreted in the urine and feces. However, there have been reports of interspecies differences in xenobiotic metabolic activity among bird species *in vitro* (Watanabe et al., 2010). However, insufficient information is available to clarify the xenobiotic metabolism ability in phase I oxidation and phase II conjugation in bird species *in vivo*, especially with regard to their kinetic parameters.

Pyrene, a four-ring PAH, was selected as a xenobiotic model to observe the metabolic activity in birds in comparison with laboratory animals following *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies. In addition, pyrene and its metabolites are typical phenolic xenobiotic models. To understand xenobiotic metabolism in bird species, we used pyrene as a xenobiotic model and analyzed pyrene metabolites in urine. The excretion of urine containing pyrene metabolites is useful to characterize differences in phase II xenobiotic conjugation reactions between species (Saengtienchai et al., 2016).

To clarify the roles of phase I oxidation and phase II conjugation reactions of pyrene in bird species in comparison with laboratory animals, an *in vivo* exposure study was performed. An important factor in pharmacokinetics of pyrene and its conjugated metabolites were observed to complete the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion in birds. To assess the efficiency of phase II conjugation enzymes *in vitro*, 1-hydroxypyrene (PYOH) was chosen as a substrate to measure UGT-dependent and SULT-dependent activities.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Nine-week-old male Wistar rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) ($n=3$) were obtained from SLP (Hamamatsu, Japan). Eight-week-old male White Leghorn chickens (*Gallus gallus*) ($n=3$) were obtained from Hokudo Co., Ltd. (Sapporo, Japan). One-year-old quails (*Coturnix japonica*) (male, $n=1$; female, $n=2$) were obtained from a local commercial supplier in Sapporo, Japan. The animals were acclimated for one week in the laboratory and kept under conditions of 40%–70% humidity at $23\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ in a temperature-controlled room with a 12-h light/dark cycle. They were given laboratory food and clean water *ad libitum*. All animal experiments were performed under supervision and with the approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Hokkaido University (approval no. 100067), in accordance with the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International (AAALAC).

2.2. Chemicals

Pyrene, PYOH, 3'-phosphoadenosine 5'-phosphosulfate (PAPS), sulfatase (from limpet Type V; 34 U/mg), β -glucuronidase (from bovine liver, Type B-1; 1,240 U/mg), β -glucosidase (from almond; 3.4 U/mg), and bovine serum albumin were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO). Methanol, acetonitrile, acetic acid, and ammonium acetate were purchased from Kanto Chemical Co., Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). Pyrene-1-sulfate (PYOS) was obtained from TOPU Bio (Toyama, Japan). Uridine-diphosphate-glucuronic acid (UDP-GA) was purchased from Wako (Osaka, Japan). All chemicals used for high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and mass spectrometry (MS) were of HPLC or MS grade, respectively, and were obtained from Kanto Chemical Co. Inc.

2.3. Pyrene exposure and sample collection

Chickens, quails, and rats were fasted for 24 h before exposure to pyrene as the test chemical. Pyrene was dissolved in corn oil and administered orally at a dose of 4 mg/kg body weight.

Plasma was collected from chickens at 0, 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, and 48 h after pyrene exposure for pharmacokinetic analysis. In rats, plasma samples were collected at 24 h after oral administration of pyrene. In the case of quails, plasma samples were collected after 2 h exposure, which showed a high distribution of pyrene metabolites. The excreta, such as urine, feces, urine-feces mixed (in chickens and quails), bile (in chickens), and urine contained in the ureter (in chickens) were obtained from chickens, quails, and rats after 24 h of pyrene exposure. Samples were kept at -20°C until analysis. Animals were then sacrificed by carbon dioxide inhalation at 48 h after pyrene exposure. The livers were removed and perfused with cold 1.15% potassium chloride to remove blood, and samples were immediately placed in liquid nitrogen and kept at -80°C .

2.4. Extraction of pyrene metabolites in samples from in vivo study

The method of Boocook et al. (2007) was used in this study. Briefly, aliquots of 10 μL of plasma from each animal species were extracted with 90 μL of 100% methanol. The mixed samples were stored at -20°C for 50 min to precipitate protein. After melting at room temperature, samples were centrifuged at 13,000g for 15 min at room temperature. Aliquots of 10 μL of the supernatant were subjected to HPLC with fluorescence detection (FD).

Urine and feces samples were extracted and cleaned up according to the protocol described by Saengtienchai et al. (2015). Briefly, 1 mL of urine was extracted with the same amount of 70% methanol (50:50, v:v). The mixtures were extracted by vortexing for 1 min and centrifugation at 9,000g for 10 min at room temperature. The supernatants were then filtered with a 0.2- μm syringe filter (SupraPure; Recenttec, Tokyo, Japan). Aliquots of 1 μL of the filtrates were subjected to HPLC/FD.

Samples of approximately 1 g of feces or urine-feces mixture were homogenized with 20 mL of 70% methanol. The mixtures were then extracted by sonication for 20 min and centrifuged at 9,000g for 10 min at room temperature. The supernatants were transferred into 50-mL Falcon tubes. The residual samples were extracted again. The pooled supernatants were filtered with a 0.2- μm syringe filter and kept at -20°C until analysis. Then, 5 μL of each pooled supernatant was subjected to HPLC/FD.

Aliquots of bile samples were diluted 400-fold with 70% methanol. The samples were then centrifuged at 10,000g for 5 min at room temperature. Then 5 μ L of each supernatant was subjected to HPLC/FD.

The urine contained in the ureter samples were extracted with 500 μ L of 70% methanol. The mixtures were then extracted by sonication for 20 min and centrifuged at 10,000g for 5 min at room temperature. Then, 5 μ L of each supernatant was analyzed by HPLC/FD.

2.5. Analysis and identification of pyrene metabolites

The supernatant was then injected into the HPLC/FD system (pump: LC-20AD, auto sampler: SIL-20A, column oven: CTO-20A, controller: CEM-20A; Shimadzu) equipped with an ODS column (ODS-120T 4.6 mm $\times$ 300 mm; Tosoh, Tokyo, Japan). HPLC was performed according to the method of Beach et al. (2010) with slight modifications. Mobile phase A consisted of a mixture of 10 mM ammonium acetate buffer (pH 5) and mobile phase B (9:1, v/v). A mixture of methanol:acetonitrile:water (38:57:5, v/v/v) was used as mobile phase B. The solvent gradient was 100% mobile phase A at 0 min, followed by a linear gradient to 100% of mobile phase B from 0 to 35 min. The gradient was held at 100% of mobile phase B for 5 min until 40 min. Then, the gradient was held at 100% of mobile phase A from 40.1 to 47 min. The solvent flow rate was set at 0.5 mL/min, and a column temperature of 45 $^{\circ}$ C was used throughout. The excitation and emission wavelengths for fluorescence detection were 343 and 385 nm, respectively. Furthermore, each separated peak was identified by electrospray ionization ion-trap mass spectrometry (ESI/ion-trap/MS, LTQ XL; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The ESI conditions were fully scanned (m/z 80–800) in negative mode, with an ion source voltage and temperature of -4.2 kV and 420 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively.

2.6. Deconjugation of pyrene metabolites

Deconjugation was performed using the method described by Ikenaka et al. (2007). Briefly, the enzymes sulfatase, β -glucuronidase, and β -glucosidase were dissolved in 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer and the pH was adjusted to 5.0 with acetic acid. The enzyme

concentrations were 10, 4000, and 17 U/mL, respectively. Aliquots of 30 μ L of urine sample extracts containing pyrene metabolites were mixed with 270 μ L of buffer, and each deconjugation enzyme (200 μ L) was added. As a control, the same quantity of bovine serum albumin (1 mg/mL) was added, and reactions were performed under the same conditions as used for the deconjugation enzymes. All samples were incubated at 37 °C for 8 h. The reaction was stopped by adding 500 μ L of methanol. The deconjugation solutions were analyzed by HPLC/FD.

2.7. Preparation of liver microsomal and cytosolic fractions

Liver microsomal and cytosolic fractions were prepared according to the method of Omura and Sato (1964). The liver samples were homogenized in potassium phosphate buffer (KPB; 0.1 M, pH 7.4) on ice. The homogenates were transferred to tubes and centrifuged at 9,000g for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatants (S9 fraction) were decanted into ultracentrifugation tubes and centrifuged at 105,000g, 4 °C for 70 min. Each homogenate consisted of two parts, with the supernatant containing the cytosolic fraction. The pellets were homogenized on ice with KPB and washed by centrifugation at 105,000g, 4 °C for 70 min. The microsomal pellets were homogenized with KPB again. The microsomal and cytosolic fractions were transferred to 1.5-mL tubes and stored at –80 °C. The cytosolic fractions were used to measure SULT activity; microsomal fractions were used to determine UGT activities. The protein concentrations in the microsomes and cytosol were determined using the method of Lowry et al. (1951).

2.8. UGT-dependent PYOH glucuronidation activity

UGT activity of PYOH was assessed using the method described by Ueda et al. (2011) with slight modifications. Initially, microsomes were diluted to 4 mg/mL protein with 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), and then 2.5 μ L of 1% sodium cholate solution was added and incubated on ice for 30 min. After treatment, 50 μ L of microsome solution was mixed with 41.5 μ L of buffer, 5 μ L of 100 mM MgCl₂, and 1 μ L of PYOH. The final concentrations of PYOH were 10, 20, 25, 38, 50, 100, and 200 μ M. Samples were preincubated at 37 °C for 5 min. The reaction was started with 2.5 μ L of a 50 mM UDP-GA in a final volume of 100 μ L. The reaction

was allowed to proceed for 10 min, and 400 μ L of ice-cold methanol was added to stop the reaction. The reaction solutions were placed on ice for 20 min, and then centrifuged at 1,600g for 15 min. The supernatants were transferred to HPLC vials and subjected to HPLC/FD (Shimadzu). Samples were analyzed with an Inertsil ODS-3 column (2.1 mm $\times$ 150 mm; GL Sciences). Mobile phase A was 10 mM ammonium acetate buffer (pH 5) and mobile phase B consisted of methanol:acetonitrile:water (38:57:5, v/v/v). The linear gradient was 17 min in length with 90% phase B. injection volume was 10 μ L, the flow rate was 0.5 mL/min, and the column temperature was 45 $^{\circ}$ C. Excitation and emission wavelengths were 343 and 385 nm, respectively. Kinetic parameters, including maximal velocity ($V_{\max}$), Michaelis–Menten constant (K_m), and $V_{\max}/K_m$ ratio (enzyme efficiency), were determined by the Michaelis–Menten equation using GraphPad Prism version 5.01 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

2.9. *SULT-dependent PYOH sulfation activity*

The SULT activity for PYOH was determined using a modification of the method of Ueda et al. (2011). The final protein concentration in the liver cytosol was 250 μ g protein/mL, which was adjusted with 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4). Then, 50 μ L of cytosol solution was mixed with 10 μ L of 100 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 μ L of 50 mM Na_2SO_3 , and 1 μ L of 1-hydroxypyrene. Tris-HCl buffer (100 mM, pH 7.4) was added to make up the volume to 97.5 μ L. The final concentrations of 1-hydroxypyrene were 0.005, 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, and 0.5 μ M. The mixtures were preincubated at 37 $^{\circ}$ C for 5 min. The reaction was initiated by adding 2.5 μ L of 1 mM PAPS and the final volume was 100 μ L. After 10 min of incubation, 400 μ L of ice-cold methanol was added to stop the reaction. The samples were placed on ice for 20 min, and then centrifuged at 1,600g for 15 min. The supernatants were transferred into HPLC vials and subjected to HPLC/FD (Shimadzu). Samples were analyzed with an Inertsil ODS-3 column (2.1 mm $\times$ 150 mm: GL Sciences, Inc.). Mobile phase A was 10 mM ammonium acetate buffer (pH 5) and mobile phase B consisted of methanol:acetonitrile:water (38:57:5, v/v/v). The linear gradient was 17 min with 90% phase B. Injection volume was 10 μ L, the flow rate was 0.5 mL/min, and the column temperature was 45 $^{\circ}$ C. Excitation and emission wavelengths were 343 and 385 nm, respectively. Kinetic parameters were determined using the Michaelis–Menten equation, as described above.

237

238 *2.10. Statistical analysis*

239 The results were subjected to Tukey's HSD test (JMP 7.0; SAS Institute Inc., Raleigh,
240 NC). All kinetic parameters (Michaelis–Menten equation) were analyzed using GraphPad Prism
241 Version 5.01. In all analyses, $p < 0.05$ was taken to indicate statistical significance.

242

3. Results

3.1. Identification of pyrene metabolites in chicken

The chromatograms of pyrene metabolites in chicken bile are shown in Fig. 1. A total of six pyrene metabolites were detected, peak-a to peak-f, with retention times (RT) of 11.6, 14.8, 15.0, 17.4, 22.9, and 25.9 min, respectively. Table 1 presents a summary of each RT, results of deconjugation enzymes treatment, and estimated metabolites. PYOH and pyrene were eluted at RT of 36 and 41.8 min, respectively. The other unidentified peaks were determined by LC/MS/MS. The ESI negative mass spectra of peak-a (RT 11.6 min) had a parent ion of mass to charge ratio (m/z) 489 (MS) and MS² of m/z 409 (M-80; considered as sulfate moiety), 313 (M-176; glucuronide moiety), and 233 (M-256; both sulfate and glucuronide moieties). Peak-b eluted at 14.8 min and contained a major ion at m/z 585 (MS) with MS² of m/z 409 (M-176; glucuronide moiety). Peak-c was eluted at RT 15.0 min and had m/z 393 (MS) including MS² of m/z 313 (M-80; sulfate moiety) and 233 (M-160; di-sulfate moieties). The metabolite from peak-d (RT 17.4 min) consisted of an ion at m/z 313 (MS) and MS² at m/z 233 (M-80; sulfate moiety). Peak-e eluted at 22.9 min with parent ion at m/z 393 (MS) and MS² at m/z 217 (M-176; glucuronide moiety) it was pyrene-1-glucuronide (PYOG). Furthermore, peak-f was eluted at 25.9 min with m/z of 297 (MS) and MS² 217 (M-80; sulfate moiety) and it was suspected to be PYOS. These results suggested that pyrenediol (PYDOH) (m/z 233) could be a conjugation product of peak-a, c, and d. In addition, peak-e and f also had PYOH (m/z 217) as a conjugation product. Interestingly, the conjugation product of peak-b seemed to differ from the other peaks. The ion of m/z 409 may have been similar to PYDOG.

Deconjugation conditions were used to identify each pyrene metabolite peak (peak-a to peak-f) in chicken bile after collection of each peak by fraction collectors. The fractions were treated with the specific enzymes used to digest the conjugated metabolites. Sulfatase, β -glucuronidase, and β -glucosidase were used for deconjugation (Table 1). Peak-a, c, d, and f disappeared after sulfatase treatment. In the case of β -glucuronidase treatment, peak-a disappeared completely, while this enzyme reduced peak-e by about 10%. However, all six peaks did not respond to β -glucosidase treatment. These results indicated that peak-a to peak-f were pyrene conjugated metabolites in chicken bile. Peak-a was suspected to be a PYDOGS, peak-b to be a PYDOGG,

peak-c to be a PYDOSS, peak-d to be a PYDOS, peak-e, to be a PYOG, and peak-f , to be a PYOS.

3.2. Qualification and distribution ratio of pyrene metabolites in chickens, quails, and rats

Chickens, quails, and rats were exposed to pyrene, and plasma was collected at 24 h after oral administration. In chicken and quail plasma, the conjugated metabolites detected were PYDOGS, PYDOGG, PYDOSS, PYDOS, PYOG, and PYOS (Fig. 2). Although these three-species had similar types of conjugated pyrene metabolites, the composition of pyrene metabolites which are derived from PYDOH (such as PYDOSS and PYDOS) were seemed to be higher in rat plasma than that in chicken and quail. On the other hand, more than 90% of pyrene metabolites in both chicken and quail plasma were PYOS, while a smaller proportion of glucuronide conjugation was detected. Interestingly, sulfate conjugates were the main metabolites present in plasma of both bird species and rats. In addition, only PYDOGG and PYDOGS were detected in bird plasma but not in rat plasma.

The type of conjugated metabolites in the excreta (urine and feces) in both chicken and quail, such as PYDOSS, PYDOS, PYDOGS, PYDOGG, PYOS, and PYOG, were similar as observed in plasma. On the other hand, the proportion of pyrene metabolites between plasma and excreta in chicken and quail were different (Fig. 2). Although more than 90% of the conjugated metabolites were found as PYOS in plasma, various types of the metabolites were equally detected in excreta. Interestingly, only PYOH was present in rat feces.

Moreover, bile and urine in the ureter from chickens were collected to examine pyrene metabolites. The results are summarized in Fig. 2. These samples showed similar conjugated pyrene metabolites present as sulfate and glucuronide conjugates. Only PYOH was present in bird excreta but not in plasma, bile, or urine contained in the ureter. The order for percentages of PYDOSS and PYDOS was as follows: excreta>urine contained in the ureter>bile and plasma. PYOS was mainly present in chicken samples in the order: plasma>urine contained in the ureter>bile and excreta. In addition, PYDOS, PYDOGG, and PYOG were detected at high levels in bile, excreta, urine contained in the ureter, and plasma, respectively.

3.3. Pharmacokinetic evaluation in chickens

The distributions of each peak area of pyrene metabolite-time profiles of pyrene following a single oral administration are shown in Fig. 3. Both glucuronide and sulfate conjugated metabolites were detected, and PYOS was the dominant metabolite among all conjugated pyrene metabolites. Corresponding exposure data in terms of area under the curve (AUC), maximum plasma concentration ($C_{\max}$), time to maximum plasma concentration ($T_{\max}$), elimination half-life ($t_{1/2}$), mean residence time (MRT), and total clearance of the drug from plasma after oral administration (CL/F) of pyrene and its metabolite, PYOS, in chicken plasma are summarized in Table 2. Pyrene was rapidly absorbed after oral administration, the peak concentration occurring faster than 1 h, whereas the peak concentration of PYOS ($C_{\max}$, 2524 ± 265 ng/mL) was observed at 1.7 ± 0.6 hours. Furthermore, the AUC of PYOS was also larger than that of pyrene (12677 ± 799 and 3375 ± 84 ng*h/mL, respectively). The $t_{1/2}$ of pyrene was longer than that of its metabolite, PYOS (91.2 ± 68.2 and 3.6 ± 0.9 h, respectively). However, MRT (4.2 ± 0.8 h) and CL/F (0.2 ± 0.01 L/h) of PYOS were shorter than those of the parent compound, pyrene (MRT, 134.5 ± 94.4 h; CL/F, 0.3 ± 0.1 L/h).

3.4. Comparison of pyrene metabolizing ability among bird species and rats

The enzyme kinetics activities were examined by *in vitro* study to observe sulfation (in cytosol) and glucuronidation (in microsome) activities in chickens, quails, and rats using PYOH as a substrate. The results for sulfotransferase activity are presented in Fig. 4A and Table 3. The hepatic intrinsic clearance ($V_{\max}/K_m$), the maximum reaction rate ($V_{\max}$), and the Michaelis constant (K_m) were examined to estimate conjugated enzyme affinities. The sulfotransferase activity of PYOH was detected in both chicken and quail cytosols. Interestingly, interspecies differences between rats and bird species were found, with $V_{\max}$ and K_m of rat cytosol being significantly greater than those of chicken and quail cytosols. Although, the $V_{\max}/K_m$ in quail cytosol was greater than those in chicken and rat cytosols (830 ± 352 , 608 ± 472 , and 429 ± 51.1 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}/\text{mg}$ protein, respectively), the differences among species were not significant. Furthermore, UGT activity of PYOH is also shown in Fig. 4B and Table 4. The $V_{\max}$ of rat and quail microsomes were similar and were significantly higher than that of chicken microsomes. Surprisingly, the K_m of quail microsomes was significantly faster than those of rats and chicken.

332 Moreover, the $V_{\max}/K_m$ of chicken and quail microsomes were significantly lower than that of rat
333 microsome (21.2 ± 1.39 , 33.1 ± 7.76 , and 80.8 ± 25.6 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}/\text{mg}$ protein, respectively).

4. Discussion

Glucuronide and sulfate conjugated metabolites of pyrene were identified and detected in the plasma and their excreta, including bile, of birds. The main conjugated metabolites were PYOG and PYOS, which were detected at levels similar to those in various mammals, fish, reptiles, amphibians, and marine snails (Beach et al., 2010; Ikenaka et al., 2013; Oroszlany et al., 2013; Saengtienchai et al., 2014; Ueda et al., 2011). Interestingly, PYDOGG and PYDOGS were detected in excreta and bile of birds, but not in urine or feces of rats. Although there have been reports of glucuronide and sulfate conjugates of 1,6-PYDOH and 1,8-PYDOH, and *trans*-4,5-PYDOH in urine of rats, rabbits, fish, reptiles, amphibians, and marine snails treated with pyrene (Beach et al., 2010; Boyland et al., 1964; Ikenaka et al., 2013; Oroszlany et al., 2013; Saengtienchai et al., 2014; Ueda et al., 2011), PYDOGG and PYDOGS were first reported in birds. It has been reported that genetic polymorphism of conjugated enzymes, such as UGT1A isoforms, differed among birds and mammals (Takechi et al., 2015; Hong et al., 2007). The present study showed interspecies differences in pyrene metabolites.

Furthermore, the portion of pyrene conjugated metabolites in plasma, excreta, and bile showed interspecies differences between birds and rats. In plasma, sulfate conjugated metabolites were mainly detected in both birds and rats. However, more than 90% of sulfate conjugated metabolites observed in birds was the sulfate conjugate for PYOH, whereas the around 90% of the metabolites observed from rats were for PYDOH. These results seemed to parallel those of the *in vitro* study indicating that $V_{\max}/K_m$ of PYOH sulfation in hepatic cytosol of birds was higher than that of rats.

In urine and feces, conjugated metabolites of pyrene had various forms and unique characteristics among species, including birds. Glucuronide conjugated metabolites were mainly detected in the excreta of birds, whereas sulfate conjugated metabolites and PYOH were mostly excreted into urine and feces of rats, respectively. In contrast, the $V_{\max}/K_m$ of PYOH glucuronidation in hepatic microsomes of birds was lower than that of rats *in vitro*. This discrepancy may be explained by the potentially divergent biotransformation in birds and rats due to differences in the pattern of xenobiotic elimination. With regard to xenobiotic metabolism, the metabolizing organs above the liver, such as the kidney, lung, and intestines,

also showed phase II conjugation reactions with rapid passage of xenobiotics and/or their metabolites from the circulation into the tissues (Saengtienchai et al., 2015). Moreover, the ability of the transporters expressed in various tissues, such as multidrug resistance protein 2 and breast cancer resistance protein, may be other factors that could drive excretion of sulfate and glucuronide conjugate into urine and feces (Fink-Gremmels, 2010). On the other hand, differences in biliary excretion could also explain the observed species-related differences in xenobiotic metabolism, and the molecular weight has been suggested as an important factor in biliary excretion (Abou-El-Makaren et al., 1967; Hirom et al., 1972). High molecular weight compounds (in the range >355–550) could cause an apparent increase in excretion through biliary excretion in rats, dogs, guinea pigs, rabbits, hen, and turkeys (Abou-El-Makaren et al., 1967; Hirom et al., 1972; Smith et al., 1995; Smith et al., 2000). Meanwhile, the conjugated metabolites with higher molecular weights were present in the bile of birds. However, the percentage of conjugated pyrene metabolites was altered in the excreta associated with biodegradation of pyrene conjugated metabolites by normal flora in the intestine (Kanally and Harayama, 2000; Saengtienchai et al., 2015). Conjugated pyrene metabolites present in urine and feces of rats were markedly different from those in birds. Furthermore, Watanabe et al. (2010, 2015) previously reported a large interspecies difference in CYP-mediated metabolism in phase I oxidation following treatment with warfarin. This may be because birds have unique xenobiotic metabolism occurring in both phase I oxidation and phase II conjugation.

The pharmacokinetics findings provided an understanding of the influence of a single pyrene exposure in chickens on absorption, metabolism, and elimination. In addition, the $t_{1/2}$ of pyrene in chickens was longer than that of its metabolite, PYOS. The results of the present study indicated a longer $t_{1/2}$ of pyrene than that reported in rats (4.9 h) (Bouchard et al., 1998; Withey et al., 1991). This may have been because pyrene showed persistence in plasma with prolongation of MRT and CL/F. Therefore, pyrene could be accumulated in various tissues and its metabolism may also occur in these tissues (Saengtienchai et al., 2015). Meanwhile, PYOS was produced rapidly and was eliminated with less residue in the chicken body. Moreover, Watanabe et al. (2015) reported that the $t_{1/2}$ of warfarin in chickens was longer than in mammalian species. The results of the present study indicated that xenobiotics seemed to accumulate in bird tissues following a single exposure, which could be sufficient to cause toxicity.

5. Conclusions

In the present study, interspecies differences in xenobiotic metabolism were clarified among bird and mammalian species. Pyrene was readily metabolized with conjugated enzymes from birds upon single exposure, although pyrene itself had a longer elimination half-life in birds than in rats. Moreover, conjugated metabolites, such as PYDOGG and PYDOGS, were first reported in the present study. Further studies are required regarding the bioaccumulation of xenobiotics. This may be related to the decreases in bird populations and may affect the ecosystem in the near future.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan awarded to M. Ishizuka (No. 16H0177906), Y. Ikenaka (15H0282505, 17K2003807), S. Nakayama (16K16197), and the foundations of the JSPS Core to Core Program (AA Science Platforms) and the Bilateral Joint Research Project (PG36150002 and PG36150003). We also acknowledge the financial support of Mitsui & Co., Ltd. Environment Fund, the Sumitomo Foundation for Environmental Research Projects, the Soroptimist Japan Foundation, the Nakajima Foundation, and the Inui Memorial Trust for Research on Animal Science. The authors are grateful to thank Mr. Takahiro Ichise, Ms. Mio Yagihashi, and Ms. Nagisa Hirano for their technical support and input throughout this research.

References

- Abou-El-Makaren, M.M., Millburn, P., Smith, R.L., Williams, R.T. 1967. Biliary excretion of foreign compounds: species differences in biliary excretion. *Biochem. J.* 105, 1289–1293.
- Albers, P.H., Hoffman, D.J., Rattner, B.A., Burton, G.A., Cairns, J. 2003. Petroleum and individual polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, *Handbook of Ecotoxicology*, second edition, Chapter 14, pp341–371, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL.
- Albert, C.A., Wilson, L.K., Mineau, P., Trudeau, S., Elliott, J.E. 2010. Anticoagulant rodenticides in three owl species from Western Canada, 1983–2003. *Arch Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 58, 451–459.
- Armstrong, B.G., Hutchinson, E., Unwin, J., Fletcher, T. 2004. Lung Cancer Risk after Exposure to Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons: A Review and Meta-Analysis. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 112, 970–978.
- Beach, D.G., Quilliam, M.A., Rouleau, C., Croll, R.P., Hellou, J. 2010. Bioaccumulation and biotransformation of pyrene and 1-hydroxypyrene by the marine whelk *Buccinum undatum*. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 29, 779–788.
- Boocock, D.J., Patel, K.R., Faust, G.E.S., Normolle, D.P., Marczylo, T.H., Crowell, J.A., Brenner, D.E., Booth, T.D., Gescher, A., Steward, W.P. 2007. Quantitation of trans-resveratrol and detection of its metabolites in human plasma and urine by high performance liquid chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. B* 848, 182–187.
- Bouchard, M., Krishnan, K., Viau, C. 1998. Kinetics of tissue distribution and elimination of pyrene and 1-hydroxypyrene following intravenous administration of [^{14}C]pyrene in rats. *Toxicol. Sci.* 46, 11–20.
- Bowerman, W.W., Giesy, J.P., Best, D.A., Krame, V.J. 1995. A Review of Factors Affecting Productivity of Bald Eagles in the Great Lakes Region: Implications for Recovery. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 103, 51–59.

- 443 Boyland, E., Sims, P. 1964. Metabolism of polycyclic compounds. 23. The metabolism of pyrene
444 in rats and rabbits. *Biochem. J.* 90, 391–398.
- 445 Erickson, W., Urban, D. 2004. Potential risks of nine rodenticides to birds and nontarget
446 mammals: a comparative approach. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Prevention,
447 Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Washington, D.C., USA.
- 448 Elliott, J.E., Norstrom, R.J., Keith, J.A. 1988. Organochlorines and eggshell thinning in northern
449 gannets (*Sula bassanus*) from Eastern Canada, 1968–1984. *Environ. Pollut.* 52, 81–102.
- 450 Fink-Gremmels, J. 2009. Defense mechanisms against toxic phytochemicals in the diet of
451 domestic animals. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 54, 249–258.
- 452 Hirom, P.C., Millburn, P., Smith, R.L., Williams, R.T. 1972. Species variations in the threshold
453 molecular-weight factor for the biliary excretion of organic anions. *Biochem. J.* 129, 1071–
454 1077.
- 455 Hong, A., Huo, D., Kim, H., Niu, Q., Fackenthal, D., Cummings, S., John, E., West, D.,
456 Whittemore, A., Das, S., Olopade OI. 2007. UDP-Glucuronosyltransferase 1A1 Gene
457 Polymorphisms and Total Bilirubin Levels in an Ethnically Diverse Cohort of Women.
458 *Drug. Metab. Dispos.* 35, 1254–1261.
- 459 Ikenaka, Y., Ishizaka, M., Eun, H., Miyabara, Y. 2007. Glucose–sulfate conjugates as a new
460 phase II metabolite formed by aquatic crustaceans. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 360,
461 490–495.
- 462 Ikenaka, Y., Oguri, M., Saengtienchai, A., Nakayama, S.M.M., Ijiri, S., Ishizuka, M. 2013.
463 Characterization of phase-II conjugation reaction of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in
464 fish species: unique pyrene metabolism and species specificity observed in fish species.
465 *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 36, 567–578.
- 466 Jiang, Y.F., Wang, X.T., Wu, M.H., Sheng, G.Y., Fu, J.M. 2011. Contamination, source
467 identification, and risk assessment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in agricultural soil
468 of Shanghai, China. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 183, 139–150.
- 469 Kakehi, M., Ikenaka, Y., Nakayama, M.M.S., Kawai, Y., Watanabe, K., Mizukawa, H.,
470 Nomiyama, K., Tanabe, S., Ishizuka, M. 2015. Uridine Diphosphate-

- 471 Glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) Xenobiotic Metabolizing Activity and Genetic Evolution in
472 Pinniped Species. *Toxico. Sci.* 147, 360–369.
- 473 Kanaly, R.A., Harayama, S. 2000. Biodegradation of high-molecular-weight polycyclic aromatic
474 hydrocarbons by bacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* 182, 2059–2067.
- 475 Lowry, O.H., Rosebrough, N.J., Farr, A.L., Randall, R.J. 1951. Protein measurement with the
476 Folin phenol reagent. *J. Biol. Chem.* 193, 265–275.
- 477 Norstrom, R., Hebert, C.E. 2006. Comprehensive re-analysis of archived herring gull eggs
478 reconstructs historical temporal trends in chlorinated hydrocarbon contamination in Lake
479 Ontario and Green Bay, Lake Michigan, 1971–1982. *J. Environ. Monit.* 8, 835–847.
- 480 Omura, T., Sato, R. 1964. The carbon monoxide-binding pigment of liver microsomes. *J. Biol.*
481 *Chem.* 239, 2370–2378.
- 482 Oroszlany, B., Ikenaka, Y., Saengtienchai, A., Oguri, M., Nakayama, S.M.M., Ishizuka, M.
483 2013. Metabolism of pyrene, a polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon in freshwater turtles. *Jpn.*
484 *J. Vet. Res.* 61, S62–S63.
- 485 Prakash, V., Green, R.E., Pain, D.J., Ranade, S.P., Saravanan, S., Prakash, N., Venkitachalam,
486 R., Cuthbert, R., Rahmani, A.R., Cunningham, A.A. 2007. Recent Changes in Populations
487 of Resident Gyps Vultures in India. *J. Bombay Nat. His. Soc.* 104, 129–135.
- 488 Saengtienchai A., Ikenaka Y., Nakayama, S.M.M., Mizukawa, H., Kakehi, M., Bortey-Sam, N.,
489 Darwish, W.S., Tsubota, T., Terasaki, M., Poapolathep, A., Ishizuka, M. 2014.
490 Identification of interspecific differences in phase II reactions: determination of metabolites
491 in the urine of 16 mammalian species exposed to environmental pyrene. *Environ. Toxicol.*
492 *Chem.* 33, 2062–2069.
- 493 Saengtienchai, A., Ikenaka, Y., Darwish, W.S., Nakayama, S.M.M., Mizukawa, H., Ishizuka, M.
494 2015. Characterization and tissue distribution of conjugated metabolites of pyrene in the rat.
495 *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 77, 1261–1267.
- 496 Saengtienchai, A., Ikenaka, Y., Bortey-Sam, N., Jermnark, U., Mizukawa, H., Kawai, Y.K.,
497 Nakayama, S.M.M., Ishizuka, M. 2016. The African hedgehog (*Atelerix albiventris*): Low

phase I and phase II metabolism activities. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 190, 38–47.

Smith, D.J., Giddings, J.M., Feil, V.J., Paulson, G.D. 1995. Identification of ractopamine hydrochloride metabolites excreted in rat bile. *Xenobiotica* 25, 511–520.

Smith, D.J., Feil, V.J., Paulson, G.D. 2000. Identification of turkey biliary metabolites of ractopamine hydrochloride and the metabolism and distribution of synthetic[¹⁴C]ractopamine glucuronides in the turkey. *Xenobiotica* 30, 427–440.

Ueda, H., Ikenaka, Y., Nakayama, S.M.M., Tanaka-Ueno, T., Ishizuka, M. 2011. Phase-II conjugation ability for PAH metabolism in amphibians: characteristics and inter-species differences. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 105, 337–343.

Watanabe, K.P., Saengtienchai, A., Tanaka, K.D., Ikenaka, Y., Ishizuka, M. 2010. Comparison of warfarin sensitivity between rat and bird species. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 152, 114–119.

Watanabe, K.P., Kawata, M., Ikenaka, Y., Nakayama, S.M.M., Ishii, C., Darwish, W.S., Saengtienchai, A., Mizukawa, H., Ishizuka, M. 2015. Cytochrome P450-mediated metabolic ability is not a critical determinant of warfarin sensitivity in avian species: in vitro assays in several birds and in vivo assays in chicken. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 34, 2328–2334.

Withey, J.R., Law, F.C., Endrenyi, L. 1991. Pharmacokinetics and bioavailability of pyrene in the rat. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health* 32, 429–447.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Chromatograms of pyrene metabolites in chicken bile after oral administration and analysis by HPLC/FD. Peak-a, b, c, d, e, and f represent the pyrene metabolites detected, and included PYOH (peak-g) and pyrene (peak-h). Peak-a was a PYDOGS, peak-b was a PYDOGG, peak-c was a PYDOSS, peak-d was a PYDOS, peak-e was a PYOG, and peak-f was a PYOS. Excitation (Ex) and emission (Em) wavelengths for FD were 343 and 385 nm, respectively.

Fig. 2. Percentages of each pyrene metabolite in plasma, excreta, bile, and urine contained in the ureter in chickens ($n=3$); plasma and excreta in quails ($n=3$); plasma, urine, and feces in rats ($n=3$). These percentages were obtained from the area of each metabolite peak detected by HPLC/FD. Each pyrene metabolite structure is indicated in color.

Fig. 3. Distribution profiles between pyrene and PYOS and time (h) in chicken plasma. Each point represents the average peak area $\pm$ SD, $n=3$.

Fig. 4. Enzyme kinetics of SULT activity (4A) and UGT activity (4B) in rats and bird species. The Michaelis–Menten equation was fitted and compared between rats, chickens, and quails. Plots show the average $\pm$ SD, $n=3$, each species.

540 Fig. 1.

541

542

543 Fig. 2.

544

545

546 Fig. 3.

547

548

549

550 Fig. 4.

551 A.

552

553 B.

554

555

556 Table 1. Pyrene and its metabolites under MS, MS/MS, and deconjugation conditions in chicken
 557 bile

558

	RT (min)	MS (<i>m/z</i>)	MS ² (<i>m/z</i>)	Deconjugation reaction		Metabolites
				Sulfatase	β-glucuronidase	
Peak-a	11.6	489	409 313 233	++	++	PYDOGS
Peak-b	14.8	585	409	–	++	PYDOGG
Peak-c	15.0	393	313 233	++	–	PYDOSS
Peak-d	17.4	313	233	++	–	PYDOS
Peak-e	22.9	393	217	–	+	PYOG
Peak-f	25.9	297	217	++	–	PYOS
PYOH	36.0		217	–	–	
Pyrene	41.8			–	–	

559

560 –: no change

561 +: peak reduction 10%

562 ++: peak disappearance

563

Table 2. Pharmacokinetic parameters of pyrene and PYOS after oral administration of pyrene (4 mg/kg) in chickens (mean $\pm$ SD), $n=3$

Parameters	Pyrene	PYOS
AUC (ng*h/mL)	3375 $\pm$ 84	12677 $\pm$ 799
$C_{\max}$ (ng/mL)	169 $\pm$ 28	2524 $\pm$ 265
$T_{\max}$ (h)	—	1.7 $\pm$ 0.6
$t_{1/2}$ (h)	91.2 $\pm$ 68.2	3.6 $\pm$ 0.9
MRT (h)	134.5 $\pm$ 94.4	4.2 $\pm$ 0.8
CL/F (L/h)	0.3 $\pm$ 0.1	0.2 $\pm$ 0.01

AUC, area under the curve; $C_{\max}$, maximum plasma concentration; $T_{\max}$, time to maximum plasma concentration; $t_{1/2}$, elimination half-life; MRT, mean residence time; CL/F, total clearance of the drug from plasma after oral administration

571

572 Table 3. Kinetic analysis of hepatic cytosol sulfotransferase activity toward PYOH in rats,
 573 chickens, and quails

574

	$V_{\max}$ (pmol/min/mg protein)	K_m (μ M)	$V_{\max}/K_m$ (μ L/min/mg protein)
Rats	136 $\pm$ 30.8^a	0.32 $\pm$ 0.11^a	429 $\pm$ 51.1^a
Chickens	34.9 $\pm$ 8.04^b	0.057 $\pm$ 0.03^b	608 $\pm$ 472^a
Quails	13.9 $\pm$ 8.89^b	0.017 $\pm$ 0.004^b	830 $\pm$ 352^a

575

576 Mean $\pm$ SD, $n=3$

577 ^{a,b}Tukey's HSD, $p<0.05$

578 $V_{\max}$ = maximum velocity (pmol/min/mg protein); K_m = Michaelis constant (μ M); $V_{\max}/K_m$ (μ L
 579 /min/mg protein)

580

581 Table 4. Kinetic analysis of hepatic microsome UDP-glucuronosyltransferase activity toward
 582 PYOH in rats, chickens, and quails

583

	$V_{\max}$ (pmol/min/mg protein)	K_m (μ M)	$V_{\max}/K_m$ (μ L/min/mg protein)
Rats	1,044 $\pm$ 175^a	13.1 $\pm$ 5.57^b	80.8 $\pm$ 25.6^a
Chickens	287 $\pm$ 11.6^b	13.5 $\pm$ 0.92^b	21.2 $\pm$ 1.39^b
Quails	1,057 $\pm$ 308^a	31.9 $\pm$ 9.46^a	33.1 $\pm$ 7.76^b

584

585 Mean $\pm$ SD, $n=3$

586 ^{a,b}Tukey's HSD, $p<0.05$

587 $V_{\max}$ =maximum velocity (pmol/min/mg protein); K_m =Michaelis constant (μ M); $V_{\max}/K_m$ (μ L
 588 /min/mg protein)