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ABSTRACT

Over millennia, tropical peat swamp forest (PSF) has stored a large amount of carbon (C) both in biomass and soil. Currently, however, this C-rich ecosystem is exposed to disturbances related to land-use change. For example, the large distribution of PSF in southeast Asia chiefly in Indonesia and Malaysia has been affected by the rapid expansion of oil palm plantations (OPP). Other than significant changes in vegetation, plantations need drainage for lowering groundwater level (GWL) to keep better palm growth and potentially enhances oxidative peat decomposition. In order to understand the environmental impact of OPP from the viewpoint of global warming, it is crucial to assess the change of carbon dioxide (CO₂) balance through the PSF conversion. To date, however, no study has reported the net ecosystem CO₂ exchange (NEE) of OPP established on peat. The objectives of this study are: (a) to monitor NEE of a PSF and an OPP in Sarawak, Malaysia by the eddy covariance technique, (b) to investigate the controlling factors of CO₂ fluxes, and (c) to quantify the annual CO₂ balances of the two sites and compare them to discuss the effect of the land-use change on ecosystem CO₂ balance.

1. Carbon dioxide fluxes above a peat swamp forest.

NEE has been measured above a relatively drained secondary PSF since 2010. NEE was partitioned into respiration (RE) and photosynthesis (GPP) using an empirical method. RE differ significantly in the dry and wet periods (p < 0.01). However, no significant difference was found in GPP. Thus, the seasonal difference in NEE (0.52 g C m⁻² d⁻¹) was mainly attributable to that in RE (0.57 g C m⁻² d⁻¹). Lower GWL in the dry period was the main cause for greater RE, because lower GWL enhances peat aeration and potentially increases oxidative peat decomposition. Mean (± 1 standard deviation) of annual NEE, RE and GPP were −136 ± 51, 3546 ± 149, and 3682 ± 149 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ for four years until 2014. The annual NEE was comparable to those of some tropical rain forests on mineral soil.
Aboveground biomass (AGB) was estimated at 140 and 146 t ha\(^{-1}\), respectively, in 2016 and 2017. Mean soil C content at 0-25 cm and 25-50 cm depths from 2011 to 2014 were estimated at 52.2 ± 0.7% and 53.9 ± 0.7% respectively.

2. Carbon dioxide fluxes on an oil palm plantation

NEE has also measured above an OPP established in 2004. GWL in OPP (-60 cm) was much lower than in PSF (-17.6 cm) on average and was relatively stable, because GWL was controlled by ditches. Similarly, soil moisture was maintained at around 0.56 m\(^3\) m\(^{-3}\). RE showed no significant relationship with GWL but was positively correlated with soil moisture (\(P < 0.001\)). Mean annual NEE, RE and GPP from 2011 to 2014 were estimated at 1034 ± 229, 3663 ± 182, 2630 ± 106 g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\), respectively. AGB was estimated at 21.4 and 54.2 t ha\(^{-1}\), respectively, in March 2011 and July 2014. Soil C content measured annually from 2011 to 2014 were 55.3 ± 0.8% and 56.4 ± 1.0%, respectively, at 0-25 and 25-50 cm.

3. Effect of land conversion on ecosystem-scale carbon dioxide balance

The annual NEE was negative in PSF (a moderate CO\(_2\) sink) but positive in OPP (a large CO\(_2\) source). In contrast, annual RE values were similar each other, though it was expected to increase after the land conversion owing to lowered GWL and much woody debris left on the ground. The unchanged RE was probably caused by less autotrophic respiration due to much less AGB in OPP than in PSF. Thus, the large CO\(_2\) emissions from OPP was attributable to 26% reduction in annual GPP mainly because of less AGB.
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Chapter 1: INTRODUCTION
1.1. General

Since the industrial revolution which took place between the 18th to 19th centuries, the usage of fossil fuel had increased in line with the economic development. This was driven by the upsurge in the world population. Fossil fuel includes coal, oil, and natural gas. Energy is extracted from fossil fuel through combustion process, which produces mainly carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) as its by product as well as other gases such as H$_2$O, NO$_x$, and SO$_x$ (where x is the number of oxygen atom). These gases then ended up being deposited into the atmosphere, and eventually disrupt the gases natural composition. These gases are claimed to possess the ability to retain heat in the atmosphere, causing a warming effect known as the “greenhouse effect”, hence the name “greenhouse gasses”, popularly known as GHGs. Even though the greenhouse effect is essential to the earth to keep its temperature within the habitable spectrum to support life, excessive warming due to GHGs accumulation also could become a threat to living organism. Recently, prolonged human activities have altered the atmospheric GHGs concentration resulting in “excessive” warming, widely known as the “global warming”. Global warming is claimed to be responsible in changing both the local and global climatic condition causing the environment to become less and less favourable to all living organisms including human.

CO$_2$, CH$_4$, and N$_2$O altogether account for 80% of total radiative forcing (RF) from well-mixed GHGs. Radiative forcing is the measure of how the energy balance of the Earth-atmosphere system is influenced when factors that affect climate are altered (IPCC, 2007). Radiative forcing is often used to evaluate the influence of a factor that lead to climate change, such as GHGs.
CO2 is known as the major component of GHGs that gave large impact on global warming. Its atmospheric concentration significantly increased since the early period of Industrial Revolution (1750). Current atmospheric CO2 concentration is estimated to be ranging from 390.3 to 390.7 ppm in 2011 and this accounts for about 40% increase from that in 1750 (Hartmann et al., 2013). Human activities, changes in land use, and the usage of fossil fuel are claimed to be responsible for the rise (Forster et al., 2007; Hartmann et al. 2013). Since 1750 until 2011, 555±85 PgC were deposited to the atmosphere from anthropogenic CO2, 375±30 PgC from fossil fuel combustion and cement production, and 180±80 PgC from land use change (France et al., 2013). Significant amount of emitted CO2 are absorbed by terrestrial ecosystem, hence inferring its strong influence on atmospheric CO2 concentration (Schimel et al., 2001).

Terrestrial ecosystems stored C in the form of organic compound in living biomass (450-650 PgC) and in the form of dead organic matter in soil and litter (1500-2400 PgC) (Prentice et. al., 2001; Batjes et. al., 1996). The two main components of terrestrial ecosystem CO2 exchange are the gross primary production (GPP, i.e. photosynthesis) and ecosystem respiration (RE). These processes dominate the carbon (C) balance over a short time period and the balance between these processes are known as the net ecosystem production (NEP).

\[ NEP = GPP - RE \]

*Equation 1*

Positive NEP indicates CO2 absorption by the ecosystem and negative NEP indicates deposition of CO2 to the atmosphere.

RE comprises autotrophic respiration (RA) from plant and heterotrophic respiration (RH) from animals and microbes.

\[ RE = RA + RH \]

*Equation 2*
Plants gain C and energy through GPP, and utilize this energy for growth and cells maintenance before resiprate back CO₂ through RA. Therefore, understanding the CO₂ exchange dynamic is vital for understanding the role of terrestrial ecosystem in global C cycle and its importance in regulation atmospheric CO₂ concentration. However, direct measurement of NEP is considered as hard or almost impossible to obtain. The introduction of fast-response CO₂ analyzers and sonic anemometers made it possible to measure net flow of carbon between the ecosystem and atmosphere. Eddy covariance allows instantaneous measurement of gaseous flux over an ecosystem of interest. Net exchange of CO₂ (NEE) between the atmosphere and the ecosystem represent most of CO₂ uptake via GPP and those removed through RE. The term “NEE” was first used by atmospheric scientist community to describe CO₂ deposit to the atmosphere. So, positive NEE indicating CO₂ deposition to the atmosphere (CO₂ source) and negative NEE indicate the otherwise (CO₂ sink). Over a short time period, NEE provides a reasonable estimate of NEP. 

\[
NEE = -NEP
\]

(Equation 3)

NEE may overestimate NEP in terrestrial ecosystem, but it could provide a good illustration for geographic patterns of NEP and its environmental controls (Stuart Chapin et al., 2012).

Large portion of global terrestrial metabolic activity can be traced to tropical forest (Malhi, 2012), which represent about 35% of global NEP (Loescher et al., 2003). Most of intact tropical forests are considered as carbon neutral. However, some early eddy covariance studies, (Song-Miao et al., 1990; Grace et al., 1995) suggested that carbon sequestration occurs in intact old-growth Amazon forests. Despite the sink capacity, representativeness of the current studies of the whole region are still uncertain due to high heterogeneity of the ecosystem and complex meteorology (Schimel et al., 2001). Fan et al. (1990) suggested the possible sensitivity of tropical forests carbon balance to cloud cover and climate fluctuation.
(e.g. ENSO). Therefore, more ecosystem scale net carbon exchange study is needed to cover all ecosystem and environmental condition variability.

1.2. Tropical peat swamp forest

Tropical peatlands contain large portion of terrestrial organic carbon (Page et al., 2002). Peatlands are formed through the accumulation of plant and animal residues under waterlogged condition that suppress its decomposition (Rydin and Jeglum, 2015). This includes litterfall and dying parts of vegetation below the soil. Living roots, spores, and microbial organisms may also present even in the deep layers but not so dominant. Over 60% of world’s tropical peatlands can be found in Southeast Asia and the largest distribution is in Indonesia, with estimated area of 21 Mha or 84% of the total tropical peatlands area, followed by Malaysia (13%, 2–2.5 Mha) and the remainders distributed all over Thailand, Vietnam, Brunei, and the Philippines (UNDP, 2006; Murdiyarso et al., 2010). Koh et. al., (2011) using remote sensing approach estimates large percentage of PSF in Indonesia and Malaysia were converted into OPP, resulting in approximate net biomass C loss of 38 million Mg. Even though the cost for land preparation for converting PSF into OPP are high, as the cost-effective and productive mineral soil become scarcer and the demand for oil palm increase as predicted (Corley and Tinker, 2003), more OPP will be developed on peatlands.

Approximately 11-14% of global peat carbon are located in the tropical region (Page et al., 2011). Large proportion of the total 104.7 Pg tropical peat carbon can be found in southeast Asia (65%, 68.5 Gt), including the newly found peatland in Congo basin (Dargie et al., 2017). Largest distribution of these peatlands is in Indonesia (84%, 21 Mha) followed by Malaysia (13%, 2-2.5 Mha) and the remains scattered all around Thailand, Vietnam, Brunei, and the Philippines (UNDP, 2006; Murdiyarso et al., 2010). Tropical peatlands ecosystems are unique compared to temperate and boreal peatlands due to the coexistence of tropical rainforest and waterlogged woody peat. CO₂ emission from soil are expected to be low under
anoxic condition induced by high groundwater level (GWL), coupled with high biomass productivity made this ecosystem an efficient carbon (C) sink.

1.3. Carbon dioxide emission from tropical peatlands

The massive C stock of tropical peatlands has a high potential to be emitted as CO$_2$ following land conversion and peat fires (e.g. Hooijer et al., 2012; Miettinen et al., 2017; Jauhiainen et al., 2016a; 2016b; Konecny et al., 2016; Page and Hooijer, 2016), thus transformed it into a net C source. Land conversion in developing countries is usually driven by the needs to grow the economy through agriculture development. The occurrence of peat fires caused by improper land preparation and El Niño events often deteriorate the substantial C emission.

In tropical peatlands, CO$_2$ emission is reported to be mainly controlled by the local hydrology, due to low variation in soil and air temperature compared to temperate and boreal peatlands. Draining the peatlands deepens the unsaturated peat layer and enhances peat aeration. As a consequent, oxidative peat decomposition theoretically accelerates. This has been proved by previous studies using the eddy covariance technique in tropical peat swamp forest (PSF) in Central Kalimantan (Hirano et al. 2007; 2009; 2012), where high ecosystem-scale CO$_2$ emission was reported following GWL lowering, attributable to enhanced peat decomposition (Hirano et al., 2014). A study using automated soil chamber system (Sundari et al., 2012) also found a negative relationship between soil respiration (RS) with GWL. However, Hirano et al. (2009) has shown that RE exhibit similar positive relationship with soil temperature as in RS despite the narrow temperature range in the tropic. On the contrary, in an Acasia plantation in Indonesia, J. Jauhiainen, Hooijer, & Page, (2012) did not found any significant dependence on temperature using only daytime flux and temperature data. Moreover, Melling et al. (2005) suggested that ecosystem types (e.g. PSF, sago and oil palm plantations) can play important in determining the response of RS to environmental factors,
as they found different main controlling factors for each ecosystem. The heterogeneity of underlying peat in PSF also has a great influence on the vegetation cover, associated with plants adaptation to soil conditions. PSFs are typically formed under ombrotrophic condition and known to have a dome-like shape. Peat depth, peat characteristics and nutrient availability gradually change from the edge to the center of the peat dome. These developed the zonal pattern of PSF known as mixed peat swamp, Alan Batu, Alan Bunga, Padang Alan, Padang Selusor and Padang Keruntum from the edge to the center. PSFs’ structure changes from tall and dense to shorter and sparser toward the center (Anderson, 1964; Melling et al., 2007). Similar distinct forest types also found by Page et al. (1999) in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia (mixed peat swamp, low pole, tall interior and very low canopy forests) across the gradient of peat thickness and peat surface elevation. However, the formation of such forest types are more likely determined by the hydrological conditions in relation to mineral and nutrient flows rather than the direct influence of peat thickness and surface topography (Melling et al., 2007).

Published study on the ecosystem-scale CO₂ balance of tropical PSF using the eddy covariance technique are still scarce, currently were limited to Central Kalimantan (Hirano et al., 2007; 2012). Thus, field-derived information is still insufficient. Considering the high heterogeneity of PSF, and high variability in climate (especially precipitation pattern) in tropical regions, more field studies are needed to cover such variability. Therefore, a CO₂ flux study was conducted over a secondary PSF in Sarawak, Malaysia by the eddy covariance technique since 2011. Seasonal variation of precipitation in the study site is less distinct than in Central Kalimantan, and precipitation also less sensitive to ENSO events. Geographically, Sarawak is facing the South China Sea, located in the northwest coast of Borneo Island. This study used four-year-long data from 2011 to 2014 to investigate the environmental dependence of CO₂ fluxes, and the seasonal and interannual variations of CO₂ balance.
Tropical peatlands have been one of the most important arable lands as well as one of the most important resources for Malaysia’s economic growth. This is the most accessible arable land with high economic value, but also the least studied and understood soil type in the world. PSF once considered as less valuable for agricultural development owing to its high GWL, high acidity and low fertility. However, with the advancement in scientific and technological knowledge, agricultural activities on tropical peatlands are made possible. Among all crops, oil palm being are regards as the most important strategic crops in Malaysia and Indonesia, the two largest producer of palm oil nowadays. Therefore, more PSF are now converted into large scale oil palm plantation in those countries.

Prior to reclamation, GWL lowering through drainage are crucial to aerate the crop root zone and peat compaction is required to be done to increase the peat soil bulk density, soil surface loadbearing capacity and water-filled pore space (Melling et al., 2005a, 2005b, 2008). However, GWL lowering has been claimed to cause peatlands to shift from C sinks to C sources, increasing CO₂ deposition to the atmosphere, while reducing CH₄ emissions (Furukawa et al., 2005; van Huissteden et al., 2006; Couwenberg, 2011). This is associated with enhanced microbial degradation of organic matter in soil that increased decomposition rate of peat as observed in boreal and temperate peatlands. However, this is not necessary applicable to topical peatland due to the differences in peat soil properties, environmental factors, microbial community, vegetation and management practices. To date, published data on ecosystem scale CO₂ fluxes after PSF conversion to oil palm plantation (OPP) are nonexistence. This study focussed to study CO₂ dynamic in former PSF after conversion, investigate the main controlling factors of CO₂ fluxes, and assessed the seasonal and inter-annual variation of the fluxes.
1.4. Objectives

This study was conducted with the following objectives; a) Assess the seasonal and interannual variations of the CO₂ balance in a peat swamp forest (PSF) and an oil palm plantation (OPP) on peat, b) Investigate the main environmental controls of CO₂ fluxes in both ecosystems and c) Assess the effect of PSF conversion to OPP and explore possible CO₂ emission reduction strategy.
Chapter 2: MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study site

The study sites were located at the state of Sarawak, Malaysia, in the Island of Borneo (Figure 1). The OPP site was about 100 km away from the PSF site. PSF was used to represent the condition before conversion and OPP represent condition after conversion. The climate in this region is mainly influenced by the Northeast and Southwest monsoon (Malaysian Meteorological Department, 2013). Monsoon occurs when there is a temperature difference between the lands and sea, induced by the solar radiation. The Southwest monsoon generally begins in late May until September and Northeast monsoon from November to March. The Southwest monsoon bring relatively drier weather, while the Northeast monsoon bring heavy rainfall especially to the western part of Sarawak.

![Figure 1. Study sites location in Sarawak, Malaysia (Source: Google maps).](image-url)
Above and below canopy views in PSF and OPP.

Figure 2. Above and below canopy views in PSF and OPP.

PSF used for this study is located in Betong division of Sarawak, Malaysia. An eddy covariance tower with the height of 40 m was constructed inside the 25.6 km² forest, around the center (1°23’59.42”N, 111°24’6.69”E) of a peat dome. Satellite estimated elevation of the area was about 17 m a.s.l., with an almost flat terrain. Peat at the tower site accumulated up to 10 m depth above the substratum. The forest regenerated into a secondary forest after experienced selective logging. Logging in the windward area (southeast direction from the tower) was almost terminated in 1980s, and the surrounding area of the forest was converted into oil palm plantations in 1990s. The forest type at the tower site is described as the transition between Alan Bunga and Padang Alan forests. Originally, the forest was dominated by *Litsea* spp., but recently has been outgrew by original trees species known as *Shorea albida*. In 2016, the tree density was estimated at 1990 trees ha⁻¹. During the beginning of flux measurement in 2010 the canopy height was about 25 m. Plant area index (PAI) was not measured until 2013. Average PAI obtained monthly using a plant canopy analyser (LAI2000, Li-Cor Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) was 7.9 m² m⁻² from April 2013 to March 2014; with no clear seasonal variation. Typical hummocks and hollows microtopography are present on the
forest floor, with significant accumulation of leaf. Saplings are also abundant below the canopy. Melling, (2013) described the physical and chemical properties of peat soil at this site (abbreviated as CA).

CO₂ flux was measured in an oil palm plantation located in Sibu division of Sarawak, Malaysia (02°11’12”N, 111°50’35.7”E), where an eddy covariance tower with the height of 41 m was constructed. In September 2004, the former mixed peat swamp forest with total area about 10,000 ha was developed into an oil palm plantation. The current peat thickness was between 8-11 m (Sangok et al., 2017). *Elaeis guineensis* was planted with planting density of 153 trees ha⁻¹. During the beginning of flux measurement in 2011, the oil palm trees in the EC footprint area was aged between 3 to 7 years old with the approximate average height of 8 m. Plant area index (PAI) was averaged at 3.73 m² m⁻² (October 2013 to December 2014). Understorey was dominated by fern (*Stenochlaena palustris* (Burm. f.) Bedd.). During harvesting the lowest fronds were cut and gathered in row causing leaf litter accumulation on the ground. Large tree stumps leftover from land clearing also abundant on the ground. Considering the common practice of replanting every 25-30 years, the study site in the first cycle of cultivation. Ditches were excavated, and water gates installed to control the GWL at roughly -60 cm, as one of the management practice for better palm growth, preventing peat fires, and reducing peat decomposition by reducing aerated zone in the top soil. Fluxes and micrometeorological measurements were similar to those in PSF.s

2.2. Flux measurement

NEE was obtained by adding CO₂ flux above the canopy to the CO₂ storage change below the canopy (NEE = CO₂ flux + CO₂ storage change). CO₂ flux ($F_C$) was determined from CO₂ concentration fluctuation ($c'$) measured using an open-path CO₂/H₂O analyzer (LI7500A, Li-Cor Inc.) and vertical wind velocity fluctuation ($w'$) measured using a sonic
anemometer/thermometer (CSAT3, Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, UT, USA).

Instrumentations for flux measurement are shown in Figure 3.

\[ F_C = \overline{w'c'} \]

(Equation 4)

Figure 3. open-path CO₂/H₂O analyzer (LI7500A, Li-Cor Inc.) and a sonic anemometer/thermometer (CSAT3, Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, UT, USA).

At both forest and oil palm plantation, CO₂ and energy fluxes have been measured at the height of 41 and 21 m respectively using the EC technique since October 2010. The flux measurement heights \( h_m \) were determined by considering the height of vegetation \( h_c \) to ensure sensors were placed right on top of the surrounding canopy in the well-mixed surface layer and avoid disproportionate height that can extend the footprint beyond the boundary layer under calm night-time condition. The following equation was used to estimate \( h_m \):

\[ h_m \approx d + 4(h_c - d) \]

where \( d \) is the zero-plane displacement \( (d=2/3 \, h_c) \).
CO₂ storage change ($F_c$) are almost negligible over short vegetation but can become significantly large over high canopy like forest. Thus, it should be considered in NEE calculation. Temporal change of the atmospheric CO₂ concentration below the flux measurement are used for $F_c$ evaluation. CO₂ profile was measured at multiple heights considering the unequal vertical distribution of CO₂ concentration below the canopy. Eventually, $F_c$ was calculated from CO₂ profile measurements at 6 heights (Table 1) using the following equation:

$$F_S = \int_0^{h_m} \frac{\partial \rho_c}{\partial t} h$$

(Equation 5)

Where, $F_S$: CO₂ storage change [mg m⁻² s⁻¹], $\rho_c$: CO₂ concentration [mgm⁻³], $t$: time (s), $h$: height [m], and $h_m$: flux measurement height [m].

Positive NEE indicates that the ecosystem is a net CO₂ source, whereas negative NEE indicating a net CO₂ sink.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement heights (m)</th>
<th>PSF</th>
<th>OPP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1. Measurement heights for CO₂ profile in PSF and OPP.*
CO₂ and energy fluxes have been measured since October 2010 at the height of 41 m using the eddy covariance technique, but only data from January 2011 to December 2014 will be used for analysis. Three-dimensional wind velocity, air temperature, CO₂ density and water vapor density were measured using a sonic anemometer/thermometer (CSAT3, Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, UT, USA) and an open-path CO₂/H₂O analyzer (LI7500A, Li-Cor Inc.) at 10 Hz frequency. CO₂ concentrations were also measured every minute rotationally at the heights of 41, 21, 11, 3, 1, and 0.5 m using a closed-path CO₂ analyzer (LI-820, Li-Cor Inc.). Raw data were recorded with a data logger (CR3000, Campbell Scientific Inc.).

Half-hourly mean CO₂ flux \( (F_C) \) was calculated from raw eddy data using a software, Flux Calculator (Ueyama et al., 2012). Through the calculation, spike removal (Vickers and Mahrt, 1997), planar fit rotation (Wilczak et al., 2001), frequency response correction (Massman, 2000) and air density fluctuation correction (Webb et al., 1980) were applied. CO₂ storage below the flux measurement height was estimated at intervals of 5 min from CO₂ concentrations measured at the six heights (Section 2.2) by temporal linear interpolation (Hirano et al., 2007). Then, we calculated half-hourly CO₂ storage flux \( (F_S) \) as the change of CO₂ storage. Finally, the net ecosystem exchange (NEE) of CO₂ was calculated as the sum of \( F_C \) and \( F_S \) (\( \text{NEE} = F_C + F_S \)). Positive NEE indicates that the ecosystem is a net CO₂ source, whereas negative NEE indicating a net CO₂ sink.

2.3. Meteorological measurements

Global and reflected solar radiations and long-wave radiations (upward and downward) were measured using a radiometer (CNR4, Kipp&Zonen, Delft, the Netherlands) at the height of 41 m. Downward and upward photosynthetic photon flux densities (PPFD) were also measured at the same height using two quantum sensors (LI-190, Li-Cor Inc.). Wind speed and direction were measured with a vane and three-cup anemometer (Wind
sentry, Young, Traverse City, MI, USA) at the height of 41m. Air temperature \( (T_a) \) and relative humidity (RH) were measured with a temperature & relative humidity probe (CS215, Campbell Scientific Inc.) in a radiation shield (41303-5A, Campbell Scientific Inc.) at the heights of 11 and 41 m, respectively. Water vapor pressure deficit (VPD) was calculated from \( T_a \) and RH. GWL was measured at one point with a water level logger (STS DN/L 50, Sensor Technik Sirmach AG, Danbury, CT, USA); GWL was the distance between the groundwater surface and the ground surface at a hollow. Volumetric soil water content (VWC) was measured in the uppermost 30-cm-thick layer at a hollow using a TDR sensor (CS615, Campbell Scientific Inc.). Soil temperature \( (T_s) \) was measured using resistance thermometers at 5 and 10 cm depths, respectively. Precipitation (PT) was measured using a tipping-bucket rain gauge (TE525, Campbell Scientific Inc.) at the height of 1 m in an open space close to the tower. These data were averaged and recorded half-hourly using a datalogger (CR3000, Campbell Scientific Inc.).

The measurement was occasionally interrupted mainly because of power problems. The resultant data gaps in PPFD, \( T_a \) and VPD were filled by linear regression with data measured on a tower (1°27’55”N, 111°09’20”E) in another PSF site, about 20 km away from this site. Missing PT was filled on a daily basis with data of a nearby meteorological station (Lingga) about 26 km away; root mean square errors (RMSEs) were 11 mm day\(^{-1}\) and 51 mm month\(^{-1}\), respectively, on daily and monthly bases. Missing GWL was estimated hydrologically by the tank model method (Sugawara, 1979).

2.4. Quality control, gap filling and partitioning of NEE

Prior to gap-filling, NEE data were screened by the mean absolute deviation (MAD) spike detection method (Papale et al., 2006) and the deviation of each half-hourly NEE from mean diurnal variation (MDV). MDV and standard deviation (SD) were calculated for a 14-day-long moving window. If NEE was out of 3 SDs ± MDV, it was removed. NEE under low
turbulent conditions during the nighttime (PPFD ≤ 10 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) was filtered using a friction velocity ($u^*$) threshold determined using Flux Analysis Tool software (Ueyama et al., 2012); the $u^*$ threshold was determined at 0.15 m s$^{-1}$ at this site. Finally, the gaps were filled using the marginal distribution sampling (MDS) method (Reichstein et al., 2005). The size of moving windows was between 7 and 49 days depending on the length of data gaps and the availability of “look up” values. We performed gap filling separately for the daytime (PPFD > 10 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and the nighttime (PPFD ≤ 10 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). Following a flux study in PSFs (Hirano et al., 2012), PPFD, VPD and $T_a$ were used during the daytime, whereas GWL, $T_s$ and VWC were used during the nighttime. Missing NEE was “looked up” based on similar environmental conditions of ± 25 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for PPFD, 0.15 kPa for VPD, 2.5 cm for GWL, 0.05 m$^3$ m$^{-3}$ for VWC, 1.0°C for $T_a$ and 0.2°C for $T_s$. Daytime ecosystem respiration (RE) was “looked up” from nighttime NEE using the same algorithm for gap-filling (MDS). Then, gross primary production (GPP) was calculated as the difference between NEE and RE (NEE = RE – GPP). During the nighttime, GPP was set to zero.

### 2.5. Light response parameters of NEE

Ecosystem photosynthetic parameters were calculated by fitting a non-rectangular hyperbola function (エラー! 参照元が見つかりません。) to measured PPFD and daytime NEE.

$$
\text{NEE} = -\frac{1}{2\theta}\left(\alpha \text{PPFD} + P_{\text{max}} - \sqrt((\alpha \text{PPFD} + P_{\text{max}})^2 - 4\alpha P_{\text{max}} \theta \text{PPFD})\right) + R_{\text{Ed}}
$$

(Equation 6)

where $\theta$ is the curvature (set to be 0.9), $\alpha$ is the initial slope, $P_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum GPP, and $R_{\text{Ed}}$ is the dark respiration. Fitting was conducted on a daily basis. The photosynthetic parameter $P_{\text{max}}$ was assessed for seasonality together with GPP.
2.6. Definition of the wet and dry periods

Data in PSF forest ware grouped into wet and dry period using the following procedure. The driest month with the least PT generally occurs in June or July in this area (Fig. 1a). In the tropics, a threshold of monthly PT < 100 mm is commonly used to determine the dry season (Malhi et al., 2002). According to this threshold, the dry season was very short in this site, because monthly PT barely dropped below 100 mm. Thus, to extract a relatively dry period, we analysed monthly PT using 15-year-long data at Lingga meteorological station (as mentioned above). As a result, the median of monthly PT (238 mm) was used to separate the relatively dry period and the wet period. The durations of each period are shown in Table 1. Conventionally, a hydrological year was determined to be from the onset of a dry period to the end of the next wet period. According to the PT threshold, the onset of the dry period shifted year by year (Table 3). The hydrological years were named Y1, Y2, Y3 and Y4, respectively, during the duration from April 2011 to September 2014 (Figure 7a and Table 3).

2.7. Statistical analysis

The means of NEE, RE, GPP and environmental factors were compared between the dry and wet periods by t-test. Those of each period were compared by Tukey’s HSD. Regression coefficients of light-saturated NEE with VPD were compared between the two periods by t-test. These analyses were performed using R version 3.3.1 software.

2.8. Aboveground Biomass estimation

Aboveground biomass (AGB) estimation was estimated using different method for both PSF and OPP.

In the PSF, AGB was estimated following allometric equations developed by Monda et. al, (2015), established specifically for PSF by considering the hollows inside the tree trunk. The allometric equation is as follow:
AGB (kg) = exp (-3.5339 + 3.7146 ln (DBH)-0.2529 [ln (DBH)] 2) 

(Equation 7)

Biomass was assumed to contain 50% carbon (C).

In the forest, four tree inventory plots (30 m x 40 m for each plot) were set up with overall 16 plots shown in Figure 5. Trees with DBH (diameter at breast height) greater than 5cm were selected and measured. The locations and coordinates of the plots are shown in Figure 4 and Table 2. In order to improve the measurement precision, the “breast height” need to be standardized. The height of 1.3 m above ground was selected in accordance with the international practice.

Figure 4. Location of tree inventory plots (source: Google earth).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plot No.</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>StartP1</td>
<td>N 1° 23' 53.20&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E 111° 24' 16.87&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StartP2</td>
<td>N 1° 23' 48.62&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E 111° 24' 12.28&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StartP3</td>
<td>N 1° 23' 43.05&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E 111° 24' 17.80&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StartP4</td>
<td>N 1° 23' 47.70&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E 111° 24' 22.40&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Coordinates for Tree inventory plots in PSF.

**Figure 5. Tree inventory plot for PSF site.**

DBH measurement can be tricky in the case where tree’s shape is unusual. Trees with criteria listed below are excluded:

1) Presence of fork below breast height

2) Trees splitting into several stem

3) Leaning trees

Sometimes, buttress of the trees can extend beyond the DBH measurement height (>1.3 m). in this case, the diameter was taken just above the buttress (**Figure 6**).
Figure 6. DBH measurement for trees with buttress higher than 1.3 m above the ground. Red line indicates the alternative “breast height”.

At the OPP, only tree height measurement was used for AGB estimation, using equation developed by Khasanah et. al., (2015).
Chapter 3: CARBON DIOXIDE FLUXES OVER A SECONDARY PEAT SWAMP FOREST

3.1. Results

3.1.1. Environmental conditions

Seasonality in PT was partly attributable to ENSO events; a La Niña event occurred from 2010 to early 2012, and weak El Niño events occurred in 2012 and 2014, which are determined by sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies (http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/). The longest wet period was in Y1 (8 months) in relation to a La Niña event, and the dry period was longest in Y3 (8 months) (Table 3). The driest month (monthly PT < 100mm) was generally recorded in June or July, whereas it occurred also in February in 2014 (Figure 7a). Cumulative PT in the dry periods accounted for 22.1, 43.5, 43.7 and 61.2% of total PT in Y1, Y2, Y3 and Y4, respectively, whereas mean monthly PT was significantly lower in the dry period (Table 3). Annual PTs on a calendar basis in 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 were 2965, 2729, 2563 and 2120 mm, respectively (Table 5). Following the seasonality of PT, GWL decreased in the dry period (Figure 7b). On average, GWL was -9 cm in the wet period and -29 cm in the dry period (Table 3). During the wet period in 2011 (W1), GWL rose up to 30 cm aboveground, but only for a short period. In this site, however, flooding was uncommon even during the wet period. The lowest GWL of -67 cm was recorded in the 2011 dry period (D1). Correspondingly, VWC was significantly lower during the dry period (p < 0.01, Table 3).

Daily PPFD was significantly lower by 6% during the wet period (p < 0.01, Table 2) following the increase in PT (Figure 7a and Table 3). The lowest daily PPFD was recorded in the W2 (32.6 mol m^-2 day^-1), and the highest was in the D1 and D2 (35.8 mol m^-2 day^-1, Table 3). Annual PPFD in 2011, 2012, and 2014 was the same at 12.5 kmol m^-2 year^-1, but
increased slightly to 12.8 kmol m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\) in 2013 (Table 5). Daily mean \(T_a\) at the height of 41 m fluctuated between 23 and 30°C (Figure 7d). Daily minimum and maximum \(T_a\) ranged from 19.8 to 25.9 °C and from 24.5 to 35.5°C, respectively (data not shown). Mean \(T_a\) was significantly higher in the dry period \((p < 0.01)\), but the difference was small \((0.7°C, Table 3)\). Both daily minimum and maximum \(T_a\) increased in the dry period similarly to daily mean \(T_a\). Annual mean \(T_a\) was almost constant between 26.4 and 26.6°C. Daily mean \(T_s\) increased linearly with daily mean \(T_a\) (data not shown). Daytime VPD was also significantly higher in the dry period (Table 3), probably owing to increased \(T_a\). The lowest VPD was generally recorded in early-January and gradually increased to its peak in late June (Figure 7e). Daytime VPDs during D1 and D2 were significantly higher than those in the other dry periods (Table 3).
Figure 7. Seasonal variations of monthly precipitation (PT) (a), daily mean groundwater level (GWL) (b), daily photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) (c), daily mean air temperature ($T_a$) (d) and daytime mean vapor pressure deficit (VPD) (e) from January 2011 to December 2014. Black lines are 14-day-long moving averages. Bold dashed vertical lines are the border of calendar years. The horizontal dotted line in (a) is the median of 15-year-long PT data measured at Lingga station. Grey areas denote the dry periods.
3.1.2. Seasonality of CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes

Seasonal variations in daily NEE, RE and GPP are shown in Figure 10. In general, daily NEE was more positive in the dry periods and became slightly neutral or more negative in the wet periods (Table 4). Daily RE varied similarly with NEE. The variation of daily GPP was smaller than RE. Daily NEE and RE were significantly different between the two periods ($p < 0.01$), respectively, whereas no significant difference was found in daily GPP ($p > 0.05$) (Table 2). As a result, the seasonal difference in NEE (0.52 g C m\textsuperscript{-2} day\textsuperscript{-1}) was due to RE (0.57 g C m\textsuperscript{-2} day\textsuperscript{-1}).

3.1.3. Controlling factors of CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes

In order to investigate the response of RE to environmental conditions, measured NEE (RE) was plotted against GWL and VWC. Nighttime NEE increased significantly as GWL ($r = -0.53$, $p = 0.01$) or VWC ($r = -0.42$, $p = 0.04$) lowered (Figure 8). According to the relationship, RE increased linearly by 0.28 µmol m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1} for every 10 cm lowering in GWL, and RE was 8.5 µmol m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1} when GWL was 0 cm.

Photosynthetic response was analyzed using light-saturated NEE (PPFD > 1000 µmol m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}) instead of GPP to avoid uncertainties caused by flux partitioning procedures. Figure 3 shows the response of light-saturated NEE to VPD in the dry and wet periods. Light-saturated NEE showed a positive relationship with VPD ($p < 0.01$) in both periods; there was no significant difference in the regression coefficients between the two periods ($p > 0.05$). Means ($\pm$ 1 SD) of light-saturated NEE in the dry and wet periods were -18.2 ± 9.0 and -19.1 ± 9.0 µmol m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. Maximum GPP ($P_{\text{max}}$) was 33.7 and 34.1 µmol m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively, on average in the dry and wet periods (Table 4); these were not significantly different.
Figure 8. Relationship between measured nighttime NEE and groundwater level (GWL) (a) or soil water content (VWC) (b). Half-hourly NEE data were sorted according to GWL or VWC and bin-averaged for 20 classes in the same size. Lines were drawn for significant relationship (p < 0.05).

Figure 9. Response of NEE to vapor pressure deficit (VPD) in light-saturated conditions (PPFD > 1000 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹). Half-hourly measured NEE was plotted against VPD separately for the dry and wet periods. NEE data were sorted according to VPD and binned into 20 classes in the same size. Lines were drawn for significant relationship (p < 0.01).
Figure 10. Seasonal variation in daily values of NEE (a), RE (b) and GPP (c) from January 2011 to December 2014 (grey lines) after gap-filling. Black lines are 14-day-long moving averages. Grey shades indicate the dry periods. Dotted vertical lines are the calendar year border.

3.1.4. Aboveground Biomass

Tree inventory for AGB study in PSF site only started in 2016 and conducted on annual basis. In 2016, AGB was estimated at 140 t ha\(^{-1}\) (7000 g C m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\)). AGB increased to 145.8 t ha\(^{-1}\) (7292 g C m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\)) in 2017.

3.1.5. Annual CO\(_2\) balance

Four-year-mean of annual NEE was \(-136 \pm 51\) g C m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\) (mean ± 1 SD), ranged from \(-207\) (2011) to \(-98\) g C m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\) (2012), resulting from GPP of 3682 \(\pm 149\) g C m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\) and RE of 3546 \(\pm 149\) g C m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\) (Table 5). The highest and lowest annual RE and GPP occurred in 2013 and 2014, respectively. The rank orders of NEE and RE or GPP
were inconsistent. Annual NEE was negatively correlated with annual mean of GWL \((r = -0.92, \text{ data not shown})\), but it was not significant \((p = 0.08)\) because of a small sample size \((n = 4)\). There was no significant relationship between RE and GPP with GWL \((r = -0.14 \text{ and } 0.17, \text{ respectively})\). The relationship between annual fluxes (NEE, RE, and GPP) with PT or PPFD was weak \((r^2 < 0.49)\).

**Table 3. Duration of the wet and dry periods from 2011 to 2014. Duration between the onset of a dry period and the end of the subsequent wet period was defined as one hydrological year named as Y1, Y2, Y3 and Y4, respectively.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Length (Months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011 – 2012 (Y1)</td>
<td>Dry (D1)</td>
<td>April 2011 – August 2011</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wet (W1)</td>
<td>September 2011 – April 2012</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 – 2012 (Y2)</td>
<td>Dry (D2)</td>
<td>May 2012 – September 2012</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wet (W2)</td>
<td>October 2012 – December 2012</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 – 2013 (Y3)</td>
<td>Dry (D3)</td>
<td>January 2013 – August 2013</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wet (W3)</td>
<td>September 2013 – December 2013</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wet (W4)</td>
<td>August 2014 – September 2014</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Means of daily CO$_2$ fluxes and environmental variables in each period (mean ± 1 standard deviation (SD)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>NEE (g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>RE (g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>GPP (g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$P_{\text{max}}$ (µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>PPFD (mol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Daytime VPD (kPa)</th>
<th>$T_a$ (°C)</th>
<th>VWC (m$^3$ m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>GWL (cm)</th>
<th>PT (mm month$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>-0.76 ± 2.04bc</td>
<td>9.09 ± 2.75b</td>
<td>9.85 ± 1.84bc</td>
<td>33.2 ± 9.7ab</td>
<td>35.8 ± 8.0a</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.31a</td>
<td>26.9 ± 1.0a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-27.6 ± 22.9de</td>
<td>149 ± 98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0.40 ± 2.06a</td>
<td>10.85 ± 3.38a</td>
<td>10.45 ± 2.74ab</td>
<td>35.6 ± 9.7ab</td>
<td>35.8 ± 8.4a</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.34a</td>
<td>27.0 ± 1.2a</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.14e</td>
<td>-41.5 ± 13.7f</td>
<td>146 ± 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>-0.23 ± 2.09abc</td>
<td>10.53 ± 1.94a</td>
<td>10.76 ± 1.52a</td>
<td>33.5 ± 9.8ab</td>
<td>35.7 ± 8.0a</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.30b</td>
<td>26.8 ± 1.0ab</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.15c</td>
<td>-23.0 ± 13.5cd</td>
<td>140 ± 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>-0.14 ± 2.24ab</td>
<td>9.10 ± 2.13b</td>
<td>9.24 ± 1.96c</td>
<td>32.8 ± 10.6a</td>
<td>35.1 ± 7.9ab</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.28bc</td>
<td>26.8 ± 1.3ab</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.18d</td>
<td>-28.6 ± 16.8e</td>
<td>133 ± 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1</td>
<td>-0.92 ± 2.05c</td>
<td>9.39 ± 2.14b</td>
<td>10.30 ± 1.68ab</td>
<td>33.3 ± 9.3ab</td>
<td>33.2 ± 8.5b</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.29bcd</td>
<td>26.2 ± 1.0c</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-6.3 ± 14.0a</td>
<td>328 ± 84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2</td>
<td>-0.49 ± 2.26bc</td>
<td>8.81 ± 2.78b</td>
<td>9.30 ± 1.86c</td>
<td>31.8 ± 8.7b</td>
<td>32.6 ± 8.2b</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.24d</td>
<td>26.2 ± 0.7c</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.13bc</td>
<td>-17.5 ± 12.5bc</td>
<td>315 ± 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3</td>
<td>-0.70 ± 2.19bc</td>
<td>9.42 ± 1.80b</td>
<td>10.12 ± 1.75ab</td>
<td>37.1 ± 11.1a</td>
<td>33.9 ± 8.1ab</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.26cd</td>
<td>26.2 ± 0.9c</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.07a</td>
<td>-5.3 ± 8.0a</td>
<td>361 ± 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4</td>
<td>-0.21 ± 1.84abc</td>
<td>9.81 ± 2.11ab</td>
<td>10.02 ± 2.12abc</td>
<td>34.6 ± 12.4ab</td>
<td>33.2 ± 8.0b</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.24cd</td>
<td>26.4 ± 1.2bc</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.11ab</td>
<td>-11.1 ± 11.3ab</td>
<td>296 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td><strong>NS</strong></td>
<td><strong>NS</strong></td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values marked with the different letter in each column are significantly different ($p < 0.05$) according to Tukey’s HSD test. As for seasonal means, t-test was applied (***: $p < 0.01$). **NS** indicates no significant different between seasons.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>NEE (g C m⁻²)</th>
<th>RE (kmol m⁻²)</th>
<th>GPP (cm)</th>
<th>PPFD (mm)</th>
<th>GWL (mm)</th>
<th>PT (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>-207</td>
<td>3450</td>
<td>3657</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>-15.7</td>
<td>2965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>-98</td>
<td>3633</td>
<td>3731</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>-22.8</td>
<td>2729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>-140</td>
<td>3708</td>
<td>3848</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>-17.1</td>
<td>2563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>3392</td>
<td>3492</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>-20.3</td>
<td>2120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>-136 ± 51</td>
<td>3546 ± 149</td>
<td>3682 ± 149</td>
<td>12.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-19.0 ± 3.2</td>
<td>2594 ± 356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. Discussion

Seasonal variation in PT was not so clear in this study site during the four years. Only one or two dry months defined as monthly PT less than 100 mm appeared per calendar year (Figure 7a). Thus, the relatively dry period and the wet period were differentiated using median (238 mm) of monthly PT from 15-year-long data recorded at a nearby meteorological station. As a result, daily NEE was significantly less negative in the dry period than in the wet period (Table 4). The seasonal difference of NEE between the dry and wet periods was 0.53 g C m⁻² day⁻¹ on average, which was almost equivalent to that of RE (0.57 g C m⁻² day⁻¹). Daily RE was significantly greater in the dry period mainly because of lower GWL or VWC (Fig. 2). Lower GWL enhances peat aeration and potentially increases oxidative peat decomposition, which results in higher soil CO₂ efflux (e.g. Hirano et al., 2012, 2014). In contrast, daily GPP showed no significant seasonal differences (Table 4) probably because of compensation effects between PPFD and VPD. In the wet period, although lower PPFD decreased GPP, lower daytime VPD eased the reduction in light-saturated NEE due to stomatal closure (Fig. 3). Also, maximum GPP (P_max) showed no significant seasonal difference (Table 4). Moreover, plant area index (PAI) showed no seasonal variation. These results suggest that the fluctuation in GWL between 0 and -60 cm did not influence the photosynthetic parameters of this ecosystem. A study in Amazonian rainforest by Goulden et al. (2004) found a reduction in photosynthesis which started right before the onset of the dry period, owing to the adaptation by the vegetation to avoid severe drought stress. Although it is unclear how the ecosystem’s photosynthesis might respond to the drier environment in the future, lack of such adaptation might cause disruption in the photosynthetic component of the CO₂ exchange, thus could alter the ecosystem’s CO₂ dynamic.

The secondary peat swamp forest had functioned as a net CO₂ sink of 136 ± 51 g C m⁻² year⁻¹ during the four years (Table 5). In comparison with flux studies using the eddy
covariance technique in tropical humid forest, the negative annual NEE was equivalent to those of tropical rain forests on mineral soil in Peninsula Malaysia (\(-124 \, \text{g C m}^{-2} \, \text{year}^{-1}\), Kosugi et al., 2008) and in French Guiana (\(-138 \, \text{g C m}^{-2} \, \text{year}^{-1}\), Bonal et al., 2008), whereas it was less negative than the mean of 29 tropical humid evergreen forests (\(-403 \pm 102 \, \text{g C m}^{-2} \, \text{year}^{-1}\) (originally in NEP), Luyssaert et al., 2007). In contrast, the annual NEE (\(-136 \, \text{g C m}^{-2} \, \text{year}^{-1}\)) was more negative than that of an almost undrained PSF in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia (Hirano et al., 2012); mean annual NEE was \(174 \pm 203 \, \text{g C m}^{-2} \, \text{year}^{-1}\) for four years including an El Niño event. The large NEE difference of \(310 \, \text{g C m}^{-2} \, \text{year}^{-1}\) on average between the two sites (Hirano et al. – this study) resulted from both GPP (\(-214 \, \text{g C m}^{-2} \, \text{year}^{-1}\)) and RE (\(96 \, \text{g C m}^{-2} \, \text{year}^{-1}\)) differences. The higher GPP in this study was attributable to higher PAI; \(7.9 \, \text{m}^2 \, \text{m}^{-2}\) (this study) vs. \(5.0 \, \text{m}^2 \, \text{m}^{-2}\) (Hirano et al., 2012). The lower RE in this study was probably due to higher minimum GWL despite similar mean annual GWL (\(-19 \, \text{cm}\) vs. \(-14 \, \text{cm}\)); GWL lowered to \(-1 \, \text{m}\) on a monthly basis in 2006, an El Niño year, even at the almost undrained PSF in Central Kalimantan. The annual NEE showed a negative linear relationship with annual mean GWL (\(r^2 = 0.92\)), though it was not significant (\(p = 0.08\)) because of a small sample size (\(n = 4\)). If the significance level of \(p < 0.10\) is allowed, the linearity suggests that every lowering of GWL by \(10\, \text{cm}\) increases NEE by \(133 \, \text{g C m}^{-2} \, \text{year}^{-1}\). Such linearity between annual NEE and annual mean GWL was also found in PSFs in Central Kalimantan with the slopes of 238 and 161 \(\text{g C m}^{-2} \, \text{year}^{-1}\) against 10-cm GWL lowering, respectively, in the almost undrained and drained PSFs (Hirano et al., 2012). In this site, the sensitivity of NEE to GWL was probably lower than those in Central Kalimantan (CK). The difference might be related to differences in peat depth (10 m (this study) vs. 3-4 m (CK)) and peat formation history (costal peat (this study) vs. inland peat (CK), Dommain et. al. (2011)).
To assess C balance, not only eddy CO$_2$ flux but also C leaching through water discharge should be considered. Moore et al. (2013) reported that 62.5 and 105.3 g C m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ were lost as organic carbon (dissolved organic carbon (DOC) + particulate organic carbon (POC)), respectively, from an intact peat swamp forest and a moderately disturbed peat swamp forest in Central Kalimantan. Moreover, about 10 g C m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ of annual methane emissions was assessed in a nearby undrained tropical peat swamp forest in Sarawak (Wong et al., 2018). Thus, if these C losses are considered, the secondary peat swamp forest could be a slight C sink or a C neutral.

3.3. Conclusions

This study showed the CO$_2$ balance of a secondary peat swamp forest developed in a humid climate with a relatively short dry period. RE was the main controller of the seasonal variation in NEE and was negatively related to GWL. This result showed that the response of RE to GWL in the forest was similar to previous studies. El Niño was predicted to increase in intensity in the western area of the equatorial Pacific Ocean (Power et al., 2013). The frequency of drought also predicted to increase in the eastern area of Indian Ocean, as Cai et al. (2014) forecasts that extremely strong positive Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD) modes will increase in the future. Such changes in climate may potentially shift the almost C neutral PSF to a C source in the future under the drier environment.
4.1. Results

4.1.1. Microclimates and GWL conditions

Chapter 4: CARBON DIOXIDE BALANCE OF AN OIL PALM PLANTATION

Annual PTs in the OPP was considerably large, exceeding 2800 mm from 2011 to 2014. Although La Niña occurred from 2010 to early 2012, large increase in annual PT only observed in 2012 (Table 6). Low monthly PT (< 100 mm month⁻¹) was only observed in July 2011, September 2013, February 2014, and June to July 2014 (Figure 11a). Despite the occurrence of 3 dry months in 2014, PT in January and December rose above 500 mm, causing no decrease in annual PT. Even though the seasonal variation of PT was not clear in this region, period between July to September were relatively dry. In 2011, lower PT from July to September caused GWL to drop and reach the minimum of -105 cm at the end of September (Figure 11b). Daily mean GWL fluctuated between -125 to -17 cm throughout the study period. From 2012 to 2013 daily GWL were maintained above -84 cm. However, VWC in 2013 showed a decreasing pattern toward June before it gradually increased toward the end of the year (Figure 11c). Significant drop in GWL in February 2014 also caused significant decrease in VWC. Unfortunately, VWC data were lost after the March 2014. In addition, during the two dry periods in 2014 GWL also dropped below -100 cm.

Daily PPFD varied between 6 to 51 mol m⁻² day⁻¹ (Figure 11d) with annual sums between 12.3 to 12.7 kmol m⁻² year⁻¹ (Table 6). Seasonal variation of PPFD was weak, however, relatively low PPFD were observed between December and January every year. The large PT during those periods most likely increased the frequency of cloud cover during rain event which blocked incoming solar radiation. Daily mean $T_a$ was also generally lower during the high PT period but increased to reach its peak at the beginning of June before it gradually decreased following the onset of the wet period (Figure 11e). Seasonal variation of
midday VPD was generally similar to $T_a$ (Figure 11f). However, in 2011, midday VPD dropped during the peak of $T_a$ in early June.
Figure 11. Environmental condition in OPP: monthly precipitation (PT) (a), daily mean groundwater level (GWL) (b), daily mean of volumetric water content (VWC) (c), daily photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) (d), daily mean air temperature (\(T_a\)) (e) and daytime mean vapor pressure deficit (VPD) (f) from January 2011 to December 2014. Black lines are 14-day-long moving averages. Bold dashed vertical lines are the border of calendar years.
4.1.2. Controlling factors of CO$_2$ fluxes

PPFD was the main controlling factor of NEE during the daytime. During the daytime, NEE showed a typical curvilinear relationship with PPFD because of photosynthesis by plants (Figure 12). In Figure 12 half-hourly daytime NEE was fitted with (Equation 6) to obtain the photosynthetic parameters ($P_{\text{max}}$, $\alpha$, and $RE_d$) of the ecosystem. The light response curve also fitted on daily basis to assess the seasonal variation of the photosynthetic parameters. The relationship showed that NEE sharply decreased (more negative) in the morning as PPFD started to increase. The initial slope (PPFD < 500 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) of the relationship which represent the apparent quantum yield ($\alpha$) was estimated at 0.04±0.03 mol CO$_2$ mol photon$^{-1}$. The slope of the light response curve gradually decreased as PPFD approaching the value of 1200 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Therefore, this will be used as the saturation point of the relationship.

![Figure 12](image)

**Figure 12.** Daytime NEE response to PPFD. A non-rectangular hyperbola function was fitted to half-hourly data (red line).
Then, the response of daytime NEE to air dryness (VPD) can be analysed using the relationship between light saturated NEE (PPFD > 1200 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and VPD (Figure 13). This analysis is important to analyse the response of stomata opening to air dryness. Light saturated NEE exhibited a significant positive linear relationship with VPD ($P < 0.001$). The relationship suggested NEE increased by 0.55 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ with 0.1 kPa increase in VPD.

![Figure 13. Relationship between light saturated NEE (PPFD > 1200 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and VPD. Significant line ($P < 0.001$) are drawn in red.](image)

The response of RE to environmental factors was assessed using half-hourly nighttime NEE. In OPP, RE only showed significant relationship with GWL and VWC ($P < 0.05$, Figure 14), where RE increased as GWL ($R^2=0.22$, $P <0.05$) or VWC ($R^2=0.21$, $P <0.05$) increased. RE increased by 0.32 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for every 10 cm increase in GWL (Figure 14a). The intercept of the relationship was 11.5 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Furthermore, every 0.1 m$^3$ m$^{-3}$ increased in VWC, RE increased by 0.62 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Figure 14b). However, the strength of both relationships were indistinguishable. Even though the relationships were significant, the $R^2$ is low (0.22 and 0.21 respectively for GWL and VWC).
Figure 14. Relationship between nighttime NEE and GWL (a) or VWC (b). Red lines are drawn for significant correlation ($P < 0.05$).

4.1.3. Seasonality of CO$_2$ fluxes

Figure 15 shows the seasonal variation of daily NEE, RE, and GPP. Daily NEE was averaged at $2.7 \pm 2.8$ g C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ from 2011 to 2014. Daily RE and GPP were $9.7 \pm 2.6$ and $7.0 \pm 1.5$ g C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$, respectively, throughout the study period. No clear seasonality was found in daily NEE, RE, and GPP. However, variations in daily RE explained 70% of the variation in daily NEE ($r^2 = 0.70$). Simple linear regression analysis suggested daily NEE increased by $0.9$ g C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ with $1.0$ g C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ increase in daily RE ($P < 0.001$). On the other hand, variation in daily GPP only explained 15% of the variation in daily NEE ($r^2 = 0.15$).
Figure 15. Seasonal variation of daily NEE, RE, and GPP from 2011 to 2014.

Figure 16 showed the seasonal variation of daily $P_{\text{max}}$, $\alpha$, and $RE_d$. $P_{\text{max}}$ fluctuated between 8.17 and 57.69 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ from 2011 to 2014. $\alpha$ was ranged between 0.00 to 0.11 mol CO$_2$ mol photon$^{-1}$. On the other hand, $RE_d$ took the value between 0.25 to 20.00 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. All the photosynthetic parameters did not show any significant seasonal variation.
Figure 16. Seasonal variation of $P_{\text{max}}$ (a), $\alpha$ (b), and $RE_d$ (c) obtained from light-response curve fitting. A non-rectangular hyperbola function was fitted to half hourly daytime NEEs.

4.1.4. Aboveground Biomass

Using allometric equation developed by Khasanah et al. (2015), AGB of the OPP was estimated from the tree height measurements. AGB was estimated at 1071 gC m$^{-2}$ in March 2011 and increased to 2845 gC m$^{-2}$ in January 2015. This account for AGB increment of 1463 gC m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$.

4.1.5. Annual CO$_2$ budget

OPP was a net CO$_2$ source within the study period with annual NEE ranging between 849 to 1223 gC m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$, in 2014 and 2011 respectively (Table 6). The positive NEE of 995±181 gC m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ was the result from large annual RE of 3546±141 gC m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ compared to lower annual GPP of 2552±135 gC m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$. Annual RE increased by 150 gC m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ when annual mean GWL increased by 10 cm, though the relationship was not
significant \((P=0.186, R^2=0.66)\), probably due to limited number of data. Annual GPP showed significant positive relationship with annual sum of PT \((P=0.003, R^2=0.99)\), whereas no significant relationship was found with annual PPFD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>NEE</th>
<th>RE</th>
<th>GPP</th>
<th>PPFD</th>
<th>PT</th>
<th>GWL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gC m(^2) year(^{-1})</td>
<td>kmol m(^2) year(^{-1})</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1223</td>
<td>3822</td>
<td>2476</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>2850</td>
<td>-61.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>851</td>
<td>3582</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>3034</td>
<td>-57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>3803</td>
<td>2461</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>2854</td>
<td>-62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>3445</td>
<td>2517</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2873</td>
<td>-74.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>995±181</td>
<td>3663±182</td>
<td>2552±135</td>
<td>12.5±0.2</td>
<td>2903±88</td>
<td>-64.1±7.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6.* Annual \(\text{CO}_2\) balance and annual mean of environmental variables from 2011 to 2014 on a calendar basis in OPP.
4.2. Discussion

The climate in the study site was considered as wet all year round, because the monthly PT seldom lowered less than 100 mm (Figure 11). In addition, the GWL in the study site was controlled resulting in no significant variation in hydrological condition. Daily GWL was generally maintained above -80 cm from 2011 to 2013 but dropped below -120 cm during the driest months in 2014. However, no significant increase in RE was observed during the abnormally dry months. The relationship between the nighttime NEE and GWL and VWC also suggested RE decreased with the decreasing GWL or VWC. On the contrary, Ishikura et al. (2018) using an automated chamber system found that RS was negatively correlated with GWL and water-filled pore space (WFPS). The discrepancy might suggest that the variation in RE are not dominated by RS but rather come from other emission sources.

The OPP was a net CO$_2$ source from 2011 to 2014 ($995\pm181$ gC m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$, Table 6). This was about 3.5 times larger than the mean of 29 tropical humid evergreen forests ($-403\pm102$ gC m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$, Luyssaert et al., 2007). The large source is mainly caused by the low annual GPPs compared to PSF (31% lower), and comparable annual RE (Table 5 vs Table 6). The estimated annual GPP of $2552\pm135$ gC m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ was slightly lower than those reported by Tan, Devi, Bin, & Philip, (2011) ($2924\pm30$ gC m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$), but 28% lower than that of tropical humid evergreen forest reported by Luyssaert et al. (2007). Because RE was similar to that of PSF, increased emission from other sources are the more likely explanation. In peatlands, enhanced emission is common following GWL lowering. Ishikura et al. showed the annual soil respiration (RS) from primary peat swam forest (PPSF), PSF, and OPP using the manual and automated chamber method. Melling (2013) measured RS using manual chamber at PSF and OPP used in this study and in a PPSF from January to December 2011. Automated soil chamber data used here was obtained from the same site from 2015 to 2016 (Ishikura et al., 2018; Ishikura et al., unpublished).
Unfortunately, automated chamber data from PSF were excluded due to poor quality. Both manual chamber and automated chamber methods showed lower RS in OPP compared to PPSF. The order of RS from the highest to lowest are PPSF > PSF > OPP (Melling, 2013). Annual RS from the automated chamber system seems to agree with the manual chamber method. The lower RS in OPP despite the lower GWL compared to PPSF and PSF might be due to the low soil gas diffusiveness. As reported by Adachi et. al. (2006) high bulk density increased WFPS which lead to low gas diffusiveness. With the expected low plant respiration and low RS, emission from other source(s) could be dominant in OPP.

In OPP, large amount of tree stumps and debris left over from land clearing remains on the soil. In addition, leaf litter of oil palm trees also accumulated and stacked in a “stacking row” during harvesting. All these sources have never been quantified so far. The positive relationship between RE and GWL and VWC might be associated with drying and wetting of plants remnants (including litterfall) on the soil rather than direct effect of GWL. Similar suppression of RE during the dry season was reported by Goulden et al. (2004) in an old-growth Amazonian forest. In addition, Wieder et. al. (2009) showed that mass loss rates of litter increased with increasing PT.

4.3. Conclusion

This study presented the CO₂ balance of an oil palm plantation developed on peat with controlled GWL. The OPP act as a net CO₂ source during the 4-year period from 2011 to 2014. Variation in NEE was mainly associated with the variation in RE. The response of the CO₂ balance in OPP to GWL was unclear due to low fluctuation in GWL caused by plantation water management. Narrow fluctuation of GWL induced by plantation water management could be the reason.
Chapter 5: EFFECT OF LAND CONVERSION ON ECOSYSTEM-SCALE CARBON DIOXIDE BALANCE

5.1. Impact on microclimate

One of the most noteworthy changes after forest conversion to OPP is the changes in vegetation cover. The dense canopy forest is replaced by much sparser crop. In forest, dense canopy provides shading to the vegetation under the canopy, as well as the top soils. Such structure largely influenced into the microclimate within the canopy. Denser canopy allows less solar radiation to penetrate the canopy. Other than that, the movement of air within the canopy also can be dependent on the canopy structure. Thus, changes in vegetation cover can cause significant changes in local climates. By altering the local climate, land use change can influence both biodiversity and ecological processes. Luskin and Potts (2011) reported a 2.8 °C temperature increase in an oil palm plantation from forest in Southeast Asia region, which was also accompanied by substantial reduction in humidity.

Figure 17 shows the mean diurnal variation of $T_a$ measured above and below the canopy at each site. At the forest site minimum above canopy $T_a$ of 23.9 °C was observed at 07:00 and reached maximum of 30.5 °C at 15:00. On the other hand, minimum above canopy $T_a$ was observed half hour earlier at 06:30, taking the value of 23.0 °C. Maximum $T_a$ of 30.3 °C at OPP was similar to that of PSF, but occurred half hour prior at 14:30. Under canopy, minimum $T_a$ at PSF and OPP were both observed at 06:30, with respective values of 23.6 °C and 23.0 °C. Maximum below canopy $T_a$ at the OPP was 1.0 °C higher than the peak value of 30.3 °C at the PSF.
Figure 17. Mean diurnal variation of air temperature measured a) above canopy and b) below canopy from May to December 2014. Air temperature above canopy were measured at 41 m and 21 m respectively in PSF and OPP. The below canopy temperature sensors were installed at 3 m height at both sites.

The higher below canopy $T_a$ at OPP was attributable to the low PAI at the study site due to sparser vegetation cover. Vegetation cover influenced the local climate through three main mechanisms (Hardwick et al., 2015). The first is through absorption, scattering and reflection of solar radiation. This reduced significant amount of energy entering the below canopy air including the soil. The amount of blocked solar radiation relies heavily on the ecosystem PAI. The second mechanism is associated with the turbulent mixing within the canopy, where dense canopies allow less turbulent mixing than sparse canopies. Turbulent mixing can force hot air from the heated top layer of the canopy downward during the day. The last mechanism is associated with how the $T_a$ affect the relative humidity in the ecosystem. Higher $T_a$ tend to reduce relative humidity.

Soil heating from direct penetration of solar radiation and heat transfer from near ground $T_a$ are likely to increase near surface soil temperature on peat. Hirano et al. (2009) showed that the dependency of CO$_2$ emission to temperature in the tropic are similar to other
forest ecosystems in higher latitude. Therefore, any temperature increase in tropical peatlands ecosystem could make the ecosystem become more vulnerable to disturbance.

5.2. Changes in carbon dioxide balance

In this study, the OPP was a net CO$_2$ source (995±181 gC m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$) and the PSF was a moderate CO$_2$ sink (-136±51 gC m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$). The large source was mainly due to the lower GPP in OPP compared to PSF (2552±135 vs. 3682 ± 149 gC m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$). Given the low GPP, RE in OPP also regarded as considerably large, as it matched those in PSF with much larger GPP (3663 ± 182 vs. 3546 ± 149 g C m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ respectively for OPP and PSF). These values were 16 to 20% larger than the mean of tropical humid evergreen forests reported by Luyssaert et al. (2007) (3061 ± 162 g C m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$). In similar ecosystem but drier environment in Central Kalimantan, Hirano et al. (2012) reported similar large annual RE (3642 ± 115 and 3519 ± 132 g C m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$). Annual GPP of 3682 ± 149 g C m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ in PSF was slightly larger than that of tropical humid evergreen forests (3551±160 g C m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$) and undrained PSF in Kalimantan (3468 ± 118 g C m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$). Dense canopy as indicated by high LAI of 8.20 m$^2$m$^{-2}$ might be a good explanation for the large GPP. In addition, PSF used in this study are a regenerated forest with rapidly growing trees. RE in most PSF are generally larger than other types of tropical forest. Therefore, its net sink of CO$_2$ is highly dependent on the magnitude of GPP, induced by the vegetation cover.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>$P_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$RE_d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>mol CO$_2$ mol photon$^{-1}$</td>
<td>µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPP</td>
<td>27.9±15.9 b</td>
<td>0.05±0.03 a</td>
<td>11.4±4.8 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPP</td>
<td>41.7±21.0 a</td>
<td>0.04±0.02 a</td>
<td>9.9±5.1 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Unpaired t-test results for light response curve photosynthetic parameters. Mean±SD marked with different letter are significantly different.
Direct field measurement from study showed that large reduction in GPP occurred following PSF conversion to OPP. Even though annual GPP was lower in OPP, the AGB increment was 57.4% larger than that of PSF (459 vs. 292 g C m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\)), indicating rapid growth of the oil palm trees as compared to forests. On the other hand, only slight increase in RE was observed after conversion. Enhanced peat oxidation is normally expected following peatlands conversion due to GWL lowering (Furukawa et al., 2005; Couwenberg, 2011). However, this study illustrates no significant increase in RE after conversion. In fact, RS from manual and automated chamber showed lower RS in OPP compared to forest (Figure 18). The low RS despite lower GWL could be associated with the low gas diffusiveness due to high bulk density. High bulk density resulted in compaction and the consolidation of the soil. Moreover, the positive correlation between RE and GWL in this study also could be a good indicator of unalignment between RS and RE.

![Figure 18](image1.png)

**Figure 18.** Annual soil respiration from primary peat swamp forest (PPSF), PSF, and OPP obtained from manual and automated chamber method.

![Figure 19](image2.png)

**Figure 19** illustrate the CO\(_2\) pathways between PSF and OPP. CO\(_2\) emitted through litter and debris was estimated to contribute
about 41% of total RE in OPP. Therefore, this could be the main emission source after PSF converted into OPP. However, there is still no published study conducted in OPP on peat that considering such respiration components. Most current studies in OPP on peat focussed on the changes in RS following GWL lowering.

![Diagram of CO2 pathway before and after conversion](image)

**Figure 19.** CO2 pathway before and after conversion. Values are presented in g C m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\).

Although it this study did not determine C loss from other process such as dissolve organic C (DOC), particulate organic C (POC) and dissolved inorganic C (DIC), the soil C content in both site showed similar decreasing trend (**Figure 20**). In PSF soil C content at 0-25 cm decreased to 51.2% in 2014 from 52.9% in 2011. Soil C content in OPP was 56.0% in 2011 and 54.3% in 2014. In 4 years period both ecosystem loss 1.7% of its C content. Relatively higher C content in OPP was mainly due to the distinct forest type, where the OPP was a former mixed peat swamp forest while PSF site was located in near the center of the peat dome.
Chapter 6: GENERAL CONCLUSION

NEE was measured during a 4 years period from 2011 to 2014 in an OPP and a PSF. Each ecosystem was used to represent the condition before and after land conversion. The PSF was a modest CO$_2$ sink, whereas OPP was a large CO$_2$ source. Seasonal variation of RE greatly influenced the variation of NEE in the PSF. RE was sensitive to GWL similar to the previous studies. Similarly, in OPP, variation in NEE was mainly governed by variation in RE. However, inverse relationship was found between RE and GWL.

The large source following conversion was partly due to the low GPP in OPP. However, the respiration components were a little complicated. Soil chamber measurement indicates reduced RS despite the lower GWL after conversion. This suggests that CO$_2$ emitted from leaf litter and plant debris might be the dominant source after conversion. Removing huge tree stumps and debris before planting can be a good strategy to reduce emissions. This could be a valuable insight for reducing CO$_2$ emission in OPP on peat.
However, further studies that include all respiration components in OPP are necessary to support such a hypothesis.
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