

Title	Characterization of function and genetic feature of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase in avian species
Author(s)	Kawai, Yusuke K.; Shinya, So; Ikenaka, Yoshinori; Saengtienchai, Aksorn; Kondo, Takamitsu; Darvvish, Wageh Sobhy; Nakayama, Shota M. M.; Mizukawa, Hazuki; Ishizuka, Mayumi
Citation	Comparative biochemistry and physiology Part C: Toxicology & pharmacology, 217, 5-14 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2018.11.001
Issue Date	2019-03
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/76849
Rights(URL)	https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Туре	article (author version)
File Information	Comparative biochemistry and physiology Part C_217_5_14.pdf



1	Characterization of function and genetic feture of UDP-glucuronisyltransferase in avian
2	species
3	
4	Yusuke K. Kawai <sup>a*</sup> , So Shinya <sup>b*</sup> , Yoshinori Ikenaka <sup>b, c</sup> , , Aksorn Saengtienchai <sup>d</sup> , Takamitsu
5	Kondo <sup>b</sup> , Wageh Sobhy Darwish <sup>e</sup> , Shota M.M. Nakayama <sup>b</sup> , Hazuki Mizukawa <sup>b</sup> , Mayumi
6	Ishizuka <sup>b</sup>
7	
8	<sup>a</sup> Obihiro University of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Inada-cho, Obihiro, Hokkaido,
9	080-8555, Japan;
10	
11	<sup>b</sup> Laboratory of Toxicology, School of Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University, N18, W9,
12	Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-0818, Japan; Laboratory of Toxicology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
13	Hokkaido University, N18, W9, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-0818, Japan
14	
15	<sup>C</sup> Water Research Group, Unit for Environmental Sciences and Management, North-West University, Private
16	Bag X6001, Potchefstroom 2520, South Africa
17	
18	<sup>d</sup> Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kasetsart University, 50 Ngam
19	Wong Wan Rd, Lat Yao, Chatuchak, Bangkok 10900, Thailand
20	
21	eFood Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig
22	44519, Egypt.
23	
24	1) Corresponding author and address
25	Mayumi Ishizuka
26	Laboratory of Toxicology, Department of Environmental Veterinary Science, Faculty of
27	Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University, N18 W9, Kita-ku, Sapporo, 060-0818, Japan
28	Tel: +81-11-706-6949
29	
30	*: Both authores contributed equally

### 31 ABSTRACT

Birds are exposed to many xenobiotics during their lifetime. For accurate prediction of 32 33 xenobiotic-induced toxic effects on avian species, it is necessary to understand metabolic 34 capacities in a comprehensive range of bird species. However, there is a lack of information 35 about avian xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes (XMEs), particularly in wild birds. Uridine 36 diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) is an XME that plays an important role in phase II 37 metabolism in the livers of mammals and birds. This study was performed to determine the 38 characteristics of UGT1E isoform in avian species, those are related to mammals UGT 1A. To 39 understand the characteristics of avian UGT1E isoforms, *in vitro* metabolic activity and genetic 40characteristics were investigated. Furthermore, mRNA expression levels of all chicken UGT1E isoforms were measured. On *in vitro* enzymatic analysis, the white-tailed eagle, great horned 41 42 owl, and Humboldt penguin showed lower UGT-dependent activity than domestic birds. In synteny analysis, carnivorous birds were shown to have fewer UGT1E isoforms than 43 44 herbivorous and omnivorous birds, which may explain why they have lower in vitro UGT 45 activity. These observations suggested that raptors and seabirds, in which UGT activity is low, may be at high risk if exposed to elevated levels of xenobiotics in the environment. 46 47 Phylogenetic analysis suggested that avian UGT1Es have evolved independently from 48 mammalian UGT1As. We identified the important UGT isoforms, such as UGT1E13, and 49 suspected their substrate specificities in avian xenobiotic metabolism by phylogenetic and 50 quantitative real-time PCR analysis. This is the first report regarding the genetic characteristics 51 and interspecies differences of UGT1Es in avian species.

52

### 53 Key Words:

54 UGTs; xenobiotic; bird; wildlife; toxicology

#### 55 **1. Introduction**

56

57 Birds are exposed to a variety of xenobiotics in the wild, such as drugs, pesticides, and heavy 58 metals (Donald et al., 1983; Alessandra et al., 2005; Fabricio et al., 2007), and many toxic 59 effects of these agents have been reported. For example, in the Great Lakes of the USA, various carnivorous birds (meet-eating and fish-eating birds) showed increased rates of reproductive 60 61 injury and adult mortality associated with exposure to dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) 62 in the 1990s (Bowerman et al., 1995). On the Indian Peninsula, diclofenac caused a sharp 63 decline in vulture numbers (Oaks et al., 2004). In addition, rodenticides, such as warfarin and 64 brodifacoum, are responsible for secondary poisoning of birds around the world (Erickson et al., 2004). Thus, it is obvious that such chemicals influence avian reproduction and life. 65 However, there have been no accurate predictions of toxicological effects on non-target species, 66 67 and only a few studies have focused on the chemical sensitivity of various organisms. 68 Therefore, it is necessary to determine chemosensitivity in avian species to achieve accurate 69 toxicological evaluation.

Chemical behavior is represented as ADME (Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism,
Excretion), all of which determine chemical sensitivity. Among ADME, metabolism is the most
important factor for prediction of chemical sensitivity and it involves catalysis by many
xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes (XMEs).

74 Chemicals are metabolized mainly in the liver by phase I and phase II enzyems. Phase I 75 enzymes include primarily the cytochrome P450 (CYP) superfamily, whereas phase II 76 conjugating enzymes include many enzyme super families such as uridine diphosphate-77 glucuronosyltransferase (UGT), sulfotransferase, and glutathione S-transferase (GST) (Xu et 78 al. 2005). Some xenobiotics are metabolically activated by CYP and the resulting intermediates 79 cause health dysfunction. The conjugation reaction is essential for detoxification (Kakehi et al. 80 2015). Especially, UGT play a major role in the elimination of nucleophilic metabolites of 81 carcinogens, such as phenols and quinoles of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. In humans, 82 of the 200 most frequently prescribed drugs are conjugated by UDP-55% 83 glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) and eliminated in the urine or bile, which shows UGT is involved in the metabolism more substances than other phase II conjugation enzymes 84 85 (Guillemette et al., 2014). Furthermore, UGT conjugates many endogenous substrates, 86 including bilirubin, steroid hormones and thyroid hormone. (Matern et al., 1994). UGT 87 catalyzes conjugation of the glycosyl group of glucuronic acid to lipophilic endogenous and 88 exogenous substrates (Robert et al., 2000). The UGTs form a superfamily, which is further 89 subdivided into four major families: UGT1, UGT2, UGT3, and UGT8 in mammals (Burchell 90 1991). Among these subfamilies, UGT1A and UGT2B subfamilies mainly contribute to liver 91 drug metabolism in mammals. Based on sequence similarity and substrate specificity, the 92 mammalian UGT1A genes can be divided into two groups, i.e., the bilirubin group (UGT1A1

93 through 1A5) and the phenol group (UGT1A6 through 1A10) (Ikushiro et al., 1995; Owens et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2004). In almost all mammals, the UGT1 locus consists of variable first 94 95 exons and four shared exons in a tandem array. Each variable exon encodes different 96 polypeptides that form the substrate-binding domain. The four-shared exons encode the 97 common C-terminal domain that binds to uridine diphosphoglucuronic acid (UDPGA). Each 98 first exon possibly determines substrate specificity, whereas the common exons most likely 99 determine the interaction with the common substrate, UDPGA. UGT1 genes have broad and 100 overlapping substrate specificity due to the highly variable structure of their protein products 101 (Robert et al., 2000). There are large interspecies differences in UGT1A metabolism due to this 102 complex molecular diversity. For example, cats show high sensitivity to acetaminophen and acetylsalicylic acid (Savides et al., 1984) because UGT1A6, which contributes to 103 104 glucuronidation of phenolic substrates in other mammals, is a pseudogene in this species (Court 105 et al., 2000). Also in birds, interspecies differences of UGT activities might lead to sensitivity 106 to some substances.

107 The avian UGT1 subfamily was designated as UGT1E by the UGT nomenclature committee 108 depends on the similarity of sequence (http://prime.vetmed.wsu.edu/resources/udp-109 glucuronsyltransferase-homepage). Recently the evolutionary relationship of UGT1E genes was 110 reported and it also suggested UGT1Es would be related to metabolizing exogenous 111 compounds (Kawai et al., 2018 accepted). Moreover, some studies have already demonstrated 112 the *in vitro* UGT activity in limited avian species. Zoltan et al. (1983) reported that avian UGT 113 is involved in glucuronidation of exogenous compounds as in mammalian species. Saengtienchai et al. 2018 also compared hepatic microsomes UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 114 115 activity toward 1-hydroxypyrene in rats, chickens and quails, and found that the avian 116 Vmax/Km was lower than that of rats in vitro. We considered that this discrepancy may be 117 explained by the potentially divergent biotransformation in birds and rats due to differences in 118 the pattern of xenobiotic elimination. However, there have been few studies regarding 119 glucuronidation activity in wild birds. The present study was performed to determine the 120 characteristics of UGT1E in avian species, including wild birds. First, in vitro UGT activity in 121 seven avian species, including wild birds, and in rats liver microsomes were measured and 122 compared. The genetic characteristics of avian UGT1E isoforms were also investigated. 123 Synteny and phylogenetic analyses were performed to compare each UGT1E isoform in several 124 avian species that were used in activity study and in their related species. Quantitative realtime polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was also performed to investigate the mRNA 125 126 expression levels of nine chicken UGT1E isoforms.

127 This is the first comprehensive report regarding interspecies differences in UGT 128 glucuronidation activities and their genetic characteristics of avian UGT1E isoforms.

- 129 **2. Materials and methods**
- 130

131 All animal experiments were performed under the supervision and with the approval of the

132 Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Hokkaido University, Japan (approval number

133 14-0119), which conform to the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory

134 Animal Care International (AAALAC).

135 *Chemicals.* 1-Hydroxypyrene, pyrene glucuronide, acetaminophen glucuronide,  $\beta$ -estradiol, 136 sodium cholate hydrate, UDPGA, and RNA later ® were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. 137 Louis, MO). Acetaminophen, acetic acid, sodium phosphate, and ammonium acetate solution 138 were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd. (Osaka, Japan).  $\beta$ -Estradiol-3- $\beta$ -*D*-139 glucuronide sodium salt was obtained from Santa-Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (Santa-Cruz, CA).

140 All chemicals used for high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and mass

spectrometry (MS) were HPLC or MS grade and were obtained from Kanto Chemical Co. Inc.

- 142 (Tokyo, Japan).
- 143
- 144 2.1. Animals
- 145

146 Various avian species were selected and used for experiments in feeding habits and 147 phylogenetic tree. Liver samples were collected from chickens (Gallus gallus domesticus), turkeys (Meleagris gallopavo), ostriches (Struthio camelus), canaries (Serinus canaria), white-148 149 tailed eagles (Haliaeetus albicilla), a great horned owl (Bubo virginianus), a Humboldt penguin 150 (Spheniscus humboldti), and rats (Rattus norvegicus; Sprague-Dawley strain) as shown in 151 Supplementary Table S1. All animals were male. Chickens (13 months old) were provided by 152 the farm at Hokkaido University (Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan). Turkeys (20 months old) were 153 purchased from Sankyo Labo Service Corporation, Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). Canaries (6 months 154 old) were purchased from a local pet shop (Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan). Turkeys and canaries 155 were acclimatized to the environment maintained at a constant temperature  $(22^{\circ}C \pm 1^{\circ}C)$ , 156 constant humidity (55%  $\pm$  5%), and with a 12:12 hour light:dark cycle, with food and water *ad* 157 *libitum* for 1 week before commencement of the experiment. Chickens, turkeys, and canaries 158 were anesthetized using isoflurane (DS Pharma Animal Health, Osaka, Japan) and euthanized by CO<sub>2</sub>. The liver of the great horned owl (2 years old; cause of death, unknown) and Humboldt 159 160 penguin (1 year old; died of respiratory disease) were provided by Sapporo Maruyama Zoo 161 (Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan). The livers of white-tailed eagles (<3 years old; died in traffic 162 accidents) were provided by the Institute for Raptor Biomedicine Japan (Kushiro, Hokkaido, 163 Japan). The livers of ostriches (<2 years old) were provided by Misato Ostrich Farm (Misato, 164 Hokkaido, Japan). Sprague–Dawley rats were purchased from Sankyo Labo Service 165 Corporation, Inc. Rats at 8 weeks old were used for comparison. The rats (7 weeks old) were 166 housed under conditions of constant temperature  $(23^{\circ}C \pm 1^{\circ}C)$ , constant humidity  $(55\% \pm 5\%)$ ,

and automatically controlled lighting (07:00-19:00) with food and water *ad libitum* and handled for 1 week. After euthanasia of rats by CO<sub>2</sub>, the livers were collected. All liver samples from the eight species were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until use.

171

### 172 2.2. Feeding habits of avian species

173

174 The feeding habits information of nine of the avian species used in UGT activity tests and 175 genetic analyses were determined with reference to the report of Almeida et al. (2016). The 176 feeding habits of the remaining three avian species (canary, great horned owl, and Japanese quail) were determined according to the reports of Goldsmith (1982), Paul et al. (1940), and 177 178 Andrew et al. (1997), respectively. Based on these reports, we regarded the ostrich and canary 179 as herbivorous species, the turkey, chicken, Japanese quail, and mallard as omnivorous birds, 180 and the white-tailed eagle, bald eagle, peregrine falcon, great horned owl, Humboldt penguin, 181 Adelie penguin, and Northern fulmar as carnivores.

182

### 183 2.3. Preparation of microsomes

184

185 Liver microsomes were prepared according to the method of Omura and Sato (1964). Samples of about 5 g of liver tissue from each of the seven avian species and Sprague–Dawley rats were 186 187 homogenized in 15 ml of potassium phosphate buffer (KPB: 0.1 M, pH 7.4). Homogenates were transferred into tubes and centrifuged at  $9000 \times g$  at 4°C for 20 minutes. The supernatants 188 189 were further centrifuged at  $105000 \times g$  for 70 minutes to obtain the microsomal fractions. 190 Microsomal pellets were resuspended in 5 ml of buffer. Microsomes were stored at -80°C until 191 analysis. The protein concentration of hepatic microsomes was measured using a BCA protein 192 assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) according to the manufacturer's 193 instructions. Microsomal concentrations of protein and CYP450 are shown in Supplementary 194 Table S1.

195

### 196 2.4. Spectrum of cytochrome P450 (P450) for evaluation of microsomal quality

197

The quality of P450, which is one of the XMEs and plays a role in phase I metabolism, was investigated as an indicator of enzymatic condition. The reduced CO spectrum between 400– 500 nm was examined by spectrophotometry (UV-2600; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) according to the method of Omura and Sato (1964). The unique peak at 450 nm for P450 was checked to evaluate the quality of microsomal samples.

203 Defined peaks at 450 nm could be observed in all microsomal samples. Therefore, we regarded 204 the quality of UGT in microsomes was conserved.

- 206207 2.5. In vitro UGT activity tests
- 208

## 209 2.5.1. β-Estradiol glucuronidation assay

UGT activity of  $\beta$ -estradiol was assessed using the method described by Kakehi et al. (2015) 210 with slight modifications. First, hepatic microsomal solution was mixed with KPB (0.1 M, pH 211 212 7.4) and prepared to 4 mg/ml. Aliquots of microsomal preparations (12.5  $\mu$ l) were then mixed 213 with 35 µl of KPB and 2.5 µl of 1% sodium cholate solution, and incubated on ice for 30 214 minutes. Then, 50 µl of microsomal solution was mixed with 41.5 µl of KPB (0.1 M, pH 7.4), 5  $\mu$ l of 100 mM MgCl, and  $\beta$ -estradiol, which was dissolved in methanol, resulting in a final 215 216 concentration of 1% methanol in a total volume of 97.5  $\mu$ l. The final  $\beta$ -estradiol concentration 217 was varied between 12.5 µM and 500 µM. Samples were then preincubated in a water bath for 218 5 minutes. The reaction was initiated by adding 2.5 µl of 100 mM UDPGA. After incubation 219 for 15 minutes, the reaction was stopped by adding 200 µl of ice-cold methanol. The temperatures for preincubation and reaction were 41.5°C for turkey, 42°C for chicken, 38.5°C 220 221 for canary and ostrich, 40.5°C for white-tailed eagle, 39.5°C for great horned owl, 39.0°C for 222 Humboldt penguin, and 37.0°C for rat (McNab and Brian 1966; Siegfried et al., 1975; Chaplin 223 et al., 1984; Herrero and Barja 1998; Richards 1971). Reaction mixtures were then placed on 224 ice for 15 minutes before centrifugation at  $15000 \times g$  for 10 minutes. The resultant supernatants 225 were injected into a liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS) system. The HPLC 226 system (pump: LC-20AD; auto sampler: SIL-20A; column oven: CTO-20A; controller: CEM-227 20A; Shimadzu) coupled with electrospray ionization triple quadrupole mass spectrometry 228 (ESI-MS/MS) (LCMS-8040; Shimadzu) was equipped with an Inertsil ODS-3 column (2.1 mm 229  $\times 150$  mm, particle size 5 µm; GL Sciences, Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The collision energies (CE) 230 and other MS parameters were optimized and are shown in Supplementary Table S2. Mobile 231 phase A consisted of 10 mM ammonium acetate buffer (pH 5.0) and phase B consisted of phase 232 A:acetonitrile (1:9 v/v). The solvent gradient was as follows: 5% mobile phase B from 1.5 to 7 233 minutes followed by a linear gradient to 95%, 95% mobile phase B from 7 to 8.5 minutes, and 234 then 5% mobile phase B from 8.5 to 10 minutes. An injection volume of 5 µl, flow rate of 0.3 235 ml/min, and column temperature of 45°C were used.

236

### 237 2.5.2. 1-Hydroxypyrene glucuronidation assay

The UGT conjugating activity of 1-hydroxypyrene was assessed using the method described

by Ueda et al. (2011) with slight modifications. First, hepatic microsomal solution was mixed

- with KPB (0.1 M, pH 7.4) and prepared to 4 mg/ml. Microsomal preparation (12.5  $\mu$ l) was then
- mixed with 35  $\mu$ l of KPB and 2.5  $\mu$ l of 1% sodium cholate solution and incubated on ice for
- 242 30 minutes. Then, 50 µl of microsomal solution was mixed with 41.5 µl of KPB (0.1 M, pH

243 7.4), 5 µl of 100 mM MgCl, and 1-hydroxypyrene, which was dissolved in methanol, resulting in a final concentration of 1.0% methanol, in a total volume of 100 µl. The final 1-244 hydroxypyrene concentration was varied between 10 µM and 200 µM. Samples were then 245 246 preincubated in a water bath for 5 minutes. The reaction was initiated by adding 5 µl of 100 247 mM UDPGA. After incubation for 10 minutes, the reaction was stopped by adding 400 µl of ice-cold methanol. The temperatures for preincubation and reaction were the same as in the  $\beta$ -248 249 estradiol glucuronidation assay. Reaction mixtures were then placed on ice for 15 minutes before centrifugation at  $15000 \times g$  for 10 minutes. The resultant supernatants were injected into 250 251 an HPLC system. Analysis was performed on an HPLC system (pump: LC-20AB; auto 252 sampler: SIL-20AC; column oven: CTO-20A; controller: CBM-20A; Shimadzu) using a fluorescence detector (FD) (SPD-20A; Shimadzu) equipped with an Inertsil ODS-3 column 253 254 (2.1 mm×150 mm, particle size 5 µm; GL Sciences, Inc.). Mobile phase A consisted of 10 mM 255 ammonium acetate buffer (pH 5.0): acetonitrile (9:1, v/v) and phase B consisted of acetonitrile. 256 The solvent gradient was as follows: 10% mobile phase B from 0 to 7 minutes followed by a 257 linear gradient to 90% mobile phase B from 7 to 8 minutes, and then 10% mobile phase B from 258 8 to 10 minutes. An injection volume of 5  $\mu$ l, flow rate of 0.3 ml/min, and column temperature 259 of 45°C were used.

260

### 261 2.5.3. Acetaminophen glucuronidation assay

262 The UGT metabolic activity of acetaminophen was assessed using the method described by 263 Kakehi et al. (2015) with slight modifications. First, hepatic microsomal solution was mixed 264 with KPB (0.1 M, pH 7.4) and prepared to a microsomal concentration of 4 mg/ml. The microsomal preparations (12.5 µl) were then mixed with 35 µl of KPB and 2.5 µl of 1% sodium 265 cholate solution and incubated on ice for 30 minutes. Then, 50 µl of microsomal solution was 266 267 mixed with 41.5 µl of KPB (0.1 M, pH 7.4), 5 µl of 100 mM MgCl, and acetaminophen in a total volume of 97.5 µl. The final acetaminophen concentration was varied between 0.5 mM 268 269 and 30 mM. Samples were then preincubated in a water bath for 5 minutes. The reaction was initiated by adding 5 µl of 100 mM UDPGA. After incubation for 15 minutes, the reaction was 270 271 stopped by adding 200 µl of ice-cold methanol. The temperatures for preincubation and 272 reaction were the same as in the  $\beta$ -estradiol glucuronidation assay. Reaction mixtures were then placed on ice for 15 minutes before centrifugation at  $15000 \times g$  for 10 minutes. The resultant 273 274 supernatants were injected into an LC/MS system. An HPLC system (pump: LC-20AD; auto 275 sampler: SIL-20A; column oven: CTO-20A; controller: CEM-20A; Shimadzu) coupled with 276 electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS/MS) (LCMS-8040; Shimadzu) equipped 277 with an Inertsil ODS-3 column (2.1 mm×150 mm, particle size 5 µm; GL Sciences, Inc.) was used. The collision energies (CE) and other MS parameters were optimized and are shown in 278 279 Supplementary Table S2. Mobile phase A consisted of 0.1% formic acid in DDW and phase B 280 consisted of 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile. The solvent gradient was as follows: 5% mobile phase B from 1.5 to 7 minutes followed by a linear gradient to 95%, 95% mobile phase B from
7 to 8.5 minutes, and then 5% mobile phase B from 8.5 to 10 minutes. An injection volume of
5 µl, flow rate of 0.3 ml/min, and column temperature of 45°C were used throughout.

284

285 2.6. Phylogenetic analysis of UGT1 genes

286

287 Phylogenetic analysis was performed on the exon 1 region of UGT1E genes of chicken, ostrich, 288 turkey, canary, Japanese quail (*Coturuix japonica*), mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*), bald eagle 289 (Haliaeetus leucocephalus), peregrine falcon (Falco peregrinus), barn owl (Tyto alba), Adelie 290 penguin (Pygoscelis adeliae), Northern fulmar (Fulmarus glacialis), red-throated loon (Gavia 291 stellate), human (Homo sapiens) and rat, which were retrieved using the NCBI basic local 292 alignment search tool (BLAST). UGT1E genes used in phylogenetic analysis are shown in 293 Supplementary Table S3. These birds were not the same species, but were closely related to 294 those used in the UGT activity test. Therefore, we could combine the results of UGT activity 295 test and genetic analysis. The deduced amino acid sequences were aligned using multiple 296 sequence comparison by log expectation (MUSCLE) and employed for model selection and 297 construction of maximum likelihood trees (bootstrapping=500) in Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis X (MEGAX) (Kumar et al., 2018). The best model (Jones, Taylor, and 298 299 Thorton + G +I model) was used for phylogenetic analysis. All positions with less than 95% 300 site coverage were eliminated, i.e., fewer than 5% alignment gaps, missing data, and ambiguous 301 bases were allowed at any position (partial deletion option). Marbled flounder (Pleuronectes 302 yokohama) UGT1B1/1B2 and human UGT2A1/2B4 genes were used as outgroups. This 303 analysis involved 94 amino acid sequences. There were a total of 235 positions in the final 304 dataset.

305

## 306 2.7. Synteny analysis of avian UGT1E genes

307

308 NCBI's Genome Data Viewer (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/gdv/) was used to 309 visualize chromosomal synteny maps for each avian species used in the phylogenetic analysis. 310 The latest genome assemblies were used: chicken Annotation Release 104, turkey Annotation Release 102, Japanese quail Annotation Release 100, mallard Annotation Release 102, ostrich 311 312 Annotation Release 100, canary Annotation Release 101, peregrine falcon Annotation Release 313 101, bald eagle Annotation Release 100, barn owl Annotation Release 100, Adelie penguin 314 Annotation Release 100, Northern fulmar Annotation Release 100, and red-throated loon 315 Annotation Release 100. NCBI BLAST (blastn) of the GenBank database (newest version March 2017) was used for additional confirmation of missing genes (e-value  $< 3e^{-3}$ ). Individual 316 317 84 UGT protein sequences were used as query sequences. The numbers of functional UGT1E 318 genes and pseudogene were investigated in each avian species.

321

#### 320 2.8. Total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

We investigated the nine chicken UGT1E isoforms as a model of avian UGT. Total RNA was extracted from the livers of chickens using NucleoSpin® RNA (Takara, Tokyo, Japan). The purity and quantity of RNA were determined by electrophoresis as well as spectrophotometry using NanoDrop ND-1000 (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE). The ratio of absorbance at 260 nm and 280 nm (A 260/280) and the ratio of absorbance at 260 nm and 230 nm (A 260/230) were generally  $\geq$  2. Total RNA (10 µg) was reverse transcribed using ReverTra Ace (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

329

### 330 2.9. Plasmid construction for quantitative real-time PCR

331

332 Plasmids were constructed to plot standard curves for calculation of cDNA copy numbers. The 333 cDNA was amplified by PCR using specific primers for nine chicken UGT1E isoforms and 334 glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as an internal standard, as shown in Supplementary Table S4. The nine chicken UGT1E isoforms were submitted to the NCBI 335 336 database, and named from chicken UGT1E no. 1 to no. 9 according to their locus on the chromosome; UGT1E no. 1 is located closest to exon 2-5 and chicken UGT1E no.9 is farthest 337 from exon 2-5. Correspondences with the names of chicken UGT1Es by the UGT 338 339 Nomenclature Committee are shown in Supplemental Table S4. PCR was performed using 340 SappireAmp® (Takara) according to the following thermal profile: one cycle of 30 s at 94°C 341 followed by 35 cycles of 5 s at 98°C, 5 s at 60°C, and 5 s at 72°C, with a final extension for 1 342 minute at 72°C. Plasmids were constructed with the PCR products and pCR2.1-TOPO vector 343 using a TOPO TA Cloning Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

344

345 2.10. Quantitative real-time PCR

346

347 The mRNA expression levels of chicken UGT1E isoforms in the liver were investigated by 348 qPCR. The gene-specific quantitative real-time PCR primers (Supplementary Table S4) were synthesized by Sigma-Aldrich. The efficiency of all primers was 98%-102%. Quantitative 349 real-time PCR was performed with the StepOne Plus Real-Time system (Thermo Fisher 350 351 Scientific). The PCR mixtures consisted of Fast SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher 352 Scientific), forward and reverse primers (200 nM each), and cDNA derived from RNA in a 353 total volume of 10 µl. All samples were analyzed in duplicate using the following protocol: 95°C for 20 s followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 3 s and 60°C for 30 s. At the end of each PCR 354 run, melting curve analysis was performed in the range of 60°C-95°C. PCR products were 355 356 confirmed as single fragments by electrophoresis and direct sequencing. The gene dosages of 357 the nine UGT1E isoforms were calculated from the Ct values. The gene expression levels were then compensated by gene dosages of GAPDH. 358

359

360 2.11. Statistical analyses

361

362 All kinetics parameters, including maximum velocity  $(V_{max})$ , the Michaelis–Menten constant  $(K_m)$ , and the  $V_{max}/K_m$  ratio, were determined using the Michaelis-Menten equation and 363 GraphPad Prism version 5.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Statistical 364 analyses were performed using JMP 11 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC). In quantitative real-time 365 PCR, Student's t test and Tukey's test were used for comparing mRNA expression levels 366 between sexes and each UGT1E isoform, respectively. Differences at p < 0.05 were considered 367 significant and those at p < 0.01 were regarded as highly significant. 368

- 370 **3. Results**
- 371

#### 372 *3.1. UGT activities in avian liver microsomes*

373

In the investigation of reduced CO spectrum of P450 as an indicator of enzymatic condition, a
defined peak of P450 at 450 nm could be observed in all microsomal samples. The quality of
P450, which is an XME as well as UGT, was conserved. Therefore, we regarded the quality of

- 377 UGT in microsomes as conserved.
- 378 The Michaelis–Menten plots and parameters representing UGT activities in each species are 379 shown in Table 1 and in Figs. 1, 2, and 3. There were large interspecies differences in the 380 glucuronidation activities of  $\beta$ -estradiol, 1-hydroxyperene, and acetaminophen.
- 381 With regard to the  $\beta$ -estradiol-3-glucuronidation activities, the  $V_{\text{max}}/K_{\text{m}}$  values were very low
- in great horned eagle (=0.023 nmol/mg/min) and white-tailed eagle (=0.025 nmol/mg/min),
- but higher in turkey (=0.738 nmol/mg/min) and chicken (=0.333 nmol/mg/min) (Figure 1). In
- the UGT activity test of 1-hydroxypyrene, lower  $V_{\text{max}}/K_{\text{m}}$  values were observed in Humboldt
- 385 penguin (=0.004 nmol/mg/min) and great horned owl (below the limit of detection), with
- higher values in turkey (=0.797 nmol/mg/min), ostrich (=0.683 nmol/mg/min), and rat (=0.665 nmol/mg/min) (Figure 2). With regard to acetaminophen glucuronidation, lower  $V_{\text{max}}/K_{\text{m}}$  values were also observed for the great horned owl (=0.029 nmol/mg/min) and Humboldt penguin (below the limit of detection), with higher values in rat (=0.771 nmol/mg/min) and canary (=
- 390 0.763 nmol/mg/min) (Figure 3).
- 391

392 *3.2. Phylogenetic analysis of avian UGT1E genes in comparison to mammalian UGT1A* 

393 *isoforms* 

Figure 4 shows a phylogenetic tree of avian UGT1E and mammalian UGT1A isoforms. Avian UGT1E and mammalian UGT1A isoforms formed isolated clusters. Mammalian UGT1A isoforms formed four large clusters, i.e., UGT1A1, UGT1A2–1A5, UGT1A6, and UGT1A7– UGT1A10. In this study, avian UGT1E isoforms were classified into six large groups represented as clades I, II, III, IV, V, and VI in Figure 4.

- 399
- 400 3.3. Synteny analysis of avian UGT1E isoforms
- 401

The locus of avian UGT1E genes in each avian species are shown in Figure 5. All UGT1E isoforms were located between USP40 (ubiquitin specific peptidase 40) and SH3BP4 (SH3 domain binding protein 4) genes in avian species. The numbers of functional UGT1E genes and pseudogenes were counted in each species (Table 2). The locations of Turkey UGT 1E genes (XM\_010726367, XM\_019610789), Fulmar UGT1E genes (XM\_009575112, XM\_009583991, XM\_009586327), and Adelie penguin UGT 1E gene (XM\_009323405) on

- 408 the chromosome are unknown because of a lack of gene information.
- 409
- 410 3.4. mRNA expression levels of different Chicken UGT1E isoforms
- 411 The mRNA expression levels of nine chicken UGT1E isoforms were evaluated by quantitative
- 412 real-time PCR (Figure 6). The mRNA expression level of chicken UGT1E134 (=0.689 in male,
- 413 0.323 in female) was higher than those of the other nine isoforms (p < 0.05). There were
- 414 significant differences in expression levels between sexes in chicken UGT1E4 (=0.0482 in
- 415 male, 0.0118 in female) (p < 0.05) and highly significant differences in chicken UGT1E5
- 416 (=0.0404 in male, 0.0196 in female), UGT1E6 (=0.0369 in male, 0.0178 in female), UGT1E7
- 417 (=0.0391 in male, 0.0186 in female), UGT1E9 (=0.0140 in male, 0.0068 in female), and
- 418 UGT1E13 (*p* < 0.01).

#### 419 **4. Discussion**

420

### 421 4.1. Glucuronidation of $\beta$ -estradiol among avian species

422

423 Clear interspecies differences in β-estradiol-3-glucuronidation were observed in UGT activity 424 test. In contrast, Kakehi et al. (2015) reported only slight interspecies differences in mammalian 425  $\beta$ -estradiol-3-glucuronidation.  $\beta$ -Estradiol is conjugated by multiple UGT1A isoforms, and UGT1A1 is the most important for  $\beta$ -estradiol-3-glucuronidation in mammals (Hong et al., 426 427 2007). Furthermore, bilirubin is metabolized mainly via liver UGT1A1 (Hong et al., 2007), 428 and low UGT1A1 activity leads to death by hyperbilirubinemia in mammals (Iyanagi 1991). However, birds may be able to survive even with very low UGT activity as there is another 429 430 bilirubin metabolic pathway. These difference in β-estradiol-3-glucuronidation and bilirubin 431 glucuronidation between mammals and birds may be attributable to other metabolizing 432 enzymes involved in this reaction. In heme metabolism of birds, biliverdin is excreted rather 433 than bilirubin, and therefore birds do not need to metabolize bilirubin by glucuronidation (Lin 434 et al., 1974). This difference in metabolic pathway of heme compared with mammals may be 435 one reason why *in vitro* interspecies differences in glucuronidation to β-estradiol were observed 436 for avian species.

437

438

## 4.2. Avian interspecies differences in UGT1-dependent xenobiotics metabolism

439

In the *in vitro* UGT activity test for all substrates, higher UGT1E activity was observed in 440 441 herbivorous and omnivorous birds, such as turkey or ostrich, than in carnivorous birds, such as 442 raptors and penguin. Similarly, herbivorous mammals have higher XMEs activities compared 443 to carnivores, as herbivores have to eliminate plant-derived toxins from their diet (Johanna. 444 2009). As carnivorous birds are top predators of the ecosystem, they are exposed to and 445 accumulate high levels of environmental pollutants (Lourenço et al., 2011). Due to the high accumulation and low metabolic capacity, carnivorous birds seemed to be more sensitive to 446 447 xenobiotics than herbivorous and omnivorous species.

448

### 449 4.3. Phylogenetic characteristics of avian UGT1Es

450

In the phylogenetic tree, avian UGT1E and mammalian UGT1A isoforms were located separately, indicating that avian UGT1Es have evolved independently from mammalian UGT1As. In biological classification, birds are more closely related to reptiles than mammals (Mindell et al., 1999), and therefore birds may have UGT isoforms in common with reptile species rather than mammals. This hypothesis is in agreement with the research of Haiyan et al. (2010), who reported that the zebra finch and lizards have UGT isoforms derived from the 457 same ancestral variable exon, which mammals did not possess.

Previous report suggested that UGT1E genes are classified into 6 groups depends on their 458 evolutionary relationship (Kawai et al., 2018 accepted), although it did not include canary and 459 460 quail. This study also indicated that UGT1E genes of canary and quail were classified into 6 461 groups. By combining the results of phylogenetic and synteny analyses, the relationships 462 between gene locus and substrate specificity of avian UGT1E isoforms were estimated (Figure 463 5). It suggested that the variety of the count of UGT1E\_group III implies UGT1E\_group III 464 would play major role in metabolizing exogenous compounds in avian species. This was 465 consistent with previous report (Kawai et al., 2018 accepted). In this study, the number of 466 UGT1E\_group VI also showed variety especially in Galloanserae species. It suggested that UGT1E group VI could also contribute to xenobiotic metabolism in Galloanserae species. On 467 468 the other hand, it is difficult to determine which UGT1E isoforms that metabolize endogenous 469 substrates. In case of mammals almost all species possess UGT1A1 even in carnivorous species 470 (Kakehi et al. 2015). However we could not find no UGT1E group in common in avian species. 471 It seems that many avian species possess UGT1E\_group I genes (Kawai et al., 2018 accepted) and play role in metabolizing endogenous compounds, but there are exceptions such as owl, 472 473 fulmar, Adelie penguin.

- 474
- 475

### 4.4. Numbers of functional genes and pseudogenes of avian UGT1Es

476

477 Recently we reported that feeding habits affected the number of UGT1E genes in avian species 478 (Kawai et al., 2018 accepted). In this study, the results of synteny analysis and the count of 479 UGT1E genes also supported that feeding habits affect the number of functional and 480 pseudogenes of avian UGT1Es. Carnivorous birds tended to have fewer functional UGT1E 481 genes and some genes had become pseudogenes, compared to herbivorous birds (Figure 5 and 482 Table 2). In the taxonomy of birds, falcons are more closely related to canaries than eagles and 483 owls (Hackett et al., 2008). However, falcons have only three functional UGT1E isoforms and 484 one pseudogene, as in other raptors. This suggested that the numbers of UGT1Es are decreasing 485 by carnivorous feeding. Furthermore, the total numbers of functional UGT1Es in each avian 486 species reflected the interspecific differences of *in vitro* UGT activity. Avian species with low *in vitro* UGT activity, such as eagle, owl, and penguin, had fewer total numbers of functional 487 UGTs and some pseudogenes, while species with high in vitro UGT activity, such as turkey, 488 489 chicken, ostrich, and canary, had many functional UGT1E isoforms. Therefore, the low *in vitro* 490 UGT activity in some avian species could be due to the small number of functional UGT1Es 491 and pseudogenes. This speculation is in agreement with a previous report regarding mammalian UGT1As indicating that cats and pinniped species showed very low UGT activities due to 492 493 pseudogenes of UGT1A6 or fewer UGT1A isoforms (Kakehi et al., 2015).

494 UGT families are thought to have undergone birth-and-death evolution, where new genes were

495 created by gene duplication with some duplicated genes remaining in the genome, whereas 496 others were deleted or became pseudogenes through deleterious mutations (Nei and Rooney, 497 2005). In mammals, the variable UGT1A exons of the bilirubin and phenol groups appear to 498 have duplicated separately from only two ancestral variable exons (Zhang et al., 2004). In 499 contrast, avian UGT1Es would have evolved from 6 ancestral exons (Kawai et al., 2018) accepted). This evolutionary difference in that avian UGT1Es may have evolved from many 500 501 ancestral exons suggests that birds have needed to metabolize various exogenous or 502 endogenous compounds from ancestral species.

503

505

## 504 *4.5. Prediction of important chicken UGT1E isoforms*

All of the chicken UGT1E isoforms showed sex-related differences, with higher mRNA expression levels in males than in females. In mammalian species, the expression levels of some UGT isoforms are higher in males than in females, but some isoforms are dominantly expressed in females. For example, UGT2B1 is more abundant in male mice, but UGT1A1 and UGT1A5 are expressed at higher levels in females (Buckley et al., 2007). As the mRNA expression levels of chicken UGT1E were higher in males than females, this suggests that the chicken has unique metabolic pathways compared to mammals.

513 The high mRNA expression levels of chicken UGT1E13 compared to other UGT1E isoforms 514 may indicate a major role of this isoform in metabolism of xenobiotics, such as drugs or 515 environmental pollutants. The most abundant UGT1A isoform in mice is UGT1A1 (Peng et 516 al., 2013), which conjugates endogenous substrates. As mentioned above, however, in chicken 517 that is Galloanserae species, UGT1E13 that belongs UGT1E group VI seemed to be involved in metabolism of exogenous substrates. It is noteworthy that the UGT1A isoform with highest 518 519 expression in mammals metabolizes endogenous substrates, while in chicken it may contribute 520 to xenobiotic metabolism. This difference suggests that chicken may have evolved with less 521 use of UGT1Es to metabolize endogenous compounds compared to mammalian species.

However, we should note that this study focused on UGT1E isoforms in chicken only. Further studies are required to investigate the expression levels and substrate specificities of avian UGT1E isoforms belonging to the UGT1E\_group III in other avian species. Especially, investigation of UGT1E isoforms in carnivorous birds is needed because they showed very low *in vitro* UGT metabolic ability. Examination of the expression levels of UGT1E isoforms of carnivorous birds, or investigating the substrates specificity of UGT1E isoforms of them may yield insight into why they showed low UGT activities.

529

### 530 **5. Conclusion**

- 531
- 532 The results of the present study suggested that carnivorous birds may have lower UGT activities

than herbivorous species. One reason for the lower UGT activities in carnivorous birds is that these species have fewer UGT1E isoforms. We predicted that some UGT1E isoforms would be especially important for exogenous substrate metabolism in chicken. This study will help to understand xenobiotic metabolism and to allow more accurate prediction of the toxic effects of environmental chemicals in avian species and finally lead to improved conservation of wild birds.

539

## 540 Acknowledgements

541

We are grateful to Dr. Keisuke Saito, Dr. Yukiko Watanabe (Institute for Raptor Biomedicine
Japan, Kushiro, Japan), and Dr. Masaki Ito and Dr. Noboru Takaesu (Sapporo Maruyama Zoo,
Sapporo, Japan) for provision of samples. Takahiro Ichise (Laboratory of Toxicology, Faculty
of Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan) provided technical support in
chemical analyses.

547

# 548 Supplementary data

549

# 550 Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://.

551

## 552 Funding sources

553

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan awarded to M.I. (16H0177906, 16K1503406), Y.I. (15H0282505 and 17K2003807), S.M.M.N. (16K16197). We also acknowledge the financial support by the Nihon Seimei Foundation, the Soroptimist Japan Foundation, the Nakajima Foundation and the Sumitomo Foundation.

#### 560 **References**

- 561
- Alessandra, B., Sergio, G., Giorgio, C., Roberto, S. (2005). Heavy metal contamination in little
  owl (*Athene noctua*) and common buzzard (*Buteo buteo*) from northern Italy. *Ecotox*. *Environ. Safe.* 60, 61-66.
- Almeida, D., Maldonado, E., Khan, I., Silva, L., Gilbert, M., Zhang, G., Jarvis, E., Brien, S.,
  Johnson, W., Antunes, A. (2016). Whole-Genome Identification, Phylogeny, and Evolution
  of the Cytochrome P450 Family 2 (CYP2) Subfamilies in Birds. *Genome Biol Evol.*13,
  1115-1131.
- Andrew, D., Lawrence, L., Michael, D., Jean, M. (1997). Neurosci Biobehav R.21, 261-281.
- Bowerman, W. Giesy, J., Best, D., Kramer, V. (1995). A Review of Factors Affecting
  Productivity of Bald Eagles in the Great Lakes Region: Implications for Recovery. *Environ*. *Health. Perspect.* 103, 51-59.
- Buckley, D., Curtis, D., Curtis D. (2007). Tissue- and Gender-Specific mRNA Expression of
   UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs) in Mice. *Drug. Metab. Dispos.* 35, 121-127.
- Burchell, B., Nebert, D., Nelson, D., Bock, K., Iyanagi, T., Jansen, P., Lancert, D., Mulder, G.,
  Chowdhury, J., Siest, G. (2009). The UDP glucuronosyltransferase Gene Super family:
  Suggested Nomenclature Based on Evolutionary Divergence. *DNA. Cell. Biol.* 10, 487-494.
- 578 Chaplin, S., Diesel, D., Kasparie, J. (1984). Body Temperature Regulation in Red-Tailed
  579 Hawks and Great Horned Owls: Responses to Air Temperature and Food Deprivation.
  580 *Condor.* 86, 175-181.
- Court, M. and Greenblatt, D. (2000). Molecular genetic basis for deficient acetaminophen
   glucuronidation by cats: UGT1A6 is pseudogene, and evidence for reduced diversity of
   expressed hepatic UGT1A isoforms. *Pharmacogenetics*. 10, 355-369.
- Donald, H., Christine, A., Mitchell, H., Kennedy, A., Michael, A. (1983). Elevated DDE and
  toxaphene residues in fishes and birds reflect local contamination in the lower Rio Grande
  Valley, Texas. *Southwest. Nat.* 28, 325-333
- Emi, Y., Ikushiro, S., Iyanagi, T. (1995). Drug-Responsive and Tissue-Specific Alternative
  Expression of Multiple First Exons in Rat UDP-Glucuronosyltransferase Family 1
  (UGT1) Gene Complex. J. Biol. Chem. 117, 392-399.
- Erickson, W. and Urban, D. (2004). Potential Risks of Nine Rodenticides to Birds and
   Nontarget Mammals: A Comparative Approach. Environmental Protection Agency office
   of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Washington, D.C., USA.
- Fabricio, D., Rosa, I., Enrique, C. (2007). Organochlorine pesticide contamination in three bird
  species of the Embalse La Florida water reservoir in the semiarid midwest of Argentina. *Sci. Total. Environ.* 385, 86-96.
- Goldsmith, A. (1982). Plasma concentrations of prolactin during incubation and parental
   feeding throughout repeated breeding cycles in canaries (*Serinus canaries*). J Endocrinol.

**94**, 51-59.

- Guillemette, C., Levesque, E., Rouleau, M. (2014). Pharmacogenomics of Human Uridine
  Diphospho-Glucuronosyltransferases and Clinical Implications. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.*96, 324-339.
- Hackett, S., Kimball, R., Reddy, S., Bowie, R., Braun, E., Braun, M., Chojnowski, J., Cox, W.,
  Han, K., Harshman, J. (2008). A Phylogenomic Study of Birds Reveals Their Evolutionary
  History. *Science*. 320, 1763-1768.
- Haiyan, H. and Qiang, Wu. (2010). Cloning and Comparative Analyses of the Zebrafish Ugt
  Repertoire Reveal Its Evolutionary Diversity. *Plos One*. 5, 9144.
- Herrero, A. and Barja, G. (1998). H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Production of Heart Mitochondria and Aging Rate Are
  Slower in Canaries and Parakeets than in Mice: Sites of Free Radical Generation and
  Mechanisms Involved. *Mech. Ageing. Dev.* 103, 133-146.
- Hong, A., Huo, D., Kim, H., Niu, Q., Fackenthal, D., Cummings, S., John, E., West, D.,
  Whittemore, A., Das, S. (2007). UDP-Glucuronosyltransferase 1A1 Gene Polymorphisms
  and Total Bilirubin Levels in an Ethnically Diverse Cohort of Women. *Drug. Metab. Dispos.* 35, 1254-1261.
- Iyanagi, T. (1991). Molecular Basis of Multiple UDP-Glucuronosyltransferase Isoenzyme
   Deficiencies in the Hyperbilirubinemic Rat (Gunn Rat). J. Biol. Chem. 266, 24048-24052.
- Johanna, F. (20090. Defense mechanism against toxic phytochemicals in the diet of domestic
  animals. *Mol. Nutr. Food. Res.* 54, 249-258.
- Kakehi, M., Ikenaka, Y., Nakayama, S., Kawai, Y., Watanabe, K., Mizukawa, H., Nomiyama,
  K., Tanabe, S., Ishizuka, M. (2015). Uridine Diphosphate-Glucuronosyltransferase (UGT)
  Xenobiotic Metabolizing Activity and Genetic Evolution in Pinniped Species. *Toxico. Sci.*147, 360-369.
- Kawai, Y., Ikenaka, Y., Ishizuka, M., Kubota, A. (2018) The evolution of UDPglycosyl/glucuronosyltransferase 1E (UGT1E) genes in bird lineages is linked to feeding
  habits but UGT2 genes is not. *Plos One*. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0205266
- Kumar, S., Stecher G., Li M., Knyaz C., and Tamura K. (2018) MEGA X: Molecular
  Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across computing platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 15471549
- Lin, G., Himes, J., Cornelius, C. (1974) Bilirubin and biliverdin excretion by the chicken. J. *Physiol.* 226, 881-885.
- Lourenço, R., Tavares, P., Del Mar Delgado, M., Rabaca, J., Penteriani, V. (2011).
  Superpredation Increases Mercury Levels in a Generalist Top Predator, the Eagle Owl. *Ecotoxicology.* 20, 635-642.
- Matern, H., Heinemann, H., Matern, S., Bongartz, M. (1994). Radioassay of UDP Glucuronosyltransferase Activities toward Endogenous Substrates Using Labeled UDP-
- 635 Glucuronic Acid and an Organic Solvent Extraction Procedure. Anal Biochem. 219, 182-

- 636 188.
- McNab and Brian, K. (1966). An Analysis of the Body Temperatures of Birds. *Condor.* 68, 4755.
- Mindell, D., Sorenson, M., Dimcheff, D., Hasegawa, M., Ast, J., Yuri, T. (1999). Interordinal
  Relationships of Birds and Other Reptiles Based on Whole Mitochondrial Genomes. *Syst. Biol.* 48, 138-152.
- Nei, M. and Rooney, A. (2005). Concerted and birth-and-death evolution of multigene families. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 39, 121-152.
- Oaks, J., Gilbert, M., Virani, M., Watson, R., Meteyer, C., Rideout, B., Shivaprasad, H., Ahmed,
  S., Chaudhry, M., Arshad, M. (2004). Diclofenac residues as the cause of vulture
  population decline in Pakistan. *Nature* 427, 630-633.
- 647 Omura, T. and Sato, R. (1964). The Carbon Monoxide-Biding Pigment of Liver Microsomes.
  648 *J. Biochem.* 239, 2370-2378.
- 649 Owens, I. S. Basu, N., Banerjee, R. (2005). UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases: Gene Structures
  650 of UGT1 and UGT2 Families. *Methods. Enzymol.* 400, 1-22.
- Paul, L., Frances, H., Hamerstrom, F. (1940). The great horned owl and its prey in north central
  United States. Iowa Ahees Res Bull. 248, 759-850.
- Peng, L., Cui, J., Yoo, B., Gunewardena, S., Lu, H., Klaassen, C., Zhong, X. (2013). RNASequencing Quantification of Hepatic Ontogeny of Phase-I Enzymes in Mice. *Drug Metab*. *Dispos.* 41, 2175-2186.
- Theresa, Z., Haws, P., Wu, Q. (2004). Multiple Variable First Exons: A Mechanism for Celland Multiple Variable First Exons: A Mechanism for Cell- and Tissue-Specific Gene
  Regulation. *Genome. Res.* 14, 79-89.
- Richards, S. A. (1971). The Significance of Changes in the Temperature of the Skin and Body
  Core of the Chicken in the Regulation of Heat Loss. *J. Physiol.* 216, 1-10.
- Saengtienchai, A., Ikenaka, Y., Kawata, M., Kawai, Y., Takeda, K., Kondo, T., Bortey-Sam, N.,
  Nakayama S., Mizukawa, H., Ishizuka, M. (2018) Comparison of xenobiotic metabolism
  in phase I oxidation and phase II conjugation between rats and bird species. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C.* 214, 28-35.
- Savides, M., Oehme, F., Nash, S., Leipold, H. (1984). The Toxicity and Biotransformation of
  Single Doses of Acetaminophen in Dogs and Cats. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 74, 26-34.
- 667 Siegfried, W., Abraham, R., Kuechle, V. (1975). Daily Temperature Cycles in Barred,
  668 Great-Horned and Snowy Owls. *Condor.* 77, 502-506.
- Robert H. and Christian P. (2000). HUMAN UDP-GLUCURONOSYLTRANSFERASES:
  Metabolism, Expression, and Disease. *Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 40, 581-616.
- Ueda, H., Ikenaka, Y., Nakayama, S., Tanaka, T., Ishizuka, M. (2011). Phase-II Conjugation
- Ability for PAH Metabolism in Amphibians: Characteristics and Inter-Species Differences.
- 673 *Aquat. Toxicol.* **105**, 337-343.

- Zhang, T., Haws, P., Wu, Q. (2004). Multiple variable first exons: amechanism for cell- and
  tissue-specific gene regulation. *Genome Res.* 14, 79-89.
- Zoltan, G., John, B., Thomas, N., Michael, J., Karl. R., Curtis, D. (1983). Hepatic phase I and
- 677 phase II biotransformations in quail and trout: Comparison to other species commonly used
- 678 in toxicity testing. *Tox. Appl. Pharmacol.* **67**, 430-441.

- 679 Figure Legends
- **Figure 1.**



# **Figure 1.** β-Estradiol UGT activity

683 Enzyme kinetics for the glucuronidation of  $\beta$ -estradiol in chickens, turkeys, ostriches,

- canaries, white-tailed eagles, great horned owl, Humboldt penguin, and rats. The final  $\beta$ -
- $_{685}$  estradiol concentration was varied between 12.5  $\mu M$  and 500  $\mu M.$  Each data point for
- 686 chicken, turkey, ostrich, canary, and rat represents the mean of three animals and error bars
- represent the S.D. The numbers in other species were for only one individual and therefore
- there are no S.D. values.
  there a



- 712
- 713



Enzyme kinetics for the glucuronidation of 1-hydroxypyrene in chicken, turkey, ostrich, canary, white-tailed eagle, Humboldt penguin, and rat. The final 1-hydroxypyrene concentration was varied between 10  $\mu$ M and 200  $\mu$ M. Each data point for chicken, turkey, ostrich, canary, and rat represents the mean of three animals and error bars represent the S.D. The numbers in other species were for only one individual and therefore there are no S.D. value.





746 **Figure 3.** Acetaminophen UGT activity

Enzyme kinetics for the glucuronidation of acetaminophen in chicken, turkey, ostrich, canary,
white-tailed eagle, great horned owl, Humboldt penguin, and rat. The final acetaminophen
concentration was varied between 0.5 mM and 30 mM. Each data point for chicken, turkey,
ostrich, canary, and rat represents the mean of three animals and error bars represent the S.D.
The numbers in other species were for only one individual and therefore there are no S.D.
values.

- **Figure 4.** Phylogenetic trees of the UGT isoforms using mammalian UGT1A and avian
- 754 UGT1E amino acid sequences.



**Figure 4.** Phylogenetic trees of the UGT isoforms using mammalian UGT1A and avian

757 UGT1E amino acid sequences.

A phylogenetic tree was constructed using avian UGT1E and mammalian UGT1A isoforms. 758 759 The numbers next to the branches indicate the number of occurrences per 100 bootstrap 760 replicates. Gene names follow the names registered in the NCBI database. The deduced amino acid sequences were aligned on MUSCLE and used for model selection and construction of 761 762 maximum likelihood trees (bootstrapping = 100) using MEGAX (Kumar et al., 2018). The best model (Jones, Taylor, and Thorton + G + I model) was used for phylogenetic analysis. All 763 positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. Marbled flounder (Pleuronectes 764 yokohama) UGT1B1/1B2 and human UGT2A1/2B4 genes were used as outgroups. 765 Mammalian UGT1A isoforms made three clades, UGT1A1, UGT1A2 - UGT1A5, and 766 767 UGT1A6 - UGT1A10. Avian UGT1E isoforms were divided into 6 clades: UGT1E\_group I -768 VI.

769

771	
772	
773	
774	
775	
776	
777	
778	
779	
780	
781	
782	
102	
183	
784	
785	
786	
787	
788	
789	
790	
791	
792	
793	Figure 5.





### 795 **Figure 5.** Synteny analysis of avian UGT1Es

NCBI's Genome Data Viewer was used to visualize chromosomal synteny maps for each avian species. NCBI BLAST was used for additional confirmation of missing genes and orthologous relationships. The UGT1E locus contains multiple first exons and constant exons 2–5. In all avian species examined, UGT1E isoforms were located between USP 40 and SH3BP4. Pale gray blocks indicate functional UGT1E genes and dark gray blocks with the letter "P" indicate UGT1E pseudogenes. In chicken the gene names determined by UGT nomenclature committee were represented under boxes. Figures in each box indicate the gene names based on

- 803 phylogenetic analysis (Figure 4). Dotted lines indicate that parts of gene sequence data are
- lacking in the NCBI database. The locations of some genes represented by rounded corner
- squares were unknown.



mRNA expression levels of chicken UGT1E isoforms



808 Figure 6. mRNA expression levels of chicken UGT1E genes in the liver

809 The twelve of chicken UGT1E isoforms were predicted to the NCBI database and named to 810 chicken UGT1E1 to chicken UGT1E14 depends on the nomenclature committee. Values shown 811 indicate the mRNA expression level of each isoform normalized by GAPDH. The left vertical axis represents the ratio of chicken UGT1E1, UGT1E4, UGT1E5, UGT1E6, UGT1E7, 812 813 UGT1E9, UGT1E8, and UGT1E11 mRNA expression levels. The right vertical axis represents the ratio of chicken UGT1E13 mRNA expression level. Each data point represents 814 815 the mean of three animals. Error bars represent S.D. Blue bars represent results for male chickens, while red bars represent results for female chickens. \* Significant differences in 816 mRNA expression levels between male and female chickens (p < 0.05). \*\* Highly significant 817 differences in mRNA expression levels between male and female chickens (p < 0.01). 818

819

	N.D.	11.08	$23.64 \pm 4.933$	$15.91 \pm 5.394$	$8.315\pm 8.563$	$99.79 \pm 35.18$	41.34+26.81	$5.299 \pm 1.580$
on	N.D.	0.318	$3.502 \pm 0.401$	$12.14 \pm 1.960$	$4.951 \pm 1.896$	$26.00 \pm 7.387$	$13.76 \pm 5.846$	$4.086 \pm 0.378$
dat	N.D.	670.0	0.148±0.025	0./03±0.182	260.0± 666.0	0.201±0.002	0.1.0±0.0.08	0.//1 ±0.098
ino								
cur								
Glu								
for	2326	N.D.	$25.48\pm 5.130$	$19.00 \pm 11.07$	$113.7 \pm 18.68$	$3.188 \pm 15.48$	$36.62 \pm 16.42$	$67.45 \pm 47.01$
nts								
nsta	8.861	N.D.	$6.059 \pm 0.362$	$8.463 \pm 1.33$	$14.69 \pm 10.18$	$21.77 \pm 3.376$	$29.17 \pm 4.369$	$44.86 \pm 12.85$
Co	0.004	N.D.	$0.238 \pm 0.019$	$0.445 \pm 0.021$	$0.129 \pm 0.031$	$0.683 \pm 0.064$	$0.797 \pm 0.183$	$0.665 \pm 0.128$
n								
nte								
Me	4.246	23.04	$12.51 \pm 3.42$	$29.08 \pm 9.67$	7.87 ±6.57	$35.12 \pm 14.93$	$14.12 \pm 7.383$	$12.14\pm2.272$
lis-								
hae	1.170	0.524	$0.308\pm\!0.017$	$5.916\pm0.492$	$2.617 \pm 0.356$	$5.53 \pm 0.701$	$10.42 \pm 1.128$	$2.131 \pm 0.072$
Mic	0.276	0.023	$0.025 \pm 0.008$	$0.203 \pm 0.019$	$0.333 \pm 0.022$	$0.180 \pm 0.032$	$0.738 \pm 0.586$	$0.176 \pm 0.025$
<u>.</u>								
le								
Tah	Humboldt penguin	Great horned owl	White-tailed eagle	Canary	Chicken	Ostrich	Turkey	Rat

823		(g	g)			(ĝ	g)			(g)	g)	1
824	_	nin/ n	in/ n		ne	min/ n	in/ n		_	nin/ n	in/ n	
825	tradio	mol/ 1	m /lc		pyre	mol/ 1	m /lc		phen	mol/ 1	m /lc	
826	β- est	km (ju	(pme	(W	oxy	n) my	(nme	(W	nino	n) my	(nme	я I
827		'max/i	nax	п) ш	hydr	'max/i	тах	п) m	cetar	'max/i	тах	η) m
828		12	Ŋ	Kı	1-	4	Ŋ	Kı	Ą	4	Ŋ	<i>K</i> I
829												
830												
831												
832												
833												
834												
835												
836												
837												
838												
839												
840												
841												
842												
843												
844												
845												
846												
847												
848												
849												
850												
851												
852												
853												
854												
855												
856												
857												
858												
859												

Species	Functional genes								Pseudogenes
	Total	Ι	II	III	IV	V	VI	unknown	
Herbivorous/									
Omnivorous birds									
Ostrich	6	1	1	1	1	1	1		2
Chicken	12	0	0	5	1	1	4	1	0
Turkey	10	1	0	5			3	1	1
Japanese quail	12	1	0	4	1	1	5		0
Mallard	6	1	0	3	1	0	1		1
Canary	11	1	1	8	0	0	1		2
Carnivorous birds									
Peregrine falcon	3	1	0	0	1	0	1		1
Barn owl	5	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	3
Bald eagle	3	1	1	0	1	0	0		2
Red-throated loon	2	1	0	0	0	0	1		4
Northern fulmar	8	0	0	3	0	1	1	3	3
Adelie penguin	3	0	0	2	0	0	1		1

#### 861 Table 2: Numbers of UGT1E isoforms in each avian species

The numbers of possibly functional genes and pseudogenes of avian UGT1E isoforms were investigated. The numbers of isoforms located in each groups (i.e., I - VI) in the phylogenetic tree (Fig 4.) were counted. Gene information of UGT1E was partly lacking in turkey, redthroated loon, and Northern fulmar, so the minimum expected numbers of UGT1E genes are shown in the table.