Seasonal variability of zooplankton size spectra at Mombetsu Harbour in the southern Okhotsk Sea during 2011: an analysis using an optical plankton counter
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Abstract

To evaluate the temporal changes in zooplankton size spectra, optical plankton counter (OPC) measurements were made of high-frequency time-series zooplankton samples collected at approximately 3.5-day intervals in Mombetsu Harbour, which is located in the southern Okhotsk Sea, from January to December 2011. Based on biomasses of 47 equivalent spherical diameter (ESD) size classes binned at 0.1 mm intervals across 0.35-5 mm, the Bray-Curtis similarity index separated the zooplankton community into six groups (A-F). The occurrence of each group was separated seasonally. Thus, groups A and B were observed during the ice-covered season and summer season, respectively. During March and June, groups C-F were observed. Their occurrence varied in the short term in relation to the exchange of water masses. Groups A and C, which were observed from January to April, showed flatter normalized biomass size spectra (NBSS) slopes (−0.85 - −1.1), which indicate low productivity. In contrast, the other groups showed steeper slopes (−1.31 - −1.52) from May to December, with high productivity. Throughout the year, the frequency of highly productive groups occurred at a high level (95.2%). Although the seasonal variability in zooplankton size and productivity in Mombetsu Harbour was mainly governed by water
mass exchanges, the productivity was continuously high throughout nearly all of the one-year study period.
1. Introduction

From a fishery standpoint, information on the zooplankton size spectrum is highly important. The zooplankton size spectrum affects the fish growth and mortality rates (van de Meeren and Næss, 1993). From the oceanography perspective, zooplankton size affects the vertical material transport, also termed the “biological pump” (Michaels and Silver, 1988; Docklow et al., 2001). Thus, information on the zooplankton size spectrum is important for both fisheries and oceanography. However, size measurements on zooplankton communities using microscopic observation are time-consuming. To overcome this problem, Herman (1988) developed an optical plankton counter (OPC) that measures the zooplankton size and number quickly and accurately, and it has been applied in various marine ecosystems (Zhou et al., 2009; Matsuno et al., 2012). The size structure of zooplankton roughly reflects the taxonomic composition. OPCs have the capability to identify or separate species or specific groups in a limited way (Herman and Harvey, 2006), but it is difficult to identify the zooplankton taxonomic composition within the same size range. To clarify which zooplankton are present and contribute to zooplankton production, the taxonomic composition of the sample is needed.

Normalized biomass size spectra (NBSS) analysis of zooplankton
size-spectrum data from OPC measurements has been used to evaluate the marine ecosystem structure in many locations around the world (Basedow et al., 2010; Matsuno et al., 2012; Sato et al., 2015). NBSS is known to be an index of productivity of marine ecosystems, transfer efficiency to higher trophic levels and predator-prey interactions (Herman and Harvey, 2006; Zhou, 2006). The slope of NBSS at approximately -1 indicates a theoretical steady state (Sprules and Munawar, 1986). In general, slopes steeper than -1 indicate bottom-up control (Moore and Suthers, 2006) or high productivity with low transfer efficiency (Sprules and Munawar, 1986; Zhou, 2006). Slopes flatter than -1 indicate top-down control (Moore and Suthers, 2006) or low productivity with high transfer efficiency (Sprules and Munawar, 1986; Zhou, 2006). However, little information is available on the zooplankton size spectra in the Okhotsk Sea. Additionally, limited information is available for the southern regions during the summer (Sato et al., 2015).

In the southern Okhotsk Sea, owing to the differences in tidal levels between the Okhotsk Sea and the Japan Sea, inflow amounts from the East Sakhalin Current and Soya Warm Current are known to be balanced (Aota, 1975) (Fig. 1a). The Soya Warm Current increases after the ice melt season and is high during autumn, whereas it is at its lowest during winter (Fukamachi et al., 2008). The Okhotsk Sea is the southernmost
ice-covered ocean in the Northern Hemisphere, and pack ice is transported from the northern area from January to February (Hiwatari et al., 2008). Once the ice has melted, an ice edge bloom is initiated (Mustapha and Saitoh, 2008). The decrease in sea ice may also be an important factor in initiating phytoplankton blooms (Kasai et al., 2017). Thus, the physical condition of the southern Okhotsk Sea is characterized by the large seasonal changes caused by the East Sakhalin Current and the Soya Warm Current. The zooplankton community also varies between the currents (Asami et al., 2007), which suggests that temporal changes in the hydrological environment, including water masses, affect the NBSS of zooplankton communities. Despite their importance, little information is available on the temporal changes in the zooplankton size spectra in this region.

In the present study, the temporal changes in the zooplankton size spectra at Mombetsu Harbour in the southern Okhotsk Sea were studied by using OPC measurements of zooplankton samples collected at fine temporal intervals (approximately every 3.5 days) from January to December 2011. Based on the OPC data, an NBSS analysis was conducted. To evaluate the environmental factors governing the zooplankton size spectra, structural equation modelling (SEM) analysis was also performed. Regional comparisons were made between the NBSS slopes and
characteristics of the zooplankton community at Mombetsu Harbour in the southern Okhotsk Sea.

2. Methods

2.1. Field Sampling

Zooplankton sampling was conducted from a bridge across a pier at a depth of 9 m in Mombetsu Harbour (Fig. 1b). Vertical hauls with a NORPAC net (mouth diameter 45 cm, mesh size 335 µm) were made from an 8 m depth to the sea surface. Sampling was conducted during the daytime at intervals of 3-4 days (105 sampling times) from January 2 to December 31, 2011. Zooplankton samples were preserved with 5% borax-buffered formalin seawater. Temperature and salinity were measured by Conductivity Temperature Depth profiler (CTD) (JFE Advantec, ASTD102). Surface seawater samples were collected with a bucket, frozen and used for nutrient (NO₃) measurement by an AutoAnalyzer (Bran+Luebbe, AACS). A portion of each seawater sample was filtered through GF/F filters, and chlorophyll a (Chl. a) was extracted in N,N-dimethylformamide and measured by a fluorometer (Turner Designs, 10AU). As with the other environmental data, the meteorological data (rainfall, maximum wind speed) at Mombetsu were downloaded from the website of the
Meteorological Agency (http://www.data.jma.go.jp/obd/stats/etrn/index.php), and tidal level data at Mombetsu were obtained from the J-DOSS website (http://www.jodc.go.jp/jodcweb/JDOSS/index_j.html).

2.2. OPC analysis

Zooplankton samples, preserved with 5% borax-buffered formalin seawater, were used for OPC (Model OPC-1L: Focal Technologies Crop.) measurements using a flow-through system (CT&C Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). OPC measurements were made following the procedures outlined by Yokoi et al. (2008) as follows: (1) low flow rate (approximately 10 L min⁻¹), (2) low particle density (<10 counts sec⁻¹) and (3) measurement taken only once without staining. Because OPC cannot separately count the zooplankton and non-zooplankton particles, the count data can include non-zooplankton (e.g., copepod fragments and detritus), especially in the small size classes. In this study, the size classes smaller than the mesh size of the net (335 µm) were removed from analysis because the particle counts in the smaller size classes could be non-quantitative and/or underestimated. Size classes larger than 5.00 mm were also removed because these particles were often assemblages of zooplankton (e.g., medusa fragments combined the other zooplankton), which was visually confirmed during OPC
From the number of particles \( (n) \), filtered volume of the net \( (F: \text{m}^3) \) and vertical haul depth (8 m), the abundance \( (N: \text{ind. m}^{-2}) \) at 4,096 equivalent spherical diameter (ESD) size categories was calculated from the following equation:

\[
N = \frac{n \times 8}{F}
\]

The biovolume of the zooplankton community at 4,096 ESD size categories (mm\(^3\) ind.\(^{-1}\)) was calculated from the ESD. By multiplying the abundance at each size category \( (N) \) (ind. m\(^{-2}\)), the biovolume (mm\(^3\) m\(^{-2}\)) was calculated.

Additionally, we measured the size spectra of the dominant species using sorted samples with up to 100 individuals (24 individuals for *Neocalanus cristatus*).

### 2.3. Cluster analysis

To evaluate temporal changes in zooplankton biovolume, a cluster analysis was performed. Biovolume data between 0.35 and 5.0 mm ESD, covering the size range of major zooplankton, were binned into 47 size classes at 0.1-mm ESD intervals. Biovolume data \( (X: \text{mm}^3 \text{ m}^{-2}) \) were normalized as \( \log_{10}(X+1) \). Similarities between zooplankton samples were then calculated using the Bray-Curtis similarity index. To group the samples, similarity indices were coupled with hierarchical agglomerative
clustering using a complete linkage method (Unweighted Pair Group Method using Arithmetic Mean: UPGMA; Field et al., 1982). Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination was performed to distribute the sample groups on a two-dimensional map (Field et al., 1982). To clarify which environmental parameters (temperature, salinity, Chl. \(a\), NO\(_3\), rainfall, wind direction, or wind speed) exhibited significant relationships with the zooplankton sample groups, multiple regression analyses were performed using StatView (SAS Institute Inc.).

Before OPC measurement, zooplankton species were identified under a stereomicroscope using 1/5 –1/20 aliquots of zooplankton samples. To evaluate the zooplankton species characterizing each community group, inter-group differences in abundance were tested for the top 20 most abundant zooplankton species by using one-way ANOVA.

### 2.4. Normalized Biomass Size Spectra

Due to underestimation in the smallest size class (i.e., 300-400 \(\mu\)m), this class was removed to calculate the fit model in NBSS analysis. From the OPC data, zooplankton biovolumes (mm\(^3\) m\(^{-3}\)) between 0.40 and 5.0 mm ESD were averaged for each 0.1-mm ESD size class. To calculate the \(X\)-axis of the NBSS (\(X\): log\(_{10}\)
zooplankton biovolume [mm$^3$]), the biovolume of each size class (mm$^3$) was converted into a common logarithm. To calculate the $Y$–axis of the NBSS ($Y$: log$_{10}$ zooplankton biovolume [mm$^3$ m$^{-3}$] / Δbiovolume [mm$^3$]), the biovolume was divided by the biovolume interval (Δbiovolume [mm$^3$]) and converted to a common logarithm. Based on these data, the NBSS linear model was calculated as follows:

$$Y = aX + b$$

where $a$ and $b$ are the slope and intercept of the NBSS, respectively.

2.5. Structural Equation Modelling analysis

SEM analysis was performed to evaluate the factors governing the changes in zooplankton abundance and biovolume, NBSS slope and NBSS intercept (Stomp et al., 2011). For SEM analysis, atmospheric parameters (rainfall, wind speed and wind direction), hydrographic parameters (daily differences in tide level, temperature, salinity, Chl. $a$ and NO$_3$) and zooplankton parameters (abundance, biovolume, NBSS slope and intercept) were transformed into normalized values (average= 0, standard deviation= 1), and correlation coefficients between all parameters were calculated. For the path analysis, we sorted the parameters into the following three categories, 1: atmospheric parameters, 2: hydrographic parameters, and 3: zooplankton parameters.
3. Results

3.1. Hydrography

In 2011, sea ice was present from January 19 to March 4 at Mombetsu, and its retreat was approximately 20 days earlier than normal (1st Regional Coast Guard website). Throughout the study period, the integrated mean temperature ranged from -1.7 to 21.6°C; it was low during February and high from the end of August to September (Fig. 2a). The integrated mean salinity ranged from 30.6 to 33.7 and was low with little variability from the end of November to March, whereas it was high with great variability from April to October (Fig. 2a). The nutrient NO₃ ranged from 0.07 to 30.05 μM and was high during January. High nutrients occurred occasionally in June, which corresponded with times of low salinity (Fig. 2b). Chl. a ranged from 0.3 to 15.8 μg L⁻¹, showing high values from February to March (Fig. 2b). Daily differences in tidal level ranged from 30 to 138 cm with low variability in March and September (Fig. 2c). Air temperature ranged from -11.9 to 27.0°C, and it was low and high in January and August, respectively (Fig. 2d). The daily amount of rainfall was high during the summer (Fig. 2d). High daily amounts of rainfall during July to October induced a sudden, concurrent decrease in salinity (<32). The daily maximum
wind speed ranged from 2.2 to 11.8 m s\(^{-1}\), was dominated by a westerly wind and was faster during the winter (Fig. 2e).

3.2. OPC calibration

For zooplankton abundance, a comparison between microscopic data (\(X\)) and OPC data (\(Y\)) showed a highly significant correlation, and the values from the OPC data were slightly lower (0.897 times) than those from the microscopic data (\(Y=0.897X, r^2=0.856, p<0.0001\), Fig. 3).

3.3. Temporal changes

The zooplankton abundance by OPC ranged from 2,206 to 203,158 ind. m\(^{-2}\) and was high during April (Fig. 4a). The zooplankton abundance based on microscopic observation ranged from 2,027 to 215,706 ind. m\(^{-2}\) and was also high during April (Fig. 4b). The zooplankton biovolume based on OPC ranged from 386 to 30,685 mm\(^3\) m\(^{-2}\) and was high from March to May and low from August to November (Fig. 4a). The NBSS slope ranged from -2.37 to -0.628 and was lower than -1 from June to December (Fig. 4b). Further, the NBSS intercept ranged from -0.629 to 1.265 and was high between late March to April and in early July (Fig. 4c).
3.4. Cluster analysis based on zooplankton biovolume

Based on the biovolume size spectra, zooplankton communities were classified into six groups (A–F) at 40% dissimilarity by cluster analysis (Fig. 5a). Within the hydrographic parameters, the integrated mean temperature had a significant relationship with the NMDS of each group (Fig. 5b). Each group occurred separately by season. Thus, group A was observed from January to February, group C occurred from March to May, Group E was observed from March to April, group F was observed from April to May, group B was observed from July to December, and group D was observed from November to June (Fig. 4). According to Hamasaki et al. (1998), size-fractionated Chl. \(a\) at Mombetsu Harbour was classified into three seasonal periods as follows: summer (June-October), winter (November-February and April-May) and ice-covered (March). For the zooplankton size spectra in this study, groups B and A were observed during summer and winter, respectively, which correspond to the seasonal periods of Chl. \(a\) (Fig. 4). However, the remaining groups C-F were observed from March to June and showed short-term exchanges in that period, which indicates that frequent temporal changes in zooplankton size spectra occurred in that period (Figs. 2, 4).

Except for group C, the zooplankton biovolume was dominated by the smaller
0.35 to 1-mm ESD size class (Fig. 5c). Few of the zooplankton in the large 4- to 5-mm ESD size class were in groups A and B, but they dominated group C. The zooplankton size spectra were similar for groups D and E, whereas the mean biovolume was approximately three times higher for group E than it was for group D. Zooplankton size was dominated by a larger size class in group F than in groups D and E.

3.5. Taxonomic accounts

Among the most numerous zooplankton (top 20 species in annual mean abundance) based on microscopic observation, euphausiids (*Euphausia pacifica* and *Thysanoessa inermis*), copepods (*Eurytemora herdmani*, *Acartia longiremis*, *Tortanus discaudatus*), brachyurans larvae, cladocerans (*Pleopis polyphemoides*, *Podon leuckarti*), hydrozoans, gastropods and echinopluteus larvae showed significantly different abundance between the zooplankton groups separated by OPC biovolume (Table 1). Group B was characterized by a dominance of cladocerans (*P. polyphemoides* and *P. leuckarti*) and echinopluteus larvae. Group C was dominated by euphausiids. Group D was dominated by the copepod *A. longiremis*, barnacle larvae and gastropods. Group E was dominated by the copepod *E. herdmani* and brachyurid
larvae. Group F was dominated by the copepod *T. discaudatus* and hydrozoans. No species showed a high abundance in group A.

### 3.6. NBSS in clustering group

The mean NBSS of each group is shown in Fig. 6. The NBSS slope and intercept for group A were both low. The steepest NBSS slope was seen for group B. For groups A, D and E, prominent peaks were observed around -1 of the X-axis (log$_{10}$ zooplankton biovolume [mm$^3$]), and a peak for group C was seen at approximately -1.5. These peaks corresponded to the sizes of the abovementioned abundant species. Thus, the peak of group B consisted of cladocerans; group C peaks corresponded to euphausiid eggs and nauplii and the large copepod *Neocalanus cristatus* C5; the peak of group D consisted of copepod *A. longiremis*; and the peak of group E was composed of copepod *E. herdmani* (Fig. 6).

### 3.7. SEM analysis

From the SEM analysis, of the eight environmental parameters (rainfall, wind speed, wind direction, daily differences in tidal level, water temperature, salinity, Chl. *a*, and NO$_3$), high correlations were observed between temperature and salinity (path
coefficients: pc= 0.55-0.56) (Fig. 7). Regarding zooplankton parameters, zooplankton abundance and biovolume had common negative correlations with temperature (pc ranged from -0.50 to -0.65). The slope of the NBSS showed no relationship to any of the parameters. The intercept had positive and negative correlations with rainfall and NO₃, respectively.

3.8. Seasonality of water mass with hydrography and zooplankton community

In this study, the ranges of water temperature and salinity for each zooplankton cohort were classified into three groups (Fig. 8). Group A had the lowest water temperature and salinity, group B had the highest water temperature and salinity, and groups C-F showed moderate water temperatures of approximately 3–7°C and a salinity of 32–33 (Fig. 8). From the water mass classification in this area (Aota, 1975; Takizawa, 1982), group A was classified into the East Sakhalin Current Water, groups C-F were in the Okhotsk Surface Water and group B was considered a mixture of Okhotsk Surface Water and Soya Warm Current Water. The warm and saline group B was seen from July to December, and no other groups occurred during that time period (Fig. 4).
4. Discussion

4.1. OPC measurement

The OPC has been used in numerous studies (Sprules et al., 1998; Nogueira et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2009); however, some papers have reported on the weaknesses of the OPC measurement for the evaluation of zooplankton communities. First, OPC cannot detect whether the particles are organisms or non-organisms (e.g., detritus, fragments of copepods). For this reason, multiple measurements by OPC tend to overestimate the abundance in the fixed samples due to fragmentation (Sprules et al., 1998; Beaulieu et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2000). Additionally, since the device measures the size of particles based on the extent of attenuation of a light beam, coincident counts (i.e., two or more particles coincident in the light beam, resulting in a single count and a size measurement equal to the sum of the particles), particle shapes (e.g., slenderness) and the degree of particle transparency could cause underestimates of both the biovolume and particle numbers (Herman, 1992; Sprules et al., 1998; Zhang et al., 2000). In this study, our measurement methods followed those of Yokoi et al. (2008), as mentioned as above. Furthermore, size classes smaller than the mesh size of the net (335 µm) were removed because smaller size classes could be non-quantitative and/or underestimated. These conditions and pretreatments of the data set could
indicate a good relationship between the microscopic count and the OPC measurement.

4.2. Temporal changes

Both zooplankton abundance and biovolume showed a negative correlation with water temperature in SEM analysis (Fig. 7). The ranges of water temperature and salinity were different among the zooplankton groups (Fig. 8). The zooplankton community in the southern Okhotsk Sea is known to vary between cold-water and warm-water species; i.e., cold-water species occur at <12°C and <33.6 salinity, whereas warm-water species occur at >12°C and >33.6 salinity (Asami et al., 2007). Cold-water species include the copepods *N. cristatus*, *Pseudocalanus minutus*, *P. newmani*, *Metridia pacifica* and *M. okhotensis* (Asami et al., 2009; Shimada et al., 2012). These cold-water species are also known to be dominant in basin areas of the Okhotsk Sea (Itoh et al., 2014). As warm-water species, the following three copepods were abundant: *Paracalanus parvus* s.l., *Acartia steueri* and *A. hudsonica* (Asami et al., 2007).

During the ice-covered period, zooplankton may feed mainly on ice algae (Hiwatari et al., 2008). Once the ice has melted, an ice edge bloom is initiated (Mustapha and Saitoh, 2008). After the ice retreats, the water mass at the surface layer
is characterized by the less saline Okhotsk Surface Water (Aota, 1975). The volume
transport of the Soya Warm Current is lowest during winter, increasing from May to
June, and reaches a maximum in autumn (Takizawa, 1982). The volume transport of
the counterpart cold East Sakhalin Current is known to be greater during winter
(Oshima et al., 2002). The variability of the volume transport of the Soya Warm
Current and the East Sakhalin Current corresponds well to the differences in water
levels between the Japan Sea and Okhotsk Sea (Aota, 1975).

From summer (July) to winter (November), the zooplankton community
consisted of one group (Group B). This corresponds to the period of intensive flow of
the Soya Warm Current (Aota, 1975). As shown in the T-S diagrams of the Mombetsu
Harbour, the water was sufficiently warm but had lower saline content during this
season (Fig. 8). This lower saline water is considered to be modified Soya Warm
Current Water, and because the present study area is located in a coastal area, the
addition of fresh water such as rainfall and river runoff (see spikes in Fig. 2d) induced
decreases in salinity (Fig. 2a). There seemed to be a link between rainfall and low
salinity (Fig. 2), but the effect on zooplankton of these parameters was unclear because
rainfall and NO$_3$ showed a positive and negative effect on zooplankton biovolume and
intercept; further, there was no relationship with salinity according to the SEM analysis.
Thus, prominent characteristics of seasonality in zooplankton at Mombetsu Harbour include stability and less variability at low zooplankton biovolumes, and size spectra and community structure similarities were observed from summer to winter when covered by modified Soya Warm Current Water.

4.3. Taxonomic accounts

Species composition can help improve our understanding of the seasonality and distribution of the zooplankton community size spectra at Mombetsu Harbour. *P. polyphemoides*, which is dominant in group B, is known to be abundant in neritic and estuarine environments (Bosch and Taylor, 1973; Onbé, 1974). *P. leuckarti* and *E. nordmanni* are classified as cold-water species in Toyama bay (Onbé and Ikeda, 1995). These cladocerans are known to have resting eggs (Onbé, 1978). The hatching of resting eggs of *E. nordmanni* is reported to be possible at temperatures of 5-20°C (Komazawa and Endo, 2002). In Mombetsu Harbour, low temperatures (<5°C) were seen from December to May (Fig. 2a). Cladocerans generally spend that cold season as resting eggs in the bottom mud.

In group C, euphausiid eggs, nauplii and the large copepod *N. cristatus* were found to be important species based on the results of one-way ANOVA and NBSS.
Offshore in the southern Okhotsk Sea, *N. cristatus* was dominated by C1-C3 stages during spring and was composed of C4-C5 stages from summer to autumn (Tsuda et al., 2015). In this study, *N. cristatus* C5 occurred in group C during spring. This occurrence would be partly caused by the high Chl. *a* of the neritic zone found in this study during March (>5 μg L⁻¹, Fig. 2b). The high Chl. *a* in Mombetsu Harbour may accelerate the development of *N. cristatus*. Thus, dominance of the C5 stages of *N. cristatus* may be observed with a much faster timing than in the offshore region. The dominance of euphausiid eggs and nauplii may also be a reflection of this large spring phytoplankton bloom. Therefore, the reproduction of euphausiids may be achieved by the spring phytoplankton bloom from February to March, and their resulting eggs and nauplii may dominate group C from March to April (Table 1).

From January to February, the zooplankton community was represented by only group A and had the lowest biovolume. Because the time period of January to February was characterized by sea ice coverage and had the coldest temperatures (Fig. 2a), a low hatchability of resting eggs and slow zooplankton growth may have led to the low zooplankton biovolume that was observed during that time.

Seasonal occurrence timing varied for the abovementioned zooplankton groups (A, B and C), while the remaining groups (D, E and F) occurred in overlapping seasons.
In particular, from April to May, four zooplankton groups (C, D, E and F) were found, and the zooplankton size spectra showed high short-term variability (Fig. 4). The plotted areas of the four groups overlapped in the T-S diagrams, and classification was difficult when using physical oceanography (Fig. 8) parameters. However, the zooplankton biovolume and size spectra showed great differences between groups (Fig. 5c). Thus, it was possible to classify each group from the viewpoint of biological oceanography. The dominant species varied among groups D, E and F (Table 1). For copepods, groups D, E, and F were characterized by a greater abundance of *A. longiremis*, *E. herdmani* and *T. discaudatus*, respectively. Among them, *A. longiremis* and *T. discaudatus* are known to have resting eggs in the bottom sediment (Marcus, 1990; Marcus et al., 1994). Although no information is available on the resting eggs of *E. herdmani*, the congener *E. affinis* is reported to have resting eggs (Marcus et al., 1994) and has a high abundance around the ice edge (Coyle and Cooney, 1988). Thus, from the viewpoints of the hatching of resting eggs, the ice edge and the spring phytoplankton bloom, the spatial and temporal heterogeneity in the biological environment is expected to be high from April to May. This phenomenon provides greater temporal variability in zooplankton communities within a short time period.
4.4. NBSS characteristics

NBSS is derived from zooplankton biomass size spectra and is known to be an index of productivity of marine ecosystems, transfer efficiency to higher trophic levels and predator-prey interactions (Herman and Harvey, 2006; Zhou, 2006). The NBSS slope is known to vary with biomass productivity, energy transfer efficiency and predator-prey interactions (Zhou, 2006; Zhou et al., 2009). Under a theoretical steady state, the slope settles around -1, while slopes steeper than -1 indicate high productivity but low energy transfer efficiency, and slopes flatter than -1 indicate low productivity but high energy transfer efficiency (Sprules and Munawar, 1986). According to Vandromme et al. (2014), a slope flatter than -1 indicates post-bloom conditions because larger zooplankton species are observed later in the productive season (e.g., spring) than smaller ones are. Additionally, steeper NBSS slopes indicate high productivity due to the higher proportion of herbivorous zooplankton (Zhou et al., 2009).

If steeper slopes are found in oligotrophic situations, it means that the slopes are supported by primary production of microbial food webs (Iriarte and González, 2004).

In the present study, the NBSS slope varied among the groups, ranging from –0.85 (group C) to –1.52 (group B). Groups A and C showed flatter slopes (–0.85 – 1.1) than the other groups (–1.31 – –1.52) (Fig. 6). These groups were observed in
cold and less saline conditions (Fig. 8) from January to April (Fig. 4). On the other hand, slopes steeper than -1 were the case for groups B, D, E and F. Among them, the slope of group B from summer to winter was the steepest (-1.52) for the zooplankton communities at Mombetsu Harbour. Overall, the slopes of zooplankton NBSS in Mombetsu Harbour showed the following clear seasonal patterns: they were flatter from winter to spring and steeper from summer to autumn. These seasonal patterns corresponded well to the water mass exchanges. For the winter-spring East Sakhalin Current, low productivity under cold conditions would occur, with high transfer efficiency to large-sized plankton. In contrast, in the summer-autumn Soya Warm Current, high productivity would occur under warm and stable conditions, with a low transfer efficiency and few large-sized plankton expected.

The NBSS slopes based on zooplankton biovolume (the same unit in this study) from worldwide oceans are summarized in Table 2. In several studies, seasonal or spatial changes in NBSS slopes within the region were reported. For instance, the NBSS slopes for offshore regions and estuaries in the Gulf of St. Lawrence were reported to be -0.47 and -0.90, respectively (Herman and Harvey, 2006). For the Brazilian Continental Shelf, the NBSS slopes for offshore and neritic regions were reported to be -0.86 and -1.25, respectively (Marcolin et al., 2013). For the Chukchi
Sea, the NBSS slopes for oligotrophic and eutrophic regions were -0.86 and -1.11, respectively (Matsuno et al., 2012). For the western Antarctic Peninsula, the NBSS slopes during autumn and summer are reported to be -0.92 and -1.80, respectively (Zhou et al., 2009). Thus, these studies show that NBSS slopes were steeper for the high productivity season/region. The variation of NBSS slopes within a region (ratio between maximum: minimum in NBSS slopes) was calculated to range from 1.29 to 1.96 (mean±sd: 1.65±0.33) (Table 2).

In the present study, the NBSS slopes at Mombetsu Harbour ranged from -0.85 to -1.52, and the variation in NBSS slope (maximum: minimum) was 1.79. This value was within the variations observed for the abovementioned regions. The NBSS slopes were within the reported values of between -0.47 offshore of the Gulf of St. Lawrence (Herman and Harvey, 2006) and -2.30 in the California Bay (Napp et al., 1993) (cf. Table 2). These facts suggest that, while seasonal variability was great, the NBSS of zooplankton communities at Mombetsu Harbour are typical. The occurrence frequency of highly productive groups (groups A, B, D, E and F) was 95.2% (=100/105*100), and they were defined by steeper slopes, indicating a high productivity of zooplankton (Zhou et al., 2009). This finding indicates that the zooplankton community structure changes seasonally with water mass exchanges, but the
productivity was continuously high at Mombetsu Harbour throughout the year.

4.5. Conclusion

The hydrography of Mombetsu Harbour is characterized by temporal ice coverage in winter and the exchange of the following two water masses: the Soya Warm Current and the East Sakhalin Current. Zooplankton size spectra were within the ranges similar to those of previous reports and were divided into three seasonal regimes.

During the ice-covered period (January to February), zooplankton abundance was low because of the low productivity and lower levels of resting egg hatching under cold conditions. During the ice-retreat from March to May, an ice-edge bloom formed, reproduction of euphausiids occurred, and zooplankton biomass was high. During spring, although the physical parameters (e.g., water temperature and salinity) were similar, several zooplankton communities, as characterized by different size spectra and taxonomic accounts, were exchanged over a short period. These facts suggest that the spatio-temporal variability in zooplankton communities was the most prominent during that season. From summer to winter (June to December), the Soya Warm Current was present, zooplankton biomass was low and steady, and cladocerans dominated, which may have been due to the hatching of resting eggs.
From the SEM analysis, zooplankton abundance and biovolume showed negative correlations with water temperature. This relationship was a reflection of the water mass exchanges that are characterized by greater differences in water temperature. Although there was a clear seasonality in the zooplankton community and size spectra, the frequency of highly productive groups occurred at a high level (95.2%) throughout the year. This indicates that the zooplankton community structure changed seasonally with water mass exchanges but that the productivity was kept continuously high in almost all periods during the year at Mombetsu Harbour.
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Figure captions

**Fig. 1.** (a) Location of Mombetsu, northeastern Hokkaido. Arrows indicate the approximate direction of current flows. (b) Location of sampling station (solid star, depth ca. 9 m) at Mombetsu harbor.

**Fig. 2.** Seasonal changes in environmental parameters. (a) Daily mean sea water temperature and salinity in 0–9 m water column, (b) chlorophyll $a$ and nutrients (NO$_3$) at sea surface, (c) daily differences in tidal level, (d) air temperature and daily amount of rainfall, (e) maximum wind speed and direction at Mombetsu from January to December 2011. The sea ice observation period is shown by a horizontal bar at the top abscissa.

**Fig. 3.** Relationship between zooplankton abundance values derived from OPC counts and microscopic counts. All samples collected at Mombetsu harbor from January to December 2011 were included in this plot. The dashed line indicates the position of 1:1.

**Fig. 4.** Seasonal changes in (a) zooplankton abundance and biovolume derived from OPC measurement and (b) NBSS slope and (c) NBSS intercept based at Mombetsu harbor from January to December 2011. Seasonal occurrence periods of zooplankton groups (A–F), as identified by Bray–Curtis similarity
based on their community size distributions (cf. Fig. 5) are shown with upper bars. Values in the parentheses indicate the number of dates included in each group.

**Fig. 5.** (a) Results of cluster analysis based on mesozooplankton biovolume size spectra at Mombetsu harbor during 2011. Six groups (A–F) were identified at 40% Bray–Curtis similarity connected with UPGMA. Numbers in the parentheses indicate the number of dates each group contained. (b) NMDS plots of each group. The arrow and percentage indicate the direction of environmental parameters and the coefficient of determination ($r^2$), respectively. T: temperature. (c) Mean biovolume and size composition (ESD, mm) of each group.

**Fig. 6.** Mean NBSS of six groups (A–F) identified from cluster analysis based on mesozooplankton biovolume size spectra at Mombetsu harbor from January to December 2011 (cf. Fig. 5). Numbers in the parentheses indicate the number of samples belonging to each group. For the dominant zooplankton species in each group (cf. Table 1), the mean (symbols) and standard deviation (bars) of the biovolume data are shown in the panel.

**Fig. 7.** Results of structural equation model (SEM) analysis for total zooplankton (a)
abundance and (b) biovolume and NBSS (c) slope and (d) intercept. The
values along the pathways represent standardized path coefficients. Arrows
with solid or dashed lines indicate positive or negative effects. The thickness
of the arrows varies with the path coefficient values. The overall fit of the
model was evaluated using the goodness–of–fit index (GFI) and the adjunct
temperature, Sal: salinity, Rainfall: daily amount of rainfall, Wind speed: daily
maximum wind speed, Wind degree: daily maximum wind degree.

Fig. 8. T–S diagram of each zooplankton group. Symbols and bars indicate means
and standard deviations, respectively. Water mass classifications by Takizawa
(1982) are shown in the panel. S: Soya Warm Water, S’: Forerunner of Soya
Warm Water, IC: Intermediate Cold Water, O: Okhotsk Surface Water, E: East
Sakhalin Current Water.
Table 1. List of zooplankton species occurring at Mombetsu harbor from January to December 2011. The top 20 species in annual mean abundance are only shown in this table. The abundance of each group (A-F) identified with zooplankton biovolumes is shown (cf. Fig. 5). Inter-group differences in abundance were tested by one-way ANOVA. For species showing significant differences between groups, the abundance of the highest group underlined.

*: \( p < 0.05 \), **: \( p < 0.01 \), ***: \( p < 0.001 \), ****: \( p < 0.0001 \), ns: not significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>lower taxa / Species</th>
<th>Abundance (ind. m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>one-way ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual mean</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euphausiids</td>
<td>Euphausiid egg and nauplii</td>
<td>7,631</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepods</td>
<td><em>Pseudocalanus newmani</em></td>
<td>5,894</td>
<td>2,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepods</td>
<td><em>Eurytemora herdmani</em></td>
<td>5,359</td>
<td>2,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepods</td>
<td><em>Acartia longiremis</em></td>
<td>3,167</td>
<td>1,484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepods</td>
<td><em>Oithona atlantica</em></td>
<td>1,549</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladocerans</td>
<td><em>Evadne nordmanni</em></td>
<td>1,218</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendicularians</td>
<td><em>Fritillaria borealis</em></td>
<td>1,045</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decapods</td>
<td>Brachyura</td>
<td>1,028</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendicularians</td>
<td><em>Oikopleura longicauda</em></td>
<td>919</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnacles</td>
<td>Balanomorpha</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinoderms</td>
<td>Ophiopluteus larvae</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepods</td>
<td><em>Tortanus discaudatus</em></td>
<td>873</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladocerans</td>
<td><em>Pleopsis polyphemoides</em></td>
<td>835</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepods</td>
<td><em>Acartia hudsonica &amp; omorii</em></td>
<td>475</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrozoans</td>
<td>Rathkea sp.</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastropods</td>
<td>Gastropoda</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annelids</td>
<td>Polychaeta larvae</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepods</td>
<td><em>Clausocalanus pergens</em></td>
<td>293</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinoderms</td>
<td>Echinopluteus larvae</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladocerans</td>
<td><em>Podon leuckarti</em></td>
<td>248</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,957</td>
<td>725</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Comparison of slope \((a)\) of NBSS \((Y = aX + b)\) based on mesozooplankton biovolume at various locations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Size range (mm)</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of St Lawrence (open water)</td>
<td>0.25-2</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>Herman and Harvey (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barents Sea</td>
<td>0.25-14</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>Basedow et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasman Sea</td>
<td>0.11-3.3</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>Baird et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mombetsu (group C)</td>
<td>0.25-5</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazilian Continental Shelf (Oceanic)</td>
<td>0.1-5</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>Marcolin et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chukchi Sea (less productive)</td>
<td>0.25-5</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>Matsuno et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of St Lawrence (estuary)</td>
<td>0.25-2</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>Herman and Harvey (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Antarctic Peninsula (fall)</td>
<td>0.25-14</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>Zhou et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Pacific</td>
<td>0.25-5</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>Sato et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral Sea</td>
<td>0.11-3.3</td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>Suthers et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mombetsu (group A)</td>
<td>0.4-5</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chukchi Sea (more productive)</td>
<td>0.25-5</td>
<td>-1.11</td>
<td>Matsuno et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazilian continental shelf (coastal)</td>
<td>0.1-5</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>Marcolin et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mombetsu (group E)</td>
<td>0.4-5</td>
<td>-1.36</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mombetsu (group F)</td>
<td>0.4-5</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mombetsu (group D)</td>
<td>0.4-5</td>
<td>-1.37</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mombetsu (group B)</td>
<td>0.4-5</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Antarctic Peninsula (summer)</td>
<td>0.25-14</td>
<td>-1.80</td>
<td>Zhou et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Bight</td>
<td>0.25-5</td>
<td>-2.30</td>
<td>Napp et al. (1993)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. (a) Location of Mombetsu, northeastern Hokkaido. Arrows indicate the approximate direction of current flows. (b) Location of sampling station (solid star, depth ca. 9 m) at Mombetsu harbor.
Fig. 2. Seasonal changes in environmental parameters. (a) Daily mean sea water temperature and salinity in 0-9 m water column, (b) chlorophyll $a$ and nutrients (NO$_3$) at sea surface, (c) daily differences in tidal level, (d) air temperature and daily amount of rainfall, (e) maximum wind speed and direction at Mombetsu from January to December 2011. The sea ice observation period is shown by a horizontal bar at the top abscissa.
Fig. 3. Relationship between zooplankton abundances values derived from OPC counts and direct counts. All samples, collected at Mombetsu harbor from January to December 2011, were included in this plot. The dashed line indicates the position of 1:1.

\[ Y = 0.89701X \]
\[ (r^2 = 0.856, \ p < 0.0001) \]
Fig. 4. Seasonal changes in (a) zooplankton abundance, biovolume, (b) NBSS slope and (c) NBSS intercept derived from OPC measurement at Mombetsu harbor from January to December 2011. Seasonal observed periods of zooplankton groups (A-F), as identified by Bray-Curtis similarity based on their community size distributions (cf. Fig. 5) are shown with upper bars. Values in the parentheses indicate the number of dates included in each group.
Fig. 5. (a) Results of cluster analysis based on mesozooplankton biovolume size spectra at Mombetsu harbor during 2011. Six groups (A–F) were identified at 40% Bray–Curtis similarity connected with UPGMA. Numbers in the parentheses indicate the number of dates each group contained. (b) NMDS plots of each group. The arrow and percentage indicate the direction of environmental parameters and the coefficient of determination ($r^2$), respectively. T: temperature. (c) Mean biovolume and size composition (ESD, mm) of each group.
Fig. 6. Mean NBSS of six groups (A-F) identified from cluster analysis based on mesozooplankton biovolume size spectra at Mombetsu harbor from January to December 2011 (cf. Fig. 5). Numbers in the parentheses indicate the number of samples belonging to each group. For the dominant zooplankton species in each group (cf. Table 1), the mean (symbols) and standard deviation (bars) of the biovolume data are shown in the panel.
Fig. 7. Results of structural equation model (SEM) for total zooplankton (a) abundance and (b) biovolume and NBSS (c) slope and (d) intercept. The values along the pathways represent standardized path coefficients. Arrows with solid or dashed lines indicate positive or negative effects. The thickness of the arrows varies with the path coefficient values. The overall fit of the model was evaluated using the goodness-of-fit index (GFI) and the adjunct goodness-of-fit index (AGFI). Tide: daily differences of tidal level, Tem: sea temperature, Sal: salinity, Rainfall: daily amount of rainfall, Wind speed: daily maximum wind speed, Wind degree: daily maximum wind degree.
Fig. 8. T- S diagram of each zooplankton group observed period. Symbols and bars indicate means and standard deviations, respectively. Water mass classifications by Takizawa (1982) are shown in the panel. S: Soya Warm Water, S’: Forerunner of Soya Warm Water, IC: Intermediate Cold Water, O: Okhotsk Surface Water, E: East Sakhalin Current Water.