Title	Decarbonylation through Aldehydic C–H Bond Cleavage by a Cationic Iridium Catalyst
Author(s)	Shirai, Tomohiko; Sugimoto, Kazuki; Iwasaki, Masaya; Sumida, Ryuki; Fujita, Harunori; Yamamoto, Yasunori
Citation	Synlett, 30(08), 972-976 https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1611802
Issue Date	2019-05
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/77810
Type	article (author version)
File Information	Synlett30(08)972-976-AcceptedVersion20190322.pdf

[Instructions for use](#)

Decarbonylation *via* Aldehydic C–H Bond Cleavage by Cationic Iridium Catalyst

Tomohiko Shirai^{a*}Kazuki Sugimoto^bMasaya Iwasaki^bRyuki Sumida^bHarunori Fujita^aYasunori Yamamoto^c

^a Department of Social Design Engineering, National Institute of Technology, Kochi College, 200-1 Monobe, Nankoku, Kochi 783-8508

^b Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National Institute of Technology, Kochi College, 200-1 Monobe, Nankoku, Kochi 783-8508

^c Division of Chemical Process Engineering and Frontier Chemistry Center (FCC), Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Kita 13 Nishi 8 Kitaku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8628

shirai@kochi-ct.ac.jp

Received:

Accepted:

Published online:

DOI:

Abstract We report the decarbonylation of aldehydes *via* aldehydic C–H bond cleavage catalyzed by cationic iridium/bisphosphine catalyst. The reaction proceeds under relatively mild reaction conditions, providing the corresponding hydrocarbon products in moderate to high yields. In addition, this cationic iridium catalyst system can be applied to asymmetric ketone hydroacylation reaction.

Key words decarbonylation, cationic iridium, benzaldehyde, C–C bond cleavage, asymmetric acylation, ketone

Transition metal-catalyzed carbonylation using inexpensive carbon monoxide (CO gas) is one of the most common industrial processes to synthesize the bulk and fine chemicals.¹ Similarly, transition metal-catalyzed decarbonylation of carbonyl compounds is the fundamental reaction in synthetic chemistry because it can be applied to beneficial C–C bond formations such as cross-coupling reactions.^{2–4} In addition, decarbonylation reaction is also regarded as an efficient method for attractive carbon–carbon bond cleavage.⁵ Among them, decarbonylation of aldehyde is most basic decarbonylation reaction and have been known for a long time. The stoichiometric rhodium-mediated simple decarbonylation for aldehydes have first developed by Tsuji and Ohno in 1965.⁶ Decarbonylation of aldehydes was well-

established, and was realized by use of various transition metal catalysts.^{5a, 6b, 7–12} In 2008, Tsuji and co-workers reported that aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes react in the presence of a neutral iridium catalyst to give corresponding decarbonylated products in good yield (Scheme1 (a)).^{10c} A wide range of functional group were tolerant for this reaction. Recently, earth-abundant nickel-catalyzed decarbonylation of aldehydes was also developed.¹¹ More recently, cobalt-mediated decarbonylation of aldehydes has been reported by Tonzetich.¹² Although there are many active catalyst systems for decarbonylation, a common feature of most of these reactions is to use neutral metal complex. However, it is known that cationic complex promotes the decarbonylation by decreasing π -back-donation from metal center to an antibonding orbital of CO.^{7a} As a new entry for catalytic decarbonylation of aldehydes, herein we describe the development of a cationic iridium-catalyzed decarbonylation reaction of aldehydes with high substituent

Table 1 Screening of Reaction Conditions for Catalytic Decarbonylation

entry	cat.	ligand	solvent	yield (%) ^a
1	[Ir(cod) ₂](BarF ₄)	<i>rac</i> -binap	Toluene	68
2	[Ir(cod) ₂](BF ₄)	<i>rac</i> -binap	Toluene	32
3	[IrCl(cod)] ₂	<i>rac</i> -binap	Toluene	18
4	[Ir(cod) ₂](BarF ₄)	(<i>R</i>)-tol-binap	Toluene	69
5	[Ir(cod) ₂](BarF ₄)	(<i>R</i>)-xyl-binap	Toluene	75
6	[Ir(cod) ₂](BarF ₄)	(<i>R</i>)-segphos	Toluene	61
7	[Ir(cod) ₂](BarF ₄)	dppe	Toluene	7
8	[Ir(cod) ₂](BarF ₄)	PPh ₃	Toluene	4

Scheme 1 Iridium-catalyzed Decarbonylation of Aldehydes

9	$[\text{Ir}(\text{cod})_2](\text{BAR}^{\text{F}}_4)$	(<i>R</i>)-xyl-binap	THF	78
10	$[\text{Ir}(\text{cod})_2](\text{BAR}^{\text{F}}_4)$	(<i>R</i>)-xyl-binap	DME	64
11	$[\text{Ir}(\text{cod})_2](\text{BAR}^{\text{F}}_4)$	(<i>R</i>)-xyl-binap	1,4-Dioxane	77

^a GC yield against internal standard (methyl 3-nitrobenzoate)

compatibility (Scheme 1(b)). Initially, we choose 2-ethoxybenzaldehyde (**1a**) as a model substrate and attempted the decarbonylation in the presence of $[\text{Ir}(\text{cod})_2](\text{BAR}^{\text{F}}_4)$ (5 mol%) and *rac*-BINAP (5.5 mol%) in toluene at 135 °C (Note; we previously confirmed that the chirality of ligand does not affected the reactivity). Under these conditions, the decarbonylation proceeded to give the product **2a** in 68% yield (Table 1, entry 1).

Table 2 Cationic Iridium-Catalyzed Decarbonylation of Aldehydes

entry	aldehyde (1a–1n)	product (2a–2n)	yield ^a
1			78%
2			35% ^b 69% ^{b,c}
3			n.r.
4			71%
5			28%
6			37% ^b
7			63% ^b
8			91% ^b 49% ^d
9			32% ^b
10			65% ^b
11			59%
12			72%
13			83%
14			49%
15			49%
16			71%

Reaction conditions: aldehyde **1** (0.25 mmol), Ir cat (5 mol%), (*R*)-Xyl-BINAP (1.1 equiv to Ir) in THF, stirred for 24 h at 135 °C in sealed tube.
^aGC yield, ^bisolated yield, ^c48 h, n.r. = no reaction. ^d $[\text{IrCl}(\text{cod})]_2/\text{PPh}_3$ system was used for the sake of comparison.

The reaction with $[\text{Ir}(\text{cod})_2](\text{BF}_4)$ as catalyst precursor resulted in an apparent decrease in the product yield (entry 2). Note also that the neutral iridium/*rac*-binap complex performed poorly for decarbonylation under optimized conditions (entry 3). It is known that the decarbonylation by $[\text{Ir}(\text{coe})_2\text{Cl}]_2/\text{rac}$ -BINAP system requires the higher temperature (>160 °C) to obtain the desired product because this transformation by neutral Ir/bisphosphine complex likely proceeds *via* partial ligand dissociation of *rac*-BINAP to generate a coordinatively unsaturated active species, as reported by Madsen group.^{10f} Furthermore, both Tsuji group^{10c} and Madsen group^{10f} elucidated that the active species in neutral Ir-catalyzed decarbonylation contain less than two phosphines coordinated to iridium. The change of *rac*-binap to its analogues (entries 4–6), in particular, (*R*)-tol-binap and (*R*)-xyl-binap, led to further improvement of reactivity (69% and 75%, respectively; entries 4–5). However, dppf was not suitable ligand (entry 7). Furthermore, in contrast with the report by Tsuji, the use of monodentate phosphorus ligand such as PPh_3 significantly lowered the decarbonylation efficiency (entry 8). The solvent had some effect for reactivity, and the highest yield was obtained the reaction using THF (entry 9). With an optimized catalytic system in hand, we next explored its versatility by employing a variety of aldehydes **1** (Table 2). Note that in all cases of low to moderate yields, the unreacted starting materials were recovered without affording byproducts including the Tishchenko reaction product.¹¹ 2-Alkoxybenzaldehydes (**1a–b**) were tolerated, and the corresponding hydrocarbons (**2a–b**) were produced in moderate to good yield (entries 1, 2). Unexpectedly, when conducted with the substrate **1c** having the acetoxy group, no reaction was observed and the starting materials **1c** was recovered quantitatively. The decarbonylation products **2d** and **2e** were obtained in moderate to good yield even in the presence of unprotected phenol or aniline. (entries 4, 5) Moreover, moderate to high yields were obtained from the reactions of benzaldehyde **1f–1j** possessing ester or amide, ketone substituents (entries 6–10). In particular, the use of cationic iridium system was beneficial for **1h** having coordinative amide substituent compared with the neutral iridium system ($[\text{IrCl}(\text{cod})]_2/\text{PPh}_3$). Subsequent screening experiments were performed using *meta*- or *para*-substituted benzaldehyde **1k–1m**, affording the product **2a** in moderate to good yields (entry 11–13). This method can be applied to the reaction with sterically congested aldehyde **2n** (entry 14). In addition, aliphatic aldehydes **1o–1p** can be also applicable to decarbonylation in this catalytic system (**2o**, 49% yield and **2p**, 71% yield, entries 15, 16).

The mechanism of the decarbonylation has been already well-established as illustrated in Scheme 2. Lastly, we have also briefly investigated the application using reactive species for decarbonylation such as acyliridium–hydride intermediate generated *in situ* by aldehydic C–H bond cleavage.^{7f, 10f} Because the asymmetric hydroacylation of ketones catalyzed by rhodium^{13a–d} or cobalt^{13e} complex was previously reported, we presumed that the asymmetric hydroacylation occurs *via* acyliridium–hydride complex. In fact, cationic iridium-catalyzed atom-economical asymmetric hydroacylation of benzaldehyde **1j** proceeded to give the corresponding chiral phthalide **3** in 57% conversion with good enantioselectivity (70%ee) (Scheme 3). The cationic property of iridium complex was beneficial for the use in an asymmetric hydroacylation, and the neutral complex

Scheme 2 Proposed Catalytic Cycle for the Decarbonylation of Aldehydes

did not catalyze the reaction. To our knowledge, this reaction represents the first example of iridium-catalyzed asymmetric hydroacylation of ketone *via* aldehydic C–H bond cleavage.¹⁴

Scheme 3 Asymmetric hydroacylation of ketone

In summary, we have reported a new efficient system for the decarbonylation *via* aldehydic C–H bond cleavage using a cationic iridium/bidentate phosphine catalyst.¹⁵ The cationic iridium catalyst system is synthetically useful, as demonstrated by asymmetric hydroacylation of ketone providing convenient chiral five-membered lactones.¹⁶ We are currently working to develop new cationic iridium-catalyzed synthetic methods *via* C–H bond cleavage.

Funding Information

Support has been provided in part by the TAKE SYSTEMS CO., LTD.

Supporting Information

YES (this text will be updated with links prior to publication)

Primary Data

NO (this text will be deleted prior to publication)

References and Notes

- (1) For carbonylation and decarbonylation reactions: Fukuyama, T.; Maetani, S.; Ryu, I. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis II*, Vol. 3; Marek, I., Ed.; Elsevier: **2014**, 1073.
- (2) For metal-catalyzed decarbonylative C–C bond formation of aldehyde: (a) Varela, J. A.; González-Rodríguez, C.; Rubin, S. G.; Castedo, L.; Saá, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 9576. (b) Guo, X.; Wang, J.; Li, C.-J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 15092. (c) Guo, X.; Wang, J.; Li, C.-J. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 3176. (d) Shuai, Q.; Yang, L.; Guo, X.; Baslé, O.; Li, C.-J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 12212. (e) Yang, L.; Correia, C. A.; Guo, X.; Li, C.-J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 5486. (f) Yang, L.; Zeng, T.; Shuai, Q.; Guo, X.; Li, C.-J. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 47, 2161. (g) Kang, L.; Zhang, F.; Ding, L.-T.; Yang, L. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 100452. (h) Tomberg, A.; Kundu, S.; Zhou, F.; Li, C.-J.; Moitessier, N. *ACS Omega* **2018**, *3*, 3218.
- (3) For recent examples of decarbonylative radical C–C bond formation of aldehyde: (a) Paul, S.; Guin, J. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 17618. (b) Tang, R. J.; He, Q.; Yang, L.; *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, 51, 5925. (c) Tang, R. J.; Kang, L.; Yang, L. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2015**, *357*, 2055. (d) Zong, Z.; Wang, W.; Bai, X.; Xi, H.; Li, Z. P. *Asian J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *4*, 622. (e) Yang, L.; Lu, W.; Zhou, W.; Zhang, F. *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18*, 2941. (f) Ouyang, X.-H.; Song, R.-J.; Liu, B.; Li, J.-H. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2016**, *358*, 1903. (g) Lv, L.; Bai, X.; Yan, X.; Li, Z.; *Org. Chem. Front.* **2016**, *3*, 1509. (h) Biswas, P.; Paul, S.; Guin, J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 7756. (i) Pan, C.; Chen, Y.; Song, S.; Li, L.; Yu, J.-T. *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 12065. (j) Pan, C.; Chen, C.; Yu, J.-T. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2017**, *15*, 1096. (k) Li, Y.-X.; Wang, Q.-Q.; Yang, L. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2017**, *15*, 1338. (l) Li, W.-Y.; Wang, Q.-Q.; Yang, L. *Org. Biomol. Chem.*

- 2017**, *15*, 9987. (m) Liu, X.; Qian, P.; Wang, Y.; Pan, Y.; *Org. Chem. Front.* **2017**, *4*, 2370. (n) Li, Y.-X.; Li, W.-Y.; Jiang, Y.-Y.; Yang, L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2018**, *59*, 2934. (o) Biswas, P.; Guin, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 5629. (p) Li, Y.; Li, J.-H. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 5323. (q) Zou, H.-X.; Li, Y.; Yang, X.-H.; Xiang, J.; Li, J.-H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 8581. (r) Luo, Z.; Han, X.; Fang, Y.; Liu, P.; Feng, C.; Li, Z.; Xu, X. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2018**, *5*, 3299. (s) Tang, S.; Liu, Y.; Gao, X.; Wang, P.; Huang, P.; Lei, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 6006. (t) Wu, C.-S.; Li, R.; Wang, Q.-Q.; Yang, L.; *Green Chem.* **2019**, *21*, 269.
- (4) For selected reviews of decarbonylative transformation of other carbonyl compounds: (a) Gooßen, L. J.; Rodríguez, N.; Gooßen, K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 3100. (b) Dermenci, A.; Dong, G. *Sci. China. Chem.* **2013**, *56*, 685. (c) Takise, R.; Muto, K.; Yamaguchi, J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2017**, *46*, 5864. (d) Guo, L.; Rueping, M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 7794. (e) Liu, C.; Szostak, M. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2018**, *16*, 7998.
- (5) (a) Murphy, S. K.; Park, J.-W.; Cruz, F. A.; Dong, V. M. *Science* **2015**, *347*, 56. (b) Soullart, L.; Cramer, N. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 9410.
- (6) (a) Tsuji, J.; Ohno, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1965**, *6*, 3969. (b) Ohno, K.; Tsuji, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1968**, *90*, 99.
- (7) Rh cat: (a) Doughty, D. H.; Pignolet, L. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 7083. (b) Abu-Hasanayn, F.; Goldman, M. E.; Goldman, A. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 2520. (c) Beck, C. M.; Rathmill, S. E.; Park, Y. J.; Chen, J.; Crabtree, R. H.; Liabre-Sands, L. M.; Rheingold, A. L. *Organometallics* **1999**, *18*, 5311. (d) Kreis, M.; Palmelund, A.; Bunch, L.; Madsen, R. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2006**, *348*, 2148. (e) Fessard, T. C.; Andrews, S. P.; Motoyoshi, H.; Carreira, E. M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 9331. (f) Fristrup, P.; Kreis, M.; Palmelund, A.; Norrby, P.; Madsen, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 5206. (g) Taarning, E.; Madsen, R. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 5638.
- (8) Pd cat: (a) Hawthorne, J. O.; Wilt, M. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1960**, *25*, 2215. (b) Wilt, J. W.; Pawlikowski, W. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1975**, *40*, 3641. (c) Matsubara, S.; Yokota, Y.; Oshima, K. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 2071. (d) Ferri, D.; Mondelli, C.; Krumeich, F.; Baiker, A. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110*, 22982. (e) Chatterjee, M.; Ishizaka, T.; Kawanami, H. *Green Chem.* **2018**, *20*, 2345.
- (9) Ru cat: (a) Domazetis, G.; Tarpey, B.; Dorphin, D.; James, B. R. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1980**, 939. (b) Park, K. H.; Son, S. U.; Chung, Y. K. *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 1898. (c) Mazziotta, A.; Madsen, R. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, *36*, 5417.
- (10) Ir cat: (a) Shibata, T.; Toshida, N.; Yamasaki, M.; Maekawa, S.; Takagi, K. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 9974. (b) Kwong, F. Y.; Lee, H. W.; Lam, W. H.; Qiu, L.; Chan, A. S. C. *Tetrahedron Asymmetry* **2006**, *17*, 1238. (c) Iwai, T.; Fujihara, T.; Tsuji, Y. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 6215. (d) Geilen, F. M. A.; vom Stein, T.; Engendahl, S.; Winterle, S.; Liauw, M. A.; Klankermayer, J.; Leitner, W. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 6831. (e) Adams, J. J.; Arulsamy, N.; Roddick, D. M. *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 1439. (f) Olsen, E. P. K.; Singh, T.; Harris, P.; Andersson, P. G.; Madsen, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 834.
- (11) Ni cat: Ding, K.; Xu, S.; Alotaibi, R.; Paudel, K.; Reinheimer, E. W.; Weatherly, J. J. *Org. Chem.* **2017**, *82*, 4924.
- (12) Co-mediated decarbonylation: Alawisi, H.; Al-Afyouni, K. F.; Arman, H. D.; Tonzetich, Z. J. *Organometallics* **2018**, *37*, 4128.
- (13) For atom-economical asymmetric hydroacylation of ketones: (a) Shen, Z.; Khan, H. A.; Dong, V. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 2916. (b) Phan, D. H. T.; Kim, B.; Dong, V. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 15608. (c) Shen, Z.; Dornan, P. K.; Khan, H. A.; Woo, T. K.; Dong, V. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 1077. (d) Khan, H. A.; Kou, K. G. M.; Dong, V. M. *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 407. (e) Yang, J.; Yoshikai, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 16748.
- (14) For recent examples of enantioselective insertion of ketone C=O into Ir–H bond: (a) Yoshida, K.; Kamimura, T.; Kuwabara, H.; Yanagisawa, A. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 15442. (b) Ak, B.; Aydemir, M.; Durap, F.; Meric, N.; Elma, D.; Baysal, A. *Tetrahedron Asymmetry* **2015**, *26*, 1307. (c) Verkade, J. M. M.; Quaedflieg, P. J. L. M.; Verzijl, G. K. M.; Lefort, L.; van Delft, F. L.; de Vries, J. G.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 14462. (d) Liu, W.-P.; Yuan, M.-L.; Yang, X.-H.; Li, K.; Xie, J.-H.; Zhou, Q.-L. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 6123. (e) Tian, C.; Gong, L.; Meggers, E. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*,

4207. (f) Liu, Q.; Wang, C.; Zhou, H.; Wang, B.; Lv, J.; Cao, L.; Fu, Y. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 971. (g) Zhang, Y.-M.; Yuan, M.-L.; Liu, W.-P.; Xie, J.-H.; Zhou, Q.-L. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 4486.

(15) **Representative general procedure of decarbonylation - preparation of benzyl phenyl ether (2b)**

To an oven-dried sealed tube, [Ir(cod)₂](BARF₄) (0.0125 mmol, 5 mol%) and (*R*)-xyl-binap (0.0138 mmol, 5.5 mol%) and dry THF (1.0 mL) were added under N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min, followed by the addition of benzaldehyde **1b** (0.25 mmol). The reaction mixture was then heated at 135 °C. After being stirred for 24 h, the mixture was purified with silica gel column chromatography (eluent: Hexane only) to afford pure decarbonylation product **2b** (35% yield)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.27–7.45 (m, 7H), 6.96–7.00 (m, 3H), 5.07 (s, 3H)

General procedure of asymmetric hydroacylation - preparation of 3-methylisobenzofuran-1(3*H*)-one (3)

To an oven-dried 2-neck flask with condenser, [Ir(cod)₂](BARF₄) (0.0125 mmol, 5 mol%) and (*R*)-Xyl-BINAP (0.0138 mmol, 5.5 mol%) and dry THF (1.0 mL) were added under N₂ atmosphere. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 30 min, followed by the addition of benzaldehyde **1a** (0.25 mmol). The reaction mixture was then heated at 90 °C. After being stirred for 48 h, lactone (**3**) was confirmed by ¹H-NMR and conversion was determined by ¹H-NMR integration comparing to substrate peak.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.91 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (td, *J* = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.57 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.65 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H)

(16) Kosaka, M.; Sekiguchi, S.; Naito, I.; Uemura, M.; Kuwahara, S.; Watanabe, M.; Harada, N.; Hiroi, K. *Chirality* **2005**, *17*, 218.