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Introduction

Many of our commonly used skills, like speech, writing or even playing music are acquired during a long period of motor practice matching the subject’s initially poor motor skills to a previously learned model (Tanji, 2001; Hikosaka et al., 2002). A simple example of motor skill learning is motor sequence learning (Kornysheva, 2016; Garr, 2019). Over the course of experimental motor sequence learning in primates, neuronal firing in prefrontal cortical areas develops firing patterns locked to the boundaries of motor sequences, such as start and stop signals (Fujii and Graybiel, 2013). This firing locked to movement sequence boundaries not only develops in the prefrontal cortex, but it also develops downstream in the basal ganglia (Barnes et al., 2005). The cortical to basal ganglia connections mediating this transfer are thought to be important for the transfer of general motor timing information into the basal ganglia (Jin and Costa, 2010). However, the natural learning of many motor skills is guided by internal goals instead of external reward as in training experiments. Studies in sensorimotor learning settings indicate that motor learning from internal goals is more closely related to the function of motor cortices (Shadmehr and Krakauer, 2008). However, precisely how the motor, instead of prefrontal executive, cortices contribute mechanistically to motor skill learning remains still largely unknown. Motor cortical areas in primate brains develop neuronal firing time-locked to ongoing movements and not just sequence boundaries during learning, especially in their basal ganglia-projecting deep layers (Li et al., 2017). This time-locked input has been hypothesized to be crucial for the learning, execution and evaluation of motor acts (Fee and Goldberg, 2011; Tesileanu et al., 2017). Furthermore, plasticity at cortical to basal ganglia synapses has been related to the
learning of motor sequences (Jin and Costa, 2010; Koralek et al., 2012), with corticostriatal plasticity being involved in motor pathologies (Warre et al., 2011; Madeo et al., 2012). Therefore, a deeper understanding of the roles of motor cortical input into the basal ganglia for motor skill learning will be of value for basic neuroscience and also towards the understanding of motor pathologies (Stefanova et al., 2000; Willingham and Koroshetz, 1993).

However, investigating the involvement of corticobasal neurons in motor skill learning using mammalian models is a difficult task. Rodents are powerful models at the neuronal level but show poor skill learning. Primates are powerful at the behavioral level but are difficult to breed and experiment with at the molecular neuronal level. Therefore, an avian model, the zebra finch was used in this study. Most oscine songbirds learn their adult songs during a protracted process of motor skill learning known as vocal learning (Konishi, 1965; Marler, 1970). Other animals like suboscine songbirds or marmosets undergo a protracted development of fundamentally innate vocalizations (Liu et al., 2013; Takahashi et al., 2017). Oscine songbirds memorize their tutor’s song, learning how to imitate it through a series of stages involving sensory feedback and motor practice (Figure I-1). This vocal learning process possesses two advantages to study motor skill learning, first it resembles the most the learning of speech in human infants (Doupe and Kuhl, 1999). Secondly, it depends on a well characterized set of brain regions dedicated to the learning and production of birdsong, the song system (Brenowitz et al., 1997; Jarvis, 2004). This song system is formed by two pathways of interconnected nuclei, the posterior vocal motor pathway (VMP) and the anterior forebrain pathway (AFP) (Figure I-2A). The VMP is necessary to produce adult birdsong, while the AFP is necessary for juvenile song learning (Bottjer et al.,
1984; Sohrabji et al., 1990; Scharff and Nottebohm, 1991). The VMP is composed of
nucleus HVC (used as a proper name) projecting to nucleus robustus of the arcopallium
(RA), a cortical layer 5-like nucleus that drives the of the syrinx-innervating
hypoglossal nucleus (nXIIIs) (Vicario and Nottebohm, 1988; Wild, 1993). Conversely,
the AFP is formed by a basal ganglia-thalamo-pallium (cortical) loop spanning three
nuclei, the basal ganglia Area X, the medial part of the dorsolateral thalamic nucleus
(DLM) and the cortical-like lateral part of the magnocellular nucleus of the anterior
nidopallium (LMAN). The AFP finally converges on the vocal motor pathway through
LMAN’s synapses on nucleus RA.

Cortical-like nucleus HVC contains two different kinds of projection neurons:
HVC\textsubscript{(RA)} neurons projecting to nucleus RA and HVC\textsubscript{(X)} neurons projecting to the AFP
basal ganglia nucleus Area X (Dutar et al., 1998; Kubota and Taniguchi, 1998) (Figure
I-2B). During song learning, both populations of projection neurons in nucleus HVC
develop a chain-like firing pattern (Hahnloser et al., 2002; Long et al., 2010; Okubo et
al., 2015; Lynch et al., 2016). This firing pattern is composed at the individual neuron
level of precise time-locked bursts to specific time points in the bird’s song motifs. This
development of time-locked firing is reminiscent of the rough movement-locked firing
pattern of mammalian motor cortical neurons, which becomes more time-locked during
motor skill learning (Turner and DeLong, 2000; Li et al., 2017). Thus, bursts sparsely
locked to specific times during the whole song are available to Area X neurons through
synapses arising from HVC\textsubscript{(X)} neurons. However, the function of time-locked HVC\textsubscript{(X)}
input to the Area X still remains unknown. Hints from song learning mechanisms
suggested by several hypotheses can help answering this question.
There exist different hypotheses concerning the role of HVC\(_{(X)}\) input into the basal ganglia in vocal learning. An early hypothesis suggested that the AFP and the HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons were involved in computing auditory feedback signals for learning (Solis et al., 2000). However, recent electrophysiological work contested this hypothesis after finding that HVC neurons are mostly unresponsive to auditory information in the awake condition (Hamaguchi et al., 2014; Vallentin and Long, 2015; Picardo et al., 2016). An alternative hypothesis based on a reinforcement learning framework has been recently suggested for the function of HVC\(_{(X)}\) input into the basal ganglia in vocal learning (Fee and Goldberg, 2011). According to this hypothesis, the role of the AFP is to inject vocal variability into the VMP that will later be adaptively selected through an auditory feedback-dependent process to render a song progressively more similar to the tutor. Area X and the whole AFP loop is composed of a series of parallel channels that affect the song in different ways (increasing or lowering pitch, stopping the song, etc.) and are randomly activated at the beginning of learning. Conversely, HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons fire time-locked bursts temporally covering the whole song in an ordered fashion. The goal of the reinforcement learning mechanism lies in correctly wiring the HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons corresponding to every song time point to the correct AFP channels, thus driving down song variability and producing a song more similar to the tutor (Figure I-3.4). However, the actual function of HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons has not been examined in the context of a reinforcement learning framework.

In short, the reinforcement learning model works as follows (Fee and Goldberg, 2011). Neural activity starting from an Area X neuron population in a parallel channel active at a certain time point in the song will flow through the AFP circuit and return exactly onto the same Area X neuron population (Luo et al., 2001). In this way a copy of the
signal sent to RA neurons by LMAN neurons returns to the same Area X neurons that generated it. Area X neurons thus obtain three important signals to drive song learning. The first signal would be time-locked input from HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons providing “temporal context” information about the current temporal location inside the song. The second signal would be LMAN input conveying a “variability copy” that corresponds to the variability injected to the VMP at the HVC\(_{(X)}\)-specified time point. Finally, the third signal would be an “evaluative input” from Area X-projecting Ventral Tegmental Area (VTA neurons) indicating whether vocal motor performance at the HVC\(_{(X)}\)-specified time point was better or worse when compared to the tutor song memory (Gadagkar et al., 2016; Hisey et al., 2018). Each HVC\(_{(X)}\) neuron sends temporal context information corresponding to a specific song time point to a population of Area X medium spiny neurons. Whether the corticobasal synapse linking the specific temporal context, conveyed by HVC\(_{(X)}\) input, to the vocal motor change caused by the specific Area X neuron population is potentiated depends on dopamine release by VTA neurons. Dopaminergic axons in Area X increase dopamine release whether the song produced is more similar to the tutor (Fee and Goldberg, 2011). This process progressively wires HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons representing every time step in the song to the Area X neurons producing the best sounding output, thus increasing the acoustic similarity to the tutor. However, the validity of the reinforcement learning model rests on the expectation that time-locked HVC\(_{(X)}\) input is indeed used to “wire” the correct AFP variability-generating channels to the correct time points in the song. The removal of HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons can thus be predicted to affect the learning of tutor songs by failing to reduce the variability fed into the final song by the AFP. This could cause several effects on the
final song of HVC\(_{(X)}\) neuron-ablated birds, such as a failure to crystallize acoustics or sequence in a fixed motif.

Furthermore, adult zebra finches still retain a significant ability to adaptively modify their own song’s acoustics. This ability can be revealed by several studies training adult zebra finches to shift up or down the pitch of their songs through aversive conditioning (Sober and Brainard, 2009; Ali et al., 2013, Xiao et al., 2018). Another way to uncover this ability of adult songbirds to modify their own song is by presenting the adult songbird with distorted auditory feedback of its own song, which causes a gradual decrystallization (Leonardo and Konishi, 1999; Fukushima and Margoliash, 2015). The capacity of adult songbirds to modify their vocal motor output after sensory manipulation or disruption is reminiscent to the human capacity to adaptively modify the acoustic properties of speech under sensory feedback distortion (Liu et al., 2010; Feng et al., 2018). This plasticity may serve similar functions in songbirds for the active adaptation of vocal output not just to motor errors, but also to counter ambient noise conditions and acoustic distortion (Patricelli and Blickley, 2006; Slabbekoorn and Ripmeester, 2007). Although the origin of these adaptive vocal abilities in humans is still obscure, in songbirds the neuroanatomy behind the ability to modify adult birdsong is better known. Pitch-shift conditioning in adult birds requires intact Area X and LMAN nuclei (Kao et al., 2005; Ali et al., 2013). Likewise, optogenetic stimulation of VTA can drive pitch shifting in zebra finch adults (Xiao et al., 2018). Therefore, AFP nuclei are innervated by HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons, which suggests a possible role of time-locked HVC\(_{(X)}\) input into the basal ganglia in adult song maintenance. However, this potential role of HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons in adult song maintenance has not been fully elucidated yet.
A direct way to experimentally test the degree of adult vocal plasticity and its role in vocal maintenance is through deafening-induced song degradation (Leonardo and Konishi, 1999; Horita et al., 2008). Young adult zebra finches display a progressive loss of their acquired song acoustics and sequence after deafening, putatively because their ability to match their motor output to the heard sensory feedback input is completely lost after deafening, causing aberrant dopamine input to the AFP reinforcement learning circuit. Experimentally lesioning Area X before deafening in young adult zebra finches prevents deafening-induced song degradation while abolishing LMAN burst firing (Kojima et al., 2013), decisively implicating Area X in song maintenance and indicating that AFP-caused vocal fluctuations may play a role in vocal plasticity. A more recent study confirmed that within-syllable vocal fluctuations in fundamental frequency (FF) selected for in pitch shifting were abolished by Area X lesions (Kojima et al., 2018).

HVC\textsubscript{(X)} neurons are thought to provide not just the time-locked “context” information needed for the reinforcement learning hypothesized to lie behind the adult plasticity phenomena mentioned above, but also are one of the main driving excitatory inputs of Area X medium spiny neurons and pallidal-like neurons (Pidoux et al., 2015). However, despite many studies relating the function of the AFP to adult song maintenance and the likely role of HVC\textsubscript{(X)} neurons in this mechanism due to neuroanatomy, a previous HVC\textsubscript{(X)} neuron ablation study in adults found no large-scale effects on song (Scharff et al., 2000). Whether HVC\textsubscript{(X)} neurons play any role in adult song maintenance remains to be assessed by using more sensitive behavioral tests after HVC\textsubscript{(X)} neuron ablation.

Additionally, from an evolutionary viewpoint, vocal learning bird clades where neuroanatomy has been well traced also support a function for motor cortical-like projections to the basal ganglia in vocal learning. Both oscine songbirds and parrots
possess projections to the basal ganglia originating from their song premotor circuits. In oscine songbirds these are the previously mentioned HVC\textsubscript{X} neurons, in parrots and budgerigars projections to the basal ganglia nucleus medial magnocellular striatum (MMSt) arise similarly from both cortical-like central nucleus of lateral nidopallium (NLC) and central nucleus of the anterior arcopallium (AAC) (Striedter, 1994; Chakraborty et al., 2015). This anatomical disposition not just exists in a parallel way in two separated vocal learning clades, but in the non-vocal learning songbird where connectivity has been studied, the eastern phoebe (\textit{Sayornis phoebe}), a VMP could be found but no vocalization-related cortical-basal ganglia projecting pathway exists (Liu et al., 2013). The absence of motor cortical-like to basal ganglia projections from vocalization-related areas in non-vocal learners suggests that motor cortical to basal ganglia projections are crucial for vocal learning and may be related to its evolution (Figure I-3).

The purpose of this study is to elucidate the function of HVC\textsubscript{X} neurons in songbird vocal learning at the juvenile stage and in song maintenance at the adult stage. To test the functions of this neuron population, HVC\textsubscript{X} neurons were ablated in a cell type-specific manner using viral tools at the juvenile and adult stages and their behavior carefully monitored and analyzed.
Sonograms of zebra finch song development along the critical period of vocal learning.

White bars represent the motif structure of the crystallized song.
A) Diagram showing selected song-control brain regions and connections in the zebra finch brain. The posterior motor pathway and the anterior forebrain pathway (cortical-basal ganglia-thalamic circuit) are represented as yellow and green lines, respectively. The dopaminergic pathway from midbrain neurons is represented by a purple line. HVC (used as a proper name); RA, the robust nucleus of the arcopallium; Area X, Area X of the basal ganglia; DLM, dorsal lateral nucleus of the medial thalamus; LMAN, lateral magnocellular nucleus of the anterior nidopallium; NIf, interfacial nucleus of the nidopallium; nXIIIts, tracheosyringeal part of the hypoglossal nucleus. VTA, ventral tegmental area.

B) Injection point of retrograde tracer Cholera Toxin B (CTB) -465 in Area X.

C) Injection point of retrograde tracer CTB-555 in nucleus RA.

D) Full nucleus view of HVC with both HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons labeled in green by retrograde tracer from Area X and HVC\(_{(RA)}\) neurons labeled in red from nucleus RA.

E) Inset from D) showing that both HVC\(_{(X)}\) and HVC\(_{(RA)}\) neurons belong to segregated populations.
Figure I-3
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A) Schematic representation of the reinforcement learning model for the function of the AFP. In the intact bird, the blue circles represent the sequential time-locked firing of HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons along the bird’s own song. The green, white and orange circles represent Area X, DLM and LMAN cell populations, respectively. These populations are segregated into many parallel AFP channels, represented in this diagram by the two channels denoted as “A” and “B”. At the beginning of the learning, HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons representing different time points connect non-specifically to many parallel AFP channels. Over learning, the specific song time points represented by HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons are “wired” to specific AFP channels, causing more and more restricted AFP-driven changes at each song time point.

B) Hypothesized effects of HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation on song learning and production. After ablation of most HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons, the different AFP channels cannot be correctly “wired” to the specific time points marked by HVC\(_{(X)}\) neuron firing. This could cause an inability to crystallize the adult song sequence and a failure of the juvenile bird to correctly copy the tutor acoustics, due to the reduced ability to wire each time point to the correct AFP channels.
Figure I-4

Neural pathways involved in vocalization

Vocal non-learners
For instance *Coturnix japonica*

Suboscine songbirds
For instance *Saxicola phoebe*

Oscine songbirds
For instance *Taeniopygia guttata*
Neuroanatomical differences in song learning and song learning pathways in vocal learning songbirds and non-vocal learner birds. Nd, dorsal nidopallium.
Materials and Methods

Animals

All experiments using animals were performed according to the Hokkaido University Committee on Animal Experiments from whom permission of this study was obtained (Approval No. 13-0061). The guidelines follow the Japanese national regulations for animal welfare (Law for the Humane Treatment and Management of Animals; after the Partial Amendment No. 105, 2011). Animal surgery was performed under general anesthetics and animals were humanely killed by fast decapitation after being injected with a lethal dose of pentobarbital. Male zebra finches were obtained from Wada laboratory’s breeding colony at Hokkaido University. The photoperiod was constantly maintained at a 13/11 h light/dark cycle with food and water provided ad libitum. The sex of the birds was checked by PCR to select male juveniles before experimental manipulation. Birds for the song developmental study were raised in individual breeding cages with their parents and siblings until phd 5–15. Juveniles (along with their siblings) were then raised in a sound-attenuation box by their mother with their siblings after removal of their father until they could feed themselves (phd ~35). Fathers were removed from the cages before phd 15, to ensure that the juveniles only heard the playback tutor from the start of the sensory learning phase. Juvenile birds were subsequently separated from their mother and siblings and housed in individual isolation boxes for song playback, with the same tutor song being played back from phd 30 to all developing juveniles until at least phd 140. Birds for the adult ablation experiments were raised in individual breeding cages with their parents and siblings.
until phd 60–100 and then housed in common cages with other male birds. Control injected birds were used as controls in the HVC\(^{(X)}\) ablation at the juvenile stage experiment instead of intact animals. This was possible because of the high stereotypy of song in zebra finches and also because comparison of control-injected birds to normal intact birds confirmed that control-injected birds were representative of the average intact juvenile. The total number of subject animals used was 42. 25 animals were used for the adult experiments, while 17 animals were used for the juvenile experiment.

**Song recording, tutoring, and analysis**

Songs were recorded using a unidirectional microphone (SM57, Shure, IL) connected to a computer with the sound event triggered by recording software Sound Analysis Pro (sap v2011.089; http://soundanalysispro.com/) (Tchernichovski et al., 2000). Each song bout was saved as a sound file (.wav file), including time information. Low frequency noise (< 0.5 kHz) and mechanical noise were filtered out using Avisoft-SASLab’s (Avisoft Bioacoustics, Glienicke, Germany) band pass filter. With respect to song tutoring, birds were individually housed in a sound-attenuating box containing a mirror to reduce social isolation. Tutor songs were played five times in the morning and five times in the afternoon at 55–75 dB from a speaker (SRS-M30, SONY) passively controlled by Sound Analysis Pro.

For the analysis of similarity between pupil and tutor songs, the comparison of tutor and pupil syllable acoustic features was performed using the Sound Analysis Pro program’s similarity module. The score was calculated using the “symmetric” and “time courses” comparison settings after manually adjusting the thresholds for every syllable. Overall, 80–
120 syllables, including multiple syllable types, were compared with syllables from tutor songs to obtain each similarity score between syllables in the pupil and tutor songs. The mean values of the similarity score for each syllable type in pupil songs against each syllable type in tutor songs were calculated, and the highest mean values were used as the similarity scores of each syllable type. We used the total mean value of the similarity scores of all syllable types for each individual bird. For the coefficient of variation (CV) of syllable similarity, the CV using the similarity scores of each syllable type was calculated for individual birds. To identify the new syllables appearing in pupil songs but not found in the tutor song, criteria of syllable duration and spectral similarity were used.

To analyze the syllable transitions, song similarity matrix (SSM) analysis was performed (Imai et al., 2016). For every bird and time point, 250 syllables from songs chosen randomly at phd 150 were used. Introductory notes in a song were not included in the song rendition. A total of 100 serially separated “.son”-converted syllable files were transferred to the Avisoft CORRELATOR program to calculate the similarity scores between the syllables of two songs by the round-robin comparison. The score was calculated as the peak correlation coefficient between two syllables according to the following formula:

\[
    r_{xy} = \frac{\sum_x \sum_y ((a_{xy} - m_a) \cdot (b_{xy} - m_b))}{\sqrt{\sum_x (a_{xy} - m_a)^2 \cdot \sum_y (b_{xy} - m_b)^2}}
\]

where \( m_a \) and \( m_b \) are the mean values of the spectrograms \( a \) and \( b \), respectively. \( a_{xy} \) and \( b_{xy} \) are the intensities of the spectrogram points at the locations \( x \) and \( y \), respectively. The syllable similarity score is a value ranging from 0 to 1 for each syllable pair. In this study, 10 SSMs per bird were prepared by the round-robin comparison of a set of 50 serial syllables against another set of 50 syllables from the total of 250 syllables. To qualitatively
visualize the information of syllable temporal sequences in songs, each cell in the SSM was color coded according to the value of the similarity score. (Figure M-1) For the quantitative analysis of syllable temporal structures, the occurrence rate of characteristic patterns of binarized “2 row × 2 column” cells in the SSMs was calculated using the R software program. The “motif” pattern was defined as a “paired-syllables transition,” indicating the existence of two successive syllables that were different but with the same sequential order in two songs. This can be illustrated by “song 1 [···A B······] vs. song 2 [····A B····]” (in this case, A and B represent two different syllables). The “repeat” pattern was a case of the existence of the “repetitive-syllable transition” by two successive identical or very similar syllables in two songs: for instance, “song 1 [······A A··] vs. song 2 [···A A·····].” The mean of the occurrence rate of the motif and repetition patterns and their coefficients of variation (CV) from 10 total SSMs per an individual animal were used for statistical analyses.

For song sequence analysis, song consistency was measured (Scharff and Nottebohm, 1991). Sequence consistency is calculated as the sum of typical transitions per bout divided by the sum of total transitions per bout. This measures how consistently the bird sings the same transitions over several bouts. Syllable identification was performed and aligned by two different researchers without information on individual birds. For highly variable syllables, identical syllables were characterized on the basis of acoustic morphology on the spectrogram and sequential position between singing renditions.

To measure the dynamics of syllable acoustic changes between two time points, we quantified changes in syllable acoustic features (mean FM, denoted as \( n \)) and syllable duration (ms; denoted as \( m \)) as two-dimensional scatter density plots. Kullback–Leibler
(K–L) divergence (Wu et al., 2008; Ohgushi et al., 2015), was adopted to measure the divergence between two sets of syllable populations by comparing their probability density distributions. Syllable segmentation was performed manually for all syllables on a SASLab spectrogram after increasing the amplitude intensity to the maximum in order to clarify any continuities/discontinuities in syllable boundaries. For every subject and time point, 150 syllables were used to generate a two-dimensional density scatter plot. The probability density functions of each set of syllables were estimated at two different time points a and b, as Qa and Qb for the two time points, and the K–L divergence score was then calculated to compare the density functions. If we let qa(m, n) and qb(m, n) denote the estimated probabilities for bin (20 bins for m and 5 bins for n) for time points a and b, respectively, then the K–L divergence between Qa and Qb is defined as follows:

\[
\text{DKL}(Qa \| Qb) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} qa(m, n) \log \frac{qa(m, n)}{qb(m, n)}
\]

A larger value for K–L divergence corresponds to a lower similarity between the distributions of two sets of syllable populations at different time points. Thus, a K–L divergence of 0 indicates a perfect match between two sets of syllable populations. These behavioral analyses were performed as blind, without information of the residual number of HVC(X) neurons of each individual.

HVC(X) lesion effects on the variability of song acoustic structure was calculated by the two measures, “within-syllable variability” and “cross-rendition variability” of the fundamental frequency (FF) in song syllables (Kojima et al., 2018). 50 song motifs recorded on the pre-lesion day and those recorded on the post-lesion day were randomly
selected, extracting only the syllables that had clear and flat harmonic structure. For each syllable rendition, the trajectory of the fundamental frequency was obtained in a sound segment of harmonic structure as in a previous study (Charlesworth et al., 2012). Briefly, spectrograms were calculated using a Gaussian-windowed short-time Fourier transform ($\sigma = 1$ ms) sampled at 8 kHz, and the FF trajectory (the 1st harmonic frequency) was obtained by calculating the peak fundamental frequency in individual time bins. For a subset of syllables that exhibits relatively low signal-to-noise ratios in the 1st harmonic frequency, the 2nd or upper harmonic frequency was used to quantify the FF trajectory. For each syllable, FF trajectories of all renditions were aligned by the onset of the syllables, based on amplitude-threshold crossings, and flat portions ($\geq 25$ ms) of FF trajectories were used for further analysis. We first removed the deviation of FF trajectories that was consistent across renditions of the same syllable by calculating residual FF trajectories as percent deviation from the mean trajectory across renditions. We then obtained within-syllable variability by calculating the SD of FF within each FF trajectory and averaging it across all renditions. To obtain cross-rendition variability, mean FF in each FF trajectory was calculated, and then the SD of mean FF across all renditions was computed.

**In situ hybridization**

NTS cDNA fragments used for the synthesis of *in situ* hybridization probes were cloned from a whole-brain cDNA mixture of a male zebra finch. Total RNA was transcribed to cDNA using Superscript Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) with oligo dT primers. The cDNAs were amplified by PCR using oligo DNA primers directed to conserved regions
of the coding sequence from the NCBI cDNA database (accession # NM_001245684). PCR products were ligated into the pGEM-T Easy plasmid (Promega). The cloned sequences were searched using NCBI BLAST/BLASTX to compare with homologous genes with other species, and genome loci were identified using BLAT of the UCSC Genome Browser. For fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled riboprobes were used. A total of 100–200 ng/glass of the DIG-labeled riboprobe was mixed with the hybridization solution [50% formamide, 10% dextran, 1× Denhardt’s solution, 1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 33 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 600 mM NaCl, 0.2 mg/mL yeast tRNA, 80 mM dithiothreitol, and 0.1% N-lauroylsarcosine]. Hybridization was performed at 65 °C for 12–14 h. Washing steps were performed as follows: 5× SSC solution at 65 °C for 30 min, formamide-I solution (4× SSC, 50% formamide, and 0.005% Tween20) at 65 °C for 40 min, formamide-II solution (2× SSC, 50% formamide, and 0.005% Tween20) at 65 °C for 40 min, 0.1× SSC at 65 °C for 15 min × 2, 0.1× SSC at RT for 15 min, NTE buffer at RT for 20 min, and TNT buffer × 3, and blocking buffer [1% DIG blocking solution (Roche) + 1% normal goat serum/1× TNT buffer] at RT for 30 min. DIG-labeled probes were detected with anti-DIG HRP-conjugated antibody (Jackson Laboratory) and a TSA Plus Cy5 system (Perkin Elmer). Signal images were obtained by fluorescence microscopy (EVOS FL; Thermo Fisher Science; BZ-X700; KEYENCE).

The number of HVC(X) neurons was estimated as the average NTS+ cells/mm² in both hemispheres of individuals. Based on the value of NTS+ cells/mm², the degree of ablation of HVC(X) neurons in individual birds was calculated as a normalized value (%) with the average of NTS+ cells/mm² of control birds.
Genetic switch mechanism for cell-specific targeting

In order to investigate the contribution of HVC\(X\) neurons to song learning and maintenance, a suitable method to manipulate this neuron population in behaving songbirds was needed. In rodents, straightforward methods for cell-specific manipulation are available, where genetically defined neuron types can be targeted using transgenic animals. While not available for all neuron types, this allows robust and simple targeting, using experimental procedures like mating transgenic mice from two strains with one another. In such a strategy, called a Cre/Lox system, one strain expresses an activating DNA recombinase protein, Cre, under a neuron type-specific promoter. The other strain carries an inverted Lox site-flanked DNA sequence (usually a flip-excision (FLEx) switch) encoding an effector protein that can only be expressed after DNA recombination by the Cre protein (Orban et al., 1992; Bouabe and Okkenhaug, 2013). Ideally, this translates into an intersectional targeting paradigm where the offspring expresses both transgenes, Cre and FLEx-encoded effector, only in the cell type determined by the promoter driving Cre expression (Branda and Dymecki, 2004; Madisen et al., 2015) (Figure M-2A). New technical developments have increased the number of accessible cell types but have drawbacks, these techniques generally do not allow the investigation of specific pathways as they target whole cortical layers or interneuron populations (Gong et al., 2007; Taniguchi et al., 2011; Huang and Zeng, 2013). The lack of cell-type specificity was partially solved in mice by
surgically injecting viral vectors carrying the FLEx-encoded effectors into specific brain regions of mice expressing Cre in broad cell types (Rothermel et al., 2013; Rock et al., 2016; Tervo et al., 2016). The viral vector approach possesses other crucial advantages for the study of specific cell types, as some viral vectors like adeno-associated viruses (AAV) can move back from the injection area into upstream projection neurons by entering their axon terminals and riding back into the soma (Kaspar et al., 2002; Ahmed et al., 2004). The AAV retrograde capacity allows cell-specific targeting of specific projection neurons. Cell-specificity is reached by having a member of the pair -either Cre or FLEx- expressed in the projection neurons’ somata and injecting the other member of the pair by way of a retrograding vector into the target region of the projection neurons (Figure M-2B). In this way, if the experimenter avoids targeting reciprocally connected areas with retrograding vectors, the FLEx-encoded transgene is only expressed in the projection cell type of interest.

However, as useful as these techniques are in rodent models, they cannot be directly used in songbirds as the production of transgenic songbirds requires germ line manipulation via viral injection in fertilized eggs (Agate et al., 2009; Abe et al., 2015). Germline manipulation in songbirds is still an extremely costly and technically difficult process. To overcome this difficulty, in this study both Cre and the FLEx-encoded transgenes were expressed through adeno-associated virus injection into the brain regions of interest. As gene expression mediated by viral injection is potentially lower than the one provided by germline transgenes, a mutated form of the adeno-associated virus genome, the self-complementary adeno-associated virus (scAAV), was used to express the Cre and toxin proteins. Unlike single-stranded wild-type viruses, scAAV-containing particles carry double-stranded DNA. This double-stranded configuration
skips a crucial step in the AAV replication cycle and both speeds up and increases transgene expression in vivo (McCarty et al., 2001). In addition to using scAAVs, a combination of two cell-killing toxins were encoded each one inside the FLEX switches. These two toxins, diphtheria toxin A (dtA) and constitutively active Caspase 3 (caCasp) not only induce cell death, but also interact with each other, promoting their apoptotic effects (Komatsu et al., 1998; Kageyama et al., 2002). As previously found in Wada laboratory, a specific AAV capsid serotype, AAV9, allows retrograde transport and infection of projection neurons by AAV particles injected in these projection neurons’ target areas.

To test the in vivo specificity of the Cre-FLEX system targeting of the HVC\textsubscript{X} neuron population, a vector containing a FLEX switch containing the reversed sequence of a red fluorescent protein (mRuby2), and a Cre-containing vector were co-injected in the adult zebra finch brain. In order to target the HVC\textsubscript{X} neuron population, an AAV9 vector encoding Cre (scAAV9-Cre) was injected into Area X while another AAV9 vector encoding FLEX-mRuby2 (scAAV9-mRuby2) was injected into HVC. As HVC projects to Area X through HVC\textsubscript{X} neurons but no neuron type within Area X is known to project back into HVC, fluorescent signal was expected to be found only in the HVC\textsubscript{X} neuron population. Additionally, in order to examine the time course of transgene expression, the same scAAV9-FLEX-mRuby2 and scAAV9-Cre viral combination was injected into the HVC and Area X of adult zebra finches that were then sacrificed at varying time points, from one week to 3 weeks after injection.

**Adeno-associated virus (AAV) construction**
All the viral ITR-flanked genomes used in this study were of the self-complementary (sc) AAV vector type (McCarty et al., 2001). The pscAAV-GFP vector containing a CMV promoter was obtained from Addgene (#32396). AAV plasmids containing Cre and DIO (double-floxed inverted open reading frame)/FLEx (Flip excision) inserts were obtained from Dr. Kenta Kobayashi from the National Institute of Physiological Sciences and subsequently cloned into the pscAAV vector plasmids after amplification of the Cre and DIO/FLEx sequences by primers containing the corresponding restriction enzymes in the target plasmid. To cell-specifically ablate the HVC\(_{(X)}\) cells, a combination of diphtheria toxin A (dtA) and constitutively active caspase 3 was used (Lin et al., 1995; Foster et al., 2015; Walters et al., 2009). Diphtheria toxin was cloned from pAAV-mCherry-FLEx-dtA (Addgene, #58536) by primers with specific enzyme sites and inserted into the previously constructed scAAV-DIO/FLEx. Owing to the restricted carrying capacity of the pscAAV vector, it became necessary to generate a constitutively active caspase 3 by insertional mutagenesis of rAAV-flex-taCasp3-Tevp obtained from Gene Therapy Center Vector Core at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. This insertion consisted of the substitution of valine with glutamic acid at residue 266 of the protein, with subsequent amplification and cloning into an scAAV-DIO/FLEx vector.

AAVs were produced in-house using AAVpro 293T (Takara) cells transfected with a polyethyleneimine (PEI)-condensed recombinant DNA mixture, based on a protocol kindly provided to us by the Gradinaru Lab at Caltech. AAVpro 293T cells were amplified in 10 cm sterile culture dishes under standard cell culture medium [D-MEM, 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin, 1% GlutaMAX] until at least \(1.5 \times 10^8\) cells could be collected. Cells were then plated onto 15 plates of 15 cm culture dishes at
1.0 \times 10^7 \text{ cells per plate in standard cell culture medium. The confluence of the 15cm plates was checked visually, and the medium was changed again to standard 10\% FBS medium when the confluence reached 80\%, before proceeding to the transfection step. The transfection mix contained a triple plasmid system (pPack2/9 for serotype 2 Rep and serotype 9 Cap genes, pHelper for the adenoviral helper genes and the ITR-flanked viral genome containing plasmid) mixed with 40 kDa PEI in a 1: 3.5 DNA: PEI weight ratio, all dissolved in warm PBS. The cells were maintained in this transfection mix for 24 h, and then the medium was changed to a low serum one (D-MEM, 5\% FBS, 1\% penicillin, 1\% GlutaMAX) to promote protein synthesis instead of cell division. Cells were scraped and collected in buffer (150 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0) 3 days after transfection and maintained in a –80 °C freezer until viral purification. The purification procedure was performed as follows. Cells were freeze-thawed between a 37 °C water bath and a –80 °C cooled ethanol bath for at least four cycles and then incubated for 30 min in Benzonase (Merck-Millipore) nuclease after adding of 60 \mu L of 1 M MgCl$_2$ to the cell solution. At the end of this incubation, the cell solution was centrifuged at 4°C and 7,000 rpm for 1 h at 4°C, and then its supernatant was added as the top layer of a polycarbonate centrifuge column filled with an iodixanol gradient (15\%, 25\%, 40\%, and 54\% iodixanol layers). After ultracentrifugation for 6 h at 28,000 rpm, the 40\% layer was extracted with a syringe and concentrated in four VivaSpin (Sartorius) cycles. Samples were finally aliquoted and stored in PBSF at –80 °C.

Surgery
Virus injection surgeries were performed on a custom-modified stereotaxic apparatus under 0.6–2.0% isoflurane anesthesia. To locate HVC and Area X, both stereotaxic coordinates from the midsagittal sinus “y point” (0 mm rostral–caudal and 2.0–2.2 mm medial–lateral from the y point for HVC, 7.8 mm rostral–caudal and 1.5 mm medial–lateral from the y point for Area X). Electrophysiological measurements using 1 M NaCl backfilled glass capillaries attached to a recording-capable Nanoject II (Drummond) were performed to locate HVC and Area X by visual inspection of the firing patterns of the target areas. The location of injection sites for juvenile birds was slightly different (roughly 0.3 mm shallower for Area X and closer to the midsagittal sinus for HVC), and special care was taken to shorten the surgery time as much as possible. The viral solution (virus titer $5.0 \times 10^{12}$ to $5.1 \times 10^{13}$ Vg/mL, a total of 1 μL in each Area X, and 800 nL in each HVC) was injected with a pressure Nanoject II.

Fluorescent tracers were injected into projecting areas to clarify whether NTS is expressed mainly in HVC$_X$ neurons instead of the other major projection neuron population in HVC, the HVC$_{RA}$ neuron population. The injected tracer was Cholera Toxin B (CTB) fused with the fluorochrome Alexa 555 or Alexa 465, injected into either Area X or nucleus RA. The sectioned slides from both injection treatments were hybridized against a DIG-labeled Neurotensin riboprobe to visualize Neurotensin mRNA localization.

**Statistics**

To account for the small sample size used, non-parametric tests were performed to determine the significance of the experimental results. Wilcoxon’s rank-sum test was
used when comparing between two different non-normalized samples and Kendall’s non-parametric test to probe for correlation between two variables from the same population. As an exception to the non-parametric tests, a one-sample t test was used after confirming normality to compare whether a normalized sample was statistically different from the reference value. Dunnett’s test was used for pairwise comparisons between groups. All tests were performed by using the R statistical software.
Figure M-1
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ZF-like song pattern
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syllable transition type</th>
<th>Syllable transitions meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motif</td>
<td>Song1 (.....AB....) vs. Song2 (....AB......)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat</td>
<td>Song1 (.....AA....) vs. Song2 (....AA......)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(A) Schematic diagram showing the method behind the Syllable Similarity Matrix (SSM) procedure to extract song patterns. Serial syllables of a bird’s song are compared in a matrix against another set of serial syllables of the same bird and their spectrogram cross-correlation computed for all comparisons. Color shows a higher cross-correlation value, indicating higher similarity.

(B) Syllable transitions extracted based on the cross-correlation patterns displayed by the SSM matrix.
Figure M-2
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A) Mechanism of functioning of the Cre-FLEX switch. Both transgenes are expressed in different constructs. When the Cre protein binds to any of its cognate sites (LoxP in white or Lox2272 in black), it either inverts or excises the DNA sequences between Lox sites depending on their orientation (green inset). This ensures that the inverted genes within the FLEX sequence will only be activated when Cre is expressed in the same cell.

B) Viral injection strategy for the expression of FLEX-flanked transgenes specifically in HVC(Y) neurons. Small circles represent the scAAV9 viral particles.
Results

Successful cell-specific targeting of \( HVC(X) \) projection neurons

In order to test whether the Cre-FLEex switch system specifically targets \( HVC(X) \) neurons \textit{in vivo}, adult bids were injected with scAAV9-Cre in Area X and scAAV9-FLEex-mRuby2 in HVC. Twenty days after viral injection, fluorescent label from mRuby2 was detected in a particular neuron population restricted to the boundaries of HVC (Figure R-1A). No specific fluorescent signal arising from any other brain region could be detected. In the time course injected birds, clear mRuby2 fluorescent signal could be detected from the first week after injection onwards (Figure R-1B). In summary, the combined Cre-FLEex viral strategy allows for strong \( HVC(X) \) neuron-specific transgene expression from at least one week after injection \textit{in vivo}.

Identification of Neurotensin as a specific \( HVC(X) \) neuron marker

To count the remaining amount of \( HVC(X) \) neurons after ablation, a reliable \( HVC(X) \)-specific gene marker was needed. To attain this goal, the efficacy of neurotensin (NTS) as an \( HVC(X) \) maker was tested using sections from birds with \( HVC(X) \) or \( HVC(RA) \) populations labeled by the injection of retrograde CTB-555. (FigureR-2A). NTS showed an above 95% colocalization with fluorescent tracer backfilled from Area X and an almost negligible colocalization with tracer coming from nucleus RA. The above result confirms NTS as an \( HVC(X) \) neuron-specific marker that can be used to precisely count the remaining \( HVC(X) \) cells without needing any additional fluorescent tracer injections before brain collection.
A majority of HVC(\textsubscript{X}) neurons were ablated by a combination of viral vectors encoding Cre recombinase and cell-killing toxins

To check whether HVC(\textsubscript{X}) neuron-specific activation of both dtA and caCasp is effective \textit{in vivo}, adult zebra finches were injected in one hemisphere’s HVC with a mix of scAAV9-FLEEx-dtA and scAAV9-FLEEx-caCasp to induce HVC(\textsubscript{X}) neuron ablation and scAAV9-FLEX-mRuby2 in the other hemisphere’s HVC as a negative control (\textbf{Figure R-2B}). The use of a control and an HVC(\textsubscript{X}) ablated hemisphere from the same individual birds avoids any potential between-individual differences in HVC(\textsubscript{X}) number or density. As a result, the residual number of HVC(\textsubscript{X}) neurons was reduced to 23.9–57.2\% (mean ± SD = 38.8 ± 13.5\%) in the ablated hemisphere when compared against the control HVC of the same individual (n = 6; One sample t test after confirmation of normality by Shapiro-Wilk test: \( p < 0.001 \)). All groups of HVC(\textsubscript{X}) neuron-ablated birds used in the three experiments in this study showed HVC(\textsubscript{X}) ablations of over 70\% when compared to controls (\textbf{Figure R-3}). Although no complete lesion (i.e., 100 \% ablation) of the HVC(\textsubscript{X}) population in a single hemisphere was achieved, a major part of the population could be reliably ablated with the viral combination of Cre and cell-killing toxins.

\textbf{HVC(\textsubscript{X}) neuron ablation during the critical period impairs song learning and development}

To elucidate the contributions of the HVC(\textsubscript{X}) neurons to song learning, a combination of viruses was injected in the HVC and Area X (\textbf{Figure R-4A}). In the case of HVC(\textsubscript{X}) neuron-ablated juveniles, retrograding scAAV9-Cre was injected into bilateral Area X,
while a mix of scAAV9-FLEEx-dTA and scAAV9-FLEEx-caCasp was injected into bilateral HVC. Control juveniles were injected with scAAV9-Cre in bilateral Area X and scAAV9-FLEEx-mRuby2 in bilateral HVC. In both cases injections were performed before the start of subsong in young juveniles (20-30 phd). Juveniles were then tutored with the same tutor song by speaker playback throughout their song developmental period (tutoring lasted at least until 140 phd) (Figure R-4A, top right). The degree of HVC(X) ablation in individual birds ranged from 68.6 to 76.3% (mean ± SD, 73.0 ± 2.8%) (Figure R-3).

HVC(X) neuron ablation at the juvenile stage caused multiple effects in the song acoustics and sequence of their adult songs when compared to the adult songs of control-injected juveniles (Figure R-4B). Control-injected juveniles developed an acoustically similar song to their tutor, with a stereotyped motif sequence (Figure R-5, white bars) that crystallized around 120 phd, at the typical closure time of the song critical period (Figure R-5, top panel for a representative example). In contrast, two HVC(X)-ablated juveniles developed a song acoustically dissimilar to their tutor during a more protracted developmental course (Figure R-5, bottom two panels). While the song of one HVC(X)-ablated juvenile finally converged into a species-typical song motif (Figure R-5, middle panel), the other example bird never crystallized a song motif and kept singing songs with an ever-changing sequence until past 200 phd (Figure R-5, bottom panel). HVC(X) ablation never impeded the appearance of discrete song syllables or halted the transition from subsong to plastic song. However, earning of acoustic and sequence properties in their final adult songs and were affected in the HVC(X) neuron-
ablated birds at the juvenile stage. Additionally, the length of the critical period was affected in some birds.

**HVC(\(X\)) neuron ablation during the critical period of song learning disrupts syllable acoustics**

Focusing on the adult (150 phd) syllables sung by injected pupils, some HVC(\(X\))-ablated learned less syllables from the tutor song (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: \(p = 0.048\)) and developed new syllables never seen in the tutor songs (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: \(p = 0.031\)) (Figure R-6A). Furthermore, the acoustic similarity between the HVC(\(X\))-ablated bird’s own syllables and the tutor song’s syllables was significantly lower than the similarity of control-injected pupils’ syllables compared to the tutor (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: \(p = 0.035\)) (Figure R-6B, top). Additionally, the coefficient of variability (CV) of acoustic similarity to the tutor showed a trend towards increase in the HVC(\(X\))-ablated bird group (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: n.s., \(p = 0.071\)) (Figure R-6B, bottom). Thus, acoustic imitation of tutor song was affected both at the bulk level of the number of syllables learned from the tutor and at the finer level of acoustic similarity between individual syllables.

Still at the syllable level, two of five HVC(\(X\))-ablated birds ablated at the juvenile stage showed a remarkably high degree of acoustic and syllable length variability at the adult stage (over 120 phd). Selected examples of the same syllable taken from the afternoon song of a single day (150 phd) in one control-injected and two HVC(\(X\)) ablated birds show the ever-changing duration of specific syllables compared to those from a control bird (Figure R-7A). Some birds (two out of five), also sang songs with very short inter-
syllable gaps (average of gap duration < 25 ms). While the control-injected songbird produced songs with gaps similar in length to the tutor song, two HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated pupils displayed markedly shortened gaps (Figure R-7B). While there was a trend towards shortened inter-syllable gaps in some HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated pupils, no statistically significant difference was found between control and HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated groups due to the occurrence of individual difference (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: n.s., \(p = 1\)) (Figure R-7C). In summary, some HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated pupils showed aberrant acoustics as well as variability in syllable length and/or shortened inter-syllable gaps well into adulthood.

Species-specific song pattern is severely affected by HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation during the critical period

The effects of HVC\(_{(X)}\) neuron ablation on song sequence were analyzed by performing SSM analysis on the adult songs of HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated birds. Control-injected birds produced songs with motif patterns clearly visible in the matrix, while HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated birds produced songs with a range of lower motif values (Figure R-8A). The HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated pupil group produced significantly less motif patterns when compared to the control-injected group pupils (\(n = 3\) controls, \(n = 5\) ablated birds; 250 syllables each) (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: \(*p = 0.035\)), while the apparent increase in repetition pattern did not reach significance (Figure R-8B).

In order to analyze whether the effects of HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation on acoustics and sequence arise from common mechanisms or not, the correlation between acoustic similarity to tutor and SSM-derived motif index was analyzed for every individual (Figure R-8C). No correlation between the effects of HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation on acoustics and its effects on
sequence was found (Kendall’s tau: n.s., \( p = 0.179 \)). Considering the above results, HVC\(_{(X)}\) neuron ablation in zebra finch juveniles affects the learning and acquisition of tutor song acoustics and species-specific song motif patterns through unrelated mechanisms.

**HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation at the adult stage does not disrupt song nor AFP-driven vocal fluctuation**

In order to investigate the role of HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons, adult zebra finches (90-120 phd) singing clear motif patterns were injected with the same HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablating viral mixture as the one used in the juvenile study. The HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation amount in the ablated adults ranged from 68.3 to 86.1\% (mean ± SD, 79.1 ± 8.3\%) (Figure R-3). Visual inspection of the songs produced afterwards showed no gross alterations in acoustics or sequence, either 2 weeks after or a few days after injection. The 3 to 4 days after injection time point was used as a control to check for any possible injection-related damage as viral transgenes are not expressed yet (Figure R-9A). Quantification of remaining HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons by *in situ* hybridization against NTS confirmed the extent of ablation in adult HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated birds (Figure R-9B). Despite this, no significant changes were seen after two weeks in HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated birds, either by SSM analysis or by plotting acoustic parameters against syllable duration (Figure R-9C). Taking all HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated adult birds into account (\( n = 4 \)), motif indices were left unchanged after HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: n.s., \( p = 0.685 \)) (Figure R-9D). To evaluate any potential change in fine acoustics the K-L divergence method was used to compute the divergence between pairs of before and after HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablation acoustic parameter plots.
(using mean frequency modulation versus syllable duration) in injected birds and in age-
matched control zebra finches. As expected from the visual inspection of song
spectrograms and plotted acoustic parameters, acoustic K-L divergence between the
control and HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated group was not different among groups (Wilcoxon’s signed
rank test: n.s., \( p = 1 \)) (Figure R-9E). Motif duration was additionally quantified in order
to check for changes in song tempo after HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation, but no statistically significant
differences between control and HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated groups were found either (Wilcoxon’s
signed rank test: n.s., \( p = 0.857 \)) (Figure R-9F).

As described in a previous study (Kojima et al., 2018), vocal fluctuation related to
AFP output correlates best with within-syllable fundamental frequency (FF) variability
than with cross-syllable FF variability. Accordingly, the effects of adult HVC\(_{(X)}\)
ablation on AFP output were tested by measuring the amount of vocal fluctuation after
HVC\(_{(X)}\) neuron ablation. The deviation from mean in FF was quantified from the
syllables obtained from 50 song bouts produced both before and after HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation
(Figure R-10A). FF analysis found no effects of HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation both at the within-
syllable (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: n.s., \( p = 0.857 \)) and cross-syllable FF variability
levels (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: n.s., \( p = 1 \)) (Figure R-10B).

Considering the adult zebra finch HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation results, no effects of HVC\(_{(X)}\)
ablation on adult song acoustics, sequence or bout duration could be found, nor was
AFP output as measured by fluctuation in FF frequency at the within-syllable level
affected by HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation.
HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation at the adult stage has no effects on auditory feedback-dependent song degradation

An additional way of measuring the amount of adult song plasticity is to probe the ability of birds to modify their songs after auditory feedback loss. In order to confirm any possible role of HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons in auditory feedback-dependent song degradation, surgical deafening was performed three weeks after injection of the HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablating viral mix (Figure R-11A). HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation ranged in the birds used for this experiment from 66.2 to 78.8% (mean ± SD, 72.2 ± 5.3%) (Figure R-3). The song degradation rate was then compared between the HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated and deafened birds and a group of deafened-only birds (HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated and deafened birds n = 5, deafened only controls n = 5). When measured at one and two months after deafening, song acoustics and sequence degraded in a similar way when compared to the original song pre-deafening (Figure R-11B). Song sequence degradation in HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated deaf birds was strong for all animals (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test between pre and 2 months after deafening: \(p = 0.00016\)), with HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablated birds showing a similar level of song motif degradation compared to control deafened birds at two moths post-deafening (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: n.s., \(p = 0.841\)) (Figure R-11C). Similarly, the level of song acoustic degradation as measured by K-L divergence from both control and HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablated birds between pre and two months after deafening was strong (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test between pre and 2 months after deafening: \(p = 0.00001\)). However, the difference in K-L divergence between HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated deaf birds and deaf controls at two months after deafening was both non-statistically significant and did not seem to be related to the remaining number of HVC\(_{(X)}\) projection neurons (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: n.s., \(p = 1\)) (Figure R-11D). In summary, HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation at the adult
stage has no effect on the AFP output as measured by FF fluctuation or measured by the magnitude of song degradation after removal of auditory feedback-dependent song maintenance.
Figure R-1
A) (Left diagram) HVC(X) projection neurons were targeted using a combination of retrograding scAAV9-Cre injected in basal ganglia nucleus Area X and scAAV9-FLEx-mRuby2 injected in HVC. (Right panels) Restricted expression of FLEx-inverted mRuby2 fluorescent protein in the HVC(X) cell population. Scale bar = 100mm.

B) Restricted expression of FLEx-inverted mRuby2 fluorescent protein in HVC(X) cell populations at 1, 2 and 3 weeks after virus injection. Apparent differences in HVC size between animals are due to the brain slices coming from different medial-lateral positions in nucleus HVC.
Figure R-2
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A) Selective expression of NTS in HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons (green fluorescence shows DIG-labeled NTS riboprobes detected by Cy5-conjugated antibodies). HVC\(_{(X)}\) and HVC\(_{(RA)}\) neurons were backfilled with the retrograde tracer CTB-555 from Area X and RA, respectively (magenta). DAPI (blue).

B) Normalized decreased amount of HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons between control (left) and lesioned HVC. The control hemisphere was injected with scAAV9-Cre in Area X and with scAAV9-FLEX-mRuby2 in HVC. The lesioned hemisphere was injected with scAAV9-Cre in Area X and with a mixture of scAAV9-FLEX-dtA and -caCasp in HVC.
Figure R-3
Comparison of remaining HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons among control, ablation in the juvenile stage, adult stage, and following deafening conditions. Each dot corresponds to the average density of NTS+ cells (HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons) in one bird. Red horizontal bars represent the mean values for each group (Dunnett’s test, *\(p = 0.017\)).
Figure R-4
(A) Experimental timeline for HVC\textsuperscript{(X)} ablation and song tutoring.

(B) Examples of acquired song at 180 phd in all control-injected (green-colored background) and all HVC\textsuperscript{(X)}-ablated (brown-colored background) birds. Bird numbers are consistent between figures in the Results section.
Figure R-5
Examples of song development in a control injected (green-colored background) and two HVC(X)-ablated (brown-colored background) birds. HVC(X)-ablated birds 1 and 2 had decreases of 68.6 and 73.2% of HVC(X) neurons, respectively, compared with the average of HVC(X) neurons in the control birds. White lines in the song spectrograms represent the motif structure of songs. The remaining HVC(X) neurons were labeled with NTS (red). DAPI (blue). White dotted lines represent HVC borders.
Figure R-6
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control injected</th>
<th>Shared syllables</th>
<th>New syllables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bird 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| HVC(a)-ablated   |                  |              |
| Bird 1           | (68.6% ablation) |              |
| Bird 2           | (73.2%)          |              |
| Bird 3           | (73.9%)          |              |
| Bird 4           | (73.1%)          |              |
| Bird 5           | (76.3%)          |              |
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n.s. = not significant
(A) Examples of acquired syllables in control (green background) and HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated (brown-colored background) birds. Syllables outlined with red lines were further analyzed in Figure R-7A.

(B) Differences between control and HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated birds in the syllable similarity between syllables of each pupil and the tutor song (left) and its CV (right) (n = 3 controls, n = 5 ablated birds; Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: \(*p = 0.035\)). Mean + SEM for bar graphs. (Left) Each point represents the average similarity score of all syllable types for individual birds. (Right) Each point represents the CV of the similarity scores of all syllable types for individual birds.
Figure R-7
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(A) High variability in syllable duration and acoustics at the adult stage (150 phd) for birds whose HVC<sub>(X)</sub> neurons were ablated in the juvenile stage. Yellow lines represent acoustic entropy, and numerical values show entropy variance.

(B) Examples of abnormal inter-syllable gaps in the adult stage for birds whose HVC<sub>(X)</sub> neurons were ablated in the juvenile stage. (Left) Variability and shortening of inter-syllable gaps in HVC<sub>(X)</sub>-ablated birds in the juvenile stage. (Right) Probability density of inter-syllable gaps from each bird (n = 100 gaps). The red dotted lines indicate average values.

(C) Average of inter-syllable gap duration between control and HVC<sub>(X)</sub>-ablated birds (100 inter-syllable gaps/bird). There was no difference in gap duration between control and HVC<sub>(X)</sub> ablated birds (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: n.s., p = 1). Each dot represents an individual bird’s value. Bird ID numbers are consistent between with Figure R-7A.
Figure R-8
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(A) Representative syllable similarity matrices (SSMs) for adult songs (150 phd) in control (green background) and two HVC\(_{(N)}\)-ablated (brown background) birds. (Bottom) Probabilities of motif and repetition indices for each bird.

(B) Probabilities of motif and repetition indices in the adult stage (150 phd) in control and HVC\(_{(N)}\)-ablated birds (n = 3 controls, n = 5 ablated birds; Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: \(p = 0.035\)). Dots indicate individual bird’s values.

(C) Lack of correlation between the SSM-derived motif scores and the acoustic similarity to tutor (n = 3 controls, n = 5 ablated birds; Kendall’s tau: n.s., \(p = 0.179\)). Dots indicate individual bird’s values.
Figure R-9
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(A) Representative spectrogram of birds that were ablated in HVC(\(X\)) neurons in adults. White bars represent the motif structure of songs.

(B) Example of the extent of HVC(\(X\)) ablation in an ablated adult (with 76.9 % ablation) as shown in (A) and (C). NTS (red) and DAPI (blue).

(C) Syllable sequence and acoustic stability before and after ablation of HVC(\(X\)) neurons. Sequential patterns are shown as SSMs and acoustics as a scatter density plot of syllable duration versus mean FM (n = 150 syllables).

(D) No effect of HVC(\(X\)) ablation on the song motif index of adult zebra finches. Each point corresponds to an individual bird. (n = 4 ablated birds; Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: n.s., \(p = 0.685\)).

(E) No effect of HVC(\(X\)) ablation on syllable acoustics measured by the K-L divergence of syllable scatter density plots (duration versus mean FM) between pre- and post-injection time points (n = 3 controls, n = 4 ablated birds; Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: n.s., \(p = 1\)).

(F) Pre–post change in motif duration between control and HVC(\(X\))-ablated birds (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: n.s., \(p = 0.857\)).
Figure R-10
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(A) Example of the fundamental frequency (FF) trajectory of a syllable in pre-injection (top left) and 2 weeks post-injection (top right) of songs from an HVC(X)-ablated adult, expressed as raw frequency traces (middle) and percent deviation from the within-rendition mean (bottom). Blue and red lines indicate each rendition and the mean across renditions, respectively.

(B) Pre–post changes in within- (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: n.s., \( p = 0.857 \)) and cross-rendition (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: n.s., \( p = 1 \)) syllable variability in FF between control and HVC(X)-ablated birds. Mean ±SEM for all graphs.
Figure R-11
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(A) Timeline of HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation and deafening in the adult stage.

(B) Deafening-induced degradation of the syllable sequence and acoustics in a control (green background) and HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated (brown background) adult birds.

(C) Strong effect of deafening on species-specific song pattern degradation as calculated by the SSM methods (n = 5 for each group; Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: \(p = 0.00016\)). No difference could be found between control and HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated birds at 2 months after deafening (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: n.s., \(p = 0.841\)). Green and brown lines represent control and HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated birds, respectively. Dotted- and solid lines represent individual and average values, respectively.

(D) (Left) Strong effect of deafening on acoustic degradation as calculated by the K–L divergence (n = 5 for each group; Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: \(p = 0.00001\)). There is no difference, however, between control and HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated birds at 2 months after deafening (Wilcoxon’s signed rank test: n.s., \(p = 1\)). (Right) Remaining HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons in three representative birds (a control and two HVC\(_{(X)}\)-ablated birds), visualized by NTS (red) and DAPI (blue). White dotted lines represent the border of HVC.
Discussion

The results of $HVC_{(X)}$ neuron ablation in juveniles are consistent, at least partially, with those expected from the “AFP as a reinforcement learning module” model, where $HVC_{(X)}$ time-locked input would be used as a “temporal context” information source (Figure I-4). Partial removal of $HVC_{(X)}$ input could cause an inability to either reduce AFP-driven variability impeding the detailed refinement of syllable acoustics needed to match the current song to the tutor song through reinforcement learning, causing a reduction in the acoustic similarity to tutor (Figure R-6B). Defects in sequence learning arising from partially missing time-locked information can also cause song sequence learning disruptions, shown in the inability to learn the species-specific motif pattern (Figure R-8).

However, alternative mechanisms unrelated to reinforcement learning models may also lie behind the effects of $HVC_{(X)}$ neuron ablation on the learning of syllable acoustics and song sequence. An additional explanation of $HVC_{(X)}$ effects on song sequence may lie in the recent finding of a pathway indirectly linking AFP nucleus Area X to nucleus HVC through the A12 midbrain area in adult zebra finches (Hamaguchi and Mooney, 2012). Activity flowing from an Area X with a reduced “temporal context” input due to $HVC_{(X)}$ ablation could in theory affect syllable transitions, as manipulations of this midbrain to HVC pathway cause an increase in sequence variability. Additionally, in a manner similar to mammalian cortical projection neurons (Kawaguchi, 2017), $HVC_{(X)}$ neurons also connect extensively inside the HVC (Mooney and Prather, 2005). A potentially abnormal HVC connectivity caused by the removal of $HVC_{(X)}$ neurons may be the cause of sequence deficits, as well as the known support functions of $HVC_{(X)}$.
neurons towards HVC(RA) neurons. A previous study using laser ablation to kill HVC(X) neurons found that the number of newborn HVC(RA) neurons added to nucleus RA increased after HVC(X) neuron ablation in juvenile zebra finches, potentially causing an imbalance in HVC activity or connectivity (Scharff et al., 2000). These same newborn HVC(RA) neurons use HVC(X) neurons as a guide for their correct migration inside HVC (Scott et al., 2012). Furthermore, the production of retinoic acid in HVC is necessary for the correct learning of syllable acoustics and inter-syllable gaps, with the retinoic acid synthesizing enzyme’s RNA being only transcribed in HVC(X) neurons (Denisenko-Nehrbass et al., 2000; Roeske et al., 2014). All these additional functions need to be considered when interpreting the results of HVC(X) ablation in song learning.

The results of HVC(X) neuron ablation in adult birds were different from those of HVC(X) neuron ablation in juveniles. A previous study reported no general changes in adult song after an HVC(X) neuron ablation of roughly 60% by laser (Scharff et al., 2000). This previous finding was confirmed and extended by fine acoustic and sequence analysis of the song of HVC(X)-ablated birds (Figure R-9). As a new result, no change in Area X-generated vocal fluctuations could be detected either (Figure R-10). Secondly, no effect of HVC(X) neuron ablation was found on deafening-induced degradation, at the sequence or acoustic levels (Figure R-11). Taken together, these results indicate that ablation of a major part of the HVC(X) population, over 70% of the population on average (Figure R-3), has no effect on AFP-generated adult song plasticity.

A similar level of HVC(X) neuron ablation (mean ablation of 73%) caused striking defects in juvenile learning but no difference in the songs of HVC(X)-ablated adult birds.
This difference between juvenile and adult ablations suggests that if there is any function of HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons in adult vocal plasticity, it is greatly reduced or residual when compared to its effects on juvenile song learning. Recent studies focusing on the mechanisms of adult song plasticity have identified a set of brain areas involved in the evaluation of auditory input and motor output to bias the AFP, and found that at least one of these areas, the ventral pallidum, receives input time-locked to song from nucleus uvaeformis (Uva) (Chen et al., 2019). The limited extent of adult song plasticity may require a less complete temporal code, thus being unaffected by major but still incomplete HVC\(_{(X)}\) neuron ablation. Alternatively, the AFP in adulthood may be able to produce vocal fluctuation independently from HVC\(_{(X)}\) input or by using timing information from other areas such as Uva.

This study achieved ablation percentages of HVC\(_{(X)}\) neuron numbers around 75%, comparable to that of previously published cell-specific ablation studies in songbirds. From laser ablation of HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons achieving 60% of ablation (Scharff et al., 2000) to the roughly 60% of cell ablation obtained using other viral combinations with Cre and FLEex-flanked toxins on different cell types (Hisey et al., 2018; Roberts et al., 2017). In contrast, injection of AAVs containing FLEex-flanked caspase sequences into transgenic mice expressing Cre in specific cell types can cause cell ablations of over 90% (Yang et al., 2013). Unlike mammals and like previously reported studies in songbirds, the main drawback of the viral combination method in this study is the inability to completely ablate the HVC\(_{(X)}\) target population, while its main strong point is its cell-specificity. This caveat needs to be considered when HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation shows no apparent effect on behavior, as this might be caused by the intact HVC\(_{(X)}\) cells being sufficient to maintain the behavior. Using the Cre-FLEex toxin combined technique and
considering its limitations, an investigation into the role of HVC\(_{(X)}\) projection neurons in both juvenile learning and adult songbirds became possible.

Furthermore, HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons have different functions besides their projection to Area X. New procedures will be needed to elucidate the possibly multiple roles of HVC\(_{(X)}\) input. One of these possible experiments may involve using the Cre-FLEEx system to cell-specifically express optogenetic effectors into HVC\(_{(X)}\) neurons and use area-specific illumination that would either activate or suppress synaptic activity of the HVC\(_{(X)}\) axons. Optogenetics would allow the targeting of axons projecting to a specific area during development, for instance, suppressing only the HVC\(_{(X)}\) axons leading Area X but not those into HVC to separate the possibly different effects of HVC\(_{(X)}\) input into these areas. Alternatively, an optogenetic short-pulse stimulation regime using activating rhodopsins could be used on HVC\(_{(X)}\) input into Area X to disrupt time-locked bursts, as this regime has previously been used in the zebra finch (Roberts et al., 2012). These region-specific manipulations during development could help solve the question whether the effects of HVC\(_{(X)}\) manipulation on vocal learning are specific to their effect on AFP targets or intra-HVC connections. In the case that the effects of HVC\(_{(X)}\) neuron ablation on acoustics and sequence are caused by HVC\(_{(X)}\) input into Area X, the effects on song should only be present when specifically inhibiting Area X-innervating axons. Alternatively, acoustics and sequence learning should only be affected when specifically stimulating HVC\(_{(X)}\) input into Area X in a completely different pattern than the natural one.

As a more general application to the findings of this study to neuroscience, the lack of observable effects of HVC\(_{(X)}\) ablation on already learned song is similar to studies in
mammals. In rodents where the motor cortex was ablated after learning of a skilled motor task, no effects were found on task execution after ablation (Kawai et al., 2015). The rodent study contrasts with the situation in humans, where lesions of speech-related motor cortices lead to speech apraxia, as well as non-cell specific lesion of HVC in songbirds, which completely abolishes adult song (Graff-Radford et al., 2014; Moser et al., 2016; Aronov et al., 2008). These paradoxical results regarding the involvement of cortical input on different skilled motor tasks may be explained partly by this study’s findings. This may be caused by some tasks relying on different neuron populations that are mixed in mammalian cortices but show different contributions to the maintenance of learned skills, therefore abolishing both learning and maintenance in some cases but not others. Different contributions by mixed neuron populations of motor cortices may lie behind the observation that some previously learned motor skills, such as lever pulling in rats, are resistant to motor cortex ablation while others are lost.

Summing the results of this study up, HVC(X) neuron ablations of a similar extent to those that caused highly aberrant song learning in juveniles failed to cause any measurable dysfunction in song production, fluctuation or deafening-induced degradation when performed in adult zebra finches. New approaches will be needed to confirm or refute a putative lack of HVC(X) neuron role in adult song plasticity, such as complete HVC(X) neuron ablation by using transgenic songbirds or performing pitch shift experiments in HVC(X)-ablated adults. Taking the limitations of the current experimental methods into account, HVC(X) neuron function appears to be critical for juvenile song learning but largely dispensable for adult song maintenance.
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